Open Access Full Text Article

LETTER

Ethics of conducting the study "Oral 24% sucrose associated with nonnutritive sucking for pain control in healthy term newborns receiving venipuncture beyond the first week of life" [Letter]

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: Journal of Pain Research

Denise Harrison¹ Mariana Bueno²

¹The University of Ottawa and Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario, Ottawa, Canada; ²The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada

Dear editor

We refer to the pilot study by De Bernardo et al,¹ in which 66 healthy infants were randomized to 24% sucrose or 10% glucose during venipuncture. Findings were 24% sucrose statistically significantly reduced pain compared to 10% glucose. This is not surprising as the efficacy of sweet tasting solutions in reducing pain in newborn infants has been known since the early 2000s,² and less concentrated solutions, including the 10% glucose used in this study had already been shown to be ineffective. Therefore, this trial, which studied an already known effective intervention compared to an already known ineffective intervention, does not comply with the principle of equipoise.^{2,3}

Clinical research trials require equipoise, defined as "a state of genuine uncertainty on the part of the clinical investigator regarding the comparative therapeutic merits of each arm in a trial".³ This requires that the investigator is fully aware of the current knowledge regarding benefits of intervention and control arms and existing knowledge gaps before planning a trial. Unfortunately, it is evident the authors were not aware of the knowledge concerning analgesic effects of sweet solutions before they commenced their study.

The authors state "The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki". However, this implies that: "Medical research involving human subjects must conform to generally accepted scientific principles, be based on a thorough knowledge of the scientific literature...".⁴ As stated earlier, this had obviously not occurred as evidenced by the omission in the reference list of key systematic reviews on the topic. Another concern with this paper relates to parental informed consent. The authors stated that informed consent from the families was obtained. However, were parents truly informed about the study? Were they informed of the fact that there was already abundant evidence to support 25% sucrose during venipuncture? Were they informed about analgesic effects of other strategies they could use during their infants' venipuncture, such as breastfeeding?⁵ Engaging with and involving parents of hospitalized infants from the beginning of the study may have resulted in more relevant research being conducted.

In conclusion, extensive research conducted over the past two decades has clearly demonstrated that sweet solutions, if sufficiently sweet, reduce acute

Email denise.harrison@uottawa.ca



Journal of Pain Research 2019:12 1913-1914

1913

© 2019 Harrison and Bueno. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/ the work you bereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for Commercial use of this work, please see paragraph 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

Correspondence: Denise Harrison The University of Ottawa and Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario, Ottawa, Canada

procedural pain in newborns, while less sweet solutions, including expressed milk and low concentrations of sucrose or glucose, are less effective. Further trials of sweet solution analgesia in medically stable newborns and infants beyond the newborn period are not ethically justified and do not address any knowledge gap. As clinicians, researchers, student supervisors, ethics committee members, and health-care organizations, we need to ensure that ethically responsible research is being conducted; parents are fully informed about research and preferably, involved in the research processes, and relevant evidence is used in practice. Ethical conduct relating to infant pain research must improve. In the meantime, we have a responsibility to implement existing best evidence into clinical practice while continuing to address true knowledge gaps about ways to consistently reduce newborn pain.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

- De Bernardo G, Riccitelli M, Sordino D, et al. Oral 24% sucrose associated with nonnutritive sucking for pain control in healthy term newborns receiving venipuncture beyond the first week of life. *J Pain Res.* 2019;12:299–305. doi:10.2147/JPR.S184504
- Harrison D, Larocque C, Bueno M, et al. Sweet solutions to reduce procedural pain in neonates: a meta-analysis. *Paediatrics*. 2017;139 (1):e20160955. doi:10.1542/peds.2016-0955
- Freedman B. Equipoise and the ethics of clinical research. N Engl J Med. 1987;317(3):141–145. doi:10.1056/NEJM198707163170304
- World Medical Association. Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA*. 2013;310 (20):2191–2194. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.281053
- Benoit B, Martin-Misener R, Latimer M, Campbell-Yeo M. Breastfeeding analgesia in infants: an update on the current state of evidence. *J Perinat Neonatal Nurs*. 2017;31(2):145–159. doi:10.1097/JPN.0000 00000000253

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Journal of Pain Research 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Journal of Pain Research editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Journal of Pain Research

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Pain Research is an international, peer reviewed, open access, online journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of pain research and the prevention and management of pain. Original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-pain-research-journal

management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http:// www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.