Closure in antireflux mucoplasty using anchor prong clips: dead space–eliminating technique

Kazuki Yamamoto, MD, PhD, Haruhiro Inoue, MD, PhD, FASGE, Ippei Tanaka, MD, MPH, Kei Ushikubo, MD, Hiroki Okada, MD, Yohei Nishikawa, MD, Kaori Owada, MD, Yuto Shimamura, MD, PhD, FASGE

PURPOSE

Antireflux mucosectomy and antireflux mucosal ablation are effective for patients with proton pump inhibitor refractory-dependent GERD,¹⁻⁴ as confirmed by meta-analyses.⁵⁻⁷ However, these procedures have limitations, including a 3- to 4-week healing period for artificial ulcers and about a 13.0% incidence of transient strictures. Postoperative bleeding, occurring in 5% of cases, may persist despite acid-suppressing medication.⁴⁸ To address concerns, we implemented antireflux mucoplasty (ARM-P)⁹ and demonstrated its effectiveness.¹⁰ Additionally, we have successfully completed over 40 cases with no postprocedure bleeding or stenosis. However, our study used manually crafted closure methods like Loop-9¹¹ and Loop-11,⁹ which may pose challenges for those less familiar with their application. The MANTIS clip (Boston Scientific, Marlborough, Mass, USA) ensures secure defect closure with superior tissue-grasping capabilities. Its anchor prongs facilitate effective tissue apposition using the drag technique (Fig. 1). However, in cases of significant mucosal defects, using clips to close the contralateral mucosa may unintentionally create a dead space (Fig. 2), potentially causing delayed wound healing.¹² Recently, the concept of using anchor prong clips to prevent dead space has emerged for ESD defect closure.^{13,14} We have developed a technique called the dead space-eliminating technique (DET), which uses anchor pronged clips (Fig. 3). Here, we present a case applying anchor pronged clips for DET during ARM-P.

This study was approved by the institutional review board of Showa University (approval number: 1205-6, 20 23-089-B).

Abbreviations: ARM-P, antireflux mucoplasty; DET, dead spaceeliminating technique.

Copyright © 2024 American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). 2468-4481

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vgie.2024.03.010

Digestive Diseases Center, Showa University Koto Toyosu Hospital, Tokyo, Japan.

CASE

A 59-year-old woman who had been experiencing acid reflux despite treatment with potassium-competitive acid blocker underwent a comprehensive diagnostic evaluation, including upper endoscopy, high-resolution esophageal manometry, and 24-hour pH-impedance monitoring. The upper endoscopy revealed a Hill grade II hiatal hernia (Fig. 4). Pathological acid reflux was confirmed through MII-pH. Pretreatment symptomatic scores were as follows: GERD-HRQL¹⁵ 25/50 and F-scale¹⁶ 28/48.

PROCEDURE

Mucosectomy

The mucosectomy procedure was performed using EMR with a cap-fitted panendoscope. A therapeutic endoscope (H290T; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan), equipped with a distal attachment (MH-463; Olympus) and an electrosurgical snare (SD-210L-25; Olympus) was used. This approach successfully removed approximately one-third of the mucosal circumference along the lesser curvature (Fig. 5).

Defect closure

To replace the distal attachment for clipping, a super-soft hood (Space Adjuster; TOP, Tokyo, Japan)¹⁷ was used. Closure of the mucosal defect was performed using the DET with anchor pronged clips. This involved securing a firm grasp on the edges of the mucosa and submucosa using an anchor prong, followed by gently moving the clip to the contralateral side through a drag technique. Once the clip reached the edge, it was opened, closed over the defect, and deployed. The initial clip, deployed on the defect, significantly reduced the size of the large defect. Subsequently, a second clip was deployed from the opposite side of the first clip, near the edge of the other side. A third clip, anchored from the side of the first clip, grasped the defect. (Video 1, available online at www.videogie.org). This DET technique was continued in a zigzag pattern, ensuring complete defect closure without any dead space (Figs. 6 and 7). The operation, from submucosal injection to deployment of the last anchor pronged clip, lasted 36 minutes, with a total of 8 clips used.





Figure 1. The anchor pronged clip excels in tissue grasping, ensuring secure defect closure.



Figure 2. Closing large mucosal defects with clips on the contralateral mucosa may inadvertently result in the formation of a dead space.

Outcome

No adverse events were observed. A follow-up endoscopy 2 months later revealed narrowing of the hiatal esophageal hernia due to wound scarring (Fig. 8). Following the procedure, significant improvements were noted in all scores: The GERD-HRQL score improved to 17/50, and the F-scale showed improvement to 17/48.

CONCLUSION

The successful dead space-free closure in ARM-P led to a favorable outcome, suggesting that DET with anchor

pronged clips could be promising for suturing large mucosal defects.

DISCLOSURE

Dr Inoue is an advisor for Olympus Corporation and TOP Corporation. He has also received education grants from Olympus Corporation. All other authors disclosed no financial relationships relevant to this publication.



Figure 3. A comparison by illustration of the dead space-eliminating technique and conventional closure techniques.



Figure 4. The upper endoscopy revealed a Hill grade II hiatal hernia.



Figure 5. The mucosectomy procedure used the cap-fitted panendoscope technique, removing about one-third of the mucosal circumference along the lesser curvature.



Figure 6. The mucosal defect was closed using the dead space–eliminating technique with anchor pronged clips in a zigzag pattern, ensuring complete closure without any dead space.



Figure 7. Simplified illustration demonstrating the dead space-eliminating technique.



Figure 8. Endoscopy conducted 2 months after the procedure indicated a tightening of the hernia and reshaping of the mucosal flap valve.

REFERENCES

- Inoue H, Ito H, Ikeda H, et al. Anti-reflux mucosectomy for gastroesophageal reflux disease in the absence of hiatus hernia: a pilot study. Ann Gastroenterol 2014;27:346-51.
- Sumi K, Inoue H, Kobayashi Y, et al. Endoscopic treatment of proton pump inhibitor-refractory gastroesophageal reflux disease with antireflux mucosectomy: experience of 109 cases. Dig Endosc 2021;33: 347-54.
- **3.** Inoue H, Tanabe M, de Santiago ER, et al. Anti-reflux mucosal ablation (ARMA) as a new treatment for gastroesophageal reflux refractory to proton pump inhibitors: a pilot study. Endosc Int Open 2020;8: E133-8.
- Shimamura Y, Inoue H, Tanabe M, et al. Clinical outcomes of anti-reflux mucosal ablation for gastroesophageal reflux disease: an international bi-institutional study. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2024;39:149-56.
- Rodriguez de Santiago E, Sanchez-Vegazo CT, Penas B, et al. Antireflux mucosectomy (ARMS) and antireflux mucosal ablation (ARMA) for gastroesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Endosc Int Open 2021;9:E1740-51.
- Garg R, Mohammed A, Singh A, et al. Anti-reflux mucosectomy for refractory gastroesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Endosc Int Open 2022;10:E854-64.

- Yeh JH, Lee CT, Hsu MH, et al. Antireflux mucosal intervention (ARMI) procedures for refractory gastroesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Therap Adv Gastroenterol 2022;15:175628 48221094959.
- Hernandez Mondragon OV, Zamarripa Mottu RA, Garcia Contreras LF, et al. Clinical feasibility of a new antireflux ablation therapy on gastroesophageal reflux disease (with video). Gastrointest Endosc 2020;92: 1190-201.
- Inoue H, Yamamoto K, Navarro MJ, et al. Antireflux mucoplasty, an evolution of endoscopic antireflux therapy for refractory GERD. Video-GIE 2023;8:435-40.
- Inoue H, Yamamoto K, Shimamura Y, et al. Pilot study on anti-reflux mucoplasty: advancing endoscopic anti-reflux therapy for gastroesophageal reflux disease. Dig Endosc 2024;36:690-8.
- Inoue H, Tanabe M, Shimamura Y, et al. A novel endoscopic pursestring suture technique, "loop 9," for gastrointestinal defect closure: a pilot study. Endoscopy 2022;54:158-62.
- Nomura T, Sugimoto S, Temma T, et al. Suturing techniques with endoscopic clips and special devices after endoscopic resection. Dig Endosc 2023;35:287-301.
- Motomura D, Toyonaga T, Takayama H, et al. Endoscopic double-layered suturing: an updated technique for clip closure of large mucosal defects after endoscopic submucosal dissection. VideoGIE 2024;9:95-8.

- 14. Nishiyama N, Matsui T, Nakatani K, et al. Novel strategy of hold-anddrag clip closure with mantis-like claw for post-gastric endoscopic submucosal dissection defect of <30 mm. Endoscopy 2023;55: E1244-5.
- 15. Velanovich V. The development of the GERD-HRQL symptom severity instrument. Dis Esophagus 2007;20:130-4.
- Kusano M, Shimoyama Y, Sugimoto S, et al. Development and evaluation of FSSG: frequency scale for the symptoms of GERD. J Gastroenterol 2004;39:888-91.
- **17.** Fujiyoshi Y, Shimamura Y, Mosko JD, et al. Endoscopic submucosal dissection using a new super-soft hood and the multipoint traction technique. VideoGIE 2020;5:274-7.

Submit to VideoGIE

VideoGIE is now indexed in PubMed Central.

VideoGIE is an Open Access, online-only journal indexed in PubMed Central. Submit video cases of endoscopic procedures used in the study, diagnosis, and treatment of digestive diseases.

VideoGIE publishes the following article types:

- *Case Reports:* Reports of the diagnosis and management of digestive diseases using a single case.
- *Case Series:* Reports of the diagnosis and management of digestive diseases using 3 or more cases.
- *Tools and Techniques:* Educational videos demonstrating the use of a particular endoscopic tool or technique. The goal of this section is to help trainees, endoscopy nurses, and technicians learn how best to use the tools of endoscopy for high-quality care.
- All manuscripts must be submitted online at http://www.editorialmanager.com/vgie