

Exogenous Indole-3-Acetic Acid Induced Ethanol Tolerance in Phylogenetically Diverse Saccharomycetales Yeasts

RUO-TING HSIUNG^{1†}, MING-CHUNG CHIU^{1†}, and JUI-YU CHOU^{1*}

¹Department of Biology, National Changhua University of Education, Changhua 500, Taiwan

(Received August 17, 2021—Accepted December 5, 2021—Published online January 27, 2022)

Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) is an exogenous growth regulatory signal that is produced by plants and various microorganisms. Microorganisms have been suggested to cross-communicate with each other through IAA-mediated signaling mechanisms. The IAA-induced tolerance response has been reported in several microorganisms, but has not yet been described in Saccharomycetales yeasts. In the present study, three common stressors (heat, osmotic pressure, and ethanol) were examined in relation to the influence of a pretreatment with IAA on stress tolerance in 12 different lineages of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. The pretreatment with IAA had a significant effect on the induction of ethanol tolerance by reducing the doubling time of *S. cerevisiae* growth without the pretreatment. However, the pretreatment did not significantly affect the induction of thermo- or osmotolerance. The IAA pretreatment decreased the lethal effects of ethanol on *S. cerevisiae* cells. Although yeasts produce ethanol to outcompete sympatric microorganisms, IAA is not a byproduct of this process. Nevertheless, the accumulation of IAA indicates an increasing number of microorganisms, and, thus, greater competition for resources. Since the "wine trait" is shared by both phylogenetically related and distinct lineages in Saccharomycetales, we conclude that IAA-induced ethanol tolerance is not specific to *S. cerevisiae*; it may be widely detected in both pre-whole genome duplication (WGD) and post-WGD yeasts belonging to several genera

Key words: indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), exogenous growth regulatory signal, ethanol tolerance, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, make-accumulate-consume strategy

Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) is an auxin that regulates plant physiology and growth (Spaepen *et al.*, 2007; Liu *et al.*, 2016). Several organisms, including plants, bacteria, algae, yeast, and multicellular fungi, produce and respond to IAA in the environment (Spaepen *et al.*, 2007; Reineke *et al.*, 2008; Fu *et al.*, 2015). Previous studies demonstrated that microbial IAA increases seed germination and root elongation (Geldner *et al.*, 2003; Teale *et al.*, 2006; Contreras-Cornejo *et al.*, 2009; Spaepen and Vanderleyden, 2011), whereas plant IAA regulates physiological responses by plant-associated microorganisms, such as inhibiting growth and *vir* gene expression (Spaepen *et al.*, 2007). These interspecific effects make IAA a diffusible signal that elicits communication among different organisms (Fu *et al.*, 2015).

An increased environmental IAA concentration indicates the aggregation of microorganisms and greater competition (Geldner *et al.*, 2003; Prusty *et al.*, 2004; Bianco *et al.*, 2006; Teale *et al.*, 2006; Reineke *et al.*, 2008; Contreras-Cornejo *et al.*, 2009; Imperlini *et al.*, 2009; Spaepen and Vanderleyden, 2011; Donati *et al.*, 2013; Kulkarni *et al.*, 2013; Sun *et al.*, 2014; Fu *et al.*, 2015; Liu *et al.*, 2016;

https://doi.org/10.1264/jsme2.ME21053

Chung et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2020). Under favorable growth conditions, the addition of exogenous IAA was shown to reduce the growth rate of S. cerevisiae (Prusty et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2016), but also increased it in the presence of relatively low concentrations of Aureobasidium pullulans (625 µM), Cryptococcus flavus (312.5 µM), and Fusarium delphinoides (<50 µM) (Kulkarni et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2014). We previously demonstrated that relatively high IAA concentrations inhibited the growth of the green algae Desmodesmus spp. and promoted the accumulation of lipid droplets in algal cells, an effect that is related to stress tolerance (Chung et al., 2018). Followup studies confirmed that stress tolerance was enhanced in green algae treated with IAA (Lin et al., 2020). Similar mechanisms have been detected in bacteria. Increases were observed in trehalose, lipopolysaccharide, exopolysaccharide (EPS), and biofilm production in Escherichia coli treated with IAA (Bianco et al., 2006). Furthermore, IAA triggered enhanced tolerance to stress and toxic compounds, which correlated with the up-regulated expression of the heat shock protein DnaK. Similarly, a treatment with IAA stimulated biofilm formation and EPS production in Bradyrhizobium japonicum, a nitrogen-fixing endosymbiont of soybean (Donati et al., 2013). Imperlini et al. (2009) demonstrated that IAA increased the survival and symbiotic nitrogen fixation ability of Sinorhizobium meliloti (Imperlini et al., 2009). Collectively, these findings suggest that IAA acts as a phytohormone and also regulates metabolic levels in microorganisms. However, even though IAA is a common growth regulator in fungi, its effects on stress tolerance have not yet been characterized in detail.

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: jackyjau@cc.ncue.edu.tw; Tel: +886-4-7232105 (ext. 3428); Fax: +886-4-7211156.

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work and share first authorship.

Citation: Hsiung, R.-T., Chiu, M.-C., and Chou, J.-Y. (2022) Exogenous Indole-3-Acetic Acid Induced Ethanol Tolerance in Phylogenetically Diverse Saccharomycetales Yeasts. *Microbes Environ* **37**: ME21053.

The budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae is a singlecelled fungus that is commonly used as a model organism in eukaryotic studies (Duina et al., 2014). It is widely distributed and may be isolated from diversified sources (Liti et al., 2009; Duan et al., 2018; Peter et al., 2018). One of the most prominent features of S. cerevisiae is its ability to rapidly convert sugars to alcohol. This wine trait has been hypothetically traced to the end of the Cretaceous period, concomitant with the origin of angiosperms, and helps the budding yeast outcompete its microbial competitors (mainly bacteria) in high-sugar environments (Dashko et al., 2014). However, ethanol negatively affects Saccharomycetales yeast by decreasing its growth rate and cell viability. Ethanol tolerance in different Saccharomycetales yeasts ranges between 6 and 14%, as reported by Rozpedowska et al. (2011). Osmotic stress associated with alcohol secretion also dehydrates yeast cells. During fermentation, marked changes in environmental conditions cause fluctuations in the amount of stress (Birch and Walker, 2000; Stanley et al., 2010). Temperature fluctuations are also very common in natural environments. Therefore, thermal adaptation is essential for the maintenance of thermal homeostasis and is also required for virulence in yeasts and other opportunistic pathogenic fungi (Nicholls et al., 2011; Brown et al., 2014). Microbes have evolved protective mechanisms against harmful stresses induced by diverse environmental conditions.

In the present study, we investigated whether a pretreatment with IAA promoted enhanced stress-tolerant phenotypes in S. cerevisiae. We also examined IAA-induced ethanol tolerance in both phylogenetically related and distinct Saccharomycetales yeasts. Several modern Saccharomycetales yeasts, apart from S. cerevisiae and its sister species, often occupy a similar niche and have equivalent capacities to produce and accumulate ethanol (Merico et al., 2007). These diverse yeast lineages have been suggested to contain independently used global promoter rewiring as part of the "make-accumulate-consume" strategy (Rozpędowska et al., 2011). The premise of this strategy is that Saccharomycetales yeasts ferment glucose to defend sugar-rich resources (such as fruit) from competitors by exploiting the toxicity of ethanol. These yeasts are subsequently able to consume ethanol after establishing competitive dominance within their ecological niche (Hagman et al., 2013). This particular life strategy has been suggested to have evolved as a multi-step process that predates the whole genome duplication (WGD) event that hypothetically occurred ~ 100 million years ago, possibly near the origin of all flowering plants (Piškur et al., 2006). Therefore, we also examined several pre-WGD and post-WGD Saccharomycetales yeasts, which may occupy similar niches in nature and rely on glucose as their primary substrate, and analyzed their ethanol tolerance and cell viability under IAA-induced ethanol tolerance.

Materials and Methods

Yeast source and culture conditions

To achieve the greatest coverage of the phylogenetically diverged lineages of *S. cerevisiae*, 12 different lineages were selected for the present study by refereeing to the phylogenetic tree reconstructed by Liti et al. (2009). Other than S. cerevisiae, S. paradoxus, S. eubayanus, Kazachstania servazzii, Zvgosaccharomyces bisporus, and Z. rouxii belonging to the post-WGD group and Torulaspora sp., Kluvveromyces marxianus, Kluyveromyces sp., Dekkera bruxellensis belonging to the pre-WGD group were used in the present study. These Saccharomycetales yeasts were provided by different resources. S. cerevisiae strains were provided by Dr. Gianni Liti (Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, INSERM, IRCAN, Nice, France) and Dr. Jun-Yi Leu (Institute of Molecular Biology, Academia Sinica, Taiwan); S. paradoxus and S. eubayanus, which were examined in Greig et al. (2002), were provided by Dr. Duccio Cavalieri (Fondazione Edmund Mach, San Michele all'Adige, Italy). The other yeasts were isolated by the authors of the present study, including Ka. servazzii and Kl. marxianus from milk kefir (Hsu and Chou, 2021), Z. bisporus from coffee cherry (unpublished data), Z. rouxii from fermented vinegar (Hsu and Chou, 2021), Torulaspora sp. from living Drosera spatulata (Fu et al., 2016), Kluyveromyces sp. from the rhizosphere of cherry tomato (unpublished data), and Dekkera bruxellensis from a kombucha culture (unpublished data) (Supplementary Table 1). The yeasts isolated by the authors of this study were identified by sequencing their rDNA regions (SSU-ITS-LSU) and isolates are available upon request from the corresponding author. All yeast isolates were maintained in yeast extract-peptone-dextrose (YPD; 1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, and 2% dextrose) medium and incubated in the dark on a shaker at 28°C and 150 revolutions min-1.

Stress tolerance test

The effects of IAA on osmo-, thermo-, and ethanol tolerance were examined. S. cerevisiae was cultured at 28°C overnight in YPD medium and refreshed for 3 h. IAA is hypothesized to be a signal for the accumulation of competitive microbes. In competition for sugar resources, yeasts produce ethanol to suppress the growth of sympatric microbes. Since the accumulation of sympatric microbes is accomplished by increases in IAA concentrations, IAA may stimulate yeast to trigger tolerance to unpredicted fluctuations. Therefore, to focus on the early stimulation of tolerance, we pretreated S. cerevisiae with IAA before its growth under stress conditions. Regarding the IAA pretreatment, 20 µL of a log-phase yeast suspension was added to 3 mL YPD medium with a serial dose of IAA (0, 300, 600, 900, or 1,200 µM) and incubated at 28°C for 24 h. After the pretreatment, yeast suspensions were adjusted to a density of $\sim 1.28 \times 10^6$ cells mL⁻¹ in YPD medium by a 10-fold dilution of the suspensions with the corresponding optical density (0.81) of 660 nm. To remove IAA from the medium, 3 mL of the yeast suspension was centrifuged at 13,000×g for 1 min, the supernatant was discarded, the pellet was washed with 3 mL sterile water, centrifuged again at 13,000×g for 1 min, the supernatant was discarded, and the yeast pellet was finally suspended in 200 µL YPD medium.

To test osmo- and ethanol tolerance, prepared yeast suspensions were examined in 3 mL YPD medium at 28°C with 1 M NaCl and 11% (v/v) ethanol, respectively. To assess thermo-tolerance, prepared yeast suspensions were examined in 3 mL YPD medium at 40°C because the majority of Saccharomycetales yeast species grow optimally below $35-37^{\circ}$ C and die at high temperatures (>50°C). All examinations were conducted using 3 sets of yeast suspensions and were repeated twice.

Yeast growth was monitored at 0, 12, 24, 36, and 48 h by measuring absorbance at 660 nm with a microplate reader (Thermo Scientific Multiskan GO). The doubling time (T_d, \min) , calculated with the equation $T_d=t/\log_2(f_2/f_i)$, was used to represent growth efficiency. In this equation, t represents the reaction time (the length of time yeast cell growth remained in the log phase: 12 h for no stress, 24 h for heat and osmotic stress, and 36 h for ethanol stress); f_1 represents the initial number of cells; and f_2 represents the final number of cells.

IAA Induced Ethanol Tolerance in Yeasts

Stress	Yeast strain	Significance of the IAA pretreatment ¹			Significance of interactions in the effects of stress on the IAA pretreatment ²		
		<i>d.f.</i>	F	Р	<i>d.f.</i>	F	P_int
No stress	273614N	4	1.382	0.270	4		
	322134S	4	1.171	0.348	4	_	
	378604X	4	0.386	0.817	4	_	
	DBVPG1106	4	0.285	0.885	4	_	
	NCYC110	4	1.770	0.168	4	_	
	S288c	4	0.525	0.718	4	_	_
	Sigma 1278b	4	3.297	0.027 ³	4	_	_
	UWOPS83-787.3	4	0.214	0.928	4	_	_
	UWOPS87-2421	4	0.282	0.887	4	_	_
	Y12	4	2.913	0.043 ³	4	_	_
	Yllc17 E5	4	0.170	0.952	4	_	_
	YS9	4	4.207	0.010 ³	4		
Ethanol	273614N	4	0.831	0.519	4	0.575	0.682
	322134S	4	2.003	0.126	4	1.386	0.253
	378604X	4	5.243	0.004 ³	4	4.016	0.006 ³
	DBVPG1106	4	1.734	0.175	4	0.968	0.434
	NCYC110	4	0.614	0.656	4	0.280	0.889
	S288c	4	3.069	0.036 ³	4	2.574	0.049 ³
	Sigma 1278b	4	7.947	<0.001 ³	4	5.502	<0.001 ³
	UWOPS83-787.3	4	4.70	0.006 ³	4	2.934	0.030 ³
	UWOPS87-2421	4	0.969	0.443	4	0.929	0.455
	Y12	4	3.157	0.031 ³	4	2.942	0.029 ³
	Yllc17_E5	4	1.834	0.155	4	1.584	0.193
	YS9		3.280	0.028 ³	4	0.710	0.589
Heat	273614N	4	3.375	0.025 ³	4	2.408	0.062
	322134S	4	1.727	0.177	4	2.063	0.100
	378604X	4	0.581	0.680	4	0.398	0.809
	DBVPG1106	4	0.596	0.669	4	0.488	0.745
	NCYC110	4	0.830	0.519	4	0.345	0.846
	S288c	4	1.258	0.313	4	0.928	0.455
	Sigma 1278b	4	1.161	0.351	4	1.343	0.267
	UWOPS83-787.3	4	2.093	0.113	4	1.850	0.134
	UWOPS87-2421	4	1.020	0.417	4	0.889	0.478
	Y12	4	1.328	0.288	4	0.726	0.579
	Yllc17_E5	4	1.423	0.255	4	0.970	0.432
	YS9	4	4.212	0.010 ³	4	3.381	0.016 ³
Osmotic	273614N	4	0.701	0.599	4	0.362	0.835
	322134S	4	1.315	0.293	4	1.232	0.310
	378604X	4	0.008	0.999	4	0.009	0.999
	DBVPG1106	4	0.343	0.846	4	0.215	0.929
	NCYC110	4	0.338	0.849	4	0.310	0.870
	S288c	4	1.357	0.278	4	1.179	0.331
	Sigma 1278b	4	2.773	0.049 ³	4	2.572	0.049 ³
	UWOPS83-787.3	4	1.277	0.307	4	0.812	0.524
	UWOPS87-2421	4	1.447	0.249	4	0.979	0.428
	Y12	4	0.471	0.757	4	0.595	0.668
	Yllc17_E5	4	3.272	0.028 ³	4	1.523	0.210
	YS9	4	1.042	0.406	4	0.540	0.707

Significance of effects of the IAA pretreatment Table 1

¹ Significance of the fixed effect in the one-factor LMM model with IAA titers as the fixed factor variable. ² Significance of the interaction term of the fixed factor variables, IAA titers and environmental stress, in the two-factor

LMM model.

³ Significance of the IAA pretreatment and the interaction between the IAA pretreatment and environmental stress, as indicated by **bold** P values.

Quantitative assessment of the yeast cell death rate during ethanol stress

After 50% of the S. cerevisiae strains tested were found to be more tolerant to ethanol stress when pretreated with IAA, we examined the effects of a pretreatment with IAA (900 µM) on

the cell death rate. Cell death was detected using the apoptosis fluorescent dye SYTOX Green (Invitrogen[™], Life Technologies, S7020). Yeast cells were cultured in YPD medium with 11% (v/v) ethanol and prepared as described above. At 0, 12, and 15 h, 1 mL of each yeast culture was transferred to a 1.5-mL microtube and centrifuged at 13,000-16,000×g for 1 min. The supernatant was then discarded and the sample was washed with phosphatebuffered saline (PBS). Samples were vortexed with 10 μ L of PBS and 1 μ L SYTOX Green in the dark for 10 min. Samples were observed and photographed using a Leica DM2500 fluorescence microscope, and 200–500 cells from each sample were randomly counted to assess the death rate.

Effects of IAA on ethanol tolerance by a cell viability assay

We performed a cell viability assay using two post-WGD and four pre-WGD genera of Saccharomycetales to establish whether the inhibition of lethal effects following IAA-induced ethanol tolerance is common in Saccharomycetales yeasts (Supplementary Table S1). The 24-h yeast inocula were refreshed with YPD medium for 3 h, and 10 µL of log-phase yeast cells was then transferred to YPD medium with or without 900 µM IAA for 2 days. After the incubation, approximately 3×10⁶ cells were transferred into 3 mL YPD medium with 11 or 13% (v/v) ethanol and incubated until analyzed. Five microliters of the yeast suspension was spotted onto YPD agar plates and observed after 0, 4, 8, 12, and 24 h. Images were taken once distinct colonies of the samples that were spotted at the last time point were observed (approximately 24-48 h after the inoculation). The abundance of the colonies was monitored at each of the time points to compare the effects of the IAA pretreatment on the growth of Saccharomycetales yeasts.

Statistical analysis

We modeled the effects of IAA titers on the doubling time of each S. cerevisiae strain pretreated with an environmental stress (or without stress) using a general linear mixed model (LMM). We considered doubling time to be a response variable, IAA titer to be a fixed factor variable, and the two experimental replications to be random factors. The significance of the fixed factor variable was assessed by an analysis of variance (ANOVA). In further examinations to clarify whether changes to doubling time were induced by stress or by a general response to IAA, we added an interaction term to the two-factor LMM to investigate the parallel response of yeast pretreated with and without stress to IAA. This model is similar to the previous one, with stress as an additional fixed factor variable, and an interaction term in the two fixed factor variables. We tested the significance of the interaction term in the two fixed factor variables using ANOVA. To understand the general response of the IAA pretreatment on the 12 S. cerevisiae strains under each type of stress (osmotic, thermal, and ethanol), we calculated tolerance levels using the observed doubling time ratio in the following formula: $(D_t - D_c)/(D_t + D_c)$. In this formula, D_t represents the doubling time of IAA-pretreated yeast, and D_c represents the mean doubling time of non-IAA-pretreated yeast. doubling time ratio values fell in the range of -1 to 1, with a ratio of 0 indicating that the IAA pretreatment had no effects on stress tolerance. The significance of the doubling time ratio compared to 0 was calculated using the Mann-Whitney U test. The cell death rate was analyzed by Fisher's exact test to assess the significance of the IAA pretreatment.

Model-building and hypothesis tests were conducted using basic functions and the "ImerTest" package in R (R Core Team, 2019).

Results

Stress tolerance assay

All *S. cerevisiae* strains cultured with environmental stress grew more slowly, as shown by longer doubling times, than those cultured without stress. However, stress tolerance significantly varied among strains according to the IAA pretreatment (Fig. 1). Among the 12 *S. cerevisiae* strains pretreated with IAA, some showed a decreased doubling times under specific conditions, including the

two yeast strains (Sigma 1278b and Y12) that were not exposed to any environmental stress, six strains (378604X, S288c, Sigma 1278b, UWOPS83-787.3, Y12, and YS9) that were treated with ethanol, two strains (273614N and YS9) that were treated with heat, and one strain (Sigma 1278b) that was treated with osmotic stress. Only two strains showed an increased doubling time in the IAA pretreatment: YS9, which was not exposed to environmental stress, and Yllc17 E5, which was treated with osmotic stress (Fig. 1 and Table 1). Despite some strains being less responsive to the highest (1200 μ M) IAA titer (e.g., Yllc17 E5 with osmotic stress), most strains displayed a significantly shorter doubling time at the second highest (900 µM) IAA titer (Fig. 1). In some strains, the significance of the IAA pretreatment was disputed because of the lack of significance of the interaction term (e.g., YS9 with ethanol stress, 273614N with heat stress, and Yllc17 E5 with osmotic stress), which implied that the effects of IAA on doubling time were not attributed to stress tolerance, but were rather a general effect.

At all examined titers, the pretreatment with IAA significantly induced ethanol tolerance, but did not significantly affect osmo- or thermotolerance (Fig. S1). Among the six strains displaying IAA-induced ethanol tolerance, the maximum reduction in doubling time was observed at the higher titers (600–1,200 μ M) of the IAA pretreatment at which ratios ([*Dt*–*Dc*]/[*Dt*+*Dc*]) ranged between –0.282 (in S228c) and –0.143 (in UWOPS83-787.3).

IAA pre-exposure reduced ethanol-related cell death

The pretreatment of yeast cultures with IAA induced ethanol tolerance in six S. cerevisiae strains, suggesting that the IAA pretreatment stimulated an adaptive response by alleviating cell death. Among the six strains, four (S288c, Sigma 1278b, UWOPS83-787.3, and Y12) had a significantly lower cell death rate on at least one time point after the IAA pretreatment (Fig. 2, S2-1, S2-3, S2-5, and S2-6). The maximum differences in the cell death rate caused by the IAA pretreatment and the control (cell death rate with the IAA pretreatment minus that in the control), which ranged between -0.755 and -0.122, were detected after 9 h in most of the examined strains (S288c, UWOPS83-787.3, and Y12), but at 15 h in Sigma 1278b. In contrast, two strains (378604X and YS9) showed no significant or slightly greater (cell death rate with the IAA pretreatment minus that in the control=0.097) changes in the cell death rate under the same treatment (Fig. 2, S2-2, and S2-4).

IAA-induced ethanol tolerance was detected in some Saccharomycetales yeast strains based on markedly higher colony numbers on plates

IAA-induced ethanol tolerance was detected in some Saccharomycetales yeast strains based on markedly higher colonies growing on plates. In comparisons with the control, a higher number of cell colonies was found in IAA-pretreated Saccharomycetales yeasts in at least 4 strains that were cultured in media containing 11% ethanol (*S. paradoxus* CBS5829; *Z. rouxii* JYC 2561; *Torulaspora* sp. JYC 369; and *Kluyveromyces* sp. JYC527) (Fig. S3), and at least 11 strains that were cultured in media containing 13%



Fig. 1. Doubling time of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains pretreated with a series of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) titers under three environmental stresses (ethanol, heat, and osmotic). Solid lines indicate the significant effects of the IAA pretreatment on the doubling time, as assessed by ANOVA.

ethanol (*S. paradoxus* CBS5829; *S. eubayanus* Sgn 25; *S. eubayanus* YDG186; *Ka. servazzii* JYC2565; *Z. bisporus* JYC 2526; *Z. rouxii* JYC 2561; *Torulaspora* sp. JYC 369; *Kl. marxianus* JYC2528; *Kluyveromyces* sp. JYC527; *D. bruxellensis* JYC2592; and *D. bruxellensis* JYC2595) (Fig. 3). Therefore, IAA-induced ethanol tolerance was detected in different Saccharomycetales yeast genera, but varied with the concentration of ethanol.

Discussion

IAA has been suggested to function as a widespread physiological code in interactions between fungi and other organisms. Fungal-produced IAA has been suggested to play roles in signaling pathogenic or symbiotic plant interactions (Spaepen and Vanderleyden, 2011). In fungal-fungal interactions, IAA has also been proposed to function as a quorum-sensing signal that regulates virulence traits, such as hyphal transition, in many pathogenic fungi. It also influences competition between fungal species that occupy the same niche (Fu *et al.*, 2015). However, limited information is currently available on the roles of IAA in fungal-microbial interactions. In the present study, exogenous IAA exerted significant effects on some *S. cerevisiae* yeast strains responding to environmental stresses. The IAA pretreatment promoted cell growth in some *S. cerevisiae*

strains exposed to certain stress conditions, similar to that reported in the bacteria Rhizobium and E. coli (Bianco et al., 2006; Donati et al., 2013). However, IAA failed to induce significant effects in most of the yeast strains grown under heat or osmotic stress. The effects of IAA may be dependent on the dose and strain (Sun et al., 2014). The concentration of IAA naturally produced by fungi generally ranges between approximately 60 and less than 1,400 µM (Sun et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2016). The addition of exogenous IAA may reduce the yeast growth rate (Prusty et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2016) or promote it at relatively low concentrations (Kulkarni et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2014). The duration of the IAA pretreatment may also be a factor. The durations of IAA pretreatments used in previous studies varied by organism, with durations of 2 h for prokaryotic bacteria (Bianco et al., 2006), 3 h for rhizobia (Donati et al., 2013), 24 h for algae (Lin et al., 2020), and 12 h for yeast (Sun et al., 2014). The durations of the IAA pretreatment used in the present study (24 and 48 h) were sufficient to trigger ethanol tolerance; however, further studies are needed to clarify how the duration of the pretreatment may affect this response.

In the present study, the IAA pretreatment exerted the greatest effects on *S. cerevisiae* cultures grown under ethanol stress. Ethanol affects numerous cellular behaviors and processes related to cell death, including necrosis and apoptosis (Kitagaki *et al.*, 2007). Increases in ethanol



Fig. 2. Cell death rate of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains pretreated with indole-3-acetic acid (IAA; red line) or the control (black line) and cultured in ethanol as an environmental stress. *P* values indicate significance as assessed by Fisher's exact test.

density gradually reduce cell viability by influencing the integrity and function of the cell membrane (Ding *et al.*, 2009). *Saccharomyces* yeasts produce ethanol following the degradation of sugars under aerobic conditions, which is known as the Crabtree effect, to outcompete bacteria (Rozpędowska *et al.*, 2011). However, ethanol negatively affects *S. cerevisiae* itself (Auesukaree, 2017; Morard *et al.*, 2019). Under stress conditions, microorganisms engage in a number of behaviors to prevent denaturation and sta-

bilize cell membranes, including the secretion of extracellular polysaccharides, formation of biofilms, accumulation of trehalose, or aggregation of membrane proteins (Pereira and Hunenberger, 2008). In *S. cerevisiae*, ethanol tolerance is achieved by the intracellular accumulation of trehalose (Sharma, 1997) or the production of unsaturated fatty acids and ergosterol to increase cell membrane stability (Daum *et al.*, 1998; Swan and Watson, 1998; You *et al.*, 2003). In the present study, we found the IAA pretreatment reduced the



Fig. 3. Saccharomycetales yeast growth on yeast extract–peptone–dextrose (YPD) agar plates to check the effects of the indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) pretreatment on the cell density of yeasts cultured with YPD media containing 13% ethanol. In each image, yeast was pretreated without IAA (top row) or with IAA (bottom row) and spotted onto YPD agar plates after culturing with ethanol for 0, 4, 8, 12, and 24 h (from left to right). The presence of growth at later time points indicates increased ethanol tolerance. A: *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* UWOPS83-2421; B: *S. paradoxus* N-17; C: *S. paradoxus* CBS5829; D: *S. eubayanus* Sgn 25; E: *S. eubayanus* YDG186; F: *Kazachstania servazzii* JYC2565; G: *K. servazzii* JYC2573; H: *Zygosaccharomyces bisporus* JYC 2526; I: *Z. rouxii* JYC 2561; J: *Torulaspora* sp. JYC 369; K: *Kluyveromyces marxianus* JYC2528; L: *Kluyveromyces* sp. JYC527; M: *Dekkera bruxellensis* JYC2592; N: *D. bruxellensis* JYC2595.

lethal effects of ethanol on yeast cells. One possible reason is that the IAA pretreatment triggered self-protection mechanisms for S. cerevisiae growth in the presence of ethanol. A previous study demonstrated that IAA regulated cell deathrelated genes by inducing the expression of heat-shock proteins, cold-shock proteins, and molecular chaperones, all of which help microorganisms overcome stress (Donati et al., 2013). Another possible reason for the lower cell death rate is that IAA enhances the metabolism of ethanol. The makeaccumulate-consume strategy provides S. cerevisiae with the capability to metabolize ethanol. Enhanced metabolism may reduce ethanol concentrations and rescue cells from death. Although we herein did not measure the final concentration of ethanol in culture media, we cannot exclude the possibility that a decrease in ethanol was one of the reasons for a lower cell death rate.

In the present study, we detected IAA-induced ethanol tolerance in Saccharomycetales yeasts. However, IAA is not a byproduct of ethanol production, it is more likely to be an indicator of sympatric yeast competitors associated with the accumulation of ethanol. The ecological and evolutionary significance of IAA-induced ethanol tolerance remains unclear. Ethanol is toxic to Saccharomycetales yeasts, but is also a strategy for outcompeting sympatric microbes (Piškur et al., 2006). Therefore, although further studies are warranted, we herein hypothesize that IAA-induced ethanol tolerance evolved under the close association of IAA produced by sympatric microbes and the consequent accumulation of ethanol. There is fierce competition among microbes for the high-energy-density carbon resources provided by sugar-rich fruits. In Saccharomycetales yeasts, the ability to produce ethanol evolved as a means to outcompete other

microorganisms and predominate high-sugar niches (Piškur et al., 2006). Ethanol production has been reinforced in S. cerevisiae and its close relatives after genome duplication events that hypothetically occurred at the same time as the appearance of angiosperms (Dashko et al., 2014). In Saccharomycetales yeasts, an elevated IAA concentration may reflect increasing numbers of competitive microorganisms, which are consequently associated with the accumulation of ethanol. In the present study, we found that IAA protected against ethanol-related cell death in at least six genera (Dekkera, Kazachstania, Kluvveromvces, Saccharomvces, Torulaspora, and Zygosaccharomyces) belonging to the families Pichiaceae and Saccharomycetaceae. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that ethanol production is a commonly evolved strategy in Saccharomycetales yeasts (Dashko et al., 2014). The make-accumulate-consume strategy has evolved convergently in S. cerevisiae and Dekkera spp., and endows these Saccharomycetales yeasts with greater ethanol tolerance (Rozpędowska et al., 2011). Ethanol accumulation is a main stressor during fermentation in sugar-rich niches. Although S. cerevisiae and Dekkera are more tolerant of ethanol than are other Saccharomycetales yeasts, pre-WGD and post-WGD Saccharomycetales yeasts both generally displayed higher ethanol tolerance following IAA pre-exposure. Further studies are needed to elucidate how IAA is associated with specific alcohol stress-signaling mechanisms in these yeasts.

In conclusion, we herein characterized the effects of IAA on stress tolerance in several Saccharomycetales yeasts. Among the three stress conditions examined, ethanol stress was the most prominently affected by IAA inducing ethanol tolerance, as shown by the attenuation of cell death in the presence of ethanol. Ethanol production helps Saccharomycetales yeasts to outcompete sympatric bacteria that occupy sugar-rich niches. The presence of IAA, which accompanies an increased density of microorganisms, may reflect intense competition and associated ethanol accumulation. Therefore, IAA-induced self-protection occurs not only in S. cerevisiae, in which the wine trait has been reinforced during evolution, but also generally in Saccharomycetales yeasts that are both phylogenetically related and distinct. This stress tolerance mechanism reveals that Saccharomycetales yeasts have an inherent ability to increase their stress response once appropriate external and/or internal triggers are activated. Some genes have been implicated in ethanol tolerance by examinations of hybrid strains (e.g., Lancaster et al., 2019; Lairón-Peris et al., 2020). As one of a few environmental factors that trigger ethanol tolerance in Saccharomycetales yeasts, the built-in molecular mechanisms underlying stress responses warrant further study.

Acknowledgements

We thank the members of the Chou Laboratory for their helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript. This manuscript was edited by wordvice.com.tw. This work was supported by grants from the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST 109-2811-B-018-500 and MOST 110-2811-B-018-001 to Ming-Chung Chiu; MOST 105-2311-B-018 -001 -MY3 and MOST 108-2621-B-018-002-MY3 to J.-Y. Chou).

References

- Auesukaree, C. (2017) Molecular mechanisms of the yeast adaptive response and tolerance to stresses encountered during ethanol fermentation. *J Biosci Bioeng* **124**: 133–142.
- Bianco, C., Imperlini, E., Calogero, R., Senatore, B., Amoresano, A., Carpentieri, A., et al. (2006) Indole-3-acetic acid improves *Escherichia coli*'s defences to stress. Arch Microbiol 185: 373–382.
- Birch, R.M., and Walker, G.M. (2000) Influence of magnesium ions on heat shock and ethanol stress responses of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Enzyme Microb Technol* 26: 678–687.
- Brown, A.J., Budge, S., Kaloriti, D., Tillman, A., Jacobsen, M.D., Yin, Z., et al. (2014) Stress adaptation in a pathogenic fungus. J Exp Biol 217: 144–155.
- Chung, T.Y., Kuo, C.Y., Lin, W.J., Wang, W.L., and Chou, J.Y. (2018) Indole-3-acetic-acid-induced phenotypic plasticity in *Desmodesmus* algae. Sci Rep 8: 10270.
- Contreras-Cornejo, H.A., Macías-Rodríguez, L., Cortés-Penagos, C., and López-Bucio, J. (2009) *Trichoderma virens*, a plant beneficial fungus, enhances biomass production and promotes lateral root growth through an auxin-dependent mechanism in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* 149: 1579–1592.
- Dashko, S., Zhou, N., Compagno, C., and Piškur, J. (2014) Why, when, and how did yeast evolve alcoholic fermentation? *FEMS Yeast Res* 14: 826–832.
- Daum, G., Lees, N.D., Bard, M., and Dickson, R. (1998) Biochemistry, cell biology and molecular biology of lipids of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast* 14: 1471–1510.
- Ding, J., Huang, X., Zhang, L., Zhao, N., Yang, D., and Zhang, K. (2009) Tolerance and stress response to ethanol in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 85: 253–263.
- Donati, A.J., Lee, H.I., Leveau, J.H., and Chang, W.S. (2013) Effects of indole-3-acetic acid on the transcriptional activities and stress tolerance of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. *PLoS One* 8: e76559.
- Duan, S.F., Han, P.J., Wang, Q.M., Liu, W.Q., Shi, J.Y., Li, K., et al. (2018) The origin and adaptive evolution of domesticated populations of yeast from Far East Asia. Nat Commun 9: 1–13.
- Duina, A.A., Miller, M.E., and Keeney, J.B. (2014) Budding yeast for budding geneticists: a primer on the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* model system. *Genetics* 197: 33–48.
- Fu, S.F., Wei, J.Y., Chen, H.W., Liu, Y.Y., Lu, H.Y., and Chou, J.Y. (2015) Indole-3-acetic acid: A widespread physiological code in interactions of fungi with other organisms. *Plant Signal Behav* 10: e1048052.
- Fu, S.F., Sun, P.F., Lu, H.Y., Wei, J.Y., Xiao, H.S., Fang, W.T., et al. (2016) Plant growth-promoting traits of yeasts isolated from the phyllosphere and rhizosphere of *Drosera spatulata* Lab. *Fungal Biol* 120: 433–448.
- Geldner, N., Anders, N., Wolters, H., Keicher, J., Kornberger, W., Muller, P., et al. (2003) The Arabidopsis GNOM ARF-GEF mediates endosomal recycling, auxin transport, and auxin-dependent plant growth. Cell 112: 219–230.
- Greig, D., Borts, R.H., Louis, E.J., and Travisano, M. (2002) Epistasis and hybrid sterility in *Saccharomyces. Proc R Soc London, Ser B* 269: 1167–1171.
- Hagman, A., Säll, T., Compagno, C., and Piskur, J. (2013) Yeast "makeaccumulate-consume" life strategy evolved as a multi-step process that predates the whole genome duplication. *PLoS One* 8: e68734.
- Hsu, S.A., and Chou, J.Y. (2021) Yeasts in fermented food and kefir: In vitro characterization of probiotic traits. *J Anim Plant Sci* **31**: 567–582.
- Imperlini, E., Bianco, C., Lonardo, E., Camerini, S., Cermola, M., Moschetti, G., and Defez, R. (2009) Effects of indole-3-acetic acid on *Sinorhizobium meliloti* survival and on symbiotic nitrogen fixation and stem dry weight production. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 83: 727–738.
- Kitagaki, H., Araki, Y., Funato, K., and Shimoi, H. (2007) Ethanolinduced death in yeast exhibits features of apoptosis mediated by mitochondrial fission pathway. *FEBS Lett* 581: 2935–2942.
- Kulkarni, G.B., Sanjeevkumar, S., Kirankumar, B., Santoshkumar, M., and Karegoudar, T. (2013) Indole-3-acetic acid biosynthesis in *Fusarium delphinoides* strain GPK, a causal agent of Wilt in Chickpea. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 169: 1292–1305.

- Lairón-Peris, M., Pérez-Través, L., Muñiz-Calvo, S., Guillamón, J.M., Heras, J.M., Barrio, E., and Querol, A. (2020) Differential contribution of the parental genomes to a *S. cerevisiae×S. uvarum* hybrid, inferred by phenomic, genomic, and transcriptomic analyses, at different industrial stress conditions. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* 8: 129.
- Lancaster, S.M., Payen, C., Heil, C.S., and Dunham, M.J. (2019) Fitness benefits of loss of heterozygosity in *Saccharomyces* hybrids. *Genome Res* 29: 1685–1692.
- Lin, W.J., Ho, H.C., Chu, S.C., and Chou, J.Y. (2020) Effects of auxin derivatives on phenotypic plasticity and stress tolerance in five species of the green alga *Desmodesmus* (Chlorophyceae, Chlorophyta). *PeerJ* 8: e8623.
- Liti, G., Carter, D.M., Moses, A.M., Warringer, J., Parts, L., James, S.A., et al. (2009) Population genomics of domestic and wild yeasts. *Nature* 458: 337–341.
- Liu, Y.Y., Chen, H.W., and Chou, J.Y. (2016) Variation in indole-3-acetic acid production by wild *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *S. paradoxus* strains from diverse ecological sources and its effect on growth. *PLoS One* 11: e0160524.
- Merico, A., Sulo, P., Piskur, J., and Compagno, C. (2007) Fermentative lifestyle in yeasts belonging to the *Saccharomyces* complex. *FEBS J* 274: 976–989.
- Morard, M., Macías, L.G., Adam, A.C., Lairón-Peris, M., Pérez-Torrado, R., Toft, C., and Barrio, E. (2019) Aneuploidy and ethanol tolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Front Genet* 10: 82.
- Nicholls, S., MacCallum, D.M., Kaffarnik, F.A., Selway, L., Peck, S.C., and Brown, A.J. (2011) Activation of the heat shock transcription factor Hsfl is essential for the full virulence of the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans. Fungal Genet Biol* 48: 297–305.
- Pereira, C.S., and Hunenberger, P.H. (2008) Effect of trehalose on a phospholipid membrane under mechanical stress. *Biophys J* **95**: 3525–3534.
- Peter, J., de Chiara, M., Friedrich, A., Yue, J.X., Pflieger, D., Bergström, A., et al. (2018) Genome evolution across 1,011 Saccharomyces cerevisiae isolates. Nature 556: 339–344.
- Piškur, J., Rozpedowska, E., Polakova, S., Merico, A., and Compagno, C. (2006) How did *Saccharomyces* evolve to become a good brewer? *Trends Genet* 22: 183–186.

- Prusty, R., Grisafi, P., and Fink, G.R. (2004) The plant hormone indoleacetic acid induces invasive growth in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 101: 4153–4157.
- R Core Team (2019) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. URL https://www.R-project.org/
- Reineke, G., Heinze, B., Schirawski, J., Buettner, H., Kahmann, R., and Basse, C.W. (2008) Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) biosynthesis in the smut fungus *Ustilago maydis* and its relevance for increased IAA levels in infected tissue and host tumour formation. *Mol Plant Pathol* 9: 339–355.
- Rozpędowska, E., Hellborg, L., Ishchuk, O.P., Orhan, F., Galafassi, S., Merico, A., *et al.* (2011) Parallel evolution of the makeaccumulate-consume strategy in *Saccharomyces* and *Dekkera* yeasts. *Nat Commun* 2: 302.
- Sharma, S.C. (1997) A possible role of trehalose in osmotolerance and ethanol tolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 152: 11–15.
- Spaepen, S., and Vanderleyden, J. (2011) Auxin and plant-microbe interactions. Cold Spring Harbor Perspect Biol 3: a001438.
- Spaepen, S., Vanderleyden, J., and Remans, R.J. (2007) Indole-3acetic acid in microbial and microorganism-plant signaling. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 31: 425–448.
- Stanley, D., Bandara, A., Fraser, S., Chambers, P.J., and Stanley, G.A. (2010) The ethanol stress response and ethanol tolerance of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J Appl Microbiol 109: 13–24.
- Sun, P.F., Fang, W.T., Shin, L.Y., Wei, J.Y., Fu, S.F., and Chou, J.Y. (2014) Indole-3-acetic acid-producing yeasts in the phyllosphere of the carnivorous plant *Drosera indica* L. *PLoS One* 9: e114196.
- Swan, T.M., and Watson, K. (1998) Stress tolerance in a yeast sterol auxotroph: role of ergosterol, heat shock proteins and trehalose. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 169: 191–197.
- Teale, W.D., Paponov, I.A., and Palme, K.J. (2006) Auxin in action: signalling, transport and the control of plant growth and development. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 7: 847–859.
- You, K.M., Rosenfield, C.L., and Knipple, D.C. (2003) Ethanol tolerance in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae is dependent on cellular oleic acid content. Appl Environ Microbiol 69: 1499–1503.