#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Juan Manuel Pedraza, University of Los Andes, Colombia

REVIEWED BY Jeffrey Way, Harvard Medical School, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE David T. Riglar, d.riglar@imperial.ac.uk

<sup>+</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Nanobiotechnology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology

RECEIVED 01 June 2022 ACCEPTED 08 August 2022 PUBLISHED 02 September 2022

#### CITATION

Robinson CM, Short NE and Riglar DT (2022), Achieving spatially precise diagnosis and therapy in the mammalian gut using synthetic microbial gene circuits.

Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 10:959441. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2022.959441

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Robinson, Short and Riglar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY).

The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Achieving spatially precise diagnosis and therapy in the mammalian gut using synthetic microbial gene circuits

Clare M. Robinson<sup>†</sup>, Nina E. Short<sup>†</sup> and David T. Riglar\*

Section of Structural and Synthetic Biology, Department of Infectious Disease, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

The mammalian gut and its microbiome form a temporally dynamic and spatially heterogeneous environment. The inaccessibility of the gut and the spatially restricted nature of many gut diseases translate into difficulties in diagnosis and therapy for which novel tools are needed. Engineered bacterial whole-cell biosensors and therapeutics have shown early promise at addressing these challenges. Natural and engineered sensing systems can be repurposed in synthetic genetic circuits to detect spatially specific biomarkers during health and disease. Heat, light, and magnetic signals can also activate gene circuit function with externally directed spatial precision. The resulting engineered bacteria can report on conditions *in situ* within the complex gut environment or produce biotherapeutics that specifically target host or microbiome activity. Here, we review the current approaches to engineering spatial precision for *in vivo* bacterial diagnostics and therapeutics using synthetic circuits, and the challenges and opportunities this technology presents.

#### KEYWORDS

synthetic biology, bacteria, gut, microbiota, diagnostic, therapy, spatial, biogeography

# Introduction

The gastrointestinal tract is a spatially heterogeneous environment, with variable oxygen, pH, nutrients, host immune and antimicrobial factors, and microbiota composition (Donaldson et al., 2016; Tropini et al., 2017; Martinez-Guryn et al., 2019; Kudelka et al., 2020; Miller et al., 2021). Disease-associated changes to host and microbiome are also spatially heterogeneous, with variation seen both longitudinally and radially in the gut, for instance those detected during colorectal cancer (Abed et al., 2016; Saffarian et al., 2019) and Crohn's disease (Gevers et al., 2014). These variations complicate disease understanding, diagnosis, and treatment. However, they can also be seen as an opportunity to develop location-specific diagnostics and therapies (Hua, 2020; Li et al., 2020).

The role of the gut microbiota in therapy is increasingly apparent: microbes modify and sequester orally delivered drugs, impacting efficacy on a patient-to-



patient basis (Javdan et al., 2020; Klunemann et al., 2021); many drugs have unintended antibiotic effects, which can cause secondary complications as a result of the changed microbiota (Maier et al., 2018); and drug side-effects and toxicity can limit usage. Targeted therapies could offer increased efficacy, while reducing overall dosage, toxicity, and off-target effects. Disease diagnostics that provide spatial information could improve specificity and give the potential for differential diagnosis, thus reducing the need for invasive, costly procedures such as endoscopies. The development of spatially precise tools is hindered, however, by the gut's inherent inaccessibility. Diagnostic sampling methods are either invasive (ex. endoscopies) or lack spatial resolution (ex. stool samples). Limited tools exist for spatially targeting therapeutic delivery.

A promising strategy to achieve these goals is using the bacteria residing in the gut for clinical applications. Bacteria have been used as probiotics to improve human health for over a century (Schultz, 2008) and, more recently, faecal microbiota transplants have also shown clinical success (Khoruts et al., 2021), but both lack definition and functional precision. Synthetic biology enables the design of engineered bacteria with specific diagnostic sensors and therapeutic outputs, with

several examples having already entered clinical trials (Brennan, 2022).

This field is poised for rapid growth, with an expanding toolbox of synthetic biology tools available to engineer an ever-increasing range of bacteria (Ronda et al., 2019; Jin et al., 2022; Rubin et al., 2022). Basic synthetic circuits, some of which are detailed below, have been used to create *in situ* biosensors for diagnosis, recording, and therapeutic delivery (Figure 1).

- Binary memory systems can record the presence or absence of a stimulus using bistable transcriptional genetic switches (Gardner et al., 2000; Kotula et al., 2014), recombinases and/or integrases (Moon et al., 2011; Bonnet et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2014).
- Read and Write Memory Systems record signals by DNA editing, with "analogue" accumulation of edits in a population reflecting the presence, and combined strength, and/or duration of one or more stimuli. Examples include CRISPR base editing (CAMERA and DHARMA) (Tang and Liu, 2018; Tu and Esvelt, 2022 [pre-print]) and retron-based systems (SCRIBE and HiSCRIBE) (Farzadfard and Lu, 2014; Farzadfard et al., 2021).



Strategies for engineering spatial precision in live diagnostic and therapeutic bacteria. (A) The gut is a heterogeneous environment, with natural gradients of different molecules and compounds. (B) Live bacteria can be engineered with the ability to detect signals associated with disease, such as nitrate and tetrathionate during inflammation, and produce a therapeutic output. (C) Synthetic approaches for spatially targeting engineered live bacteria include engineered or enhanced tropism, expression of targeting peptides, and engineering motility pathways. (D) Using quorum sensing to engineer inter-bacterial communication, or for close-range pathogen detection and eradication are complementary approaches to gain spatial resolution. (E) Non-invasive externally applied inducers could also allow precise spatial activation or detection of live therapeutics.

- Stimulus Counters "count" small numbers of independent induction events using recombinases, riboregulated transcriptional cascades, or genetic transcriptional switches (Friedland et al., 2009; Stirling et al., 2020).
- Biocontainment Systems prevent engineered bacteria from surviving outside a specified environment. Examples include engineered physical containment (Tang et al., 2021), auxotrophy (Steidler et al., 2003), "kill-switches" (Stirling et al., 2017; Stirling et al., 2020; Rottinghaus et al., 2022) or xenobiotic approaches, in which genomically recoded organisms are dependent on synthetic molecules (Marlière et al., 2011; Mandell et al., 2015; Rovner et al., 2015).
- Logic Gates and Complex Signal Processing can be used to compute multiple signals, for example using recombinases or toehold switches (Green et al., 2014), enabling engineered complex logic, such as state machines (Ham et al., 2008; Roquet et al.,

2016). They have been applied in the invertebrate gut (Gao and Sun, 2021).

For further detail we direct readers to recent reviews giving an overview of engineered bacterial biotherapeutics (Riglar and Silver, 2018; Cubillos-Ruiz et al., 2021; Brennan, 2022).

Herein, we focus on synthetic gene circuits that enable spatial precision within the mammalian gut (Figure 2). These circuits can augment the natural characteristics of a "chassis" strain, adding sensors for spatial cues, enhancing tropism and colonisation, or conferring the ability to naturally move towards specific regions of the gut. We will discuss spatially specific molecular "input" signals such as disease, pathogen, or endogenous gradients, externally controlled physical cues such as light, heat, or magnetic signals, and the opportunities and challenges in engineering spatially precise diagnostic and therapeutic bacteria.

# Spatial precision by molecular sensing

Bacteria have a naturally diverse catalogue of transcriptional, translational, or post-translational sensing mechanisms. These include, one-component systems (OCS), two-component systems (TCS), and extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factors. Spatially precise and disease-specific gene regulators are used naturally by bacteria to control their function in the gut, making them an exciting option to control spatially precise functions of engineered bacteria. Synthetic signalling systems are also being developed to further expand this toolbox (Schmidl et al., 2019).

# Sensing physical proximity to pathogens or disease

Biosensing of infection and disease is a key goal for diagnostics but can also be used to activate synthetic circuits specifically at disease sites to create localised therapeutics. Many drugs, in particular broadspectrum antibiotics, have off-target effects on the native microbiota, which can compromise colonisation resistance and promote opportunistic pathogen growth among other negative consequences (Maier et al., 2018; Javdan et al., 2020; Klunemann et al., 2021). The development of targeted therapies, whether by spatially restricting exposure or by other means, aims to mitigate these impacts.

Bacteria use quorum sensing (QS) to detect cell-to-cell proximity and density (Whiteley et al., 2017) over different spatial scales (van Gestel et al., 2021). QS is often used to design density- and proximity-dependent response due to its well-understood molecular mechanisms (Boo et al., 2021). In the context of the gut, spatial activation at infection sites can be achieved by repurposing pathogens' own QS mechanisms (Saeidi et al., 2011; Gupta et al., 2013; Hwang et al., 2014; Hwang et al., 2017; Jayaraman et al., 2017). For example, Escherichia coli was engineered to detect N-acyl homoserine lactone (AHL) from the pathogen Pseudomonas aeruginosa and respond by lysing to release a toxin and a biofilm destabilising enzyme (Hwang et al., 2017). This system reduced P. aeruginosa load in a murine infection model by 98% when used prophylactically and 77% when administered therapeutically after infection (Hwang et al., 2017). While the therapeutic efficacy of this system was below that of traditional antibiotics, the strong performance prophylactic promises that further improvements, such as using more potent bacteriocins (Mills et al., 2017) or some of the measures below, could further increase efficacy. A version of the engineered strain lacking spatial activation failed to reduce faecal pathogen levels, demonstrating the importance of spatial specificity and the potential to reduce off-target effects using "sense-andrespond" approaches.

QS can also be used for density-dependent delivery mechanism, which are being actively developed in the context of tumours (Ganai et al., 2009; Din et al., 2016), and could assist engineered bacteria to spatially co-ordinate within the gut (Wu and Luo, 2021). Kim et al. (2018) demonstrated specific intraand inter-species communication in the mouse gut using a QSbased circuit. Using QS for bacterial coordination may allow better control of function in the spatially partitioned gut environment (Whitaker et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2022).

DNA-responsive biosensors could offer a more specific signal of proximity to pathogens and other sites of disease. This may be particularly useful in situations where QS circuits suffer from crosstalk between strains. Two recent pre-prints have co-opted the natural competence of some bacterial strains to develop DNA-responsive biosensors (Cooper et al., 2021; Cheng et al., 2022). Cheng et al. (2022) developed a *Bacillus subtilis*-based system that specifically detects multiplexed environmental DNA sequences, including human pathogens within a community. An alternative system using *Acinetobacter baylyi* detected tumourassociated host DNA mutations within the mouse gut (Cooper et al., 2021). It remains to be seen whether these new biosensor mechanisms can deliver the sensitivity and stability necessary for more extensive use in the mammalian gut.

Live diagnostics have also been engineered to sense and respond to molecular disease biomarkers such as nitric oxide, nitrite and nitrate, thiosulfate, tetrathionate, and haeme (Archer et al., 2012; Courbet et al., 2015; Daeffler et al., 2017; Riglar et al., 2017; McKay et al., 2018; Mimee et al., 2018; Naydich et al., 2019). While the documented spatial resolution of these sensors is limited, these and other disease sensors could enable spatially and temporally precise "sense-and-respond" activation in a range of disease conditions. The localised activation and delivery of biological therapies in this way could minimise effective dosages, reducing the side-effects of otherwise toxic drugs.

### Sensing natural molecular gradients

Bacterial gene expression changes based on molecular cues that exist in natural gradients within the gut (Crook et al., 2020; Schmidt et al., 2022). These cues are often used by pathogens to spatially regulate function. Attaching and effacing pathogenic *E. coli* strains detect regional gut niches through hormones, oxygen, biotin, acetate, and bicarbonate to avoid activating metabolically burdensome virulence processes in unfavourable locations (Woodward et al., 2019). Clostridia modulate gene expression in response to spatially variable gut bile acids (Shalon et al., 2022 [pre-print]), and other microbes respond to specific spatially variable glycans (Ringot-Destrez et al., 2017). Similar sensing mechanisms could be co-opted to reduce the metabolic burden of therapeutic circuit expression in off-target regions. For example, a sensor for location within mucus layers would activate only in proximity to host cells and remain inactivated in the gut lumen. Spatial activation could also provide locational information in combination with disease diagnosis and monitoring.

Whilst promoters have been identified that exhibit consistent expression in E. coli throughout the mouse gut (Armetta et al., 2021), the catalogue of promoters with known spatial precision is limited. Chien et al. (2022) recently demonstrated spatial precision in the context of engineered growth tropism. Two E. coli strains were engineered to preferentially grow in specific regions of the mouse gut based on either hypoxic or low pH (Chien et al., 2022). The first showed enrichment in the hypoxic large intestine, whereas the second was depleted. Although promising, the resolution of this approach is currently limited to large gut regions. Understanding spatial characteristics of a greater range of promoters is therefore important for increasing precision and allowing control in all regions of the gut. Promoters responding to alternative molecules that vary in the gut, such as bile acids, short chain fatty acids, glycans or immune signals or used in concert with higher-order signal processing and logic gates may be particularly promising avenues to achieve this goal.

# Engineering tissue tropism, targeted binding, and pseudotaxis

Localised activity can also be achieved by spatially restricting bacterial growth, which is possible through natural tropisms of a chosen chassis strain, engineered tropism through expression of targeted binding proteins, and through non-genetic mechanisms such as the adherence of antibodies to the bacteria's surface (Vargason et al., 2020) or using encapsulation methods to contain (Liu et al., 2018; Mimee et al., 2018; Tang et al., 2021; Inda et al., 2022 [pre-print]) and selectively release bacteria within different regions of the gut (Cui et al., 2021). Some bacteria express outer-membrane proteins that bind to specific molecules or subsets of mammalian cells, concentrating them in the locations in which these cells or molecules are enriched. For example, Helicobacter species bind specific glycans in the small intestine and stomach epithelium (Matos et al., 2021), and Fusobacterium nucleatum bind a host factor overexpressed in colonic adenomas (Abed et al., 2016), both causing enrichment. These binding strategies can be repurposed in engineered biotherapeutics. Examples of targeted binding include a binding protein from Streptococcus gallolyticus expressed in E. coli to target cancerous colorectal epithelial cells (Ho et al., 2018), synthetic adhesins expressed in E. coli allowing specific adherence and colonisation of solid tumours (Piñero-Lambea et al., 2015), or recombinant curli fiber fused trefoil factors which enhanced binding to mucins and mammalian cells in cell culture (Duraj-Thatte et al., 2018) and in the mouse gut (Praveschotinunt et al., 2019).

Targeted binding can also simultaneously activate a desired gene of interest. Chang et al. (2018) created bacterial sensors to novel ligands by using single-domain antibodies fused to DNAbinding domains, and used this system to detect bile salts in the serum of liver transplants patients (Chang et al., 2021). Targeting proteins designed to be expressed on the surface of bacteria must be able to be effectively secreted or displayed, which may pose engineering challenges (Salema et al., 2013).

Another method for spatial targeting involves placing key motility genes under the control of a sensor module to direct the biotherapeutic to a target site. Placing the *E. coli* chemotactic protein CheZ under the control of hydrogen peroxide, nitric oxide, or quorum molecule sensitive promoters in a CheZ KO strain allowed "pseudotaxis" towards the respective signals (Hwang et al., 2014; McKay et al., 2018; Virgile et al., 2018). Although an intriguing idea, the effectiveness of motility-based targeting remains to be demonstrated in the mammalian gut, where forces such as peristalsis may impact bacterial localisation.

## Spatial precision by sensing nonmolecular signals

A powerful complementary approach to control engineered bacteria is using externally applied physical stimuli, such as light, temperature, or electromagnetic fields. As with the molecular sensing approaches described above, these tools aim to create minimally disruptive, non-invasive methods to activate diagnostics and therapeutics within specific regions of the gut. Unlike the molecular approaches, physical stimuli could be directed externally by a health care professional or an individual for on-demand activity.

## Optogenetics

Optogenetic systems use light-sensitive proteins or protein domains to regulate downstream cellular processes upon exposure to specific wavelengths of light (Lindner and Diepold, 2021). They are reversible, minimally invasive, and do not require overly specialised equipment, making them potentially well suited for clinical applications. Bacterial optogenetic systems have been tested in invertebrates (Hartsough et al., 2020) and smaller mammalian models, but not in deep tissue contexts in larger mammals. Systems that respond to near-infrared II (NIR-II, >1,000 nm) light are best suited for deep tissue contexts, such as spatial targeting within the gut, owing to deeper penetration, reduced scattering and lower phototoxicity of NIR-II light compared to shorter wavelengths, and their capacity for spectral multiplexing (Shcherbakova et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2020; Qiao et al., 2021). Several engineered bacterial TCS that respond to red or NIR light exist (Levskaya et al., 2005; Tabor et al., 2009; Tabor et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2017; Ong et al., 2018; Ong and Tabor, 2018; Hartsough et al., 2020). A recent OCS based on a NIR-sensitive phytochrome enabled transcriptional repression in *E. coli* with a 115-fold dynamic range (Kaberniuk et al., 2021), and benefits from being smaller than TCS counterparts.

Technological advances in photonics for medical applications are accelerating the use of optogenetics towards clinical contexts. For example, the poor tissue penetration of visible/UV light can be overcome using upconversion nanoparticles, which convert an externally applied NIR stimulus into internal UV or visible light (Chen et al., 2020). This approach enabled NIR-light inducible expression of antiinflammatory molecules in murine ulcerative colitis models (Yang et al., 2020; Cui et al., 2021). Implanted light-sources have also been used for optogenetic control of deep-brain and gut (Hibberd et al., 2018; Gong et al., 2020), and biodegradable hydrogel-based waveguides have been used to deliver light across >5 cm of mammalian tissue (Feng et al., 2020). To confirm the translational potential of bacterial optogenetic systems, testing in larger mammalian models is required, with careful consideration being given to the system's light-sensitive proteins and their cofactors, spatial and temporal resolution, kinetics, dynamic range, phototoxicity and potential for multiplexing. A growing number of optogenetic tool databases will help facilitate this (Lindner and Diepold, 2021).

### Temperature

Temperature-sensitive systems can also provide non-invasive spatially precise circuit induction. Recently, focussed ultrasound was used to control release of immune checkpoint inhibitors for anti-cancer immunotherapy in a murine solid tumour model (Piraner et al., 2017; Abedi et al., 2022). The mechanism was based on ultrasound induced local tissue heating which activated a temperature-sensitive transcriptional repressor, TlpA (Piraner et al., 2017). Ultrasound has also been used to image bacterial enzyme activity in the mouse gut using bacteria expressing an acoustic biosensor (Lakshmanan et al., 2020). Furthermore, focussed ultrasound is already being successfully used to treat human patients in other contexts, such as malignant liver, prostate, kidney, and pancreatic cancer (Izadifar et al., 2020), demonstrating the possibilities of ultrasound and temperaturesensitive activation in spatially resolved gut diagnostic and therapeutic capacities.

## Magnetism

Magnetism is an alternative external physical inducer commonly used in the clinic in the form of MRI. Whilst magnetic-sensitive genetic circuits have been developed in eukaryotic cells (Mosabbir and Truong, 2018; Madderson et al., 2021), they have not to our knowledge been established in bacterial contexts. Nonetheless, certain bacteria are naturally magnetosensitive, containing magnetic crystals that enable them to sense and orient themselves in alignment to magnetic fields (Faivre and Schüler, 2008) and alter MRI contrast (Liu et al., 2016). Magnetism can therefore be used to direct magnetotactic bacteria towards target sites, such as for delivering drugs to a tumour environment (Felfoul et al., 2016), and may also offer opportunities for MRI-based spatial diagnostics.

# Discussion

### Limitations and opportunities

The catalogue of spatial sensors that have been tested and validated in the mammalian gut is limited. New sensors can be developed through genome mining, direct targeted design, or directed evolution of existing sensors to improve or change their desired specificity or sensitivity (Miller et al., 2022). Bacteria have multitudes of regulatory sensing mechanisms which have begun to be catalogued in databases such as RegPrecise (Novichkov et al., 2013), Prodoric (Dudek and Jahn, 2022), MISTdb (Gumerov et al., 2020), P2TF (Ortet et al., 2012) and CollecTF (Kilic et al., 2014). In addition, high quality bacterial transcriptome analysis databases, such as iModulonDB (Rychel et al., 2020), are available and can provide additional regulatory information for biosensor construction. However, we have yet to take advantage of the huge natural catalogue of sensors in part due to the limited toolbox available for understanding spatial variation within the gut. Difficulties in acquiring samples with spatial information (ex. by biopsy, endoscopy, or dissection rather than faecal sampling), a lack of healthy human donor samples, and challenges performing transcriptomics of the gut microbiota have all hindered our fundamental understanding of this important area of biology. Developing new tools and prioritising datasets to understand the microbiota's functional biogeography in both animal models and humans is thus important. Promisingly, recent years have seen a steady increase in multi-omic and biopsy sampling being undertaken in human clinical studies, especially those including transcriptomics and metabolomics, which should provide new targets of interest for biosensor development and clinical validity. High throughput screening pipelines also have the potential to accelerate biosensor discovery (Sheth et al., 2017; Schmidt et al., 2018; Naydich et al., 2019; Schmidt et al., 2022).

An additional fundamental challenge is that any biosensor will be inherently limited by the combination of the spatial precision of the signal, the temporal characteristics of the synthetic circuits, and movement of bacteria within the gut. Small molecules that readily diffuse from their target site may activate distant sensors in a less targeted manner. Studying engineered probiotic activity *in situ* with high spatial precision

techniques when possible, or integrated engineered memory systems when not (Riglar et al., 2017; Naydich et al., 2019; Schmidt et al., 2022), will help to assess these factors. Alternatively, the exogenous, non-molecular signals discussed above may circumvent some of the issues associated with endogenous signals. Similarly, tapping into mechanisms like chemotaxis that bacteria natively use to deal with noisy molecular gradients may be a useful approach in comparison to more binary "present-on/absent-off" approaches. These characteristics will ultimately depend on a set of complex factors including the molecules in question, the application of interest and the local microbiota, which can deplete signalling molecules differentially. Differences in gut anatomy, diet, motility and retention time which vary between vertebrate species, individuals, disease states, and even time of day will also play a role given their known impacts on the microbiota. Ultimately, the precision required for a given system will depend on the application, such as the degree of off-target toxicity of the therapeutic in question. The fact that pathogens have managed to solve these issues through evolution to tightly control virulence gene expression within humans despite this level of noise, however, is heartening.

Engineered biotherapeutics are a promising technological opportunity for spatially precise investigations of the gut, and for diagnosis and treatment of gut diseases. While the field is still in its infancy, particularly with respect to clinical delivery, spatially precise engineered microbes are already primed to serve as exciting scientific investigative tools for non-invasive sampling of the complex gut microenvironment in animal models (Riglar et al., 2017; Naydich et al., 2019; Schmidt et al., 2022). There are undoubtably additional barriers for the use of these tools over traditional approaches in the clinic. However, we expect that the unique advantages of spatially precise engineered biotherapeutics, ranging from in situ noninvasive diagnosis, to complex signal processing power, and targeting for reduced off-target effects, will offer worthy rewards for overcoming these in a range of clinical scenarios. Advances in spatial and functional precision will need to go hand-in-hand with advances in biosafety, biocontainment and patient acceptance that are already being tackled more broadly for the use of engineered bacteria as live biotherapeutic products (Brennan, 2022). The next decade is likely to include critical

# References

Abed, J., Emgard, J. E., Zamir, G., Faroja, M., Almogy, G., Grenov, A., et al. (2016). Fap2 mediates Fusobacterium nucleatum colorectal adenocarcinoma enrichment by binding to tumor-expressed gal-GalNAc. *Cell. Host Microbe* 20 (2), 215–225. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2016.07.006

Abedi, M. H., Yao, M. S., Mittelstein, D. R., Bar-Zion, A., Swift, M. B., Lee-Gosselin, A., et al. (2022). Ultrasound-controllable engineered bacteria for cancer immunotherapy. *Nat. Commun.* 13 (1), 1585. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-29065-2

Archer, E. J., Robinson, A. B., and Suel, G. M. (2012). Engineered *E. coli* that detect and respond to gut inflammation through nitric oxide sensing. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 1 (10), 451–457. doi:10.1021/sb3000595

milestones in this space, and regulatory approval of the first products for clinical use will provide frameworks for addressing these challenges (Cordaillat-Simmons et al., 2020). Technological advances in synthetic biology, decreasing DNA synthesis cost, and an increasing understanding of the gut and gut microbiota will continue driving discovery in this area and development of new effective diagnostics and treatments for disease.

# Author contributions

CR, NS, and DR conceived of, wrote and edited the article.

# Funding

DR was supported by grant 211230/Z/18/Z from the Wellcome Trust and Royal Society. CR is funded by an Imperial College London President's PhD Scholarship.

# Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. David Carreño Yugueros for critical appraisal of the manuscript.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Armetta, J., Schantz-Klausen, M., Shepelin, D., Vazquez-Uribe, R., Bahl, M. I., Laursen, M. F., et al. (2021). *Escherichia coli* promoters with consistent expression throughout the murine gut. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 10 (12), 3359–3368. doi:10.1021/acssynbio.1c00325

Bonnet, J., Subsoontorn, P., and Endy, D. (2012). Rewritable digital data storage in live cells via engineered control of recombination directionality. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109 (23), 8884–8889. doi:10.1073/pnas.1202344109

Boo, A., Amaro, R. L., and Stan, G.-B. (2021). Quorum sensing in synthetic biology: A review. *Curr. Opin. Syst. Biol.* 28, 100378. doi:10.1016/j.coisb.2021. 100378

Brennan, A. M. (2022). Development of synthetic biotics as treatment for human diseases. *Synth. Biol.* 7 (1), ysac001. doi:10.1093/synbio/ysac001

Chang, H. J., Mayonove, P., Zavala, A., De Visch, A., Minard, P., Cohen-Gonsaud, M., et al. (2018). A modular receptor platform to expand the sensing repertoire of bacteria. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 7 (1), 166–175. doi:10.1021/acssynbio.7b00266

Chang, H. J., Zuniga, A., Conejero, I., Voyvodic, P. L., Gracy, J., Fajardo-Ruiz, E., et al. (2021). Programmable receptors enable bacterial biosensors to detect pathological biomarkers in clinical samples. *Nat. Commun.* 12 (1), 5216. doi:10. 1038/s41467-021-25538-y

Chen, G., Cao, Y., Tang, Y., Yang, X., Liu, Y., Huang, D., et al. (2020). Advanced near-infrared light for monitoring and modulating the spatiotemporal dynamics of cell functions in living systems. *Adv. Sci. (Weinh)*. 7 (8), 1903783. doi:10.1002/advs. 201903783

Cheng, Y.-Y., Chen, Z., Cao, X., Ross, T. D., Falbel, T. G., Burton, B. M., et al. (2022). Programming bacteria to sense environmental DNA for multiplexed pathogen detection. *bioRxiv*. doi:10.1101/2022.03.10.483875

Chien, T., Harimoto, T., Kepecs, B., Gray, K., Coker, C., Hou, N., et al. (2022). Enhancing the tropism of bacteria via genetically programmed biosensors. *Nat. Biomed. Eng.* 6 (1), 94–104. doi:10.1038/s41551-021-00772-3

Cooper, R. M., Wright, J. A., Ng, J. Q., Goyne, J. M., Suzuki, N., Lee, Y. K., et al. (2021). Engineered bacteria detect tumor DNA. *bioRxiv*. 2021.2009.2010.459858. doi:10.1101/2021.09.10.459858

Cordaillat-Simmons, M., Rouanet, A., and Pot, B. (2020). Live biotherapeutic products: The importance of a defined regulatory framework. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 52 (9), 1397–1406. doi:10.1038/s12276-020-0437-6

Courbet, A., Endy, D., Renard, E., Molina, F., and Bonnet, J. (2015). Detection of pathological biomarkers in human clinical samples via amplifying genetic switches and logic gates. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 7 (289), 289ra83. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed. aaa3601

Crook, N., Ferreiro, A., Condiotte, Z., and Dantas, G. (2020). Transcript barcoding illuminates the expression level of synthetic constructs in *E. coli* nissle residing in the mammalian gut. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 9 (5), 1010–1021. doi:10. 1021/acssynbio.0c00040

Cubillos-Ruiz, A., Guo, T., Sokolovska, A., Miller, P. F., Collins, J. J., Lu, T. K., et al. (2021). Engineering living therapeutics with synthetic biology. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 20 (12), 941–960. doi:10.1038/s41573-021-00285-3

Cui, M., Pang, G., Zhang, T., Sun, T., Zhang, L., Kang, R., et al. (2021). Optotheranostic nanosystem with phone visual diagnosis and optogenetic microbial therapy for ulcerative colitis at-home care. *ACS Nano* 15 (4), 7040–7052. doi:10.1021/acsnano.1c00135

Daeffler, K. N. M., Galley, J. D., Sheth, R. U., Ortiz-Velez, L. C., Bibb, C. O., Shroyer, N. F., et al. (2017). Engineering bacterial thiosulfate and tetrathionate sensors for detecting gut inflammation. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 13 (4), 923. doi:10.15252/msb.20167416

Din, M. O., Danino, T., Prindle, A., Skalak, M., Selimkhanov, J., Allen, K., et al. (2016). Synchronized cycles of bacterial lysis for *in vivo* delivery. *Nature* 536 (7614), 81–85. doi:10.1038/nature18930

Donaldson, G. P., Lee, S. M., and Mazmanian, S. K. (2016). Gut biogeography of the bacterial microbiota. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 14 (1), 20–32. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3552

Dudek, C. A., and Jahn, D. (2022). Prodoric: State-of-the-art database of prokaryotic gene regulation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 50 (D1), D295–D302. doi:10. 1093/nar/gkab1110

Duraj-Thatte, A. M., Praveschotinunt, P., Nash, T. R., Ward, F. R., Nguyen, P. Q., and Joshi, N. S. (2018). Modulating bacterial and gut mucosal interactions with engineered biofilm matrix proteins. *Sci. Rep.* 8 (1), 3475. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-21834-8

Faivre, D., and Schüler, D. (2008). Magnetotactic bacteria and magnetosomes. Chem. Rev. 108 (11), 4875–4898. doi:10.1021/cr078258w

Farzadfard, F., Gharaei, N., Citorik, R. J., and Lu, T. K. (2021). Efficient retroelement-mediated DNA writing in bacteria. *Cell. Syst.* 12 (9), 860–872.e5. e865. doi:10.1016/j.cels.2021.07.001

Farzadfard, F., and Lu, T. K. (2014). Synthetic biology. Genomically encoded analog memory with precise *in vivo* DNA writing in living cell populations. *Science* 346 (6211), 1256272. doi:10.1126/science.1256272

Felfoul, O., Mohammadi, M., Taherkhani, S., de Lanauze, D., Zhong Xu, Y., Loghin, D., et al. (2016). Magneto-aerotactic bacteria deliver drug-containing nanoliposomes to tumour hypoxic regions. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 11 (11), 941–947. doi:10.1038/nnano.2016.137

Feng, J., Zheng, Y. J., Bhusari, S., Villiou, M., Pearson, S., and del Campo, A. (2020). Printed degradable optical waveguides for guiding light into tissue. *Adv. Funct. Mat.* 30 (45), 2004327. ARTN 2004327. doi:10.1002/adfm.202004327

Friedland, A. E., Lu, T. K., Wang, X., Shi, D., Church, G., and Collins, J. J. (2009). Synthetic gene networks that count. *Science* 324 (5931), 1199–1202. doi:10.1126/science.1172005

Ganai, S., Arenas, R. B., and Forbes, N. S. (2009). Tumour-targeted delivery of TRAIL using *Salmonella typhimurium* enhances breast cancer survival in mice. *Br. J. Cancer* 101 (10), 1683–1691. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6605403

Gao, B., and Sun, Q. (2021). Programming gene expression in multicellular organisms for physiology modulation through engineered bacteria. *Nat. Commun.* 12 (1), 2689. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-22894-7

Gardner, T. S., Cantor, C. R., and Collins, J. J. (2000). Construction of a genetic toggle switch in *Escherichia coli*. *Nature* 403 (6767), 339–342. doi:10.1038/35002131

Gevers, D., Kugathasan, S., Denson, L. A., Vazquez-Baeza, Y., Van Treuren, W., Ren, B., et al. (2014). The treatment-naive microbiome in new-onset Crohn's disease. *Cell. Host Microbe* 15 (3), 382–392. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2014. 02.005

Gong, X., Mendoza-Halliday, D., Ting, J. T., Kaiser, T., Sun, X., Bastos, A. M., et al. (2020). An ultra-sensitive step-function opsin for minimally invasive optogenetic stimulation in mice and macaques. *Neuron* 107 (1), 38–51.e8. e38. doi:10.1016/j. neuron.2020.03.032

Green, A. A., Silver, P. A., Collins, J. J., and Yin, P. (2014). Toehold switches: Denovo-designed regulators of gene expression. *Cell.* 159 (4), 925–939. doi:10.1016/j. cell.2014.10.002

Gumerov, V. M., Ortega, D. R., Adebali, O., Ulrich, L. E., and Zhulin, I. B. (2020). MiST 3.0: An updated microbial signal transduction database with an emphasis on chemosensory systems. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48 (D1), D459–D464. doi:10.1093/nar/ gkz988

Gupta, S., Bram, E. E., and Weiss, R. (2013). Genetically programmable pathogen sense and destroy. ACS Synth. Biol. 2 (12), 715–723. doi:10.1021/sb4000417

Ham, T. S., Lee, S. K., Keasling, J. D., and Arkin, A. P. (2008). Design and construction of a double inversion recombination switch for heritable sequential genetic memory. *PLOS ONE* 3 (7), e2815. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002815

Hartsough, L. A., Park, M., Kotlajich, M. V., Lazar, J. T., Han, B., Lin, C. J., et al. (2020). Optogenetic control of gut bacterial metabolism to promote longevity. *Elife* 9, e56849. doi:10.7554/eLife.56849

Hibberd, T. J., Feng, J., Luo, J., Yang, P., Samineni, V. K., Gereau, R. W., et al. (2018). Optogenetic induction of colonic motility in mice. *Gastroenterology* 155 (2), 514–528.e6. e516. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2018.05.029

Ho, C. L., Tan, H. Q., Chua, K. J., Kang, A., Lim, K. H., Ling, K. L., et al. (2018). Engineered commensal microbes for diet-mediated colorectal-cancer chemoprevention. *Nat. Biomed. Eng.* 2 (1), 27–37. doi:10.1038/s41551-017-0181-y

Hua, S. (2020). Advances in oral drug delivery for regional targeting in the gastrointestinal tract - influence of physiological, pathophysiological and pharmaceutical factors. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11 (524). doi:10.3389/fphar.2020. 00524

Hwang, I. Y., Koh, E., Wong, A., March, J. C., Bentley, W. E., Lee, Y. S., et al. (2017). Engineered probiotic *Escherichia coli* can eliminate and prevent *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* gut infection in animal models. *Nat. Commun.* 8 (1), 15028. doi:10.1038/ncomms15028

Hwang, I. Y., Tan, M. H., Koh, E., Ho, C. L., Poh, C. L., and Chang, M. W. (2014). Reprogramming microbes to Be pathogen-seeking killers. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 3 (4), 228–237. doi:10.1021/sb400077j

Inda, M., Jimenez, M., Liu, Q., Phan, N., Ahn, J., Steiger, C., et al. (2022). Ingestible capsule for detecting labile inflammatory biomarkers *in situ. bioRxiv*. 2022.2002.2016.480562. doi:10.1101/2022.02.16.480562

Izadifar, Z., Izadifar, Z., Chapman, D., and Babyn, P. (2020). An introduction to high intensity focused ultrasound: Systematic review on principles, devices, and clinical applications. *J. Clin. Med.* 9 (2), 460. doi:10.3390/jcm9020460

Javdan, B., Lopez, J. G., Chankhamjon, P., Lee, Y. J., Hull, R., Wu, Q., et al. (2020). Personalized mapping of drug metabolism by the human gut microbiome. *Cell*. 181 (7), 1661–1679.e22. e1622. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.001

Jayaraman, P., Holowko, M. B., Yeoh, J. W., Lim, S., and Poh, C. L. (2017). Repurposing a two-component system-based biosensor for the killing of *Vibrio* cholerae. ACS Synth. Biol. 6 (7), 1403–1415. doi:10.1021/acssynbio.7b00058

Jin, W. B., Li, T. T., Huo, D., Qu, S., Li, X. V., Arifuzzaman, M., et al. (2022). Genetic manipulation of gut microbes enables single-gene interrogation in a complex microbiome. *Cell.* 185 (3), 547–562.e22. e522. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021. 12.035

Kaberniuk, A. A., Baloban, M., Monakhov, M. V., Shcherbakova, D. M., and Verkhusha, V. V. (2021). Single-component near-infrared optogenetic systems for gene transcription regulation. *Nat. Commun.* 12 (1), 3859. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-24212-7

Khoruts, A., Staley, C., and Sadowsky, M. J. (2021). Faecal microbiota transplantation for clostridioides difficile: Mechanisms and pharmacology. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 18 (1), 67–80. doi:10.1038/s41575-020-0350-4

Kilic, S., White, E. R., Sagitova, D. M., Cornish, J. P., and Erill, I. (2014). CollecTF: A database of experimentally validated transcription factor-binding sites in bacteria. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, D156–D160. Database issue). doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1123

Kim, S., Kerns, S. J., Ziesack, M., Bry, L., Gerber, G. K., Way, J. C., et al. (2018). Quorum sensing can Be repurposed to promote information transfer between bacteria in the mammalian gut. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 7 (9), 2270–2281. doi:10.1021/ acssynbio.8b00271

Klunemann, M., Andrejev, S., Blasche, S., Mateus, A., Phapale, P., Devendran, S., et al. (2021). Bioaccumulation of therapeutic drugs by human gut bacteria. *Nature* 597 (7877), 533–538. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03891-8

Kotula, J. W., Kerns, S. J., Shaket, L. A., Siraj, L., Collins, J. J., Way, J. C., et al. (2014). Programmable bacteria detect and record an environmental signal in the mammalian gut. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111 (13), 4838–4843. doi:10.1073/pnas.1321321111

Kudelka, M. R., Stowell, S. R., Cummings, R. D., and Neish, A. S. (2020). Intestinal epithelial glycosylation in homeostasis and gut microbiota interactions in IBD. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 17 (10), 597–617. doi:10.1038/s41575-020-0331-7

Lakshmanan, A., Jin, Z., Nety, S. P., Sawyer, D. P., Lee-Gosselin, A., Malounda, D., et al. (2020). Acoustic biosensors for ultrasound imaging of enzyme activity. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 16 (9), 988–996. doi:10.1038/s41589-020-0591-0

Levskaya, A., Chevalier, A. A., Tabor, J. J., Simpson, Z. B., Lavery, L. A., Levy, M., et al. (2005). Engineering *Escherichia coli* to see light. *Nature* 438 (7067), 441–442. doi:10.1038/nature04405

Li, X., Lu, C., Yang, Y., Yu, C., and Rao, Y. (2020). Site-specific targeted drug delivery systems for the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 129, 110486. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110486

Lindner, F., and Diepold, A. (2021). Optogenetics in bacteria – applications and opportunities. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 46, fuab055. doi:10.1093/femsre/fuab055

Liu, X., Lopez, P. A., Giessen, T. W., Giles, M., Way, J. C., and Silver, P. A. (2016). Engineering genetically-encoded mineralization and magnetism via directed evolution. *Sci. Rep.* 6 (1), 38019. doi:10.1038/srep38019

Liu, X., Yuk, H., Lin, S., Parada, G. A., Tang, T. C., Tham, E., et al. (2018). 3D printing of living responsive materials and devices. *Adv. Mat.* 30 (4), 1704821. doi:10.1002/adma.201704821

Ma, S., Luo, S., Wu, L., Liang, Z., and Wu, J.-R. (2017). Re-engineering the twocomponent systems as light-regulated in *Escherichia coli*. J. Biosci. 42 (4), 565–573. doi:10.1007/s12038-017-9711-8

Madderson, O., Teixeira, A. P., and Fussenegger, M. (2021). Emerging mammalian gene switches for controlling implantable cell therapies. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 64, 98–105. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2021.05.012

Maier, L., Pruteanu, M., Kuhn, M., Zeller, G., Telzerow, A., Anderson, E. E., et al. (2018). Extensive impact of non-antibiotic drugs on human gut bacteria. *Nature* 555 (7698), 623–628. doi:10.1038/nature25979

Mandell, D. J., Lajoie, M. J., Mee, M. T., Takeuchi, R., Kuznetsov, G., Norville, J. E., et al. (2015). Biocontainment of genetically modified organisms by synthetic protein design. *Nature* 518 (7537), 55–60. doi:10.1038/nature14121

Marlière, P., Patrouix, J., Döring, V., Herdewijn, P., Tricot, S., Cruveiller, S., et al. (2011). Chemical evolution of a bacterium's genome. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 50 (31), 7109–7114. doi:10.1002/anie.201100535

Martinez-Guryn, K., Leone, V., and Chang, E. B. (2019). Regional diversity of the gastrointestinal microbiome. *Cell. Host Microbe* 26 (3), 314–324. doi:10.1016/j. chom.2019.08.011

Matos, R., Amorim, I., Magalhaes, A., Haesebrouck, F., Gartner, F., and Reis, C. A. (2021). Adhesion of Helicobacter species to the human gastric mucosa: A deep look into glycans role. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 8, 656439. doi:10.3389/fmolb.2021.656439

McKay, R., Ghodasra, M., Schardt, J., Quan, D., Pottash, A. E., Shang, W., et al. (2018). A platform of genetically engineered bacteria as vehicles for localized delivery of therapeutics: Toward applications for Crohn's disease. *Bioeng. Transl. Med.* 3 (3), 209–221. doi:10.1002/btm2.10113

Miller, B. M., Liou, M. J., Lee, J. Y., and Baumler, A. J. (2021). The longitudinal and cross-sectional heterogeneity of the intestinal microbiota. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 63, 221–230. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2021.08.004

Miller, C. A., Ho, J. M. L., and Bennett, M. R. (2022). Strategies for improving small-molecule biosensors in bacteria. *Biosens. (Basel)* 12 (2), 64. doi:10.3390/bios12020064

Mills, S., Ross, R. P., and Hill, C. (2017). Bacteriocins and bacteriophage; a narrow-minded approach to food and gut microbiology. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 41, S129–S153. doi:10.1093/femsre/fux022

Mimee, M., Nadeau, P., Hayward, A., Carim, S., Flanagan, S., Jerger, L., et al. (2018). An ingestible bacterial-electronic system to monitor gastrointestinal health. *Science* 360 (6391), 915–918. doi:10.1126/science.aas9315

Moon, T. S., Clarke, E. J., Groban, E. S., Tamsir, A., Clark, R. M., Eames, M., et al. (2011). Construction of a genetic multiplexer to toggle between chemosensory pathways in *Escherichia coli. J. Mol. Biol.* 406 (2), 215–227. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2010. 12.019

Mosabbir, A. A., and Truong, K. (2018). Genetically encoded circuit for remote regulation of cell migration by magnetic fields. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 7 (2), 718–726. doi:10.1021/acssynbio.7b00415

Naydich, A. D., Nangle, S. N., Bues, J. J., Trivedi, D., Nissar, N., Inniss, M. C., et al. (2019). Synthetic gene circuits enable systems-level biosensor trigger discovery at the host-microbe interface. *mSystems* 4 (4), e00125–00119. doi:10.1128/mSystems. 00125-19

Novichkov, P. S., Kazakov, A. E., Ravcheev, D. A., Leyn, S. A., Kovaleva, G. Y., Sutormin, R. A., et al. (2013). RegPrecise 3.0--a resource for genome-scale exploration of transcriptional regulation in bacteria. *BMC Genomics* 14, 745. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-745

Ong, N. T., Olson, E. J., and Tabor, J. J. (2018). Engineering an *E. coli* nearinfrared light sensor. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 7 (1), 240–248. doi:10.1021/acssynbio. 7b00289

Ong, N. T., and Tabor, J. J. (2018). A miniaturized *Escherichia coli* green light sensor with high dynamic range. *ChemBioChem* 19 (12), 1255–1258. doi:10.1002/cbic.201800007

Ortet, P., De Luca, G., Whitworth, D. E., and Barakat, M. (2012). P2TF: A comprehensive resource for analysis of prokaryotic transcription factors. *BMC Genomics* 13, 628. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-13-628

Piñero-Lambea, C., Bodelón, G., Fernández-Periáñez, R., Cuesta, A. M., Álvarez-Vallina, L., and Fernández, L. Á. (2015). Programming controlled adhesion of *E. coli* to target surfaces, cells, and tumors with synthetic adhesins. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 4 (4), 463–473. doi:10.1021/sb500252a

Piraner, D. I., Abedi, M. H., Moser, B. A., Lee-Gosselin, A., and Shapiro, M. G. (2017). Tunable thermal bioswitches for *in vivo* control of microbial therapeutics. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 13 (1), 75–80. doi:10.1038/nchembio.2233

Praveschotinunt, P., Duraj-Thatte, A. M., Gelfat, I., Bahl, F., Chou, D. B., and Joshi, N. S. (2019). Engineered *E. coli* Nissle 1917 for the delivery of matrix-tethered therapeutic domains to the gut. *Nat. Commun.* 10 (1), 5580. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13336-6

Qiao, S., Xin, F., Wu, M., Zheng, Y., Zhao, B., Zhang, C., et al. (2021). A remotely controlled NIR-II photothermal-sensitive transgene system for hepatocellular carcinoma synergistic therapy. *J. Mat. Chem. B* 9 (25), 5083–5091. doi:10.1039/ d1tb00493j

Riglar, D. T., Giessen, T. W., Baym, M., Kerns, S. J., Niederhuber, M. J., Bronson, R. T., et al. (2017). Engineered bacteria can function in the mammalian gut longterm as live diagnostics of inflammation. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 35 (7), 653–658. doi:10. 1038/nbt.3879

Riglar, D. T., and Silver, P. A. (2018). Engineering bacteria for diagnostic and therapeutic applications. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 16 (4), 214–225. doi:10.1038/nrmicro. 2017.172

Ringot-Destrez, B., Kalach, N., Mihalache, A., Gosset, P., Michalski, J. C., Leonard, R., et al. (2017). How do they stick together? Bacterial adhesins implicated in the binding of bacteria to the human gastrointestinal mucins. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 45 (2), 389–399. doi:10.1042/BST20160167

Ronda, C., Chen, S. P., Cabral, V., Yaung, S. J., and Wang, H. H. (2019). Metagenomic engineering of the mammalian gut microbiome *in situ. Nat. Methods* 16 (2), 167–170. doi:10.1038/s41592-018-0301-y

Roquet, N., Soleimany, A. P., Ferris, A. C., Aaronson, S., and Lu, T. K. (2016). Synthetic recombinase-based state machines in living cells. *Science* 353 (6297), aad8559. doi:10.1126/science.aad8559

Rottinghaus, A. G., Ferreiro, A., Fishbein, S. R. S., Dantas, G., and Moon, T. S. (2022). Genetically stable CRISPR-based kill switches for engineered microbes. *Nat. Commun.* 13 (1), 672. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-28163-5

Rovner, A. J., Haimovich, A. D., Katz, S. R., Li, Z., Grome, M. W., Gassaway, B. M., et al. (2015). Recoded organisms engineered to depend on synthetic amino acids. *Nature* 518 (7537), 89–93. doi:10.1038/nature14095

Rubin, B. E., Diamond, S., Cress, B. F., Crits-Christoph, A., Lou, Y. C., Borges, A. L., et al. (2022). Species- and site-specific genome editing in complex bacterial communities. *Nat. Microbiol.* 7 (1), 34–47. doi:10.1038/s41564-021-01014-7

Rychel, K., Decker, K., Sastry, A. V., Phaneuf, P. V., Poudel, S., and Palsson, B. O. (2020). iModulonDB: a knowledgebase of microbial transcriptional regulation derived from machine learning. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49 (D1), D112–D120. doi:10. 1093/nar/gkaa810

Saeidi, N., Wong, C. K., Lo, T.-M., Nguyen, H. X., Ling, H., Leong, S. S. J., et al. (2011). Engineering microbes to sense and eradicate *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, a human pathogen. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 7 (1), 521. doi:10.1038/msb.2011.55

Saffarian, A., Mulet, C., Regnault, B., Amiot, A., Tran-Van-Nhieu, J., Ravel, J., et al. (2019). Crypt- and mucosa-associated core microbiotas in humans and their alteration in colon cancer patients. *mBio* 10 (4), e01315-19. doi:10.1128/mBio. 01315-19

Salema, V., Marín, E., Martínez-Arteaga, R., Ruano-Gallego, D., Fraile, S., Margolles, Y., et al. (2013). Selection of single domain antibodies from immune libraries displayed on the surface of *E. coli* cells with two  $\beta$ -domains of opposite topologies. *PLOS ONE* 8 (9), e75126. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075126

Schmidl, S. R., Ekness, F., Sofjan, K., Daeffler, K. N. M., Brink, K. R., Landry, B. P., et al. (2019). Rewiring bacterial two-component systems by modular DNA-binding domain swapping. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 15 (7), 690–698. doi:10.1038/s41589-019-0286-6

Schmidt, F., Cherepkova, M. Y., and Platt, R. J. (2018). Transcriptional recording by CRISPR spacer acquisition from RNA. *Nature* 562 (7727), 380–385. doi:10.1038/ s41586-018-0569-1

Schmidt, F., Zimmermann, J., Tanna, T., Farouni, R., Conway, T., Macpherson, A. J., et al. (2022). Noninvasive assessment of gut function using transcriptional recording sentinel cells. *Science* 376 (6594), eabm6038. doi:10.1126/science. abm6038

Schultz, M. (2008). Clinical use of *E. coli* Nissle 1917 in inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* 14 (7), 1012–1018. doi:10.1002/ibd.20377

Shalon, D., Culver, R. N., Grembi, J. A., Folz, J., Treit, P., Dethlefsen, L., et al. (2022). Profiling of the human intestinal microbiome and bile acids under physiologic conditions using an ingestible sampling device. *bioRxiv*. doi:10.1101/2022.01.19.476920

Shcherbakova, D. M., Stepanenko, O. V., Turoverov, K. K., and Verkhusha, V. V. (2018). Near-infrared fluorescent proteins: Multiplexing and optogenetics across scales. *Trends Biotechnol.* 36 (12), 1230–1243. doi:10. 1016/j.tibtech.2018.06.011

Sheth, R. U., Yim, S. S., Wu, F. L., and Wang, H. H. (2017). Multiplex recording of cellular events over time on CRISPR biological tape. *Science* 358 (6369), 1457–1461. doi:10.1126/science.aao0958

Steidler, L., Neirynck, S., Huyghebaert, N., Snoeck, V., Vermeire, A., Goddeeris, B., et al. (2003). Biological containment of genetically modified Lactococcus lactis for intestinal delivery of human interleukin 10. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 21 (7), 785–789. doi:10.1038/nbt840

Stirling, F., Bitzan, L., O'Keefe, S., Redfield, E., Oliver, J. W. K., Way, J., et al. (2017). Rational design of evolutionarily stable microbial kill switches. *Mol. Cell.* 68 (4), 686–697.e3. e683. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2017.10.033

Stirling, F., Naydich, A., Bramante, J., Barocio, R., Certo, M., Wellington, H., et al. (2020). Synthetic cassettes for pH-mediated sensing, counting, and containment. *Cell. Rep.* 30 (9), 3139–3148.e4. e3134. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020.02.033

Tabor, J. J., Levskaya, A., and Voigt, C. A. (2011). Multichromatic control of gene expression in *Escherichia coli. J. Mol. Biol.* 405 (2), 315–324. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2010. 10.038

Tabor, J. J., Salis, H. M., Simpson, Z. B., Chevalier, A. A., Levskaya, A., Marcotte, E. M., et al. (2009). A synthetic genetic edge detection program. *Cell.* 137 (7), 1272–1281. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.04.048

Tang, T.-C., Tham, E., Liu, X., Yehl, K., Rovner, A. J., Yuk, H., et al. (2021). Hydrogel-based biocontainment of bacteria for continuous sensing and computation. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 17 (6), 724–731. doi:10.1038/s41589-021-00779-6

Tang, W., and Liu, D. R. (2018). Rewritable multi-event analog recording in bacterial and mammalian cells. *Science* 360 (6385), eaap8992. doi:10.1126/science. aap8992

Tropini, C., Earle, K. A., Huang, K. C., and Sonnenburg, J. L. (2017). The gut microbiome: Connecting spatial organization to function. *Cell. Host Microbe* 21 (4), 433–442. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2017.03.010

Tu, B., and Esvelt, K. M. (2022). High-throughput molecular recording can determine the identity and biological activity of sequences within single cells. *bioRxiv*. 2022.2003.2009.483646. doi:10.1101/2022.03.09.483646

van Gestel, J., Bareia, T., Tenennbaum, B., Dal Co, A., Guler, P., Aframian, N., et al. (2021). Short-range quorum sensing controls horizontal gene transfer at micron scale in bacterial communities. *Nat. Commun.* 12 (1), 2324. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-22649-4

Vargason, A. M., Santhosh, S., and Anselmo, A. C. (2020). Therapeutic bacteria: Surface modifications for improved delivery and function of therapeutic bacteria (small 25/2020). *Small* 16 (25), 2070137. doi:10.1002/smll.202070137

Virgile, C., Hauk, P., Wu, H.-C., Shang, W., Tsao, C.-Y., Payne, G. F., et al. (2018). Engineering bacterial motility towards hydrogen-peroxide. *PLoS ONE* 13 (5), e0196999. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0196999

Whitaker, W. R., Shepherd, E. S., and Sonnenburg, J. L. (2017). Tunable expression tools enable single-cell strain distinction in the gut microbiome. *Cell.* 169 (3), 538–546.e12. e512. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.03.041

Whiteley, M., Diggle, S. P., and Greenberg, E. P. (2017). Progress in and promise of bacterial quorum sensing research. *Nature* 551 (7680), 313–320. doi:10.1038/ nature24624

Woodward, S. E., Krekhno, Z., and Finlay, B. B. (2019). Here, there, and everywhere: How pathogenic *Escherichia coli* sense and respond to gastrointestinal biogeography. *Cell Microbiol.* 21 (11), e13107. doi:10.1111/cmi. 13107

Wu, F., Ha, Y., Weiss, A., Wang, M., Letourneau, J., Wang, S., et al. (2022). Modulation of microbial community dynamics by spatial partitioning. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 18 (4), 394–402. doi:10.1038/s41589-021-00961-w

Wu, L., and Luo, Y. (2021). Bacterial quorum-sensing systems and their role in intestinal bacteria-host crosstalk. *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 611413. doi:10.3389/fmicb. 2021.611413

Yang, C., Cui, M., Zhang, Y., Pan, H., Liu, J., Wang, S., et al. (2020). Upconversion optogenetic micro-nanosystem optically controls the secretion of light-responsive bacteria for systemic immunity regulation. *Commun. Biol.* 3 (1), 561. doi:10.1038/ s42003-020-01287-4

Yang, L., Nielsen, A. A., Fernandez-Rodriguez, J., McClune, C. J., Laub, M. T., Lu, T. K., et al. (2014). Permanent genetic memory with >1-byte capacity. *Nat. Methods* 11 (12), 1261–1266. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3147