

RESEARCH ARTICLE

A key indicator of nicotine dependence is associated with greater depression symptoms, after accounting for smoking behavior

Tiffany Bainter¹, Arielle S. Selya^{1,2,3*}, S. Cristina Oancea¹

1 Department of Population Health, Master of Public Health Program, University of North Dakota School of Medicine & Health Sciences, Grand Forks, ND, United States of America, **2** Behavioral Sciences Group, Sanford Research, Sioux Falls, SD, United States of America, **3** Department of Pediatrics, University of South Dakota Sanford School of Medicine, Sioux Falls, SD, United States of America

* arielle.selya@sanfordhealth.org



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Bainter T, Selya AS, Oancea SC (2020) A key indicator of nicotine dependence is associated with greater depression symptoms, after accounting for smoking behavior. PLoS ONE 15(5): e0233656. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233656>

Editor: Neal Doran, University of California San Diego School of Medicine, UNITED STATES

Received: January 2, 2020

Accepted: May 9, 2020

Published: May 22, 2020

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233656>

Copyright: © 2020 Bainter et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The datasets analyzed during the current study are publicly and freely available on the NHANES website, <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/>.

Abstract

Introduction

Depression is a global burden that is exacerbated by smoking. The association between depression and chronic smoking is well-known; however, existing findings contain possible confounding between nicotine dependence (ND), a latent construct measuring addiction, and objective smoking *behavior*. The current study examines the possible *unique* role of ND in explaining depression, independently of smoking behavior.

Methods

A nationally-representative sample of current adult daily smokers was drawn by pooling three independent, cross-sectional, biennial waves (spanning 2011–16) of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). The association between ND (operationally defined as time to first cigarette (TTFC) after waking) and the amount of depression symptoms was examined after adjusting for both current and lifetime smoking behaviors (cigarettes per day and years of smoking duration) and sociodemographic factors (gender, age, race, education and income to poverty ratio).

Results

Earlier TTFC was associated with more depression symptoms, such that those smoking within 5 minutes of waking had an approximately 1.6-fold higher depression score ($PRR = 1.576$, 95% $CI = 1.324–1.687$) relative to those who smoke more than 1 hour after waking. This relationship remained significant after adjusting for current and lifetime smoking behavior as well as sociodemographic factors ($PRR = 1.370$, 95% $CI = 1.113, 1.687$).

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institute for General Medical Sciences (NIGMS, URL: <https://www.nigms.nih.gov/>) in the National Institutes of Health (NIH), through grant number P20GM121341 to PI Weimer, which supported AS's contribution. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Conclusions

The latent construct of ND, as assessed by TTFC, may be associated with an additional risk for depression symptoms, beyond that conveyed by smoking behavior alone. This finding can be used for more refined risk prediction for depression among smokers.

Introduction

Among Americans, 8.1% of adults aged 20 and older reported depression in the last 2 weeks during years 2013–2016 [1]. Major depression imposes a high cost burden to society, and functional impairment resulting from depression is greater than other chronic diseases such as diabetes and arthritis [1]. Globally, depression is a substantial cause of disability, affecting over 300 million people [2].

Those with a mental health condition live on average 13 years less than those in the general population, with smoking-related diseases being the largest contributor to these early deaths [3]. The association between smoking and depression is well-known: those with depression are more likely to be smokers, smoke more heavily, and experience nicotine dependence [4], with a bi-directional temporal relationship between smoking and depression [5]. Current smokers have significantly higher depression rates compared to former-smokers and never-smokers [6, 7]. Importantly, among young adults, the increased risk of major depressive disorder (MDD) associated with smoking can be reduced by quitting [8].

In this body of literature, it is important to distinguish nicotine dependence (ND) from smoking *behavior*. While smoking behavior is an objective measure of tobacco *consumption*, ND is a latent construct which indicates the extent of psychological and/or physiological addiction to nicotine [9, 10, 11]. Traditional measures intended to capture smoking addiction typically capture objective smoking behavior (e.g. cigarettes per day, pack-years). However, these measures are markedly imperfect. For example, smoking behavior and ND are separable when comparing different subpopulations of smokers: “chippers” are a subgroup of smokers that do not show signs of dependence, despite extensive and regular smoking history [12]. Conversely, ND symptoms have been consistently reported among some adolescent smokers, even soon after initiation and at low levels of smoking [13–16]. That is, a given smoker can have heavy smoking behavior without ND, and vice versa. Further, traditional measures of smoking behavior (here, cigarettes per day) correlate poorly with biomarkers of smoking such as cotinine, a metabolic byproduct of nicotine [17], possibly due to widely varying smoking topographies (length of inhalation, number of puffs, etc.) across smokers [18, 19] which can result in drastically different levels of nicotine extracted per cigarette [20–24]. Thus, objective smoking behavior provides only limited information about later smoking patterns or smoking exposure.

ND, on the other hand, captures the underlying degree of addiction or dependence. A variety of psychometrically validated scales have been developed to measure ND, including the Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND) [25] and the Heaviness of Smoking Index (HSI) [26] which contain items on objective smoking behavior as well as psychological and/or physiological dimensions of ND such as urgency to restore nicotine levels after abstinence and persistence of nicotine levels during waking hours [27]. A criticism of some ND scales is their reliance on objective smoking behavior and more severe indicators of addiction [28], which some groups (e.g. adolescents) do not meet criteria for, but are nonetheless dependent according to other dimensions [13–16]. Other scales have been developed which do not include

objective behavioral items; for example, the Nicotine Dependence Syndrome Scale assesses five physiological and/or psychological dimensions: drive, priority, tolerance, continuity, and stereotypy, which can each be present without severe or heavy smoking behavior [29]. The item time to first cigarette (TTFC) which is on the FTND and the HIS, is of particular note. Although TTFC appears to be an objective smoking behavior, it is a robust and reliable indicator of overall nicotine dependence [9], possibly because it indicates physiological dependence [11, 17]. When measuring overall ND (and not focusing on individual dimensions of ND), TTFC is the best single-item indicator [9]. Thus, TTFC is a highly efficient indicator of overall ND.

This distinction between smoking behavior and ND is important with respect to a variety of behavioral and health outcomes. ND and smoking behavior have statistically independent and additive relationships with later smoking behavior [13, 14, 30], as well as with smoking-related health outcomes. For example, even after accounting for smoking behaviors, ND remains additionally and significantly associated with a higher risk for lung, larynx, head and neck cancer [31–33], more severe pulmonary impairment [20], less favorable cholesterol profiles [21], and a higher risk for asthma [22].

Several studies have made this important distinction between ND and smoking behavior in examining the relation to depression. Those with depression have higher levels of nicotine dependence [4, 34–39], and vice versa [34, 40]. However, very few studies *controlled for* smoking behavior when examining the association between depression ND [34, 4, 39], meaning that it remains unclear what the unique contributions of ND and smoking behavior are to explaining depression outcomes. Longitudinal research has found that major depression is a predictor of earlier TTFC, controlling for a limited measure of current smoking behavior [36] among Canadian adult smokers. Very little is known about the unique contribution of ND in explaining depression, over and above detailed measures of smoking behavior (including smoking history), among a nationally-representative US sample. If ND proves to explain depression more strongly than objective smoking behavior, this is highly relevant for depression screenings among smokers. Previous research has established precedence that ND is a better predictor of other outcomes (e.g. cessation outcomes and smoking biomarkers) when compared to the fairly weak explanatory power of cigarettes per day [11, 17].

The current study examines the distinct relationship between TTFC a robust and reliable indicator of nicotine dependence [9], and outcomes of depression symptoms (which assesses both the number and severity of individual MDD symptoms) among a nationally-representative sample of current adult smokers in the US, drawn from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), waves 2011–12, 2013–14, and 2015–16. Weighted regressions will examine the *independent* association between TTFC and depression symptoms after controlling for current and lifetime smoking behavior as well as sociodemographic risk factors for smoking.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

Ethical approval for analysis of these existing, publicly available data was given by the University of North Dakota IRB on 11/2017 under project number IRB-201708-021. Sanford Research deemed this study exempt from human subjects research review due to being an analysis of existing data.

Sample

Data were drawn from the large, nationally-representative NHANES survey [26], pooled across years 2011–2012, 2013–2014 and 2015–2016, which are each cross-sectional and

contain independent samples. NHANES is representative of the civilian, noninstitutionalized population in the United States, with each biennial wave consisting of about 10,000 respondents. NHANES collects demographic, dietary, socioeconomic, and health-related questions by interview. NHANES also conducts examinations and laboratory tests for medical, dental and physiological data. The current study focused on $N = 2070$ participants aged 20 years and over who reported current daily smoking, due to availability of data on smoking behavior. Data from the “Demographics” file and the questionnaires “Cigarette Use” and “Mental Health-Depression Screener” were used in this analysis.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets analyzed during the current study are publicly and freely available on the NHANES website, <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/>.

Measures

Major Depressive Disorder (MDD). Outcomes of depression were measured using responses from the NHANES questionnaire “Mental Health-Depression Screener” which was based on the 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) [41,42]. The PHQ-9 incorporates criteria from the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, Fifth Edition (DSM-5) criteria for MDD [43,44], with 9 items assessing symptoms of depression within the last 2 weeks and a 10th item assessing the functional impairment due to these symptoms. Each item was assessed on a 4-point scale ranging from 0 (e.g. “not at all”) to 3 (e.g. “nearly every day”), with larger numbers indicating more depression symptoms. The major outcome variable of depression symptoms was created by summing these 10 items, as recommended by NHANES [45], into a single variable with a possible range of 0–30, with higher numbers indicating more depression symptoms.

For preliminary analyses only, a binary outcome variable was created by coding these 10 items according to the DSM-5 criteria for major depressive disorder [43]. Specifically, the first 9 symptoms were coded as binary based on the symptom being present ‘nearly every day’ (1) vs. ‘not at all’, ‘several days’ or ‘more than half the day’ (0) consistent with the DSM-5 criteria. Next, a final classification of presence vs. absence of MDD criteria was derived based on 1) experiencing 5+ of the 9 symptoms, of which one must be either “depressed mood” or “loss of interest or pleasure,” and 2) experiencing functional impairment due to these symptoms (‘extremely difficult’ vs. ‘not at all’, ‘somewhat’, or ‘very difficult’).

Time To First Cigarette (TTFC). TTFC was assessed in the “Smoking—Cigarette Use” questionnaire using the question “How soon after waking do you smoke?” Original response categories are given on a 4-point scale in 2011–2012 (‘within 5 minutes’, ‘from 6–30 minutes’, ‘from more than 30 minutes to one hour’, and ‘more than one hour’) but on a 7-point scale in years 2013–2014 and 2015–2016 (with 3 additional categories ranging from ‘more than 1 to 2 hours’ to ‘more than 4 hours’). To harmonize the differing response categories, the last 3 responses were collapsed for years 2013–14 and 2015–16 to align the variable with the 2011–2012 version, such that the highest TTFC value was ‘more than one hour.’

Smoking history. Smoking history was also derived from the “Smoking—Cigarette Use” questionnaire, using both current and lifetime measures of smoking behavior. Lifetime smoking history was defined as duration of smoking in years, which was calculated as age of respondent minus the age they reported first starting to smoke regularly. Current smoking behavior was measured as the average number of cigarettes smoked per day during the past 30 days.

Sociodemographic variables. Additional confounding variables used in this study included gender, age, race, education, and income to poverty ratio as indicated by previous

literature [6, 8, 46, 47]. The variable of income to poverty ratio is a measure of family or individual income divided by the poverty specified for that year. The full range was 0–5, and was top-coded by NHANES at 5 to maintain confidentiality.

Statistical analyses

A preliminary logistic regression was used to determine the relationship of TTFC with the presence of MDD criteria. TTFC was not found to be significantly associated with the odds of meeting clinical MDD criteria. These results are not presented in detail due to non-significance and the low number of cases meeting MDD criteria.

The relationship between TTFC and depression symptoms was examined first using an unadjusted, survey-weighted, quasi-Poisson regression model in the statistical software R. A quasi-Poisson model was used due to the depression outcome being defined as a symptom count; additionally, this type of model accounts for overdispersion due to excess 0's in the outcome variable (indicating absence of depressive symptoms). A second model adjusted for both lifetime and current smoking behaviors (years of smoking duration and cigarettes per day, respectively), as well as socio-economic variables (gender, age, race, education, and income to poverty ratio). The strength of the association between TTFC and depression was estimated using prevalence rate ratios 95% confidence intervals and *p*-values.

Results

Descriptive statistics

Table 1 illustrates the summary statistics in the sample, grouped by TTFC category. Those who smoke within 5 minutes of waking are approximately twice as likely (4.5%) to meet criteria for MDD than all other groups (1.7–2.8%), and more likely to have less than a high school education (35.3% vs. 23.2–26.6%). Earlier TTFC also had a monotonic relationship with smoking more cigarettes per day (median = 20 vs. 12 vs. 10 vs. 6 for TTFC within 5 minutes, from 5–30 minutes, from 30–60 minutes, and more than 60 minutes, respectively). However, there was no consistent monotonic relationship between TTFC and smoking duration.

Depression score and TTFC

The unadjusted model indicates that smoking within 5 minutes of waking is significantly associated with a higher depression score by a factor of 1.576, compared to those who smoked more than 1 hour after waking (prevalence rate ratio (PRR) = 1.576, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.324–1.876, *p* < .001). No significant differences in depression symptoms were found when comparing TTFC > 60 minutes (reference group) vs. TTFC between 5–30 minutes (PRR = 1.173, CI = 0.96–1.44, *p* = .128) or TTFC between 30–60 minutes (PRR = 1.144, CI = 0.93–1.41, *p* = .213).

Table 2 shows the association between TTFC and the depression score, after adjusting for current and lifetime smoking behaviors (average cigarettes per day and smoking duration), as well as socio-economic variables (gender, age, race, education, and income to poverty ratio). Participants who smoke within 5 minutes after waking up had a depression score that was significantly higher by a factor of 1.370 relative to those that smoked more than 1 hour after waking (PRR = 1.370, CI = 1.13–1.687, *p* = 0.005) after controlling for current and lifetime smoking behaviors and socio-economic confounders. Those who smoked between 5 and 60 minutes after waking did not significantly differ in their depression scores than those who waited more than 1 hour after smoking.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics by each level of Time To First Cigarette (TTFC) after waking.

Measure		TTFC ≤ 5 min (N = 578)	TTFC 5–30 min (N = 685)	TTFC 30–60 min (N = 422)	TTFC >60min (N = 385)
Depression	Presence	4.5% (N = 26)	1.7% (N = 12)	2.1% (N = 9)	2.8% (N = 11)
	Symptom Count	4 (1–10)	3 (1–7)	3 (1–7)	2 (0–6)
Gender	Male	57.1% (N = 330)	55.9% (N = 383)	55.7% (N = 235)	58.2% (N = 224)
	Female	42.9% (N = 248)	44.3% (N = 302)	44.3% (N = 187)	41.8% (N = 161)
Age		46 (35–56)	48 (34–58)	44 (32–58)	46 (31–60)
Race/ Ethnicity	White, Non-Hispanic	55.0% (N = 318)	55.6% (N = 381)	47.2% (N = 199)	34.0% (N = 131)
	Other-Hispanic	10.2% (N = 59)	9.6% (N = 66)	14.2% (N = 60)	25.2% (N = 97)
	Black, Non-Hispanic	28.2% (N = 163)	25.5% (N = 175)	28.4% (N = 120)	28.1% (N = 108)
	Other	6.6% (N = 38)	9.2% (N = 63)	10.2% (N = 43)	12.7% (N = 49)
Education	Less than 11 th Grade	35.3% (N = 204)	26.6% (N = 182)	23.2% (N = 98)	26.2% (N = 101)
	High School or equivalent	31.3% (N = 181)	31.0% (N = 212)	32.0% (N = 135)	27.8% (N = 107)
	Some College, College Degree or above	33.4% (N = 193)	42.5% (N = 291)	44.8% (N = 189)	46.0% (N = 177)
Income to Poverty Ratio		1.08 (0.67–1.88)	1.23 (0.77–1.71)	1.65 (0.9–2.84)	1.55 (0.88–2.78)
Cigarettes per Day		20 (10–20)	12 (10–20)	10 (6–15)	6 (4–10)
Smoking Duration (Years)		29 (18–40)	30 (16–41)	24 (14–41)	26 (12–39)

Categorical variables are summarized as valid percentage (N) and quantitative variables are summarized as median (interquartile range).

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233656.t001>

Other significant findings from this model included significantly more depression symptoms among females ($PRR = 1.599$, $CI = 1.424–1.795$, $p < 0.001$) and the inverse relationship between income-to-poverty ratio and depression symptoms ($PRR = 0.873$, $CI = 0.829–0.918$, $p < 0.001$). Neither current nor lifetime smoking behavior was significantly associated with depression in the multivariate model.

Table 2. Weighted poisson regression examining the association between TTFC and depression symptom counts.

Measure		PRR	95% CI	p-value
TTFC	≤ 5 min.	1.370	1.113–1.687	0.005
	5–30 min.	1.088	0.875–1.353	0.452
	30–60 min.	1.142	0.926–1.406	0.222
	>60 min.	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)
Cigarettes per Day		1.007	0.996–1.016	0.192
Smoking Duration (Years)		1.005	0.995–1.015	0.346
Gender	Male	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)
	Female	1.599	1.424–1.795	<0.001
Age		0.994	0.983–1.004	0.260
Race/Ethnicity	White, Non-Hispanic	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)
	Other, Hispanic	1.079	0.906–1.285	0.399
	Black, Non-Hispanic	0.939	0.829–1.062	0.324
	Other	1.160	0.919–1.463	0.219
Education	Less than 11 th grade	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)
	High School/GED	0.946	0.802–1.115	0.514
	Some College, College Degree or above	0.859	0.708–1.042	0.132
Income to Poverty Ratio		0.873	0.829–0.918	<0.001

PRR (prevalence rate ratio), CI (confidence interval), TTFC (Time to First Cigarette). Min (minutes), hr/hrs (hour/hours). Bold: $p < .05$.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233656.t002>

Discussion

This study finds that after controlling for smoking behaviors and socioeconomic variables, there is an independent relationship between TTFC, a key indicator of ND, and the number of depression symptoms experienced. Specifically, those who smoke their first cigarette within 5 minutes of waking have on average a 1.37-fold higher count of depression symptoms relative to those who smoke their first cigarette more than one hour after waking.

The findings in this study confirm previous research characterizing the relationship between depressive symptoms and nicotine dependence [4, 6, 8, 13, 36, 47]. The current study extends this existing work by parsing out the statistically independent contributions of TTFC (a latent construct assessing *addiction*) versus objective smoking *behavior* and showing TTFC to have a unique, additional relationship with the number of depression symptoms. However, these findings only held true for depression *symptom count* but not for the *likelihood* of depression, in contrast with previous literature [6, 7]. This discrepancy could be due to the small number of participants who met the diagnostic criteria and/or the use of self-reported nature of depression symptoms, as opposed to clinical diagnoses by a trained professional. Further research using independent samples and longitudinal data is needed to further research the relationship between ND and depression outcomes.

A possible explanation of the current findings could be that smokers are able to titrate the nicotine extracted from each cigarette by altering their smoking topography (length of inhalation, number of puffs, etc.) [18,19]. More nicotine-dependent smokers may therefore extract more nicotine from each cigarette compared to non-dependent smokers with a similar smoking history [20–24]. The resulting higher exposure to nicotine may have direct physiological effects in the brain, consistent with previous research suggesting that TTFC measures physiological aspects of ND such as sensitivity to depletion of nicotine concentrations from overnight abstinence [11, 17, 48, 49]. Nicotine works similarly to other addictive drugs by activating reward pathways [50]. A salient symptom of nicotine dependence is withdrawal, which can include anxiety, restlessness, agitation and impaired concentration [50]. While the act of smoking can relieve these symptoms and give the feeling of calm and improve concentration, those with mental illness can interpret these effects as relieving the symptoms of their mental illness [50]. Thus, individuals with depressive symptomatology may smoke in order to self-medicate their mental health symptoms, but this may result in higher nicotine extraction. In turn, this exacerbates the addiction cycle by shortening the latency between cigarettes in order to relieve nicotine withdrawal symptoms [51].

Alternatively, nicotine addiction and depression may be associated through a common cause, consistent with prior research concluding that there is no direct causal relationship, but rather their association arises through another common factor [34] such as familial or genetic factors [5].

Our findings showed a non-monotonic relationship between TTFC and the number of depression symptoms, such that only those who smoke within 5 minutes of waking are different from those who smoke more than 1 hour after waking. The reasons for this are unclear, but one possibility is a threshold effect such that the association with depression symptoms only manifests at a certain level of ND. Threshold effects have been reported in other related research, e.g. the relationship between TTFC and cotinine levels [17]. Further research is needed to examine whether there is a continuous effect of TTFC on depression symptoms, or whether this represents a threshold effect.

Smoking can hinder mental illness treatment by limiting the amount of drug uptake used in many antipsychotics and antidepressants, which could result in higher dosing and possible medication toxicity if the individual attempts to quit smoking [50]. Smokers who are more

nicotine-dependent may smoke more heavily and more persistently [48], thus potentially compromising pharmaceutical mental health treatment and in turn exacerbating their depression symptoms. Taken together, this could explain the current and previous findings that indicators of ND are associated with more depression symptoms [4, 6, 8, 11, 47].

Researchers have found smoking cessation to be more complicated for those suffering from depression. Adults with major depression disorder have lower cessation rates and doubled relapse rates [47]. However, by quitting smoking, individuals may be at reduced risk for recurrence of mood or anxiety disorder compared to those that do not quit [52]. Taken together, smokers with depression stand to reap additional benefits by quitting smoking, but given the increased difficulty of doing so for this population, specialized cessation efforts are needed which are tailored to smokers suffering from depression [39]. Similar issues may exist for those experiencing depression symptoms that fall short of the threshold for a clinical diagnosis, as may be the case with the current sample.

Strengths and limitations

A strength of this study the use of NHANES data which allows for a large, nationally-representative sample of adult smokers in the US. The sample size is greatly reduced due to the inclusion criteria of our study; however, the use of survey-weighted analysis indicates that these results are generalizable to the larger population of adult current smokers in the U.S. Nevertheless, the sample size is a limitation in that only 58 participants met DMS-5 criteria for binary major depression (preliminary results). Given the complexity of our fully-adjusted model, this low sample size may have contributed to the non-significant findings of this preliminary logistic regression.

Several limitations should be noted. First, NHANES collects data for depression symptoms by self-report, which may be biased. Second, these data are cross-sectional and thus the temporal relationship and causality between nicotine dependence and depression symptoms cannot be established in this study. Third, more detailed measures of ND were not available in NHANES. Though TTFC is the best single-item indicator of overall ND [9], this precluded an examination of whether certain dimensions of ND drove the association with more depression symptoms. Further research using larger sample sizes and more objective measures of depression such as clinical diagnoses is needed to examine whether nicotine dependence is associated with the likelihood of clinical depression.

Implications for public health

This research finds that nicotine dependence is independently associated with higher depression symptom counts, beyond the risk imposed by smoking *behavior* alone. Including TTFC in screening assessments could help more precisely identify those at risk for depression symptoms. Further, considering the long-term physical as well as mental health benefits of quitting among those with depression, specialized intervention efforts are needed to achieve successful outcomes given the increased difficulty faced by this population in successful cessation.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Arielle S. Selya.

Formal analysis: Tiffany Bainter.

Methodology: Arielle S. Selya, S. Cristina Oancea.

Supervision: Arielle S. Selya, S. Cristina Oancea.

Writing – original draft: Tiffany Bainter, Arielle S. Selya.

Writing – review & editing: Arielle S. Selya, S. Cristina Oancea.

References

1. Brody D. J., Pratt L. A., & Hughes J. P. (2018). Prevalence of Depression Among Adults Aged 20 and Over: United States, 2013–2016. *NCHS Data Brief*(303), 1–8. PMID: 29638213
2. WHO. (2018). Depression: Fact Sheet. Retrieved from <http://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/depression>
3. Lawrence D., Hancock K. J., & Kisely S. (2013). The gap in life expectancy from preventable physical illness in psychiatric patients in Western Australia: retrospective analysis of population based registers. *BMJ*, 346, f2539. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f2539> PMID: 23694688
4. Leventhal A. M., Zvolensky M. J., & Schmidt N. B. (2011). Smoking-related correlates of depressive symptom dimensions in treatment-seeking smokers. *Nicotine Tob Res*, 13(8), 668–676. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntr056> PMID: 21471305
5. Kendler K. S., Neale M. C., MacLean C. J., Heath A. C., Eaves L. J., & Kessler R. C. (1993). Smoking and major depression. A causal analysis. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 50(1), 36–43. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1993.01820130038007> PMID: 8422220
6. Goodwin R. D., Wall M. M., Garey L., Zvolensky M. J., Dierker L., Galea S., et al. (2017). Depression among current, former, and never smokers from 2005 to 2013: The hidden role of disparities in depression in the ongoing tobacco epidemic. *Drug Alcohol Depend*, 173, 191–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2016.11.038> PMID: 28209289
7. Munafo M. R., Hitsman B., Rende R., Metcalfe C., & Niaura R. (2008). Effects of progression to cigarette smoking on depressed mood in adolescents: evidence from the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health. *Addiction*, 103(1), 162–171. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2007.02052.x> PMID: 18031565
8. Bakhshaie J., Zvolensky M. J., & Goodwin R. D. (2015). Cigarette smoking and the onset and persistence of depression among adults in the United States: 1994–2005. *Compr Psychiatry*, 60, 142–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsy.2014.10.012> PMID: 25882595
9. Fagerstrom K. (2003). Time to first cigarette; the best single indicator of tobacco dependence? *Monaldi Arch. Chest. Dis.*, 59(1), 91–94. PMID: 14533289
10. Shiffman S., Waters A., & Hickcox M. (2004). The nicotine dependence syndrome scale: a multidimensional measure of nicotine dependence. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*, 6(2), 327–348. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1462220042000202481> PMID: 15203807
11. Branstetter S. A., Muscat J. E., & Mercincacage M. (2020, January). Time to First Cigarette: A Potential Clinical Screening Tool for Nicotine Dependence. *American Society of Addiction Medicine*, Forthcoming. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1097/adm.0000000000000610>
12. Shiffman S. Tobacco “chippers”—individual differences in tobacco dependence. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 1989; 97(4):539–47.
13. Dierker L., Hedeker D., Rose J., Selya A., & Mermelstein R. (2015). Early emerging nicotine dependence symptoms in adolescence predict daily smoking in young adulthood. *Drug & Alcohol Dependence*, 151, 267–271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2015.03.009> PMID: 25840749
14. Dierker L., & Mermelstein R. (2010). Early emerging nicotine-dependence symptoms: a signal of propensity for chronic smoking behavior in adolescents. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 156(5), 818–822. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2009.11.044> PMID: 20097354
15. DiFranza JR, Savageau JA, Fletcher K, Ockene JK, Rigotti NA, McNeill AD, et al. Measuring the loss of autonomy over nicotine use in adolescents: the DANDY (Development and Assessment of Nicotine Dependence in Youths) study. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2002 Apr; 156(4):397–403.
16. O’Loughlin J, Karp I, Koulis T, Paradis G, DiFranza J. Determinants of first puff and daily cigarette smoking in adolescents. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2009 Sep 1; 170(5):585–97. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwp179> PMID: 19635735
17. Muscat JE, Stellman SD, Caraballo RS, Richie JP. Time to first cigarette after waking predicts cotinine levels. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2009 Dec; 18(12):3415–20. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-09-0737> PMID: 19959690
18. Kassel J. D., Greenstein J. E., Evatt D. P., Wardle M. C., Yates M. C., Veilleux J. C., et al. (2007). Smoking topography in response to denicotinized and high-yield nicotine cigarettes in adolescent smokers. *J Adolesc Health*, 40(1), 54–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2006.08.006> PMID: 17185206

19. Veilleux J. C., Kassel J. D., Heinz A. J., Braun A., Wardle M. C., Greenstein J., et al. (2011). Predictors and sequelae of smoking topography over the course of a single cigarette in adolescent light smokers. *J. Adolesc. Health*, 48(2), 176–181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2010.06.015> PMID: 21257117
20. Selya A. S., Oancea S. C., & Thapa S. (2016). Time to First Cigarette, a Proxy of Nicotine Dependence, Increases the Risk of Pulmonary Impairment, Independently of Current and Lifetime Smoking Behavior. *Nicotine Tob Res*, 18(6), 1431–1439. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntv291> PMID: 26729736
21. Selya A. S., & Hesse N. D. (2017). Time to first cigarette and serum cholesterol levels. *Social Science & Medicine*, 174, 213–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2016.12.014> PMID: 28041641
22. Selya A. S., Thapa S., & Mehta G. (2018). Earlier smoking after waking and the risk of asthma: a cross-sectional study using NHANES data. *BMC Pulm Med*, 18(1), 102. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12890-018-0672-y> PMID: 29914472
23. Jiménez-Ruiz C. A., Miravittles M., Sobradillo V., Gabriel R., Viejo J. L., Masa J. F., et al. (2004). Can cumulative tobacco consumption, FTND score, and carbon monoxide concentration in expired air be predictors of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease? *Nicotine Tob. Res.*, 6(4), 649–653. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14622200410001727948> PMID: 15370161
24. Kim D. K., Hersh C. P., Washko G. R., Hokanson J. E., Lynch D. A., Newell J. D., et al. (2011). Epidemiology, radiology, and genetics of nicotine dependence in COPD. *Respir. Res.*, 12, 9-9921-9912–9929. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1465-9921-12-9> PMID: 21232152
25. Heatherton TF, Kozlowski LT, Frecker RC, Fagerström KO. The Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence: a revision of the Fagerström Tolerance Questionnaire. *Br J Addict.* 1991 Sep; 86(9):1119–27. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.1991.tb01879.x> PMID: 1932883
26. Heatherton TF, Kozlowski LT, Frecker RC, Rickert W, Robinson J. Measuring the heaviness of smoking: using self-reported time to the first cigarette of the day and number of cigarettes smoked per day. *Br J Addict.* 1989 Jul; 84(7):791–9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.1989.tb03059.x> PMID: 2758152
27. Radzins A, Gallo JJ, Epstein DH, Gorelick DA, Cadet JL, Uhl GE, et al. A factor analysis of the Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND). *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2003 Apr; 5(2):255–240. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1462220031000073289> PMID: 12745499
28. Piper ME, McCarthy DE, Bolt DM, Smith SS, Lerman C, Benowitz N, et al. Assessing dimensions of nicotine dependence: an evaluation of the Nicotine Dependence Syndrome Scale (NDSS) and the Wisconsin Inventory of Smoking Dependence Motives (WISDM). *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2008 Jun; 10(6):1009–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14622200802097563> PMID: 18584464
29. Shiffman S, Waters A, Hickcox M. The nicotine dependence syndrome scale: a multidimensional measure of nicotine dependence. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2004 Apr; 6(2):327–48. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1462220042000202481> PMID: 15203807
30. Kandel DB, Chen K. Extent of smoking and nicotine dependence in the United States: 1991–1993. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2000 Aug; 2(3):263–74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14622200050147538> PMID: 11082827
31. Muscat J., Liu H.-P., Livelyberger C., Richie J. Jr., & Stellman S. (2012). The nicotine dependence phenotype, time to first cigarette, and larynx cancer risk. *Cancer Causes Control*, 23(3), 497–503. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-012-9909-x> PMID: 22367700
32. Muscat J. E., Ahn K., Richie J. P. Jr., & Stellman S. D. (2011). Nicotine dependence phenotype and lung cancer risk. *Cancer*, 117(23), 5370–5376. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.26236> PMID: 21826644
33. Muscat J. E., Ahn K., Richie J. P., & Stellman S. D. (2011). Nicotine dependence phenotype, time to first cigarette, and risk of head and neck cancer. *Cancer*, 117(23), 5377–5382. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.26235> PMID: 21826643
34. Breslau N., Kilbey M. M., & Andreski P. (1993). Nicotine dependence and major depression. New evidence from a prospective investigation. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 50(1), 31–35. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1993.01820130033006> PMID: 8422219
35. Dierker L., Rose J., Selya A., Piasecki T. M., Hedeker D., & Mermelstein R. (2015). Depression and nicotine dependence from adolescence to young adulthood. *Addict Behav*, 41, 124–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2014.10.004> PMID: 25452055
36. Khaled M. S., Bulloch G. A., Williams V. J., Lavorato H. D., & Patten B. S. (2011, November). Khaled Salma M., Bulloch Andrew G., Williams Jeanne V. A., Lavorato Dina H., Scott B. Patten, Major Depression Is a Risk Factor for Shorter Time to First Cigarette Irrespective of the Number of Cigarettes Smoked Per Day: Evidence From a National Population. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*, 1059–1067. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntv157> PMID: 21832274
37. Matcham F., Carroll A., Chung N., Crawford V., Galloway J., Hames A., et al. (2017). Smoking and common mental disorders in patients with chronic conditions: An analysis of data collected via a web-based screening system. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*, 45, 12–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2016.11.006> PMID: 28274333

38. McKenzie M., Olsson C. A., Jorm A. F., Romaniuk H., & Patton G. C. (2010). Association of adolescent symptoms of depression and anxiety with daily smoking and nicotine dependence in young adulthood: findings from a 10-year longitudinal study. *Addiction*, 105(9), 1652–1659. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2010.03002.x> PMID: 20707783
39. Mickens L., Greenberg J., Ameringer K. J., Brightman M., Sun P., & Leventhal A. M. (2011). Associations between depressive symptom dimensions and smoking dependence motives. *Eval Health Prof*, 34(1), 81–102. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0163278710383562> PMID: 21059689
40. Rey R., D'Amato T., Boyer L., Brunel L., Aouizerate B., Berna F., et al. (2017). Nicotine dependence is associated with depression and childhood trauma in smokers with schizophrenia: results from the FACE-SZ dataset. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*, 267(6), 567–577. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00406-017-0779-9> PMID: 28389889
41. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2001 Sep; 16(9):606–13. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x> PMID: 11556941
42. NHANES. (2015–16). National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), Mental Health—Depression Screener. from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention https://wwwn.cdc.gov/Nchs/Nhanes/2015-2016/DPQ_I.htm
43. American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 5th Edition: DSM-5. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
44. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB. Validation and utility of a self-report version of PRIME-MD: the PHQ primary care study. Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders. Patient Health Questionnaire. *JAMA*. 1999 Nov 10; 282(18):1737–44. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.282.18.1737> PMID: 10568646
45. Johnson C. L., Paulose-Ram R., Ogden C. L., Carroll M. D., Kruszon-Moran D., Dohrmann S. M., et al. R. (2013). National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey: Analytic guidelines, 1999–2010., from National Center for Health Statistics
46. Burns A., Strawbridge J. D., Clancy L., & Doyle F. (2017). Exploring smoking, mental health and smoking-related disease in a nationally representative sample of older adults in Ireland—A retrospective secondary analysis. *J Psychosom Res*, 98, 78–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2017.05.005> PMID: 28554376
47. Weinberger A. H., Kashan R. S., Shpigel D. M., Esan H., Taha F., Lee C. J., et al. (2017). Depression and cigarette smoking behavior: A critical review of population-based studies. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse*, 43(4), 416–431. <https://doi.org/10.3109/00952990.2016.1171327> PMID: 27286288
48. Transdisciplinary Tobacco Use Research Center (TTURC) Tobacco Dependence Phenotype Workgroup, Baker T. B., Piper M. E., McCarthy D. E., Bolt D. M., Smith S. S., . . . Toll B. A. (2007). Time to first cigarette in the morning as an index of ability to quit smoking: implications for nicotine dependence. *Nicotine Tob. Res.*, 9 Suppl 4, S555–570. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14622200701673480> PMID: 18067032
49. Walton D, Newcombe R, Li J, Tu D, DiFranza JR. Stages of physical dependence in New Zealand smokers: Prevalence and correlates. *Addictive Behaviors*. 2016 Dec 1; 63:161–4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2016.07.022> PMID: 27513594
50. Williams J. M., Stroup T. S., Brunette M. F., & Raney L. E. (2014). Integrated Care: Tobacco Use and Mental Illness: A Wake-Up Call for Psychiatrists. *Psychiatric Services*, 65(12), 1406–1408. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201400235> PMID: 25270381
51. DiFranza J. R., Wellman R. J., Mermelstein R., Pbert L., Klein J. D., Sargent J. D., et al. P. (2011). The natural history and diagnosis of nicotine addiction. *Current Pediatric Reviews*, 7, 88–96.
52. Cavazos-Rehg P. A., Breslau N., Dorothy H., Krauss M. J., Spitznagel E. L., Grucza R. A., et al. (2014). Smoking cessation is associated with lower rates of mood/anxiety and alcohol use disorder. *Psychological Medicine*, 2523–2535. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291713003206> PMID: 25055171