

Article



A Mild and Facile Synthesis of Amino Functionalized CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂ for Hg(II) Removal

Xi Wang¹, Zhenzong Zhang¹, Yuhao Zhao¹, Kai Xia¹, Yongfu Guo^{1,2,*}, Zan Qu^{2,3} and Renbi Bai¹

- ¹ Center for Separation and Purification Materials & Technologies, Suzhou University of Science and Technology, Suzhou 215009, China; 1613022027@post.usts.edu.cn (X.W.); 1713022013@post.usts.edu.cn (Z.Z.); 1713022014@post.usts.edu.cn (Y.Z.); 1713022009@post.usts.edu.cn (K.X.); ceebairb@live.com (R.B.)
- ² Jiangsu Provincial Key Laboratory of Environmental Science and Engineering, Suzhou University of Science and Technology, Suzhou 215009, China; quzan@sjtu.edu.cn
- ³ School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China
- * Correspondence: yongfuguo@163.com; Tel.: +86-512-6809-2987

Received: 2 August 2018; Accepted: 24 August 2018; Published: 29 August 2018



Abstract: To avoid the dangerous operational conditions, shorten the preparation time, and improve the adsorption performance of amino-functionalized nanomagnetic materials with a core–shell structure, a magnetic nanocomposite of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2$ was successfully functionalized with amino group ($-NH_2$) through a mild and facile hydrothermal method without the use of any toxic or harmful solvents at a relatively low temperature. The preparation time of the key steps of amino functionalization was shortened from 30 h to about 10 h. The core-shell structure and successful grafting were confirmed by various means. The amino-functionalized $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2$ was used for the removal mercury (Hg(II)), a heavy metal, and exhibited excellent magnetic properties and a high Langmuir adsorption capacity of 149.3 mg Hg(II)/g. The adsorption of Hg(II) onto $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ followed the pseudo-second-order kinetic equation and Langmuir model. The thermodynamic data showed that the Hg(II) adsorption process was achieved through spontaneous exothermic and monolayer adsorption with electrostatic adsorption and chemisorption. In addition, the as-prepared $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ nanoparticles had a good reusable value, good application performance and stability, and can provide a mild and facile way to remove heavy metals from aqueous solution.

Keywords: magnetic materials; amino functionalization; adsorption; heavy metals; mercury

1. Introduction

Mercury (Hg) is one of the most toxic heavy metals in the water environment. It has received extensive attention because of its non-biodegradability and easy enrichment in the biological chain [1]. Mercury generally exists in two forms: as elemental (Hg⁰) [2] and ionic mercury (Hg²⁺) [3,4]. Between them, the most toxic is Hg²⁺.

Nowadays, more and more mercury pollution problems are receiving international and extensive attention. For the safety of people, governments designate plans to eliminate mercury pollution, and the Chinese government has released corresponding regulations, including "Power Plant Air Pollutants Emission Standards" (GB 13223-2011) and the "Emission Standard of Pollutants for Electroplating" (GB 21900-2008). A series of global and national policy activities have also been implemented to reduce mercury pollution in the environment [5,6].

In recent years, modified magnetic nanomaterials, used as promising environmentally friendly absorbents, have been very effective in separating heavy metal pollutants from water phases [7–9].

This recyclability makes them easy to regenerate, indicating that they are as green and sustainable as other adsorbents [10–12].

Among these magnetic nanomaterials (MNPs), $CoFe_2O_4$ MNPs have attracted much attention because of their unique physical and chemical capabilities, such as their high coercivity, magnetization, and mechanical hardness [13,14]. These characteristics make $CoFe_2O_4$ MNPs ideal magnetic core carriers [15]. However, $CoFe_2O_4$ -based core-shell carriers have little adsorption capacity for heavy metals [16] and usually have to be modified on their surface to obtain a high adsorption capacity. Common grafting methods are to coat $CoFe_2O_4$ particles with a packing layer and then graft onto its outer surface with amino group ($-NH_2$), thiol group (-SH), sulfonic group ($-HSO_3$), phosphoric acid, and other functional groups [9,14,17].

However, most grafting methods of magnetic nanoadsorbents with a core–shell structure are too complicated, and almost all of these adsorbents use toxic, harmful, or hazardous solvents as a reaction media, such as o-xylene [18], acetone [19], toluene [3], and hexane [20]. Furthermore, in the case of the unavoidable use of organic solvents, the recovery of these solvents requires the use of fractional liquid extraction, adsorption, fractionation, and other methods, which greatly increases the cost of the experiment, and the possibility of secondary pollution to the environment [12,21–23].

Meanwhile, there are other grafting experiments which take place under water-alcohol system, but nitrogen protection and a high temperature are still required during the process of amino functionalization [24]. In addition, the whole operational time is relatively long, usually over 30 h. These defects greatly limit the practical application of amino functionalization.

Therefore, this study aims to explore a simple, mild, and facile method to prepare core-shell $CoFe_2O_4$ -based adsorbents with $-NH_2$ as the grafted group, and meanwhile, enhance the adsorption capacity for heavy metal ions. In the present article, the amino-functionalized magnetic $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ nanoparticles with a core–shell structure were synthesized with tetraethyl silicate (TEOS) and 3-Aminoprppyltriethoxysilane (APTES) under relatively mild conditions. The as-prepared composite adsorbent of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ was employed to remove Hg(II) ions from aqueous solution. In addition, adsorption factors like optimum pH, initial concentration of Hg(II), real application, stability, adsorption kinetics, isotherm, and thermodynamics were studied. Finally, the possible mechanism was also proposed.

2. Materials and Experiment Methods

2.1. Chemicals and Materials

3-Aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES, 99.0%), tetraethyl silicate (TEOS), ethylene glycol (EG), ethanol alcohol absolute, ferric chloride (FeCl₃·6H₂O), cobalt chloride (CoCl₂·6H₂O), anhydrous sodium acetate (CH₃COONa), polyethylene glycol (4000), and ammonia (NH₃·H₂O, 25–28 wt.%) were purchased from Macklin Reagent (Shanghai, China). All the reagents were analytical grade.

2.2. Preparation of CoFe₂O₄ MNPs

CoFe₂O₄ MNPs were synthesized using hydrothermal technology. Typically, FeCl₃·6H₂O (5.405 g) and CoCl₂·6H₂O (2.375 g) were dissolved in ethylene glycol (90 mL), and stirred at 323 K for 30 min. Then, a certain amount of CH₃COONa (7.335 g) and polyethylene glycol (2.0 g) were added into the mixture with magnetic stirring for 30 min. Subsequently, the brown viscous liquid was moved into a Teflon reactor (150 mL) and placed in an oven at 473 K for 16 h without stirring. After that, magnetic decanting was used to wash with ethanol three to four times, the above solution was then further washed with ultrapure water until the pH was close to neutral. The obtained CoFe₂O₄ materials were dried and bagged for use.

 $CoFe_2O_4$ MNPs (0.30 g) were dispersed in a mixed solution containing pure water (30 mL) and anhydrous ethanol (120 mL), and submitted to mechanical stirring after 1 h of ultrasonic dispersion. NH₃·H₂O (1 mL) was added and reacted for 15 min under mechanical stirring (200 rpm) and heating conditions. Then TEOS solution (2 mL) was evenly dropped to react for 8 h after the solution temperature reached 313 K. Finally, the above prepared brown mixture was washed and dried at 313 K.

2.4. Preparation of CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂-NH₂ MNPs

Preparation of solution A: The above-prepared material $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2$ MNPs (0.3 g) was added into a mixed solution containing pure water (20 mL) and anhydrous ethanol (80 mL), subjected to ultrasonic dispersion until the material uniformly dispersed in the solution. Preparation of solution B: TEOS (0.75 mL) was dissolved into ethanol solution (20 mL) with ultrasonic treatment for half an hour. Preparation of Solution C: APTES (0.75 mL) was dissolved into ethanol solution (20 mL) with ultrasonic treatment for half an hour.

Figure 1 shows the synthesis schematic diagram of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ MNPs. The formation of a SiO_2 shell outside $CoFe_2O_4$ MNPs was realized via the addition of TEOS. Firstly, $CoFe_2O_4$ MNPs were dispersed in a mixed solution with mechanical stirring (200 rpm) at 313 K for 8 h. Because of the hydrolysis of TEOS, abundant Si–OH groups were formed. Subsequently, the as-obtained Si–OH reacted with Fe–OH groups on the surface of $CoFe_2O_4$ MNPs to form a silicon shell with –OH groups outside $CoFe_2O_4$. In order to quantify the amount of additive APTES, solution A was formed as mentioned above. Subsequently, solution B was added dropwise into solution A. The stirring was continued for half an hour after the dropwise addition of solution B.



Figure 1. Synthesized schematic diagram of CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂–NH₂ and silanization reaction between silica and 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES).

Afterwards, solution C was added dropwise into the above mixed mixture. The above solution continued to react for 8 h. During the process of reaction, Si–OH interacted with $-CH_3$ in APTES to make connected amino groups on the surface of CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂ MNPs. The obtained

 $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ was washed repeatedly with pure water until the solution pH was neutral. Finally, the products were dried and bagged for use.

The whole synthesis process was carried out in environments of low temperature and atmospheric pressure, and the experimental conditions were relatively mild overall.

It must be noted that the experiment was carried out in the presence of water, namely, the synthesis process used water as reaction media, not o-xylene or acetone. If there was water in the reaction environment, ethoxy groups in APTES hydrolyzed firstly with water molecules to form Si–OH, then further reacted with Si–OH on the surface of the silica shell to form Si–O–Si bonds through shrinking. Meanwhile, the hydrolyzed APTES not only shrunk with the Si–OH on the surface of the silica shell, but also shrunk between hydrolyzed APTES molecules. The above reactions generally produced the required irregular polymers.

2.5. Sample Characterizations

The obtained samples were characterized via Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM, Helios nanolab 600i, FEI, Hillsboro, USA) with an Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDX), Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM, JEM-2100, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan), X-ray Diffraction (XRD, D8 Advance, Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany), Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR, Nicolet 6700, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS, Escalab 250xi, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), N₂ adsorption–desorption instrument (Autosorb-IQ2-MP, Quantachrome, Boynton Beach, FL, USA). Zeta potential values were determined by an electrophoresis analyzer (ZetaPALS, Brookhaven, New York, NY, USA) with various pH values and constant temperature of 77.35 K. The magnetic property of the nanoparticles was confirmed by Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM, PPMS-9, Quantum Design, San Diego, CA, USA).

2.6. Batch Adsorption Experiments

With the aim of keeping the stabilization of the Hg(II) solution, the experiment used a low concentration of acid solution (1000 mL pure water containing 10 mL nitric acid and 0.1 mL hydrochloric acid) as a protective solution. Hg(II) standard solution was prepared by weighing 0.6767 g HgCl₂ powder and dispersing it into 500 mL of the above protective solution.

The adsorption test of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ sample was carried out at the following aspects of pH, dosages, contact time (adsorption kinetics), solution concentration (adsorption isotherms), and temperature (adsorption thermodynamics). The solution pH was changed by adding various concentrations of hydrochloric acid and sodium hydroxide.

The effect of pH was investigated through changing solution pH from 2 to 10, as too high a solution pH may produce Hg(OH)₂ precipitation, resulting in inaccurate measurements for the true adsorption efficiency of the materials. The adsorption test was as follows: 100 mL of mercury solution ($C_0 = 20 \text{ mg/L}$) was added into a 250 mL conical flask and then the pH adjusted to the required value. Subsequently, a 0.01 g CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂–NH₂ sample was added into the above flasks, which was placed in a water bath shaker at a temperature of 298 K and a vibration rate 150 r/min. The remaining concentration of mercury C_e in the solution was determined after reacting for 12 h.

The effect of various dosages was carried out by changing the dosage of adsorbents (0.005, 0.008, 0.01, 0.012, and 0.015 g) and fixing the test conditions (solution volume V = 100 mL, $C_0 = 20$ mg/L, pH = 7 and T = 298 K). The residual concentration of Hg(II) in the solution was determined after reaction for 12 h via a cold atomic absorption adsorption spectrophotometry (F732-VJ, Huaguang Company, Shanghai, China).

Adsorption kinetics were implemented under the conditions of $C_0 = 20 \text{ mg/L}$, pH = 7, T = 298 K and V = 300 mL. The amount of adsorbents was 0.03 g and the residual concentration of Hg(II) was checked at the time arrival of 5, 15, 30, 50, 80, 120, 150, 180, 210, 270, 360, 420, 540, 660, 720, and 840 min.

Adsorption isotherms were made by formulating various initial solution concentrations of C_0 (5, 10, 20, 30, and 40 mg/L) at a pH of 7 and a dosage of 0.01 g. Adsorption thermodynamics were

executed at certain temperatures of 298, 308, and 318 K at $C_0 = 20 \text{ mg/L}$, a dosage of 0.01 g, a pH of 7, and reaction time of 12 h.

The equilibrium adsorption capacity of q_e could be determined based on C_0 , remaining concentration (C_e , mg/L), dosages (W, g) and solution volume (V, L).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Morphology and Structure

To characterize the surface topography of materials, SEM and TEM methods were employed. Figure 2 shows the SEM and TEM of different products. The image of SEM in Figure 2b clearly shows that the surface of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2$ became smoother than bare $CoFe_2O_4$ (Figure 2a), which certifies the successful wrapping of SiO₂ on the surface of $CoFe_2O_4$ MNPs.



Figure 2. (a) SEM images of $CoFe_2O_4$ magnetic nanomaterials (MNPs), Magnification: $80,000\times$; (b) $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2$ MNPs, Magnification: $200,000\times$; (c) $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2$ –NH₂ MNPs, Magnification: $5000\times$; (d) and Magnification: $500,000\times$; (e,f) TEM images of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2$ –NH₂ MNPs, Magnification: $3,000,000\times$ and Magnification: $1,500,000\times$, respectively. The three red color texts inserted in Figure 2d represent the size of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2$ –NH₂ MNPs.

After amino group was grafted, $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ nanoparticles (Figure 2c) became irregular, which is consistent with the conclusion of the formation of an irregular monomolecular layer under water conditions.

In addition, the TEM of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ indicates that the silica shell wraps well outside the $CoFe_2O_4$ MNPs (Figure 2e) and the thickness of the silica layer was about 50 nm (Figure 2f). The $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ MNPs were ellipsoidal and had a clear core-shell structure, which proves a successful synthesis.

To analyze the various elements in the materials, the EDX mapping method was employed. Figure 3 contains the elemental mapping images. It can be seen that N appears in the elemental mapping images after the grafting of amino groups. Moreover, the silicon element on the surface of the material increases, compared to the mapping images (Figure S1) of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2$ shown in the Supporting Information.



Figure 3. (a) SEM micrograph with X-ray elemental area scanning of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$; (b) elemental mapping recorded from one $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ particle with corresponding mappings of O; (c) Si; (d) Fe; (e) Co; (f) N before adsorption.

In addition, from Figure 3, it is obvious that there are mainly O and Si elements apart from the Co, Fe, and N throughout the SiO_2 shell and $CoFe_2O_4$ core, and the Co and Fe elements are uniformly distributed on the surface of the material.

To confirm whether the materials had been synthesized successfully, the XRD method was used. Figure 4a displays the XRD of as-prepared nanoparticles, which reveals a microcrystalline structure in these materials. The characteristics of the $CoFe_2O_4$ peaks in the three materials are consistent with the Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS) patterns of $CoFe_2O_4$ (22-1086), which indicates that as-prepared materials are determined to be the crystal of $CoFe_2O_4$ [25]. In addition, the broad peaks at 23° show an amorphous silica structure in the particles [19], which is consistent with the SEM images shown in Figure 2.



Figure 4. (a) XRD patterns and(b) FTIR spectra before adsorption.

FTIR spectroscopy was used to confirm what groups existed on the materials. The FTIR in Figure 4b was employed to reveal the surface functional groups and chemical composition of the samples. It can be seen that the three materials all have typical characteristic peaks of Fe–O bonds at 588 cm⁻¹, and these peaks gradually weaken with the increasing thickness of the silicon shell. Adsorption bands at 3440 cm⁻¹ and 1640 cm⁻¹ are related to the silanol groups in -OH groups and the adsorption of water molecules, respectively [20,26].

In the materials of CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂, bending vibrations of the O–Si–O group at 958 and 797 cm⁻¹, and a characteristic peak at 469 cm⁻¹ assigned to Si–OH are all evidence of the formation of a silica shell. Furthermore, it also indicates that the as-prepared materials were successfully covered by silicon shell [25]. For the CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂–NH₂, the new adsorption peaks at 1350–1600 cm⁻¹ demonstrate that the stretching and bending vibrations of the amino groups resulted from APTES. In addition, the widening of the shoulder width at 958 cm⁻¹ can be related to the contribution of Si–O–Fe vibrations compared to pure APTES [18].

In order to investigate the magnetic performance of various materials, VSM technology was used. Magnetic hysteresis loops of pure $CoFe_2O_4$ (black line), the silica coated sample (red line), and the amino modified sample (blue line) are shown in Figure 5. The $CoFe_2O_4$ nanoparticles show typical superparamagnetic properties with zero coercive force and residual magnetism [27]. Additionally, the corresponding saturation magnetizations of three materials are 58.9, 23.5 and 15.2 emu/g, respectively.

The magnetization value of the $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2$ sample was just 0.4 times that of $CoFe_2O_4$, which means that the mass of SiO_2 layer was about 60% [9]. Besides, the magnetization value of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ was lower than that of SiO_2 -coated $CoFe_2O_4$ nanoparticles, which may be due to the amorphous nature and thickness of the organic portion on the surface of the nanoparticles [24].



Figure 5. VSM of CoFe₂O₄, CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂ and CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂-NH₂ MNPs.

 N_2 adsorption-desorption technology was used to investigate the value of the specific surface area (BET) and the structural properties. The N_2 adsorption-desorption isothermal curves of three materials are shown in Figure 6. The treatment of adsorption data was calculated by the BJH (Barret–Joyner–Halenda) method. The BJH method refers to a theoretical calculation method for pore volume and pore size distribution. The principle is capillary condensation and volume equivalent substitution, that is, the volume of the hole is equivalent to the amount of liquid nitrogen filling the hole. The adsorption theory assumes that the shape of the hole is cylindrical, and the capillary condensation model is established. According to the theory of capillary condensation, the pore size range of capillary condensation is different at various P/P_0 (0–1.0).



Figure 6. N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms of materials.

With the increase of P/P_0 value, the pore radius of cohesive pore also increases. Capillary condensation occurs when the value of P/P_0 is greater than 0.4, the isothermal adsorption and desorption curves of the samples are drawn by measuring the amount of nitrogen condensed at different P/P_0 values (Figure 6). The results of the adsorption data are listed in Table 1. It can be seen that the BET values decreased with the coating of the silicon shell. The reasons for this are that the surface of $CoFe_2O_4$ is covered by a dense silicon shell, resulting in a decreased surface area. However, there are irregular silicon layers on the surface of materials after being grafted with amino groups, this further increases the BET values [3,28], which is beneficial to the adsorption of Hg(II).

Materials	BET (m ² /g)	Pore Volume (cm ³ /g)	³ /g) Pore Size (nm)	
CoFe ₂ O ₄	48.36	0.38	17.08	
CoFe ₂ O ₄ @SiO ₂	7.10	0.05	0.04	
CoFe2O4@SiO2-NH2	17.08	3.10	3.10	

Table 1. N₂ adsorption-desorption isothermal data of as-prepared materials.

XPS technology was used to confirm the valence state of elements in the materials. Figure 7 presents the results of XPS of the synthesized materials. From Figure 7a, it can be seen that the appearance of an N 1*s* peak shows that the material was successfully grafted with amino groups, compared CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂ and CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂–NH₂. Additionally, the decrease of the Fe 2*p* peak (Figure 7b) and Co 2*p* peak (Figure 7c) indicates that the surface of as-synthesized materials was tightly enclosed with silicon shell. The peak of O 1*s* (Figure 7d) resulting from CoFe₂O₄ can be found in two materials.



Figure 7. XPS spectra of (a) $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2$ and $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$; (b) Fe 2*p*; (c) Co 2*p*; (d) O 1*s* of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$; (e) Si 2*p* of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2$ and $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$; and (f) N 1*s* of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$. All of the materials were virgin.

The peaks of Fe 2*p*, Co 2*p*, O 1*s*, and C 1*s* can be found at 709.2, 781.5, 532.3, and 284.6 eV, respectively [14]. Figure 7e shows the change of the silicon element before and after modification with amino groups, and the peak of Si 2*p* appears at 102.6 eV (after modification) and 103.4 eV (before modification). Meanwhile, the weakening of peak intensity of Si 2*p* after modification also illustrates the successful grafting of amino groups.

Zeta potential was employed to investigate the electronegativity on the surface of the materials. The changes of Zeta potentials of composites under different pH are shown in Figure 8. Usually, the Zeta potential reflects the type and size of charges on the surface of materials. When the surface of the tested material is a weak electrolyte, its potential is greatly influenced by the solution pH [29]. As shown in Figure 8, when the pH values are less than 6.6, the Zeta potentials of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ are positive, which is attributed to the formation of $-NH_3^+$ under acidic conditions due to the electrolyte performance of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$.

When pH values are larger than the value of isoelectric point of 6.6, the Zeta potentials are negative, indicating the coexistence of $-NH_3^+$ and $-NH_2OH^-$, which is consistent with the existing state of amino in the solution, implying a stronger ability to combine with heavy metals through electrostatic attraction [30].



Figure 8. Zeta potentials under different pH conditions.

3.2. Adsorption Performance

3.2.1. Effect of pH

Usually, adsorption solution pH has a notable influence on the surface charge, protonation degree of adsorbents, and the form of existing mercury ions [31]. However, hydroxide precipitation of mercury ions occurs under strong alkaline conditions, which hardly reflects the actual adsorption capacity of materials. Therefore, this test selected the pH range of solution from 2 to 10. The results are shown in Figure 9.

It can be seen that adsorption curve of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ has a mountain-like morphology due to the strong influence resulting from the initial solution pH. When the pH was between 2 and 5, the adsorption capacity for Hg(II) was less than 50 mg/g.

The reason for this is that the existence of a large amount of H⁺ causes the protonation of amino groups on the surface of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ at a low pH, producing a low adsorption rate for Hg(II) [32]. When the solution pH is over 6, the adsorption capacity of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ increases, and a maximum adsorption capacity of 118.7 mg/g is achieved at pH = 7. Afterwards, when the pH continues to rise, the capacity begins to reduce. Therefore, in the following experiments, the condition of pH = 7 was taken as the fixed value of the experiments.



Figure 9. Effects of pH on the adsorption of Hg(II). Conditions: dosage of 0.1 g/L, $C_0 = 20$ mg/L, t = 12 h and T = 298 K.

3.2.2. Effect of Dosage

The effects of adsorbent dose on Hg(II) removal are shown in Figure 10. With the increase of dosage, the adsorption capacities for Hg(II) gradually decrease, and the removal efficiencies (%) for Hg(II) increase by degrees. The probable reason is that more effective adsorption sites are provided along with the increase of the dosage, bringing about more combination between active sites and Hg(II) and finally resulting in high removal efficiency for Hg(II).



Figure 10. Effect of adsorbent dosage on the removal of Hg(II).

3.2.3. Effect of Electrolyte Concentration

Typically, solution pH affects the inner-sphere complexation and ionic strength affects the surface complexation or ion exchange [33]. In an alkaline environment, the functional groups in the negatively charged materials with $-NH_2OH^-$ radicals generally form an outer-layer complexation via electrostatic forces with Hg(II) in solution, which is consistent with the existing state of amino in the solution discussed previously. Therefore, if chemisorption occurs, the adsorption capacity decreases sharply as the ionic strength increases.

In order to explore whether there is only electrostatic adsorption, this test was carried out with NaNO₃ as electrolyte under the conditions of $C_0 = 20 \text{ mg/L}$ and various pH values by adding 0, 0.085, and 0.85 g of electrolytes into the solution. The adsorption results are shown in Figure 11. It can be seen that there is only a small decrease in adsorption capacity with the magnitude of the ionic strength, indicating that the adsorption process occurred mainly through electrostatic adsorption, although some chemisorption reactions were involved.



Figure 11. Effect of electrolyte concentration on adsorption of Hg(II) by CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂-NH₂.

3.3. Adsorption Kinetics

Among adsorption factors, the contact time of *t* is a great important index reflecting adsorption capacity. For this purpose, 0.03 g of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ MNPs was put in 300 mL of solution containing 10 mg/L Hg(II) ions and then shaken for about 20 h. After a certain time interval, 3 mL of the solution was taken out and filtered through a 0.45 µm filter membrane to measure the residual concentration of Hg(II). The result is shown in Figure 12a.



Figure 12. (a) Effect of time on the removal of Hg(II); (b) kinetic fittings of pseudo-first-order; (c) pseudo-second-order; (d) and intra-particle diffusion.

In the first 400 min, the adsorption capacities increase rapidly. The reason is probably that there are many active sites in the solution that can combine with divalent Hg^{2+} . After 400 min, the adsorption curve gradually tends to be gentle and finally reaches an equilibrium state at around 700 min. The adsorption capacity is approximately 115.8 mg/g at this time.

In order to study the kinetic data, three kinds of kinetic model were utilized to fit the experimental data, including the pseudo-first-order kinetic model (Equation (1)), the pseudo-second-order model (Equation (2)) and the intra-particle diffusion model (Equation (3)).

$$\log(q_{\rm e} - q_{\rm t}) = \log q_{\rm e} - \frac{k_1}{2.303}t$$
(1)

$$\frac{t}{q_{\rm t}} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_{\rm e}^2} + \frac{1}{q_{\rm e}}$$
(2)

$$q_{\rm t} = k_{\rm d} t^{1/2} + C \tag{3}$$

where, $q_e (mg/g)$ and $q_t (mg/g)$ are equilibrium adsorption and moment adsorption capacities; *t* is time (min); $k_1 (min^{-1})$, $k_2 (min^{-1})$, and $k_d (mg/g/min^{-0.5})$ are adsorption rate constants; *C* is the thickness of the boundary layer. The results of the linear fitting are shown in Figure 12b,d and the fitting data are listed in Table 2.

Pseudo-First-Order				Pseudo-Second-Order				
q _{e,exp}	$q_{\rm e,cal}$	k_1	R^2		q _e ,	g _{e,cal}		<i>R</i> ²
115.8	102.6	0.003	0.924		11	119.1		0.990
Intra-particle diffusion								
k _{d1}	<i>C</i> ₁	R_1^2	k _{d2}	<i>C</i> ₂	R_2^2	k _{d3}	<i>C</i> ₃	R_{3}^{2}
7.727	-5.07	0.982	2.587	45.64	0.999	0.099	112.35	0.999

Table 2. Kinetic model fitting parameters.

As revealed in Table 2, the regression coefficient ($R_1^2 = 0.9901$) of pseudo-second-order (Figure 12b) is higher that of pseudo-first-order ($R_2^2 = 0.9243$) (Figure 12c). Moreover, the value of $q_{e, al}$ (119.1 mg/g) from the pseudo-second-order kinetic model is more close to the experimental value $q_{e,exp}$ (115.8 mg/g), indicating that the adsorption process of Hg(II) onto CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂–NH₂ MNPs is in accord with the pseudo-second-order model, and involves some chemisorption.

From Figure 12d, it can be seen that the adsorption process has three main stages: The first stage represents large pore diffusion and corresponds to the biggest k_d of 7.727 mg/g/min^{-0.5}; The second stage represents micro porous diffusion; The last stage belongs to equilibrium adsorption. The straight lines do not pass through the origin, which means that the intra-particle diffusion process is not the only rate limitation for the removal of Hg(II).

3.4. Adsorption Isotherms

Figure 13a shows the change of adsorption capacity as a function of adsorption temperature. The obtained information shows that the adsorption capacity of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ decreases gradually from 115.5 mg/g to 89.6 mg/g as the temperature increases from 298 K to 308 K, showing an exothermic process. In addition, two different isotherms models were fitted to study the specific influence of temperature on adsorption process.



Figure 13. (a) Effect of temperature on adsorption; (b) adsorption isotherms of Hg(II) onto CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂-NH₂; (c) Langmuir; (d) and Freundlich isotherm curves.

The Langmuir model (Equation (4)) conforms to the monolayer adsorption. It indicates that all the adsorption sites are independent. The adsorbed particles are separated from each other, and there is almost no intermolecular force between them. Absorption in line with the Langmuir model is generally considered to be chemisorption. While the Freundlich model (Equation (5)) is used to describe the uneven adsorption of a multi-molecular layer.

$$\frac{C_{\rm e}}{q_{\rm e}} = \frac{C_{\rm e}}{Q_{\rm m}} + \frac{1}{Q_{\rm m}K_{\rm L}} \tag{4}$$

$$\ln q_{\rm e} = \ln K_{\rm f} + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_{\rm e} \tag{5}$$

where, K_L is adsorption constant. Q_m is maximum adsorption capacity. K_f is Freundlich constant.

The fitting results of the two models are presented in Table 3. It can be noted that the fitting degree R^2 of the Langmuir model is higher than that of the Freundlich model, indicating that chemisorption is one of main adsorption factors and the removal of Hg(II) onto CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂-NH₂ conforms to single molecule layer adsorption.

Table 3. Adsorption isotherm parameters for CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂-NH₂ at 298, 308 and 318 K.

T (I/)	Langmuir Model			Freundlich Model		
I (K)	Q _m (mg/g)	<i>K</i> _L (L/mg)	R_1^2	K _f	1/n	R_2^2
298	149.3	0.609	0.995	68.27	0.223	0.979
308	144.9	0.442	0.994	56.58	0.267	0.978
318	131.6	0.230	0.989	37.94	0.329	0.974

Under the experimental conditions, maximum adsorption capacity of Q_m is 149.3 mg/g, which is better than most adsorbents (Table 4). The fitting parameters of 1/n from the Freundlich model are very small, indicating a high adsorption intensity and chemisorption were involved [6,14].

Table 4. Comparison of adsorption capacity for adsorbed Hg(II) with different adsorbents.

Adsorbents	BET (m ² /g)	pН	Fitting Model	Q _m (mg/g)	Ref.
Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ -SH		3	Langmuir	132.0	[34]
MGO-PAMAM-G3.0	40.93	3	Langmuir	113.71	[35]
Tannic acid modified Fe ₃ O ₄ core-shell nanoparticles		5	Langmuir	96	[36]
Magnetic Fe ₃ O ₄ GO	58.6	6	Langmuir	71.3	[37]
Chitosan		5	Langmuir	56	[38]
CoFe ₂ O ₄ -rGO	69.9	4.6	Langmuir	157.9	[39]
Multi-walled carbon nanotube with amino and thiol groups		8	Langmuir	105.65	[40]
Coal based activated carbon	442.3	4	Langmuir	48.9	[41]
Coconut husk activated carbon	819.9		Langmuir	44.9	[41]
CoFe ₂ O ₄ @SiO ₂ -NH ₂	17.08	7	Langmuir	149.3	This work

3.5. Adsorption Thermodynamics

The thermodynamic parameters were calculated according to Gibbs free energy ΔG^0 (kJ/mol), standard entropy (ΔS^0 (J/mol/K) and standard enthalpy ΔH^0 (kJ/mol) with the following formulas.

$$\Delta G^0 = -RT \ln K_{\rm R} \tag{6}$$

$$\ln K_{\rm R} = \frac{\Delta S^0}{\rm R} - \frac{\Delta H^0}{\rm RT} \tag{7}$$

where, K_R is equilibrium constant; R is gas constant (8.314 J/mol/K). The calculation results are listed in Table 5.

It can be seen from Table 5 that the value of ΔH^0 is less than zero, showing that the adsorption of Hg(II) onto CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂–NH₂ is an exothermic process, which is consistent with the results of Figure 13a. Three values of ΔG^0 are all negative at three temperatures, indicating that adsorption is spontaneous.

That ΔG^0 decreases gradually with the increase of temperature demonstrates that a high temperature is not conducive to the adsorption. Additionally, some chemisorption reactions are involved because of the small values of ΔG^0 [37]. ΔS^0 is a negative value, which means that a low temperature is favorable for the adsorption of Hg(II) [42].

ΔH^0 (kJ/mol)	ΔS^0 (J/mol/K)	ΔG^0 (kJ/mol)			
		298 K	308 K	318 K	
-26.34	-59.84	-6.80	-6.44	-5.48	

Table 5. Thermodynamic parameters for Hg(II) adsorption onto CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂-NH₂.

3.6. Application Evaluation

In order to deeply research the performance of the as-prepared materials, the regeneration and real application test were carried out. In order to further study the actual performance of materials in engineering, the regeneration cycles and real application test were studied by desorption/regeneration experiments and application in a real wastewater.

In the desorption/regeneration experiment, Hg(II) adsorbed onto the material was desorbed by washing with 50 mL HCl solution (0.3 M) and ultrasonic treatment. The mixture, containing adsorbents, was stirred continuously in a 100 mL beaker for 2 h under conditions of 298 K and 150 rpm. After that, the desorbed adsorbent was washed in pure water for several times until pH neutral. Then the

desorbed adsorbent was re-used to adsorb Hg(II). The process was repeated five cycles and the results are presented in Figure 14.



Figure 14. Desorption/regeneration cycles of CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂-NH₂.

As cycle number increases, the adsorption capacities of materials decrease slowly. After five cycles, the adsorption capacity is reduced by 14.4%.

According to the researched results in the literature [43], it is important for the selection of regeneration methods to elongate the lifetime of adsorbents. Additionally, different regeneration conditions produce different recovering performances. For example, some polymer degradation products can result in contamination of samples during the application of the adsorbents, or results in a decreased degree of crosslinking under both acidic and other reaction conditions. So, in terms of material recovery and recycling, the loss of adsorption capacity of as-prepared materials is inevitable under the conditions in the present article. Ways to further improve the regeneration efficiency of more cycles (not limited to five cycles) need to be solved in order to reduce the cost of application.

Electroplating wastewater is a typical industrial wastewater and contains many heavy metals including Hg(II), Cu(II), Cr(III), Zn(II), and Ni(II). The removal method for these heavy metals is commonly adsorption with various adsorbents, mainly various activated carbons. In this experiment, the initial concentrations of Hg(II), Cu(II), Cr(III), Zn(II), and Ni(II) in wastewater were 1.2 ± 0.2 mg/L, 1.5 ± 0.2 mg/L, 5.3 ± 0.1 mg/L, 1.1 ± 0.2 mg/L, and 1.1 ± 0.2 mg/L, respectively. The value of Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD_{cr}) was about 45.5–55.5 mg/L. The dosage of CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂–NH₂ was 0.15 g/L. And the adsorption time and solution pH value were 12 h and 7.2 \pm 0.3, respectively. The operational approach was basically the same as the experiment of dosage effect in the Section 3.2.1.

The test results show that the removal efficiency of Hg(II) reached over 99.5% after adsorption. The residual concentration of Hg(II) in the electroplating solution was below 0.0035 mg/L. The final effluent quality fully met the Chinese National Standard "Emission Standard of Pollutants for Electroplating" (GB 21900-2008).

The above results show that the as-prepared $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ adsorbent has a considerably high removal efficiency of Hg(II) and suggests that as-prepared $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ is a value adsorbent and can be used to treat real industrial wastewater containing heavy metal ions.

3.7. Mechanism Speculation

In order to investigate the change of functional groups in the materials before and after adsorption, FTIR was used again. Figure 15 is the infrared spectra of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ before and after adsorption. The weak adsorption peaks at 1350–1600 cm⁻¹ indicate a decrease in the amino

groups, which indicates that the amino and Hg(II) ions combine with each other to form a complex, resulting in an almost no amino peak. The above analysis exhibits that there is an interaction between $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ and Hg(II). The adsorption mechanism may be that H⁺ in the amino groups is replaced by Hg(II) to form complex, which is consistent with the analysis of the influence of previous ionic strength.



Figure 15. FTIR spectra of CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂-NH₂ before and after adsorption.

For the purpose of further exploring the adsorption mechanism of Hg(II) onto the materials, the study performed XPS analysis on the samples before and after adsorption. It can be observed in Figure 16a that three new peaks appear at 358.3, 377.8, and 577.2 eV, and the three peaks correspond to Hg $4d_{5/2}$, Hg $4d_{3/2}$, and Hg $4p_{3/2}$, respectively. Meanwhile, the material of CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂–NH₂ MNPs has a better stability from the XPS data before and after adsorption shown in Figure 16a. Moreover, as shown in Figure 16b, two new peaks appear at 101.1 eV and 105.2 eV, representing Hg $4f_{5/2}$ and Hg $4f_{7/2}$, respectively, indicating that mercury is successfully adsorbed onto the material after the adsorption process [43].

Figure 16c,d show the change of N 1s before and after adsorption. It is observed that the peak of N is weaker and shifts toward lower binding energies after adsorption, probably because the amino groups react with mercury during the process of adsorption. This demonstrates that different forms of amino groups exist in different environments as follows:

Acidic conditions:
$$-NH_2 + H^+ = -NH_3^+$$
 (8)

Alkaline conditions:
$$-NH_2 + OH^- = -NH_2OH^-$$
 (9)

Figure 17 displays the possible mechanism of the adsorption process. Under acidic conditions, the protonated process of amino groups $(-NH_2 \rightarrow -NH_3^+)$ and the complexation process of the as-prepared materials with Hg(II) occur simultaneously on the surface of amino groups. More $-NH_2$ is converted to NH_3^+ at a low pH. Namely, most of NH_3^+ results from the interaction of surface $-NH_2$ and silanol groups [44].

However, the protonated amino groups have a weak ability to bind with Hg(II) through complexation, so the adsorption amount is low at a low pH. With the increase of pH, more free $-NH_2$ are produced on the surface of the nanoparticles, and subsequently combine with free OH⁻ to form $-NH_2OH^-$ groups, which have a strong electrostatic attraction to Hg(II), resulting in a significant increase in the adsorption capacity of CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂-NH₂ MNPs [30].



Figure 16. (a) XPS spectra of wide scan; (b) Hg 4*f* after adsorption; (c) N 1*s* spectra of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ nanoparticles before; (d) and after adsorption.



Figure 17. Possible mechanism of adsorption of mercury.

The ratio of protonated amino groups to neutral amino groups decreases from 0.72 before adsorption to 0.64 after adsorption, indicating the complexation of mercury ions with the amino groups [45]. The XPS spectra of N 1*s* before and after adsorption of mercury are shown in Figure 16c,d, and it can be seen that there are two peaks of $-NH_3^+$ (401.6 eV) and $-NH_2$ (399.6 eV), corresponding to the morphology change of $-NH_2$ during the process of adsorption [46,47].

However, under high alkaline conditions (e.g., pH over 8), mercury and OH⁻ ions react to form precipitates, resulting in a decrease in the adsorption capacity of CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂-NH₂ for Hg(II).

4. Conclusions

CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂–NH₂ MNPs with a core–shell structure were successfully prepared via a mild and facile hydrothermal method in the presence of water. The saturation magnetization of the as-prepared material was 15.2 emu/g, which means a good magnetic separation performance. The Langmuir adsorption capacity of Hg(II) was 149.3 mg/g at 298 K and a pH of 7. The adsorption process of Hg(II) complied with the pseudo-second-order kinetic model and Langmuir isothermal adsorption model. The adsorption process was monolayer adsorption with electrostatic adsorption and chemisorption. The thermodynamic parameters indicate that the adsorption of Hg(II) onto $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ was spontaneous exothermic. The $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ MNPs have a good reusable value, good application, and a good stability. The main adsorption mechanism of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ to Hg(II) is the binding of amino groups with Hg(II) to form a complex and the electrostatic attraction between the negative charge surface of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2-NH_2$ and Hg(II) cation, so as to achieve the purpose of removing mercury ions from the solution.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2079-4991/8/9/673/s1, Figure S1: SEM micrograph with X-ray elemental area scanning of $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2$ (a); Elemental mapping recorded from one $CoFe_2O_4@SiO_2$ particle with corresponding mappings of O (b), Si (c), Fe (d) and Co (e) before adsorption.

Author Contributions: Data curation, X.W., Z.Z., Y.Z., K.X. and Z.Q.; Investigation, X.W.; Project administration, Y.G.; Supervision, Y.G. and R.B.; Writing—original draft, X.W.; Writing—review & editing, Y.G.

Funding: This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China grant number (51578354), Jiangsu Provincial Key Laboratory of Environmental Science and Engineering grant number (Zd201705), Six Talent Peaks Program grant number (2016-JNHB-067) and Qing Lan Project of Jiangsu Province.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Gao, B.; Han, L.; Hao, H.; Zhou, H. Pollution characteristics of mercury (Hg) in surface sediments of major basins, China. *Ecol. Indic.* **2016**, *67*, 577–585. [CrossRef]
- Xu, H.; Jia, J.; Guo, Y.; Qu, Z.; Liao, Y.; Xie, J.; Shangguan, W.; Yan, N. Design of 3D MnO₂/Carbon sphere composite for the catalytic oxidation and adsorption of elemental mercury. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2018, 342, 69–76. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Wang, S.; Wang, K.; Dai, C.; Shi, H.; Li, J. Adsorption of Pb²⁺ on amino-functionalized core–shell magnetic mesoporous SBA-15 silica composite. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2015**, *262*, 897–903. [CrossRef]
- 4. Miretzky, P.; Cirelli, A.F. Hg(II) removal from water by chitosan and chitosan derivatives: A review. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2009**, *1*–3, 10–23. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Finster, M.E.; Raymond, M.R.; Scofield, M.A.; Smith, K.P. Mercury-impacted scrap metal: Source and nature of the mercury. *J. Environ. Manag.* **2015**, *161*, 303–308. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Zhou, C.; Zhu, H.; Wang, Q.; Wang, J.; Cheng, J.; Guo, Y.; Zhou, X.; Bai, R. Adsorption of mercury(II) with an Fe₃O₄ magnetic polypyrrole–graphene oxide nanocomposite. *RSC Adv.* **2017**, *7*, 18466–18479. [CrossRef]
- 7. Nupearachchi, C.N.; Mahatantila, K.; Vithanage, M. Application of graphene for decontamination of water; Implications for sorptive removal. *Groundwater Sustain.* **2017**, *5*, 206–215. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, W.; Cui, B.; Qiu, H.; Chen, P.; Wang, Y. Multifunctional Fe₃O₄@WO₃@mSiO₂-APTES nanocarrier for targeted drug delivery and controllable release with microwave irradiation triggered by WO₃. *Mater. Lett.* 2016, *169*, 185–188. [CrossRef]
- Wang, J.; Zhao, F.; Wu, W.; Cao, S.-H.; Zhao, G. Magnetic properties in silica-coated CoFe₂O₄ nanoparticles: Quantitative test for a theory of single-domain particles with cubic anisotropy. *Phys. Lett. A* 2012, 376, 547–549. [CrossRef]

- Didaskalou, C.; Buyuktiryaki, S.; Kecili, R.; Fonte, C.P.; Szekely, G. Valorisation of agricultural waste with adsorption/nanofiltration hybrid process: From materials to sustainable process design. *Green Chem.* 2017, 19, 3116–3125. [CrossRef]
- 11. Razali, M.; Kim, J.F.; Attfield, M.P.; Budd, P.M.; Drioli, E.; Lee, Y.M.; Szekely, G. Sustainable wastewater treatment and recycling in membrane manufacturing. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 5196–5205. [CrossRef]
- 12. Likon, M.; Cernec, F.; Svegl, F.; Saarela, J.; Zimmie, T.F. Zimmie papermill industrial waste as a sustainable source for high efficiency absorbent production. *Waste Manag.* **2011**, *31*, 1350–1356. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Santhosh, C.; Velmurugan, V.; Jacob, G.; Jeong, S.K.; Grace, A.N.; Bhatnagar, A. Role of nanomaterials in water treatment applications: A review. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2016**, *306*, 1116–1137. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, H.; Shen, Y.; Wang, Q.; Chen, K.; Wang, X.; Zhang, G.; Yang, J.; Guo, Y.; Bai, R. Highly promoted removal of Hg(II) with magnetic CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂ core–shell nanoparticles modified by thiol groups. *RSC Adv.* 2017, 7, 39204–39215. [CrossRef]
- 15. Mirzabe, G.H.; Keshtkar, A.R. Application of response surface methodology for thorium adsorption on PVA/Fe₃O₄/SiO₂/APTES nanohybrid adsorbent. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* **2015**, *26*, 277–285. [CrossRef]
- 16. Rao, K.S.; Choudary, G.S.V.R.K.; Rao, K.H.; Sujatha, C. Structural and magnetic properties of ultrafine CoFe₂O₄ nanoparticles. *Procedia Mater. Sci.* **2015**, *10*, 19–27. [CrossRef]
- 17. Song, B.Y.; Eom, Y.; Lee, T.G. Removal and recovery of mercury from aqueous solution using magnetic silica nanocomposites. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2011, 257, 4754–4759. [CrossRef]
- Ren, C.; Ding, X.; Fu, H.; Li, W.; Wu, H.; Yang, H. Core–shell superparamagnetic monodisperse nanospheres based on amino-functionalized CoFe₂O₄@SiO₂ for removal of heavy metals from aqueous solutions. *RSC Adv.* 2017, 7, 6911–6921. [CrossRef]
- 19. Gharagozlou, M.; Ramezanzadeh, B.; Baradaran, Z. Synthesize and characterization of a novel anticorrosive cobalt ferrite nanoparticles dispersed in silica matrix (CoFe₂O₄-SiO₂) to improve the corrosion protection performance of epoxy coating. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2016**, *377*, 86–98. [CrossRef]
- 20. Hozhabr Araghi, S.; Entezari, M.H. Amino-functionalized silica magnetite nanoparticles for 584 the simultaneous removal of pollutants from aqueous solution. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2015, 333, 68–77. [CrossRef]
- 21. Ahmad, A.L.; Ismail, S.; Bhatia, S. Water recycling from palm oil mill effluent (POME) using membrane technology. *Desalination* **2003**, *157*, 87–95. [CrossRef]
- Fodi, T.; Didaskalou, C.; Kupai, J.; Balogh, G.T.; Huszthy, P.; Szekely, G.; Didaskalou, C.; Kupai, J.; Balogh, G.T.; Huszthy, P.; Szekely, G. Nanofiltration-enabled in situ solvent and reagent recycle for sustainable continuous-flow synthesis. *ChemSusChem* 2017, *10*, 3435–3444. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Schaepertoens, M.; Didaskalou, C.; Kim, J.F.; Livingston, A.G.; Szekely, G. Solvent recycle with imperfect membranes: A semi-continuous workaround for diafiltration. J. Membr. Sci. 2016, 514, 646–658. [CrossRef]
- 24. Demirelli, M.; Karaoğlu, E.; Baykal, A.; Sözeri, H.; Uysal, E. Synthesis, characterization and catalytic activity of CoFe₂O₄-APTES-Pd magnetic recyclable catalyst. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2014**, *582*, 201–207. [CrossRef]
- 25. Wang, H.; Huang, J.; Ding, L.; Li, D.; Han, Y. A facile synthesis of monodisperse CoFe₂O₄/SiO₂ nanoparticles. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2011**, 257, 7107–7112. [CrossRef]
- 26. Hozhabr Araghi, S.; Entezari, M.H.; Chamsaz, M. Modification of mesoporous silica magnetite nanoparticles by 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane for the removal of Cr(VI) from aqueous solution. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2015**, *218*, 101–111. [CrossRef]
- 27. Zhao, Y.; Ma, X.; Xu, P.; Wang, H.; Liu, Y.; He, A. Elemental mercury removal from flue gas by CoFe₂O₄ catalyzed peroxymonosulfate. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2018**, *341*, 228–237. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Yuan, Q.; Chi, Y.; Yu, N.; Zhao, Y.; Yan, W.; Li, X.; Dong, B. Amino-functionalized magnetic mesoporous microspheres with good adsorption properties. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **2014**, *49*, 279–284. [CrossRef]
- 29. Alkan, M.; Tekin, G.; Namli, H. FTIR and zeta potential measurements of sepiolite treated with some organosilanes. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2005, *84*, 75–83. [CrossRef]
- Li, X.; Janke, A.; Formanek, P.; Fery, A.; Stamm, M.; Tripathi, B.P. One pot preparation of polysulfone-amino functionalized SiO₂ nanoparticle ultrafiltration membranes for water purification. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 2018, 6, 4598–4604. [CrossRef]
- 31. Anbia, M.; Kargosha, K.; Khoshbooei, S. Heavy metal ions removal from aqueous media by modified magnetic mesoporous silica MCM-48. *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.* **2015**, *93*, 779–788. [CrossRef]

- Chen, L.; Zhao, D.; Chen, S.; Wang, X.; Chen, C. One-step fabrication of amino functionalized magnetic graphene oxide composite for uranium(VI) removal. *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.* 2016, 472, 99–107. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Pourbeyram, S. Effective Removal of Heavy Metals from Aqueous solutions by graphene oxide–zirconium phosphate (GO–Zr-P) nanocomposite. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2016**, *55*, 5608–5617. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Z.; Xu, J.; Hu, Y.; Zhao, H.; Zhou, J.; Liu, Y.; Lou, Z.; Xu, X. Functional nanomaterials: Study on aqueous Hg(II) adsorption by magnetic Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SH nanoparticles. *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.* 2016, 60, 394–402. [CrossRef]
- 35. Ma, Y.X.; Xing, D.; Shao, W.J.; Du, X.Y.; La, P.Q. Preparation of polyamidoamine dendrimers functionalized magnetic graphene oxide for the adsorption of Hg(II) in aqueous solution. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2017**, 505, 352–363. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Luo, H.; Zhang, S.; Li, X.; Liu, X.; Xu, Q.; Liu, J.; Wang, Z. Tannic acid modified Fe₃O₄ core–shell nanoparticles for adsorption of Pb²⁺ and Hg²⁺. *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.* **2017**, *72*, 163–170. [CrossRef]
- 37. Guo, Y.F.; Deng, J.; Zhu, J.Y.; Zhou, X.J.; Bai, R.B. Removal of mercury(II) and methylene blue from a wastewater environment with magnetic graphene oxide: Adsorption kinetics, isotherms and mechanism. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 82523–82536. [CrossRef]
- 38. Takimoto, T.; Tsue, H.; Tamura, R.; Sasaki, H. Selective adsorption of mercury(II) ion by p-tert-butylcalix 4 thiacrown-5 at a solid-liquid interface. *Heterocycles* **2015**, *90*, 842–846. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Y.; Yan, L.; Xu, W.; Guo, X.; Cui, L.; Gao, L.; Wei, Q.; Du, B. Adsorption of Pb(II) and Hg(II) from aqueous solution using magnetic CoFe₂O₄-reduced graphene oxide. *J. Mol. Liq.* 2014, 191, 177–182. [CrossRef]
- 40. Hadavifar, M.; Bahramifar, N.; Younesi, H.; Li, Q. Adsorption of mercury ions from synthetic and real wastewater aqueous solution by functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotube with both amino and thiolated groups. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2014**, 237, 217–228. [CrossRef]
- 41. Guo, Y.F.; Wang, Z.; Zhou, X.J.; Bai, R.B. Removal of mercury (II) from aqueous solution with three commercial raw activated carbons. *Res. Chem. Intermed.* **2016**, *10*, 1–25. [CrossRef]
- 42. Li, H.; Xiao, D.; He, H.; Lin, R.; Zuo, P. Adsorption behavior and adsorption mechanism of Cu(II) ions on amino-functionalized magnetic nanoparticles. *Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China* **2013**, *23*, 2657–2665. [CrossRef]
- 43. Kupai, J.; Razali, M.; Buyuktiryaki, S.; Kecili, R.; Szekely, G. Long-term stability and reusability of molecularly imprinted polymers. *Polym. Chem.* **2017**, *4*, 666–673. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, H.; Zhang, W.; Wang, J.; Yang, Z.; Li, L.; Shih, K. Coexistence of enhanced Hg⁰ oxidation and induced Hg²⁺ reduction on CuO/TiO₂ catalyst in the presence of NO and NH₃. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2017, 330, 1248–1254. [CrossRef]
- 45. Wang, J.; Zheng, S.; Liu, J.; Xu, Z. Tannic acid adsorption on amino-functionalized magnetic mesoporous silica. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2010**, *165*, 10–16. [CrossRef]
- 46. Wang, J.; Zheng, C.; Ding, S.; Ma, H.; Ji, Y. Behaviors and mechanisms of tannic acid adsorption on an amino-functionalized magnetic nanoadsorbent. *Desalination* **2011**, 273, 285–291. [CrossRef]
- 47. Qiao, B.; Wang, T.J.; Gao, H.; Jin, Y. High density silanization of nano-silica particles using γ-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES). *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2015**, *351*, 646–654. [CrossRef]



© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).