How Surrogate and Chemical Genetics in Model Organisms Can Suggest Therapies for Human Genetic Diseases

Katherine A. Strynatka,*.¹ Michelle C. Gurrola-Gal,^{1,1} Jason N. Berman,^{+,2} and Christopher R. McMaster^{+,2} *Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, and [†]Department of Pharmacology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada B3H 4R2, [†]Department of Pediatrics, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada B3K 6R8 ORCID IDs: 0000-0002-4053-6067 (J.N.B.); 0000-0003-0822-5776 (C.R.M.)

ABSTRACT Genetic diseases are both inherited and acquired. Many genetic diseases fall under the paradigm of orphan diseases, a disease found in < 1 in 2000 persons. With rapid and cost-effective genome sequencing becoming the norm, many causal mutations for genetic diseases are being rapidly determined. In this regard, model organisms are playing an important role in validating if specific mutations identified in patients drive the observed phenotype. An emerging challenge for model organism researchers is the application of genetic and chemical genetic platforms to discover drug targets and drugs/drug-like molecules for potential treatment options for patients with genetic disease. This review provides an overview of how model organisms have contributed to our understanding of genetic disease, with a focus on the roles of yeast and zebrafish in gene discovery and the identification of compounds that could potentially treat human genetic diseases.

KEYWORDS yeast; zebrafish; model organism; genetic disease; orphan disease; cancer; chemical genetics; drug discovery

GENETIC diseases can be both inherited and acquired, with cancer serving as a paradigm for an acquired genetic disease. Most inherited diseases fall under the umbrella of orphan diseases. Orphan diseases received this designation as they were traditionally "orphaned" by the academic and pharmaceutical sectors, resulting in a paucity of research into causes and treatments for these disorders (Dodge *et al.* 2011). In most jurisdictions, an orphan disease is defined as a disease that affects < 1 in 2000 persons; in the USA, an orphan disease is defined as affecting < 200,000 persons within the total USA population (Boycott *et al.* 2014).

It is predicted that there are \sim 7000 highly penetrant single-gene inherited disorders, with mutations in > 4000 causal genes identified to date (Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man). Although individually rare, 1 in 15 babies born worldwide has an inherited orphan disease; 75% of such diseases affect children and 30% of these children will not reach their fifth birthday (Dodge *et al.* 2011; Boycott *et al.* 2014) (https://www.raredisorders.ca/about-cord/; https://www. eurordis.org/content/what-rare-disease). The dramatic increase in the rate of human disease gene discovery will undoubtedly increase the speed and decrease the cost of diagnosing genetic disorders, especially those that are syndromic and have a high degree of clinical heterogeneity (Bamshad *et al.* 2011; Moreau and Tranchevent 2012; Boycott *et al.* 2013; Lek *et al.* 2016). This will be especially valuable to patients that currently undergo the so-called 5–7 year "diagnostic odyssey" of sometimes expensive and invasive testing before a diagnosis is made (Boycott *et al.* 2014).

The genetic underpinnings of common adult cancers such as lung, breast, prostate, and colon, which affect large numbers of individuals, are now being subgrouped into smaller molecularly-defined cohorts, with some of these subgroups now also being categorized as orphan. Pediatric cancers, which as a group represent an orphan disease, have frequently led the way in revealing molecular markers resulting in the subclassification of various tumors (Cooper *et al.* 2017). Classic examples include *N-myc* amplification indicating poor-risk neuroblastoma (Seeger *et al.* 1985; Bosse and Maris 2016) or

Copyright © 2018 by the Genetics Society of America

doi: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.117.300124

Manuscript received August 9, 2017; accepted for publication December 26, 2017. Available freely online through the author-supported open access option.

¹These authors contributed equally to this work.

²Corresponding authors: Department of Pediatrics, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada. E-mail: jason.berman@iwk.nshealth.ca; and Department of Pharmacology, 5850 College St., Room 6B2, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS B3H 4H2, Canada. E-mail: christopher.mcmaster@dal.ca

the PAX-forkhead gene fusions that have become pathognomonic of alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma (Galili et al. 1993; Davis et al. 1994; Hettmer and Wagers 2010). More recently, recognized lesions have influenced treatment strategies, such as the poorly prognostic 1p and 16q deletions in Wilms' tumor that can be mitigated with more intensive therapy (Grundy et al. 1994; Chagtai et al. 2016), and pioneering studies whereby the addition of a tyrosine kinase inhibitor targeting the BCR-Abelson1 fusion can greatly improve outcome in Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia (Druker et al. 2001; Jeha et al. 2014; Desogus et al. 2015). Recent sequencing efforts, such as the TARGET (Therapeutically Applicable Research to Generate Effective Treatments) initiative in the USA (https://ocg. cancer.gov/programs/target) and the soon to be initiated PROFYLE (PRecision Oncology For Young peopLE) project in Canada, have and will reveal detailed exome, genome, methylome, proteome, and metabolome profiles of a variety of childhood cancers, providing new information regarding disease biology and potentially targetable malignant driver lesions.

Genetic forms of human disease have mainly focused on identifying causal mutation(s) in afflicted individuals. These diagnostic efforts are important and ongoing, with model organisms having an instrumental role in validating genotype with phenotype for genetic diseases (Lehner 2013; Foley 2015). However, most genetic diseases still have no effective treatment (Dodge *et al.* 2011; Boycott *et al.* 2014). We propose that a next grand challenge for model organism researchers will be to apply genetic and chemical genetic approaches to determine novel human disease-specific genotype–phenotype correlations, with the aim of identifying novel drug targets and drugs/drug-like molecules as a starting point toward increasing treatment options for patients suffering from genetic diseases.

Model Organism Contributions to Our Overall Understanding of Genetic Diseases

Genotype-phenotype connections may be clear if the gene defect has a well-characterized function, or genes within the same pathway are known to cause the same, or a similar, disease. In cases where the genotype-phenotype connection is unknown, model organisms have served a critical role in determining if identified mutations can affect the function of the encoded protein at the subcellular, cellular, and organismal level (Lehner 2013). Linking human geneticists with model organism researchers has been integral to the rapid increase in the rate of inherited disease-causing gene discovery. A formalized matchmaking process, the Canada-led Rare Disease Models and Mechanisms Network (http://www.rarediseases-catalyst-network.ca/), pairs clinicians seeking to validate novel genetic findings that may be causal for an inherited disease with model organism scientists with expertise in their gene/pathway of interest (Foley 2015). As examples, this process provided a Drosophila model that enabled

causality to be determined for *TMTC3* for an inherited periventricular nodular heterotopia with intellectual disability and epilepsy (Farhan *et al.* 2017), as well as zebrafish models that aided in determining that mutations in *NANS* cause an inherited infantile-onset severe developmental delay and skeletal dysplasia (van Karnebeek *et al.* 2017), and that *CEP55* mutations cause a novel multiple congenital anomaly syndrome that includes hydranencephaly (multinucleated neurons, anhydramnios, renal dysplasia, cerebellar hypoplasia, and hydranencephaly) termed MARCH (Frosk *et al.* 2017). An ultimate goal for medical research is to improve treatments. With respect to genetic diseases, we now have a large set of diseases with a known molecular cause that are readily diagnosable at a gene level, yet have poor or no treatment.

A recent analysis of over half a million human genomes identified individuals naturally resistant to several Mendelian diseases including cystic fibrosis (CFTR), Smith-Lemli-Opitz syndrome (DHCR7), familial dysautonomia (IKBKAP), epidermolysis bullosa simplex (KRT14), Pfeiffer syndrome (FGFR1), acampomeliccampomelic dysplasia (SOPX9), atelosteogenesis (SLC26A2), and autoimmune polyendocrinopathy-candidiasis-ectodermal dystrophy (AIRE) (Chen et al. 2016). It has been known for some time from large pedigrees that each contain the identical disease-causing mutation and that a large variability in disease severity can exist. As an example, analysis of 32 affected family members of the childhood-blinding disorder familial exudative vitreoretinopathy, each having the same mutation in FZD4, noted changes in vision ranging from a slight impairment to complete blindness (Robitaille et al. 2002, 2011).

It is clear that genetic buffering plays an important role in genotype-phenotype outcome (Hartman et al. 2001; Fear et al. 2016). What is not clear is if this genetic buffering in patients with Mendelian diseases is due to large effects by single-gene polymorphisms/mutations or the result of the accumulation of small effects of numerous polymorphisms. There are many studies across a multitude of model organisms that have determined that second-site suppressors via a mutation at a single-gene locus can buffer against the effect of a highly penetrant mutation (Boone et al. 2007; Dixon et al. 2008; Dowell et al. 2010; Lehner 2013). This brings up an interesting question of whether using model organisms to search for genes whose inactivation serves to protect the organism from a deleterious mutation in a causal diseasecausing gene would be a practical method that could point toward potential therapeutic targets, or drugs, for their treatment. In essence, one is looking for a mutation in a second gene, or a drug that inhibits the function of the protein encoded by that gene, which protects the organism from the disease-causing phenotype. Conversely, for cancers with a clear genetic signature, one could look for a mutation in a second gene or a drug that targets the protein product of a gene, which confers lethality specifically in the presence of gene mutations that drive cancer cell growth and viability (O'Neil et al. 2017).

Model organisms ranging from Saccharomyces cerevisiae (yeast) to Caenorhabditis elegans (nematode), to Drosophila melanogaster (fly), to Danio rerio (zebrafish), and to Mus musculus (mouse) have been important and useful in determining gene function, delineating genotype-phenotype correlations, and identifying potential therapeutic avenues for genetic diseases (Guernsey et al. 2009, 2011; Lehner 2013). The genomes of all of these organisms have been sequenced, making them useful in the study of genetic disease. Yeast are single-celled eukaryotes that allow for rapid genome-wide genetic and chemical genetic screens. Much of the functionality of yeast genetics is due to the ability of yeast to grow as both haploid and diploid cells, enabling second-site mutations to be introduced into diploid cells in a heterozygous state, and then testing their effects by isolating haploid cells with both the causal mutation and the second-site mutation contained in the same haploid cell (Boone *et al.* 2007; Hillenmeyer et al. 2008; Costanzo et al. 2010, 2016; Enserink 2012; van Leeuwen et al. 2016). However, as a single-celled organism, yeast does not offer insight into differentiated cell types and whole organs. The short life cycle and transparency of C. elegans, coupled with assays that rely on fluorescence and observable defects in cellular function, make it ideal for organismal screens. The fact that all 302 of its neurons have been precisely mapped is also a major attraction of this model (Lemieux and Ashrafi 2015; Hobert 2016). However, of the multi-cellular models, C. elegans has fewer mammalian homologs and is missing key organs present in the fly, zebrafish, and mouse models (e.g., no heart and no centralized brain). Drosophila is an efficient, well-defined genetic model organism. Overall protein sequence homology between the fly and mammals is 40%, with 80-90% homology in conserved domains (Ugur et al. 2016). Furthermore, 75% of genes associated with human disease have functional orthologs in the fly. A wide range of genetic tools developed for the fly can be applied to the study of genetic disease, including the Gal4/ UAS (upstream activating sequence) system, clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) technology, and transgenic approaches (Millburn et al. 2016). The fly also offers opportunities for rapid phenotypic screening such as locomotion and behavior (Boutros et al. 2004; Jaiswal et al. 2012). Zebrafish represents a powerful model system for studying genetic diseases (Howe et al. 2017). Zebrafish have a high level of genetic conservation with humans, 71% of human proteins have a clear zebrafish ortholog, and 82% of all human disease-causing genes have a zebrafish ortholog (Howe et al. 2013). Conservation extends genomically to syntenic regions that have been preserved through evolution from fish to man (Woods et al. 2000). Zebrafish are closer to humans in genetic homology compared to flies and have many organs similar to mammals. Importantly, for consideration of disease states, functional safeguarding of protein-coding genes has been maintained, as have their molecular networks. In addition, as a vertebrate, zebrafish provides substantial genetic complexity over simpler model organisms, and additional relevance via the presence of conserved analogous organs. This latter feature is important for establishing functional significance, as well as for evaluating drug metabolism and toxicity. Higher-order models can serve as a critical segue between preliminary investigations performed in simpler organisms, such as yeast, and more definitive validation in murine models and eventually humans (Zon 2016).

This review will focus on two model organisms, yeast and zebrafish, with reference to their combined use in moving from gene discovery and phenotyping to the identification of pathways, processes, and compounds that could potentially ameliorate genetic diseases. We present an overview of the applicability of these models to gene and drug discovery, with exemplars used to illustrate specific concepts.

Modifying Disease Phenotypes via Genome-Wide Enhancement Genetics in Yeast

The yeast S. cerevisiae has been used extensively to perform near genome-wide searches for genetic suppressors of gene mutations. A mainstay of this approach is referred to as synthetic genetic array (SGA), or synthetic genetic enhancement, analysis (Tong et al. 2001, 2004; Boone et al. 2007; Dixon et al. 2009; Costanzo et al. 2010, 2016; van Leeuwen et al. 2016). The SGA approach was facilitated by the creation of a barcoded yeast single-gene knockout collection, whereby every yeast gene was inactivated in a diploid cell flanked by two unique 20-bp sequences that served as strain/gene identifiers. The generation of the single-gene knockout collection itself revealed some interesting data, in that only \sim 20% of the yeast genome was essential for survival when yeast were grown under normal laboratory conditions (Boone et al. 2007; Dixon et al. 2009; Costanzo et al. 2010). This underscores the buffering capacity of the genome to deal with deleterious mutations at a single node. Essential yeast genes can be queried through numerous means, such as the generation of mRNA degron collections and the use of titratable promoters. That said, the yeast genome contains only \sim 6200 genes vs. \sim 21,000 in humans, thus not all pathways in human cells are represented and some genetic interactions may be missed.

Much SGA analysis conducted to date has been used to identify synthetic lethal pairs of genes, in essence two genes whose inactivation alone has very little or no phenotype but the combined inactivation of which results in a severe phenotype. This is most often measured as a defect in growth, but can be any phenotype that can be tracked, and has been used to assign phenotypes to genes of no known function based on a similar set of genetic interactions to genes of known function, and to connect what were thought to be disparate biological processes together to uncover previously unknown biochemical connections (Fairn et al. 2007; Curwin et al. 2009; Costanzo et al. 2010; Dowell et al. 2010; Gaspard and McMaster 2015; Mattiazzi Usaj et al. 2016). An example of using synthetic lethality in yeast to identify potential drug targets for cancer was a study on cells overexpressing TDP1, the expression of which is often increased in rhabdomyosarcoma, a common soft tissue sarcoma of children (Duffy et al. 2016). Overexpression of TDP1 results in chromosome instability in yeast and human cells. A synthetic lethality screen in yeast identified genes whose deletion resulted in lethality only when TDP1 was overexpressed (Duffy et al. 2016). Significantly, deletion of the histone deacetylase RPD3 gene was one of these genes. Histone deacetylase inhibitors, valproic acid or trichostatin A, both approved for use in humans, were able to selectively kill human cells overexpressing TDP1 and human rhabdomyosarcoma cells with elevated *TDP1* levels. This study provides the impetus for future work to determine the usefulness of FDA (US Food and Drug Administration)-approved histone deacetylase inhibitors to treat rhabdomyosarcoma. In a second example, a similar line of experimentation was used to determine yeast genes, the deletion of which resulted in selective synthetic lethality upon overexpression of MAD2 (Bian et al. 2014). Human MAD2 is overexpressed in many cancer types including liver, lung, and gastric cancers, malignant lymphoma, soft tissue sarcoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, colorectal carcinoma, and osteosarcoma. Inactivation of the protein phosphatase gene PP2A in yeast resulted in selective lethality due to MAD2 overexpression, as did treatment of human cancer cells overexpressing MAD2 with the protein phosphatase 2A inhibitor cantharidin.

Contrary to gene inactivation (synthetic lethality) that prevents cell viability that can point to potential cancer treatment targets (McLornan et al. 2014; O'Neil et al. 2017), mutations that ameliorate a growth or biochemical defect associated with an inherited genetic disease may be a viable approach to identify pathways that could be targeted for their treatment. As the identification of human disease-causing genes is now rapidly expanding, it may be interesting to apply the SGA approach to query human disease-causing mutations where a similar mutation in a yeast gene, or the analogous human gene expressed in yeast, has been shown to result in a phenotype that can be monitored at a genome-wide level. Screens to identify genes whose inactivation restores the phenotype could point to potential drug targets. These screens have not been performed in depth as they require specific mutant versions of genes, and often a defect in a cell-based assay specific to the gene/process under study. Thus far, in cases where there is knowledge of the function of the disease-causing gene and the pathway in which it participates, didactic reasoning has been more common. For example, recessive mutations in human SLC25A38 were identified to cause a common subtype of congenital sideroblastic anemia; however, the function of SLC25A38 was not known (Guernsey et al. 2009). Studies on the yeast homolog HEM25, and human SLC25A38 expressed in yeast, determined that SLC25A38 is an important mitochondrial glycine importer required to provide substrate for the initiation of heme synthesis (Fernández-Murray et al. 2016). Knowledge of the function of SLC25A38 was exploited to determine that high levels of exogenous glycine, or the heme biosynthetic pathway intermediate 5-aminolevulinic acid (5-Ala), were able to restore heme levels to normal in yeast cells lacking HEM25. These studies were then transitioned to zebrafish where, surprisingly, neither glycine nor

5-Ala could ameliorate the synthesis of hemoglobin in the *slc25A38* sideroblastic anemia zebrafish model. A major difference between yeast and zebrafish is the ability of yeast to synthesize their own folate, and mitochondrial folate and glycine metabolism are highly integrated. In zebrafish and higher eukaryotes, folate is a vitamin that must be consumed in the diet. The addition of glycine plus folate increased hemoglobin content in the zebrafish *slc25A38* congenital sideroblastic anemia model to near wild-type levels (Fernández-Murray *et al.* 2016). This example shows how one can move quickly from a gene of no known function to a potential therapy, but also notes that a direct translation of a potential treatment may have to take into account differences across genomes and functional consequences in transitioning between model organisms.

Chemical Genetics to Search for Small Molecule Modifiers of Inherited Disease Phenotypes

There has been a recent resurgence in phenotype-based (cell or organism) drug discovery, which we have termed the outside-in approach (Figure 1A), over target-based (protein) drug screening efforts, which we refer to as the inside-out approach (Figure 1B). A major driver of this renewed interest in the outside-in approach was an analysis of first-in-class approved drugs between 1999 and 2008, which revealed that 62% were discovered using phenotype-based screens, despite the fact that only a small subset of drug screens used this method (Eder et al. 2014; Schirle and Jenkins 2016; Moffat et al. 2017). Several factors are thought to contribute to the superior track record of phenotype-based screens. Phenotype-based screens take into account drug uptake by cells/ organisms, and can often combine screening and counter screening (e.g., against toxicity in cells or a whole organism), allowing for compounds that are both efficacious and nontoxic to be discovered using the same screen (Wagner 2016). Phenotypic screens can also discover drugs that are ameliorative in the absence of a validated drug target.

The nature of the drug or small molecule library is an important consideration when screening for potential therapeutics. There has been much interest in repurposing known drugs (Corsello *et al.* 2017; Cha *et al.* 2018). Drug repurposing focuses on identifying drugs that are approved for use in humans. On-target effects of these drugs, or off-target effects on other processes that are as yet unknown, may prove efficacious in disease treatment. The main advantage of drug repurposing is that the drug can be tested directly in the clinic for utility. For inherited orphan diseases, efficacy of a known drug in a model organism can allow for a human clinical trial in as few as 5–10 patients, with success enabling drug approval for use in humans (Bronstein and Kakkis 2016; Joppi *et al.* 2016; Ekins 2017). This is of a cost and scale that can be undertaken by academic centers and small biotechnology companies.

A major caveat to drug repurposing for genetic diseases is that many occur during childhood (Boycott *et al.* 2014; Ekins 2017), and the dosing of most drugs has not been trialed in children. Even if a drug (or metabolite, vitamin, or other



Figure 1 Outside-in and inside-out approaches to drug discovery for genetic diseases. (A) Outside-in screens start with a small-molecule screen vs. a model organism model of the genetic disease, followed by target identification (ID), validation, building an expanded library of small molecules based on structure activity relationships (SAR), and declaring a candidate drug for in vitro/ in vivo pharmacokinetics (pharm)/absorption/distribution/ metabolism/excretion (ADME)/ toxicology (tox) studies subsequent to a first-in-human trial. (B) Inside-out screens start with inhibition (normally) of a drug target being hypothesized to be able to ameliorate the genetic disease under study, followed by target validation in model organisms, a high-throughput screen for small molecules that inhibit the drug target, building an expanded library of small molecules based on SAR, determining the efficacy of lead compounds in model organisms, declaration of a candidate drug for in vivo pharm/ADME/tox, and a subsequent first-in-human trial. For both approaches, if the drug screen results in a known drug being identified that has efficacy in a model organism mimic of the genetic disease, then studies may be able to proceed directly to a first-in-human trial for disease treatment.

therapy) dose is known, the effect may require a higher level than that which is known to be safe. This was likely the case when a human trial, assessing doses of oral glycine plus folate described above to ameliorate congenital sideroblastic anemia in zebrafish (Fernández-Murray *et al.* 2016), was assessed in three congenital sideroblastic anemia patients harboring the *SLC25A38* mutation at doses currently known to be safe in children (LeBlanc *et al.* 2016). Translating drug dosing in zebrafish, and other model organisms, to humans is currently not routine or easily feasible (MacRae and Peterson 2015). If higher doses than those currently approved are required, this will likely require studies in mice and other mammalian models to determine pharmacological and toxicological profiles prior to moving into patients. Finally, there are only ~1500 FDA-approved drugs (Eder *et al.* 2014), with many in a similar chemical space. If we consider only Mendelian diseases, there are anticipated to be \sim 7000 distinct diseases (Boycott *et al.* 2013, 2014). Many inherited diseases will require screening of large chemical libraries, and new chemical entity development, to find a treatment.

In yeast, similar approaches to SGA have been taken with respect to chemical genetic analysis (Fairn and McMaster 2005; Fairn et al. 2007; Hillenmeyer et al. 2008; Smith et al. 2010; Ho et al. 2011; Cong et al. 2012; Enserink 2012; Wohlbold et al. 2012; Cuesta-Marbán et al. 2013; Czyz et al. 2013; Lehner 2013; Golla et al. 2016; Silberberg et al. 2016; Wong et al. 2016). In chemical genetics, how a drug/compound affects the growth of the entire yeast knockout collection is assessed (Figure 2, A and B), often referred to as either drug-induced haploinsufficiency profiling (HIP) or homozygous profiling (HOP) (Giaever et al. 1999; Lee et al. 2014). With respect to the potential to discover new drugs, HIP screening vs. the diploid heterozygous yeast gene deletion set is more useful as it will often determine a direct drug target (Figure 2B). The theory is that the loss of one allele of a drug target will result in a yeast strain that is more sensitive to the drug compared to other strains within the yeast deletion collection. As an example, a HIP chemical synthetic lethality screen identified yeast DFR1 haploinsufficient yeast, encoding dihydrofolate reductase, as being sensitive to methotrexate (Giaever et al. 1999, 2004). Methotrexate competitively inhibits human dihydrofolate reductase and is used to treat certain types of leukemia, as well as cancers of the breast, skin, bone, head and neck, and lung. HOP uses the haploid nonessential yeast gene deletion collection, and most often determines genes/processes that buffer against the drug (Ho et al. 2011). Multi-copy suppression, where individual genes are overexpressed, can also be used to identify drug targets, although this approach will also simultaneously identify genes/processes that allow for drug resistance such as decreased drug uptake, increased efflux, or increased metabolism (Ho et al. 2011).

Similar to synthetic genetics, synthetic chemical genetics has focused on decreased cell growth as the major phenotypic readout. This readout is amenable to finding potential new drugs for acquired genetic diseases such as cancers (Sekigawa *et al.* 2010; Albrecht *et al.* 2016; O'Neil *et al.* 2017). However, this type of analysis could be used to identify drugs that ameliorate a yeast strain containing a mimic of a genetic mutation within a human inherited disease-causing gene. These types of screens have not been routinely performed in high throughput as they require nonstandard growth conditions to be used and/or a specific yeast strain to be engineered. It will be interesting to see if the successes in chemical genetics synthetic lethality in yeast can be recapitulated for drugs/small molecules that ameliorate growth as potential treatments for inherited diseases.

Using "Humanized" Yeast to Identify Drugs to Treat Human Disease

Introducing mutations within yeast genes that correspond to the analogous regions mutated in human disease has been a common practice in assessing if the corresponding mutation affects protein function. A second approach is to humanize yeast via expression of the human open reading frame (ORF) in a cell lacking the corresponding yeast gene and determine to what extent the human ORF can complement loss-of-function (LOF) of the analogous yeast gene (Figure 2C) (Sun et al. 2016). This can take place in haploid yeast cells containing a null allele of a nonessential gene, or in the case of essential genes through the use of regulatable promoters, mRNA degrons, conditional alleles (e.g., temperature-sensitive strains), or through the use of a diploid heterozygous strain and subsequent isolation of haploid progeny lacking the yeast gene while expressing the human ORF (Steinmetz et al. 2002; Kachroo et al. 2015). A recent large-scale study found that 176 of 414 human ORFs could replace their essential yeast ortholog (Kachroo et al. 2015). An important aspect of these large-scale studies is the use of constitutive or regulatable promoters that remove both the temporal and gene dose from consideration (e.g., cell cycle genes), and thus may underestimate the capacity of yeast to be successfully complemented by their human counterparts. A recent study noted that the obvious 1:1 cognate gene based on sequence similarity may not always predict the correct human complementing ORF, and that this needs to be taken into account when attempts to humanize yeast are undertaken (Hamza et al. 2015). A large scale "yeast humanization" project, where each potential human ORF ortholog is placed within the yeast genome at its cognate gene site such that dose and temporal issues are controlled for, would be a useful resource to assess function and complementarity of normal human ORFs, as well as how mutations in these genes could result in a phenotype(s) associated with a human disease. Rapid and extensive yeast genetic and chemical genetic screening tools are available to quickly determine potential modifier genes (drug targets) and drugs for human disease mutations.

In addition to the expression of human genes that have yeast homologs, there has also been success in learning about mechanisms of disease and potential therapies via the expression of human genes not found in yeast, but that impact pathways conserved from yeast to man. The expression of α -synuclein in yeast is one example. Parkinson's disease (PD) is linked to aggregates of α -synuclein in dopaminergic neurons (Spillantini et al. 1997) resulting in cell degeneration. Mutations in SNCA (which encodes α -synuclein) are causal for PD (Polymeropoulos et al. 1997; Singleton et al. 2003; Chartier-Harlin et al. 2004). Yeast expressing wild-type and mutant (PD-causing) α-synuclein (Outeiro and Lindquist 2003; Willingham et al. 2003) highlight the mislocalization of mutant α -synuclein, and suggest a role for α -synuclein in vesicular trafficking and autophagy (Tenreiro et al. 2017). In PD patient-derived induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), many of the protein trafficking phenotypes initially observed in yeast are observed (Chung et al. 2013). The yeast model of α -synuclein toxicity identified *N*-aryl benzimidazole analogs as compounds that can reverse the toxicity of mutant α -synuclein in both yeast and iPSCs (Tardiff et al. 2013).







Figure 2 Application of yeast for drug discovery for genetic diseases. (A) A small molecule (drug) is screened vs. the ~5000 separate nonessential yeast gene deletion set and is compared to the known yeast deletion array synthetic lethal genetic interactions. The yeast gene deletion(s) with a similar set of interactors to the small molecule can point to the pathway or gene/protein targeted by the small molecule. (B) HIPHOP (haploin-sufficiency profiling or homozygous profiling) makes use of the diploid

Although α -synuclein was the first identified inherited mutation for PD, others have been discovered. The most commonly mutated gene is *LRRK2* (Funayama *et al.* 2005; Klein and Lohmann-Hedrich 2007). Expression of a LRRK2 fragment containing two enzymatic domains of LRRK2 (a GTPase domain and a kinase domain) induced toxicity in yeast (Xiong *et al.* 2010). A genetic screen identified yeast genes whose deletion reversed the toxicity of LRRK2. Out of the seven gene deletions identified in the screen, two had human homologs, one of which was identified as ArfGAP1. ArfGAP1 is a GTPase-activating protein that accelerates LRRK2 GTPase domain hydrolysis of GTP. Studies in cultured neurons confirmed that ArfGAP1 is required for the toxic phenotypes of the major pathogenic version of LRRK2 (Stafa *et al.* 2012; Xiong *et al.* 2012).

Another neurodegenerative disease that has also been successfully studied in yeast is Alzheimer's disease (AD). In AD, plaques of β -amyloid are found in neurons (Chartier-Harlin et al. 1991; Citron et al. 1992; Mullan et al. 1992; Mawuenyega et al. 2010; Qiang et al. 2017). Amyloid precursor protein is cleaved to $A\beta$ -42, which is thought to be the form that is aggregation-prone and toxic (Tcw and Goate 2017). To create a yeast model, $A\beta$ -42 was fused with an ER-targeting signal in yeast and a genetic screen was performed looking for modifiers of toxicity (Treusch et al. 2011; Matlack et al. 2014). This screen identified the yeast homolog of the endocytic factor phosphatidylinositol-binding clathrin assembly protein (PICALM), as well as several endocytic factors whose relationship to Aβ-42 toxicity was not known. The genes identified in yeast modified Aβ-42 toxicity in glutamatergic neurons of C. elegans and in primary rat cortical neurons, suggesting that there was relevance of these genes to AD. The yeast model was used to perform a chemical screen with 140,000 compounds looking for drugs that reversed Aβ-42 toxicity, and 30 hits were observed with half from the hydroxyquinoline family. A subset of these compounds was found to be efficacious in C. elegans and mouse AD models (Matlack et al. 2014).

haploinsufficiency collection of all yeast genes. The entire collection is exposed to a small molecule and the culture is allowed to grow. Using bar-coding technology, the yeast strain(s) whose growth is decreased compared to a no drug control can be identified (ID). The small-molecule drug target should show decreased growth in a strain that is haploinsufficient for its target compared to the other strains. (C) Several applications of synthetic genetic array technology can be used to identify drug targets. The example illustrated works on the principle that inducible overexpression of a human open reading frame (ORF) in yeast results in an easily measurable phenotype (often growth). The yeast strain containing the human ORF (uninduced) can be mated to each of the \sim 5000 nonessential yeast gene deletion strains and, through a series of strain selection steps, can result in the isolation of haploid yeast strains each containing the human ORF under control of an inducible promoter in each of the \sim 5000 individual yeast gene deletion strains. Expression of the human protein can be induced, and yeast gene deletion strains that prevent its toxicity point to potential drug targets whose inhibition could prevent the toxicity of the human protein.



Figure 3 Applications of the zebrafish model in the study of inherited and acquired human genetic diseases. (A) Zebrafish and humans share a high degree of genetic conservation, including chromosome synteny and protein-coding genes. Molecular networks are also conserved, permitting use of zebrafish for investigating downstream pathways implicated in both inherited human diseases and somatic mutations like those found in cancer. Moreover, as a vertebrate model, the presence of analogous organs and conserved metabolism enable functional studies of the impact of genetic mutations on specific tissues and predictive readouts of therapeutic responses to drug administration. (B) The zebrafish is amenable to a number of genetic tools, including clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/Cas9-based genome editing and transgenic technology, to introduce specific human mutations, including inserting Expression of human genes in yeast has also been used to identify potential cancer therapeutics using a chemical genetics approach. As an example, expression of human telomerase in yeast, a validated cancer target, decreased cell growth (Wong *et al.* 2013). A chemical genetics screen to reverse growth arrest identified three small molecules that inhibited human telomerase based on restoring yeast growth, and were found to inhibit *in vitro* telomerase activity (Wong *et al.* 2013).

The identification of gene mutations, or drugs/metabolites, in humanized yeast can serve as a jumping-off point for experiments in higher order organisms to determine if the potential drug target (inactivated gene) or drug could be of potential use in individuals with that disease-causing genetic mutation. All yeast genetic and chemical genetic interactions, and human homologs of yeast genes including functional complementation relationships, are constantly archived and updated. These can be browsed or queried through the *Saccharomyces* Genome Database (Chatr-Aryamontri *et al.* 2017) (www.yeastgenome. org).

Tools for Studying Genetic Diseases in Zebrafish

The zebrafish occupies a unique place in the parallel pipelines of gene discovery and preclinical therapeutic testing (Figure 3A). The toolbox for genetic manipulation in the zebrafish has continued to grow (Figure 3B), resulting in a variety of approaches available for both short- and long-term studies. An early whole-genome duplication event in teleost fish results in two paralogous gene equivalents in many cases (Postlethwait *et al.* 2000; Woods *et al.* 2000). This consideration is important, particularly in the context of gene knockdown studies where redundancy in function of these paralogs can mask an LOF phenotype. With this caveat in mind and appropriate mitigation (see below), gene function can be effectively and usually efficiently evaluated in the zebrafish for both germline monogenic disorders and somatic gene disruptions, such as those that occur in cancer.

oligonucleotides into the zebrafish genome. Other tools-including the facile introduction of plasmid DNA, BACs, and YACs; mRNA for transient gene overexpression studies and morpholinos for transient gene knockdown; and chemical mutagenesis-make the zebrafish a versatile model system for gene manipulation and phenotypic readouts. (C) Genetically modified zebrafish are easily surveyed using light and fluorescent microscopy, which can be enhanced with the availability of Lightsheet technology and confocal imaging. Whole-mount in situ hybridization provides tissue-specific data on RNA expression levels. Xenotransplantation of human cells, and in particular cancer cells, provides a transparent in vivo environment for real-time visualization of cell-microenvironment interactions. Embryos can be subjected to forward and reverse genetic screens. Automation of embryo sorting facilitates throughput and feasibility. (D) Translational outputs include preclinical therapeutic studies using compound libraries, dissection of molecular interactions in the context of a given genetic mutation, and novel gene discovery through phenotypic evidence of a causative mutation.

The key to these methodologies is the technical facility with which genetic material can be introduced and incorporated into the genome of the developing zebrafish. Microinjection of nucleic acid fragments, whether oligonucleotides, plasmids, or artificial chromosomes, is accomplished through the use of a needle generated from a glass capillary tube that is inserted into the volk or cell of the early embryo and can be reproducibly repeated hundreds of times in a short time interval. Use of marker dyes and fluorescent reporters can ensure initial correct anatomic location and preserved expression of the transgene, respectively. Gain-offunction can be evaluated in transient assays using mRNA injection, which can be either zebrafish-derived, human, or mouse, given the degree of genetic conservation. Similarly, transgenic constructs driven by zebrafish promoter elements can be injected into embryos at the one-cell stage (Udvadia and Linney 2003). In both these cases, phenotypes may be evaluable in the F0 injected embryos, although these fish will be chimeras. However, in the case of somatic mutations, such as those found in cancers, a mosaic tissue distribution may well be more representative (Langenau et al. 2008). Germline incorporation of an injected transgene can be accomplished in a single generation time of 2–3 months, and has been greatly optimized through the inclusion of Gateway cloning vectors to facilitate precise and efficient recombination events (Villefranc et al. 2007). More contemporary CRISPR-based strategies (Garneau et al. 2010; Deltcheva et al. 2011; Jinek et al. 2012; Cong et al. 2013; Esvelt et al. 2013; Mali et al. 2013a,b; Sander and Joung 2014) now enable gene insertions of specific mutations in zebrafish (Hruscha et al. 2013; Hwang et al. 2013; Jao et al. 2013; Auer et al. 2014; Ota et al. 2014; Hisano et al. 2015; Varshney et al. 2015; Armstrong et al. 2016; Ceasar et al. 2016), which may recapitulate a human disease phenotype more accurately than was previously possible employing transgenic approaches. Transgenes driven by tissue-specific promoters may still have advantages, particularly in the somatic context, to overexpress a mutant gene in a specific cell type. This strategy has been utilized to generate zebrafish models of lymphoid and myeloid leukemia (Langenau 2003; Sabaawy et al. 2006; Yeh et al. 2007; Zhuravleva et al. 2008; Forrester et al. 2011; Gutierrez et al. 2014), rhabdomyosarcoma (Langenau et al. 2007), and neuroblastoma (Zhu et al. 2012). However, recent efforts have shown that Cas9 mRNA can be expressed in a tissue-dependent manner. A Tol2-based vector incorporating guide RNAs targeting the zebrafish heme biosynthetic gene urod, with Cas9 under the gata1 erythrocyte promoter, was used to induce inactivation of urod specifically in red blood cells with a robust fluorescent readout (Ablain et al. 2015).

LOF mutations in zebrafish were traditionally introduced using forward genetic approaches, which included N-ethyl N-nitrosurea (ENU) mutagenesis and insertional mutagenesis screens, whereby a phenotype was observed and mapped back to a specific causative gene (Driever *et al.* 1996; Ransom *et al.* 1996; Wienholds *et al.* 2002). The use of morpholino oligonucleotides [morpholinos (MOs)] provides a "quick and dirty" strategy for gene knockdown/knockout by targeting mRNA translation start sites or, alternatively, splice sites

(Bill et al. 2009). Given zebrafish genome duplication, often more than one MO is needed to ensure LOF. Additionally, due to a tendency for MOs to induce p53-dependent apoptosis (Zappulla et al. 2005), simultaneous tp53 knockdown may be required to ensure that phenotypes observed are specific to loss of the targeted protein (Ekker and Larson 2001; Pickart et al. 2006; Robu et al. 2007). As this approach gained in popularity, a large number of papers were published in which a host of disease phenotypes were correlated to their genetic origin through MO studies. However, recent work has called into question the accuracy of MO targeting, emphasizing the "dirty" nature of the results obtained, questioning specificity by demonstrating off-target effects, and challenging many of the phenotypes described in prior reports (Kok et al. 2015). The greater ease with which null mutations can now be generated in zebrafish using transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs), and more recently CRISPRs, may enable some of the discrepancies in reported MO-based phenotypes to be reconciled. While TALENs and CRISPRs result in permanent germline disruption, they are laborious and have the potential for off-target consequences (Ceasar et al. 2016). For CRISPR, a number of in silico tools to aid in guide RNA creation, simultaneous targeting of duplicated genes, and determination of off-target effects have emerged (Prykhozhij et al. 2015, 2016). Evaluation of phenotypes in F0 CRISPR-injected embryos is tempting, but caution must be applied to interpretation as nonhomologous endjoining may result in broad transient gene expression outputs that are not maintained in subsequent generations following germline incorporation. Furthermore, residual wild-type gene expression has the potential to modulate the observed phenotype. While more precise and permanent genetic modifications can be established using CRISPR, gene compensation events due to the permanent nature of the genetic modification that could impact phenotypic veracity, as evidenced by differential expression profiling when compared to transient knockdown of expression using MOs, need to be kept in mind (Rossi et al. 2015). Parallel experimental plans incorporating both MOs and CRISPR-generated mutants may provide the most comprehensive and informative genotype-phenotype correlations (Figure 3B).

Using Zebrafish to Understand Inherited Disease

The conserved genetics between zebrafish and humans, the advancements in gene manipulation technologies, and the ease and affordability of zebrafish husbandry, have made the modeling of rare genetic disorders feasible and promising (Figure 3A). High fecundity allows for the rapid production of large cohorts of mutation-of-interest-carrying test subjects, facilitating *in vivo* disease study that would otherwise be limited to a very small population of human subjects, as is characteristic of orphan diseases.

With the identification of a putative causal gene, this genetic lesion can be introduced into the fish genome to further study phenotype, downstream effects, and identify other key molecular players. Furthermore, the model can be used to screen for drug candidates in a high-throughput manner to optimize the selection of drugs for further investigations or a clinical trial. For example, zebrafish were a useful tool in modeling Menkes disease, a mutation of the copper Cu^{2+} transporter ATP7A, disrupting copper metabolism and resulting in hypopigmentation. Knockdown of cellular trafficking proteins in the fish caused hypopigmentation of the melanocytes in low-copper conditions. As a partner in a dual model system drug screen, yeast contributed chemical genetic interaction information, whereas zebrafish provided a phenotypic readout, facilitating the discovery of a copper metabolism pathway for a small molecule MEK kinase inhibitor (Ishizaki *et al.* 2010).

In the case of systemic mastocytosis, a rare myeloproliferative disease, recapitulating the condition in mice demonstrated variable hematopoietic phenotypes to those observed in patients (Zappulla et al. 2005; Gerbaulet et al. 2011; Balci et al. 2014). However, zebrafish sharing conservation of mast cell biological processes with humans (Dobson et al. 2008; Da'as et al. 2011, 2012) proved to be a comparable alternative. The creation of a transgenic zebrafish, expressing the *KIT*-D816V mutation, provided a phenotype representative of the human disease with elevated levels of endopeptidases and increased numbers of mast cells in the kidney marrow characteristic of the condition (Balci et al. 2014). Adult fish displayed a distortion of normal kidney structure and progression to a mast cell-predominant myeloproliferative neoplasm, as evidenced by expansion of PAS⁺/tryptase⁺ cells that infiltrated into surrounding organs. Furthermore, observation of reduced neuromast numbers, resulting from downregulation of epcam in both embryos and adults, provided a surrogate in vivo platform where drug screening for a restorative phenotype could be undertaken (Balci et al. 2014).

A nonsense mutation of the dystrophin gene causes a premature stop codon resulting in structural characteristics of Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD), a rare and severe disease affecting skeletal, cardiac, and smooth muscle. This phenotype can be studied by examination of the contractile function of skeletal muscles in 5-day-old zebrafish larvae. Sapje mutant zebrafish that harbor a premature stop codon in the zebrafish *dmd* homolog exhibit structural muscular defects, with progressive fibrosis and muscle degeneration beginning early in embryonic development, mimicking the changes seen in human DMD patients (Bassett and Currie 2003; Berger et al. 2010). Ataluren (PTC124), an aminoglycoside antibiotic, can promote read-through of the premature stop codon, which should ameliorate muscle structure and function. In fact, sapje zebrafish treated with 0.5 µM ataluren had increased expression of dystrophin, improved muscle morphology, and better contractile function, providing biological correlative data for the effectiveness of this drug in the treatment of patients with DMD (Li et al. 2014). Ataluren was approved for clinical use in boys with DMD by the European Medicines Agency in Europe in 2014 (Haas et al. 2015).

Zebrafish can also be used to model monogenic disorders with complex multi-system phenotypes. For example, LOF

mutations in chromodomain helicase DNA-binding protein 7 (*CHD7*) are the genetic origin of CHARGE syndrome, an acronym for Coloboma, Heart Defects, Atresia of choanae, Retardation in growth, Genital abnormalities, and Ear malformations/hearing loss. MOs targeting zebrafish *chd7* result in developmentally abnormal embryos with small eyes, cardiac anomalies, and pericardial edema (Patten *et al.* 2012). Similar features were recently recapitulated in a CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutant by generating a 2-bp deletion in exon 3 of *chd7* (Prykhozhij *et al.* 2017). Conservation of organ systems in the zebrafish enables the investigation and amelioration of clinically relevant consequences of this disease, such as the impaired gastrointestinal motility that leads to tube feeding in the majority of CHARGE patients.

Thus, current genome editing approaches provide the opportunity to model human monogenic disorders in the zebrafish with a level of genetic precision not previously possible. Organ conservation in these vertebrate animals provides the additional advantage of studying functional developmental phenotypes in addition to defects in cell survival or proliferation. All of these phenotypes provide a useful readout for moderate- to high-throughput chemical screens for ameliorative compounds.

Using Zebrafish to Understand Cancer and Point to Potential Therapies

Increased understanding that cancer is a genetic disease arising from a series of genetic alterations gives credence to the application of the zebrafish for modeling human tumors. The zebrafish is well suited to forward genetic screens employing ENU chemical mutagenesis or insertional mutagenesis approaches, resulting in different phenotypes that can be evaluated and "scored" followed by genotyping to identify the underlying causative lesion. While these approaches have been exceedingly valuable in revealing key roles for developmental genes, including those that may represent novel oncogenes or tumor suppressors (Shepard et al. 2005; Ceol et al. 2011; den Hertog 2016) that potentially contribute to malignant progression, they have not been as beneficial for the generation of a priori cancer models. Various reverse genetic approaches, where the impact of a known human oncogenic alteration is introduced into the zebrafish, have demonstrated the versatility of this organism for modeling human cancers, particularly with a goal toward preclinical drug testing. Furthermore, the transparency of the extrauterine zebrafish embryo allows for real-time visualization of developmental perturbations, which may have particular relevance for pediatric cancers that may represent abnormalities in normal embryogenesis (Figure 3C).

Gain-of-function mutations have been introduced through the microinjection of mRNA into the one-to-eight-cell embryo, providing transient yet potentially meaningful phenotypic data over the first 2–4 days of life of the zebrafish. Genetic conservation across species permits the injection of zebrafish, mouse, or human RNA. Injection of a transgenic DNA construct, such as a plasmid, containing the oncogene of interest may again be informative in chimeric primary injected embryos, with additional information gleaned when the construct is incorporated into the zebrafish genome and is passed on to subsequent generations through the germline (Kawakami et al. 2016; Rajan et al. 2016). The use of fluorescent reporters as components of these constructs can facilitate tracking of inheritance, while tissue-specific promoters can direct expression in the desired cellular context. The first transgenic zebrafish model of cancer incorporated the mouse oncogenic *cMyc* gene driven by a zebrafish T-cell-specific rag2 promoter, resulting in an aggressive T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) that consumed its host (Langenau 2003). Variations on this original theme have utilized different promoters, oncogenes, and reporters, as well as elements, to allow for inducible expression, combinations of oncogenes, and applications of systems like UAS-Gal4 in an attempt to regulate oncogene copy numbers in specific anatomic locations and developmental time points (Liu and Leach 2011; Mayrhofer and Mione 2016) (Figure 3B).

In particular, childhood tumors have been a focus of zebrafish cancer modeling, which may reflect the developmental origins of these diseases and be accurately recapitulated in the larval zebrafish (Langenau 2003; Langenau et al. 2007; Frazer et al. 2009; Leacock et al. 2012; Zhu et al. 2012). Recent transgenic zebrafish models of childhood tumors have been particularly instructive. While potential therapeutic strategies in rhabdomyosarcoma were revealed through synthetic lethality screening in yeast overexpressing TDP1 (see earlier), new insights into the cell of origin of this disease were elucidated through a transgenic zebrafish model of rhabdomyosarcoma with differentially fluorescently labeled tumor cell populations that could be visually tracked over time. Distinctively, only Myf5-expressing cells were able to propagate new tumors in syngeneic host larvae (Ignatius et al. 2012). In neuroblastoma, crossing of nMyc-expressing zebrafish with ALK- and LMO1-expressing zebrafish demonstrated the greater aggressiveness of the compound tumors and shed light on the influence of these secondary lesions on sites of metastasis (Zhu et al. 2012, 2017).

LOF mutations, such as those that occur in tumor suppressor genes, have traditionally been more challenging to induce in zebrafish. Efforts to target the zebrafish homolog of the TP53 tumor suppressor, mutated in > 50% of human cancers, is illustrative of the evolving paradigm for targeting LOF mutations with the emergence of new technologies. Targeting Induced Local Lesions In Genomes is a classic forward genetic strategy that was employed to generate the first zebrafish p53 mutants. *tp53^{M214K}* fish with a mutation in the DNA-binding domains of exon 7 of zebrafish tp53 developed malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors in homozygous animals between 8 and 16 months of age (Berghmans et al. 2005). An ENU-based screen to generate p53 mutants was subsequently applied using suppression of radiation-induced p53-dependent apoptosis as a readout. Both tp53^{I66T} homozygotes and heterozygotes developed soft tissue sarcomas beginning at 8 months of age, with loss of heterozygosity in the tumor cells reminiscent of what occurs in Li-Fraumeni syndrome (LFS) (Parant *et al.* 2010). More recent efforts to model LFS in zebrafish have employed CRISPR-based genomic editing to induce the specific point mutations found in these patients into the zebrafish genome (S. V. Prykhozhij and J. N. Berman, unpublished observations). Tumor phenotypes of these fish are still being evaluated. The phenomenon of germline incorporation of oncogenic lesions in subsequent generations of both transgenically modified or CRISPR-engineered zebrafish may make this model particularly well suited for the study of cancer predisposition syndromes, which are being increasingly recognized through next-generation sequencing efforts to underlie larger proportions of pediatric tumors (Figure 3B).

Zebrafish Human Cancer Xenotransplantation

Recent successes in transplanting patient-derived tumor cells into zebrafish embryos may similarly provide a preclinical drug response readout in a shorter and more clinically actionable time frame than classic patient-derived xenograft studies in mice. Moreover, the immunopermissiveness of zebrafish larvae, lacking an adaptive immune response until 4 weeks of age, coupled with their transparency, enabling direct visualization of fluorescently labeled cancer cells, provide additional advantages of this approach (Konantz et al. 2012; Veinotte et al. 2014; Wertman et al. 2016). This platform was employed to reveal a novel γ -secretase inhibitor-sensitive mutation in the NOTCH gene in a T-ALL sample from one child, by demonstrating a selective reduction in cell proliferation to compound added to the water of zebrafish larvae transplanted with this patient's diagnostic bone marrow. In contrast, a sample from a second patient with T-ALL did not respond in this assay and was found subsequently to be NOTCH wild-type (Bentley et al. 2015).

By contrast, older zebrafish larvae possess B and T lymphocytes and likely natural killer cells (Yoder *et al.* 2001, 2004, 2010; Langenau *et al.* 2004; Page *et al.* 2013; Bentley *et al.* 2015; Weir *et al.* 2015). Antibodies are produced in response to pathogens and foreign stimuli, suggesting an as yet untapped opportunity for the zebrafish to be used to study emerging immunotherapy-based treatment strategies. The transparency of the larvae, particularly through the use of pigment mutant lines, provides an unprecedented window through which to observe the interaction of fluorescently labeled components of the microenvironment, such as inflammatory cells and cytokines with transplanted tumor cells, and test strategies to interfere with these interactions to reduce tumor burden and cancer cell migration (Figure 3C).

Generalized Usability of Zebrafish in Drug Discovery and Development

Zebrafish have recently been recognized as a relevant whole animal for initial phenotype-based drug screens. Zebrafish possess the full complement of cytochrome P450 enzymes, the

Table 1 Representative zebrafish drug discovery studies

	Condition	Representative studies
Toxicity	Ototoxicity	Owens <i>et al.</i> (2008)
	Cardiotoxicity	Milan <i>et al.</i> (2003)
	Structural defects/death	Padilla et al. (2012), Sandoval et al. (2013)
	Organophosphate toxicity	Jin <i>et al.</i> (2013)
	Cyanide toxicity	Nath <i>et al.</i> (2013)
	Nanoparticle toxicity	Chakraborty <i>et al.</i> (2016)
Developmental	Mitotic defects	Stern <i>et al.</i> (2005)
	Tissue regeneration	Mathew et al. (2007)
	Hair regeneration	Namdaran <i>et al.</i> (2012), Thomas <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	Embryogenesis	Yu <i>et al.</i> (2008), Colanesi <i>et al.</i> (2012)
	Dorsalization	Hao <i>et al.</i> (2013)
	Ectopic tail	Gebruers et al. (2013)
	Hearing	Ou <i>et al.</i> (2010)
	Craniofacial	Kong <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Behavioral	Sleep	Rihel <i>et al.</i> (2010)
	Light stimuli	Kokel <i>et al.</i> (2010)
	Learning, acoustic startle	Wolman <i>et al.</i> (2011)
	Motor activity	Kokel <i>et al.</i> (2013)
	Anxiety	Collier (2017)
Cardiovascular	Aortic coarctation	Peterson <i>et al.</i> (2004)
	Long QT syndrome	Peal <i>et al.</i> (2011)
	Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy	Becker et al. (2012), Becker and Rinkwitz (2012)
	Cardiomyopathy	Liu and Leach (2011), Asimaki <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Vascular	Angiogenesis	Tran <i>et al.</i> (2007), Wang <i>et al.</i> (2010), Vazão <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Respiratory	Tuberculosis	Ordas et al. (2015)
	Hyperventilation	Rahbar <i>et al.</i> (2016)
Neurological	Notochord defects	Ishizaki <i>et al.</i> (2010)
Hematopoiesis	Hematopoiesis	North <i>et al.</i> (2007)
	B-cell differentiation	Rovira <i>et al.</i> (2011)
	B-cell proliferation	Tsuji <i>et al.</i> (2014)
	Leukocyte migration	Liu <i>et al.</i> (2013)
	Anemia	Fernández-Murray <i>et al.</i> (2016)
Gastrointestinal and Metabolism	Lipid absorption	Clifton <i>et al.</i> (2010)
	Glucose homeostasis	Nath <i>et al.</i> (2015)
	Intestinal motility	de Alvarenga <i>et al.</i> (2017)
	Inflammatory bowel disease	Hanyang et al. (2017)
Cancer	Leukemia	Yeh <i>et al.</i> (2009), Ridges <i>et al.</i> (2012), Gutierrez <i>et al.</i> (2014),
	Malanama	Deveau et al. (2017), Hanyang et al. (2017)
		$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$
Renal	vvnt-activated cancers	
	POIYCYSTIC KIGNEY GISEASE	Cao $et al. (2009)$
	ivephroprotectant	Stidiffid et al. (2014)

major drug-metabolizing and drug-drug interaction enzymes present in humans (Goldstone et al. 2010). A systematic review of drugs tested in zebrafish demonstrated that not only were the therapeutic effects maintained, but also their distribution, metabolism, excretion, and allocation into specific organs, such as the brain, was conserved between zebrafish and humans (Figure 3A). For example, of 23 drugs with known cardiotoxicity in humans due to repolarization activity, 22 were also found to be cardiotoxic in zebrafish due to aberrant repolarization (MacRae and Peterson 2015). A separate study investigated 10 compounds with diverse effects across several organs and found that 8 of the 10 produced the desired effect in zebrafish to that observed in mouse models of each disease (Asnani and Peterson 2014). As an intact organism with conserved drug metabolism, zebrafish can reveal the efficacy of compounds in which downstream

metabolites are the active components, as well as provide insights into toxicities that may need to be considered in transitioning findings to clinical trials (Figure 3D).

The advent of high-throughput embryo sorting and handling has made moderate-to-high- throughput phenotypic screening in zebrafish feasible. To date, > 65 small-molecule screens have been completed in zebrafish and have identified both opportunities for drug repurposing, whereby known drugs were found to ameliorate the zebrafish model of the human disease, as well as novel small molecules (Table 1) (MacRae and Peterson 2015). FDA-approved drugs can often move directly to testing in human patients, since their dosing and safety profiles are established. In some cases, efficacy studies in mice may be warranted to establish dosing for the specific disease under study. As discussed above, small drug-like lead molecules need to undergo further testing in mice for efficacy to move them to candidate drug status, possibly following chemistry for drug optimization and preclinical studies to establish pharmacological and safety profiles.

Summary and Future Directions

Model organisms have been, and continue to be, instrumental in genotype–phenotype correlations. This is becoming especially apparent with the speed and reduced cost of exome and genome sequencing, enabling the rapid identification of potential causal genes for genetic conditions. Model organisms across the spectrum have been a major driver of establishing genetic and chemical genetic relationships. The relevance of preclinical studies in model organisms such as yeast and zebrafish has never been more poignant than in the current era of personalized medicine. CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing can be used to induce specific patient-derived germline and somatic mutations, producing robust phenotypes that can be readily evaluated.

We propose that the time is ripe for the humanization of model organisms as part of a large-scale initiative, with a particular focus on diseases that are genetic in origin. Ultimately, this effort will permit major screening projects to identify potential drug targets through genetic screens, and potential therapies through chemical genetic screens. If model organism humanization is performed simultaneously across several models, immediate cross-validation would be possible and should accelerate the movement of potential therapies toward the clinic.

Acknowledgments

Work on the science described in this review is supported by awards from the Terry Fox Research Institute (grant number 1046), Genome Canada, and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) Rare Disease Models and Mechanisms Network (grant RCN-137793) to J.N.B., and award from the CIHR and Genome Canada to C.R.M.

Literature Cited

- Ablain, J., E. M. Durand, S. Yang, Y. Zhou, and L. I. Zon, 2015 A CRISPR/Cas9 vector system for tissue-specific gene disruption in zebrafish. Dev. Cell 32: 756–764.
- Albrecht, D., J. Ceschin, J. Dompierre, F. Gueniot, B. Pinson et al., 2016 Chemo-genetic interactions between histone modification and the antiproliferation drug AICAR are conserved in yeast and humans. Genetics 204: 1447–1460.
- Armstrong, G. A., M. Liao, Z. You, A. Lissouba, B. E. Chen *et al.*, 2016 Homology directed knockin of point mutations in the zebrafish tardbp and fus genes in ALS using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. PLoS One 11: e0150188.
- Asimaki, A., S. Kapoor, E. Plovie, A. Karin Arndt, E. Adams *et al.*, 2014 Identification of a new modulator of the intercalated disc in a zebrafish model of arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy. Sci. Transl. Med. 6: 240ra74.
- Asnani, A., and R. T. Peterson, 2014 The zebrafish as a tool to identify novel therapies for human cardiovascular disease. Dis. Model. Mech. 7: 763–767.

- Auer, T. O., K. Duroure, A. De Cian, J. P. Concordet, and F. Del Bene, 2014 Highly efficient CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knock-in in zebrafish by homology-independent DNA repair. Genome Res. 24: 142–153.
- Balci, T. B., S. V. Prykhozhij, E. M. Teh, S. I. Da'as, E. McBride et al., 2014 A transgenic zebrafish model expressing KIT-D816V recapitulates features of aggressive systemic mastocytosis. Br. J. Haematol. 167: 48–61.
- Bamshad, M. J., S. B. Ng, A. W. Bigham, H. K. Tabor, M. J. Emond et al., 2011 Exome sequencing as a tool for Mendelian disease gene discovery. Nat. Rev. Genet. 12: 745–755.
- Bassett, D. I., and P. D. Currie, 2003 The zebrafish as a model for muscular dystrophy and congenital myopathy. Hum. Mol. Genet. 12(Suppl. 2): R265–R270.
- Becker, J. R., T. Y. Robinson, C. Sachidanandan, A. E. Kelly, S. Coy et al., 2012 In vivo natriuretic peptide reporter assay identifies chemical modifiers of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy signalling. Cardiovasc. Res. 93: 463–470.
- Becker, T. S., and S. Rinkwitz, 2012 Zebrafish as a genomics model for human neurological and polygenic disorders. Dev. Neurobiol. 72: 415–428.
- Bentley, V. L., C. J. Veinotte, D. P. Corkery, J. B. Pinder, M. A. Leblanc *et al.*, 2015 Focused chemical genomics using zebrafish xenotransplantation as a pre-clinical therapeutic platform for T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Haematologica 100: 70–76.
- Berger, J., S. Berger, T. E. Hall, G. J. Lieschke, and P. D. Currie, 2010 Dystrophin-deficient zebrafish feature aspects of the Duchenne muscular dystrophy pathology. Neuromuscul. Disord. 20: 826–832.
- Berghmans, S., R. D. Murphey, E. Wienholds, D. Neuberg, J. L. Kutok *et al.*, 2005 Tp53 mutant zebrafish develop malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102: 407–412.
- Bian, Y., R. Kitagawa, P. K. Bansal, Y. Fujii, A. Stepanov *et al.*, 2014 Synthetic genetic array screen identifies PP2A as a therapeutic target in Mad2-overexpressing tumors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111: 1628–1633.
- Bill, B. R., A. M. Petzold, K. J. Clark, L. A. Schimmenti, and S. C. Ekker, 2009 A primer for morpholino use in zebrafish. Zebrafish 6: 69–77.
- Boone, C., H. Bussey, and B. J. Andrews, 2007 Exploring genetic interactions and networks with yeast. Nat. Rev. Genet. 8: 437– 449.
- Bosse, K. R., and J. M. Maris, 2016 Advances in the translational genomics of neuroblastoma: from improving risk stratification and revealing novel biology to identifying actionable genomic alterations. Cancer 122: 20–33.
- Boutros, M., A. A. Kiger, S. Armknecht, K. Kerr, M. Hild *et al.*, 2004 Genome-wide RNAi analysis of growth and viability in Drosophila cells. Science 303: 832–835.
- Boycott, K. M., M. R. Vanstone, D. E. Bulman, and A. E. MacKenzie, 2013 Rare-disease genetics in the era of next-generation sequencing: discovery to translation. Nat. Rev. Genet. 14: 681– 691.
- Boycott, K. M., D. A. Dyment, S. L. Sawyer, M. R. Vanstone, and C. L. Beaulieu, 2014 Identification of genes for childhood heritable diseases. Annu. Rev. Med. 65: 19–31.
- Bronstein, M. G., and E. D. Kakkis, 2016 Patients as key partners in rare disease drug development. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 15: 731–732.
- Cao, Y., N. Semanchik, S. H. Lee, S. Somlo, P. E. Barbano *et al.*, 2009 Chemical modifier screen identifies HDAC inhibitors as suppressors of PKD models. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 106: 21819–21824.
- Ceasar, S. A., V. Rajan, S. V. Prykhozhij, J. N. Berman, and S. Ignacimuthu, 2016 Insert, remove or replace: a highly advanced

genome editing system using CRISPR/Cas9. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1863: 2333–2344.

- Ceol, C. J., Y. Houvras, J. Jane-Valbuena, S. Bilodeau, D. A. Orlando et al., 2011 The histone methyltransferase SETDB1 is recurrently amplified in melanoma and accelerates its onset. Nature 471: 513–517.
- Cha, Y., T. Erez, I. J. Reynolds, D. Kumar, J. Ross *et al.*, 2018 Drug repurposing from the perspective of pharmaceutical companies. Br. J. Pharmacol. 175: 168–180.
- Chagtai, T., C. Zill, L. Dainese, J. Wegert, S. Savola *et al.*, 2016 Gain of 1q as a prognostic biomarker in Wilms tumors (WTs) treated with preoperative chemotherapy in the International Society of Paediatric Oncology (SIOP) WT 2001 trial: a SIOP renal tumours biology consortium study. J. Clin. Oncol. 34: 3195–3203.
- Chakraborty, C., A. R. Sharma, G. Sharma, and S.-S. Lee, 2016 Zebrafish: a complete animal model to enumerate the nanoparticle toxicity. J. Nanobiotechnology 14: 65.
- Chartier-Harlin, M. C., F. Crawford, H. Houlden, A. Warren, D. Hughes *et al.*, 1991 Early-onset Alzheimer's disease caused by mutations at codon 717 of the beta-amyloid precursor protein gene. Nature 353: 844–846.
- Chartier-Harlin, M. C., J. Kachergus, C. Roumier, V. Mouroux, X. Douay *et al.*, 2004 Alpha-synuclein locus duplication as a cause of familial Parkinson's disease. Lancet 364: 1167–1169.
- Chatr-Aryamontri, A., R. Oughtred, L. Boucher, J. Rust, C. Chang *et al.*, 2017 The BioGRID interaction database: 2017 update. Nucleic Acids Res. 45(D1): D369–D379.
- Chen, R., L. Shi, J. Hakenberg, B. Naughton, P. Sklar et al., 2016 Analysis of 589,306 genomes identifies individuals resilient to severe Mendelian childhood diseases. Nat. Biotechnol. 34: 531–538.
- Chung, C. Y., V. Khurana, P. K. Auluck, D. F. Tardiff, J. R. Mazzulli et al., 2013 Identification and rescue of -synuclein toxicity in Parkinson patient-derived neurons. Science 342: 983–987.
- Citron, M., T. Oltersdorf, C. Haass, L. McConlogue, A. Y. Hung et al., 1992 Mutation of the beta-amyloid precursor protein in familial Alzheimer's disease increases beta-protein production. Nature 360: 672–674.
- Clifton, J. D., E. Lucumi, M. C. Myers, A. Napper, K. Hama *et al.*, 2010 Identification of novel inhibitors of dietary lipid absorption using zebrafish. PLoS One 5: e12386.
- Colanesi, S., K. L. Taylor, N. D. Temperley, P. R. Lundegaard, D. Liu et al., 2012 Small molecule screening identifies targetable zebrafish pigmentation pathways. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. 25: 131–143.
- Collier, A., 2017 Anxiety-like behaviors and c-Fos expression in adult zebrafish: effects of housing conditions, alcohol and caffeine. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg.
- Cong, F., A. K. Cheung, and S.-M. A. Huang, 2012 Chemical genetics–based target identification in drug discovery. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 52: 57–78.
- Cong, L., F. A. Ran, D. Cox, S. Lin, R. Barretto *et al.*, 2013 Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. Science 339: 819–823.
- Cooper, S., J. Rubens, and J. Bodurtha, 2017 Five pediatric cancers - update on genetic implications. Curr. Pediatr. Rev. 13: 42–48.
- Corsello, S. M., J. A. Bittker, Z. Liu, J. Gould, P. McCarren *et al.*, 2017 The drug repurposing hub: a next-generation drug library and information resource. Nat. Med. 23: 405–408.
- Costanzo, M., A. Baryshnikova, J. Bellay, Y. Kim, E. D. Spear *et al.*, 2010 The genetic landscape of a cell. Science 327: 425–431.
- Costanzo, M., B. VanderSluis, E. N. Koch, A. Baryshnikova, C. Pons *et al.*, 2016 A global genetic interaction network maps a wiring diagram of cellular function. Science 353: aaf1420.

- Cuesta-Marbán, Á., J. Botet, O. Czyz, L. M. Cacharro, C. Gajate *et al.*, 2013 Drug uptake, lipid rafts, and vesicle trafficking modulate resistance to an anticancer lysophosphatidylcholine analogue in yeast. J. Biol. Chem. 288: 8405–8418.
- Curwin, A. J., G. D. Fairn, and C. R. McMaster, 2009 Phospholipid transfer protein Sec14 is required for trafficking from endosomes and regulates distinct trans-Golgi export pathways. J. Biol. Chem. 284: 7364–7375.
- Czyz, O., T. Bitew, A. Cuesta-Marbán, C. R. McMaster, F. Mollinedo et al., 2013 Alteration of plasma membrane organization by an anticancer lysophosphatidylcholine analogue induces intracellular acidification and internalization of plasma membrane transporters in yeast. J. Biol. Chem. 288: 8419–8432.
- Da'as, S., E. M. Teh, J. T. Dobson, G. K. Nasrallah, E. R. McBride et al., 2011 Zebrafish mast cells possess an FccRI-like receptor and participate in innate and adaptive immune responses. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 35: 125–134.
- Da'as, S. I., A. J. Coombs, T. B. Balci, C. A. Grondin, A. A. Ferrando et al., 2012 The zebrafish reveals dependence of the mast cell lineage on Notch signaling in vivo. Blood 119: 3585–3594.
- Davis, R. J., C. M. D'Cruz, M. A. Lovell, J. A. Biegel, and F. G. Barr, 1994 Fusion of PAX7 to FKHR by the variant t(1;13)(p36;q14) translocation in alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma. Cancer Res. 54: 2869–2872.
- de Alvarenga, K. A. F., E. K. Sacramento, D. V. Rosa, B. R. Souza, V. B. de Rezende *et al.*, 2017 Effects of antipsychotics on intestinal motility in zebrafish larvae. Neurogastroenterol. Motil. 29: e13006.
- Deltcheva, E., K. Chylinski, C. M. Sharma, K. Gonzales, Y. Chao *et al.*, 2011 CRISPR RNA maturation by trans-encoded small RNA and host factor RNase III. Nature 471: 602–607.
- den Hertog, J., 2016 Tumor suppressors in zebrafish: from TP53 to PTEN and beyond. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 916: 87–101.
- Desogus, A., S. Schenone, C. Brullo, C. Tintori, and F. Musumeci, 2015 Bcr-Abl tyrosine kinase inhibitors: a patent review. Expert Opin. Ther. Pat. 25: 397–412.
- Deveau, A. P., V. L. Bentley, and J. N. Berman, 2017 Using zebrafish models of leukemia to streamline drug screening and discovery. Exp. Hematol. 45: 1–9.
- Dixon, S. J., Y. Fedyshyn, J. L. Y. Koh, T. S. K. Prasad, C. Chahwan et al., 2008 Significant conservation of synthetic lethal genetic interaction networks between distantly related eukaryotes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105: 16653–16658.
- Dixon, S. J., M. Costanzo, A. Baryshnikova, B. Andrews, and C. Boone, 2009 Systematic mapping of genetic interaction networks. Annu. Rev. Genet. 43: 601–625.
- Dobson, J. T., J. Seibert, E. M. Teh, S. Da'as, R. B. Fraser *et al.*, 2008 Carboxypeptidase A5 identifies a novel mast cell lineage in the zebrafish providing new insight into mast cell fate determination. Blood 112: 2969–2972.
- Dodge, J. A., T. Chigladze, J. Donadieu, Z. Grossman, F. Ramos *et al.*, 2011 The importance of rare diseases: from the gene to society. Arch. Dis. Child. 96: 791–792.
- Dowell, R. D., O. Ryan, A. Jansen, D. Cheung, S. Agarwala *et al.*, 2010 Genotype to phenotype: a complex problem. Science 328: 469.
- Driever, W., L. Solnica-Krezel, A. F. Schier, S. C. Neuhauss, J. Malicki *et al.*, 1996 A genetic screen for mutations affecting embryogenesis in zebrafish. Development 123: 37–46.
- Druker, B. J., C. L. Sawyers, H. Kantarjian, D. J. Resta, S. F. Reese et al., 2001 Activity of a specific inhibitor of the BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase in the blast crisis of chronic myeloid leukemia and acute lymphoblastic leukemia with the Philadelphia chromosome. N. Engl. J. Med. 344: 1038–1042.
- Duffy, S., H. K. Fam, Y. K. Wang, E. B. Styles, J.-H. Kim et al., 2016 Overexpression screens identify conserved dosage chromosome instability genes in yeast and human cancer. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 113: 9967–9976.

- Eder, J., R. Sedrani, and C. Wiesmann, 2014 The discovery of first-in-class drugs: origins and evolution. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 13: 577–587.
- Ekins, S., 2017 Industrializing rare disease therapy discovery and development. Nat. Biotechnol. 35: 117–118.
- Ekker, S. C., and J. D. Larson, 2001 Morphant technology in model developmental systems. Genesis 30: 89–93.
- Enserink, J. M., 2012 Chemical genetics: budding yeast as a platform for drug discovery and mapping of genetic pathways. *Molecules* 17: 9258–9272.
- Esvelt, K. M., P. Mali, J. L. Braff, M. Moosburner, S. J. Yaung et al., 2013 Orthogonal Cas9 proteins for RNA-guided gene regulation and editing. Nat. Methods 10: 1116–1121.
- Fairn, G. D., and C. R. McMaster, 2005 Studying phospholipid metabolism using yeast systematic and chemical genetics. Methods 36: 102–108.
- Fairn, G. D., K. MacDonald, and C. R. McMaster, 2007 A chemogenomic screen in Saccharomyces cerevisiae uncovers a primary role for the mitochondria in farnesol toxicity and its regulation by the Pkc1 pathway. J. Biol. Chem. 282: 4868–4874.
- Farhan, S. M. K., K. C. J. Nixon, M. Everest, T. N. Edwards, S. Long *et al.*, 2017 Identification of a novel synaptic protein, TMTC3, involved in periventricular nodular heterotopia with intellectual disability and epilepsy. Hum. Mol. Genet. 26: 4278–4289.
- Fear, J. M., L. G. Leon-Novelo, A. M. Morse, A. R. Gerken, K. Van Lehmann *et al.*, 2016 Buffering of genetic regulatory networks in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 203: 1177–1190.
- Fernández-Murray, J. P., S. V. Prykhozhij, J. N. Dufay, S. L. Steele, D. Gaston *et al.*, 2016 Glycine and folate ameliorate models of congenital sideroblastic anemia. PLoS Genet. 12: e1005783.
- Foley, K. E., 2015 Model network: Canadian program aims to generate models for rare disease. Nat. Med. 21: 1242–1243.
- Forrester, A. M., C. Grabher, E. R. Mcbride, E. R. Boyd, M. H. Vigerstad *et al.*, 2011 NUP98-HOXA9-transgenic zebrafish develop a myeloproliferative neoplasm and provide new insight into mechanisms of myeloid leukaemogenesis. Br. J. Haematol. 155: 167–181.
- Frazer, J. K., N. D. Meeker, L. Rudner, D. F. Bradley, A. C. Smith et al., 2009 Heritable T-cell malignancy models established in a zebrafish phenotypic screen. Leukemia 23: 1825–1835.
- Frosk, P., H. H. Arts, J. Philippe, C. S. Gunn, E. L. Brown *et al.*, 2017 A truncating mutation in CEP55 is the likely cause of MARCH, a novel syndrome affecting neuronal mitosis. J. Med. Genet. 54: 490–501.
- Funayama, M., K. Hasegawa, E. Ohta, N. Kawashima, M. Komiyama et al., 2005 An LRRK2 mutation as a cause for the Parkinsonism in the original PARK8 family. Ann. Neurol. 57: 918–921.
- Galili, N., R. J. Davis, W. J. Fredericks, S. Mukhopadhyay, F. J. Rauscher, III *et al.*, 1993 Fusion of a fork head domain gene to PAX3 in the solid tumour alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma. Nat. Genet. 5: 230–235 (erratum: Nat. Genet. 6: 214).
- Garneau, J. E., M. E. Dupuis, M. Villion, D. A. Romero, R. Barrangou *et al.*, 2010 The CRISPR/Cas bacterial immune system cleaves bacteriophage and plasmid DNA. Nature 468: 67–71.
- Gaspard, G. J., and C. R. McMaster, 2015 The mitochondrial quality control protein Yme1 is necessary to prevent defective mitophagy in a yeast model of Barth syndrome. J. Biol. Chem. 290: 9284–9298.
- Gebruers, E., M. L. Cordero-Maldonado, A. I. Gray, C. Clements, A. L. Harvey *et al.*, 2013 A phenotypic screen in zebrafish identifies a novel small-molecule inducer of ectopic tail formation suggestive of alterations in non-canonical Wnt/PCP signaling. PLoS One 8: e83293.
- Gerbaulet, A., C. Wickenhauser, J. Scholten, K. Peschke, S. Drube et al., 2011 Mast cell hyperplasia, B-cell malignancy, and intestinal inflammation in mice with conditional expression of a constitutively active kit. Blood 117: 2012–2021.

- Giaever, G., D. D. Shoemaker, T. W. Jones, H. Liang, E. Winzeler *et al.*, 1999 Genomic profiling of drug sensitivities via induced haploinsufficiency. Nat. Genet. 21: 278–283.
- Giaever, G., P. Flaherty, J. Kumm, M. Proctor, C. Nislow *et al.*, 2004 Chemogenomic profiling: identifying the functional interactions of small molecules in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101: 793–798.
- Goldstone, J. V., A. G. McArthur, A. Kubota, J. Zanette, T. Parente *et al.*, 2010 Identification and developmental expression of the full complement of cytochrome P450 genes in zebrafish. BMC Genomics 11: 643.
- Golla, U., D. Joseph, and R. S. Tomar, 2016 Combined transcriptomics and chemical-genetics reveal molecular mode of action of valproic acid, an anticancer molecule using budding yeast model. Sci. Rep. 6: 35322.
- Grundy, P. E., P. E. Telzerow, N. Breslow, J. Moksness, V. Huff *et al.*, 1994 Loss of heterozygosity for chromosomes 16q and 1p in Wilms' tumors predicts an adverse outcome. Cancer Res. 54: 2331–2333.
- Guernsey, D. L., H. Jiang, D. R. Campagna, S. C. Evans, M. Ferguson et al., 2009 Mutations in mitochondrial carrier family gene SLC25A38 cause nonsyndromic autosomal recessive congenital sideroblastic anemia. Nat. Genet. 41: 651–653.
- Guernsey, D. L., M. Matsuoka, H. Jiang, S. Evans, C. Macgillivray et al., 2011 Mutations in origin recognition complex gene ORC4 cause Meier-Gorlin syndrome. Nat. Genet. 43: 360–364.
- Gutierrez, A., L. Pan, R. W. J. Groen, F. Baleydier, A. Kentsis *et al.*, 2014 Phenothiazines induce PP2A-mediated apoptosis in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J. Clin. Invest. 124: 644– 655.
- Haas, M., V. Vlcek, P. Balabanov, T. Salmonson, S. Bakchine *et al.*, 2015 European medicines agency review of ataluren for the treatment of ambulant patients aged 5 years and older with Duchenne muscular dystrophy resulting from a nonsense mutation in the dystrophin gene. Neuromuscul. Disord. 25: 5–13.
- Hamza, A., E. Tammpere, M. Kofoed, C. Keong, J. Chiang *et al.*, 2015 Complementation of yeast genes with human genes as an experimental platform for functional testing of human genetic variants. Genetics 201: 1263–1274.
- Hanyang, L., L. Xuanzhe, C. Xuyang, Q. Yujia, F. Jiarong *et al.*, 2017 Application of zebrafish models in inflammatory bowel disease. Front. Immunol. 8: 501.
- Hao, J., A. Ao, L. Zhou, C. K. Murphy, A. Y. Frist *et al.*, 2013 Selective small molecule targeting β -catenin function discovered by in vivo chemical genetic screen. Cell Rep. 4: 898–904.
- Hartman, J. L., B. Garvik, and L. Hartwell, 2001 Principles for the buffering of genetic variation. Science 291: 1001–1004.
- Hettmer, S., and A. J. Wagers, 2010 Muscling in: uncovering the origins of rhabdomyosarcoma. Nat. Med. 16: 171–173.
- Hillenmeyer, M. E., E. Fung, J. Wildenhain, S. E. Pierce, S. Hoon *et al.*, 2008 The chemical genomic portrait of yeast: uncovering a phenotype for all genes. Science 320: 362–365.
- Hisano, Y., T. Sakuma, S. Nakade, R. Ohga, S. Ota *et al.*, 2015 Precise in-frame integration of exogenous DNA mediated by CRISPR/ Cas9 system in zebrafish. Sci. Rep. 5: 8841.
- Ho, C. H., J. Piotrowski, S. J. Dixon, A. Baryshnikova, M. Costanzo et al., 2011 Combining functional genomics and chemical biology to identify targets of bioactive compounds. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 15: 66–78.
- Hobert, O., 2016 A map of terminal regulators of neuronal identity in Caenorhabditis elegans. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Dev. Biol. 5: 474–498.
- Howe, D. G., Y. M. Bradford, A. Eagle, D. Fashena, K. Frazer *et al.*, 2017 The zebrafish model organism database: new support for human disease models, mutation details, gene expression phenotypes and searching. Nucleic Acids Res. 45(D1): D758–D768.

- Howe, K., M. D. Clark, C. F. Torroja, J. Torrance, C. Berthelot *et al.*, 2013 The zebrafish reference genome sequence and its relationship to the human genome. Nature 496: 498–503 (erratum: Nature 505: 248).
- Hruscha, A., P. Krawitz, A. Rechenberg, V. Heinrich, J. Hecht *et al.*, 2013 Efficient CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing with low off-target effects in zebrafish. Development 140: 4982–4987.
- Hwang, W. Y., Y. Fu, D. Reyon, M. L. Maeder, P. Kaini *et al.*, 2013 Heritable and precise zebrafish genome editing using a CRISPR-Cas system. PLoS One 8: e68708.
- Ignatius, M. S., E. Chen, N. M. Elpek, A. Z. Fuller, I. M. Tenente *et al.*, 2012 In vivo imaging of tumor-propagating cells, regional tumor heterogeneity, and dynamic cell movements in embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma. Cancer Cell 21: 680–693.
- Ishizaki, H., M. Spitzer, J. Wildenhain, C. Anastasaki, Z. Zeng et al., 2010 Combined zebrafish-yeast chemical-genetic screens reveal gene-copper-nutrition interactions that modulate melanocyte pigmentation. Dis. Model. Mech. 3: 639–651.
- Jaiswal, M., H. Sandoval, K. Zhang, V. Bayat, and H. J. Bellen, 2012 Probing mechanisms that underlie human neurodegenerative diseases in Drosophila. Annu. Rev. Genet. 46: 371–396.
- Jao, L. E., S. R. Wente, and W. Chen, 2013 Efficient multiplex biallelic zebrafish genome editing using a CRISPR nuclease system. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 110: 13904–13909.
- Jeha, S., E. Coustan-Smith, D. Pei, J. T. Sandlund, J. E. Rubnitz *et al.*, 2014 Impact of tyrosine kinase inhibitors on minimal residual disease and outcome in childhood Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Cancer 120: 1514–1519.
- Jin, S., K. S. Sarkar, Y. N. Jin, Y. Liu, D. Kokel *et al.*, 2013 An in vivo zebrafish screen identifies organophosphate antidotes with diverse mechanisms of action. J. Biomol. Screen. 18: 108–115.
- Jinek, M., K. Chylinski, I. Fonfara, M. Hauer, J. A. Doudna *et al.*, 2012 A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. Science 337: 816–821.
- Joppi, R., C. Gerardi, V. Bertele, and S. Garattini, 2016 Letting post-marketing bridge the evidence gap: the case of orphan drugs. BMJ 353: i2978.
- Kachroo, A. H., J. M. Laurent, C. M. Yellman, A. G. Meyer, C. O. Wilke *et al.*, 2015 Systematic humanization of yeast genes reveals conserved functions and genetic modularity. Science 348: 921–925.
- Kawakami, K., K. Asakawa, M. Hibi, M. Itoh, A. Muto *et al.*, 2016 Gal4 driver transgenic zebrafish: powerful tools to study developmental biology, organogenesis, and neuroscience. Adv. Genet. 95: 65–87.
- Klein, C., and K. Lohmann-Hedrich, 2007 Impact of recent genetic findings in Parkinson's disease. Curr. Opin. Neurol. 20: 453–464.
- Kok, F. O., M. Shin, C. W. Ni, A. Gupta, A. S. Grosse *et al.*, 2015 Reverse genetic screening reveals poor correlation between morpholino-induced and mutant phenotypes in zebrafish. Dev. Cell 32: 97–108.
- Kokel, D., J. Bryan, C. Laggner, R. White, C. Y. J. Cheung *et al.*, 2010 Rapid behavior-based identification of neuroactive small molecules in the zebrafish. Nat. Chem. Biol. 6: 231–237.
- Kokel, D., C. Y. J. Cheung, R. Mills, J. Coutinho-Budd, L. Huang et al., 2013 Photochemical activation of TRPA1 channels in neurons and animals. Nat. Chem. Biol. 9: 257–263.
- Konantz, M., T. B. Balci, U. F. Hartwig, G. Dellaire, M. C. André et al., 2012 Zebrafish xenografts as a tool for in vivo studies on human cancer. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1266: 124–137.
- Kong, Y., M. Grimaldi, E. Curtin, M. Dougherty, C. Kaufman *et al.*, 2014 Neural crest development and craniofacial morphogenesis is coordinated by nitric oxide and histone acetylation. Chem. Biol. 21: 488–501.

- Langenau, D. M., 2003 Myc-induced T cell leukemia in transgenic zebrafish. Science 299: 887–890.
- Langenau, D. M., A. A. Ferrando, D. Traver, J. L. Kutok, J.-P. D. Hezel *et al.*, 2004 In vivo tracking of T cell development, ablation, and engraftment in transgenic zebrafish. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101: 7369–7374.
- Langenau, D. M., M. D. Keefe, N. Y. Storer, J. R. Guyon, J. L. Kutok et al., 2007 Effects of RAS on the genesis of embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma. Genes Dev. 21: 1382–1395.
- Langenau, D. M., M. D. Keefe, N. Y. Storer, C. Jette, A. C. H. Smith et al., 2008 Co-injection strategies to modify radiation sensitivity and tumor initiation in transgenic Zebrafish. Oncogene 27: 4242–4248.
- Leacock, S. W., A. N. Basse, G. L. Chandler, A. M. Kirk, D. Rakheja et al., 2012 A zebrafish transgenic model of Ewing's sarcoma reveals conserved mediators of EWS-FL11 tumorigenesis. Dis. Model. Mech. 5: 95–106.
- LeBlanc, M. A., A. Bettle, J. N. Berman, V. E. Price, C. Pambrun *et al.*, 2016 Study of glycine and folic acid supplementation to ameliorate transfusion dependence in congenital SLC25A38 mutated sideroblastic anemia. Pediatr. Blood Cancer 63: 1307–1309.
- Lee, A. Y., R. P. St Onge, M. J. Proctor, I. M. Wallace, A. H. Nile *et al.*, 2014 Mapping the cellular response to small molecules using chemogenomic fitness signatures. Science 344: 208–211.
- Lehner, B., 2013 Genotype to phenotype: lessons from model organisms for human genetics. Nat. Rev. Genet. 14: 168–178.
- Lek, M., K. J. Karczewski, E. V. Minikel, K. E. Samocha, E. Banks *et al.*, 2016 Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. Nature 536: 285–291.
- Lemieux, G. A., and K. Ashrafi, 2015 Neural regulatory pathways of feeding and fat in Caenorhabditis elegans. Annu. Rev. Genet. 49: 413–438.
- Li, M., M. Andersson-Lendahl, T. Sejersen, and A. Arner, 2014 Muscle dysfunction and structural defects of dystrophin-null sapje mutant zebrafish larvae are rescued by ataluren treatment. FASEB J. 28: 1593–1599.
- Liu, S., and S. D. Leach, 2011 Screening pancreatic oncogenes in zebrafish using the Gal4/UAS system. *Methods Cell Biol.* 105: 367–381.
- Liu, Y. J., H. B. Fan, Y. Jin, C. G. Ren, X. E. Jia *et al.*, 2013 Cannabinoid receptor 2 suppresses leukocyte inflammatory migration by modulating the JNK/c-Jun/Alox5 pathway. J. Biol. Chem. 288: 13551–13562.
- MacRae, C. A., and R. T. Peterson, 2015 Zebrafish as tools for drug discovery. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 14: 721–731.
- Mali, P., J. Aach, P. B. Stranges, K. M. Esvelt, M. Moosburner et al., 2013a CAS9 transcriptional activators for target specificity screening and paired nickases for cooperative genome engineering. Nat. Biotechnol. 31: 833–838.
- Mali, P., K. M. Esvelt, and G. M. Church, 2013b Cas9 as a versatile tool for engineering biology. Nat. Methods 10: 957–963.
- Mathew, L. K., S. Sengupta, A. Kawakami, E. A. Andreasen, C. V. Löhr *et al.*, 2007 Unraveling tissue regeneration pathways using chemical genetics. J. Biol. Chem. 282: 35202–35210.
- Matlack, K. E. S., D. F. Tardiff, P. Narayan, S. Hamamichi, K. A. Caldwell *et al.*, 2014 Clioquinol promotes the degradation of metal-dependent amyloid- (A) oligomers to restore endocytosis and ameliorate A toxicity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111: 4013– 4018.
- Mattiazzi Usaj, M., E. B. Styles, A. J. Verster, H. Friesen, C. Boone *et al.*, 2016 High-content screening for quantitative cell biology. Trends Cell Biol. 26: 598–611.
- Mawuenyega, K. G., W. Sigurdson, V. Ovod, L. Munsell, T. Kasten *et al.*, 2010 Decreased clearance of CNS beta-amyloid in Alzheimer's disease. Science 330: 1774.
- Mayrhofer, M., and M. Mione, 2016 The toolbox for conditional zebrafish cancer models. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 916: 21–59.

- McLornan, D. P., A. List, and G. J. Mufti, 2014 Applying synthetic lethality for the selective targeting of cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 371: 1725–1735.
- Milan, D. J., T. A. Peterson, J. N. Ruskin, R. T. Peterson, and C. A. MacRae, 2003 Drugs that induce repolarization abnormalities cause bradycardia in zebrafish. Circulation 107: 1355–1358.
- Millburn, G. H., M. A. Crosby, L. S. Gramates, and S. Tweedie FlyBase Consortium, 2016 FlyBase portals to human disease research using Drosophila models. Dis. Model. Mech. 9: 245– 252.
- Moffat, J. G., F. Vincent, J. A. Lee, J. Eder, and M. Prunotto, 2017 Opportunities and challenges in phenotypic drug discovery: an industry perspective. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 16: 531– 543.
- Moreau, Y., and L. C. Tranchevent, 2012 Computational tools for prioritizing candidate genes: boosting disease gene discovery. Nat. Rev. Genet. 13: 523–536.
- Mullan, M., F. Crawford, K. Axelman, H. Houlden, L. Lilius *et al.*, 1992 A pathogenic mutation for probable Alzheimer's disease in the APP gene at the N-terminus of beta-amyloid. Nat. Genet. 1: 345–347.
- Namdaran, P., K. E. Reinhart, K. N. Owens, D. W. Raible, and E. W. Rubel, 2012 Identification of modulators of hair cell regeneration in the zebrafish lateral line. J. Neurosci. 32: 3516–3528.
- Nath, A. K., L. D. Roberts, Y. Liu, S. B. Mahon, S. Kim *et al.*, 2013 Chemical and metabolomic screens identify novel biomarkers and antidotes for cyanide exposure. FASEB J. 27: 1928–1938.
- Nath, A. K., J. H. Ryu, Y. N. Jin, L. D. Roberts, A. Dejam *et al.*, 2015 PTPMT1 inhibition lowers glucose through succinate dehydrogenase phosphorylation. Cell Rep. 10: 694–701.
- Nishiya, N., Y. Oku, Y. Kumagai, Y. Sato, E. Yamaguchi *et al.*, 2014 A zebrafish chemical suppressor screening identifies small molecule inhibitors of the Wnt/ β -catenin pathway. Chem. Biol. 21: 530–540.
- North, T. E., W. Goessling, C. R. Walkley, C. Lengerke, K. R. Kopani et al., 2007 Prostaglandin E2 regulates vertebrate haematopoietic stem cell homeostasis. Nature 447: 1007–1011.
- O'Neil, N. J., M. L. Bailey, and P. Hieter, 2017 Synthetic lethality and cancer. Nat. Rev. Genet. 18: 613–623.
- Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man. An Online Catalog of Human Genes and Genetic Disorders. Available at: https://www.omim. org/. Accessed: January, 2018.
- Ordas, A., R. J. Raterink, F. Cunningham, H. J. Jansen, M. I. Wiweger *et al.*, 2015 Testing tuberculosis drug efficacy in a zebrafish high-throughput translational medicine screen. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 59: 753–762.
- Ota, S., Y. Hisano, Y. Ikawa, and A. Kawahara, 2014 Multiple genome modifications by the CRISPR/Cas9 system in zebrafish. Genes Cells 19: 555–564.
- Ou, H. C., F. Santos, D. W. Raible, J. A. Simon, and E. W. Rubel, 2010 Drug screening for hearing loss: using the zebrafish lateral line to screen for drugs that prevent and cause hearing loss. Drug Discov. Today 15: 265–271.
- Outeiro, T. F., and S. Lindquist, 2003 Yeast cells provide insight into alpha-synuclein biology and pathobiology. Science 302: 1772–1775.
- Owens, K. N., F. Santos, B. Roberts, T. Linbo, A. B. Coffin *et al.*, 2008 Identification of genetic and chemical modulators of zebrafish mechanosensory hair cell death. PLoS Genet. 4: e1000020.
- Padilla, S., D. Corum, B. Padnos, D. L. Hunter, A. Beam *et al.*, 2012 Zebrafish developmental screening of the ToxCast Phase I chemical library. Reprod. Toxicol. 33: 174–187.
- Page, D. M., V. Wittamer, J. Y. Bertrand, K. L. Lewis, D. N. Pratt *et al.*, 2013 An evolutionarily conserved program of B-cell development and activation in zebrafish. Blood 122: e1–e11.

- Parant, J. M., S. A. George, J. A. Holden, and H. J. Yost, 2010 Genetic modeling of Li-Fraumeni syndrome in zebrafish. Dis. Model. Mech. 3: 45–56.
- Patten, S. A., N. L. Jacobs-McDaniels, C. Zaouter, P. Drapeau, R. C. Albertson *et al.*, 2012 Role of Chd7 in zebrafish: a model for CHARGE syndrome. PLoS One 7: e31650.
- Peal, D. S., R. W. Mills, S. N. Lynch, J. M. Mosley, E. Lim *et al.*, 2011 Novel chemical suppressors of long QT syndrome identified by an in vivo functional screen. Circulation 123: 23–30.
- Peterson, R. T., S. Y. Shaw, T. A. Peterson, D. J. Milan, T. P. Zhong et al., 2004 Chemical suppression of a genetic mutation in a zebrafish model of aortic coarctation. Nat. Biotechnol. 22: 595–599.
- Pickart, M. A., E. W. Klee, A. L. Nielsen, S. Sivasubbu, E. M. Mendenhall *et al.*, 2006 Genome-wide reverse genetics framework to identify novel functions of the vertebrate secretome. PLoS One 1: e104.
- Polymeropoulos, M. H., C. Lavedan, E. Leroy, S. E. Ide, A. Dehejia *et al.*, 1997 Mutation in the alpha-synuclein gene identified in families with Parkinson's disease. Science 276: 2045–2047.
- Postlethwait, J. H., I. G. Woods, P. Ngo-Hazelett, Y. L. Yan, P. D. Kelly *et al.*, 2000 Zebrafish comparative genomics and the origins of vertebrate chromosomes. Genome Res. 10: 1890–1902.
- Prykhozhij, S. V., V. Rajan, D. Gaston, and J. N. Berman, 2015 CRISPR multitargeter: a web tool to find common and unique CRISPR single guide RNA targets in a set of similar sequences. PLoS One 10: e0119372 (erratum: PLoS One 10: e0138634).
- Prykhozhij, S. V., V. Rajan, and J. N. Berman, 2016 A guide to computational tools and design strategies for genome editing experiments in zebrafish using CRISPR/Cas9. Zebrafish 13: 70–73.
- Prykhozhij, S. V., S. L. Steele, B. Razaghi, and J. N. Berman, 2017 A rapid and effective method for screening, sequencing and reporter verification of engineered frameshift mutations in zebrafish. Dis. Model. Mech. 10: 811–822.
- Qiang, W., W. M. Yau, J. X. Lu, J. Collinge, and R. Tycko, 2017 Structural variation in amyloid-beta fibrils from Alzheimer's disease clinical subtypes. Nature 541: 217–221.
- Rahbar, S., W. Pan, and M. G. Jonz, 2016 Purinergic and cholinergic drugs mediate hyperventilation in zebrafish: evidence from a novel chemical screen. PLoS One 11: e0154261.
- Rajan, V., G. Dellaire, and J. N. Berman, 2016 Modeling leukemogenesis in the zebrafish using genetic and xenograftmodels. Methods Mol. Biol. 1451: 171–189.
- Ransom, D. G., P. Haffter, J. Odenthal, A. Brownlie, E. Vogelsang *et al.*, 1996 Characterization of zebrafish mutants with defects in embryonic hematopoiesis. Development 123: 311–319.
- Ridges, S., W. L. Heaton, D. Joshi, H. Choi, A. Eiring *et al.*, 2012 Zebrafish screen identifies novel compound with selective toxicity against leukemia. Blood 119: 5621–5631.
- Rihel, J., D. A. Prober, A. Arvanites, K. Lam, S. Zimmerman et al., 2010 Zebrafish behavioral profiling links drugs to biological targets and rest/wake regulation. Science 327: 348–351.
- Robitaille, J., M. L. E. MacDonald, A. Kaykas, L. C. Sheldahl, J. Zeisler *et al.*, 2002 Mutant frizzled-4 disrupts retinal angiogenesis in familial exudative vitreoretinopathy. Nat. Genet. 32: 326–330.
- Robitaille, J. M., B. Zheng, K. Wallace, M. J. Beis, C. Tatlidil *et al.*, 2011 The role of Frizzled-4 mutations in familial exudative vitreoretinopathy and coats disease. Br. J. Ophthalmol. 95: 574–579.
- Robu, M. E., J. D. Larson, A. Nasevicius, S. Beiraghi, C. Brenner et al., 2007 p53 activation by knockdown technologies. PLoS Genet. 3: e78.
- Rossi, A., Z. Kontarakis, C. Gerri, H. Nolte, S. Hölper *et al.*, 2015 Genetic compensation induced by deleterious mutations but not gene knockdowns. Nature 524: 230–233.

- Rovira, M., W. Huang, S. Yusuff, J. S. Shim, A. A. Ferrante *et al.*, 2011 Chemical screen identifies FDA-approved drugs and target pathways that induce precocious pancreatic endocrine differentiation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108: 19264–19269.
- Sabaawy, H. E., M. Azuma, L. J. Embree, H.-J. Tsai, M. F. Starost *et al.*, 2006 TEL-AML1 transgenic zebrafish model of precursor B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 103: 15166–15171.
- Sander, J. D., and J. K. Joung, 2014 CRISPR-Cas systems for editing, regulating and targeting genomes. Nat. Biotechnol. 32: 347–355.
- Sandoval, I. T., E. J. Manos, R. M. Van Wagoner, R. G. C. Delacruz, K. Edes *et al.*, 2013 Juxtaposition of chemical and mutationinduced developmental defects in zebrafish reveal a copperchelating activity for kalihinol F. Chem. Biol. 20: 753–763.
- Schirle, M., and J. L. Jenkins, 2016 Identifying compound efficacy targets in phenotypic drug discovery. Drug Discov. Today 21: 82–89.
- Seeger, R. C., G. M. Brodeur, H. Sather, A. Dalton, S. E. Siegel *et al.*, 1985 Association of multiple copies of the N-myc oncogene with rapid progression of neuroblastomas. N. Engl. J. Med. 313: 1111–1116.
- Sekigawa, M., T. Kunoh, S.-I. Wada, Y. Mukai, K. Ohshima *et al.*, 2010 Comprehensive screening of human genes with inhibitory effects on yeast growth and validation of a yeast cell-based system for screening chemicals. J. Biomol. Screen. 15: 368–378.
- Sharma, P., S. Sharma, V. Patial, D. Singh, and Y. S. Padwad, 2014 Zebrafish (Danio rerio): a potential model for nephroprotective drug screening. Clinical Queries: Nephrol. 3: 97–105.
- Shepard, J. L., J. F. Amatruda, H. M. Stern, A. Subramanian, D. Finkelstein *et al.*, 2005 A zebrafish bmyb mutation causes genome instability and increased cancer susceptibility. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102: 13194–13199.
- Silberberg, Y., M. Kupiec, and R. Sharan, 2016 Utilizing yeast chemogenomic profiles for the prediction of pharmacogenomic associations in humans. Sci. Rep. 6: 23703.
- Singleton, A. B., M. Farrer, J. Johnson, A. Singleton, S. Hague *et al.*, 2003 alpha-synuclein locus triplication causes Parkinson's disease. Science 302: 841.
- Smith, A. M., R. Ammar, C. Nislow, and G. Giaever, 2010 A survey of yeast genomic assays for drug and target discovery. Pharmacol. Ther. 127: 156–164.
- Spillantini, M. G., M. L. Schmidt, V. M. Lee, J. Q. Trojanowski, R. Jakes *et al.*, 1997 Alpha-synuclein in Lewy bodies. Nature 388: 839–840.
- Stafa, K., A. Trancikova, P. J. Webber, L. Glauser, A. B. West *et al.*, 2012 GTPase activity and neuronal toxicity of Parkinson's disease-associated LRRK2 is regulated by ArfGAP1. PLoS Genet. 8: e1002526.
- Steinmetz, L. M., C. Scharfe, A. M. Deutschbauer, D. Mokranjac, Z. S. Herman *et al.*, 2002 Systematic screen for human disease genes in yeast. Nat. Genet. 31: 400–404.
- Stern, H. M., R. D. Murphey, J. L. Shepard, J. F. Amatruda, C. T. Straub *et al.*, 2005 Small molecules that delay S phase suppress a zebrafish bmyb mutant. Nat. Chem. Biol. 1: 366–370.
- Sun, S., F. Yang, G. Tan, M. Costanzo, R. Oughtred *et al.*, 2016 An extended set of yeast-based functional assays accurately identifies human disease mutations. Genome Res. 26: 670–680.
- Tardiff, D. F., N. T. Jui, V. Khurana, M. A. Tambe, M. L. Thompson et al., 2013 Yeast reveal a "Druggable" Rsp5/Nedd4 network that ameliorates -synuclein toxicity in neurons. Science 342: 979–983.
- Tcw, J., and A. M. Goate, 2017 Genetics of β-amyloid precursor protein in Alzheimer's disease. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med. 7: a024539
- Tenreiro, S., V. Franssens, J. Winderickx, and T. F. Outeiro, 2017 Yeast models of Parkinson's disease-associated molecular pathologies. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 44: 74–83.

- Thomas, A. J., P. Wu, D. W. Raible, E. W. Rubel, J. A. Simon et al., 2015 Identification of small molecule inhibitors of cisplatininduced hair cell death. Otol. Neurotol. 36: 519–525.
- Tong, A. H. Y., M. Evangelista, A. B. Parsons, H. Xu, G. D. Bader *et al.*, 2001 Systematic genetic analysis with ordered arrays of yeast deletion mutants. Science 294: 2364–2368.
- Tong, A. H. Y., G. Lesage, G. D. Bader, H. Ding, H. Xu *et al.*, 2004 Global mapping of the yeast genetic interaction network. Science 303: 808–813.
- Tran, T. C., B. Sneed, J. Haider, D. Blavo, A. White *et al.*, 2007 Automated, quantitative screening assay for antiangiogenic compounds using transgenic zebrafish. Cancer Res. 67: 11386–11392.
- Treusch, S., S. Hamamichi, J. L. Goodman, K. E. Matlack, C. Y. Chung *et al.*, 2011 Functional links between Aβ toxicity, endocytic trafficking, and Alzheimer's disease risk factors in yeast. Science 334: 1241–1245.
- Tsuji, N., N. Ninov, M. Delawary, S. Osman, A. S. Roh et al., 2014 Whole organism high content screening identifies stimulators of pancreatic beta-cell proliferation. PLoS One 9: e104112.
- Udvadia, A. J., and E. Linney, 2003 Windows into development: historic, current, and future perspectives on transgenic zebra-fish. Dev. Biol. 256: 1–17.
- Ugur, B., K. Chen, and H. J. Bellen, 2016 Drosophila tools and assays for the study of human diseases. Dis. Model. Mech. 9: 235–244.
- van Karnebeek, C. D. M., L. Bonafe, X. Y. Wen, M. Tarailo-Graovac, S. Balzano *et al.*, 2017 Corrigendum: NANS-mediated synthesis of sialic acid is required for brain and skeletal development. Nat. Genet. 49: 969.
- van Leeuwen, J., C. Pons, J. C. Mellor, T. N. Yamaguchi, H. Friesen *et al.*, 2016 Exploring genetic suppression interactions on a global scale. Science 354: aag0839.
- Varshney, G. K., R. Sood, and S. M. Burgess, 2015 Understanding and editing the zebrafish genome. Adv. Genet. 92: 1–52.
- Vazão, H., S. Rosa, T. Barata, R. Costa, P. R. Pitrez et al., 2017 High-throughput identification of small molecules that affect human embryonic vascular development. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 114: E3022–E3031.
- Veinotte, C. J., G. Dellaire, and J. N. Berman, 2014 Hooking the big one: the potential of zebrafish xenotransplantation to reform cancer drug screening in the genomic era. Dis. Model. Mech. 7: 745–754.
- Villefranc, J. A., J. Amigo, and N. D. Lawson, 2007 Gateway compatible vectors for analysis of gene function in the zebrafish. Dev. Dyn. 236: 3077–3087.
- Wagner, B. K., 2016 The resurgence of phenotypic screening in drug discovery and development. Expert Opin. Drug Discov. 11: 121–125.
- Wang, C., W. Tao, Y. Wang, J. Bikow, B. Lu *et al.*, 2010 Rosuvastatin, identified from a zebrafish chemical genetic screen for antiangiogenic compounds, suppresses the growth of prostate cancer. Eur. Urol. 58: 418–426.
- Weir, H., P. L. Chen, T. C. Deiss, N. Jacobs, M. B. Nabity *et al.*, 2015 DNP-KLH yields changes in leukocyte populations and immunoglobulin isotype use with different immunization routes in zebrafish. Front. Immunol. 6: 606.
- Wertman, J., C. J. Veinotte, G. Dellaire, and J. N. Berman, 2016 The zebrafish xenograft platform: evolution of a novel cancer model and preclinical screening tool. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 916: 289–314.
- White, R. M., J. Cech, S. Ratanasirintrawoot, C. Y. Lin, P. B. Rahl *et al.*, 2011 DHODH modulates transcriptional elongation in the neural crest and melanoma. Nature 471: 518–522.
- Wienholds, E., S. Schulte-Merker, B. Walderich, and R. H. A. Plasterk, 2002 Target-selected inactivation of the zebrafish rag1 gene. Science 297: 99–102.

- Willingham, S., T. F. Outeiro, M. J. DeVit, S. L. Lindquist, and P. J. Muchowski, 2003 Yeast genes that enhance the toxicity of a mutant huntingtin fragment or alpha-synuclein. Science 302: 1769–1772.
- Wohlbold, L., K. A. Merrick, S. De, R. Amat, J. H. Kim *et al.*, 2012 Chemical genetics reveals a specific requirement for Cdk2 activity in the DNA damage response and identifies Nbs1 as a Cdk2 substrate in human cells. PLoS Genet. 8: e1002935.
- Wolman, M. A., R. A. Jain, L. Liss, and M. Granato, 2011 Chemical modulation of memory formation in larval zebrafish. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108: 15468–15473.
- Wong, L. H., A. Unciti-Broceta, M. Spitzer, R. White, M. Tyers et al., 2013 A yeast chemical genetic screen identifies inhibitors of human telomerase. Chem. Biol. 20: 333–340.
- Wong, L. H., S. Sinha, J. R. Bergeron, J. C. Mellor, G. Giaever *et al.*, 2016 Reverse chemical genetics: comprehensive fitness profiling reveals the spectrum of drug target interactions. PLoS Genet. 12: e1006275.
- Woods, I. G., P. D. Kelly, F. Chu, P. Ngo-Hazelett, Y. L. Yan *et al.*, 2000 A comparative map of the zebrafish genome. Genome Res. 10: 1903–1914.
- Xiong, Y., C. E. Coombes, A. Kilaru, X. Li, A. D. Gitler *et al.*, 2010 GTPase activity plays a key role in the pathobiology of LRRK2. PLoS Genet. 6: e1000902.
- Xiong, Y., C. Yuan, R. Chen, T. M. Dawson, and V. L. Dawson, 2012 ArfGAP1 is a GTPase activating protein for LRRK2: reciprocal regulation of ArfGAP1 by LRRK2. J. Neurosci. 32: 3877–3886.
- Yeh, J.-R. J., K. M. Munson, Y. L. Chao, Q. P. Peterson, C. A. MacRae *et al.*, 2007 AML1-ETO reprograms hematopoietic cell fate by downregulating scl expression. Development 135: 401–410.
- Yeh, J.-R. J., K. M. Munson, K. E. Elagib, A. N. Goldfarb, D. A. Sweetser et al., 2009 Discovering chemical modifiers of

oncogene-regulated hematopoietic differentiation. Nat. Chem. Biol. 5: 236–243.

- Yoder, J. A., M. G. Mueller, S. Wei, B. C. Corliss, D. M. Prather *et al.*, 2001 Immune-type receptor genes in zebrafish share genetic and functional properties with genes encoded by the mammalian leukocyte receptor cluster. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98: 6771–6776.
- Yoder, J. A., R. T. Litman, M. G. Mueller, S. Desai, K. P. Dobrinski et al., 2004 Resolution of the novel immune-type receptor gene cluster in zebrafish. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101: 15706– 15711.
- Yoder, J. A., P. M. Turner, P. D. Wright, V. Wittamer, J. Y. Bertrand et al., 2010 Developmental and tissue-specific expression of NITRs. Immunogenetics 62: 117–122.
- Yu, P. B., C. C. Hong, C. Sachidanandan, J. L. Babitt, D. Y. Deng et al., 2008 Dorsomorphin inhibits BMP signals required for embryogenesis and iron metabolism. Nat. Chem. Biol. 4: 33–41.
- Zappulla, J. P., P. Dubreuil, S. Desbois, S. Letard, N. B. Hamouda et al., 2005 Mastocytosis in mice expressing human Kit receptor with the activating Asp816Val mutation. J. Exp. Med. 202: 1635–1641.
- Zhu, S., J. S. Lee, F. Guo, J. Shin, A. R. Perez-Atayde *et al.*, 2012 Activated ALK collaborates with MYCN in neuroblastoma pathogenesis. Cancer Cell 21: 362–373.
- Zhu, S., X. Zhang, N. Weichert-Leahey, Z. Dong, C. Zhang *et al.*, 2017 LMO1 synergizes with MYCN to promote neuroblastoma initiation and metastasis. Cancer Cell 32: 310–323.e5.
- Zhuravleva, J., J. Paggetti, L. Martin, A. Hammann, E. Solary *et al.*, 2008 MOZ/TIF2-induced acute myeloid leukaemia in transgenic fish. Br. J. Haematol. 143: 378–382.
- Zon, L., 2016 Modeling human diseases: an education in interactions and interdisciplinary approaches. Dis. Model. Mech. 9: 597–600.

Communicating editor: J. Rine