scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN Determinants of activity of brown adipose tissue in lymphoma patients

Cornelia Brendle¹, Norbert Stefan², Eva Grams^{1,3}, Martin Soekler⁴, Christian la Fougère^{5,6,7} & Christina Pfannenberg³

The determinants of brown adipose tissue (BAT) activity are not yet known in detail but might serve as future therapeutic targets against obesity and the metabolic syndrome. We analyzed 235 datasets of lymphoma patients with two PET/CT examinations at different time points retrospectively. We assessed the anthropometric characteristics, features related to the metabolic syndrome, thyroid dysfunction, season of the PET/CT examination, weight change, prior cancer history, lymphoma subgroups, disease activity, and specific lymphoma-related therapies, and evaluated their association with BAT activity. We found BAT activity in 12% of all examinations, and the incidence of BAT activity after initially negative examinations was 10%. In multivariate regression analysis, the prevalence of BAT activity was associated with age, body mass index, sex, the season of the examination, diabetes mellitus, arterial hypertension, and medication on the beta-receptors. New BAT activity arose more often in patients without preceding lymphoma-related therapy. No specific medication was associated with BAT activity. In conclusion, this study confirms the potential connection of BAT with the metabolic syndrome. Preceding lymphoma-related therapy might have an inhibitory effect on the recruitment of BAT.

Activated brown adipose tissue (BAT), a subtype of adipose tissue with high energy consumption, might have a preventive effect against obesity and metabolic disorders¹. Its physiological role is that of non-shivering thermogenesis by uncoupling ATP synthesis². BAT is the generic term for classical brown adipose tissue, found in newborn mammals, as well as beige fat, which is observed in a small percentage of human adults in the cervical and supraclavicular regions and arises from white adipose tissue³. Active BAT was first discovered as an incidental finding in ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computer tomography (FDG-PET/ CT) examinations for other indications, because of its very high glucose uptake due to extensive metabolic turnover⁴⁻⁶. FDG-PET/CT is still the standard method for the identification of BAT. Recent recommendations for a standardized acquisition and evaluation of PET images in this context, the Brown Adipose Tissue Reporting in Imaging Studies (BARCIST) criteria, increase BAT imaging studies' reproducibility7. Several aspects of the physiology of BAT activity in adult humans are unknown, e.g., the precise amount of inactive, but potentially recruitable BAT. Also, the actual impact of BAT activation on weight and metabolic disorders is under discussion, as it constitutes only a small proportion of the whole body tissue^{8,9}. The individual physiological circumstances leading to activation of inactive BAT and the formation of new BAT are not completely clear. However, several factors are associated with a higher BAT activity, particularly young age, female sex, low body mass index (BMI), and low blood glucose levels^{6,10,11}. In line with its physiological function for thermogenesis, BAT is activated by cold stimuli^{6,12,13}. BAT activation works via the uncoupling protein 1, and many potential upstream BAT activators have been proposed based on results from animal studies, computational biology and clinical settings^{8,14-16}. In vivo studies in humans identified the beta-receptor agonist mirabegron, melatonin,

¹Diagnostic and Interventional Neuroradiology, Department of Radiology, Eberhard Karls University, Hoppe-Seyler-Straße 3, 72076 Tübingen, Germany.²Endocrinology and Diabetology, Department of Internal Medicine, Eberhard Karls University, Otfried-Mueller-Straße 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany. ³Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, Department of Radiology, Eberhard Karls University, Hoppe-Seyler-Straße 3, 72076 Tübingen, Germany. "Oncology, Hematology, Clinical Immunology, Rheumatology and Pulmology, Department of Internal Medicine, Eberhard Karls University, Otfried-Mueller-Straße 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany. ⁵Nuclear Medicine and Clinical Molecular Imaging, Department of Radiology, Eberhard Karls University, Otfried-Mueller-Straße 14, 72076 Tübingen, Germany. ⁶Cluster of Excellence iFIT (EXC 2180) "Image Guided and Functionally Instructed Tumor Therapies", University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany. ⁷German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), Partner Site, Tübingen, Germany. ^{See}email: cornelia.brendle@med.uni-tuebingen.de

Entity	N
Mature B-cell lymphoma	113
Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma	78
Follicular lymphoma	19
Unspecified B-cell lymphoma	5
Small lymphocytic lymphoma	3
Mantle cell lymphoma	2
High-grade B-cell lymphoma	2
Unclassifiable B-cell lymphoma	1
Mucosa associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma	1
Plasma cell myeloma	1
Primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma	1
Hodgkin lymphoma	103
Other lymphoma or leukemia	11
Lymphoblastic lymphoma of T-cell type	4
Acute myelotic leukemia	3
Unspecified acute B-cell lymphatic leukemia	2
Post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder	1
Castleman disease	1
Mature T-cell lymphoma	8
Unspecified T-cell lymphoma	3
Extranodal natural killer/T-cell lymphoma	1
Peripheral T-cell lymphoma	4

Table 1. Distribution of lymphoma entities in the disease groups.

capsinoids, glucocorticoids, bile acid chenodeoxycholic acid and further dietary components like tea catechins and ephedrine affecting BAT activity^{17–25}. Other substances under discussion include thiazolidinedione, resveratrol, thyroid hormones, the intestinal hormone secretin and cytarabine^{26–30}. Additionally, active tumor disease itself might be associated with BAT activity³¹.

The rationale behind the search for BAT activating drugs is the hope of detecting potential therapeutic targets to fight obesity and metabolic disorders by increasing the energy consumption and elevating the insulin sensitivity of the treated individuals^{1,9,16}. Based on these considerations, the present explorative study aimed to identify the association of BAT activity with patient- and disease-specific parameters in subjects with lymphoma. Lymphoma patients represent a clinical collective with relatively high BAT activity, which possibly facilitates the identification of BAT-activating factors. In this context, we re-evaluated the most familiar potential influencing parameters of BAT activity, as well as lymphoma-related features, in a large patient cohort.

Results

Patients. The final dataset contained 235 patients (mean age 50 ± 19 years, 111 females, 124 males). The BMI was higher in males than in females ($26.1 \pm 4.8 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{ versus } 24.8 \pm 5.4 \text{ kg/m}^2, p = 0.005$). Age did not differ significantly between both sexes (p = 0.70). Age and BMI showed a weak positive correlation (r = 0.13, p = 0.04). According to the WHO classification, 113 patients suffered from mature B-cell lymphoma, 103 from Hodgkin lymphoma, 11 from other lymphoma or leukemia, and eight from mature T-cell lymphoma (Table 1 shows the detailed histological entities). The mature T-cell lymphoma group contained only males, resulting in a significantly different distribution of the sexes to the groups Hodgkin lymphoma and mature B-cell lymphoma (p < 0.004 and p = 0.01, respectively). The BMI did not differ between the lymphoma subgroups (p = 0.99). Patients with mature B-cell lymphoma (mean age of 60 ± 16 years) were older than patients with Hodgkin lymphoma, other lymphoma, and mature T-cell lymphoma (p < 0.001, p = 0.004 and p = 0.04, respectively).

Prevalence of brown adipose tissue activity. In the whole cohort with 470 PET examinations, BAT activity was present in 55 (12%) examinations, while the remaining 415 (88%) examinations displayed no BAT activity. The median standardized uptake value (SUV) of BAT activity was 2.1, and we categorized accordingly 30 datasets as low BAT activity and 25 as high BAT activity. Table 2 illustrates the relationship between BAT activity and all parameters in detail. Age, BMI, sex, and the season of PET were associated with BAT activity (p < 0.001, p = 0.002, p = 0.01, and p = 0.04, respectively). BAT activity was significantly higher in younger patients, in females, in leaner patients, and in winter compared with summer and autumn (p = 0.02, and p = 0.02, respectively). No patient treated with beta-blockers displayed BAT activity, resulting in a significant difference to patients without beta-receptor therapy (p < 0.001) and receiving beta-receptor agonists (p = 0.03). Diabetes mellitus and hypertension were negatively associated with BAT activity (p = 0.009, and p = 0.003, respectively). All these conditions were independent parameters associated with BAT activity in multivariate regression. In univariate regression, patients with Hodgkin lymphoma had a significantly higher BAT activity than patients

Total4153025N/AAActive BAT depots (range)02-64-6N/AIActive BAT depots (range)02-64-6N/AISUVmean of the active BAT depotsN/A1.8±0.22.7±0.6N/AIAge (years, n=469)53±1837±1428±13<0.001*	Regression (p-value)	
Active BAT depots (range)02-64-6N/AN/ASUVmean of the active BAT depotsN/A1.8±0.22.7±0.6N/AAge (years, n = 469)53±1837±1428±13<0.001*<0.001*BMI (kg/m², n = 459)25.7±5.324.4±5.022.6±3.20.002*0.04*Sex (females)18621150.01*0.04*Imphoma group110971.02*0.02*0.04*Mature B-cell lymphoma11021150.02*0.13*Mature T-cell lymphoma14020.02*0.04*Spring112780.04*Summer114630.04*Muture N-cell lymphoma112780.04*Summer114630.04*Muturn98920.04*Winter918120.04*Disease activity (n = 422)22612130.58No preceding therapy1411070.83Radiotherapy41340.62ABVD regimen42850.01*0.52CHOP/CHLIP regimen92450.51BEACOPP regimen49650.231BUS define2013120.881BUS define32130.481BUS define32130.03*0.2* <th colspan="2">Multivariate^b</th>	Multivariate ^b	
SUVmean of the active BAT depotsN/A 1.8 ± 0.2 2.7 ± 0.6 N/AN/AAge (years, n = 469) 53 ± 18 37 ± 14 28 ± 13 $<0.001^*$ $<0.001^*$ BMI (kg/m², n = 459) 25.7 ± 5.3 24.4 ± 5.0 22.6 ± 3.2 0.002^* 0.04^* Sex (females)186 21 15 0.01^* 0.04^* Implement 116 21 15 0.01^* 0.04^* Mature B-cell lymphoma 170 21 15 0.02^* 0.02^* Mature T-cell lymphoma 14 0 2 2 0.02^* 0.13 Other lymphoma 14 0 2 0.02^* 0.04^* Spring 112 7 8 0.02^* 0.04^* Summer 114 6 3 0.04^* 0.04^* Vinter 91 8 12 0.04^* 0.04^* Disease activity (n = 422) 226 12 13 0.58 1 Adiotherapy 41 10 7 0.83 1 Adiotherapy 41 3 4 0.62 1 AbVD regimen 42 8 5 0.01^* 0.52 CHOP/CHLIP regimen 92 4 5 0.51 1 Beta-receptor therapy (n = 462) 111 5 4 0.25 1 Inhibition 61 0 0 0.03^* 0.02^* Beta-receptor agonist 6 0 1 1 1 </td <td></td>		
depotsN/A1.8 ± 0.22.7 ± 0.6N/AN/AAge (years, n = 469)53 ± 1837 ± 1428 ± 13<0.001*		
BMI (kg/m², n=459) 25.7 ± 5.3 24.4 ± 5.0 22.6 ± 3.2 0.002* 0.04* Sex (fenales) 186 21 15 0.01* 0.04* Lymphoma group 7 0.01* 0.04* Mature B-cell lymphoma 170 21 15 0.02* 0.13* Mature T-cell lymphoma 170 21 15 0.02* 0.13* Other lymphoma 20 0 1 0.02* 0.13* Season of PET 7 8 0.04* 0.04* Summer 114 6 3 0.04* 0.04* Winter 91 8 12 0.04* 0.04* Disease activity (n = 422) 226 12 13 0.58 1 Radiotherapy 141 10 7 0.83 1 1 ABVD regimen 42 8 5 0.01* 0.52 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Sex (females)18621150.01*0.04*Lymphoma groupMature B-cell lymphoma211971Hodgkin lymphoma17021150.02*0Mature T-cell lymphoma140200Other lymphoma200102Spring112780.04*0.04*Summer114630.04*0.04*Muturn98920.04*0.04*Winter918120.04*0.04*Disease activity (n=422)22612130.582No preceding therapy1411070.831Adiotherapy41340.621BEACOPP regimen92450.511BEACOPP regimen92450.231Steroids20013120.881Steroids20013120.03*0.02*Beta-receptor therapy (n=45)11540.03*0.02*No therapy34030240.03*0.02*Beta-receptor agonist60111Hypothyroidism66140.03*0.02*		
Lymphoma group 211 9 7 Mature B-cell lymphoma 170 21 15 Mature T-cell lymphoma 14 0 2 Other lymphoma 20 0 1 Season of PET Syring 112 7 8 Summer 114 6 3 Autumn 98 9 2 Winter 91 8 12 Disease activity (n=422) 226 12 13 0.58 No preceding therapy 141 10 7 0.83 1 Adiotherapy 41 3 4 0.62 1 ABVD regimen 42 8 5 0.01* 0.52 CHOP/CHLIP regimen 92 4 5 0.51 1 BEACOPP regimen 49 6 5 0.23 1 Geta-receptor therapy (n=452) 13 12 0.88 1 Beta-receptor therapy (n=452) <t< td=""><td></td></t<>		
Mature B-cell lymphoma 211 9 7 Hodgkin lymphoma 170 21 15 0.02* 0.13 Mature T-cell lymphoma 20 0 1 0.02* 0.13 Season of PET 5 0.04* 0.04* 0.04* 0.04* Summer 114 6 3 0.04* 0.04* Muture T-cell lymphoma 98 9 2 0.04* 0.04* Summer 114 6 3 0.04* 0.04* Winter 91 8 12 0.04* 0.04* Disease activity (n=422) 226 12 13 0.58 1 No preceding therapy 141 10 7 0.83 1 Radiotherapy 41 3 4 0.62 1 BEACOPP regimen 42 8 5 0.51 1 BEACOPP regimen 22 1 3 0.48 1 Steroids 200 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>		
Hodgkin lymphoma 170 21 15 0.02* 0.13 Mature T-cell lymphoma 14 0 2 0 1 0.02* 0.13 Stature T-cell lymphoma 20 0 1 0.02* 0.02* 0.02* 0.04* Season of PET 5 0 1 0.04* 0.04* 0.04* 0.04* 0.04* Summer 114 6 3 0.04* 0.04* 0.04* Winter 91 8 12 0.04* 0.04* 0.04* Disease activity (n = 422) 226 12 13 0.58 1 No preceding therapy 141 10 7 0.83 1 1 ABVD regimen 42 8 5 0.01* 0.52 1 <		
Image: Second problem in the second performant of the second performant o	0.13	
Mature T-cell lymphoma 14 0 2 Prime Prime Other lymphoma 20 0 1 Prime Prim Prime Prime		
Season of PET Ill 7 8 Syring 112 7 8 Summer 114 6 3 Autumn 98 9 2 Winter 91 8 12 Disease activity (n=422) 226 12 13 0.58 No preceding therapy 141 10 7 0.83 12 Addotherapy 41 3 4 0.62 12 ABVD regimen 42 8 5 0.01* 0.52 CHOP/CHLIP regimen 92 4 5 0.23 1 BEACOPP regimen 49 6 5 0.23 1 Steroids 200 13 12 0.88 1 Steroids 200 13 12 0.83 1 Beta-receptor therapy (n=45) 11 5 4 0.25 1 No therapy 340 30 24 0.03* 0.02*		
Spring11278Summer11463Autumn9892Winter91812Disease activity (n=422)22612130.58No preceding therapy1411070.831Autumn42850.01*0.52AbVD regimen42850.01*0.52CHOP/CHLIP regimen92450.511BEACOPP regimen49650.231Btuximab111540.251Steroids20013120.881Beta-receptor therapy (n=45)1150.01*0.02*Inhibition61000.03*0.02*No therapy34030240.03*0.02*Hypothyroidism661400		
Summer 114 6 3 Autumn 98 9 2 Winter 91 8 12 Disease activity (n=422) 226 12 13 0.58 No preceding therapy 141 10 7 0.83 - Addotherapy 41 3 4 0.62 - ABVD regimen 42 8 5 0.01* 0.52 CHOP/CHLIP regimen 92 4 5 0.23 - BEACOPP regimen 49 6 5 0.23 - Cytarabine 32 1 3 0.48 - Steroids 200 13 12 0.88 - Beta-receptor therapy (n=45/2) 13 0.03* - - No therapy 340 30 24 - - No therapy 340 30 24 - - Beta-receptor agonist 6 0 1<		
Autumn 98 9 2 Winter 91 8 12 Disease activity (n = 422) 226 12 13 0.58 . No preceding therapy 141 10 7 0.83 . . Radiotherapy 41 3 4 0.62 . . . ABVD regimen 42 8 5 0.01* 0.52 . CHOP/CHLIP regimen 92 4 5 0.51 . . BEACOPP regimen 49 6 5 0.23 . . Cytarabine 32 1 3 0.48 . . Steroids 200 13 12 0.88 . . Inhibition 61 0 0 . . . No therapy 340 30 14 Inhibition 6 0 1 . <	0.04*	
Autumn 98 9 2 Winter 91 8 12 Disease activity (n=422) 226 12 13 0.58 No preceding therapy 141 10 7 0.83 [Radiotherapy 41 3 4 0.62 [[ABVD regimen 42 8 5 0.01* 0.52 CHOP/CHLIP regimen 92 4 5 0.51 [
Disease activity (n = 422) 226 12 13 0.58 No preceding therapy 141 10 7 0.83 4 Radiotherapy 41 3 4 0.62 4 ABVD regimen 42 8 5 0.01* 0.52 CHOP/CHLIP regimen 92 4 5 0.51 4 BEACOPP regimen 49 6 5 0.23 1 Rituximab 111 5 4 0.25 1 Keroids 200 13 12 0.88 1 Beta-receptor therapy (n = 45) 13 0.48 1 1 No therapy 340 30 24 0.03* 0.02* Beta-receptor agonist 6 0 1 0.03* 0.02* Thyroid dysfunction (n = 45) 1 4 4 0.03* 0.02*		
No preceding therapy 141 10 7 0.83 1 Radiotherapy 41 3 4 0.62 ABVD regimen 42 8 5 0.01* 0.52 CHOP/CHLIP regimen 92 4 5 0.51 BEACOPP regimen 49 6 5 0.23 Rituximab 111 5 4 0.25 Cytarabine 32 1 3 0.48 Steroids 200 13 12 0.88 Beta-receptor therapy (n=45*) 0.03* 0.02* No therapy 340 30 24 0.03* 0.02* Beta-receptor agonist 6 0 1 0.03* 0.02*		
Radiotherapy 41 3 4 0.62 ABVD regimen 42 8 5 0.01* 0.52 CHOP/CHLIP regimen 92 4 5 0.51 BEACOPP regimen 92 4 5 0.23 Cytarabine 111 5 4 0.25 Cytarabine 32 1 3 0.48 Steroids 200 13 12 0.88 Beta-receptor therapy (n=45*) 0.03* 0.02* No therapy 340 30 24 0.03* 0.02* Beta-receptor agonist 6 0 1 Hypothyroidism 66 1 4 <td></td>		
ABVD regimen 42 8 5 0.01* 0.52 CHOP/CHLIP regimen 92 4 5 0.51 BEACOPP regimen 49 6 5 0.23 Rituximab 111 5 4 0.25 Cytarabine 32 1 3 0.48 Steroids 200 13 12 0.88 Beta-receptor therapy (n=452)		
CHOP/CHLIP regimen 92 4 5 0.51 1112 BEACOPP regimen 49 6 5 0.23 1<12 Rituximab 111 5 4 0.25 1<12 Cytarabine 32 1 3 0.48 1 Steroids 200 13 12 0.88 1 Beta-receptor therapy (n=462) 1 0		
BEACOPP regimen 49 6 5 0.23 Rituximab 111 5 4 0.25		
Rituximab 111 5 4 0.25 Qytarabine 32 1 3 0.48 0.25 Steroids 200 13 12 0.88 0.25 Beta-receptor therapy (n=462) U U 0.03* 0.02* Inhibition 61 0 0 0.03* 0.02* Beta-receptor agonist 6 0 1 0.03* 0.02* Hypothyroidism 66 1 4 0.01 0.03* 0.02*		
Cytarabine 32 1 3 0.48 Steroids 200 13 12 0.88 Steroids 200 13 12 0.88 Beta-receptor therapy (n=45) Inhibition 61 0 0		
Steroids 200 13 12 0.88 Beta-receptor therapy (n=462) 0.88 0.88 0.88 Inhibition 61 0 0 0.03* 0.02* No therapy 340 30 24 0.03* 0.02* Beta-receptor agonist 6 0 1 0.03* 0.02* Thyroid dysfunction (n=458) 1 4 0.03* 0.02*		
Beta-receptor therapy (n = 462) 0 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>		
Inhibition 61 0 0 No therapy 340 30 24 0.03* 0.02* Beta-receptor agonist 6 0 1 0 0 Thyroid dysfunction (n=458) 4 0.02*		
No therapy 340 30 24 0.03* 0.02* Beta-receptor agonist 6 0 1 0 0 1 Thyroid dysfunction (n=458 66 1 4 0 0		
Beta-receptor agonist 6 0 1 Thyroid dysfunction (n = 458) 4	0.02*	
Thyroid dysfunction (n=458) Hypothyroidism 66 1 4		
Hypothyroidism 66 1 4		
Euthyroidism 315 28 21 0.24		
Hyperthyroidism 22 1 0		
Hyperlipidemia (n = 457) 43 1 0.26		
Diabetes mellitus (n = 464) 74 0 0 0.003* 0.004*		
Hypertension (n=463) 103 3 1 0.01* 0.03*		
Prior cancer (n=463) 37 0 0 0.07		

Table 2. Distribution of the study parameters in all PET/CT examinations (n = 470) depending on the BAT activity. *Significant, p value of < 0.05, ^apatient number for evaluation of this parameter if divergent from the total patient number, ^bonly significant parameters of the univariate analyses were included. *BAT* brown adipose tissue, *SUVmean* mean standardized uptake value, *ABVD regimen* doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine, *CHOP/CHLIP regimen* cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, conventional/liposomal vincristine, steroids, *BEACOPP regimen* bleomycin, etoposide, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, procarbazine and steroids, *N/A* not applicable.

with mature B-cell lymphoma (p=0.004), and chemotherapy with ABVD was associated with a higher prevalence of BAT activity (p=0.01). Both conditions were no significant factors in multivariate regression. The other assessed features were not associated significantly with BAT activity (details see Table 2). No patient in our cohort received thiazolidinediones.

Incidence of brown adipose tissue activity. A total of 214 patients had one BAT negative PET/CT examination at initial diagnosis or during disease and a subsequent PET/CT examination in the further course, e.g., for therapy evaluation or in suspected relapse and hence were included in this analysis. Incidence of "new" BAT activity in the second examination occurred in 21 cases (10%), of whom 13 patients developed low BAT activity and eight patients high BAT activity. A total of 193 patients remained BAT negative in the follow-up

	New onset of BAT activity (n or mean±SD)			Regression (p-value)	
Parameter (patient n) ^a	None	Low	High	Univariate	Multivariateb
Total	193	13	8	N/A	
Active BAT depots (range)	0	3-6	4-6	N/A	
SUVmean of the active BAT depots	N/A	1.9±0.2	2.5 ± 0.4	N/A	
Age (years)	54 ± 18	40 ± 15	25 ± 10	< 0.001*	< 0.001*
BMI (kg/m ² , n = 209)	25.9 ± 5.5	25.5 ± 6.6	22.5 ± 3.6	0.22	
Sex (females)	84	7	7	0.06	
Lymphoma group					
Mature B-cell lymphoma	101	5	0		
Hodgkin lymphoma	76	8	6	0.06	
Mature T-cell lymphoma	6	0	1	0.00	
Other lymphoma	10	0	1		
Season of PET					
Spring	55	4	2		
Summer	47	3	2		
Autumn	49	2	0	- 0.48	
Winter	42	4	4		
Disease activity (n = 189)	63	3	2	0.73	
No preceding therapy	24	6	0	0.002*	0.03*
Radiotherapy	27	2	1	0.98	
ABVD regimen	21	2	2	0.43	
CHOP/CHLIP regimen	64	1	1	0.08	
BEACOPP regimen	29	1	4	0.02*	0.86
Rituximab	77	2	0	0.02*	0.42
Cytarabine	18	1	1	0.93	
Steroids	116	5	6	0.20	
Beta-receptor therapy (n = 20	9)				
Inhibition	32	0	0		
No therapy	152	13	8	0.30	
Beta-receptor agonist	4	0	0		
Thyroid dysfunction (n = 208)				
Hypothyroidism	34	0	2		
Euthyroidism	142	12	6	0.47	
Hyperthyrodisim	11	1	0		
Hyperlipidemia (n = 207)	22	0	0	0.25	
Diabetes mellitus (n=211)	31	0	0	0.13	
Hypertension (n=211)	53	1	0	0.07	
Prior Cancer (n=211)	19	0	0	0.32	
Weight change (kg, n = 213)	0.3±7.3	2.5±9.8	-0.9 ± 13.9	0.65	

Table 3. Distribution of the study parameters in PET/CT examinations of prior BAT negative patients (n = 214) depending on the BAT activity. *Significant, p value of < 0.05, ^apatient number for evaluation of this parameter if divergent from the total patient number, ^bonly significant parameters of the univariate analyses were included. *BAT* brown adipose tissue, *SUVmean* mean standardized uptake value, *ABVD regimen* doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine, *CHOP/CHLIP regimen* cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, conventional/liposomal vincristine, steroids, *BEACOPP regimen* bleomycin, etoposide, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, procarbazine and steroids, *N/A* not applicable.

examination. Table 3 illustrates the relationship between the incidence of BAT activity and all parameters in detail. The incidence of BAT activity was associated negatively with age (p < 0.001) and positively with the condition of no preceding lymphoma-related therapy (p=0.002) in univariate and multivariate regression. New BAT activity occurred more often after therapy with BEACOPP and less common after therapy with rituximab (p=0.02, and p=0.02, respectively), but both were not significant in multivariate regression. All other investigated therapies and chronic diseases were not associated with BAT activity in this context (see Table 3). Also, the amount of weight change between the first and second PET/CT examination was not linked with the incidence of BAT activity.

Discussion

In this study, we evaluated the prevalence and incidence of BAT activity in lymphoma patients. We assessed various potential determinants of BAT activity to gain further insights into the modulation of BAT as a potential therapeutic target against obesity. While the BAT prevalence might relate primarily to strong known impact factors and chronic conditions, the investigation of new incidence of BAT activity might reveal the potential impact of temporary factors. Our patient cohort showed a similar distribution of age, sex, and BMI to prior unselected studies on BAT activity^{6,10,32}. Therefore, our cohort might compose a representative sample of a lymphoma population. The prevalence of BAT activity was 12% in our study, and the incidence of BAT activity in initially BAT negative patients was 10%. Both rates are higher than reported ratios of about 4–5% BAT activity in mixed populations^{6,10,32}. In agreement, other studies with pediatric and adult lymphoma patients observed a comparatively high prevalence of BAT activity^{6,30,33,34}.

As expected, BAT activity was associated with its well-known determinants age, sex, BMI, and season^{6,10}. Additionally, our results strengthen the potential role of BAT in the metabolic syndrome by showing a negative association with diabetes mellitus and arterial hypertension^{6,10,35}. We found an analogy between BAT and beta-receptors' activity, confirming prior findings where treatment with beta-blockers and beta-receptor agonists affected BAT^{6,17}. On the other hand, BAT activity was not independently associated with other factors under discussion, like hyperlipidemia, thyroid dysfunction, prior cancer disease, and the specific lymphoma type^{1,16,28,33,35}.

We found no association of BAT and lymphoma's metabolic activity in our cohort. Former studies reported variable results on the role of cancer vitality in the regulation of BAT activity^{31,33,36}. Also, BAT activity was not linked with the extent of weight change during the disease, in contrast to prior reports on cancer cachexia³⁵. Thus, other disease accompanying conditions, particularly the application of a specific therapy, might be relevant. The effect of preceding chemotherapy on BAT activity is controversial^{10,30,37}. The present results plead for an inhibitory role of chemotherapy or radiotherapy on BAT since the BAT recruitment was higher in patients without preceding lymphoma-related therapy. Steroids have been reported to stimulate BAT activity in the short-term but inhibit its function during long-term use. The real impact of steroids on energy metabolism via regulation of BAT activity is unclear, and we did not find an association with BAT activity in the present study^{21–23,30,38}. Also, cytarabine application did not affect BAT activity, in contrast to a previous study with a comparable patient cohort and although it shares the signaling pathway with BAT³⁰. The impact of cytarabine on BAT activity might be minor and prone to interference by other influencing factors. Furthermore, the investigated patient numbers are low so far, and the potential role of this medication on BAT activity can be answered only after larger studies. The ABVD and BEACOPP regimen contain doxorubicin and vincristine, potential BAT recruiting agents^{30,39}. Although we saw a tendency towards altered BAT activity by applying these chemotherapies, we could not independently prove an association from confounding factors. Further studies are needed on these medications as well.

Our study has some limitations due to its retrospective design and the limited numbers of patients in specific subgroups. Therefore, we could not consider specific lymphoma entities, all single drugs, and all potential confounders in our analyses. Furthermore, the patients did not undergo a cooling protocol or a preparatory high fat diet before the PET/CT examinations, which both increase BAT activity. In the assessment of BAT activity by FDG PET, the differentiation between active BAT and other conditions with metabolic activity, e.g., lymph nodes, can be challenging due to the unspecific nature of FDG uptake. Our approach, according to the BARCIST criteria, aimed to minimize this bias by a fixed lower cut-off value and a specified HU range in CT for defining BAT as well as drawing the VOI in a sufficient distance to visible lymph nodes⁷. Continuous quantification of BAT activity is not possible using a fixed cut-off value. Therefore, we differentiated two BAT activity levels based on the median SUV of patients with BAT activity, but they are still artificial. Finally, including only two PET/CT examinations per patient might introduce selection bias, but it results in a more balanced dataset than including different examination numbers per patient.

In conclusion, BAT activity in lymphoma patients is related to the well-known anthropometric factors, conditions of the metabolic syndrome, and the beta-receptors' activity. While we did not find a connection between BAT activity and a specific medication of lymphoma, preceding lymphoma-related therapy might have an inhibitory effect on BAT recruitment.

Methods

Study design and patients. We retrospectively evaluated all lymphoma patients who underwent two or more ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT examinations between January 2012 and December 2018 in the PET/CT center of our university hospital. In patients with more than two PET/CT examinations, we included either the last PET/CT without BAT activity and the first examination with BAT activity, or-if not applicable-the first two examinations in the disease course. We assessed age, sex, and BMI and categorized the lymphoma entities according to the WHO classification in the four groups mature B cell-lymphoma, Hodgkin lymphoma, mature T cell-lymphoma, and other lymphoma⁴⁰. We identified the lymphoma disease as active if vital manifestations with FDG uptake above the mean liver uptake were present. Cases with unclear faint FDG uptake in morphologic residual caused by minimal residual activity or reactive changes were not considered. We assessed the season at the PET/ CT examination and calculated the weight change between the two examinations. We noted, which therapy regimen was applied before the respective PET examination since the beginning of the disease or a former PET examination. We considered specific medications if more than thirty individuals received them. This criterion applied to the chemotherapy regimens ABVD (doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine), CHOP/ CHLIP (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, conventional/liposomal vincristine, steroids), and BEACOPP (bleomycin, etoposide, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, procarbazine, steroids), as well as the separate drugs rituximab, cytarabine and steroids. We waived infrequently used drugs due to the limited statistical power. We searched the patients' history concerning the following further factors potentially linked with BAT activity:



Figure 1. Categories of BAT activity. Axial fused PET/CT images (**a**–**c**) and maximum intensity projection of PET (**d**–**f**) of patient examples with no activity of brown adipose tissue (BAT, **a**,**d**), low BAT activity (**b**,**e**) and high BAT activity (**c**,**f**, see arrows).

prior cancer disease, arterial hypertension, hyperlipidemia (known disease or treatment with statins), medication on the beta-adrenergic receptors (separate categories for beta-blockers, or beta-receptor agonists), and thiazolidinediones. We noted thyroid dysfunctions (differentiation in hypo- or hyperthyroidism) and diabetes mellitus if they were reported as diseases, a specific medication was applied, or the corresponding blood values were abnormal at the PET/CT examination (normal range of thyroid-stimulating hormone 0.2–4.2 mU/l, and fasting blood glucose <7.0 mmol/l). The study was approved by the ethics committee of the university hospital of Tuebingen. All patients gave their written informed consent for the scientific use of their data. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki 2013 and based on the principles of the International Conference on Harmonization: Good Clinical Practice guidelines.

PET/CT examinations and image analysis. All patients underwent the ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT examinations on a Biograph mCT (Siemens Healthcare, Knoxville, USA) under standardized conditions with a constant temperature of 22 °C. The PET/CT protocol contains a multidetector CT with a peak voltage of 120 kVp, a tube current of 250 mAs, and a table feed of 31 mm. All patients had fasted at least 6 h before the examination. The patients rested during the uptake time for 60 min in an air-conditioned waiting room with a constant temperature of 22 °C after the injection of 322 ±4 MBq ¹⁸F-FDG. PET data were acquired from the skull base to the thighs (acquisition time 2 min/bed, 6–8 bed positions) and reconstructed with a 3D ordered-subset expectation maximization (OSEM) algorithm (2 iterations, 21 subsets, Gaussian filter 2 mm). CT data were reconstructed in the axial direction (slice thickness 3 mm, increment 2.5 mm) and used for image analysis and attenuation correction. The commercial software SyngoVIA (Siemens Healthcare, Knoxville, USA) served as the study's viewing platform. We used a standardized uptake value (SUV) normalized on lean body mass for PET quantification. We reviewed the fused PET/CT images of all patients for BAT activity in the right and left nuchal, supraclavicular, and mediastinal regions according to the BARCIST criteria. We quantified BAT activity using isocontour volumes of interest (VOI) with a lower cut-off SUV of 1.2 if the region's density corresponded to fat in CT (Hounsfield Units - 10 to - 190)⁷. FDG positive lymph nodes near the areas of BAT assessment are a potential finding in lymphoma patients. We took special care to avoid spillover bias by adhering to a sufficient distance between visible lymph nodes and each VOI. We did not quantify regions with an SUV below the cut-off value, as these do not represent BAT activity according to BARCIST. In each patient, we noted the number of measurable VOI (active BAT depots) and averaged the mean SUV (SUVmean) of all active BAT depots. Subsequently, we classified the extent of BAT activity in three categories to reflect the continuous nature of BAT activity: high BAT activity (≥4 active BAT depots and SUVmean above the median SUVmean of all patients with BAT activity), low BAT activity (measurable BAT activity, but below the criteria for high BAT activity), no BAT activity (examples see Fig. 1). EG and CB read all PET/CT images in consensus (1 and 10 years of experience in hybrid imaging analysis).

Statistical analysis. Shapiro–Wilk test denied the normal distribution of the data. The variables were categorical with a nominal or ordinal scale, except for the continuous variables age, BMI, and weight change. We

tested the association between continuous and categorical variables with univariate logistic regression and Wilcoxon test, and the association between categorical variables with the Chi-Square test. We used Spearman correlation to test the relation of continuous variables. We identified independent parameters associated with BAT activity by multivariate regression with all significant parameters. We set the significance level at a p value ≤ 0.05 and used JMP 13.2 as statistical software (SAS, Cary, USA).

Data availability

The datasets analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on request.

Received: 28 July 2020; Accepted: 2 November 2020 Published online: 11 December 2020

References

- Peirce, V., Carobbio, S. & Vidal-Puig, A. The different shades of fat. *Nature* 510, 76–83. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13477 (2014).
 Carpentier, A. C. *et al.* Brown adipose tissue energy metabolism in humans. *Front. Endocrinol.* 9, 447. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2018.00447 (2018).
- Lidell, M. E. et al. Evidence for two types of brown adipose tissue in humans. Nat. Med. 19, 631-634. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nm.3017 (2013).
- Hany, T. F. et al. Brown adipose tissue: A factor to consider in symmetrical tracer uptake in the neck and upper chest region. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging. 29, 1393–1398. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-002-0902-6 (2002).
- Cohade, C., Mourtzikos, K. A. & Wahl, R. L. "USA-Fat": Prevalence is related to ambient outdoor temperature-evaluation with 18F-FDG PET/CT. J. Nucl. Med. 44, 1267–1270 (2003).
- Cypess, A. M. et al. Identification and importance of brown adipose tissue in adult humans. N. Engl. J. Med. 360, 1509–1517. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0810780 (2009).
- Chen, K. Y. et al. Brown adipose reporting criteria in imaging STudies (BARCIST 1.0): Recommendations for standardized FDG-PET/CT experiments in humans. Cell Metab. 24, 210–222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2016.07.014 (2016).
- 8. Tapia, P. *et al.* Biology and pathological implications of brown adipose tissue: Promises and caveats for the control of obesity and its associated complications. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **93**, 1145–1164. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12389 (2018).
- Marlatt, K. L. & Ravussin, E. Brown adipose tissue: An update on recent findings. Curr. Obes. Rep. 6, 389–396. https://doi. org/10.1007/s13679-017-0283-6 (2017).
- Steinberg, J. D., Vogel, W. & Vegt, E. Factors influencing brown fat activation in FDG PET/CT: A retrospective analysis of 15,000+ cases. Br. J. Radiol. 90, 20170093. https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.20170093 (2017).
- 11. Pfannenberg, C. *et al.* Impact of age on the relationships of brown adipose tissue with sex and adiposity in humans. *Diabetes* 59, 1789–1793. https://doi.org/10.2337/db10-0004 (2010).
- Huang, Y. C. *et al.* Review analysis of the association between the prevalence of activated brown adipose tissue and outdoor temperature. *Sci. World J.* 2012, 793039. https://doi.org/10.1100/2012/793039 (2012).
- Vosselman, M. J., Vijgen, G. H., Kingma, B. R., Brans, B. & van Marken Lichtenbelt, W. D. Frequent extreme cold exposure and brown fat and cold-induced thermogenesis: A study in a monozygotic twin. *PLoS One* 9, e101653. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pone.0101653 (2014).
- Pan, Y., Liu, J. & Qi, F. Identification of key candidate genes and molecular pathways in white fat browning: An anti-obesity drug discovery based on computational biology. *Hum. Genom.* 13, 55. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40246-019-0239-x (2019).
- Qiu, Y. et al. Screening of FDA-approved drugs identifies sutent as a modulator of UCP1 expression in brown adipose tissue. EBioMedicine 37, 344–355. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2018.10.019 (2018).
- Loh, R. K. C., Kingwell, B. A. & Carey, A. L. Human brown adipose tissue as a target for obesity management; beyond cold-induced thermogenesis. Obes. Rev. 18, 1227–1242. https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12584 (2017).
- 17. Cypess, A. M. *et al.* Activation of human brown adipose tissue by a beta3-adrenergic receptor agonist. *Cell Metab.* **21**, 33–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2014.12.009 (2015).
- Finlin, B. S. et al. Human adipose beiging in response to cold and mirabegron. JCI Insight. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.12151 0 (2018).
- Halpern, B. et al. Melatonin increases brown adipose tissue volume and activity in patients with melatonin deficiency: A proofof-concept study. Diabetes 68, 947–952. https://doi.org/10.2337/db18-0956 (2019).
- Saito, M. & Yoneshiro, T. Capsinoids and related food ingredients activating brown fat thermogenesis and reducing body fat in humans. Curr. Opin. Lipidol. 24, 71-77. https://doi.org/10.1097/MOL.0b013e32835a4f40 (2013).
- Thuzar, M. et al. Glucocorticoids suppress brown adipose tissue function in humans: A double-blind placebo-controlled study. Diabetes Obes. Metab. 20, 840–848. https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.13157 (2018).
- 22. Scotney, H. *et al.* Glucocorticoids modulate human brown adipose tissue thermogenesis in vivo. *Metab. Clin. Exp.* **70**, 125–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2017.01.024 (2017).
- Luijten, I. H. N., Cannon, B. & Nedergaard, J. Glucocorticoids and brown adipose tissue: Do glucocorticoids really inhibit thermogenesis?. *Mol. Aspects Med.* 68, 42–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2019.07.002 (2019).
- Broeders, E. P. et al. The bile acid chenodeoxycholic acid increases human brown adipose tissue activity. Cell Metab. 22, 418–426. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2015.07.002 (2015).
- Osuna-Prieto, F. J. et al. Activation of human brown adipose tissue by capsinoids, catechins, ephedrine, and other dietary components: A systematic review. Adv. Nutr. 10, 291–302. https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/nmy067 (2019).
- de-Lima-Junior, J. C. *et al.* Effect of pioglitazone treatment on brown adipose tissue volume and activity and hypothalamic gliosis in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A proof-of-concept study. *Acta Diabetol.* 56, 1333–1339. https://doi.org/10.1007/s0059 2-019-01418-2 (2019).
- de Ligt, M. *et al.* Resveratrol improves ex vivo mitochondrial function but does not affect insulin sensitivity or brown adipose tissue in first degree relatives of patients with type 2 diabetes. *Mol. Metab.* 12, 39–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2018.04.004 (2018).
- Santhanam, P., Ahima, R. S., Mammen, J. S., Giovanella, L. & Treglia, G. Brown adipose tissue (BAT) detection by (18)F-FDG PET and thyroid hormone level(s)-a systematic review. *Endocrine* 62, 496–500. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12020-018-1698-x (2018).
- 29. Li, Y. et al. Secretin-activated brown fat mediates prandial thermogenesis to induce satiation. Cell 175, 1561–1574. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.10.016 (2018).
- Brendle, C. *et al.* Impact of diverse chemotherapeutic agents and external factors on activation of brown adipose tissue in a large patient collective. Sci. Rep. 9, 1901. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-37924-6 (2019).
- Bos, S. A., Gill, C. M., Martinez-Salazar, E. L., Torriani, M. & Bredella, M. A. Preliminary investigation of brown adipose tissue assessed by PET/CT and cancer activity. Skelet. Radiol. 48, 413–419. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00256-018-3046-x (2019).

- Brendle, C. et al. Correlation of brown adipose tissue with other body fat compartments and patient characteristics: A retrospective analysis in a large patient cohort using PET/CT. Acad. Radiol. 25, 102–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acra.2017.09.007 (2018).
- Gilsanz, V. et al. The depiction of brown adipose tissue is related to disease status in pediatric patients with lymphoma. Am. J. Roentgenol. 198, 909-913. https://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.11.7488 (2012).
- Jorgov, L. *et al.* Paediatric and adolescent Hodgkin lymphoma: Information derived from diffuse organ uptake of 18 F-fluorodeoxyglucose on pre-treatment and on interim PET/CT. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging.* 43, 1220–1230. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00259-015-3280-6 (2016).
- Dong, M., Lin, J., Lim, W., Jin, W. & Lee, H. J. Role of brown adipose tissue in metabolic syndrome, aging, and cancer cachexia. Front. Med. 12, 130–138. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11684-017-0555-2 (2018).
- Chu, K., Bos, S. A., Gill, C. M., Torriani, M. & Bredella, M. A. Brown adipose tissue and cancer progression. Skelet. Radiol. 49, 635–639. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00256-019-03322-w (2020).
- Ginzac, A. et al. A decrease in brown adipose tissue activity is associated with weight gain during chemotherapy in early breast cancer patients. BMC Cancer 20, 96. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-020-6591-3 (2020).
- Barclay, J. L. et al. Effects of glucocorticoids on human brown adipocytes. J. Endocrinol. 224, 139–147. https://doi.org/10.1530/ JOE-14-0538 (2015).
- Al-Massadi, O. et al. Pharmacological and genetic manipulation of p53 in brown fat at adult but not embryonic stages regulates thermogenesis and body weight in male mice. Endocrinology 157, 2735–2749. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2016-1209 (2016).
- Swerdlow, S. H. et al. The 2016 revision of the World Health Organization classification of lymphoid neoplasms. Blood 127, 2375-2390. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2016-01-643569 (2016).

Acknowledgements

CB has been supported by a Grant from the TÜFF program of the Faculty of Medicine, Eberhard Karls University Tuebingen. The publication of this work was supported by the Open Access Publishing Fund of the University of Tuebingen.

Author contributions

C.B. conceptualized the work and developed the methodology. N.S., C.P., M.S. and C.F. verified the concept and methodology of the study. C.B. and E.G. performed the formal analysis and investigation. C.F. and C.P. provided the resources for this project. C.B. wrote the original draft of the manuscript. N.S., E.G., M.S., C.F. and C.P. reviewed the manuscript.

Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.B.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020