



# Article Correlation between Forced Vital Capacity and the Severity of Frailty-Induced Dysphagia

Byung Joo Lee <sup>1,†</sup>, Sang Cheol Lee <sup>2,†</sup>, Ho Yong Choi <sup>3</sup>, Min Cheol Chang <sup>4,\*</sup> and Donghwi Park <sup>2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Daegu Fatima Hospital, Daegu 41199, Korea; bjl84@naver.com
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Ulsan University Hospital,
- University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Ulsan 44033, Korea; 0734587@uuh.ulsan.kr
  <sup>3</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, Kyung Hee University Hospital at Gangdong,
- Kyung Hee University College of Medicine, Seoul 05278, Korea; heoryong83@hanmail.net
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Yeungnam University Hospital, Daegu 42415, Korea
- \* Correspondence: wheel633@ynu.ac.kr (M.C.C.); bdome@hanmail.net (D.P.); Tel.: +82-52-250-7222 (D.P.);

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Introduction: Frailty syndrome is a complex condition characterized by the gradual deterioration of an individual's physical, mental, and social functions. Dysphagia is a dysfunction triggered by frailty. However, in patients with frailty syndrome, dysphagia is often undermined, and a proper evaluation is not performed. Therefore, we tried to identify the factors that can provide proper information regarding dysphagia in the frail population. Methods: Patients with dysphagia were divided into those with frailty-induced dysphagia and those with brain-lesioninduced dysphagia. Factors related to the participants' pulmonary function test (PFT) results were evaluated. The severity of dysphagia was evaluated by determining modified videofluoroscopic dysphagia scale (mVDS) and penetration-aspiration scale (PAS) scores based on videofluoroscopic swallowing studies. Statistical analysis was performed to determine the correlation between PFT results and the parameters indicating dysphagia severity. Results: Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that forced vital capacity (FVC) was significantly correlated with mVDS scores in frailty-induced dysphagia (p < 0.05). However, no such significance was detected in brain-lesioninduced dysphagia ( $p \ge 0.05$ ). Conclusion: FVC was correlated with the severity of dysphagia (mVDS scores) in patients with frailty-induced dysphagia. Thus, serial FVC-based follow-up can be helpful for understanding patients' dysphagia status. However, studies with a general population of patients with frailty-induced dysphagia are needed for definite generalization.

Keywords: deglutition; dysphagia; pulmonary function test; modified version of VDS; VDS; mVDS

# 1. Introduction

Frailty syndrome is a complex condition characterized by the gradual deterioration of an individual's physical, mental, and social functions [1,2]. It is triggered by an aging-related decline in multiple physiological systems [3]. Thus, its prevalence increases with age, and physiological dysfunction due to frailty syndrome often results in disability, hospital admission, and death.

Frailty syndrome is also associated with deterioration of swallowing function. The exact prevalence of dysphagia in frailty syndrome has not been investigated previously. Nevertheless, many studies have reported that frailty is one of the main causes of dysphagia [4–6]. However, many clinicians lack knowledge of the relationship between frailty and dysphagia. Therefore, even if dysphagia is present in frail patients, it is often neglected by clinicians, which can increase the prevalence of aspiration pneumonia in frail populations. A videofluoroscopic swallowing study (VFSS) is the gold standard for diagnosing dysphagia [7,8]. However, the lack of facilities for VFSS makes it difficult to perform routine



Citation: Lee, B.J.; Lee, S.C.; Choi, H.Y.; Chang, M.C.; Park, D. Correlation between Forced Vital Capacity and the Severity of Frailty-Induced Dysphagia. *J. Clin. Med.* 2022, *11*, 1962. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/jcm11071962

Academic Editor: Renee Speyer

Received: 2 March 2022 Accepted: 29 March 2022 Published: 1 April 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

Fax: +82-52-250-7228 (D.P.)

VFSS in patients with frailty-induced dysphagia. In addition, many clinicians who are not dysphagia-related specialists are unable to perform VFSS and interpret the results of these studies. Furthermore, clinicians can be exposed to large doses of radiation, and contrast-induced aspiration is an adverse effect of VFSS [9]. Therefore, clinical parameters that reflect the degree of dysphagia can be helpful to determine whether clinicians should conduct VFSS or refer patients to an expert.

Precise coordination between breathing and swallowing is an important mechanism for preventing pulmonary aspiration [10,11]. Moreover, factors that alter breathing patterns and ventilation, such as chronic respiratory diseases, can influence the precise coordination of breathing and swallowing [12]. Therefore, we hypothesized that several clinical parameters related to breathing are correlated with swallowing function. Moreover, evaluation of clinical parameters related to breathing may be helpful for the early detection and prognostication of dysphagia. Therefore, in this study, we aimed to identify the correlations between respiratory-related parameters (i.e., the results of pulmonary function tests [PFTs]) and the severity of dysphagia in the frail population.

## 2. Materials and Methods

# 2.1. Participants

Data on patients with frailty-induced and brain-lesion-induced dysphagia who underwent VFSS at Ulsan University Hospital between March 2020 and February 2022 were retrospectively collected.

The inclusion criteria for the frailty-induced dysphagia group were as follows: (1) age at VFSS > 50 years; (2) a history of aspiration symptoms, such as coughing or choking; (3) the presence of symptoms clinically indicative of dysphagia, such as reduced gag reflex or delayed swallowing reflex; and (4) the presence of oropharyngeal dysphagia due to frailty or deconditioning without a specific diagnosis that could cause dysphagia, such as stroke, traumatic brain injury, or other laryngeal pathologies [5]. The exclusion criteria for the frailty-induced dysphagia were as follows: (1) esophageal dysphagia; (2) dysphagia due to known neurologic conditions including stroke, traumatic brain injury, brain tumor, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, or Alzheimer's disease; (3) dysphagia from laryngeal pathology, including laryngeal cancer, stenosis, paralysis, and postoperative head and neck surgery [5].

The inclusion criteria for patients with brain-lesion-induced dysphagia were as follows: (1) age at VFSS > 50 years; (2) a history of aspiration symptoms, such as coughing or choking; (3) the presence of symptoms clinically indicative of dysphagia, such as reduced gag reflex or delayed swallowing reflex, occurs newly after brain lesions; and (4) the presence of brain lesions on brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or computed tomography (CT) that could cause dysphagia, such as stroke, traumatic brain injury, or brain cancer.

Data from the frailty-induced dysphagia group and patients with brain-lesion-induced dysphagia were analyzed. Data on patient age, sex, body mass index (BMI), history of procedures, such as tracheostomy tube, history of using alternative feeding methods, such as a nasogastric tube, forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1), forced expiratory volume in one second/forced vital capacity (FEV1/FVC), a modified version of videofluoroscopic dysphagia scale (mVDS) score, and penetration–aspiration scale (PAS) score were collected [13].

#### 2.2. Protocol for VFSS

VFSS was performed using a fluoroscopy device and recorded in a video file. During the VFSS, the patient swallowed the following substances in order: water, nectar (51–350 cP), rice porridge (351–1750 cP), and boiled rice (>1750 cP) [14–16]. The patient swallowed the substances in a sitting position, and all substances were mixed with barium. Dynamic fluoroscopy images were recorded at a rate of 30 frames per second and were obtained from the lateral views. The results were analyzed in terms of PAS and mVDS scores; aspiration was considered present when the PAS score was >5. The mVDS is a scale of 100 points, which is scored by a physiatrist based on several parameters in the VFSS video [14–16]. The parameters of the mVDS are listed in Table 1. Previous studies have demonstrated the inter-and intra-reliability of the Mvds [14–16].

Table 1. Modified version of the videofluoroscopic dysphagia scale.

Parameters	Score		
Lip closure	Intact/not intact	0/6	
Mastication	Possible/not possible	0/11.5	
Oral transit time	$\leq 1.5 \text{ s/>1.5 s}$	0/4	
Triggering pharyngeal swallow (swallowing reflex)	Intact/delayed	0/7	
Epiglottis inversion	Yes/no	0/13	
Valleculae residue	0%/<10%/≥10%,<50%/≥50%	0/3/6/9	
Pyriformis residue	0%/<10%/≥10%,<50%/≥50%	0/6.5/13/19.5	
Pharyngeal wall coating	No/yes	0/13	
Aspiration	Intact/penetration/aspiration	0/8.5/17	
Total score		100	

The images obtained in VFSS were reviewed by two physicians with more than 10 years of experience in interpreting these results. Patient information, including sex, age, and cause of dysphagia, was not provided to the interpreters. The interpreters analyzed the video files to interpret the VFSS results and, accordingly, measured PAS and mVDS scores as parameters of dysphagia severity.

#### 2.3. Pulmonary Function Test

Spirometry was conducted according to American Thoracic Society guidelines (Vmax 22, SensorMedics; PFDX, MedGraphics) [17,18]. The following values were evaluated: FVC, forced FEV1, the ratio of FEV1 to FVC (FEV1/FVC). All spirometric values were expressed as percentages of predicted values (percentage of predicted) [17,18].

#### 2.4. Sample Size Calculation

The correlation coefficient between FVC value (%, predicted) and mVDS from the 60 of frailty-induced dysphagia group used for sample size calculation, with a standard deviation (SD) of 0.2, significance level of 0.05, and power of 80%.

#### 2.5. Statistical Analysis

For comparisons of the patient characteristics between the two dysphagia groups (age, sex, BMI, the prevalence of Levin tube and tracheostomy, pulmonary function test, mVDS, and PAS), *p*-values were also calculated by an independent Pearson Chi-square test or an independent *t*-test as appropriate.

The correlations between the mVDS score and pulmonary function test (FEV1, FVC, and FEV1/FVC) results were verified in the patients with frailty-induced dysphagia and those with brain-lesion-induced dysphagia. For this, multivariate regression analysis using the enter method was used to evaluate the correlation between mVDS score and FVC, mVDS score and FEV1/FVC, PAS score and FVC, and PAS score and FEV1/FVC in each group. FEV1 was excluded from both multivariate regression analyses due to multicollinearity with FVC (VIF = 11.971). Statistical analyses were performed using MedCalc and SPSS software (version 22.0; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

#### 3. Results

# 3.1. Patient Characteristics

Based on the sample size calculation, the required sample size for this study was at least 51 participants in each group. Therefore, a total of 114 patients with dysphagia (91 men, 23 women) participated in this study. Among them, 60 patients were classified into the frailty-induced dysphagia group, and the remaining 54 patients were classified into

the brain-lesion-induced dysphagia group. Between the two groups, there were statistically significant differences were observed in the results of BMI and the prevalence of Levin-tube (p < 0.05) (Table 2). However, other characteristics, such as age, sex, and prevalence of tracheostomy, there was no statistically significant difference observed between the two groups ( $p \ge 0.05$ ) (Table 2). In the PFTs, there were no statistically significant differences were observed in the results of PFTs between the two groups ( $p \ge 0.05$ ) (Table 2).

Variable	Total	<b>Brain Lesions</b>	Frailty	<i>p</i> -Value	
Total, <i>n</i> (%)	114 (100%)	54 (47%)	60 (53%)		
Age, years	$71.20\pm10.67$	$73.17\pm8.69$	$69.38 \pm 11.96$	0.090	
Gender, <i>n</i> (%)					
Female	23/114 (20%)	7/54 (13%)	16/60 (26.7%)	0.0(0	
Male	91/114 (80%)	47/54 (87%)	44/60 (73.3%)	0.069	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$19.58\pm3.99$	$18.80\pm3.58$	$20.28 \pm 4.23$	0.049 *	
T-tube	24/114 (21%)	12/54 (22.2%)	12/60 (20.0%)	0.771	
L-tube	52/114 (46%)	32/54 (59.3%)	20/60 (33.3%)	0.006 *	
Pulmonary function test					
FVC (% predicted)	$50.75\pm24.83$	$51.63 \pm 22.91$	$50.20 \pm 24.50$	0.913	
FEV1 (% predicted)	$54.33 \pm 22.41$	$51.45\pm23.44$	$56.73 \pm 21.47$	0.310	
FEV1/FVC ratio	$\textbf{79.75} \pm \textbf{14.20}$	$81.33 \pm 14.65$	$77.28 \pm 16.14$	0.259	
VFSS					
mVDS score	$42.04\pm22.14$	$43.71\pm21.63$	$40.54\pm22.67$	0.396	
PAS	$4.52\pm3.19$	$5.31 \pm 3.25$	$3.80\pm2.97$	0.032 *	

Table 2. Clinical characteristics of the patients with dysphagia who were included in this study.

\* Significant difference was noted between the two groups (p < 0.05). Values are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. T-tube, tracheostomy tube; L-tube, Levin tube; BMI, body mass index; FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in one second; FEV1/FVC, forced expiratory volume in one second/forced vital capacity; VFSS, videofluoroscopic swallowing study; mVDS, modified videofluoroscopic dysphagia scale; PAS, penetration–aspiration scale.

In the VFSS test, although the PAS scores differed significantly between the two groups, the mVDS scores did not differ significantly between the two groups (Table 2). Patient statistical data are presented in Table 2.

The classification of patients with brain-lesion-induced dysphagia is presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Classification of patients with brain-lesion-induced dysphagia.

	No. of Patients				
Total, <i>n</i> (%)	54 (100%)				
Cerebral infarction	35 (64.81%)				
Cerebral hemorrhage	1 (1.85%)				
Traumatic brain injury	6 (11.11%)				
Meningitis	3 (5.56%)				
Brain tumor	9 (16.67%)				

## 3.2. Patients with Brain-Lesion-Induced Dysphagia

Multivariate regression analysis showed no significant correlation of mVDS scores with FVC and FEV1/FVC in the brain-lesion-induced dysphagia group (p > 0.05) (Table 4). In addition, there was no significant correlation between PAS scores and FVC and FEV1/FVC in the brain-lesion-induced dysphagia group ( $p \ge 0.05$ ) (Table 4).

**Table 4.** Multivariate regression analysis (with the enter method) of the association between the penetration–aspiration scale (PAS) scores and PFT (FVC and FEV1/FVC), between the modified version of the videofluoroscopic dysphagia scale (mVDS) scores and PFT (FVC and FEV1/FVC) in the patients with brain-lesion-induced dysphagia.

			Unstandardized Standardize Coefficients Coefficients			95		% CI	Collinearity Statistics	
	Parameter	В	Standard Error	Beta	t	Parameter	В	Standard Error	Tolerance	VIF
FEV1/FVC	PAS	-0.008	0.035	-0.035	-0.214	PAS	-0.008	0.035	0.991	1.009
FVC	PAS	-0.016	0.022	-0.119	-0.718	PAS	-0.016	0.022	0.991	1.009
FEV1/FVC	mVDS	0.231	0.235	0.162	0.985	mVDS	0.231	0.235	0.991	1.009
FVC	mVDS	0.049	0.150	0.053	0.323	mVDS	0.049	0.150	0.991	1.009

CI: Confidence interval. PFT, pulmonary function test; FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV1/FVC, forced expiratory volume in one second/forced vital capacity.

## 3.3. Patients with Frailty-Induced Dysphagia

Multivariate regression analysis showed that the mVDS score was only significantly correlated with FVC in the frailty-induced dysphagia group (p < 0.05) (Table 5). The value of FVC and the severity of dysphagia (mVDS) were inversely proportional. However, the mVDS score was not significantly correlated with FEV1/FVC (Table 5). Multivariate regression analysis showed that the PAS score was not significantly correlated with FVC and FEV1/FVC in the frailty-induced dysphagia group ( $p \ge 0.05$ ) (Table 5).

**Table 5.** Multivariate regression analysis (with the enter method) of the association between the penetration–aspiration scale (PAS) scores and PFT (FVC and FEV1/FVC) and between the modified version of the videofluoroscopic dysphagia scale (mVDS) scores and PFT (FVC and FEV1/FVC) in the patients with frailty-induced dysphagia.

					Standardized Coefficients		95% CI		Collinearity Statistics	
	Parameter	В	Standard Error	Beta	t	<i>p</i> -Value	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Tolerance	VIF
FEV1/FVC	PAS	0.043	0.033	0.227	1.288	0.206	-5.014	0.110	1.000	1.000
FVC	PAS	-0.018	0.022	-0.142	-0.807	0.425	-0.062	0.027	1.000	1.000
FEV1/FVC	mVDS	-0.293	0.226	-0.218	-1.297	0.203	-0.751	0.165	1.000	1.000
FVC	mVDS	-0.425	0.149	-0.480	-2.852	0.007 *	-0.726	-0.123	1.000	1.000

CI: Confidence interval. \* Significant difference was noted between the two groups (p < 0.05). PFT, pulmonary function test; FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV1/FVC, forced expiratory volume in one second/forced vital capacity.

# 4. Discussion

In the results of this study, FVC was significantly correlated with mVDS scores, which indicate the severity of dysphagia in the frailty-induced dysphagia group. However, this significance was not detected in the patients with brain-lesion-induced dysphagia.

In this study, we compared the pulmonary function test with the severity of dysphagia because we hypothesized that several clinical parameters related to breathing are correlated with swallowing function. Moreover, evaluation of clinical parameters related to breathing may be helpful for the early detection and prognostication of dysphagia in the frailty-induced dysphagia group. We also included patients with brain-lesion-induced dysphagia as controls, as central nervous system (CNS) damage such as brain lesions is one of the most common causes of dysphagia [19]. Since the pulmonary function test using spirometry is widely used in research as well as clinical trials, it is a useful indicator regardless of location as a portable device for measurement. In this study, spirometric parameters such as FEV1, FVC, and FEV1/FVC were used [20].

Previous studies have reported that FVC is correlated with inspiratory muscle power [21,22]. Similar to the limb muscles, the inspiratory and swallowing muscles can also be affected by frailty, and because of the proximity of the anatomical locations of these inspiratory and

swallowing muscles, dysphagia severity and FVC are thought to be correlated in patients with frailty-induced dysphagia in this study [6].

These results can be explained by several reasons, which are presented below. First, precise coordination between breathing and swallowing is important for preventing pulmonary aspiration [23]. Although the exact neural processes by which these behaviors (breathing and swallowing) are coordinated are not well understood, it is hypothesized that swallowing and breathing are coordinated to occur at specific times relative to one another to minimize the risk of aspiration by a common control system present in the brain stem [24]. Therefore, PFT parameters, especially FVC, which can represent breathing and ventilation to some extent, may have been associated with the severity of dysphagia. Second, muscle weakness due to frailty is not limited to one muscle; all muscles, including the limb, respiratory, and swallowing muscles, can be affected. Therefore, FVC, which is known to correlate with respiratory muscle power, may have a significant correlation with the severity of dysphagia, which is proportional to the weakening of swallowing muscle power. However, in the brain-lesion-induced dysphagia group, other factors, such as neurologic deterioration, could have additional effects on the outcomes and could therefore have hindered the relationship between FVC and the severity of dysphagia.

To our knowledge, no previous study has investigated the correlation between mVDS scores and FVC in patients with frailty-induced dysphagia. In patients with frailty-induced dysphagia, dysphagia is often neglected, and VFSS cannot be performed in many cases, although VFSS remains the gold standard for the diagnosis of dysphagia. The results of this study suggest that FVC can reflect the severity of dysphagia, especially in patients with frailty. Clinicians should suspect the presence of dysphagia in frail patients with a low FVC, and dysphagia evaluations such as VFSS should be recommended in such patients. Moreover, since FVC measurements can be easily obtained with a portable device, serial measurement of FVC in patients with frailty could be helpful in evaluating the progression of dysphagia severity without the risk of contrast-induced aspiration pneumonia and radiation exposure. However, further studies with a larger number of patients with frailty-induced dysphagia are needed for a more definite generalization.

Our study had several limitations. First, it was a retrospective study. Therefore, data for factors that could have yielded more detailed results, such as clinical characteristics of dysphagia from a valid questionnaire, maximum inspiratory pressure (MIP), or maximum expiratory pressure (MEP), were not collectable. Since MIP and MEP are more strongly correlated with respiratory muscle power than FVC, future studies using MIP and MEP may show interesting results for the association between dysphagia severity and these indicators. Second, the number of participants in this study was relatively small. Further studies with larger sample sizes are needed for more accurate generalization. Third, we could not clarify whether chronic lung disease was included in this study. This is because, due to the characteristics of the retrospective study, not all patients were diagnosed whether all patients had chronic lung disease by respiratory specialists. Therefore, some patients with chronic lung disease may have been enrolled in both the frailty-induced and brainlesion-induced dysphagia groups. However, there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in FEV1/FVC and FVC, which are important diagnostic criteria for chronic lung disease. Therefore, the inclusion of some chronic lung disease patients in both groups is not expected to significantly affect the results of this study. However, further research is needed in the future. Lastly, this study was conducted at a single tertiary hospital, and future multicenter studies can address the potential bias in the findings reported in this study.

## 5. Conclusions

FVC was correlated with mVDS scores in patients with frailty-induced dysphagia. Routine pulmonary function testing, especially FVC evaluations, can be helpful in understanding the status of dysphagia. However, studies with a general population of patients with frailty-induced dysphagia are needed for evaluating the generalizability of the findings.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.P.; formal analysis, S.C.L. and D.P.; writing—original draft preparation, B.J.L., S.C.L. and D.P.; writing—review and editing, M.C.C., H.Y.C. and D.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The present study was supported by a National Research Foundation of Korea grant funded by the Korean government (grant no. NRF-2019M3E5D1A02068106).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board (or Ethics Committee) of Ulsan University Hospital (protocol code 2021-01-028-001 and date of approval 29 November 2021).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was waived because of the retrospective nature of the study and the analysis used anonymous clinical data.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors report no conflict of interest or financial support.

## References

- 1. Chen, X.; Mao, G.; Leng, S.X. Frailty syndrome: An overview. *Clin. Interv. Aging* **2014**, *9*, 433–441. [CrossRef]
- 2. Xue, Q.L. The frailty syndrome: Definition and natural history. *Clin. Geriatr. Med.* 2011, 27, 1–15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Clegg, A.; Young, J.; Iliffe, S.; Rikkert, M.O.; Rockwood, K. Frailty in elderly people. Lancet 2013, 381, 752–762. [CrossRef]
- 4. Bahat, G.; Yilmaz, O.; Durmazoglu, S.; Kilic, C.; Tascioglu, C.; Karan, M.A. Association between Dysphagia and Frailty in Community Dwelling Older Adults. *J. Nutr. Health Aging* **2019**, *23*, 571–577. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chang, M.C.; Kwak, S. Videofluoroscopic Swallowing Study Findings Associated With Subsequent Pneumonia in Patients With Dysphagia Due to Frailty. *Front. Med.* 2021, *8*, 690968. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Wakabayashi, H. Presbyphagia and Sarcopenic Dysphagia: Association between Aging, Sarcopenia, and Deglutition Disorders. *J. Frailty Aging* **2014**, *3*, 97–103. [CrossRef]
- O'Donoghue, S.; Bagnall, A. Videofluoroscopic evaluation in the assessment of swallowing disorders in paediatric and adult populations. *Folia Phoniatr. Logop.* 1999, *51*, 158–171. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Park, D.; Oh, Y.; Ryu, J.S. Findings of Abnormal Videofluoroscopic Swallowing Study Identified by High-Resolution Manometry Parameters. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 2016, 97, 421–428. [CrossRef]
- Ingleby, H.R.; Bonilha, H.S.; Steele, C.M. A Tutorial on Diagnostic Benefit and Radiation Risk in Videofluoroscopic Swallowing Studies. *Dysphagia* 2021, 1–26. [CrossRef]
- Oku, Y.; Yagi, N.; Nishino, M.; Shinkawa, T.; Takata, Y.; Nagami, S.; Okada, M. Swallowing Dynamics and Breathing-Swallowing Coordination Are Altered in Patients with Mild Cognitive Impairment. *Dement. Geriatr. Cogn. Disord.* 2021, 50, 554–558. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Ouahchi, Y.; Ben Salah, N.; Mjid, M.; Hedhli, A.; Abdelhedi, N.; Beji, M.; Toujani, S.; Verin, E. Breathing pattern during sequential swallowing in healthy adult humans. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **2019**, *126*, 487–493. [CrossRef]
- 12. Ghannouchi, I.; Speyer, R.; Doma, K.; Cordier, R.; Verin, E. Swallowing function and chronic respiratory diseases: Systematic review. *Respir. Med.* 2016, 117, 54–64. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Borders, J.C.; Brates, D. Use of the Penetration-Aspiration Scale in Dysphagia Research: A Systematic Review. *Dysphagia* 2020, *35*, 583–597. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Lee, B.J.; Eo, H.; Park, D. Usefulness of the Modified Videofluoroscopic Dysphagia Scale in Evaluating Swallowing Function among Patients with Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis and Dysphagia. *J. Clin. Med.* **2021**, *10*, 4300. [CrossRef]
- 15. Chang, M.C.; Lee, C.; Park, D. Validation and Inter-rater Reliability of the Modified Videofluoroscopic Dysphagia Scale (mVDS) in Dysphagic Patients with Multiple Etiologies. *J. Clin. Med.* **2021**, *10*, 2990. [CrossRef]
- 16. Lee, B.J.; Eo, H.; Lee, C.; Park, D. Usefulness of the Modified Videofluoroscopic Dysphagia Scale in Choosing the Feeding Method for Stroke Patients with Dysphagia. *Healthcare* **2021**, *9*, 632. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Martinez, F.J.; Brochard, L.; Bush, A.; Donaldson, G.; Han, M.K. Advancing Global Respiratory Health, Sleep, and Critical Care: Editorial from the New American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine Team. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **2022**, 205, i–ii. [CrossRef]
- Standardization of Spirometry, 1994 Update. American Thoracic Society. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 1995, 152, 1107–1136. [CrossRef]
- 19. Malandraki, G.; Robbins, J. Dysphagia. Handb. Clin. Neurol. 2013, 110, 255–271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Hoesterey, D.; Das, N.; Janssens, W.; Buhr, R.G.; Martinez, F.J.; Cooper, C.B.; Tashkin, D.P.; Barjaktarevic, I. Spirometric indices of early airflow impairment in individuals at risk of developing COPD: Spirometry beyond FEV1/FVC. *Respir. Med.* 2019, 156, 58–68. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. Enright, S.J.; Unnithan, V.B. Effect of inspiratory muscle training intensities on pulmonary function and work capacity in people who are healthy: A randomized controlled trial. *Phys. Ther.* **2011**, *91*, 894–905. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Arnold, R.J.; Bausek, N. Effect of respiratory muscle training on dysphagia in stroke patients—A retrospective pilot study. *Laryngoscope Investig. Otolaryngol.* 2020, *5*, 1050–1055. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Kijima, M.; Isono, S.; Nishino, T. Coordination of swallowing and phases of respiration during added respiratory loads in awake subjects. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **1999**, *159*, 1898–1902. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Bolser, D.C.; Gestreau, C.; Morris, K.F.; Davenport, P.W.; Pitts, T.E. Central neural circuits for coordination of swallowing, breathing, and coughing: Predictions from computational modeling and simulation. *Otolaryngol. Clin. N. Am.* **2013**, *46*, 957–964. [CrossRef] [PubMed]