Pericardial tamponade during pembrolizumab treatment in a patient with advanced lung adenocarcinoma: A case report and review of the literature

Kazuaki Harada^{1,2}, Makoto Ogasawara³, Akane Shido², Akimitsu Meno², Soichiro Oda², Shota Yoshida², Sonoe Yoshida², Ayumu Yoshikawa², Ko Ebata², Satoshi Abiko², Naoki Kawagishi², Itsuki Sano², Hisashi Oda² & Takuto Miyagishima²

1 Department of Medical Oncology, Kushiro Rosai Hospital, Kushiro, Japan

2 Department of Internal Medicine, Kushiro Rosai Hospital, Kushiro, Japan

3 Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Kushiro Kojinkai Kinen Hospital, Kushiro, Japan

Keywords

Immune checkpoint inhibitor; pembrolizumab; pericardial effusion; pericardial tamponade.

Correspondence

Kazuaki Harada, Department of Medical Oncology, Kushiro Rosai Hospital, 13-23 Nakazonocho, Kushiro 085-8533, Japan. Tel: +81-154-22-7191 Fax: +81-154-25-7308 Email: haraharaccho0605@yahoo.co.jp

Received: 21 November 2019; Accepted: 27 February 2020.

doi: 10.1111/1759-7714.13399

Thoracic Cancer 11 (2020) 1350-1353

Abstract

Several studies have demonstrated increased pericardial effusion during anti-PD-1 immunotherapy, and treatment in patients who have developed pericardial tamponade is controversial. In this study, we describe a 63-year-old woman with stage IVA lung adenocarcinoma given pembrolizumab as a first-line therapy. After four cycles of pembrolizumab treatment, the patient suddenly developed a pericardial tamponade. Although pericardial effusion was increased, her tumor lesions were reduced. After an emergency pericardiocentesis, she continued the pembrolizumab therapy without recurrent pericardial effusions for three months until the primary tumor and lymph node metastasis progressed. Nine months after the pericardiocentesis, the patient died of progressive lung cancer, but pericardial effusion did not recur throughout the treatment course. This case study suggests that pembrolizumab therapy can be continued with a strict follow-up in some patients with pembrolizumab-induced pericardial tamponade.

Key points

• Significant findings of the study

Our patient developed pericardial tamponade during pembrolizumab treatment but continued pembrolizumab treatment after emergency pericardiocentesis without recurrent pericardial effusions.

• What this study adds

Pembrolizumab treatments may be resumed with a strict follow-up in some patients with treatment-related pericardial tamponade.

Introduction

Immune-checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) have become standard for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and other malignancies. Pembrolizumab is a monoclonal antibody against anti-programmed cell death-1 (PD-1) protein and has an antitumor activity in advanced NSCLC patients either alone or in combination with cytotoxic agents.¹⁻⁴

ICIs are associated with a unique set of immune-related adverse events (irAEs).⁵ It is also known that pseudoprogression,

which is indicated by transient increases in tumor sizes after ICI treatments, is followed by tumor regression.⁶

Several studies show temporary and sudden increases in pericardial effusion during anti-PD-1 immunotherapy⁷⁻¹⁴ probably due to pseudoprogression or irAEs, but the mechanisms remain unclear. Whether an anti-PD-1 immuno-therapy should be continued after pericardial effusion progression remains a controversial issue.

Here, we report the case of a lung adenocarcinoma patient who received pembrolizumab and developed a pericardial

1350 Thoracic Cancer **11** (2020) 1350–1353 © 2020 The Authors. *Thoracic Cancer* published by China Lung Oncology Group and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Figure 1 (a) A computed tomography (CT) scan of the chest showed a mass lesion in the right upper lobe. A right supraclavicular lymph node metastasis infiltrated into the right thyroid lobe before pembrolizumab treatment. Mild pericardial effusion was observed. (b) After three cycles of pembrolizumab therapy, CT scan showed a positive response in the primary lesion and lymph node metastasis. (c) A CT revealed a sudden increase in pericardial effusion and the tumor lesions were reduced after four cycles of pembrolizumab therapy.

tamponade. The pericardial effusion was temporary, and the tumor lesions shrunk. After pericardiocentesis, the patient continued treatment, and no recurrent pericardial effusion was observed for three months. At this point, however, the primary tumor and lymph node metastasis had progressed.

Case report

A 63-year-old woman who was a non-smoker presented with weight loss and right supraclavicular lymphadenopathy. Computed tomography (CT) revealed a 44 mm mass in the right upper lobe, with mediastinal lymphadenopathy of the bilateral supraclavicular and right hilar node and mild pericardial effusion. Biopsy of the right supraclavicular lymph nodes revealed adenocarcinoma. Whereas pathologic diagnosis was difficult because of low effusion, carcinomatous pericardial effusion could not be ruled out. Thus, she was diagnosed with cT2bN3M1a or stage IVA (TNM classification for lung cancer, eighth edition) lung adenocarcinoma. Molecular analyses revealed that the tumor was negative for epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutations and anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) gene rearrangements and that >90% of the tumor cells expressed programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1). Accordingly, first-line treatment with pembrolizumab was started

at the standard dose (200 mg/kg bodyweight, triweekly). After three cycles, CT revealed a positive response in the tumor lesions (Fig 1a,b).

After four cycles, the patient presented with acute dyspnea. Her blood pressure was 94/69 mmHg, pulse rate 104/min, and oxygen saturation 93% on 3 L/minute of oxygen delivered via a mask. CT revealed massive pericardial and bilateral pleural effusions, but antitumor effects were maintained (Fig 1c). Echocardiography showed a large echo-free space around the heart and collapse of the right ventricle, consistent with cardiac tamponade. We performed emergency pericardiocentesis and removed 900 mL of bloody effusion. Pericardial fluid analysis revealed the following: lactate dehydrogenase, 846 U/L; protein, 4.9 g/dL; glucose, 34 mg/dL; pH, 7.5; and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), 416.9 ng/mL. The cytological examinations revealed no malignant cells in the effusion liquid but showed numerous red blood cells, neutrophils, and very few lymphocytes.

We repeated pericardiocentesis after three days and removed 350 mL of fluid. The patient's general condition became stable. We decided to reinitiate pembrolizumab treatment. After three months, the primary tumor and lymph node metastasis had progressed, and we replaced the therapy with carboplatin plus pemetrexed. Nine

Table 1	Comparison (of case	details for	reports of	ⁱ progression of	pericardial	effusion followir	ng anti-PD-1	immunotherapy

	Pathological diagnosis	Agents	Administration cycles at presentation	Pre-existing pericardial effusion	Interventions
Nesfeder J et al. 7	Adenocarcinoma	Nivo§	9	Yes	Pericardiocentesis, Pericardial window
Kushnir & Wolf ⁸	SCC‡	Nivo§	5	Unknown	Pericardiocentesis, Prednisone
Shaheen <i>et al.</i> ⁹	Adenocarcinoma	Nivo§	1	Unknown	Prednisone
Vittorio <i>et al</i> . ¹⁰	Adenocarcinoma	Nivo§	3	Yes	Pericardiocentesis, Pericardial window
Kolla & Patel 11	SCLC†	Nivo§	weeks 9	Yes	Pericardiocentesis
	Adenocarcinoma	Nivo§	weeks 7	Yes	Pericardiocentesis, Prednisone
Yamasaki <i>et al</i> . ¹²	Adenocarcinoma	Nivo§	4	Yes	Pericardiocentesis
	Adenocarcinoma	Nivo§	2	Yes	Pericardiocentesis
Tachihara et al.13	Adenocarcinoma	Pemb¶	6	No	Pericardiocentesis
Asai et al. ¹⁴	Adenocarcinoma	Nivo§	1	Yes	Pericardiocentesis, Intrapericardial bleomycin

*Small-cell carcinoma. *Squamous cell carcinoma. *Nivolumab. *Pembrolizumab.

months after the second pericardiocentesis, the patient died of cancer progression without any increase in pericardial effusion.

Discussion

Table 1 lists the publications on pericardial effusion or pericardial tamponade following anti-PD-1 immunotherapy in lung cancer patients.⁷⁻¹⁴ Increasing pericardial effusion is often observed within three months of the start of anti-PD-1 immunotherapy. In most cases, at least mild pericardial effusion is present before treatment and close attention is needed prior to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy.

In some cases, cytological examinations reveal no malignant cells in effusion fluids.^{7,8} Shaheen *et al.* reported that pericardial effusion was effectively managed using corticosteroids,⁹ implying that their patients had irAEs. Other studies have indicated that some pericardial effusions in lung cancer patients with tamponade may be caused by pseudoprogression.¹⁰⁻¹⁴

In our patient, the etiology of pericardial effusion was unclear. It may have been a malignant effusion by cancer progression that was well controlled by the pericardiocentesis. However, long-term effectiveness of pericardiocentesis is debatable. The effusion recurrence rate after simple pericardiocentesis varies (23%–55%).^{15–17} Percutaneous pericardiocentesis without extended catheter drainage has been shown to have an overall low success rate with many patients requiring further intervention for recurrences.¹⁸ Further, increases in malignant pericardial effusion are generally caused by cancer progression. In our patient, CT revealed a discrepancy between the rapid appearance of pericardial effusion and the antitumor effects in other lesions. Therefore, the etiology of pericardial effusion may not be simply related to cancer progression.

Fluid cytology may help to determine the etiology of the pericardial effusion during ICI treatment. Previous reports

have considered a low lymphocyte count to indicate pseudoprogression.^{11,12} In contrast, pericardial effusion by immune-related serositis showed lymphocytic predominance, without evidence of malignancy.^{7,8} In our patient, the pericardial fluid contained few lymphocytes without adenocarcinoma cells; however, the bloody exudative effusion had high levels of CEA, strongly suggesting a carcinomatous nature. Thus, the effusion in our patient appeared to be due to pseudoprogression rather than irAE. Moreover, we administered pembrolizumab for three months without any increase in pericardial effusion. This also supports that the etiology of the effusion is not irAE. We also ruled out infection or collagen disease from the results of the effusion analysis and her clinical course.

Continued use of anti-PD-1 immunotherapy is controversial after patients have recovered from cardiac tamponade. Under deteriorating irAE conditions, continued therapy does not provide a spontaneous resolution. However, if pseudoprogression is suspected and confirmed, the therapy may be continued. Monitoring pericardial effusions and other lesions is important to determine whether the cause of the effusion is pseudoprogression or not. Based on the evidence, anti-PD-1 immunotherapy may be resumed under close observation if the antitumor effect at other sites is notable and the pericardial fluid is controllable.^{9,11-14}

In conclusion, we describe a unique case of pericardial tamponade due to pembrolizumab. We suggest that pericardial tamponade after anti-PD-1 immunotherapy may affect the prognosis of NSCLC patients, warranting further studies with more cases to inform appropriate management of these patients.

Acknowledgments

We thank Yoshimitsu Kobayashi for editing our manuscript.

Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1 Paz-Ares L, Luft A, Vicente D e a. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy for squamous non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2018; **379**: 2040–51.
- 2 Reck M, Rodriguez-Abreu D, Robinson AG e a. Pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy for PD-L1-positive non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2016; **375**: 1823–33.
- 3 Herbst RS, Baas P, Kim DW e a. Pembrolizumab versus docetaxel for previously treated, PD-L1-positive, advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-010): A randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2016; **387**: 1540–50.
- 4 Gandhi L, Rodriguez-Abreu D, Gadgeel S *et al.* Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy in metastatic non-smallcell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2018; **378**: 2078–92.
- 5 Kazandjian D, Suzman DL, Blumenthal G e a. FDA approval summary: Nivolumab for the treatment of metastatic non-small cell lung cancer with progression on or after platinum-based chemotherapy. *Oncologist* 2016; 21: 634–42.
- 6 Wolchok JD, Hoos A, O'Day S e a. Guidelines for the evaluation of immune therapy activity in solid tumors: Immune-related response criteria. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009; 15: 7412–20.
- 7 Nesfeder J, Elsensohn AN, Thind M, Lennon J, Domsky S. Pericardial effusion with tamponade physiology induced by nivolumab. *Int J Cardiol* 2016; 222: 613–4.
- 8 Kushnir I, Wolf I. Nivolumab-induced pericardial tamponade: A case report and discussion. *Cardiology* 2017; 136: 49–51.
- 9 Shaheen S, Mirshahidi H, Nagaraj G, Hsueh CT. Conservative management of nivolumab-induced pericardial

effusion: A case report and review of literature. *Exp Hematol Oncol* 2018; 7: 11.

- 10 Vittorio A, Sharma R, Siejka D, Bhattarai K, Hardikar A. Recurrent pericardial effusion while receiving nivolumab for metastatic lung adenocarcinoma: Case report and review of the literature. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2018; **19**: e717–e20.
- 11 Kolla BC, Patel MR. Recurrent pleural effusions and cardiac tamponade as possible manifestations of pseudoprogression associated with nivolumab therapy—A report of two cases. *J Immunother Cancer* 2016; **4**: 80.
- 12 Yamasaki M, Daido W, Saito N e a. Pericardial effusion with tamponade in lung cancer patients during treatment with nivolumab: A report of two cases. *Front Oncol* 2019; **9**: 4.
- 13 Tachihara M, Yamamoto M, Yumura M, Yoshizaki A, Kobayashi K, Nishimura Y. Non-parallel anti-tumour effects of pembrolizumab: A case of cardial tamponade. *Respirol Case Rep* 2019; 7: e00404.
- 14 Asai M, Kato Y, Kawai S e a. Management of cardiac tamponade during nivolumab of lung cancer with intrapericardial bleomycin: Case report. *Immunotherapy* 2019; 11: 467–72.
- 15 El Haddad D, Iliescu C, Yusuf SW e a. Outcomes of cancer patients undergoing percutaneous pericardiocentesis for pericardial effusion. J Am Coll Cardiol 2015; 66: 1119–28.
- 16 Apodaca-Cruz A, Villarreal-Garza C, Torres-Avila B e a. Effectiveness and prognosis of initial pericardiocentesis in the primary management of malignant pericardial effusion. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2010; 11: 154–61.
- 17 Lindenberger M, Kjellberg M, Karlsson E, Wranne B. Pericardiocentesis guided by 2-D echocardiography: The method of choice for treatment of pericardial effusion. *J Intern Med* 2003; **253**: 411–7.
- 18 Jama GM, Scarci M, Bowden J, Marciniak SJ. Palliative treatment for symptomatic malignant pericardial effusiondagger. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2014; 19: 1019–26.