1 2	CD6 Regulates CD4 T Follicular Helper Cell Differentiation and Humoral Immunity During Murine Coronavirus Infection
3 4 5	Running Title: CD6 Suppresses CD4 T Cell Activation
6 7 8	Amber Cardani-Boulton ^{1,2} , Feng Lin ^{3,2} , and Cornelia C Bergmann ^{1,2,#}
9	¹ Department of Neurosciences, Lerner Research Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland,
10 11	OH, USA ² Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland Clinic, Lerner College of Medicine
12	³ Department of Immunity and Inflammation, Lerner Research Institute, Cleveland
13	Clinic, Cleveland, OH
14	[#] Address correspondence to Dr. Cornelia C. Bergmann, bergmac@ccf.org.

16 Abstract (217 words)

17 During activation the T cell transmembrane receptor CD6 becomes incorporated into 18 the T cell immunological synapse where it can exert both co-stimulatory and co-19 inhibitory functions. Given the ability of CD6 to carry out opposing functions, this study 20 sought to determine how CD6 regulates early T cell activation in response to viral 21 infection. Infection of CD6 deficient mice with a neurotropic murine coronavirus resulted 22 in greater activation and expansion of CD4 T cells in the draining lymph nodes. Further 23 analysis demonstrated that there was also preferential differentiation of CD4 T cells into 24 T follicular helper cells, resulting in accelerated germinal center responses and 25 emergence of high affinity virus specific antibodies. Given that CD6 conversely supports 26 CD4 T cell activation in many autoimmune models, we probed potential mechanisms of 27 CD6 mediated suppression of CD4 T cell activation during viral infection. Analysis of 28 CD6 binding proteins revealed that infection induced upregulation of Ubash3a, a 29 negative regulator of T cell receptor signaling, was hindered in CD6 deficient lymph 30 nodes. Consistent with greater T cell activation and reduced UBASH3a activity, the T 31 cell receptor signal strength was intensified in CD6 deficient CD4 T cells. These results 32 reveal a novel immunoregulatory role for CD6 in limiting CD4 T cell activation and 33 deterring CD4 T follicular helper cell differentiation, thereby attenuating antiviral humoral 34 immunity.

35

36 Importance (147 words)

37 CD6 monoclonal blocking antibodies are being therapeutically administered to inhibit T
 38 cell activation in autoimmune disorders. However, the multifaceted nature of CD6

39 allows for multiple and even opposing functions under different circumstances of T cell 40 activation. We therefore sought to characterize how CD6 regulates T cell activation in 41 the context viral infections using an *in vivo* murine coronavirus model. In contrast to its 42 role in autoimmunity, but consistent with its function in the presence of superantigens, 43 we found that CD6 deficiency enhances CD4 T cell activation and CD4 T cell help to 44 germinal center dependent antiviral humoral responses. Finally, we provide evidence 45 that CD6 regulates transcription of its intracellular binding partner UBASH3a, which 46 suppresses T cell receptor signaling and consequently T cell activation. These findings 47 highlight the context dependent flexibility of CD6 in regulating in vivo adaptive immune 48 responses, which may be targeted to enhance anti-viral immunity.

49 Introduction

survival, and functional differentiation¹⁻⁴.

57

T cell activation through engagement of the T cell receptor (TCR) is critical to combat pathogens and tumors but can also cause detrimental injury if left unchecked. For this reason, T cell activation is a highly complex process involving multiple layers of regulation that are dependent on the concise orchestration of numerous signaling and scaffolding proteins. Consequently, the diverse composition of the TCR signalosome allows for significant flexibility in the strength of the TCR signal, which, rather than a simple binary "yes or no" signal, the strength of the TCR governs T cell activation,

58 The intensity of the TCR signal is fine-tuned by, among other mechanisms, TCR 59 co-receptors that can enhance (co-stimulatory) or dampen (co-inhibitory) the TCR signal 60 strength. CD6 is a cell-surface glycoprotein that has been demonstrated to function as 61 both a TCR co-stimulatory or a co-inhibitory receptor during T cell activation in a context dependent manner^{5,6}. The functional heterogeneity of CD6 resides in its cytoplasmic 62 63 tail, which constitutes one of the longest leukocyte intracellular domains, thus making it challenging to characterize the CD6 signaling cascade and identify mechanisms by 64 which it regulates TCR signaling ⁵⁻⁷. A number of CD6 intracellular binding proteins that 65 66 positively propagate the TCR signal, most notably SLP76 and Zap70, have been 67 confirmed. Conversely, few CD6 signaling proteins that negatively regulate TCR 68 signaling have been identified. However, Ubiguitin associated and SH3 domain 69 containing A (UBASH3a), formally known as suppressor of T cell signaling 2 (STS-2), 70 was recently determined to directly interact with the cytoplasmic tail of CD6 5-7.

71 While characterization of the CD6 signaling pathways remains incomplete, CD6 72 polymorphisms have been linked to either the susceptibility or severity of multiple 73 autoimmune diseases⁹⁻¹¹. Furthermore, in preclinical murine models of autoimmunity, 74 inhibition of CD6 signaling was shown to prevent TCR co-stimulation, thereby limiting activation of autoreactive T cells¹⁵. Therefore, monoclonal CD6 blocking antibodies 75 have been developed as clinical therapeutic treatments⁸. To this point, the anti-CD6 76 77 monoclonal antibody Itolizumab has been approved and is in use clinically in India for the treatment of psoriasis⁸. CD6 blockade has also shown promise in clinical trials for 78 79 the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and has recently gained interest as a potential cancer therapy¹²⁻¹⁴. However, despite indications that CD6 has a suppressive role in 80 81 the presence of bacterial superantigens, the function of CD6 in the context of infectious 82 disease remains under-studied¹⁶.

Given the opposing functions of CD6 in different disease and clinical settings, we 83 84 sought to determine how CD6 regulates T cell activation in an established model of viral 85 encephalomyelitis. The attenuated recombinant neurotropic murine beta coronavirus 86 MHV-A59 (mCoV), was chosen for this study as both the CD4 T helper 1 (TH1) cells 87 and cytotoxic CD8 T cells are essential for the control of infectious virus within the 88 CNS¹⁷⁻²⁰. Furthermore, the generation of mCoV-specific antibodies is dependent on the 89 CD4 follicular helper (T_{FH}) cells, which mediate both germinal center (GC) formation as 90 well as somatic-hypermutation of the B cell immunoglobulin variable chain, thus 91 enabling the generation of high-affinity class switched antigen-specific B cells²⁰⁻²². 92 The study herein revealed that the absence of CD6 resulted in greater CD4 T cell 93 activation in the CNS draining cervical lymph nodes (cLNs) following mCoV infection.

94	An increase in total CD4 T cell numbers was accompanied by more pronounced
95	differentiation into CD4 T_{FH} cells. As a result, cLN GC reactions were accelerated,
96	complemented by the rapid appearance of high-affinity mCoV-specific antibodies in the
97	serum of CD6 knockout (KO) mice. Increased CD4 T cell activation in the absence of
98	CD6 was associated with impaired transcriptional upregulation of the established
99	negative regulator of TCR signaling <i>Ubash3a</i> ⁶⁻⁷ . In agreement with increased CD4 T
100	cell activation and decreased UBASH3a activity, the intensity of the TCR signal was
101	greater in CD6 deficient CD4 T cells. These data are the first to link CD6 with
102	transcriptional upregulation of Ubash3a and reveal pivotal novel roles of CD6 as a
103	negative regulator of antiviral CD4 T cell activation, CD4 T_{FH} cell differentiation, and
104	antiviral humoral responses. Overall, these results highlight the context dependent
105	functions of CD6 in regulating adaptive immune responses.

106 Materials and Methods

107 Mice and Infections: All procedures involving mice were approved by the Institutional 108 Animal Care and Use Committee of Cleveland Clinic and carried out in accordance with 109 the US Department of Health and Human Services Guide for the Care and Use of 110 Laboratory Animals and institutional guidelines. CD6 KO mice on the DBA-1 111 background were generated in the laboratory of Dr. Feng Lin and maintained under 112 pathogen-free conditions in the Cleveland Clinic Lerner Research Institute animal facility 113 ¹⁵. WT controls were also maintained onsite and housed in the same room with 114 occasional supplementation as well as rejuvenation of the breeders with mice 115 purchased from Jackson Laboratory (strain number 000670). As previously reported^{17,} 116 ²¹⁻²³ 6–8-week-old gender matched male and female WT and CD6 KO mice were 117 intracranially (IC) infected with 10,000 PFU of the recombinant mCoV strain MHV-A59 118 whose non-essential open reading frame of gene 4 had been replaced with enhanced 119 green fluorescent protein and was generously provided by Dr. Das Sarma²⁴. 120 Flow cytometry: The CNS draining deep cLN, brains, and spinal cords were isolated 121 122 from phosphate buffer saline perfused mice. Tissues were finely minced, and single-123 cell suspensions obtained after mechanical homogenization through a 70micron 124 strainer. Myelin was removed from CNS tissue by centrifugation at 850g for 45min at 125 4°C in 30% Percoll (Cytiva 17089101). After washing in 1X PBS, cells were 126 resuspended in FACS buffer (1X PBS, 1% BSA, +/- 0.1% NaN3) and stained with 127 fluorescently conjugated antibodies in the presence of FC block (clone 2.4G2) for 30min 128 at 4 degrees. The following antibodies were used: CD45 (clone 30-F11), CD3 (clone

129	17A2), CD4 (clone RM4-5), CD8 (clone 53-6.7), CD44 (clone IM7), CXCR5 (clone 2G8),
130	PD1 (clone 29F.1A12), CD6 (J90-462), CD19 clone (clone 1D3), IgD (clone 11-26c.2a),
131	GL7 (clone GL7), and CD138 (clone 281-2). After staining, cells were washed and
132	either resuspended in FACS buffer containing DAPI dye for immediate analysis or
133	stained with fixable live/dead dyes (Invitrogen Catalog number: L34957 or Beckman
134	Coulter Catalog number: C36628) according to manufacturer's protocol followed by
135	fixation in 4% PFA. The eBioscience FoxP3/ Transcription Factor Staining Kit was used
136	for intracellular staining according to the manufactures protocol. The following
137	antibodies were used: BCL6 (clone K112-91), Tbet (clone ebio4B10), and IRF4 (Clone
138	IRF.3E4) in the presence of FC block. Cells were collected using a 6-laser Beckman
139	CytoFLEX LX. The resulting data were compensated and analyzed with FlowJo
140	software (Tree Star, Inc., Ashland, OR) using the gating strategy exemplified in
141	Supplemental Figure 1.
142	

142

143 **Immunofluorescence**: The CNS draining deep cLN were isolated from phosphate 144 buffer saline perfused mice and snap-frozen in Tissue-Tek O.C.T (Fisher). 10micron 145 slices were obtained using a Leica CM3050 cryostat and slide-mounted. Sections were 146 fixed in 4% PFA and permeabilized with Triton X-100. After blocking, cLNs were stained 147 with anti- CD3 (clone 17A2), GL7 (clone GL7), and B220 (clone RA3-6B2). 148 Corresponding secondary antibodies were used as necessary and sections were 149 mounted using ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant with DNA Stain DAPI. Entire cLNs 150 were scanned at 20X or 40X magnification using a Leica DM6B upright microscope

151 equipped for Fluorescence and Brightfield microscopy. Images were analyzed using

152 Image J software (NIH;

153 http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij) implementing the FIJI plugin set (http://pacific.mpi-

154 cbg.de/wiki/index.php/Fiji).

155

156 Quantitative real-time PCR: The cLNs, brains, and spinal cords were isolated from 157 phosphate buffer saline perfused mice and immediately placed in trizol or Qiagen RLT 158 buffer on ice. Tissue was homogenized using the Qiagen TissueLyser with stainless-159 steel beads and stored at -80°C. RNA was isolated according to the manufacturer's 160 instructions. Samples were DNasel treated (Invitrogen Catalog number: 18068015) 161 according to the manufacturer's instructions and cDNA was synthesized using MMLV 162 reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen Catalog number: 28025021). Quantitative real-time 163 PCR was performed using PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Fisher A25742) on a 164 7500 fast real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Transcript levels 165 were calculated relative to the levels of the Gapdh housekeeping gene using the 166 following cycle threshold (C T) formula: 2 ^[CT(Gapdh) - CT(target gene)]. 167 Primers for glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Gapdh), activation-168 induced cytidine deaminase (Aicda), T-box transcription factor 21 (Tbx21), and ubiquitin 169 associated and SH3 domain containing A (UBASH3a) were purchased for Sybr Green 170 analysis from Qiagen (Catalog number: 330001). All other primers are as follows: 171 II17 (F: CTCCACCGCAATGAAGAC and R: CTTTCCCTCCGCATTGAC), 172 Foxp3 (F: CTGCTCCTCCTATTCCCGTAAC and R: AGCTAGAGGCTTTGCCTTCG), 173 Ifng (F: CCAAGTTTGAGGTCAACAACCC and R: AACAGCTGGTGGACCACTC),

mCoV-*N* (F: GCCAAATAATCGCGCTAGAA and R: CCGAGCTTAGCCAAAACAAG), *Irf4* F: (GAACGAGGAGAAGAGCGTCTTC and R: GTAGGAGGATCTGGCTTGTCGA)

177 mCoV-specific IgG ELISA and affinity index50: As previously described²⁵⁻²⁶, serum 178 collected from individual infected mice was serially diluted across virus-coated ELISA 179 plates. After incubation and washing, mCoV-specific antibodies bound to virus coated 180 plates were detected using HRP conjugated anti-mouse IgG antibodies, TMB substrate, 181 and ELISA stop solution. A dilution in which the fluorescence intensity was well within 182 the linear curve was used to determine differences between WT and CD6 KO mice 183 using optical density. Naïve controls were used to determine background signal. For 184 tissue antibody detection, whole tissue was placed in ice cold PBS and homogenized 185 using a Dounce Homogenizer. Cells and debris were removed by centrifugation and 186 the supernatant stored at -80° C prior to serial dilution as performed with serum 187 samples. Data was plotted across all dilutions tested. 188 At a concentration that was experimentally determined using the above mCoV-189 specific ELISA, samples were incubated on virus-coated plates overnight. After 190 washing, serial dilutions of ammonium thiocyanate (3M-0M) were added to each sample 191 and incubated for 15min on a shaker at room temperature. After washing mCoV-192 specific antibodies still bound to the virus coated plate were detected using HRP 193 conjugated anti-mouse IgG antibodies, TMB substrate, and ELISA stop solution. The 194 Affinity index 50 was determined as the concentration of ammonium thiocyanate at

195 which 50% of the antibody signal was lost²⁷⁻²⁹.

196

- 197 **Statistics:** GraphPad Prism software was used to plot data points with SEM for error
- 198 bars. Statistical significance was determined using GraphPad Prism Software as
- 199 specified in the figure legends.

200 Results

201

202 CD6 suppresses adaptive immune cell expansion and activation after mCoV

203 infection.

204 To assess a potential role for CD6 in regulating T cell activation during viral 205 encephalomyelitis CD6 KO and wild type (WT) control mice were intracranially (IC) 206 infected with an attenuated recombinant murine Coronavirus (mCoV) strain MHV-A59²⁴. 207 In the absence of CD6 there was greater expansion of the CD4 T cells in the cLNs as 208 early as day 4 post infection (PI), which was sustained at day 7 PI (Figure 1A). In addition, a greater percentage of CD4 T cells expressed high levels of the activation 209 210 marker CD44 (Figure 1B). Examination of the CD8 T cell population revealed 211 transiently increased expansion at day 4PI that contracted to WT levels by day 7 PI 212 (Figure 1C), although increased activation was evident at both days 4 and 7PI (Figure 213 1D). Importantly, no significant differences in T cell numbers or activation statuses were 214 observed in cLNs of naïve CD6 KO compared to WT mice (Figure 1A-D). Interestingly, the total number of B cells in the CD6 KO cLNs was also elevated at day 7PI (Figure 215 216 1E). However, within the cLNs and brain CD6 was only detectable on CD4 and CD8 T 217 cells despite reports that CD6 is expressed on B1a B cells and some CD56 expressing 218 NK cells^{30, 31} (Supplemental Figure 2A-D). Importantly, the increased lymphocyte 219 activation in the CD6 KO cLNs could not be attributed to increased viral loads as 220 transcripts of the mCoV nucleocapsid protein (N) were similar between WT and CD6 221 KO cLNs (Figure 1F). Therefore, inhibition of CD6 signaling resulted in greater CD4 T 222 cell activation and expansion, followed by increased B cell accumulation in the cLNs

after CNS infection with mCoV. These data contrasted studies of CD6 function in
 experimental autoimmune models, but are consistent with *in vitro* analysis of CD6
 during bacterial superantigen exposure^{15-16, 46-47}.

226

227 CD6 regulates CD4 T helper cell differentiation.

228 Given the expansion of cLN B cells, which do not express CD6, we next 229 examined if CD4 T_{FH} differentiation was altered in CD6 KO cLNs. Flow cytometry 230 analysis revealed that, even when normalized for the increase in total CD4 T cell 231 numbers, there was increased differentiation of CD4 T cells into T_{FH} cells (PD1⁺, 232 CXCR5⁺) as early as day 4PI, which was sustained through at least day 7PI in CD6 KO 233 cLNs (Figure 2A). CD4 T cells expressing BCL6, the transcription factor essential for 234 T_{FH} cell differentiation, was also elevated in CD4 T cells from CD6 KO cLNs compared to WT cLNs at day 4 and 7PI (Figure 2B)³². Conversely, the percent of CD4 T cells 235 236 expressing T-bet, the transcription factor essential for TH1 differentiation, was similar 237 between WT and CD6 KO mice³³ (Figure 2C). Therefore, the increase in total T-bet⁺ CD4 T cells was consistent with the overall increase in total CD4 T cells and not greater 238 239 skewing towards TH1 differentiation. There was also no significant difference in *lfng* 240 transcript levels indicating that the CD4 TH1 effector response was not significantly 241 altered in CD6 KO mice (Figure 2D). *II17* mRNA could not be detected in either WT or 242 CD6 KO cLNs (data not shown), and no difference in *Foxp3* mRNA transcripts was 243 observed (Figure 2E). Taken together these data demonstrate that CD6 is able to limit 244 CD4 T_{FH} cell differentiation in addition to suppressing overall T cell activation and 245 expansion following mCoV infection.

246

GC differentiation is enhanced in CD6 KOs during infection.

248 To our knowledge this is the first time that CD6 has been linked to regulating T_{FH} 249 cell differentiation. We therefore analyzed the *in vivo* effector capacity of CD4 T_{FH} cells 250 generated in the CD6 KO mice by examining cLN GC formation. Subsequent to the 251 appearance of CD4 T_{FH} cells in the CD6 KO cLNs, a larger fraction of B cells in the CD6 252 KO cLNs had downregulated cell surface IgD, indicative of early activation (Figure 3A). 253 Similarly, a greater proportion of the already enlarged CD19 B cell population also 254 expressed the GC B cell marker GL7 at day 7 PI in CD6 KO cLNs (Figure 3B). 255 Accelerated GC reactions in CD6 KO cLNs were further confirmed by accelerated 256 transcription of Aicda, encoding the AID enzyme which is responsible for B cell somatic 257 hypermutation and antibody isotype switching³⁴ (Figure 3C). Therefore, accelerated and 258 enhanced CD4 T_{FH} cell differentiation was followed by increased B cell activation and 259 GC responses. We further confirmed that GC structures were properly forming within 260 CD6 KO cLNs at day 14PI, a time when GCs were easily discernable in WT cLNs, by examining the accumulation of GL7⁺ B cells within the cLN B cell follicle^{20, 35, 36} (Figure 261 262 3D). Consistent with accelerated GC somatic hypermutation, mCoV-specific IgG 263 antibodies with increased affinity for mCoV were selectively detected in CD6 KO sera at 264 day 14PI (Figure 3E). Importantly, prior to GC formation we found no difference in the 265 affinity or concentrations of serum mCoV-specific IgG antibodies (Figure 3E-F). 266 Extended analysis of the GC responses revealed that cLN cellularity was 267 undergoing contraction by day 21 PI. However, contraction of the CD45⁺ cellular 268 population was significantly greater in the CD6 KO cLNs (Supplemental Figure 3A).

269 Mirroring the CD45⁺ population, contraction of the CD4 T cell population was also 270 greater in CD6 KO mice at day 21PI (Supplemental Figure 3B). However, the 271 proportion of CD4 T_{FH} cells from the total CD4 T cell population was comparable 272 between CD6 KO and WT cLNs (Supplemental Figure 3C). B cell contraction was also 273 trended as increased in the CD6 KO cLNs at day 21PI, but did not reach statistical 274 significance (Supplemental Figure 3D). The relative proportion of GL7⁺ GC B cells 275 within the IgD⁻ B cell population remained comparable between WT and CD6 KO cLNs 276 (Supplemental Figure 3E).

277 Analysis of the humoral response revealed that high-affinity serum mCoV-278 specific IgG antibodies were detectable in WT mice by day 21 PI, but affinity was still 279 higher for mCoV-specific IgG antibodies in CD6 KO sera (Supplemental Figure 3F). 280 Intriguingly though, by day 28PI we could no longer detect significant differences in the 281 affinity of serum mCoV-specific IgG antibodies between WT and CD6 KO mice 282 (Supplemental Figure 3F). Semi-guantification of serum mCoV-specific IgG antibodies 283 also revealed that titers were transiently higher in the circulation of CD6 KO mice at day 284 21 but not at day 28 PI (Supplemental Figure 3F). Whether the enhanced contraction of 285 CD45⁺ cells in CD6 KO cLNs is a direct result of an essential role for CD6 in sustaining 286 antiviral adaptive immune responses, or an indirect of some altered antiviral 287 pathogenesis in CD6 KO mice remains under investigation. Taken together these data 288 demonstrate that the increased CD4 T_{FH} cell differentiation in CD6 KO cLNs was 289 capable of driving accelerated B cell activation and functional GC responses leading to 290 accelerated secretion of high-affinity class-switched virus-specific antibodies.

291

Expression of the CD6 intracellular binding protein UBASH3a is suppressed in CD6 KO mice and coincides with stronger TCR signaling.

294 To date, CD6 has predominantly function as a TCR co-stimulatory receptor 295 during T cell activation *in vitro*^{6, 7, 37}. Therefore, characterization of the CD6 signaling pathway has primarily identified positive regulators of TCR signaling^{6, 7, 37}. However, 296 297 CD6 has also been shown to directly associate with the negative regulator of T cell 298 activation UBASH3a^{6, 7}. Unexpectedly, *Ubash3a* transcription was substantially 299 upregulated in the cLNs of WT mice but was largely abrogated in CD6 KO cLNs in 300 response to mCoV infection (Figure 4A). 301 We therefore sought to substantiate a functional UBASH3a deficiency in CD6 KO 302 CD4 T cells in vivo. While the molecular functions of UBASH3a are poorly delineated, it 303 is established to have weak phosphatase activity^{38, 39} and to negatively regulate cell-304 surface TCR/CD3 complexes on CD4 T cells^{40, 41}. In the absence of a defined mCoV-305 epitope on the MHCII H-2^q background, and thus antigen-specific T cell tetramers, we 306 assessed CD3 expression on the entire CD44⁺ CD4 T cell population, as well as early 307 differentiating CD4 T_{FH} cells. In the absence of CD6, cell-surface CD3 was modestly 308 increased in both total CD44⁺ CD4 T cells (Figure 4B) and CD4 T_{FH} cells (Figure 4C). 309 While the degree of cell-surface CD3 elevation was minor, it was consistent with the 310 degree of change observed in UBASH3a knockdown studies⁴⁰. These results supported 311 that CD6 may utilize UBASH3a to suppress T cell activation in cLNs during mCoV 312 infection.

As UBASH3a is a negative regulator of TCR signal strength, we next assessed
 differences in the strength of TCR signal in cLN CD4 T cells from infected CD6 KO and

315	WT mice by measuring the transcription factor IRF4, an established dose-dependent
316	readout of the TCR signal strength ^{1, 4, 42-45} . Given the increase in GC responses <i>Irf4</i>
317	transcripts were unsurprisingly highly elevated by day 7 PI in CD6 KO compared to WT
318	cLNs (Figure 4D). Target analysis of CD4 T cell populations by flow cytometry
319	confirmed that a higher percentage of CD6 KO CD44 ⁺ CD4 T cells express high levels
320	of IRF4, signifying that CD6 KO T cells had stronger TCR signaling after mCoV infection
321	(Figure 4E). During the early stages of CD4 T_{FH} cell differentiation (day 4PI), CD6 KO
322	CD4 T_{FH} cells also displayed elevated IRF4 expression (Figure 4F-G). However, by day
323	7PI all of the CD4 T_{FH} cells were IRF4 positive, with most being IRF4 ^{high} (Figure 4F).
324	Taken together, these data strongly implicate that CD6 suppresses T cell activation
325	during mCoV infection through UBASH3a mediated negative regulation of the TCR
326	signaling.

327

328 CD6 regulates peripheral, but not CNS, humoral immunity during mCoV induced 329 encephalomyelitis.

330 We next examined the adaptive immune responses within the mCoV infected 331 CNS. Consistent with greater activation and expansion in the cLN, CD4 T cell numbers 332 were elevated in the CD6 KO infected brain at day 7PI (Figure 5A). On the other hand, 333 CD8 T cell infiltration of the brain was not significantly altered at day 7PI (Figure 5B). 334 Ifng transcripts were similar between WT and CD6 KO brains (Figure 5C) and II17 335 transcripts remained undetectable (Figure 5D). The elevated total number of CD4 T 336 cells in CD6 KO infected brains was thus not associated with overt differences in T cell 337 effector activity. Furthermore, comparable antiviral effector T cell responses were

338 supported by congruent kinetics of viral control between WT and CD6 KO brains and 339 spinal cords as measured by viral nucleocapsid (N) specific transcripts (Figure 5E). 340 The total number of B cells in the CD6 KO mCoV infected brains was also 341 elevated at day 7PI (Figure 5F). This was notable as most of the B cells in the brain at 342 this early timepoint are IgD⁺IgM⁺ B cells that migrate to the CNS in response to the inflammation²⁰⁻²¹. More surprisingly, analysis of supernatants from dissociated WT and 343 344 CD6 KO brain and spinal cord tissue revealed similar levels of virus-specific IgG 345 antibodies across multiple dilutions as well as comparable mCoV affinity at day 21PI 346 (Figure 5G-H). Therefore, while CD6 KO mice transiently had greater mCoV-specific 347 IgG responses in the periphery at day 21PI (Supplemental Figure 3 F), there were no 348 detectable changes within the infected CNS at this time point. Thus, these data 349 implicated that CD6 predominantly regulates the peripheral CD4 T cell and humoral 350 responses at the priming site, with minimal impact in the infected CNS during mCoV 351 infection.

353 Discussion

354 The dual functions of CD6 as a positive and negative regulator of T cell activation are well established, but its influence on T cell responses during viral infections have 355 356 not been studied. In this report, we investigated how the absence of CD6 affects T cell 357 activation using a neurotropic mCoV model, in which CD4 and CD8 T cells are both 358 essential to control infectious virus. Our results demonstrate that CD6 acts as a 359 negative regulator of CD4 T cell activation in CNS draining cLN following virus infection. 360 In addition, we have discovered a previously unrecognized role for CD6 in limiting 361 CD4T_{FH} cell differentiation and, by extension, delaying GC responses. These novel findings have significant clinical implications for patients receiving therapeutic CD6 362 363 blocking antibody treatments.

364 The function of CD6 as a negative regulator of T cell activation during mCoV 365 encephalomyelitis stands in stark contrast to its co-stimulatory role in multiple autoimmune models including autoimmune encephalitis and autoimmune uveitis ^{5-6, 15-16,} 366 367 ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁷. Importantly, the opposing functions of CD6 in CD4 T cell activation are not easily 368 attributed to murine intrinsic factors, as the CD6 KO mice used herein were generated 369 from the same colony and housed in the same facility as in the above mentioned 370 autoimmune studies¹⁵⁻¹⁶. Unfortunately, further comparison between autoimmune and 371 virus models is complicated by numerous factors; In the autoimmune encephalomyelitis 372 and uveitis models T cell activation is dependent on immunization with self-peptide or antigen in adjuvant resulting in the induction of both Th1 and Th17 CD4 T cells^{15, 46}, 373 374 whereas virus-specific T cells are activated by replicating virus and presentation of viral 375 antigen generating an exclusive Th1 response¹⁷⁻²⁰. These models also utilize distinct

innate immune scavenging, pattern recognition receptors, and antigen presenting cells,
all of which also contribute to the outcome of T cell activation⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰. It is thus reasonable
that such distinct innate input signals *in viv*o would influence differential expression of
the extracellular CD6 ligands and/ or CD6 intracellular interacting proteins, leading to
distinct outcomes of CD6 signaling.

381 To this end, analysis of the established CD6 intracellular signaling proteins 382 identified UBASH3A as a primary candidate responsible for CD6 mediated dampening 383 of CD4 T cell activation following mCoV infection. Ubash3a mRNA transcripts increased 384 in the cLNs of WT mice, while upregulation was drastically impaired in CD6 KO cLNS. 385 Consistent with UBASH3a knockdown studies and diminished UBASH3a activity, cLN 386 CD4 T cells from CD6 KO mice had modest, but significantly, increased accumulation of 387 cell-surface CD3⁴⁰. While these fairly minor changes in increased cell-surface CD3/TCR 388 complexes are unlikely to result in enhanced T cell activation, as few as 500 TCR 389 complexes have been implicated to be sufficient for T cell activation in vivo⁵¹. 390 UBASH3a is incompletely characterized, but is known to suppress TCR signaling, at 391 least in part through suppression of ZAP-70 signaling in a ubiquitin and phosphatasedependent manner^{39, 52-53}. Since TCR signaling is established to directly upregulate 392 393 IRF4 in a dose-dependent manner in both CD8 and CD4 T cells^{43, 44, 45}, IRF4 expression 394 was used as a readout to measure how loss of UBASH3a in CD6 KO mice affected the 395 TCR signal strength. Indeed, IRF4 protein levels were higher in CD6 KO CD4T cells 396 compared to WT CD4 T cells from the cLNs, confirming that the absence of CD6 397 produced a stronger TCR signal. Overall, the novel finding that CD6 influences 398 Ubash3a expression in the mCoV infection model implicates that UBASH3a exerts

399	inhibitory effects on TCR signaling through CD6. Although UBASH3a is known to
400	suppress T cell activation and proliferation <i>in vitro</i> and in autoimmune diabetes ⁵⁴ ,
401	UBASH3a regulation has not been explored in studies focusing on CD6. Therefore,
402	analysis of UBASH3a in autoimmune diseases where CD6 acts as a positive regulator
403	of T cell activation may shed light on the pathogenic role of CD6.
404	Unexpectedly, CD6 KO CD4 T cells also showed preferential differentiation into
405	CD4 T_{FH} effectors. While TCR-signal strength is an established determinate of T helper
406	cell differentiation ¹⁻⁴ , mechanistic studies to assess how CD6 signaling proteins,
407	including UBASH3a, regulate CD4 T_{FH} cell differentiation will require the development of
408	complex in vitro assays that mimic T cell activation during mCoV infection in vivo.
409	Nevertheless, the accelerated and enhanced $T_{\mbox{\scriptsize FH}}$ cell development correlated with
410	earlier and elevated titers of high-affinity class-switched virus-specific antibodies in the
411	serum of CD6 KO mice. Of note, the difference in the total number of CD44 $^{+}$ CD4 T
412	cells could not be completely explained by the magnitude of change in CD4 T_{FH} cells.
413	As we were unable to detect changes in other CD4 T helper cells, and there are
414	indications that both CD6 KO and UBASH3a KO T cells may have a lower threshold for
415	activation homeostatically, it is likely that there is some degree of non-specific T cell
416	recruitment and activation contributing to the greater accumulation of CD4 T cell in the
417	CD6 KO cLNs ^{15, 60} .
418	Distinct from the periphery, we found no difference in mCoV-specific IgG
419	antibodies within the CNS itself. We are currently investigating whether early changes

421 antibody secreting cells during GC differentiation⁵⁵. To this end, it is worth noting that

420

in the CD6 KO cLNs alters the differentiation, survival, or migratory capacity acquired of

422 the predominant ligand of CD6, CD166, was found to be essential for pathogenic B cells 423 to infiltrate the CNS during experimental autoimmune encephalitis⁵⁶. Interestingly 424 though, while CD6 appears to facilitate T cell migration in autoimmune 425 encephalomyelitis¹⁵, it was redundant for T cell infiltration into the virally infected CNS. 426 This redundancy may also explain why CD6 was essential to sustain dendritic cell-T cell 427 interaction during antigen presentation *in vitro*, but not in this *in vivo* setting⁶¹. 428 Overall, these data indicate that the clinically used CD6 blocking antibody 429 treatments may be beneficial to antiviral immunity. A limitation of the mCoV model is 430 that acute viral replication in the CNS is controlled by T cells and not the humoral response, which was reflected in the similar kinetics of virus control between the WT 431 432 and CD6 KO CNS. Therefore, the biological significance of the accelerated antiviral-433 humoral response in the absence of CD6 may be more readily revealed in a model 434 where GC-derived humoral responses are essential to prevent viral dissemination to the 435 CNS. CD6 may also potentially be exploited during the administration of traditional and 436 mRNA-based vaccines. As Itolizumab has been in use in India since 2013 and given 437 the number of clinical trials ongoing during the COIVD-19 pandemic, it may be feasible 438 to examine antiviral humoral responses during vaccination as well as primary SARS-439 CoV2 infections in patients that had been receiving CD6 monoclonal blocking antibody therapies^{8, 12, 57-59}. 440

In summary, we have identified novel roles for CD6 as a negative regulator of
both CD4 T cell and GC-derived antiviral humoral responses. CD6 inhibition of these
responses appears to be T cell intrinsic and associated with a deficit in UBASH3a
mediated suppression of CD4 T cell TCR signal. The number of ongoing clinical trials

- 445 examining the efficacy of CD6 blockade necessitates further interrogation of its role
- 446 during viral infections and vaccination, especially given the context dependent role of
- 447 CD6 in T cell activation.

- 449 Acknowledgements
- 450 This work was supported by the Nation Institute of Health R01 NS086299 (C.B.) and
- 451 R01 EY034077 (F.L)
- 452

453 Author Contributions

- 454 A.C-B. and C.C.B. Study conception and design; A.C-B. Acquired and Analyzed data;
- 455 A.C-B. wrote the manuscript; C.C.B. and F.L. Edited the manuscript; F.L and C.C.B.,
- 456 Providing funding/ reagents and supervision.
- 457

458 **Competing Interests**

- 459 A.C-B and C.C.B declare no competing interests. F.L. is founder and CSO of Abcon,
- 460 which focuses on CD6-ADC in the treatment of T cell lymphoma. Abcon was not
- 461 involved with this manuscript, including experimental design, data acquisition and
- 462 interpretation.

464 References 465 1. Tubo NJ, Jenkins MK. TCR signal quantity and quality in CD4⁺ T cell 466 467 differentiation. Trends Immunol. 2014 Dec;35(12):591-596. doi: 468 10.1016/j.it.2014.09.008. Epub 2014 Oct 22. PMID: 25457838; PMCID: 469 PMC4406772. 470 471 2. Künzli M, Reuther P, Pinschewer DD, King CG. Opposing effects of T cell 472 receptor signal strength on CD4 T cells responding to acute versus chronic viral 473 infection. Elife. 2021 Mar 8;10:e61869. doi: 10.7554/eLife.61869. PMID: 474 33684030; PMCID: PMC7943189. 475 476 3. Snook JP, Kim C, Williams MA. TCR signal strength controls the differentiation of 477 CD4⁺ effector and memory T cells. Sci Immunol. 2018 Jul 20;3(25):eaas9103. 478 doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aas9103. PMID: 30030369; PMCID: PMC6126666. 479 480 4. Bhattacharyya ND, Feng CG. Regulation of T Helper Cell Fate by TCR Signal 481 Strength. Front Immunol. 2020 May 19;11:624. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.00624. 482 PMID: 32508803; PMCID: PMC7248325. 483 484 5. Gonçalves CM, Henriques SN, Santos RF, Carmo AM. CD6, a Rheostat-Type 485 Signalosome That Tunes T Cell Activation. Front Immunol. 2018 Dec 18;9:2994. 486 doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.02994. PMID: 30619347; PMCID: PMC6305463. 487 488 6. Mori D, Grégoire C, Voisinne G, Celis-Gutierrez J, Aussel R, Girard L, Camus M, 489 Marcellin M, Argenty J, Burlet-Schiltz O, Fiore F, Gonzalez de Peredo A, Malissen M, Roncagalli R, Malissen B. The T cell CD6 receptor operates a 490 491 multitask signalosome with opposite functions in T cell activation. J Exp Med. 492 2021 Feb 1;218(2):e20201011. doi: 10.1084/jem.20201011. PMID: 33125054; 493 PMCID: PMC7608068. 494 495 7. Voisinne G, Locard-Paulet M, Froment C, Maturin E, Menoita MG, Girard L, 496 Mellado V, Burlet-Schiltz O, Malissen B, Gonzalez de Peredo A, Roncagalli R. 497 Kinetic proofreading through the multi-step activation of the ZAP70 kinase 498 underlies early T cell ligand discrimination. Nat Immunol. 2022 Sep;23(9):1355-499 1364. doi: 10.1038/s41590-022-01288-x. Epub 2022 Aug 31. PMID: 36045187; 500 PMCID: PMC9477740. 501 502 8. Krupashankar DS, Dogra S, Kura M, Saraswat A, Budamakuntla L, Sumathy TK, 503 Shah R, Gopal MG, Narayana Rao T, Srinivas CR, Bhat R, Shetty N, Manmohan 504 G, Sai Krishna K, Padmaja D, Pratap DV, Garg V, Gupta S, Pandey N, Khopkar 505 U, Montero E, Ramakrishnan MS, Nair P, Ganapathi PC. Efficacy and safety of 506 itolizumab, a novel anti-CD6 monoclonal antibody, in patients with moderate to 507 severe chronic plaque psoriasis: results of a double-blind, randomized, placebo-508 controlled, phase-III study. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2014 Sep;71(3):484-92. doi: 509 10.1016/j.jaad.2014.01.897. Epub 2014 Apr 2. PMID: 24703722.

510	
511	9. De Jager PL, Jia X, Wang J, de Bakker PI, Ottoboni L, Aggarwal NT, Piccio L,
512	Raychaudhuri S, Tran D, Aubin C, Briskin R, Romano S; International MS
513	Genetics Consortium; Baranzini SE, McCauley JL, Pericak-Vance MA, Haines
514	JL, Gibson RA, Naeglin Y, Uitdehaag B, Matthews PM, Kappos L, Polman C,
515	McArdle WL, Strachan DP, Evans D, Cross AH, Daly MJ, Compston A, Sawcer
516	SJ, Weiner HL, Hauser SL, Hafler DA, Oksenberg JR. Meta-analysis of genome
517	scans and replication identify CD6, IRF8 and TNFRSF1A as new multiple
518	sclerosis susceptibility loci. Nat Genet. 2009 Jul;41(7):776-82. doi:
519	10.1038/ng.401. Epub 2009 Jun 14. PMID: 19525953; PMCID: PMC2757648.
520	
521	10. Zheng M, Zhang L, Yu H, Hu J, Cao Q, Huang G, Huang Y, Yuan G, Kijlstra A,
522	Yang P. Genetic polymorphisms of cell adhesion molecules in Behcet's disease
523	in a Chinese Han population. Sci Rep. 2016 Apr 25;6:24974. doi:
524 525	10.1038/srep24974. PMID: 27108704; PMCID: PMC4842956.
525 526	11. Consuegra-Fernández M, Julià M, Martínez-Florensa M, Aranda F, Català C,
520 527	Armiger-Borràs N, Arias MT, Santiago F, Guilabert A, Esteve A, Muñoz C,
527	Ferrándiz C, Carrascosa JM, Pedrosa E, Romaní J, Alsina M, Mascaró-Galy JM,
520 529	Lozano F. Genetic and experimental evidence for the involvement of the CD6
530	lymphocyte receptor in psoriasis. Cell Mol Immunol. 2018 Oct;15(10):898-906.
531	doi: 10.1038/cmi.2017.119. Epub 2017 Dec 11. PMID: 29225340; PMCID:
532	PMC6207571.
533	
534	12. Rodríguez PC, Prada DM, Moreno E, Aira LE, Molinero C, López AM, Gómez
535	JA, Hernández IM, Martínez JP, Reyes Y, Milera JM, Hernández MV, Torres R,
536	Avila Y, Barrese Y, Viada C, Montero E, Hernández P. The anti-CD6 antibody
537	itolizumab provides clinical benefit without lymphopenia in rheumatoid arthritis
538	patients: results from a 6-month, open-label Phase I clinical trial. Clin Exp
539	Immunol. 2018 Feb;191(2):229-239. doi: 10.1111/cei.13061. Epub 2017 Nov 16.
540	PMID: 28963724; PMCID: PMC5758380.
541 542	12 Duth III Curree Dubie M. Athukerele KC. Deemussen CM. Weber DD. Denden
542 543	13. Ruth JH, Gurrea-Rubio M, Athukorala KS, Rasmussen SM, Weber DP, Randon PM, Gedert RJ, Lind ME, Amin MA, Campbell PL, Tsou PS, Mao-Draayer Y, Wu
545 544	Q, Lanigan TM, Keshamouni VG, Singer NG, Lin F, Fox DA. CD6 is a target for
545	cancer immunotherapy. JCI Insight. 2021 Mar 8;6(5):e145662. doi:
546	10.1172/jci.insight.145662. PMID: 33497367; PMCID: PMC8021120.
547	
548	14. Gurrea-Rubio M, Wu Q, Amin MA, Tsou PS, Campbell PL, Amarista CI, Ikari Y,
549	Brodie WD, Mattichak MN, Muraoka S, Randon PM, Lind ME, Ruth JH, Mao-
550	Draayer Y, Ding S, Shen X, Cooney LA, Lin F, Fox DA. Activation of cytotoxic
551	lymphocytes through CD6 enhances killing of cancer cells. Cancer Immunol
552	Immunother. 2024 Jan 27;73(2):34. doi: 10.1007/s00262-023-03578-1. PMID:
553	38280067; PMCID: PMC10821976.
554	

555 556 557 558 559	 Li Y, Singer NG, Whitbred J, Bowen MA, Fox DA, Lin F. CD6 as a potential target for treating multiple sclerosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017 Mar 7;114(10):2687-2692. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1615253114. Epub 2017 Feb 16. PMID: 28209777; PMCID: PMC5347585.
560 561 562 563	16. Henriques, S.N., Oliveira, L., Santos, R.F. <i>et al.</i> CD6-mediated inhibition of T cell activation via modulation of Ras. <i>Cell Commun Signal</i> 20 , 184 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12964-022-00998-x
565 564 565 566 567 568	 Cowley TJ, Weiss SR. Murine coronavirus neuropathogenesis: determinants of virulence. J Neurovirol. 2010 Nov;16(6):427-34. doi: 10.3109/13550284.2010.529238. Epub 2010 Nov 12. PMID: 21073281; PMCID: PMC3153983.
569 570 571 572	 Stohlman SA, Bergmann CC, Lin MT, Cua DJ, Hinton DR (1998). CTL effector function within the central nervous system requires CD4+ T cells. J Immunol 160: 2896–2904.
573 574 575 576	19. Sussman MA, Shubin RA, Kyuwa S, Stohlman SA (1989). T-cell-mediated clearance of mouse hepatitis virus strain JHM from the central nervous system. J Virol 63: 3051–3061.
577 578 579 580 581	 Cardani-Boulton A, Boylan BT, Stetsenko V, Bergmann CC. B cells going viral in the CNS: Dynamics, complexities, and functions of B cells responding to viral encephalitis. Immunol Rev. 2022 Oct;311(1):75-89. doi: 10.1111/imr.13124. Epub 2022 Aug 19. PMID: 35984298; PMCID: PMC9804320.
582 583 584 585 586	21. Atkinson JR, Bergmann CC. Protective Humoral Immunity in the Central Nervous System Requires Peripheral CD19-Dependent Germinal Center Formation following Coronavirus Encephalomyelitis. J Virol. 2017 Nov 14;91(23):e01352-17. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01352-17. PMID: 28931676; PMCID: PMC5686739.
587 588 589 590	22. Lin MT, Hinton DR, Marten NW, Bergmann CC, Stohlman SA (1999). Antibody prevents virus reactivation within the central nervous system. J Immunol 162:7358–7368.
591 592 593 594 595	23. Matthews AE, Weiss SR, Shlomchik MJ, Hannum LG, Gombold JL, Paterson Y. Antibody is required for clearance of infectious murine hepatitis virus A59 from the central nervous system, but not the liver. J Immunol. 2001 Nov 1;167(9):5254-63. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.167.9.5254. PMID: 11673540.
596 597 598 599 600	24. Das Sarma J, Scheen E, Seo SH, Koval M, Weiss SR. Enhanced green fluorescent protein expression may be used to monitor murine coronavirus spread in vitro and in the mouse central nervous system. J Neurovirol. 2002 Oct;8(5):381-91. doi: 10.1080/13550280260422686. PMID: 12402164; PMCID: PMC7095158.

601	
602	
603	25. Akache B, Stark FC, McCluskie MJ. Measurement of Antigen-Specific IgG Titers
604	by Direct ELISA. Methods Mol Biol. 2021;2183:537-547. doi: 10.1007/978-1-
605	0716-0795-4_31. PMID: 32959266.
606	
607	26. Amber Cardani-Boulton, Sun-Sang J. Sung, William A. Petri, Young S. Hahn,
608	Thomas J. Braciale; Leptin Receptor Deficiency Impairs Lymph Node
609	Development and Adaptive Immune Response. J Immunol 2024; ji2100985.
610	https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.2100985
611	111p3.//d01.01g/10.4040/jimmun01.2100000
	07 Matalf TH Oriffin DE Alabasian induced an and alamas litic antibachus anatima
612	27. Metcalf TU, Griffin DE. Alphavirus-induced encephalomyelitis: antibody-secreting
613	cells and viral clearance from the nervous system. J Virol. 2011
614	Nov;85(21):11490-501. doi: 10.1128/JVI.05379-11. Epub 2011 Aug 24. PMID:
615	21865385; PMCID: PMC3194963.
616	
617	28. Pullen GR, Fitzgerald MG, Hosking CS. Antibody avidity determination by ELISA
618	using thiocyanate elution. J Immunol Methods. 1986 Jan 22;86(1):83-7. doi:
619	10.1016/0022-1759(86)90268-1. PMID: 3944471.
	10.1010/0022-1759(00)90200-1. PMID. 5944471.
620	
621	29. Macdonald RA, Hosking CS, Jones CL. The measurement of relative antibody
622	affinity by ELISA using thiocyanate elution. J Immunol Methods. 1988 Feb
623	10;106(2):191-4. doi: 10.1016/0022-1759(88)90196-2. PMID: 3339255.
624	
625	30. Enyindah-Asonye G, Li Y, Xin W, Singer NG, Gupta N, Fung J, Lin F. CD6
626	Receptor Regulates Intestinal Ischemia/Reperfusion-induced Injury by
627	Modulating Natural IgM-producing B1a Cell Self-renewal. J Biol Chem. 2017 Jan
628	13;292(2):661-671. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M116.749804. Epub 2016 Dec 1. PMID:
	27909060; PMCID: PMC5241740.
629	27909000, PMCID. PMC5241740.
630	
631	31. Braun M, Müller B, ter Meer D, Raffegerst S, Simm B, Wilde S, Spranger S,
632	Ellwart J, Mosetter B, Umansky L, Lerchl T, Schendel DJ, Falk CS. The CD6
633	scavenger receptor is differentially expressed on a CD56 natural killer cell
634	subpopulation and contributes to natural killer-derived cytokine and chemokine
635	secretion. J Innate Immun. 2011;3(4):420-34. doi: 10.1159/000322720. Epub
636	2010 Dec 18. PMID: 21178331.
637	2010 Dec 10.1 Mild. 21110001.
	22 Chai L Cratty S. Bale Madiated Transprintional Degulation of Fallioular Halper T
638	32. Choi J, Crotty S. Bcl6-Mediated Transcriptional Regulation of Follicular Helper T
639	cells (TFH). Trends Immunol. 2021 Apr;42(4):336-349. doi:
640	10.1016/j.it.2021.02.002. Epub 2021 Mar 1. PMID: 33663954; PMCID:
641	PMC8021443.
642	
643	33. Szabo SJ, Kim ST, Costa GL, Zhang X, Fathman CG, Glimcher LH. A novel
644	transcription factor, T-bet, directs Th1 lineage commitment. Cell. 2000 Mar
645	17;100(6):655-69. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80702-3. PMID: 10761931.
646	
0-0	

647 34. Okazaki IM, Kinoshita K, Muramatsu M, Yoshikawa K, Honjo T. The AID enzyme 648 induces class switch recombination in fibroblasts. Nature. 2002 Mar 649 21;416(6878):340-5. doi: 10.1038/nature727. Epub 2002 Mar 3. PMID: 650 11875397. 651 652 35. Cyster JG, Allen CDC. B Cell Responses: Cell Interaction Dynamics and 653 Decisions. Cell. 2019 Apr 18;177(3):524-540. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.03.016. 654 PMID: 31002794; PMCID: PMC6538279. 655 656 36. Laidlaw BJ, Cyster JG. Transcriptional regulation of memory B cell differentiation. 657 Nat Rev Immunol. 2021 Apr;21(4):209-220. doi: 10.1038/s41577-020-00446-2. 658 Epub 2020 Oct 6. PMID: 33024284; PMCID: PMC7538181. 659 660 37. Roncagalli R, Hauri S, Fiore F, Liang Y, Chen Z, Sansoni A, Kanduri K, Joly R, 661 Malzac A, Lähdesmäki H, Lahesmaa R, Yamasaki S, Saito T, Malissen M, 662 Aebersold R, Gstaiger M, Malissen B. Quantitative proteomics analysis of signalosome dynamics in primary T cells identifies the surface receptor CD6 as a 663 664 Lat adaptor-independent TCR signaling hub. Nat Immunol. 2014 Apr;15(4):384-665 392. doi: 10.1038/ni.2843. Epub 2014 Mar 2. PMID: 24584089; PMCID: 666 PMC4037560. 667 38. Mikhailik A, Ford B, Keller J, Chen Y, Nassar N, Carpino N. A phosphatase 668 669 activity of Sts-1 contributes to the suppression of TCR signaling. Mol Cell. 2007 670 Aug 3;27(3):486-97. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2007.06.015. PMID: 17679096; 671 PMCID: PMC2709417. 672 39. Luis BS, Carpino N. Insights into the suppressor of T-cell receptor (TCR) 673 674 signaling-1 (Sts-1)-mediated regulation of TCR signaling through the use of novel 675 substrate-trapping Sts-1 phosphatase variants. FEBS J. 2014 Feb;281(3):696-676 707. doi: 10.1111/febs.12615. Epub 2013 Dec 12. PMID: 24256567; PMCID: PMC3968691. 677 678 679 40. Ge Y, Paisie TK, Chen S, Concannon P. UBASH3A Regulates the Synthesis and 680 Dynamics of TCR-CD3 Complexes. J Immunol. 2019 Dec 1:203(11):2827-2836. 681 doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1801338. Epub 2019 Oct 28. PMID: 31659016; PMCID: 682 PMC6938261. 683 684 41. Voisinne G, García-Blesa A, Chaoui K, Fiore F, Bergot E, Girard L, Malissen M, 685 Burlet-Schiltz O, Gonzalez de Peredo A, Malissen B, Roncagalli R. Co-686 recruitment analysis of the CBL and CBLB signalosomes in primary T cells 687 identifies CD5 as a key regulator of TCR-induced ubiquitylation. Mol Syst Biol. 688 2016 Jul 29;12(7):876. doi: 10.15252/msb.20166837. PMID: 27474268; PMCID: PMC4965873. 689 690 691 42. Yao S, Buzo BF, Pham D, Jiang L, Taparowsky EJ, Kaplan MH, Sun J. Interferon 692 regulatory factor 4 sustains CD8(+) T cell expansion and effector differentiation.

693	Immunity. 2013 Nov 14;39(5):833-45. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2013.10.007. Epub
693 694	2013 Nov 7. PMID: 24211184; PMCID: PMC3855863.
695	
696	43. Wu H, Witzl A, Ueno H. Assessment of TCR signal strength of antigen-specific
697	memory CD8 ⁺ T cells in human blood. Blood Adv. 2019 Jul 23;3(14):2153-2163.
698	doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2019000292. PMID: 31320320; PMCID:
699	PMC6650739.
700	
701	44. Allison KA, Sajti E, Collier JG, Gosselin D, Troutman TD, Stone EL, Hedrick SM,
702	Glass CK. Affinity and dose of TCR engagement yield proportional enhancer and
703	gene activity in CD4+ T cells. Elife. 2016 Jul 4;5:e10134. doi:
704	10.7554/eLife.10134. PMID: 27376549; PMCID: PMC4931909.
705	
706	45. Man K, Miasari M, Shi W, Xin A, Henstridge DC, Preston S, Pellegrini M, Belz
707	GT, Smyth GK, Febbraio MA, Nutt SL, Kallies A. The transcription factor IRF4 is
708	essential for TCR affinity-mediated metabolic programming and clonal expansion
709	of T cells. Nat Immunol. 2013 Nov;14(11):1155-65. doi: 10.1038/ni.2710. Epub
710	2013 Sep 22. Erratum in: Nat Immunol. 2014 Sep;15(9):894. PMID: 24056747.
711 712	46.Zhang L, Li Y, Qiu W, Bell BA, Dvorina N, Baldwin WM 3rd, Singer N, Kern T,
712	Caspi RR, Fox DA, Lin F. Targeting CD6 for the treatment of experimental
713	autoimmune uveitis. J Autoimmun. 2018 Jun;90:84-93. doi:
715	10.1016/j.jaut.2018.02.004. Epub 2018 Feb 19. PMID: 29472120; PMCID:
716	PMC5949263
717	
718	47. Li Y, Ruth JH, Rasmussen SM, Athukorala KS, Weber DP, Amin MA, Campbell
719	PL, Singer NG, Fox DA, Lin F. Attenuation of Murine Collagen-Induced Arthritis
720	by Targeting CD6. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2020 Sep;72(9):1505-1513. doi:
721	10.1002/art.41288. Epub 2020 Aug 14. PMID: 32307907; PMCID: PMC7745675.
722	
723	48. Marta M, Meier UC, Lobell A. Regulation of autoimmune encephalomyelitis by
724	toll-like receptors. Autoimmun Rev. 2009 May;8(6):506-9. doi:
725	10.1016/j.autrev.2009.01.006. Epub 2009 Jan 27. PMID: 19211042.
726	
727	49. Zalinger ZB, Elliott R, Rose KM, Weiss SR. MDA5 Is Critical to Host Defense
728	during Infection with Murine Coronavirus. J Virol. 2015 Dec;89(24):12330-40. doi:
729 720	10.1128/JVI.01470-15. Epub 2015 Sep 30. PMID: 26423942; PMCID:
730 731	PMC4665247.
731	50. Diebold M, Fehrenbacher L, Frosch M, Prinz M. How myeloid cells shape
732	experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis: At the crossroads of outside-in
734	immunity. Eur J Immunol. 2023 Oct;53(10):e2250234. doi:
735	10.1002/eji.202250234. Epub 2023 Aug 21. PMID: 37505465.
736	

737	
738	51. Labrecque N, Whitfield LS, Obst R, Waltzinger C, Benoist C, Mathis D. How
739	much TCR does a T cell need? Immunity. 2001 Jul;15(1):71-82. doi:
740	10.1016/s1074-7613(01)00170-4. PMID: 11485739.
741	
742	52. Yang M, Chen T, Li X, Yu Z, Tang S, Wang C, Gu Y, Liu Y, Xu S, Li W, Zhang X,
743	Wang J, Cao X. K33-linked polyubiquitination of Zap70 by Nrdp1 controls CD8(+)
744	T cell activation. Nat Immunol. 2015 Dec;16(12):1253-62. doi: 10.1038/ni.3258.
745	Epub 2015 Sep 21. Erratum in: Nat Immunol. 2020 Mar;21(3):355. PMID:
746	26390156.
	20030100.
747	
748	53. Carpino N, Chen Y, Nassar N, Oh HW. The Sts proteins target tyrosine
749	phosphorylated, ubiquitinated proteins within TCR signaling pathways. Mol
750	Immunol. 2009 Oct;46(16):3224-31. doi: 10.1016/j.molimm.2009.08.015. Epub
751	2009 Sep 5. PMID: 19733910; PMCID: PMC2757469.
752	
753	54. Chen YG, Ciecko AE, Khaja S, Grzybowski M, Geurts AM, Lieberman SM.
754	UBASH3A deficiency accelerates type 1 diabetes development and enhances
	salivary gland inflammation in NOD mice. Sci Rep. 2020 Jul 21;10(1):12019. doi:
755	, , , , ,
756	10.1038/s41598-020-68956-6. PMID: 32694640; PMCID: PMC7374577.
757	
758	55. Marques CP, Kapil P, Hinton DR, Hindinger C, Nutt SL, Ransohoff RM, Phares
759	TW, Stohlman SA, Bergmann CC. CXCR3-dependent plasma blast migration to
760	the central nervous system during viral encephalomyelitis. J Virol. 2011
761	Jul;85(13):6136-47. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00202-11. Epub 2011 Apr 20. PMID:
762	21507985; PMCID: PMC3126522.
762	
763 764	56. Michel L, Grasmuck C, Charabati M, Lécuyer MA, Zandee S, Dhaeze T, Alvarez
765	JI, Li R, Larouche S, Bourbonnière L, Moumdjian R, Bouthillier A, Lahav B,
766	Duquette P, Bar-Or A, Gommerman JL, Peelen E, Prat A. Activated leukocyte
767	cell adhesion molecule regulates B lymphocyte migration across central nervous
768	system barriers. Sci Transl Med. 2019 Nov 13;11(518):eaaw0475. doi:
769	10.1126/scitransImed.aaw0475. PMID: 31723036.
770	
771	57. Caballero A, Filgueira LM, Betancourt J, Sánchez N, Hidalgo C, Ramírez A,
772	Martinez A, Despaigne RE, Escalona A, Diaz H, Meriño E, Ortega LM, Castillo U,
773	Ramos M, Saavedra D, García Y, Lorenzo G, Cepeda M, Arencibia M, Cabrera
774	L, Domecq M, Estévez D, Valenzuela C, Lorenzo P, Sánchez L, Mazorra Z, León
775	K, Crombet T. Treatment of COVID-19 patients with the anti-CD6 antibody
776	itolizumab. Clin Transl Immunology. 2020 Nov 25;9(11):e1218. doi:
777	10.1002/cti2.1218. PMID: 33304584; PMCID: PMC7688906.
778	
779	58. Díaz Y, Ramos-Suzarte M, Martín Y, Calderón NA, Santiago W, Viñet O, La O Y,
780	Oyarzábal JPA, Pérez Y, Lorenzo G, Cepeda M, Saavedra D, Mazorra Z,
781	Estevez D, Lorenzo-Luaces P, Valenzuela C, Caballero A, Leon K, Crombet T,
782	Hidalgo CJ. Use of a Humanized Anti-CD6 Monoclonal Antibody (Itolizumab) in
102	

783	Elderly Patients with Moderate COVID-19. Gerontology. 2020;66(6):553-561. doi:
784	10.1159/000512210. Epub 2020 Oct 26. PMID: 33105142; PMCID:
785	PMC7649683
786	
787	59. Saavedra D, Añé-Kourí AL, Sánchez N, Filgueira LM, Betancourt J, Herrera C,
788	Manso L, Chávez E, Caballero A, Hidalgo C, Lorenzo G, Cepeda M, Valenzuela
789	C, Ramos M, León K, Mazorra Z, Crombet T. An anti-CD6 monoclonal antibody
790	(itolizumab) reduces circulating IL-6 in severe COVID-19 elderly patients. Immun
791	Ageing. 2020 Nov 14;17(1):34. doi: 10.1186/s12979-020-00207-8. PMID:
792	33292350; PMCID: PMC7666403.
793	
794	60. Castro-Sánchez P, Aguilar-Sopeña O, Alegre-Gómez S, Ramirez-Munoz R,
795	Roda-Navarro P. Regulation of CD4 ⁺ T Cell Signaling and Immunological
796	Synapse by Protein Tyrosine Phosphatases: Molecular Mechanisms in
797	Autoimmunity. Front Immunol. 2019 Jun 26;10:1447. doi:
798	10.3389/fimmu.2019.01447. PMID: 31297117; PMCID: PMC6607956.
799	
800	61. Zimmerman AW, Joosten B, Torensma R, Parnes JR, van Leeuwen FN, Figdor
801	CG. Long-term engagement of CD6 and ALCAM is essential for T-cell
802	proliferation induced by dendritic cells. Blood. 2006 Apr 15;107(8):3212-20. doi:
803	10.1182/blood-2005-09-3881. Epub 2005 Dec 13. PMID: 16352806.
804	

805	Figure 1: CD6 deficiency results in enhanced adaptive immune cell expansion
806	and activation in the cervical lymph nodes after mCoV infection. cLNs from WT
807	and CD6 KO mice infected with 10,000 PFU of mCoV were taken at the indicated
808	time points and analyzed by flow cytometry for the A) total number of CD4 T cells, B)
809	percent of CD4 T cells that are CD44 high, C) total number of CD8 T cells, D)
810	percent of CD8 T cells that are CD44 high, and E) total number of CD19 B cells.
811	cLNs were also analyzed by F) qRT-PCR for transcripts of the mCoV nucleocapsid
812	gene (N). Each data point represents a single mouse and a minimum of two
813	individual experiments were pooled for each time point. Significance was
814	determined using a Two-way ANOVA with a Bonferroni's post-hoc test and denoted
815	as * for p<0.05, ** for p<0.01, *** for p<0.001,and **** for p<0.0001.
816	

Figure 2: CD6 regulates CD4 T helper cell differentiation in cervical lymph

- 818nodes after mCoV infection. cLNs from mCoV infected WT and CD6 KO mice
- 819 were taken at the indicated time points and analyzed by flow cytometry for the 820 proportion and number of CD4 T cells that are **A**) T_{FH} cells (CXCR5⁺, PD1⁺), **B**)
- 821 BCL6⁺, and **C**) T-Bet⁺. cLNs s were also analyzed by gRT-PCR for **D**) *Ifng* and **E**)
- *Foxp3* transcripts. Each data point represents a single mouse with experiments
- 823 pooled from a minimum of two independent experiments for each time point. Flow
- 824 cytometry plots and histograms are of a representative WT(black) and CD6 KO (red)
- 825 mouse. FMO staining controls (gray) were included in the day 4PI histograms.
- 826 Significance was determined using a Two-way ANOVA with a Bonferroni's post-hoc 827 test or an unpaired T-test and denoted as * for p<0.05, ** for p<0.01, *** for
- 828 p<0.001, and **** for p<0.0001.
- 829

830 Figure 3: Germinal centers are enhanced in the absence of CD6. WT and CD6 831 KO mice were infected with mCoV. At day 4 and 7PI cLN CD19⁺ B cells were 832 examined by flow cytometry for expression of A) IgD or B) GL7 (denoting germinal 833 center B cells). Additionally, gRT-PCR was used to analyze expression of C) Aicda 834 in cLNs. Day 14PI flash fozen cLNs were **D**) stained for B220 (red), GL7 (green). 835 and CD3 (blue) to confirm GC formation within B cell zones. Serum collected at days 836 4, 7, and 14 PI was analyzed for mCoV-specific IgG E) avidity index₅₀ and F) titers 837 by ELISA. "()" indicates the experimentally determined concentration of the serum 838 dilution used for the analysis. Each data point represents a single mouse and a 839 minimum of two experiments were pooled for each time point. Flow plots are of a 840 representative mouse. Significance was determined by Two-way ANOVA using a 841 Bonferroni's post-hoc test and denoted as * for p<0.05, ** for p<0.01, *** for 842 p<0.001,and **** for p<0.0001. 843

844 Figure 4: CD6-mediated control of Ubahs3a expression corresponds with 845 decreased TCR signal strength. cLNs from mCoV infected WT and CD6 KO mice 846 were isolated at the indicated time points. A) Ubash3a expression was quantified by 847 qRT-PCR. The MFI of CD3 on **B)** CD44⁺ CD4 T cells and **C)** CD4 T_{FH} cells at day 848 4PI was used to monitor cell-surface CD3/TCR by flow cytometry. D) Irf4 849 transcription in the cLNs was quantified by qRT-PCR. The percent of E) CD44+ 850 CD4 T cells and F) CD4 T_{FH} cells that are IRF4^{high} in the cLN as well as the G) MFI 851 of IRF4 on CD44+ CD4 T_{FH} cells was analyzed by flow cytometry. Each data point 852 represents a single mouse and representative histograms are of one WT mouse in 853 grey and one CD6 KO mouse in red. B, C, and H are data representative of a 854 minimum of 2 experiments. Significance was determined by Two-way ANOVA using 855 a Bonferroni's post-hoc test (A, D, E) or by unpaired T-Test (B-C and F-G) and 856 denoted as * for p<0.05, ** for p<0.01, *** for p<0.001, and **** for p<0.0001. 857

858 Figure 5: CD6 regulates peripheral, but not CNS, immune responses. CNS 859 tissues were isolated from WT and CD6 KO mice at the indicated time points. The total number of A) CD4 T cells and B) CD8 T cells were quantified by flow 860 861 cytometry. mRNA transcripts of C) Ifng, D) II17, and E) viral N gene were quantified in the indicated CNS tissues. The total number of F) CD19 B cells in the brain was 862 863 quantified by flow cytometry. mCoV-specific IgG antibodies in the CNS tissues were 864 **G**) semi-quantified and **H**) measured for affinity using an avidity index₅₀ assay. Each 865 data point represents a single mouse. Significance was determined by A-B) Twoway ANOVA using a Bonferroni's post-hoc test, C-D) unpaired T-Test and E-G) 866 Two-way ANOVA using a Bonferroni's post-hoc test and denoted as * for p<0.05, ** 867 for p<0.01, *** for p<0.001, and **** for p<0.0001. 868

870 Supplemental Figure 1: Representative gating strategy of mCoV infected cLNs

at day 7PI. Singlet cells that fell into a lymphocyte FSC, SSC gate were analyzed for

- cell viability using a live/dead dye. CD45+ live cells were then first A) analyzed for
 CD3e expression followed by CD4 or CD8 expression. CD4 expressing T cells were
- CD3e expression followed by CD4 or CD8 expression. CD4 expressing T cells were
 then analyzed for activation CD44 and T_{FH} cells by CXCR5 and PD1 co-expression.
- B) CD45+ cells were also analyzed for CD19, followed by GL7 expression or IgD
- expression. Finally, IgD- B cells were examined for CD138.
- 877

878 Supplemental Figure 2: CD6 is expressed on CD4 and CD8 T cells. A) cLNs 879 and B) brains from naïve WT (n=4) and CD6 KO (n=2) mice were analyzed by flow 880 cytometry for CD6 expression on the indicated population. Representative 881 histograms of CD6 expression in the naïve cLNs are shown in the left panel of (A). 882 C) Representative flow cytometry plots from the naïve cLNs (left) and brain (right) 883 demonstrating no detectable CD6 expression on CD45⁻ cells. D) Representative 884 histograms of cLNs were taken at day 4 (left) and 7 (right) PI of CD6 expression on live cells with T cells gated out. E) CD6 expression on activated T cells at day 4PI in 885 886 the cLNs was confirmed by flow cytometry. All cells were identified by flow 887 cytometery using the gating scheme depicted in Supplemental Figure 1. Each data 888 point represents an individual mouse and representative histograms and flow plots 889 are from an individual WT (red) and CD6 KO (grey) mouse.

891 Supplemental Figure 3: Contraction in the cLNs is accelerated in CD6 KO

- mice. cLNs from WT and CD6 KO mice were analyzed by flow cytometry at the
- indicated time point(s) to quantify A) total CD45⁺ cells (simplified graph of day 21PI
 alone on the right to illustrate the difference in contraction), B) total CD4 T cells C)
- the fraction of CD4 T cells that are CD4 T_{FH} cells, **D**) total CD19 cells, and **E**) the
- 896 percent of IgD⁻ B cells that are GC B cells. At days 21 and 28 PI the mCoV-specific
- 897 IgG antibody **F)** avidity index₅₀ (left) and titers (right) were measured across multiple
- 898 experiments. For all graphs each data points represents an individual mouse.
- 899 Significance was determined by Two-way ANOVA using a Bonferroni's post-hoc test 900 or an unpaired T test and denoted as * for p<0.05, ** for p<0.01, *** for p<0.001,and
- 901 **** for p<0.0001.









