CANCER EPIDEMIOLOGY



Low-dose aspirin and risk of gastric and oesophageal cancer: A population-based study in the United Kingdom using The Health Improvement Network

Luis A. García Rodríguez¹ | Montse Soriano-Gabarró² | Pareen Vora² | Lucía Cea Soriano³

¹Spanish Centre for Pharmacoepidemiologic Research (CEIFE), Madrid, Spain

²Department of Epidemiology, Bayer AG, Berlin, Germany

³Department of Public Health and Maternal and Child Health, Faculty of Medicine, Complutense University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain

Correspondence

Luis A. García Rodríguez, Spanish Centre for Pharmacoepidemiologic Research (CEIFE), Almirante 28, 28004 Madrid, Spain. Email: lagarcia@ceife.es

Abstract

There is increasing interest regarding potential protective effects of low-dose aspirin against various gastrointestinal cancers. We aimed to quantify the association between use of low-dose aspirin and risk of gastric/oesophageal cancer using a population-based primary care database in the UK. Between January 2005 and December 2015, we identified a cohort of 223 640 new users of low-dose aspirin (75-300 mg/day) and a matched cohort of nonusers at the start of follow-up from The Health Improvement Network. Cohorts were followed to identify incident cases of gastric/oesophageal cancer. Nested case-control analyses were conducted and adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated for current vs nonuse of low-dose aspirin using logistic regression. Current use was defined as when low-dose aspirin lasted 0 to 90 days before the index date (event date for cases, random date for controls) and previous duration was ≥1 year. We identified 727 incident cases of gastric cancer and 1394 incident cases of oesophageal cancer. ORs (95% CIs) were 0.46 (0.38-0.57) for gastric cancer and 0.59 (0.51-0.69) for oesophageal cancer. The effect remained consistent with no clear change seen between previous duration of low-dose aspirin use of 1-3, 3-5 or >5 years. The reduced risks was seen with 75 mg/day, and effects were consistent in lag-time analyses. In conclusion, our results indicate that use of low-dose aspirin is associated with a 54% reduced risk of gastric cancer and a 41% reduced risk of oesophageal cancer as supported by mechanistic data.

KEYWORDS

cohort, gastric cancer, low-dose aspirin, nested case-control, oesophageal cancer

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence intervals; COX, cyclooxygenase; CRC, colorectal cancer; GP, general practitioner; HES, Hospital Episode Statistics; OR, odds ratios; OTC, over-the-counter; RCT, randomised controlled trials; THIN, The Health Improvement Network; UK, United Kingdom.

1 | INTRODUCTION

In addition to the benefits of daily low-dose aspirin for the prevention of cardiovascular disease (CVD), there is strong evidence from meta-

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. International Journal of Cancer published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of UICC

analysis of CVD randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and from observational studies that daily low-dose aspirin reduces the risk of colorectal cancer (CRC) by about 30% to 40%.¹⁻⁷ Several lines of evidence indicate that the central mechanism for low-dose aspirin's protective effect against CRC-the permanent inhibition of cyclooxygenase (COX)-I enzyme in platelets, leading to suppression of thromboxane A₂ synthesis and reduced platelet activation—is the same through which its cardiovascular benefits are mediated.^{8,9}

Although most evidence for low-dose aspirin effects in the prevention of cancer is for CRC, there is increasing interest in the chemoprotective effects of low-dose aspirin against other gastrointestinal cancers, in particular gastric and oesophageal cancer. These effects may be mediated through a similar mechanism of action on the gastrointestinal mucosa as with CRC.8 Survival rates of these two cancers-the fifth and seventh most commonly diagnosed cancers worldwide, respectively,¹⁰ are poor¹¹⁻¹⁴ with diagnosis commonly at advanced stage at presentation. In the United Kingdom (UK), over half of patients have stage III or IV disease at diagnosis^{15,16} and only around half are still alive 1 year postdiagnosis.^{12,13} Further chemoprotective benefits of low-dose aspirin, in addition to the established benefits in CVD and CRC prophylaxis, could therefore potentially further improve the overall balance of benefits and bleeding risks for low-dose aspirin. In an analysis of three aspirin CVD trials with 20-year posttrial follow-up,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Rothwell et al²⁰ found that low-dose aspirin use at baseline was associated with a 60% reduction in death from oesophageal cancer and a 30% reduction in death from gastric cancer.

The majority of observational studies similarly support substantial reductions in risk of mortality³ and incidence^{3,21-25} of gastric/ oesophageal cancer with low-dose aspirin use, yet confidence in the existing data would be strengthened by similar findings from further population-based studies with a robust study design to minimise bias and confounding. Furthermore, questions remain regarding the effects of low-dose aspirin duration and dose on gastric/oesophageal cancer risk.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study design

Using primary care data from the UK, we conducted a populationbased cohort study involving the follow-up of a cohort of new-users of low-dose aspirin (75-300 mg/day) and a matched cohort of nonusers of low-dose aspirin at the start of follow-up to identify incident cases of gastric and oesophageal cancer. The matching served to minimise bias caused by differences between users and nonusers of low-dose aspirin at the time that treatment was initiated. As exposure to low-dose aspirin can change during a long follow-up period, we subsequently performed a nested case-control analysis to more accurately evaluate the effect of low-dose aspirin exposure over time. Our study design has been implemented in previous studies evaluating the associations between low-dose aspirin use and clinical outcomes.4,26,27

What's new?

Low-dose aspirin may help protect against the development of gastric and oesophageal cancers. This population-based study using data from primary care electronic health records in the United Kingdom lends further support to that idea. Compared with no use of low-dose aspirin, daily use of lowdose aspirin (75–300 mg) for at least one year was associated with a 54 percent reduction in gastric cancer risk and a 41 percent reduction in oesophageal cancer risk. No clear difference in effect was observed between low-dose aspirin use for one to three years versus more than three years.

2.2 | Data sources

We used The Heath Improvement Network (THIN) primary care database, which is validated and representative of the UK demographic.^{28,29} The database contains the longitudinal electronic health records (EHRs) of approximately 6% of the UK population, with approximately 3 million individuals currently alive and registered with a participating general practice. The database captures information recorded by general practitioners (GPs) and other practice staff as part of routine patient care. Patient data are entered using the Read code system-the comprehensive coded thesaurus used by clinicians in the UK.³⁰ Information from secondary care received via email or letter is also recorded. The Health Improvement Network is a valid data source for studying low-dose aspirin use in the UK because all prescriptions issued by GPs are automatically recorded in the patient's EHR, and while low-dose aspirin is available over-the-counter (OTC) in the UK, we have previously shown that misclassification of lowdose aspirin in THIN due to unrecorded use of OTC low-dose aspirin is minimal.³¹ Acquisition of THIN data was provided to the Centro Español de Investigación Farmacoepidemiológica (CEIFE) by IQVIA under the UK's National Health Service South-East multicenter research committee approval in 2003. The study protocol was approved by an Independent Scientific Research Committee for THIN (reference 17THIN059 A1). No individual patient consent was required because the study used de-identified data provided by patients as a part of their routine primary care.

2.3 | Identification of the study cohorts

A flowchart depicting the study design is shown in Figure S1. We identified all individuals aged 40 to 89 years in THIN between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2015 (study entry period) with at least 2 years' registration with their GP and at least 1 year of recorded prescription history. The date an individual met these eligibility criteria was the study entry date. Individuals with a prescription for low-dose aspirin (n = 417 256) or with a record of cancer before this date

IJС

(n = 223 274) were excluded. We subsequently identified patients (n = 223 640) with a first prescription for low-dose aspirin (75-300 mg/day; start date) and matched each 1:1 to a nonuser of low-dose aspirin on their start date by age, sex, time since study entry, and number of GP visits in the previous year. This process resulted in two cohorts: new users of low-dose aspirin and nonusers of low-dose aspirin at the start of follow-up. The start date for a member of the latter cohort was the start date of their matched partner in the lowdose aspirin cohort. All members of both cohorts were assigned to either the primary or secondary CVD prevention population based on whether they had a Read code for CVD before the start date, as described previously.³²

2.4 | Follow-up and outcome identification

We performed two independent follow-ups, one to identify first time cases of gastric cancer and the other to identify first time cases of oesophageal cancer. Individuals were followed until the earliest of the following endpoints: a recorded diagnosis of gastric/oesophageal cancer (based on codes specific for these cancers; see Tables S1 and S2 for Read codes), a recorded diagnosis of another cancer, death or the end of follow-up (31 December 2017). A patient was designated as confirmed/unconfirmed case following a stepwise process (Supporting Information Methods) involving manual review of patient records. A fatal case was defined as death from any cause within 1 year after the index date. The index date for all confirmed cases was the date of the recorded diagnosis of gastric/oesophageal cancer.

2.5 | Selection of controls

Controls were selected from both the low-dose aspirin and matched nonuser study cohorts using incidence density sampling and were frequency matched to cases by age, sex and calendar year (n = 5000each for cases of both cancers). The index date for controls was a random date during the individual's observation period.

2.6 | Low-dose aspirin exposure

The length of supply in days of a low-dose aspirin prescription was calculated as the number of tablets prescribed divided by the prescribed daily posology. Although we did not have information on adherence to treatment, we assumed that patients took their medication daily as prescribed. Current use of low-dose aspirin among cases and controls was defined as when supply of the most recent prescription before the index date lasted until/over the index date or ended 0 to 30 days before the index date. In our analysis of "current use", we required current users to have at least 1 year of low-dose aspirin use because those with short durations (ie, those who recently initiated low-dose aspirin) of use may be prone to confounding by contraindication; early signs of gastric cancer manifesting as gastrointestinal symptoms that may cause the GP to withhold prescribing low-dose aspirin. Remaining current users were those with a treatment duration of less than 1 year. Other categories of low-dose aspirin exposure were *recent use*, when supply of the most recent prescription ended 31 to 365 days before the index date; *past use* was when supply of the most recent prescription ended \geq 365 days before the index date, and *nonuse*, when there was no recorded use at any time before the index date. Duration of low-dose aspirin was calculated by summing the duration of individual prescriptions before the index date. Low-dose aspirin dose was computed based on the posology of the last prescription before the index date.

2.7 | Covariables

Patient demographics and data on lifestyle factors, healthcare use, comorbidities and other medications were extracted from the database. Information on age, sex and lifestyle factors (body mass index [BMI in kg/m²], smoking status and alcohol consumption) were collected any time before the index date using the most recent value/ status as appropriate. For BMI, we discounted values calculated using weight data recorded in the year before the index date to avoid using measurements affected by weight loss due to cancer. Comorbidities were identified any time before the index date, and healthcare use (number of GP visits in the year before the index date) were established in the year before the index date. A proxy variable for Helicobacter pylori infection was created based on a having at least a Read code for H. pylori gastritis, confirmation of the infection from a laboratory test or a code relating to eradication therapy. Use of medications other than low-dose aspirin was identified in the year before the index date, with current use defined as per the definition for lowdose aspirin irrespective of duration of treatment. Treatment for H. pylori infection (as a separate variable to the proxy variable for H. pylori described above) was identified any time before the index date.

2.8 | Statistical analysis

For both gastric and oesophageal cancers, we performed nested casecontrol analyses using cases and controls from both cohorts to estimate the association between use of low-dose aspirin (as well as the demographics, lifestyle factors, comorbidities and co-medication variables as described above) with the risk of gastric/oesophageal cancer. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using unconditional logistic regression adjusted for confounders (smoking, number of GP visits in the year before the index date and low-dose aspirin [in analyses of all other variables] in addition to the matching factors of age, sex and calendar year). We used a stepwise approach adding potential confounders to the unadjusted model, retaining those that changed the estimate by at least 10%. As controls were selected using incidence density sampling, the ORs were regarded as an unbiased estimate of the incidence rate ratio. Stratified
 TABLE 1
 Odds ratios (95% CIs) for the association between patient characteristics and the risk of gastric cancer

| | Cases (n = 727) | | Controls (r | n = 5000) | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | n | % | n | % | OR (95% CI) ^a | OR (95% CI) ^b |
| Sex | | | | | | |
| Male | 494 | 68.0 | 3387 | 67.7 | _ | _ |
| Female | 233 | 32.0 | 1613 | 32.3 | _ | _ |
| Age at index date (years) | | | | | | |
| 40-49 | 14 | 1.9 | 93 | 1.9 | _ | _ |
| 50-59 | 44 | 6.1 | 305 | 6.1 | - | _ |
| 60-69 | 154 | 21.2 | 1066 | 21.3 | - | - |
| 70-79 | 303 | 41.7 | 2072 | 41.4 | - | - |
| ≥80 | 212 | 29.2 | 1464 | 29.3 | - | - |
| GP visits | | | | | | |
| 0-4 | 21 | 2.9 | 574 | 11.5 | 1.0 (reference) | 1.0 (reference) |
| 5-9 | 87 | 12.0 | 1235 | 24.7 | 1.98 (1.22-3.23) | 1.98 (1.21-3.23) |
| 10-19 | 292 | 40.2 | 1931 | 38.6 | 4.30 (2.73-6.78) | 4.21 (2.67-6.64) |
| ≥20 | 327 | 45.0 | 1260 | 25.2 | 7.52 (4.76-11.86) | 7.33 (4.64-11.57) |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | | | | | | |
| 15-19 (underweight) | 30 | 4.1 | 162 | 3.2 | 1.26 (0.83-1.92) | 0.99 (0.65-1.53) |
| 20-24 (healthy weight) | 201 | 27.6 | 1353 | 27.1 | 1.0 (reference) | 1.0 (reference) |
| 25-29 (overweight) | 274 | 37.7 | 1944 | 38.9 | 0.95 (0.78-1.15) | 0.93 (0.76-1.14) |
| ≥30 (obese) | 166 | 22.8 | 1183 | 23.7 | 0.94 (0.75-1.18) | 0.82 (0.65-1.03) |
| Missing | 56 | 7.7 | 358 | 7.2 | 1.05 (0.76-1.45) | 1.32 (0.95-1.84) |
| Smoking | | | | | | |
| Nonsmoker | 221 | 30.4 | 1955 | 39.1 | 1.0 (reference) | 1.0 (reference) |
| Current | 129 | 17.7 | 614 | 12.3 | 1.89 (1.49-2.39) | 1.78 (1.40-2.28) |
| Former | 376 | 51.7 | 2408 | 48.2 | 1.39 (1.16-1.67) | 1.24 (1.03-1.49) |
| Missing | 1 | 0.1 | 23 | 0.5 | 0.38 (0.05-2.86) | 0.48 (0.06-3.64) |
| Alcohol consumption (units/week) | | | | | | |
| None | 133 | 18.3 | 940 | 18.8 | 1.0 (reference) | 1.0 (reference) |
| 1-9 | 347 | 47.7 | 2245 | 44.9 | 1.08 (0.87-1.34) | 1.11 (0.89-1.39) |
| 10-20 | 101 | 13.9 | 838 | 16.8 | 0.84 (0.63-1.11) | 0.87 (0.65-1.17) |
| 21-41 | 29 | 4.0 | 284 | 5.7 | 0.70 (0.46-1.08) | 0.72 (0.46-1.11) |
| ≥42 | 18 | 2.5 | 112 | 2.2 | 1.11 (0.65-1.90) | 0.99 (0.57-1.71) |
| Missing | 99 | 13.6 | 581 | 11.6 | 1.19 (0.90-1.58) | 1.38 (1.03-1.84) |
| Frailty | | | | | | |
| Fit | 249 | 34.3 | 2235 | 44.7 | 1.0 (reference) | 1.0 (reference) |
| Mild frailty | 293 | 40.3 | 1807 | 36.1 | 1.56 (1.30-1.89) | 0.98 (0.80-1.20) |
| Moderate frailty | 146 | 20.1 | 733 | 14.7 | 2.02 (1.59-2.56) | 0.99 (0.76-1.29) |
| Severe frailty | 39 | 5.4 | 225 | 4.5 | 1.82 (1.24-2.67) | 0.76 (0.50-1.14) |
| Comorbidity | | | | | | |
| Hypertension | 670 | 54.6 | 2553 | 56.7 | 0.83 (0.71-0.98) | 0.69 (0.59-0.82) |
| Hyperlipidemia | 165 | 22.7 | 1210 | 24.2 | 0.92 (0.76-1.11) | 0.87 (0.72-1.06) |
| Ischaemic stroke | 37 | 5.1 | 318 | 6.4 | 0.79 (0.55-1.12) | 0.66 (0.46-0.94) |
| IHD | 131 | 18.0 | 916 | 18.3 | 0.98 (0.80-1.20) | 0.81 (0.66-1.00) |
| Diabetes | 127 | 17.5 | 871 | 17.4 | 1.00 (0.82-1.23) | 0.74 (0.60-0.91) |
| Anaemia | 95 | 13.1 | 126 | 2.5 | 5.95 (4.49-7.89) | 4.73 (3.54-6.31) |
| Pernicious anaemia | 16 | 1.1 | 23 | 0.5 | 4.19 (2.04-8.62) | 3.21 (1.54-6.68) |

2397

IJС

@uicc

(Continues)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

| | Cases (n = 727) | | Controls (r | n = 5000) | | |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | n | % | n | % | OR (95% CI) ^a | OR (95% CI) ^b |
| Dyspepsia | 274 | 37.7 | 1071 | 21.4 | 2.23 (1.89-2.63) | 1.89 (1.60-2.24) |
| GORD | 176 | 24.2 | 824 | 16.5 | 1.63 (1.35-1.96) | 1.35 (1.12-1.64) |
| Hiatus hernia | 50 | 6.9 | 338 | 6.8 | 1.02 (0.75-1.39) | 0.85 (0.62-1.16) |
| Peptic ulcer ^c /UGIB/unspecified GIB | 173 | 23.8 | 377 | 7.5 | 3.90 (3.18-4.77) | 3.25 (2.64-4.00) |
| LGIB | 55 | 7.6 | 387 | 7.7 | 0.98 (0.73-1.31) | 0.86 (0.64-1.16) |
| Complicated peptic ulcer | 67 | 9.2 | 145 | 2.9 | 3.44 (2.54-4.66) | 2.95 (2.16-4.02) |
| Uncomplicated peptic ulcer | 129 | 17.7 | 272 | 5.4 | 3.79 (3.02-4.76) | 3.19 (2.52-4.03) |
| IBD | 6 | 0.8 | 89 | 1.8 | 0.46 (0.20-1.05) | 0.37 (0.16-0.86) |
| IBS | 32 | 4.4 | 243 | 4.9 | 0.90 (0.62-1.32) | 0.74 (0.50-1.09) |
| Proxy measure for H. pylori infection | 56 | 7.7 | 130 | 2.6 | 3.14 (2.27-4.34) | 2.74 (1.96-3.83) |
| Medications | | | | | | |
| Clopidogrel | 52 | 7.2 | 253 | 5.1 | 1.46 (1.07-1.99) | 1.15 (0.84-1.58) |
| Oral anticoagulant | 62 | 8.5 | 336 | 6.7 | 1.32 (0.99-1.75) | 0.88 (0.66-1.18) |
| PPI | 449 | 61.8 | 1261 | 25.2 | 5.92 (4.96-7.08) | 4.80 (3.99-5.77) |
| H ₂ RA | 48 | 6.6 | 118 | 2.4 | 3.01 (2.13-4.25) | 2.69 (1.88-3.84) |
| Antacid | 105 | 14.4 | 261 | 5.2 | 3.11 (2.44-3.97) | 2.58 (2.01-3.30) |
| NSAID | 31 | 4.3 | 355 | 7.1 | 0.59 (0.40-0.85) | 0.50 (0.34-0.74) |
| Treatment for H. pylori | 29 | 4.0 | 87 | 1.7 | 2.35 (1.53-3.60) | 2.08 (1.34-3.24) |

Note: Comorbidities were identified any time before the index date except for anaemia, where we included recorded diagnoses in the year before the index date, and pernicious anaemia, where we included recorded diagnoses in the 5 years before the index date.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; COXIB, cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors, DVT, deep vein thrombosis; GIB, gastrointestinal bleeding; GORD, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease; GP, general practitioner; H₂RA, histamine₂ receptor antagonist; IBD, irritable bowel disease; IBS, inflammatory bowel syndrome; IHD, ischaemic heart disease; LGIB, lower gastrointestinal disease; NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; OR, odds ratio; PPI, proton pump inhibitor; TIA, transient ischaemic attack; tNSAID, traditional nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; UGIB, upper gastrointestinal bleeding. ^aAdjusted by the matching factors: sex, age and calendar year.

^bAdjusted by the matching factors: sex, age, and calendar year, and by smoking, number of GP visits in the year before the index date and current use of low-dose aspirin.

^cIncludes both complicated and uncomplicated peptic ulcer.

analyses were performed by primary/secondary CVD prevention population, and further analyses were undertaken according to the duration and dose of low-dose aspirin therapy. We also analysed concomitant use of low-dose aspirin with clopidogrel, with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and with proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), and undertook lag-time analyses backdating the index date by 1 year to account for the cancer latency periods. STATA version 12.0 was used for all analyses.

3 | RESULTS

A total of 826 individuals were identified with a first diagnosis of gastric cancer and 1523 individuals were identified with a first diagnosis of oesophageal cancer during a mean follow-up of 5.47 years (both follow-ups). After the multistep case confirmation process, there were 727 incident cases of gastric cancer and 1394 incident cases of oesophageal cancer (confirmation rates of 88.0% and 78.7%, respectively). Among cases of gastric cancer, 68.0% were among men, the median age was 74.0 years (inter-quartile

range [IQR] 67.0-80.0), the mean age was 73.4 years (SD 9.6 years) and 58.3% were fatal. Among cases of oesophageal cancer, 73.7% were among men, the median age was 71.0 years (IQR 64.0-78.0), the mean age was 70.9 years (SD 10.0 years) and 53.7% were fatal.

3.1 | Baseline characteristics of cases and controls

Baseline characteristics of cases and controls are shown in Table 1 for gastric cancer and Table 2 for oesophageal cancer. A high level of primary care visits, smoking, anaemia, pernicious anaemia, peptic ulcer, use of acid suppressive medications and treatment for *H. pylori* infection were all associated with an increased risk of gastric cancer. A significantly reduced risk of gastric cancer was seen with current use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Factors associated with an increased risk, oesophageal varices, a high level of primary care visits, nonpernicious anaemia, smoking, high alcohol consumption (≥42 U/week), and use of acid-suppressive agents.

 TABLE 2
 Odds ratio (95% CIs) for the association between patient characteristics and the risk of oesophageal cancer

| | Cases (n = 1394) | | Controls (r | n = 5000) | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | n | % | n | % | OR (95% CI) ^a | OR (95% CI) ^b |
| Sex | | | | | | |
| Male | 1027 | 73.7 | 3663 | 73.3 | _ | _ |
| Female | 367 | 26.3 | 1337 | 26.7 | _ | - |
| Age at index date (years) | | | | | | |
| 40-49 | 17 | 1.2 | 63 | 1.3 | - | - |
| 50-59 | 169 | 12.1 | 614 | 12.3 | - | - |
| 60-69 | 428 | 30.7 | 1534 | 30.7 | - | - |
| 70-79 | 492 | 35.3 | 1751 | 35.0 | - | - |
| ≥80 | 288 | 20.7 | 1038 | 20.8 | - | - |
| GP visits | | | | | | |
| 0-4 | 59 | 4.2 | 671 | 13.4 | 1.0 (reference) | 1.0 (reference) |
| 5-9 | 242 | 17.4 | 1226 | 24.5 | 2.31 (1.71-3.12) | 2.31 (1.71-3.13) |
| 10-19 | 581 | 41.7 | 1966 | 39.3 | 3.50 (2.64-4.65) | 3.58 (2.69-4.78) |
| ≥20 | 512 | 36.7 | 1137 | 22.7 | 5.48 (4.10-7.32) | 5.53 (4.13-7.42) |
| BMI (kg/m²) | | | | | | |
| 15-19 (underweight) | 64 | 4.6 | 150 | 3.0 | 1.48 (1.08-2.03) | 1.34 (0.97-1.86) |
| 20-24 (healthy weight) | 369 | 26.5 | 1267 | 25.3 | 1.0 (reference) | 1.0 (reference) |
| 25-29 (overweight) | 503 | 36.1 | 1978 | 39.6 | 0.87 (0.74-1.01) | 0.87 (0.74-1.02) |
| ≥30 (obese) | 353 | 25.3 | 1239 | 24.8 | 0.98 (0.82-1.15) | 0.91 (0.77-1.09) |
| Missing | 105 | 7.5 | 366 | 7.3 | 0.99 (0.77-1.27) | 1.25 (0.96-1.62) |
| Smoking | | | | | | |
| Nonsmoker | 361 | 25.9 | 1887 | 37.7 | 1.0 (reference) | 1.0 (reference) |
| Current | 327 | 23.5 | 664 | 13.3 | 2.67 (2.24-3.20) | 2.71 (2.26-3.25) |
| Former | 700 | 50.2 | 2430 | 48.6 | 1.52 (1.32-1.75) | 1.40 (1.21-1.62) |
| Missing | 6 | 0.4 | 19 | 0.4 | 1.67 (0.66-4.23) | 2.42 (0.92-6.36) |
| Alcohol consumption (units/week) | | | | | | |
| None | 197 | 14.1 | 786 | 15.7 | 1.0 (reference) | 1.0 (reference) |
| 1-9 | 571 | 41.0 | 2211 | 44.2 | 1.04 (0.86-1.24) | 1.08 (0.89-1.30) |
| 10-20 | 274 | 19.7 | 935 | 18.7 | 1.19 (0.96-1.47) | 1.21 (0.97-1.50) |
| 21-41 | 109 | 7.8 | 313 | 6.3 | 1.42 (1.08-1.87) | 1.35 (1.02-1.80) |
| ≥42 | 67 | 4.8 | 150 | 3.0 | 1.83 (1.31-2.55) | 1.69 (1.20-2.39) |
| Missing | 176 | 12.6 | 605 | 12.1 | 1.17 (0.93-1.47) | 1.29 (1.02-1.64) |
| Frailty | | | | | | |
| Fit | 624 | 44.8 | 2408 | 48.2 | 1.0 (reference) | 1.0 (reference) |
| Mild frailty | 511 | 36.7 | 1813 | 36.3 | 1.11 (0.97-1.28) | 0.74 (0.64-0.86) |
| Moderate frailty | 220 | 15.8 | 628 | 12.6 | 1.42 (1.17-1.73) | 0.78 (0.63-0.96) |
| Severe frailty | 39 | 2.8 | 151 | 3.0 | 1.07 (0.73-1.55) | 0.52 (0.35-0.77) |
| Comorbidities | 700 | 50.0 | 0770 | FF (| 0.07/0.77.0.00 | 0.75 /0.77 0.05 |
| Hypertension | 729 | 52.3 | 2779 | 55.6 | 0.87 (0.77-0.98) | 0.75 (0.66-0.85) |
| Hyperlipidemia | 321 | 23.0 | 1241 | 24.8 | 0.90 (0.79-1.04) | 0.84 (0.72-0.97) |
| Ischaemic stroke | 86 | 6.2 | 286 | 5.7 | 1.08 (0.84-1.39) | 0.87 (0.68-1.13) |
| IHD | 213 | 15.3 | 923 | 18.5 | 0.79 (0.67-0.93) | 0.67 (0.57-0.80) |
| Diabetes | 256 | 18.4 | 939 | 18.8 | 0.97 (0.83-1.13) | 0.74 (0.63-0.87) |
| Anaemia | 61 | 4.4 | 130 | 2.6 | 1.72 (1.26-2.35) | 1.29 (0.94-1.78) |
| Pernicious anaemia | 16 | 1.1 | 23 | 0.5 | 2.53 (1.33-4.80) | 2.11 (1.09-4.07) |

2399

IJС

@uicc

(Continues)

TABLE 2(Continued)

| | Cases (n = 1394) | | Controls (r | n = 5000) | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | n | % | n | % | OR (95% CI) ^a | OR (95% CI) ^b |
| Dyspepsia | 462 | 33.1 | 1131 | 22.6 | 1.70 (1.49-1.93) | 1.50 (1.32-1.72) |
| GORD | 439 | 31.5 | 844 | 16.9 | 2.28 (1.99-2.61) | 2.11 (1.83-2.43) |
| Hiatus hernia | 157 | 11.3 | 292 | 5.8 | 2.05 (1.67-2.52) | 1.79 (1.45-2.21) |
| Oesophageal ulcer | 30 | 2.2 | 12 | 0.2 | 9.16 (4.68-17.95) | 8.79 (4.37-17.68) |
| Oesophageal varices | 6 | 0.4 | 5 | 0.1 | 4.30 (1.31-14.12) | 3.12 (0.93-10.42) |
| Peptic ulcer/UGIB/unspecified GIB | 125 | 9.0 | 350 | 7.0 | 1.31 (1.06-1.62) | 1.13 (0.91-1.40) |
| Previous LGIB | 82 | 5.9 | 397 | 7.9 | 0.72 (0.57-0.92) | 0.65 (0.50-0.83) |
| Complicated peptic ulcer | 63 | 4.5 | 141 | 2.8 | 1.63 (1.20-2.21) | 1.37 (1.00-1.87) |
| Uncomplicated peptic ulcer | 75 | 5.4 | 251 | 5.0 | 1.07 (0.82-1.40) | 0.94 (0.72-1.23) |
| Proxy measure of H. pylori | 57 | 4.1 | 146 | 2.9 | 1.42 (1.04-1.94) | 1.17 (0.85-1.61) |
| Medications | | | | | | |
| Clopidogrel | 72 | 5.2 | 264 | 5.3 | 0.98 (0.75-1.28) | 0.79 (0.60-1.03) |
| Oral anticoagulant | 96 | 6.9 | 333 | 6.7 | 1.04 (0.82-1.32) | 0.73 (0.57-0.94) |
| PPI | 894 | 64.1 | 1201 | 24.0 | 6.96 (6.06-7.99) | 6.16 (5.33-7.11) |
| H ₂ RA | 88 | 6.3 | 126 | 2.5 | 2.64 (2.00-3.49) | 2.34 (1.75-3.11) |
| Antacid | 269 | 19.3 | 237 | 4.7 | 4.90 (4.06-5.91) | 4.28 (3.53-5.20) |
| NSAID | 79 | 5.7 | 329 | 6.6 | 0.85 (0.66-1.09) | 0.77 (0.60-1.00) |
| Treatment for H. pylori | 23 | 1.7 | 85 | 1.7 | 0.97 (0.61-1.54) | 0.81 (0.50-1.30) |

Note: Comorbidities were identified any time before the index date except for anaemia, where we included recorded diagnoses in the year before the index date, and pernicious anaemia, where we included recorded diagnoses in the 5 years before the index date.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; COXIB, cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors, DVT, deep vein thrombosis; GIB, gastrointestinal bleeding; GORD, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease; GP, general practitioner; H₂RA, histamine₂ receptor antagonist; IBD, irritable bowel disease; IBS, inflammatory bowel syndrome; IHD, ischaemic heart disease; LGIB, lower gastrointestinal disease; NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; OR, odds ratio; PPI, proton pump inhibitor; TIA, transient ischaemic attack; tNSAID, traditional nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; UGIB, upper gastrointestinal bleeding. ^aAdjusted by the matching factors: sex, age and calendar year.

^bAdjusted by the matching factors: sex, age, and calendar year, and by smoking, number of GP visits in the year before the index date and current use of low-dose aspirin.

Frailty, previous lower gastrointestinal bleeding, ischaemic heart disease, hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidaemia and use of NSAIDs were all associated with a reduced risk of oesophageal cancer.

3.2 | Low-dose aspirin use and risk of gastric cancer

Associations between low-dose aspirin and risk of gastric cancer are shown in Table 3. Compared to nonuse of low-dose aspirin, current use of low-dose aspirin was associated with a 54% reduced risk of gastric cancer (OR 0.46, 95% CI: 0.38-0.57), with estimates similar when stratified by primary/secondary CVD prevention. The reduced risk remained consistent with no clear change with increasing durations of use, and was evident at the lowest dose of aspirin evaluated (75 mg/day), which was the dose used by the vast majority of cases and controls. Lag-time analyses showed minimal changes in the effects seen (Table S3). The reduced risk was also seen when low-dose aspirin was used concomitantly with clopidogrel (OR 0.62, 95% CI: 0.27-1.42) or NSAIDs (OR 0.27, 95% CI: 0.12-0.58) vs nonuse of both drugs in each analysis. Concomitant use of low-dose aspirin and

a PPI, however, was associated with an increased risk of gastric cancer (OR 1.94, 95% CI: 1.45-2.60).

3.3 | Low-dose aspirin use and risk of oesophageal cancer

Associations between low-dose aspirin and risk of oesophageal cancer are shown in Table 4. Compared to nonuse of low-dose aspirin, current use of low-dose aspirin was associated with a 41% reduced risk of oesophageal cancer (OR 0.59, 95% CI: 0.51-0.69). Findings relating to dose, duration and primary/secondary CVD prevention were similar to those seen for gastric cancer, including in the lag-time analyses (Table S4), with little change seen in the OR from the main (current use) estimate. Also, as seen with gastric cancer, a reduced risk of oesophageal cancer was seen when low-dose aspirin was used concomitantly with clopidogrel (OR 0.23, 95% CI: 0.10-0.56 vs nonuse of both drugs) or with NSAIDs (OR 0.58, 95% CI: 0.36-0.96 vs nonuse of both drugs), and an increased risk of oesophageal cancer was seen with concomitant of a PPI (OR 3.18, 95% CI: 2.55-3.95).

TABLE 3 Odds ratios (95% Cls) for the association between low-dose aspirin use and the risk of gastric cancer

| | Cases (n | = 727) | 7) Controls (n = 5000) | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------|------------------------|------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Low-dose aspirin | % | % | n | % | OR (95% CI) ^a | OR (95% CI) ^b | | |
| Recency of use | | | | | | | | |
| Nonuse | 442 | 60.8 | 2404 | 48.1 | 1.0 (reference) | 1.0 (reference) | | |
| Current use | 146 | 20.1 | 1407 | 28.1 | 0.56 (0.46-0.68) | 0.46 (0.38-0.57) | | |
| Primary CVD prevention | 91 | 12.5 | 830 | 16.6 | 0.59 (0.46-0.75) | 0.51 (0.40-0.65) | | |
| Secondary CVD prevention | 55 | 7.6 | 577 | 11.5 | 0.52 (0.38-0.69) | 0.41 (0.30-0.55) | | |
| Remaining current users ^c | 66 | 9.1 | 730 | 14.6 | 0.46 (0.35-0.61) | 0.31 (0.23-0.42) | | |
| Recent use | 26 | 3.6 | 146 | 2.9 | 0.93 (0.60-1.43) | 0.66 (0.42-1.02) | | |
| Past use | 47 | 6.5 | 313 | 6.3 | 0.80 (0.58-1.10) | 0.57 (0.41-0.79) | | |
| Dose ^d | | | | | | | | |
| 75 mg | 143 | 19.7 | 1377 | 27.5 | 0.56 (0.46-0.69) | 0.46 (0.38-0.57) | | |
| 150 mg | 2 | 0.3 | 14 | 0.3 | 0.77 (0.17-3.39) | 0.78 (0.17-3.62) | | |
| 300 mg | 1 | 0.1 | 16 | 0.3 | 0.34 (0.04-2.55) | 0.28 (0.04-2.15) | | |
| Duration of low-dose aspirin use ^d | | | | | | | | |
| >1 to <3 years | 56 | 7.7 | 693 | 13.9 | 0.42 (0.31-0.56) | 0.34 (0.25-0.46) | | |
| >3 to <5 years | 63 | 8.7 | 508 | 10.2 | 0.68 (0.51-0.90) | 0.57 (0.43-0.77) | | |
| ≥5 years | 27 | 3.7 | 206 | 4.1 | 0.76 (0.50-1.16) | 0.66 (0.43-1.01) | | |
| Concomitant use of low-dose aspirin and another medication ^{d,e} | | | | | | | | |
| Low-dose aspirin plus clopidogrel | 7 | 1.0 | 43 | 0.9 | 0.90 (0.40-2.02) | 0.62 (0.27-1.42) | | |
| Low-dose aspirin plus NSAID | 7 | 1.0 | 95 | 1.9 | 0.39 (0.18-0.85) | 0.27 (0.12-0.58) | | |
| Low-dose aspirin plus PPI | 97 | 13.3 | 454 | 9.0 | 2.77 (2.09-3.67) | 1.94 (1.45-2.60) | | |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CVD, cardiovascular disease; GP, general practitioner; OR, odds ratio; PPI, proton pump inhibitor; NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug.

^aAdjusted by the matching factors: sex, age and calendar year.

^bAdjusted by the matching factors: sex, age, and calendar year, and by smoking and number of GP visits in the previous year.

^cCurrent users of low-dose aspirin with less than 1 year duration of use.

^dAmong current users of low-dose aspirin with ≥ 1 year duration of use.

^eCompared to no use of either drug in the year before the index date.

4 | DISCUSSION

Our large population-based observational study found that use of low-dose aspirin for at least 1 year was associated with a significant 54% reduction in the risk of gastric cancer and a 41% reduced risk of oesophageal cancer when compared to nonuse of low-dose aspirin, consistent with the substantial reductions in risk of these two cancers seen in previous studies.^{3,20-25} The reductions in risk were seen at the lowest dose of aspirin evaluated (75 mg), and effect sizes were broadly consistent with increasing lengths of low-dose aspirin use with no clear change seen between durations of 1-3, 3-5 or >5 years.

This beneficial effect of low-dose aspirin after a few years of therapy as observed in our study can be explained by an inhibitory effect of low-dose aspirin on the development of existing tumours and/or metastasis. This is supported by both meta-analysis of CVD RCTs and observational studies for CRC,^{2,4} and is the reason postulated for at least part of the early reduction of cancer deaths in the trials. Furthermore, Rothwell et al reported that allocation to low-dose aspirin improved overall survival in patients who developed adenoma during the trials.² An effect of low-dose aspirin later in the adenoma-

carcinoma sequence is also supported by experimental data showing the central role of platelets in this context,^{33,34} in addition to animal studies showing the significant inhibition of tumour cell development, metastasis and angiogenesis after pharmacological inhibition of thromboxane synthase.³⁵ In Rothwell's meta-analysis of three aspirin CVD trials, the statistical evidence of an effect of low-dose aspirin in reducing gastric and oesophageal cancer risk was strongest after 10 to 20 years follow-up. The shorter follow-up duration in our study limited observation of any latent effects indicative of an effect of lowdose aspirin early in the adenoma-carcinogenesis sequence. However, the current body of evidence suggests that the chemopreventive action of low-dose aspirin on gastrointestinal cancer acts both early and late in the adenoma-carcinogenesis pathway.⁸ It should also be kept in mind that RCT data are limited by the assessment of low-dose aspirin at the start of the trial period meaning that exposure could have changed substantially (eg, stopped among members of the lowdose aspirin arm and/or initiated among members of the placebo arm) over the long post-trial follow-up periods.

Recent meta-analyses, including both RCT and observational data, have suggested a possible duration of use effect of low-dose aspirin

@uicc

2402 IJC

TABLE 4 Odds ratio (95% CIs) for the association between low-dose aspirin and the risk of oesophageal cancer

| | Cases (n : | 1394) Controls (n = 5000) | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|------|------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Low-dose aspirin | n | % | n | % | OR (95% CI) ^a | OR (95% CI) ^b | | |
| Recency of use | | | | | | | | |
| Nonuse | 829 | 59.5 | 2555 | 51.1 | 1.0 (reference) | 1.0 (reference) | | |
| Current use | 331 | 23.7 | 1397 | 27.9 | 0.73 (0.63-0.84) | 0.59 (0.51-0.69) | | |
| Primary CVD prevention | 180 | 12.9 | 821 | 16.4 | 0.67 (0.56-0.80) | 0.56 (0.46-0.67) | | |
| Secondary CVD prevention | 151 | 10.8 | 576 | 11.5 | 0.80 (0.66-0.98) | 0.64 (0.52-0.78) | | |
| Remaining current users ^c | 103 | 7.4 | 625 | 12.5 | 0.49 (0.39-0.61) | 0.33 (0.26-0.42) | | |
| Recent use | 63 | 4.5 | 133 | 2.7 | 1.43 (1.04-1.95) | 0.95 (0.69-1.31) | | |
| Past use | 68 | 4.9 | 290 | 5.8 | 0.71 (0.54-0.94) | 0.49 (0.37-0.65) | | |
| Dose ^d | | | | | | | | |
| 75 mg | 303 | 21.7 | 1323 | 26.5 | 0.70 (0.60-0.81) | 0.57 (0.49-0.67) | | |
| 150 mg | 3 | 0.2 | 14 | 0.3 | 0.65 (0.19-2.26) | 0.58 (0.16-2.09) | | |
| 300 mg | 8 | 0.6 | 16 | 0.3 | 1.52 (0.65-3.56) | 1.02 (0.42-2.44) | | |
| Duration of low-dose aspirin use ^d | | | | | | | | |
| >1 to <3 years | 145 | 10.4 | 680 | 13.6 | 0.64 (0.52-0.78) | 0.51 (0.41-0.62) | | |
| >3 to <5 years | 95 | 6.8 | 372 | 7.4 | 0.79 (0.62-1.00) | 0.64 (0.55-0.92) | | |
| ≥5 years | 91 | 6.5 | 345 | 6.9 | 0.83 (0.65-1.07) | 0.71 (0.55-0.92) | | |
| Concomitant use of low-dose aspirin and another medication ^{d,e} | | | | | | | | |
| Low-dose aspirin plus clopidogrel | 6 | 0.4 | 54 | 1.1 | 0.34 (0.15-0.80) | 0.23 (0.10-0.56) | | |
| Low-dose aspirin plus NSAID | 21 | 1.5 | 84 | 1.7 | 0.74 (0.45-1.20) | 0.58 (0.36-0.96) | | |
| Low-dose aspirin plus PPI | 234 | 16.8 | 426 | 8.5 | 4.21 (3.41-5.19) | 3.18 (2.55-3.95) | | |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CVD, cardiovascular disease; GP, general practitioner; OR, odds ratio; PPI, proton pump inhibitor; NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug.

^aAdjusted by the matching factors: sex, age and calendar year.

^bAdjusted by the matching factors: sex, age, and calendar year, and by smoking and number of GP visits in the previous year.

^cCurrent users of low-dose aspirin with less than 1 year duration of use.

^dAmong current users of low-dose aspirin with ≥ 1 year duration of use.

^eCompared to no use of either drug in the year before the index date.

on gastric cancer risk. Ye et al²¹ reported that significant reductions in risk were only seen after at least 5 years of use, while Huang et al²³ observed a 5% risk reduction with every 2-year increment in duration. Yet, a large cohort study in Hong Kong published last year reported no duration of use effects,²⁴ and data on duration effects for oesophageal cancer are lacking. Our finding that a dose of aspirin of 75 mg/day was sufficient to observe the substantial reductions in gastric/oesophageal cancer risk is in line with the low doses shown to be beneficial in reducing the risk of gastrointestinal cancers in clinical trials and previous observational studies.^{4,5,8} We observed the substantial reduction in gastric/oesophageal cancer risk in both primary and secondary CVD prevention populations, as we have also shown previously for CRC.^{4,5} Whether increasing evidence in support of low-dose aspirin for chemoprophylaxis of gastric and oesophageal cancer in addition to CRC will further improve the overall balance of benefits and harms toward favouring low-dose aspirin in primary CVD prevention is a question that will be answered in time. Expert opinion and clinical guidelines on this topic have been evolving in recent years as new robust data on cancer, CVD and bleeding outcomes emerge.³⁶⁻⁴⁰ Incorporating data on gastric/oesophageal cancer prophylaxis into benefit-risk assessments of low-dose aspirin for both CVD and cancer

prevention would require greater consensus around any duration of effects. Data applicable to patient subgroups would also be beneficial. Interestingly, the ASCEND RCT of 100 mg daily aspirin vs placebo among individuals with diabetes but no evident CVD found no significant difference in the incidence of gastrointestinal cancers as a group after 7.4 years' follow-up. However, the trial only had 60% power to detect the 30% hypothesised difference between study arms,⁴¹ and results from longer-term follow-up are awaited.

Strengths of our study include the large sample representative of the population from which it was drawn, meaning our results are generalizable to the UK population. The study population reflected users and nonusers of low-dose aspirin in clinical practice including the elderly and those with multiple comorbidities. Our matched cohort design helped to minimise bias from differences between low-dose aspirin users and nonusers at the start date. Nested case-control analyses enabled low-dose aspirin exposure to be accurately assessed, and lag-time analyses found our findings to be robust. We explored many potential confounders with adjustment made for variables found to be confounders in our analyses, yet we acknowledge that residual confounding cannot be completely ruled out, for example, from unknown confounders. The stepwise process for case confirmation involved manual review of patients' EHRs, and although we did not validate our cases of gastric/oesophageal cancer through linkage to hospital data, in a previous study for CRC restricted to individuals also in the Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) database, the false negative rate for CRC (using HES as gold standard) was only 6.1%.⁴ Hence, it is likely that THIN is also a valid data source to identify cases of gastric/oesophageal cancer. Linkage to UK cancer registry data was not possible because this is not currently available for THIN. We were unable to evaluate associations according to histologic type of cancer or stage because this information is not systematically recorded in THIN. Although an increased risk of both gastric and oesophageal cancer was seen among low-dose aspirin users with concomitant PPI use, this finding should be interpreted very cautiously because of the likelihood of protopathic bias. Proton pump inhibitors are commonly prescribed for acid-reflux or similar symptoms, which are also early indicators of these two gastrointestinal cancers.

Our findings add to the existing evidence that low-dose aspirin is associated with a substantial reduction in the risk of gastric/ oesophageal cancer in the general population. Further populationbased research is needed to clarify any associations with duration of use. Future research into the effects of low-dose aspirin on gastric and oesophageal cancer risk by stage at diagnosis, as well as potential effects on other cancers, would also be beneficial lines of investigation. This would further help our understanding of the mechanism(s) of aspirin-mediated chemoprotection in the gastrointestinal tract and other locations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Our study was funded by Bayer AG. We thank Susan Bromley, EpiMed Communications Ltd (Abingdon, Oxford, UK), for medical writing assistance funded by Bayer AG.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

LAGR works for CEIFE, which has received research funding from Bayer AG. LAGR has also received honoraria for serving on advisory boards for Bayer AG. MS-G and PV are employees of Bayer AG. LCS has no conflicts of interest.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY

Data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Acquisition of THIN data was provided to the Centro Español de Investigación Farmacoepidemiológica (CEIFE) by IQVIA under the UK's National Health Service South-East multicenter research committee approval in 2003. The study protocol was approved by an Independent Scientific Research Committee for THIN (reference 17THIN059_A1). No individual patient consent was required because the study used de-identified data provided by patients as a part of their routine primary care.

ORCID

Luis A. García Rodríguez D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0837-2709

REFERENCES

- Rothwell PM, Wilson M, Elwin CE, et al. Long-term effect of aspirin on colorectal cancer incidence and mortality: 20-year follow-up of five randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2010;376:1741-1750.
- Rothwell PM, Wilson M, Price JF, Belch JF, Meade TW, Mehta Z. Effect of daily aspirin on risk of cancer metastasis: a study of incident cancers during randomised controlled trials. *Lancet.* 2012;379: 1591-1601.
- Cuzick J, Thorat MA, Bosetti C, et al. Estimates of benefits and harms of prophylactic use of aspirin in the general population. *Ann Oncol.* 2015;26:47-57.
- Garcia Rodriguez LA, Soriano-Gabarro M, Bromley S, Lanas A, Cea SL. New use of low-dose aspirin and risk of colorectal cancer by stage at diagnosis: a nested case-control study in UKgeneral practice. BMC Cancer. 2017;17:637.
- Cea Soriano L, Soriano-Gabarró M, García Rodríguez LA. The protective effect of low-dose aspirin against colorectal cancer is unlikely explained by selection bias: results from three different study designs in clinical practice. *PLoS One*. 2016;11:e0159179.
- Vaughan LE, Prizment A, Blair CK, Thomas W, Anderson KE. Aspirin use and the incidence of breast, colon, ovarian, and pancreatic cancers in elderly women in the Iowa Women's health study. *Cancer Cau*ses Control. 2016;27:1395-1402.
- Rodriguez-Miguel A, Garcia-Rodriguez LA, Gil M, Montoya H, Rodriguez-Martin S, de Abajo FJ. Clopidogrel and low-dose aspirin, alone or together, reduce risk of colorectal cancer. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2019; Sep;17(10):2024-2033.e2.
- Patrignani P, Patrono C. Aspirin and cancer. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016; 68:967-976.
- Bruno A, Dovizio M, Tacconelli S, Patrignani P. Mechanisms of the antitumoural effects of aspirin in the gastrointestinal tract. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol.* 2012;26:e1-e13.
- Ferlay J, Ervik M, Lam F, et al. *Global Cancer Observatory: Cancer Today*. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2018 https://gco.iarc.fr/today. Accessed July 24, 2019.
- Howlader NNA, Krapcho M, Miller D, et al., eds. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2016. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute; 2018 Available from https://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975_2016/, based on November 2018 SEER data submission, posted to the SEER web site, April 2019.
- Cancer Research UK. One-, five- and ten-year survival for oesophageal cancer. https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancerstatistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/oesophageal-cancer/ survival#heading-Zero. Accessed July 24, 2019.
- Cancer Research UK. One-, five- and ten-year survival for stomach cancer. https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancerstatistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/stomach-cancer/survival#heading-Zero. Accessed July 24, 2019.
- 14. German Centre for Cancer Reigstry Data, Cancer in Germany 2013/2014.
- Cancer Research UK, Stomach cancer incidence by stage at diagnosis. https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancerstatistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/stomach-cancer/ incidence#heading-Three. Accessed July 24, 2019.
- Cancer Research UK, Oesophageal cancer incidence by stage at diagnosis. https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/ cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/oesophageal-cancer/ incidence#heading-Three. Accessed July 24, 2019.
- Farrell B, Godwin J, Richards S, Warlow C. The United Kingdom transient ischaemic attack (UK-TIA) aspirin trial: final results. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1991;54:1044-1054.

Suice IJC

- Peto R, Gray R, Collins R, et al. Randomised trial of prophylactic daily aspirin in British male doctors. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. 1988;296: 313-316.
- The Medical Research Council's General Practice Research Framework. Thrombosis prevention trial: randomised trial of low-intensity oral anticoagulation with warfarin and low-dose aspirin in the primary prevention of ischaemic heart disease in men at increased risk. *Lancet*. 1998;351:233-241.
- Rothwell PM, Fowkes FG, Belch JF, Ogawa H, Warlow CP, Meade TW. Effect of daily aspirin on long-term risk of death due to cancer: analysis of individual patient data from randomised trials. *Lancet.* 2011;377:31-41.
- 21. Ye X, Fu J, Yang Y, Gao Y, Liu L, Chen S. Frequency-risk and durationrisk relationships between aspirin use and gastric cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e71522.
- Kim YI, Kim SY, Kim JH, et al. Long-term low-dose aspirin use reduces gastric cancer incidence: a Nationwide cohort study. *Cancer Res Treat*. 2016;48:798-805.
- 23. Huang XZ, Chen Y, Wu J, et al. Aspirin and non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs use reduce gastric cancer risk: a dose-response meta-analysis. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8:4781-4795.
- Tsoi KKF, Ho JMW, Chan FCH, Sung JJY. Long-term use of low-dose aspirin for cancer prevention: a 10-year population cohort study in Hong Kong. Int J Cancer. 2019;145:267-273.
- Corley DA, Kerlikowske K, Verma R, Buffler P. Protective association of aspirin/NSAIDs and esophageal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology*. 2003;124:47-56.
- Cea Soriano L, Gaist D, Soriano-Gabarró M, Bromley S, García Rodríguez LA. Low-dose aspirin and risk of intracranial bleeds: an observational study in UKgeneral practice. *Neurology*. 2017;89: 2280-2287.
- 27. Garcia Rodriguez LA, Lanas A, Soriano-Gabarro M, Cea SL. Low-dose aspirin and risk of upper/lower gastrointestinal bleeding by bleed severity: a cohort study with nested case-control analysis using primary care electronic health records from the United Kingdom. Ann Med. 2019;2019(51):182-192.
- Blak BT, Thompson M, Dattani H, Bourke A. Generalisability of the health improvement network (THIN) database: demographics, chronic disease prevalence and mortality rates. *Inform Prim Care.* 2011;19: 251-255.
- Lewis JD, Schinnar R, Bilker WB, Wang X, Strom BL. Validation studies of the health improvement network (THIN) database for pharmacoepidemiology research. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2007;16: 393-401.
- NHS Digital. Read codes. http://systems.digital.nhs.uk/data/uktc/ readcodes. Accessed April 7 2019.
- Cea Soriano L, Soriano-Gabarró M, García Rodríguez LA. Validation of low-dose aspirin prescription data in the health improvement

network: how much misclassification due to over-the-counter use? *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2016;25:392-398.

- 32. Cea Soriano L, Soriano-Gabarro M, Garcia Rodriguez LA. Incidence of colorectal cancer in new users and non-users of low-dose aspirin without existing cardiovascular disease: a cohort study using the health improvement network. *Int J Cardiol.* 2017;248:376-381.
- Bambace NM, Holmes CE. The platelet contribution to cancer progression. J Thromb Haemost. 2011;9:237-249.
- Gay LJ, Felding-Habermann B. Contribution of platelets to tumour metastasis. Nat Rev Cancer. 2011;11:123-134.
- Cathcart MC, Reynolds JV, O'Byrne KJ, Pidgeon GP. The role of prostacyclin synthase and thromboxane synthase signaling in the development and progression of cancer. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1805;2010:153-166.
- Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, et al. 2019 ACC/AHA guideline on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Circulation*. 2019;140:e596-e646.
- Vandvik PO, Lincoff AM, Gore JM, et al. Primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest*. 2012;141:e6375-e6685.
- Bibbins-Domingo K, U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Aspirin use for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease and colorectal cancer: U.S. preventive services task force recommendation statement. Ann Intern Med. 2016;164:836-845.
- Halvorsen S, Andreotti F, ten Berg JM, et al. Aspirin therapy in primary cardiovascular disease prevention: a position paper of the European Society of Cardiology working group on thrombosis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;64:319-327.
- Zheng SL, Roddick AJ. Association of Aspirin use for primary prevention with cardiovascular events and bleeding events: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2019;321:277-287.
- Bowman L, Mafham M, Wallendszus K, et al. Effects of aspirin for primary prevention in persons with diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med. 2018;379:1529-1539.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: García Rodríguez LA, Soriano-Gabarró M, Vora P, Cea Soriano L. Low-dose aspirin and risk of gastric and oesophageal cancer: A population-based study in the United Kingdom using The Health Improvement Network. Int. J. Cancer. 2020;147:2394–2404. <u>https://doi.</u> org/10.1002/ijc.33022