# SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 15 August 2016 Accepted: 02 March 2017 Published: 03 April 2017

## **OPEN** Association between red cell distribution width and mortality in patients undergoing continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis

Yao-Peng Hsieh<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Shr-Mei Tsai<sup>5</sup>, Chia-Chu Chang<sup>1,4</sup>, Chew-Teng Kor<sup>1</sup> & Chi-Chen Lin<sup>6</sup>

Although red cell distribution width (RDW) has emerged as a biomarker of clinical prognostic value across a variety of clinical settings in the last two decades, limited evidence is available for its role in end-stage renal disease. We enrolled 313 incident patients undergoing continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD) in this retrospective observational study from 2006 to 2015. In the fully adjusted model of Cox regression analysis, the adjusted hazard ratios for the high RDW group versus the low RDW group were 2.58 (95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.31-5.09, p = 0.006) and 3.48 (95% CI = 1.44–8.34, p = 0.006) for all-cause and cardiovascular disease (CVD)-related mortality, respectively. Based on area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) analysis, RDW (AUC = 0.699) had a stronger predictive value for all-cause and CVD-related mortality than other biological markers including hemoglobin (AUC = 0.51), ferritin (AUC = 0.584), iron saturation (AUC = 0.535), albumin (AUC = 0.683) and white blood cell count (AUC = 0.588). Given that RDW is a readily available hematological parameter without the need for additional cost, we suggest that it can be used as a valuable index to stratify the risk of mortality beyond a diagnosis of anemia.

The health burden of chronic kidney disease (CKD) is increasing globally, especially for those with advanced CKD. Peritoneal dialysis (PD) is one of the accepted renal replacement therapies for patients reaching end-stage renal disease (ESRD). A total of 27,522 ESRD patients were treated with PD in 2009 according to the 2011 US Renal Data System report<sup>1</sup>. Despite an increased understanding of pathophysiological processes in patients undergoing PD and subsequent improvements in management strategies, the mortality rate associated with PD is still high. The leading cause of mortality is cardiovascular disease (CVD), accounting for 40–50% of all deaths<sup>2</sup>.

Anemia is prevalent in CKD patients, mainly because of decreased erythropoietin production by dysfunctional kidneys, and this has also been associated with CVD-related mortality in patients undergoing PD<sup>3</sup>. Red cell distribution width (RDW), an index of quantitative measurements of erythrocyte volume variability, is routinely reported as part of a complete blood cell count (CBC). It is calculated by dividing the standard deviation of the mean corpuscular volume (MCV) by the MCV of erythrocytes. Traditionally, RDW is used along with MCV for the differential diagnosis of anemia, especially in iron deficiency anemia<sup>4</sup>. However, it has also emerged as a biomarker of clinical prognostic value across a variety of clinical settings in the last two decades<sup>5-9</sup>. An elevated RDW level, even in the reference range, has also been associated with an increased risk of mortality<sup>10-12</sup>.

The exact mechanism explaining the association between RDW and mortality is unknown. In CKD patients, RDW has been reported to be closely associated with renal function status<sup>13</sup>. However, limited evidence is available for the predictive role of RDW in the risk of mortality in patients with CKD, particularly those treated with PD. Therefore, the aim of this retrospective investigation was to investigate the association between RDW and the risk of both all-cause and CVD-related mortality in patients undergoing continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD) between 2006 and 2015 in a medical center in Taiwan.

<sup>1</sup>Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, Changhua Christian Hospital, Changhua, Taiwan. <sup>2</sup>Ph.D. program in translational medicine, College of Life Science, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung, Taiwan. <sup>3</sup>School of Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan. <sup>4</sup>School of Medicine, Chung Shan Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan. <sup>5</sup>Department of Nursing, Changhua Christian Hospital, Changhua, Taiwan. <sup>6</sup>Institute of Biomedical Sciences, College of Life Science, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung, Taiwan. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.-P.H. (email: 102407@cch.org.tw)

### Results

**Patient characteristics.** The study cohort included 313 patients undergoing CAPD from 2006 to 2015. The baseline characteristics of these patients stratified by median RDW value (15.3%) are shown in Table 1. The mean age was  $54.5 \pm 15.9$  years, and 164 (52.4%) were male. The three leading causes of ESRD were chronic glomerulonephritis (34.1%), diabetes mellitus (28.1%) and hypertension (17.8%). Most of the patients (248, 79.2%) had pre-dialysis CKD before initiating PD. At baseline, the patients in the higher RDW group (>15.3%) were older, had lower urine output and lower residual renal function. With regards to laboratory examinations, the patients in the high RDW group had lower levels of albumin, calcium, hemoglobin, and cholesterol, and higher levels of alkaline phosphate, ferritin and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) compared to the lower RDW group ( $\leq$ 15.3%). With regards to pharmacotherapy, more patients in the low RDW group used iron preparations.

Association of RDW with all-cause and CVD-related mortality. During the study period, 27 patients (17.4%) died in the low RDW group and 64 patients (40.5%) died in the high RDW group (p < 0.001). Of these 91 patients, 48 died of CV events, which was the leading cause of mortality. There was also a significant difference in CVD-related mortality rate between the two groups, with 14 patients (9%) in the low RDW group and 34 (21.5%) in the high RDW group (p = 0.003). Kaplan-Meier survival curves showed that the high RDW group had higher all-cause and CVD-related mortality rates compared to the low RDW group (Figs 1 and 2; p < 0.001, p < 0.001, respectively). In the unadjusted and adjusted Cox proportional regression models, the high RDW group (Table 2). In the fully adjusted model (model 5), the adjusted HRs for the high RDW group versus the low RDW group were 2.58 (95% CI = 1.31–5.09, p = 0.006) and 3.48 (95% CI = 1.44–8.34, p = 0.006) for all-cause and CVD-related mortality, respectively. Subgroup analyses showed that the patients with higher RDW levels had higher rates of all-cause and CVD-related mortality in the adjusted models compared to those with lower RDW levels (Figs 3 and 4).

**Sensitivity analysis.** Three levels of sensitivity testing were performed as shown in Table 2. In the fully adjusted model, a higher RDW level was associated with a higher risk of overall and CVD-related mortality in all of the three sensitivity analyses.

**Association of RDW with different variables.** Table 3 shows the strength of association and correlation of RDW with other parameters by Pearson correlation test and linear regression analysis. A negative association was disclosed between RDW and albumin, hemoglobin, intact parathyroid hormone, body mass index, and cholesterol. However, these associations were weak (the absolute value of Pearson correlation < 0.3). Albumin had the strongest correlation with RDW, with a 0.64% decrease with every 10-g/L increase in albumin level (Pearson coefficient, -0.196).

**Predictive value of RDW.** We first calculated the area under the curve (AUC) in receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis to compare the predictive value of a single variable in predicting overall and CVD-related mortality within 1-year, 3-year and 5-year periods. As shown in Table 4, RDW had the highest predictive value compared to the other variables over the study period, except for albumin which had the highest value in predicting 3-year overall mortality. We then calculated the AUC after adding each variable to model 4 (Table 4). Adding RDW to model 4 resulted in the highest AUC compared to the other variables, implying that RDW was a better index in predicting 1-year, 3-year and 5-year overall and CVD-related mortality.

#### Discussion

In this study, we investigated the relationship between baseline RDW levels and patient survival in 313 incident CAPD patients over a period of 10 years at a single PD center, and found a robust and consistent relationship between high RDW and overall and CVD-related mortality independent of other common risk factors. In addition, RDW was superior to albumin, ferritin, WBC, iron saturation and hemoglobin in predicting the risk of overall and CVD-related mortality based on AUC analysis in both univariate and multivariate models. In contrast to previous studies on RDW, the association between RDW and laboratory variables was weak with a negative association with albumin being the strongest correlation.

RDW has been used to differentiate the causes of anemia in clinical practice. A high degree of heterogeneous red blood cell (RBC) size is called anisocytosis. An elevated RDW is commonly encountered in patients with impaired erythrocyte production or increased erythrocyte destruction. In recent studies, RDW has been reported to be a significant prognostic marker for the risk of mortality in various diseases, and especially cardiovascular diseases<sup>14</sup>. Considerable and convincing evidence has indicated the close relationship between RDW and acute coronary syndrome, ischemic cerebrovascular disease, peripheral artery disease, atrial fibrillation and heart failure<sup>14</sup>. A high RDW level has also been reported to predict adverse outcomes in patients with these conditions. A meta-analysis of 17 cohort studies by Huang *et al.* showed the prognostic role of RDW on admission and discharge in patients with congestive heart failure with a 10% increase in the overall risk of mortality for every 1% increase in baseline RDW<sup>15</sup>. Furthermore, in a Chinese population of 1,442 patients with stable angina, a higher RDW on admission was shown to increase the risk of 1-year cardiac mortality and 1- year acute coronary syndrome<sup>16</sup>.

In addition to the prediction of a higher risk of mortality in patients with cardiovascular diseases, RDW has also been implicated in the clinical setting of kidney diseases. Oh *et al.* reported that RDW at the initiation of continuous renal replacement therapy was an independent predictor of 28-day all-cause mortality after multiple adjustments<sup>17</sup>. Later, a single-center, prospective longitudinal study of 100 hemodialysis patients reported that a RDW value above the median was associated with a hazard ratio of 5.15 for 1-year mortality compared to a lower

Number of patient155158Sex, men $86 (55.48\%)$ $78 (49.37\%)$ $0.332$ Age (years) $51.21 \pm 14.83$ $57.89 \pm 16.45$ $<0.001^*$ Body mass index (kg/m²) $23.91 \pm 3.77$ $23.55 \pm 4.08$ $0.418$ Smoker0.763never $125 (80.65\%)$ $124 (78.48\%)$ current $5 (3.23\%)$ $4 (2.53\%)$ ever $25 (16.13\%)$ $30 (18.99\%)$ Medication prescription $30 (18.99\%)$ ACE inhibitor/ARB $105 (67.74\%)$ $106 (67.09\%)$ $0.998$ Erythropoiesis stimulating agents $146 (94.19\%)$ $153 (96.84\%)$ $0.287$ Calcium supplement $141 (90.97\%)$ $140 (88.61\%)$ $0.577$ Iron preparation $135 (87.1\%)$ $123 (77.85\%)$ $0.038^*$ Folic acid $149 (96.13\%)$ $150 (94.94\%)$ $0.786$ Vitamin B12 $5 (3.23\%)$ $8 (5.06\%)$ $0.573$ Comorbidity $W$ $0.58 (36.71\%)$ $0.089$ Hypertension $150 (96.77\%)$ $154 (97.47\%)$ $0.748$ Diabetes mellitus $60 (38.71\%)$ $58 (36.71\%)$ $0.089$ Chronic lung disease $16 (10.32\%)$ $28 (17.2\%)$ $0.073$ Liver cirrhosis $3 (1.94\%)$ $4 (2.53\%)$ $1.000$ Dementia $1 (0.65\%)$ $4 (2.53\%)$ $0.366$ Hyperlipidemia $39 (25.16\%)$ $4 (3.03\%)$ $0.366$ PD related parameters $V$ $0.74 \pm 0.06$ $0.86 \pm 0.13$ $0.768$ Ultrafiltration (L/day) $0.74 \pm 0.06$ $0.86 \pm 0.14$		$RDW \le 15.3\%$	RDW>15.3%	p-value
Sex, men $86 (55.48\%)$ $78 (49.37\%)$ $0.332$ Age (years) $51.21 \pm 14.83$ $57.89 \pm 16.45$ $<0.001^*$ Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) $23.91 \pm 3.77$ $23.55 \pm 4.08$ $0.418$ Smoker         0.763 $0.763$ never $125 (80.65\%)$ $124 (78.48\%)$ $0.763$ current $5 (3.23\%)$ $4 (2.53\%)$ $0.763$ ever $25 (16.13\%)$ $30 (18.99\%)$ $0.998$ Erythropoiesis stimulating agents $146 (94.19\%)$ $153 (96.84\%)$ $0.287$ Calcium suplement $141 (90.97\%)$ $140 (88.61\%)$ $0.577$ Iron preparation $135 (87.1\%)$ $123 (77.85\%)$ $0.038^*$ Folic acid $149 (96.13\%)$ $150 (94.94\%)$ $0.786$ Vitamin B12 $5 (3.23\%)$ $8 (5.06\%)$ $0.573$ Comorbidity $Hypertension$ $150 (96.77\%)$ $154 (97.47\%)$ $0.748$ Diabetes mellitus $60 (38.71\%)$ $58 (36.71\%)$ $0.804$ Cardiovascular disease $55 (53.5.48\%)$ $72 (45.57\%)$	Number of patient	155	158	
Age (years) $51.21 \pm 14.83$ $57.89 \pm 16.45$ $<0.001^*$ Body mass index (kg/m²) $23.91 \pm 3.77$ $23.55 \pm 4.08$ $0.418$ Smoker0.763never $125 (80.65\%)$ $124 (78.48\%)$ current $5 (3.23\%)$ $4 (2.53\%)$ ever $25 (16.13\%)$ $30 (18.99\%)$ Medication prescription $30 (18.99\%)$ 0.998ACE inhibitor/ARB $105 (67.74\%)$ $106 (67.09\%)$ $0.998$ Erythropoiesis stimulating agents $146 (94.19\%)$ $153 (96.84\%)$ $0.287$ Calcium supplement $141 (90.97\%)$ $140 (88.61\%)$ $0.577$ Iron preparation $135 (87.1\%)$ $123 (77.85\%)$ $0.038^*$ Folic acid $149 (96.13\%)$ $150 (94.94\%)$ $0.786$ Vitamin B12 $5 (3.23\%)$ $8 (5.06\%)$ $0.573$ Comorbidity $  -$ Hypertension $150 (96.77\%)$ $154 (97.47\%)$ $0.748$ Diabetes mellitus $60 (38.71\%)$ $58 (36.71\%)$ $0.089$ Chronic lung disease $55 (53.48\%)$ $72 (45.57\%)$ $0.089$ Chronic lung disease $16 (10.32\%)$ $28 (17.72\%)$ $0.073$ Liver cirrhosis $3 (1.94\%)$ $4 (2.53\%)$ $0.371$ Cancer $7 (4.52\%)$ $11 (6.96\%)$ $0.468$ Hyperlipidemia $39 (25.16\%)$ $48 (30.38\%)$ $0.366$ PD related parameters $  -$ D/P Creatinine at 4 hours $0.68 \pm 0.12$ $0.68 \pm 0.14$ $0.369$ 24 hrs urine volume (L) $1.11 \pm 0.65$ </td <td>Sex, men</td> <td>86 (55.48%)</td> <td>78 (49.37%)</td> <td>0.332</td>	Sex, men	86 (55.48%)	78 (49.37%)	0.332
Body mass index (kg/m²) $23.91 \pm 3.77$ $23.55 \pm 4.08$ $0.418$ Smoker0.763never125 (80.65%)124 (78.48%)current5 (3.23%)4 (2.53%)ever25 (16.13%)30 (18.99%)Medication prescription30 (18.99%)0.998ACE inhibitor/ARB105 (67.74%)106 (67.09%)0.998Erythropoiesis stimulating agents146 (94.19%)153 (96.84%)0.287Calcium supplement141 (90.97%)140 (88.61%)0.577Iron preparation135 (87.1%)123 (77.85%)0.038*Folic acid149 (96.13%)150 (94.94%)0.786Vitamin B125 (3.23%)8 (5.06%)0.573Comorbidity150 (96.77%)154 (97.47%)0.748Diabetes mellitus60 (38.71%)58 (36.71%)0.804Cardiovascular disease55 (5.54.84%)72 (45.57%)0.089Chronic lung disease16 (10.32%)28 (17.72%)0.073Liver cirrhosis3 (1.94%)4 (2.53%)1.000Dementia1 (0.65%)4 (2.53%)0.371Cancer7 (4.52%)11 (6.96%)0.468Hyperlipidemia39 (25.16%)48 (30.38%)0.366PD related parameters $D/P$ Creatinine at 4 hours0.68 $\pm$ 0.120.68 $\pm$ 0.140.36924 hrs urine volume (L)1.11 $\pm$ 0.650.85 $\pm$ 0.51<<001*	Age (years)	$51.21 \pm 14.83$	$57.89 \pm 16.45$	< 0.001*
Smoker         0.763           never         125 (80.65%)         124 (78.48%)           current         5 (3.23%)         4 (2.53%)           ever         25 (16.13%)         30 (18.99%)           Medication prescription         30 (18.99%)         0.998           ACE inhibitor/ARB         105 (67.74%)         106 (67.09%)         0.998           Erythropoiesis stimulating agents         146 (94.19%)         153 (96.84%)         0.287           Calcium supplement         141 (90.97%)         140 (88.61%)         0.577           Iron preparation         135 (87.1%)         123 (77.85%)         0.038*           Folic acid         149 (96.13%)         150 (94.94%)         0.786           Vitamin B12         5 (3.23%)         8 (5.06%)         0.573           Comorbidity           150 (96.77%)         154 (97.47%)         0.748           Diabetes mellitus         60 (38.71%)         58 (36.71%)         0.804         0.289           Chronic lung disease         55 (35.48%)         72 (45.57%)         0.089           Chronic lung disease         16 (10.32%)         28 (17.72%)         0.073           Liver cirrhosis         3 (1.94%)         4 (2.53%)         1.000           <	Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$23.91 \pm 3.77$	$23.55\pm4.08$	0.418
never $125 (80.65\%)$ $124 (78.48\%)$ current $5 (3.23\%)$ $4 (2.53\%)$ ever $25 (16.13\%)$ $30 (18.99\%)$ Medication prescriptionACE inhibitor/ARB $105 (67.74\%)$ $106 (67.09\%)$ $0.998$ Erythropoiesis stimulating agents $146 (94.19\%)$ $153 (96.84\%)$ $0.287$ Calcium supplement $141 (90.97\%)$ $140 (88.61\%)$ $0.577$ Iron preparation $135 (87.1\%)$ $123 (77.85\%)$ $0.038^*$ Folic acid $149 (96.13\%)$ $150 (94.94\%)$ $0.786$ Vitamin B12 $5 (3.23\%)$ $8 (5.06\%)$ $0.573$ Comorbidity $154 (97.47\%)$ $0.748$ Diabetes mellitus $60 (38.71\%)$ $58 (36.71\%)$ $0.804$ Cardiovascular disease $55 (35.48\%)$ $72 (45.57\%)$ $0.089$ Chronic lung disease $16 (10.32\%)$ $28 (17.72\%)$ $0.073$ Liver cirrhosis $3 (1.94\%)$ $4 (2.53\%)$ $1.000$ Dementia $1 (0.65\%)$ $4 (2.53\%)$ $0.371$ Cancer $7 (4.52\%)$ $11 (6.96\%)$ $0.468$ Hyperlipidemia $39 (25.16\%)$ $48 (30.38\%)$ $0.366$ PD related parameters $0.74 \pm 0.06$ $0.86 \pm 0.13$ $0.708$ Ultrafiltration (L/day) $0.74 \pm 0.66$ $0.85 \pm 0.58$ $<0.001^*$	Smoker			0.763
current $5(3.23\%)$ $4(2.53\%)$ ever $25(16.13\%)$ $30(18.99\%)$ Medication prescriptionACE inhibitor/ARB $105(67.74\%)$ $106(67.09\%)$ $0.998$ Erythropoiesis stimulating agents $146(94.19\%)$ $153(96.84\%)$ $0.287$ Calcium supplement $141(90.97\%)$ $140(88.61\%)$ $0.577$ Iron preparation $135(87.1\%)$ $123(77.85\%)$ $0.038*$ Folic acid $149(96.13\%)$ $150(94.94\%)$ $0.786$ Vitamin B12 $5(3.23\%)$ $8(5.06\%)$ $0.573$ Comorbidity </td <td>never</td> <td>125 (80.65%)</td> <td>124 (78.48%)</td> <td></td>	never	125 (80.65%)	124 (78.48%)	
ever         25 (16.13%)         30 (18.99%)           Medication prescription         ACE inhibitor/ARB         105 (67.74%)         106 (67.09%)         0.998           Erythropoiesis stimulating agents         146 (94.19%)         153 (96.84%)         0.287           Calcium supplement         141 (90.97%)         140 (88.61%)         0.577           Iron preparation         135 (87.1%)         123 (77.85%)         0.038*           Folic acid         149 (96.13%)         150 (94.94%)         0.786           Vitamin B12         5 (3.23%)         8 (5.06%)         0.573           Comorbidity         Hypertension         150 (96.77%)         154 (97.47%)         0.748           Diabetes mellitus         60 (38.71%)         58 (36.71%)         0.804           Cardiovascular disease         55 (35.48%)         72 (45.57%)         0.089           Chronic lung disease         16 (10.32%)         28 (17.72%)         0.073           Liver cirrhosis         3 (1.94%)         4 (2.53%)         0.371           Cancer         7 (4.52%)         11 (6.96%)         0.468           Hyperlipidemia         39 (25.16%)         48 (30.38%)         0.366           PD related parameters         D/P Creatinine at 4hours         0.68 ± 0.12 <t< td=""><td>current</td><td>5 (3.23%)</td><td>4 (2.53%)</td><td></td></t<>	current	5 (3.23%)	4 (2.53%)	
Medication prescription           ACE inhibitor/ARB         105 (67.74%)         106 (67.09%)         0.998           Erythropoiesis stimulating agents         146 (94.19%)         153 (96.84%)         0.287           Calcium supplement         141 (90.97%)         140 (88.61%)         0.577           Iron preparation         135 (87.1%)         123 (77.85%)         0.038*           Folic acid         149 (96.13%)         150 (94.94%)         0.786           Vitamin B12         5 (3.23%)         8 (5.06%)         0.573           Comorbidity	ever	25 (16.13%)	30 (18.99%)	
ACE inhibitor/ARB105 (67.74%)106 (67.09%)0.998Erythropoiesis stimulating agents146 (94.19%)153 (96.84%)0.287Calcium supplement141 (90.97%)140 (88.61%)0.577Iron preparation135 (87.1%)123 (77.85%)0.038*Folic acid149 (96.13%)150 (94.94%)0.786Vitamin B125 (3.23%)8 (5.06%)0.573Comorbidity $Hypertension$ 150 (96.77%)154 (97.47%)0.748Diabetes mellitus60 (38.71%)58 (36.71%)0.804Cardiovascular disease55 (35.48%)72 (45.57%)0.089Chronic lung disease16 (10.32%)28 (17.72%)0.073Liver cirrhosis3 (1.94%)4 (2.53%)0.371Cancer7 (4.52%)11 (6.96%)0.468Hyperlipidemia39 (25.16%)48 (30.38%)0.366PD related parameters $D/P$ Creatinine at 4 hours $0.68 \pm 0.12$ $0.68 \pm 0.13$ 0.708Ultrafiltration (L/day) $0.74 \pm 0.06$ $0.85 \pm 0.58$ <0.001*	Medication prescription			
Erythropoiesis stimulating agents146 (94.19%)153 (96.84%)0.287Calcium supplement141 (90.97%)140 (88.61%)0.577Iron preparation135 (87.1%)123 (77.85%)0.038*Folic acid149 (96.13%)150 (94.94%)0.786Vitamin B125 (3.23%)8 (5.06%)0.573Comorbidity94.94%0.7480.0804Hypertension150 (96.77%)154 (97.47%)0.748Diabetes mellitus60 (38.71%)58 (36.71%)0.804Cardiovascular disease55 (35.48%)72 (45.57%)0.089Chronic lung disease16 (10.32%)28 (17.72%)0.073Liver cirrhosis3 (1.94%)4 (2.53%)0.371Cancer7 (4.52%)11 (6.96%)0.468Hyperlipidemia39 (25.16%)48 (30.38%)0.366PD related parameters $0.68 \pm 0.12$ $0.68 \pm 0.13$ 0.708Ultrafiltration (L/day) $0.74 \pm 0.06$ $0.86 \pm 0.14$ $0.369$ 24hrs urine volume (L) $1.11 \pm 0.65$ $0.85 \pm 0.58$ <0.001*	ACE inhibitor/ARB	105 (67.74%)	106 (67.09%)	0.998
Calcium supplement $141 (90.97\%)$ $140 (88.61\%)$ $0.577$ Iron preparation $135 (87.1\%)$ $123 (77.85\%)$ $0.038^*$ Folic acid $149 (96.13\%)$ $150 (94.94\%)$ $0.786$ Vitamin B12 $5 (3.23\%)$ $8 (5.06\%)$ $0.573$ Comorbidity $150 (96.77\%)$ $154 (97.47\%)$ $0.748$ Diabetes mellitus $60 (38.71\%)$ $58 (36.71\%)$ $0.804$ Cardiovascular disease $55 (35.48\%)$ $72 (45.57\%)$ $0.089$ Chronic lung disease $16 (10.32\%)$ $28 (17.72\%)$ $0.073$ Liver cirrhosis $3 (1.94\%)$ $4 (2.53\%)$ $1.000$ Dementia $1 (0.65\%)$ $4 (2.53\%)$ $0.371$ Cancer $7 (4.52\%)$ $11 (6.96\%)$ $0.468$ Hyperlipidemia $39 (25.16\%)$ $48 (30.38\%)$ $0.366$ PD related parameters $D/P$ Creatinine at 4 hours $0.68 \pm 0.12$ $0.68 \pm 0.13$ $0.708$ Ultrafiltration (L/day) $0.74 \pm 0.06$ $0.86 \pm 0.14$ $0.369$ 24hrs urine volume (L) $1.11 \pm 0.65$ $0.85 \pm 0.58$ $<0.001^*$	Erythropoiesis stimulating agents	146 (94.19%)	153 (96.84%)	0.287
Iron preparation $135 (87.1\%)$ $123 (77.85\%)$ $0.038^*$ Folic acid $149 (96.13\%)$ $150 (94.94\%)$ $0.786$ Vitamin B12 $5 (3.23\%)$ $8 (5.06\%)$ $0.573$ Comorbidity $150 (96.77\%)$ $154 (97.47\%)$ $0.748$ Diabetes mellitus $60 (38.71\%)$ $58 (36.71\%)$ $0.804$ Cardiovascular disease $55 (35.48\%)$ $72 (45.57\%)$ $0.089$ Chronic lung disease $16 (10.32\%)$ $28 (17.72\%)$ $0.073$ Liver cirrhosis $3 (1.94\%)$ $4 (2.53\%)$ $1.000$ Dementia $1 (0.65\%)$ $4 (2.53\%)$ $0.371$ Cancer $7 (4.52\%)$ $11 (6.96\%)$ $0.468$ Hyperlipidemia $39 (25.16\%)$ $48 (30.38\%)$ $0.366$ PD related parameters $D/P$ Creatinine at 4 hours $0.68 \pm 0.12$ $0.68 \pm 0.13$ $0.708$ Ultrafiltration (L/day) $0.74 \pm 0.06$ $0.86 \pm 0.14$ $0.369$ 24hrs urine volume (L) $1.11 \pm 0.65$ $0.85 \pm 0.58$ $<0.001^*$	Calcium supplement	141 (90.97%)	140 (88.61%)	0.577
Folic acid         149 (96.13%)         150 (94.94%)         0.786           Vitamin B12         5 (3.23%)         8 (5.06%)         0.573           Comorbidity	Iron preparation	135 (87.1%)	123 (77.85%)	0.038*
Vitamin B12         5 (3.23%)         8 (5.06%)         0.573           Comorbidity	Folic acid	149 (96.13%)	150 (94.94%)	0.786
Comorbidity           Hypertension         150 (96.77%)         154 (97.47%)         0.748           Diabetes mellitus         60 (38.71%)         58 (36.71%)         0.804           Cardiovascular disease         55 (35.48%)         72 (45.57%)         0.089           Chronic lung disease         16 (10.32%)         28 (17.72%)         0.073           Liver cirrhosis         3 (1.94%)         4 (2.53%)         1.000           Dementia         1 (0.65%)         4 (2.53%)         0.371           Cancer         7 (4.52%)         11 (6.96%)         0.468           Hyperlipidemia         39 (25.16%)         48 (30.38%)         0.366           PD related parameters           0.68 ± 0.12         0.68 ± 0.13         0.708           Ultrafiltration (L/day)         0.74 ± 0.06         0.86 ± 0.14         0.369         24hrs urine volume (L)         1.11 ± 0.65         0.85 ± 0.51         <0001*	Vitamin B12	5 (3.23%)	8 (5.06%)	0.573
Hypertension150 (96.77%)154 (97.47%)0.748Diabetes mellitus60 (38.71%)58 (36.71%)0.804Cardiovascular disease55 (35.48%)72 (45.57%)0.089Chronic lung disease16 (10.32%)28 (17.72%)0.073Liver cirrhosis3 (1.94%)4 (2.53%)1.000Dementia1 (0.65%)4 (2.53%)0.371Cancer7 (4.52%)11 (6.96%)0.468Hyperlipidemia39 (25.16%)48 (30.38%)0.366PD related parameters $0.68 \pm 0.12$ $0.68 \pm 0.13$ 0.708Ultrafiltration (L/day) $0.74 \pm 0.06$ $0.86 \pm 0.14$ $0.369$ 24hrs urine volume (L) $1.11 \pm 0.65$ $0.85 \pm 0.58$ <0.001*	Comorbidity			
Diabetes mellitus $60 (38.71\%)$ $58 (36.71\%)$ $0.804$ Cardiovascular disease $55 (35.48\%)$ $72 (45.57\%)$ $0.089$ Chronic lung disease $16 (10.32\%)$ $28 (17.72\%)$ $0.073$ Liver cirrhosis $3 (1.94\%)$ $4 (2.53\%)$ $1.000$ Dementia $1 (0.65\%)$ $4 (2.53\%)$ $0.371$ Cancer $7 (4.52\%)$ $11 (6.96\%)$ $0.468$ Hyperlipidemia $39 (25.16\%)$ $48 (30.38\%)$ $0.366$ PD related parameters $0.68 \pm 0.12$ $0.68 \pm 0.13$ $0.708$ Ultrafiltration (L/day) $0.74 \pm 0.06$ $0.86 \pm 0.14$ $0.369$ 24hrs urine volume (L) $1.11 \pm 0.65$ $0.85 \pm 0.58$ $<0.001^*$	Hypertension	150 (96.77%)	154 (97.47%)	0.748
Cardiovascular disease         55 (35.48%)         72 (45.57%)         0.089           Chronic lung disease         16 (10.32%)         28 (17.72%)         0.073           Liver cirrhosis         3 (1.94%)         4 (2.53%)         1.000           Dementia         1 (0.65%)         4 (2.53%)         0.371           Cancer         7 (4.52%)         11 (6.96%)         0.468           Hyperlipidemia         39 (25.16%)         48 (30.38%)         0.366           PD related parameters            0.68 ± 0.12         0.68 ± 0.13         0.708           Ultrafiltration (L/day) $0.74 \pm 0.06$ $0.86 \pm 0.14$ 0.369          24hrs urine volume (L) $1.11 \pm 0.65$ $0.85 \pm 0.58$ <0.001*	Diabetes mellitus	60 (38.71%)	58 (36.71%)	0.804
Chronic lung disease         16 (10.32%)         28 (17.72%)         0.073           Liver cirrhosis         3 (1.94%)         4 (2.53%)         1.000           Dementia         1 (0.65%)         4 (2.53%)         0.371           Cancer         7 (4.52%)         11 (6.96%)         0.468           Hyperlipidemia         39 (25.16%)         48 (30.38%)         0.366           PD related parameters $D/P$ Creatinine at 4 hours         0.68 ± 0.12         0.68 ± 0.13         0.708           Ultrafiltration (L/day)         0.74 ± 0.06         0.86 ± 0.14         0.369           24 hrs urine volume (L)         1.11 ± 0.65         0.85 ± 0.58         <0.001*	Cardiovascular disease	55 (35.48%)	72 (45.57%)	0.089
Liver cirrhosis         3 (1.94%)         4 (2.53%)         1.000           Dementia         1 (0.65%)         4 (2.53%)         0.371           Cancer         7 (4.52%)         11 (6.96%)         0.468           Hyperlipidemia         39 (25.16%)         48 (30.38%)         0.366           PD related parameters           0.68 ± 0.12         0.68 ± 0.13         0.708           Ultrafiltration (L/day)         0.74 ± 0.06         0.86 ± 0.14         0.369           24hrs urine volume (L)         1.11 ± 0.65         0.85 ± 0.58         <0.001*	Chronic lung disease	16 (10.32%)	28 (17.72%)	0.073
Dementia         1 (0.65%)         4 (2.53%)         0.371           Cancer         7 (4.52%)         11 (6.96%)         0.468           Hyperlipidemia         39 (25.16%)         48 (30.38%)         0.366           PD related parameters          0.68 ± 0.12         0.68 ± 0.13         0.708           Ultrafiltration (L/day)         0.74 ± 0.06         0.86 ± 0.14         0.369           24 hrs urine volume (L)         1.11 ± 0.65         0.85 ± 0.58         <0.001*	Liver cirrhosis	3 (1.94%)	4 (2.53%)	1.000
Cancer         7 (4.52%)         11 (6.96%)         0.468           Hyperlipidemia         39 (25.16%)         48 (30.38%)         0.366           PD related parameters <td>Dementia</td> <td>1 (0.65%)</td> <td>4 (2.53%)</td> <td>0.371</td>	Dementia	1 (0.65%)	4 (2.53%)	0.371
Hyperlipidemia         39 (25.16%)         48 (30.38%)         0.366           PD related parameters <t< td=""><td>Cancer</td><td>7 (4.52%)</td><td>11 (6.96%)</td><td>0.468</td></t<>	Cancer	7 (4.52%)	11 (6.96%)	0.468
PD related parameters           D/P Creatinine at 4 hours         0.68 ± 0.12         0.68 ± 0.13         0.708           Ultrafiltration (L/day)         0.74 ± 0.06         0.86 ± 0.14         0.369           24 hrs urine volume (L)         1.11 ± 0.65         0.85 ± 0.58         <0.001*	Hyperlipidemia	39 (25.16%)	48 (30.38%)	0.366
D/P Creatinine at 4 hours $0.68 \pm 0.12$ $0.68 \pm 0.13$ $0.708$ Ultrafiltration (L/day) $0.74 \pm 0.06$ $0.86 \pm 0.14$ $0.369$ 24 hrs urine volume (L) $1.11 \pm 0.65$ $0.85 \pm 0.58$ $<0.001^*$	PD related parameters			
Ultrafiltration (L/day) $0.74 \pm 0.06$ $0.86 \pm 0.14$ $0.369$ 24 hrs urine volume (L) $1.11 \pm 0.65$ $0.85 \pm 0.58$ $<0.001^*$	D/P Creatinine at 4 hours	$0.68\pm0.12$	$0.68 \pm 0.13$	0.708
24 hrs urine volume (L) $1.11 \pm 0.65$ $0.85 \pm 0.58$ $<0.001^{*}$	Ultrafiltration (L/day)	$0.74\pm0.06$	$0.86\pm0.14$	0.369
	24 hrs urine volume (L)	$1.11\pm0.65$	$0.85 \pm 0.58$	< 0.001*
10tal weekly kt/v $2.05 \pm 0.51$ $1.95 \pm 0.51$ $0.110$	Total Weekly Kt/V	$2.05\pm0.51$	$1.95 \pm 0.51$	0.110
nPNA (g/kg/day ) 0.98 ± 0.24 0.98 ± 0.28 0.940	nPNA (g/kg/day )	$0.98\pm0.24$	$0.98 \pm 0.28$	0.940
Residual renal function (mL/ min/1.73 m²)         3.42 ± 1.98         2.74 ± 1.87         0.002*	Residual renal function (mL/ min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	$3.42 \pm 1.98$	$2.74 \pm 1.87$	0.002*
Laboratory data	Laboratory data			
RDW (%) 14.37±0.67 16.95±1.74 <0.001*	RDW (%)	$14.37 \pm 0.67$	$16.95 \pm 1.74$	<0.001*
Serum albumin (g/L)         34.1±5.1         31.2±5.7         <0.001*	Serum albumin (g/L)	34.1±5.1	31.2±5.7	<0.001*
ALP (μkat/L)         1.54±1.03         1.85±1.57         0.039*	ALP (µkat/L)	$1.54 \pm 1.03$	$1.85 \pm 1.57$	0.039*
BUN (mmol/L) 28.20±7.37 30.21±8.25 0.024*	BUN (mmol/L)	28.20±7.37	30.21±8.25	0.024*
Creatinine (µmol/L) 885.77±240.45 843.34±251.05 0.124	Creatinine (µmol/L)	885.77±240.45	$843.34 \pm 251.05$	0.124
Cholesterol (mmol/L)         4.92 ± 1.23         4.62 ± 1.20         0.028*	Cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.92±1.23	$4.62 \pm 1.20$	0.028*
Triglyceride (mmol/L)         1.55±0.77         1.39±0.69         0.064	Triglyceride (mmol/L)	$1.55 \pm 0.77$	$1.39 \pm 0.69$	0.064
Ferritin (ng/mL)         297.43 ± 240.13         390.61 ± 406         0.014*	Ferritin (ng/mL)	$297.43 \pm 240.13$	390.61±406	0.014*
GPT (μkat/L) 0.33±0.31 0.36±0.30 0.441	GPT (µkat/L)	$0.33 \pm 0.31$	$0.36 \pm 0.30$	0.441
Hemoglobin (g/L) $90.1 \pm 13.6$ $84.8 \pm 10.9$ $<0.001^*$	Hemoglobin (g/L)	90.1±13.6	84.8±10.9	<0.001*
Intact PTH (pmol/L) $43.81 \pm 36.68$ $42.57 \pm 31.98$ 0.750	Intact PTH (pmol/L)	43.81±36.68	42.57±31.98	0.750
Calcium (mmol/L) $2.12 \pm 0.17$ $2.06 \pm 0.19$ $0.006^*$	Calcium (mmol/L)	2.12±0.17	2.06±0.19	0.006*
Phosphorus (mmol/L) $1.74 \pm 0.37$ $1.76 \pm 0.45$ $0.756$	Phosphorus (mmol/L)	1.74±0.37	1.76±0.45	0.756
Iransterrin Saturation (%) $0.28 \pm 0.13$ $0.27 \pm 0.15$ $0.809$ NURC $(71040(1))$ $720 \pm 0.15$ $720 \pm 0.15$ $720 \pm 0.15$	Iransterrin Saturation (%)	0.28±0.13	0.27 ± 0.15	0.809
w BC count (A10^9/L) $7.32\pm2.4$ $7.36\pm2.33$ 0.892           Colorer above boxes of the mediate (mm sP202)         2.66±0.02         2.55±0.05         2.265	WBC count (X10/9/L)	7.32±2.4	7.36 ± 2.33	0.892

**Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study population by the RDW groups.** Values are expressed asmean  $\pm$  SD or number (percentage). Abbreviations: ACE inhibitor, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor;ARB, angiotensin II receptor blocker; BMI, body mass index; GPT, glutamic-pyruvic transaminase; WBC, whiteblood cell count; PTH, parathyroid hormone; ALP, alkaline phosphate; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; RDW, redcell distribution width. \*p-value < 0.05.</td>

RDW value<sup>18</sup>. More recently, a large retrospective observational cohort study of 109,673 adult patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis concluded that a higher RDW was strongly associated with a higher risk of



Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier curve of overall patient survival according to the RDW groups (log-rank test,  $\rm p\,{<}\,0.001).$ 



Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curve of cumulative survival free of cardiovascular disease-related mortality according to the RDW groups (log-rank test, p < 0.001).

mortality, and that it was also a much stronger predictor of mortality compared to traditional makers of anemia<sup>19</sup>. Furthermore, Peng *et al.* conducted a single-center study of 1,293 incident PD patients, and found that the higher RDW group had a 60% higher risk of CV-related mortality compared to the lower RDW group, although the association between RDW and all-cause mortality did not reach statistical significance in the fully adjusted model<sup>20</sup>. A recent investigation of PD patients in Korea was conducted by Sun *et al.* who showed that RDW levels at PD initiation were associated with all-cause mortality, but not with fatal cardiovascular events<sup>21</sup>. In the current study, we found a significant correlation between RDW and both the risk of CVD-related mortality and all-cause mortality. The difference in results between our study and Peng *et al.* and Sun *et al.* may partly be due to distinct patient characteristics and diverse healthcare delivery.

	All-cause mortal	ity	CVD mortality		
	Hazard ratio (95% CI) p-value Hazard ratio (95% C		Hazard ratio (95% CI)	p-value	
RDW median					
unadjusted model	2.95 (1.88, 4.64) <0.001 3.06 (1.64, 5.71)		3.06 (1.64, 5.71)	< 0.001	
Model 1	2.23 (1.41, 3.54)	0.001	2.48 (1.32, 4.69)	0.005	
Model 2	2.36 (1.48, 3.78)	<0.001 2.69 (1.41, 5.13)		0.003	
Model 3	2.60 (1.59, 4.26)	<0.001 3.38 (1.72, 6.67)		< 0.001	
Model 4	2.82 (1.72, 4.64)	82 (1.72, 4.64) <0.001 3.60 (1.8, 7.22)		< 0.001	
Model 5	2.58 (1.31, 5.09) 0.006 3.48 (1.44, 8.4		3.48 (1.44, 8.43)	0.006	
(B) sensitivity tests					
(i) RDW as a continuous variable	1.15 (1.00, 1.33) 0.047 1.22 (1.0		1.22 (1.00, 1.48)	0.049	
(ii) optimal RDW by ROC analysis	2.74 (1.56, 4.81)	< 0.001	3.26 (1.44, 7.39)	0.004	
(iii) RDW tertiles					
First tertile	1		1		
Second tertile	1.34 (0.62, 2.93)	0.457	2.03 (0.62, 6.62)	0.241	
Third tertile	2.41 (1.07, 5.44)	0.034	5.21 (1.46, 18.64)	0.011	
p-trend		0.023		0.008	

Table 2. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression models of all-cause and CVD-related mortality for RDW groups. Model 1: RDW, age, sex, BMI and smoking status. Model 2: Model 1 plus medications (ACE inhibitors/ARB, iron preparation, folic acid, and vitamin B12 supplement, erythropoiesis stimulating agents, and calcium supplement). Model 3: Model 2 plus comorbidities (diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cancer, dementia and chronic lung disease, liver cirrhosis, hyperlipidemia and cardiovascular disease). Model 4: Model 3 plus PD related parameters (weekly total Kt/V urea, nPNA, D/P creatinine at 4 hours, ultrafiltration, 24-hour urine output, and residual renal function.). Model 5: model 4 plus laboratory data (BUN, creatinine, albumin, GPT, WBC counts, alkaline phosphate, hemoglobin, ferritin, transferrin saturation, cholesterol, triglyceride, intact PTH, calcium, and phosphate).

.....

Although the exact pathophysiological mechanisms are unknown, substantial evidence suggests a robust and independent relationship between RDW and clinical outcomes in many human diseases. Several plausible explanations have been postulated to explain the relationship between RDW and adverse outcomes. First, a high RDW level indicates a great degree of heterogeneity in RBC size (anisocytosis). In addition, accelerating RBC destruction and/or ineffective erythropoiesis, which are common in patients undergoing dialysis, and bone marrow dysfunction can lead to a high RDW level. Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells have been reported to play a crucial role in the restoration of many injured vital organs<sup>22</sup>. Therefore, disordered hematopoiesis in dialysis patients may contribute to the high risk of mortality associated with a high RDW. Second, inflammation, which is prevalent in CKD patients, has been closely linked with RDW in many patient populations. Proinflammatory cytokines are well known to inhibit erythropoietin-induced RBC maturation and proliferation<sup>23</sup>. Solak et al. reported a strong association between RDW and CRP in predialysis CKD patients<sup>13</sup>. A similar relationship has also been found in patients undergoing PD and HD<sup>19,20</sup>. Furthermore, inflammation has been shown to be associated with mortality in dialysis patients. Third, the presence of malnutrition and/or protein energy wasting, which is common in dialysis patients, is known to increase RDW. RDW has also been reported to be significantly and inversely correlated with nutritional index in a wide array of medical conditions<sup>12,24</sup>. Fourth, distinct from the investigation by Peng et al., we found that residual renal function was greater in the low RDW group than that in the high RDW group. Residual renal function has been shown to have a beneficial effect on patient survival, and especially in patients undergoing PD<sup>25</sup>. In addition, the loss of residual renal function has been implicated in malnutrition and increased inflammation<sup>26</sup>.

Other potential mechanisms have also been proposed to explain the association between RDW and unfavorable outcomes. RDW has been associated with slow coronary flow and left ventricular filling pressure in patients with diastolic heart failure<sup>27,28</sup>. In patients with stage 1–5 CKD, RDW has been shown to independently predict endothelial dysfunction, which may be responsible for the high CVD burden in patients with CKD<sup>13</sup>. In addition, a recent study of patients with advanced CKD by Leszek *et al.* reported a relationship between RDW and left ventricular diastolic dysfunction<sup>29</sup>. Elevated RDW may lead to increased mortality through impaired microcirculation, ischemia and thrombosis as a result of reduced RBC deformability<sup>30</sup>. Finally, anisocytosis also enhances the accumulation of erythrocytes in the atherosclerotic lesions, leading to the neutralization of vasodilators, growth, ulceration and thrombosis of the fibrous cap<sup>31</sup>.

There are several limitations to this study. First, as a retrospective and observational study, we could not prove a causal relationship between RDW and mortality. Second, a single measurement of RDW may underestimate the true relationship with study outcomes. Third, a single-center investigation limits the extrapolation of the findings to the whole PD population. Fourth, the possibility of an epiphenomenon reflecting the complex interactions between RDW and other un-evaluated risk factors cannot completely be excluded. For example, inflammation, oxidative stress and poor nutrition usually accompany CKD and they are associated with impaired production of erythropoietin, which promotes the release of erythrocytes of heterogeneous size from the bone marrow<sup>31,32</sup>. However, oxidative stress was not evaluated in this study. Therefore, RDW should not be considered a causative





Figure 3. Subgroup analysis showing adjusted hazard ratios for all-cause mortality in the high RDW group compared with the low RDW group.





factor, but rather a valuable marker in assessing the risk of mortality. Fifth, Lippi *et al.* reported the lack of harmonization of RDW by using four different hematological analyzers<sup>33</sup>. The optimal cut-off value of RDW for the prediction of mortality risk might vary and depend on the used hemocytometer.

In conclusion, we found that a higher RDW was an independent risk factor for overall and CVD-related mortality in patients undergoing CAPD, and that its predictive value was better than other makers of anemia. It is unclear whether RDW is a true risk factor for mortality or merely an integrated biomarker reflecting anemia, inflammation, malnutrition and impaired kidney function. Nevertheless, given that RDW is a readily available hematological parameter without the need for additional cost, we suggest that it can be used as a valuable index to stratify the risk of mortality beyond a diagnosis of anemia. Further investigations are required to verify our findings and elucidate the underlying mechanisms.

#### **Materials and Methods**

This retrospective longitudinal study was performed at a single PD center at Changhua Christian Hospital, Taiwan. We recruited all incident patients who started CAPD as renal replacement therapy between 1 January 2006 and 31 October 2014. Patients were excluded if they were under 18 years of age (n = 5) and had received PD for less than 3 months (n = 8). The final study cohort consisted of 313 adult patients undergoing CAPD, all of whom were followed from the index date, defined as the date of initiating CAPD, until the date of death or the end of the study period (31 October 2015), whichever occurred first.

Baseline data on socio-demographics, body mass index (BMI), pre-dialysis status (pre-dialysis CKD, failed transplant or hemodialysis), smoking status, the underlying cause of CKD, comorbidities, laboratory variables, medications used and PD-related parameters were obtained from our PD database and review of medical records and used for statistical analysis. The comorbidities included diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cancer,

	Linear regression coefficients (95% CI)	Pearson correlation	p-value
Albumin (per 10-g/L increment)	-0.646 (-1.15, -0.14)	-0.196	0.013*
Ferritin (per 100-ng/mL increment)	0.026 (-0.04, 0.09)	0.047	0.458
WBC (per 10^9-cells/L increment)	-0.02 (-0.12, 0.08)	-0.026	0.682
Hemoglobin (per 10-g/L increment)	-0.368 (-0.54, -0.2)	-0.251	< 0.001*
Iron saturation (per 1% increment)	-0.398 (-1.94, 1.14)	-0.030	0.613
iPTH (per 100- pmol/L increment)	-0.999 (-1.6965, -0.377)	-0.185	0.003*
BMI (per 1-kg/m <sup>2</sup> increment)	-0.071 (-0.12, -0.02)	-0.151	0.009*
Creatinine (per 100-µmol/L increment)	-0.087 (-0.192, 0.011)	-0.117	0.087
Calcium (per 1-mmol/L increment)	-0.656 (-1.76, 0.44)	-0.066	0.244
ALP (per 1-µkat/L increment)	0 (-16.47, 16.47)	0.000	0.999
BUN (per 1-mmol/L increment)	1.896 (-1.064, 4.845)	0.081	0.209
Cholesterol (per 1-mmol/L increment)	-0.196 (-0.366, -0.027)	-0.130	0.024*
GPT (per 1-µkat/L increment)	0.235 (-38.82, 88.23)	0.041	0.447
Phosphorus (per 1-mmol/L increment)	0.482 (-0.185, 1.145)	0.108	0.156
Triglyceride (per 100-mmol/L increment)	-4.69 (-33.63, 23.89)	-0.019	0.749

Table 3. Linear regression coefficients and Pearson correlation of RDW with various laboratory data. Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; GPT, glutamic-pyruvic transaminase; WBC, white blood cell count; PTH, parathyroid hormone; ALP, alkaline phosphate; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; RDW, red cell distribution width. \*p < 0.05.

.....

dementia, chronic lung disease, liver cirrhosis, hyperlipidemia and cardiovascular disease (CVD). Laboratory variables included blood levels of BUN, creatinine, albumin, glutamic-pyruvic transaminase (GPT), white blood cell (WBC) count, alkaline phosphate (ALP), hemoglobin, RDW, ferritin, transferrin saturation, cholesterol, triglyceride, intact parathyroid hormone (PTH), calcium, and phosphate. The medications included angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARB), anti-anemia agents (iron preparation, folic acid, and vitamin B12), erythropoiesis stimulating agents (ESA), and calcium supplement. PD-related parameters included weekly total Kt/V urea, nPNA, D/P (creatinine) at 4 hours, ultrafiltration, 24-hour urine output, and residual renal function.

Measurement of red blood cell parameters was carried out using the automatic hematology analyzer (DxH 800, Beckman Coulter). RDW was calculated using standardized methods and routinely reported as part of the complete blood cell count as a percentage. The whole study cohort was divided into two groups by the median RDW value (15.3%) to assess the predictive value of RDW on study outcomes as: the high RDW group (>15.3%), and low RDW group ( $\leq$ 15.3%). CVD was the leading cause of mortality, followed by infection. The study outcomes were all-cause and CVD-related mortality. This study was approved by the institutional review board of Changhua Christian Hospital and conducted in compliance with the declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was not required for this retrospective study due to its non-intrusiveness and patient anonymity.

**Statistical analysis.** Descriptive data were expressed as number (N) and proportion, or mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) for categorical and continuous data, respectively. Differences between patients with high and those low RDW were compared using the Student's test or Mann-Whitney test for continuous variables, and the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables. Survival curves were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method, and differences in survival were assessed using the log-rank test. Cox proportional hazard analysis was used to evaluate the association between RDW and study outcomes, including all-cause and CVD-related mortality, initially without adjustments. Multivariate Cox regression analysis was then performed with adjustments for the covariates which showed a significant correlation (p < 0.05) with the outcome of interest, including sex, age, BMI, smoking status, comorbidities, laboratory variables, medications and PD-related parameters.

Five models were used: model 1, adjusted for sex, age, smoking status and BMI; model 2, adjusted for all variables in model 1, plus medications; model 3, adjusted for all variables in model 2, plus comorbidities; model 4, adjusted for all variables in model 3, plus PD-related parameters; model 5, adjusted for all variables in model 4, plus laboratory data. Three sensitivity analyses were performed to increase the robustness of our results. First, the hazard ratio (HR) of RDW was calculated per 1% increment in RDW level to maximize the predictive value of RDW for the clinical outcomes of interest. Second, the entire cohort was divided into three tertiles by the RDW level with the first tertile group as the reference in relation to the risk of mortality. Third, the optimal RDW value determined from the ROC analysis was used to divide the patients for statistical analysis.

The associations between RDW and laboratory variables were tested using Pearson rank correlation test, and linear regression was used to determine the expected changes in RDW with each unit change in these continuous variables.

ROC analysis with AUC analysis was conducted to compare the predictive value of RDW for all-cause and CVD-related mortality within 1 year, 3 years and 5 years of initiating PD with other markers of anemia (hemoglobin, ferritin and iron saturation), albumin and WBC. The predictive value of these variables was further examined by the AUC with each variable added to those variables in Cox regression model 4. We also tested the possible

	All-cause mortality		Cardiovascular mortality			
	1-year	3-year	5-year	1-year	3-year	5-year
AUC for each variable						
RDW	0.791	0.726	0.699	0.769	0.743	0.74
	(0.72, 0.87)	(0.66, 0.8)	(0.62, 0.78)	(0.72, 0.82)	(0.66, 0.83)	(0.66, 0.82)
Albumin	0.785	0.757	0.683	0.748	0.717	0.673
	(0.7, 0.87)	(0.69, 0.83)	(0.6, 0.76)	(0.59, 0.9)	(0.62, 0.82)	(0.57, 0.78)
II	0.580	0.528	0.51	0.504	0.48	0.475
Temoglobin	(0.46, 0.7)	(0.45, 0.61)	(0.43, 0.59)	(0.35, 0.65)	(0.38, 0.58)	(0.37, 0.58)
Forritin	0.684	0.599	0.584	0.602	0.568	0.538
Ferritin	(0.58, 0.79)	(0.52, 0.67)	(0.51, 0.65)	(0.47, 0.74)	(0.47, 0.66)	(0.44, 0.63)
Iron saturation	0.63	0.538	0.535	0.597	0.487	0.491
non saturation	(0.51, 0.75)	(0.45, 0.62)	(0.46, 0.61)	(0.45,0.74)	(0.37, 0.6)	(0.39, 0.59)
White blood coll count	0.735	0.58	0.588	0.583	0.506	0.492
white blood cell count	(0.62, 0.85)	(0.49, 0.67)	(0.51, 0.66)	(0.42, 0.75)	(0.39, 0.62)	(0.39, 0.6)
AUC for variables in model 4 plus the following variable						
Variables in model 4 + DDW	0.916	0.892	0.876	0.924	0.875	0.882
variables in model 4 + KD w	(0.88, 0.96)	(0.85, 0.93)	(0.83, 0.92)	(0.86, 0.99)	(0.81, 0.94)	(0.83, 0.94)
Variables in model 4 + albumin	0.905	0.876	0.866	0.899	0.829	0.843
	(0.86, 0.95)	(0.83, 0.92)	(0.82, 0.92)	(0.83, 0.97)	(0.76, 0.9)	(0.78, 0.91)
Variables in model 4 + hemoglobin	0.902	0.867	0.847	0.816	0.822	0.831
	(0.86, 0.94)	(0.82, 0.91)	(0.79,0.9)	(0.72, 0.91)	(0.76, 0.88)	(0.78, 0.89)
Vanishlas in model 4 + femitin	0.911	0.877	0.854	0.862	0.824	0.831
variables in model 4 + territin	(0.86, 0.96)	(0.84, 0.92)	(0.8, 0.91)	(0.79,0.94)	(0.76, 0.89)	(0.77, 0.9)
Variables in model 4 + iron saturation	0.889	0.888	0.875	0.914	0.849	0.855
	(0.84, 0.94)	(0.85, 0.93)	(0.83, 0.92)	(0.85, 0.98)	(0.78, 0.92)	(0.8, 0.91)
Variables in model 4 + White blood cell count	0.895	0.88	0.872	0.896	0.836	0.847
	(0.84, 0.94)	(0.84, 0.92)	(0.82, 0.92)	(0.81, 0.98)	(0.77, 0.9)	(0.79, 0.9)

Table 4. AUC using ROC curve analysis to predict all-cause and cardiovascular mortality by variousparameters. Data are presented as AUC (95% confidence interval). Abbreviations: AUC, area under the curve;ROC, receiver operating characteristic. Variables in model 4 included age, sex, body mass index, smoking status,medications usage, comorbid conditions and peritoneal dialysis related parameters.

association between RDW and mortality in subgroups of patients stratified by age, comorbid diseases (diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, CVD), and medications (ACE inhibitors/ARB). A two-tailed p value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant, and all statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

#### References

- 1. Collins, A. J., Foley, R. N. & Chavers, B. United States Renal Data System 2011 annual data report: atlas of chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease in the United States. *Am J Kidney Dis.* **59(1)** (suppl 1), e1–e420 (2012).
- 2. Liu, X. et al. Alkaline phosphatase and mortality in patients on peritoneal dialysis. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 9, 771-778 (2014).
- Molnar, M. Z., Mehrotra, R., Duong U., Kovesdy, C. P. & Kalantar-Zadeh, K. Association of hemoglobin and survival in peritoneal dialysis patients. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 6, 1973–1981 (2011).
- 4. Karnad, A. & Poskitt, T. R. The automated complete blood cell count. Use of the red blood cell volume distribution width and mean platelet volume in evaluating anemia and thrombocytopenia. *Arch Intern Med* **145**, 1270–1272 (1985).
- 5. Felker *et al.* Red cell distribution width as a novel prognostic marker in heart failure: data from the CHARM Program and the Duke Databank. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* **50(1)**, 40–47 (2007).
- Hong, N. et al. Red blood cell distribution width predicts early mortality in patients with acute dyspnea. Clin Chim Acta. 413(11–12), 992–997 (2012).
- Senol, K., Saylam, B., Kocaay, F. & Tez, M. Red cell distribution width as a predictor of mortality in acute pancreatitis. Am J Emerg Med. 31(4), 687–689 (2013).
- 8. Kim, C. H. et al. An increase in red blood cell distribution width from baseline predicts mortality in patients with severe sepsis or septic shock. Crit Care. 17(6), R282 (2013).
- 9. Sadaka, F., O'Brien, J. & Prakash, S. Red cell distribution width and outcome in patients with septic shock. *J Intensive Care Med.* **28(5)**, 307–313 (2013).
- Patel, K. V., Ferrucci, L., Ershler, W. B., Longo, D. L. & Guralnik, J. M. Red blood cell distribution width and the risk of death in middle-aged and older adults. Arch Intern Med 169(5), 515–523 (2009).
- 11. Dabbah, S., Hammerman, H., Markiewicz, W. & Aronson, D. Relation between red cell distribution width and clinical outcomes after acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* **105(3)**, 312–317 (2010).
- Cavusoglu, E., Chopra, V., Gupta, A., Battala, V. R., Poludasu, S., Eng, C. & Marmur, J. D. Relation between red blood cell distribution width (RDW) and all-cause mortality at two years in an unselected population referred for coronary angiography. *Int J Cardiol* 141(2), 141–146 (2010).
- 13. Solak, Y. *et al.* Red cell distribution width is independently related to endothelial dysfunction in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Am J Med Sci.* 347, 118–124 (2014).

- 14. Danese, E., Lippi, G. & Montagnana, M. Red blood cell distribution width and cardiovascular diseases. J Thorac Dis. 7(10), E402–411 (2015)
- 15. Huang, Y. L. et al. Prognostic value of red blood cell distribution width for patients with heart failure: a systematic review and metaanalysis of cohort studies. PLoS One 9, e104861 (2014).
- 16. Ren, H. et al. Relationship between the red cell distribution width and the one-year outcomes in Chinese patients with stable angina pectoris. Intern Med 52, 1769–1774 (2013).
- 17. Oh, H. J. *et al.* Red blood cell distribution width is an independent predictor of mortality in acute kidney injury patients treated with continuous renal replacement therapy. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 27, 589–594 (2012).
- Sicaja, M. et al. Red blood cell distribution width as a prognostic marker of mortality in patients on chronic dialysis: a single center, prospective longitudinal study. Croat Med J. 54(1), 25–32 (2013).
- 19. Vashistha, T. et al. Red Cell Distribution Width and Mortality in Hemodialysis Patients. Am J Kidney Dis. 68(1), 110-121 (2016)
- 20. Peng, F. *et al.* An increasing of red blood cell distribution width was associated with cardiovascular mortality in patients on peritoneal dialysis. *Int J Cardiol.* **176(3)**, 1379–1381 (2014).
- Sun, I. O. *et al.* Clinical significance of red blood cell distribution width in the prediction of mortality in patients on peritoneal dialysis. *Kidney Res Clin Pract.* 35(2), 114–118 (2016).
- 22. Li, M. & Ikehara, S. Bone-marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells for organ repair. Stem Cells Int 2013, 132642 (2013).
- Macdougall, I. C. & Cooper, A. C. Erythropoietin resistance: the role of inflammation and pro-inflammatory cytokines. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 17 (suppl 11), 39–43 (2002).
- Forhecz, Z., Gombos, T., Borgulya, G., Pozsonyi, Z., Prohaszka, Z. & Janoskuti, L. Red cell distribution width in heart failure: prediction of clinical events and relationship with markers of ineffective erythropoiesis, inflammation, renal function, and nutritional state. Am Heart J 158, 659–666 (2009).
- Wang, A. Y. *et al.* Evaluation of handgrip strength as a nutritional marker and prognostic indicator in peritoneal dialysis patients. *Am J Clin Nutr* 81, 79–86 (2005).
- 26. Wang, A. Y. *et al.* Circulating soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule 1: relationships with residual renal function, cardiac hypertrophy, and outcome of peritoneal dialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis* **45**, 715–729 (2005).
- Kalay, N. et al. The relationship between inflammation and slow coronary flow: increased red cell distribution width and serum uric acid levels. Turk Kardiyol Dern Ars. 39(6), 463–468 (2011).
- Celik, A. et al. Relationship between red cell distribution width and echocardiographic parameters in patients with diastolic heart failure. Kaohsiung J Med Sci. 28, 165–172 (2012).
- Gromadziński, L., Januszko-Giergielewicz, B. & Pruszczyk, P. Red cell distribution width is an independent factor for left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Clin Exp Nephrol.* 19(4), 616–625 (2015)
- Patel, K. V., Mohanty, J. G., Kanapuru, B., Hesdorffer, C., Ershler, W. B. & Rifkind, J. M. Association of the red cell distribution width with red blood cell deformability. Adv ExpMed Biol 765, 211–216 (2013).
- Salvagno, G. L., Sanchis-Gomar, F., Picanza, A. & Lippi, G. Red blood cell distribution width: a simple parameter with multiple clinical applications. Crit. Rev. Clin. Lab. Sci. 52(2), 86–105 (2015).
- Lippi, G., Cervellin, G. & Sanchis-Gomar, F. Red blood cell distribution width and cardiovascular disorders. Does it really matter which comes first, the chicken or the egg? Int J Cardiol. 206, 129–130 (2016).
- Lippi, G., Pavesi, F., Bardi, M. & Pipitone, S. Lack of harmonization of red blood cell distribution width (RDW). Evaluation of four hematological analyzers. Clin Biochem. 47(12), 1100–3 (2014)

#### Acknowledgements

We thank all the PD nursing teams for their help in collecting data.

#### **Author Contributions**

All authors listed have contributed sufficiently to the project to be included as authors, and all those who are qualified to be authors are listed in the author byline. C.-C. C. conceived and designed the experiments. C.-C. L. performed the experiments. S.-M. T. and C.-T. K. analyzed the data. Y.-P. H. wrote the paper.

#### Additional Information

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

**How to cite this article**: Hsieh, Y.-P. *et al.* Association between red cell distribution width and mortality in patients undergoing continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 45632; doi: 10.1038/srep45632 (2017).

**Publisher's note:** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017