Prospects & Overviews

RNA assemblages orchestrate complex cellular processes

Finn Cilius Nielsen¹⁾, Heidi Theil Hansen²⁾ and Jan Christiansen²⁾*

Eukaryotic mRNAs are monocistronic, and therefore mechanisms exist that coordinate the synthesis of multiprotein complexes in order to obtain proper stoichiometry at the appropriate intracellular locations. RNA-binding proteins containing low-complexity sequences are prone to generate liquid droplets via liquid-liquid phase separation, and in this way create cytoplasmic assemblages of functionally related mRNAs. In a recent iCLIP study, we showed that the Drosophila RNA-binding protein Imp, which exhibits a C-terminal low-complexity sequence, increases the formation of F-actin by binding to 3' untranslated regions of mRNAs encoding components participating in F-actin biogenesis. We hypothesize that phase transition is a mechanism the cell employs to increase the local mRNA concentration considerably, and in this way synchronize protein production in cytoplasmic territories, as discussed in the present review.

Keywords:

post-transcriptional RNA regulon; RNA assemblage; RNA-binding protein; RNP granule; liquid droplet; lowcomplexity sequence

DOI 10.1002/bies.201500175

- ¹⁾ Center for Genomic Medicine, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
- ²⁾ Department of Biology, Center for Computational and Applied Transcriptomics, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

*Corresponding author:

Jan Christiansen

E-mail: janchr@bio.ku.dk

Abbreviations:

ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; APC, adenomatous polyposis coli; CLIP, cross-linking and immunoprecipitation; CSD, cold shock domain; dsRBD, double-stranded RNA-binding domain; FRAP, fluorescence recovery after photobleaching; FTD, frontotemporal dementia; iCLIP, individual-nucleotide resolution cross-linking and immunoprecipitation; KH, heterogenous nuclear ribonucleoprotein K homology; LCS, low-complexity sequence; NLS, nuclear localization signal; RBP, RNA-binding protein; RNP, ribonucleoproten; RRM, RNA recognition motif.

Introduction

Since 1961, when Jacob and Monod suggested their operon model, the concept of the polycistronic mRNA encoding functionally related proteins has been a paradigm in bacterial and archaeal gene regulation. It is assumed that this mode of co-regulation ensures proportional synthesis of components in multiprotein complexes by translational control, and recent ribosome profiling analysis of global gene expression in *Escherichia coli* by Weissman and co-workers [1] has shown that this is generally the case. Proportional synthesis avoids dominant-negative effects of excess components that will have to be eliminated by proteolytic quality control. An added bonus of protein synthesis from polycistronic mRNAs is the proximity of the resulting protein products, thus facilitating expedient macromolecular assembly or catalysis.

The prokaryotic mode of creating multiprotein complexes is in sharp contrast to the way the considerably larger eukaryotic cell, encoding monocistronic mRNAs, achieves proximity and stoichiometry, although classical cases of pyrimidine and purine biosynthesis have evolved multifunctional enzymes and enzyme clustering [2, 3]. Faced with this conundrum, Jack Keene [4] suggested that the coordination of gene expression to some extent was delegated from the DNA to the RNA level via the formation of post-transcriptional RNA regulons. The salient feature behind this hypothesis is the pivotal role of a common RNA-binding protein (RBP) associating with mRNAs encoding functionally related proteins and in this way dictating a common fate.

In this review, we describe examples of low-complexity RBPs coordinating RNA metabolism in both mono- and multicellular organisms with an emphasis on cytosolic ribonucleoprotein (RNP) granules (Table 1). We present the available evidence regarding their status as liquid droplets, including our own recent study of the participation of the *Drosophila* RBP Imp in F-actin formation.

Modular RBPs have a multitude of RNA targets

It is estimated that mammalian cells contain between 800 and 1,600 different RBPs, and about half of these encompasses common RNA-binding modules such as RRMs, KH domains,

Bioessays 38: 674–681, © 2016 The Authors BioEssays Published by WILEY Periodicals, Inc. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Acronym	Organism	RNA-binding domains	Low-complexity sequence	RefSeq
hnRNPA1	human	2 RRMs	Gly and Ser	NP_002127
hnRNPA2B1	human	2 RRMs	Gly	NP_112533
FUS	human	2 RRMs	Gly, Ser, and Tyr	NP_004951
Whi3p	yeast	1 RRM	Gln	NP_014202
Puf3p	yeast	8 pumilio repeats	Asn and Gln	NP_013088
Imp	Drosophila	4 KH domains	Gln	NP_511111

Table 1. RNA-binding proteins discussed in this review

CSDs, dsRBDs, RGG motifs, and zinc fingers in various combinations [5–7]. The other half does not contain an easily recognisable module – usually illustrated by the dual behavior of cytosolic aconitase, which switches between high-affinity RNA-binding and catalysis depending on the intracellular iron concentration [8]. From X-ray and NMR studies, a good understanding of the structure of the single RNA-binding module in complex with RNA has emerged [9, 10]. Moreover, several structural examples of truncated multi-domain RBPs in complex with RNA targets are available [11, 12].

There has been a flurry of high-throughput CLIP data on the targetome of full-length RBPs and attempts at defining recognition elements. Besides the well-characterized interaction between Pumilio and its target revealing an 8-nt recognition "code" [13, 14], many RBPs are promiscuous and exhibit low specificity, although the combination of modules within a single RBP will increase the specificity considerably [15]. Because a single RBP may literally have thousands of different interactions with the transcriptome, and hundreds of RBPs may behave in this manner, the complexity is daunting. Nevertheless, the combinatorial binding or competition among RBPs determines the fate of the individual transcript [16, 17].

Are RNP granules membrane-less liquid droplets?

The cell is compartmentalized, and in recent years the participation of RBPs in the formation of membrane-less organelles by phase transition has been examined extensively. Seminal studies were carried out with germ granules (termed P granules) in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, identifying them as liquid droplets formed by phase separation from the cytoplasm [18], but the concept of phase transition of low-complexity proteins was described earlier for FG-rich nucleoporins [19]. The fortuitous use - by McKnight and co-workers - of a biotinylated isoxazole derivative to stabilize B-strand conformations in intrinsically disordered RBPs paved the way for the biochemical isolation of material within these membrane-less organelles. It turned out that especially low-complexity RBPs such as FUS (Fused in Sarcoma), hnRNPA1 and hnRNPA2B1 were abundant in the droplets/hydrogels [20, 21] (Fig. 1). Intriguingly, mRNAs in the hydrogels exhibited a preponderance of long 3'UTRs, which provide a platform for RBP interplay, and could mean that mRNAs in liquid droplets are likely to be regulated mRNA species. An emerging theme in mRNA studies is that extensive 3'UTRs act as scaffolds for post-transcriptional regulatory purposes, and that physiologic responses depend on competing and/or cooperating *trans*-acting factors [22–24].

P bodies and stress granules contain low-complexity RBPs

P bodies and stress granules are cytoplasmic RNP bodies that exhibit droplet properties. P bodies have been examined in studies of mRNA decay and translational repression, and the marker is usually the decapping enzyme Dcp2 [25]. Stress granules are formed in response to various cellular stresses such as arsenite and glucose deprivation, and are considered to be a pool of mRNPs stalled during translation initiation with TIA-1 as a common marker [26, 27]. However, a clear distinction between the two types of RNPs, based on the presence of a particular RBP, is not straightforward [28], and neither type seems to be the cause, but rather a consequence, of polysome disassembly [29–31].

Both types of RNP bodies incorporate low-complexity RBPs. In particular stress granules are regarded as pivotal in the pathogenesis of the neurodegenerative diseases amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and frontotemporal dementia (FTD) via fibrillization of RBPs such as hnRNPA1, hnRNPA2B1, FUS and TDP-43 [32-34]. Although the low-complexity sequence from hnRNPA1 is sufficient to mediate liquid-liquid phase separation, the inclusion of the two RRMs in full-length hnRNPA1, and the presence of RNA, favor reversibility rather than fibrillization [35]. This study also showed that fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) analysis of hnRNPA1 within liquid droplets exhibited kinetics on the timescale of seconds, both in vitro and in vivo. This is in striking contrast to the near absence of recovery within 15 min in cross- β fibrillar hydrogels, and suggests a more rigid incorporation of hnRNPA1 in the latter. If a zipper motif within the low-complexity sequence of hnRNPA1 is removed (termed delta-hexa mutant), fibrillization is abrogated without affecting liquid droplet formation, thereby uncoupling the two phenomena mechanistically. Moreover, the presence of RNA reduced the necessary critical hnRNPA1 concentration for liquid-liquid phase separation. Finally, a disease-causing hnRNPA1 D262V mutation [36] did not appear to alter molecular interactions that drive phase separation, but increased the propensity toward amyloid-like fibrillization subsequently [35].

Parker and co-workers [37] also examined the propensity of full-length hnRNPA1 and the delta-hexa and D262V mutants to form liquid droplets in vitro, and obtained similar results, namely that a low salt concentration (37.5 mM) and high



Figure 1. Liquid-liquid phase separation of RNPs and decreased reversibility. At a critical concentration, monomeric RNPs are partitioned into reversible liquid droplets in a heterotypical manner, illustrated by different colors of low-complexity RBPs. The presence of RNA (drawn as "snakes") together with dynamic, multiple weak interactions between intrinsically disordered protein regions (not shown), seems critical for droplet formation. In hydrogels, the interactions between the disordered regions are less dynamic because of cross- β conformations, and hydrogels appear to exhibit a broad spectrum of reversibility. Formation of amyloid-like inclusions of low reversibility, associated with disease mutations, is shown at the right. Partitioning of RNPs into droplets/hydrogels increases their local concentration by orders of magnitude [38] and thereby the likelihood of fibrillization.

protein concentration were necessary for phase separation. Regardless of whether the wild-type or mutants were analyzed by fluorescence microcopy, a physiological salt concentration (150 mM) resulted in a one-phase system, unless RNA was present together with crowding agents such as polyethylene glycol or Ficoll. However, the kinetics of fibrillization was strikingly different, in that the D262V mutant formed SDSresistant fibers, whose rate of formation was increased by prior droplet formation, presumably due to an increased protein concentration within the droplets.

Taken together, the crucial players for forming RNP granules via liquid-liquid phase separation appear to be RBPs containing low-complexity sequences and RNA. Multivalency seems to be the key concept behind RNP granule assembly offering synergy between multiple RNA-binding modules in RBPs, multiple RBP target sites on RNA, and multiple low-complexity sequences in RBPs [38].

A transition to more solid-like droplets is accelerated by disease mutations

An emerging theme in studies of liquid droplets is that they evolve into more solid-like entities, and that this transition is greatly accelerated by disease mutations. A controversial issue has been whether conformations of low-complexity sequences in liquid droplets are different from those appearing in more fibrous hydrogels. However, a chemical footprinting experiment with N-acetyl-imidazole has been unable to identify differences in side-chain accessibility of the low-complexity region in hnRNPA2B1, regardless of its presence in liquid droplets, hydrogels – or nuclei for that matter – and suggests cross- β polymerization as a unifying principle [39]. Nevertheless, the physiological relevance of fibrous hydrogels is a pending issue.

Fused in Sarcoma (FUS) is a favorite RBP in studies of liquid-like compartments both in vitro and in vivo. This is due to several facts: High intracellular concentration, extensive Nterminal low-complexity sequence, an ability to shuttle between nucleus and cytoplasm, and importantly, mutations of FUS are seen in relation to the neurodegenerative diseases ALS and FTD. To gain insight into the physiological role of FUS, Alberti and coworkers [40] generated a FUS transgene that could be expressed at a similar level to the endogenous one at 2 µM in HeLa cells. At steady-state, FUS is mainly a nuclear protein, but during stress FUS is transported to the cytoplasm, where it is localized in stress granules. Within these compartments, FRAP experiments have shown that exchange with the surrounding cytoplasm is taking place within seconds, and so-called half-bleach experiments reveal a dynamic droplet interior [40], so in a physiological setting the propensity to form more solid-like fibers is low. However, if a patient mutation such as G156E in the lowcomplexity QGSY-rich region or deletion of the C-terminal nuclear localization signal

(NLS) is present, the kinetics of fibrillization is increased. In the latter case, inhibition of nuclear localization increases the cytoplasmic concentration of FUS, thereby facilitating phase separation and the likelihood of subsequent aggregation. This maturation phenomenon is essentially a conversion from a metastable liquid-like state to a thermodynamically more stable solid-like state, and takes place within 8 h in vitro. However, it is much slower in vivo, probably because it is efficiently counteracted by chaperones and disaggregases [41, 42]; hence cytoplasmic inclusions will first become a severe phenotype with age [40].

A similar conclusion was reached in a *C. elegans* model of FUS-dependent neurodegeneration [43]. In this study of C-terminal NLS mutations, the conversion of liquid droplets into fibrillar hydrogels was sufficient to mediate neurotoxicity. An important consequence of the transition from dynamic liquid droplets to more static hydrogels was impairment of on-site translation in *Xenopus* retinal neurons, due to entrapped mRNPs. In the ongoing discussion about the physiological significance of hydrogels, a distinction between reversible and irreversible hydrogels may be more fruitful, because the difference in viscosity is slight between the former and liquid droplets [44]. Therefore, partial polymerization into a loose yet reversible fibrous mesh could be appropriate for the physiology of longer-lived RNP granules and the nuclear pore matrix [45].

Whi3p droplet properties depend on RNA identity

In multinucleate large *Ashbya* cells, the G1 cyclin *CLN3* transcript shows a nonrandom spatial clustering due to a glutamine-rich region in the RBP Whi3p, and this inhomogeneous distribution is crucial for cell-cycle timing variability and asynchrony of the nuclei [32]. An additional mRNA target for Whi3p is the *BNI1* transcript encoding formin, which is important for establishing polarity [46]. Recombinant Whi3p (28 μ M) is able to form protein-only liquid droplets at 75 mM KCl in vitro, but not at physiological salt concentrations. In contrast, the liquid-liquid phase separation of protein-RNA droplets is promoted at physiological salt concentration and

156

Droconhila Imp EEE aa

75 81

1

	К	H1	KI	12		K	H3	K	14		L	CS
1	74	136	160	224		297	354	383	450			566
Homo sapiens IMP1 - 579 aa												
RRM	11	RRM	2		KH1	К	H2			кнз		KH4

260 276

195

Figure 2. Schematic representation of *Drosophila* Imp and human IMP1. Numbers refer to amino acid positions bordering various domains. Human IMP1 is composed of 579 amino acids and exhibits six characteristic RNA-binding modules, namely two N-terminal RNA recognition motifs (RRM1 and 2), and four C-terminal hnRNPK homology domains (KH1–4). Whereas two RRMs or four KH domains can be found in other RBPs, the 2+4 modular architecture seen in vertebrate IMPs is unique. From both a phylogenetic and experimental standpoint, the four KH domains constitute a functional entity in terms of high-affinity RNA-binding, granular RNP assembly, and RNA localization [77]. Although *Drosophila* Imp exhibits rudimentary features of RRMs in minor isoforms, the major isoform of 566 amino acid lacks RRMs. Instead, *Drosophila* Imp contains a large C-terminal low-complexity glutamine-rich sequence (LCS).

343

405

470 487

553 579

200 nM protein by the presence of RNA in the guise of either CLN3 or BNI1 mRNAs [47]. Moreover, the strict dependence on the RRM domain for Whi3p droplet formation reinforces the important role of RNA. Although both mRNAs encompass five putative UGCAU attachment sites for Whi3p, the viscosity of the two types of protein-RNA droplets, and their propensity to fuse, are different. This implies that the identity of the participating mRNA component could tune the functional specificity and encompass information beyond mere coding ability. Therefore, a combination of low-complexity sequences and multivalent interactions appears to be critical for intracellular phase transition, although the effect of the spacing pattern of Whi3p attachment sites on mRNA targets is unknown. During droplet maturation, the transition from a liquid to a more fibrillar state of glutamine-rich RBPs, such as Whi3p, is diminished considerably by the presence of RNA [40, 47]. In fact, the negatively charged RNA influences the viscoelastic properties of liquid droplets, as measured by microrheology and FRAP, and gives rise to decreased viscosity within the droplet termed RNA-induced fluidization [44, 47]. The presence of mRNA within these intracellular reactors is therefore crucial for reversibility and, by inference, its subsequent recruitment to the translational apparatus.

RNP granules encompass RNA assemblages

The RNP granule can be regarded as the physical manifestation of the post-transcriptional RNA regulon, because lowcomplexity sequences in intrinsically disordered regions of RBPs would have an ability to interact both homo- and heterotypically in a dynamic fashion. The concept of an RNP granule is appealing, because this would allow mRNAs encoding functionally related proteins to be stored/transported in a repressed form and be translated locally in a synchronous manner. The RNP granule concept has been widely accepted among neurobiologists, because mRNAs are transported over considerable distances to obtain local protein synthesis in axons and dendrites [48]. Despite the widespread acclaim of the RNP granule as a mode of harnessing and transporting mRNAs, there are few studies that have identified the molecular motors involved [49-51]. It is generally assumed that long-range movements of RNP granules take place on microtubules and that short-range transport will take place on microfilaments, a concept that is based on disturbances following nocodazole and cytochalasin D treatments, respectively. However, because optical resolution has improved, it is not clear whether RNP granules are co-localizing with microtubules or are tethered via ER/ endosomes [52, 53]. An additional question related to RNP granules - at least if based on a solid-like structure - is that of reversibility, i. e. how is the mRNA deployed at the site of translation? The most obvious solution is a signal transduction event leading to a posttranslational modification or proteolysis of

the RBP. By breaking protein-protein, rather than RNA-protein, interactions, mRNA could be released in an accessible form to the translational apparatus.

Puf3p phosphorylation activates mRNAs encoding mitochondrial proteins

Low-complexity sequences exhibit enrichment of serine and arginine residues [54], thereby providing ample opportunity for post-translational modifications such as phosphorylations and methylations, respectively, that could regulate the reversibility of mRNAs within RNP granules [55]. For example, chemical inhibition of the dual specificity kinase DYRK3 in HeLa cells affects the dissolution of stress granules by preventing autophosphorylation of its own low-complexity N-terminus and phosphorylation of RBPs [56]. Moreover, phosphorylation of intrinsically disordered MEG substrates by the *C. elegans* DYRK3 homologue drives the dissolution of P granules in embryos, whereas condensation is mediated by a PP2A phosphatase [57]. In the next section, we describe one of the most clear-cut examples of a post-transcriptional RNA regulon that is regulated by environmental cues.

Puf3p associates with cytoplasmic mRNAs encoding mitochondrial proteins in budding yeast [58, 59]. The exact mechanism behind the coordination in terms of localization and/or translatability has been unclear, but a recent study suggests that the participation of RNP granules is pivotal for the physiology of the regulon [60]. Upon glucose starvation, Puf3p becomes heavily phosphorylated, mainly within its Nterminal low-complexity region, and this results in translational activation of bound mRNAs, thereby promoting mitochondrial biogenesis and the capacity for oxidative catabolism of carbon sources [61]. From a mechanistic point of view, it should be noted that phosphorylated Puf3p cosediments with its target mRNAs in polysomes, suggesting that the translational activation is due to interference with protein-protein, rather than RNA-protein, interactions. Moreover, a phosphomutant containing 24 serine/threonine-to-



Figure 3. A: Drosophila Imp in cytoplasmic RNP granules. The picture shows a Drosophila S2 cell stained with DAPI (green), anti-Imp antibody (blue) and phalloidin (red) (all in pseudo-colors). Imp granules are about 100-200 nm large and located in the perinuclear region and close to the actin mesh. B: Transcripts associated with the Impmediated RNA assemblage. Forty out of the 86 transcripts, identified by three different high-throughput analyses, participate in growth cone dynamics [64]. C: Key proteins involved in the formation and maintenance of F-actin in lamellipodia. Several effector proteins are needed to nucleate, elongate, depolymerize, bundle and contract the actin filaments in order to reorganize the shape of the leading edge of a cell in response to external guidance cues. Profilin is responsible for the addition of actin monomers to the barbed end of growing filaments by interacting with the barbed-end protector proteins, the formins and Ena/VASP [78]. Formins and Ena/VASP also ensure continuous growth of the filament by inhibiting the binding of capping proteins, Cap2 and Esp8, otherwise capable of blocking the addition of actin monomers [79, 80]. In lamellipodia, the meshlike form of F-actin is accomplished by the dendritic nucleator proteins, the Arp2/3 complex, functioning as branch point holders, where they nucleate new filaments that branch off from pre-existing ones [78]. The recycling of actin subunits and actin remodelling is vital for movement of the cell. Cofilin in its unphosphorylated form binds to and twists the actin filament toward the pointed end, and the severing creates additional barbed ends, enhancing the turnover of actin subunits [81].

alanine mutations exhibits entrapment of target mRNAs in RNP granules and a severe growth arrest in response to glucose depletion. Taken together, this study suggests that signal transduction mediated by glucose deprivation unfurls RNP granules (in this case PUF bodies), rendering monomeric RNPs accessible to the translational apparatus. The coordination in terms of time and space is governed by the RBP Puf3p in a post-transcriptional RNA regulon [60, 62].

Drosophila Imp coordinates an RNA assemblage involved in growth cone dynamics

In a comparison of the oncofetal RBP IMP family with its *Drosophila* homologue, we noticed that the latter encompasses a C-terminal glutamine-rich low-complexity sequence (Fig. 2). Moreover, *Drosophila* Imp has been shown to be part of hydrogels in a biotinylated isoxazole precipitation assay [20]. To address the possibility that this particular RBP coordinates the expression of a subset of mRNAs by creating an RNA assemblage within RNP granules [63], we subjected *Drosophila* S2 cells to either short or long-wave UV irradiation followed by immunoprecipitation of lysates [64]. The iCLIP experimental approach allows the identification of in vivo cross-linking sites on the entire transcriptome regardless of

individual affinities [65, 66], whereas RBP immunoprecipitation on its own preferentially captures stable interactions without pinpointing the precise binding sites within an mRNA. Regardless of the procedure employed, mRNAs encoding components involved in the coordination of F-actin formation were enriched (Fig. 3). To address the physiological significance of the Imp RNA assemblage, we reduced the level of Imp in Drosophila S2 cells by RNA interference and examined the effect on the steady-state F-actin level by staining with phalloidin. S2 cells are spherical without extensive protrusions, but the cellular Factin level was nevertheless diminished by 36% upon Imp reduction. By carrying out a global transcriptome analysis, we were able to establish that Imp did not alter

the global S2 transcriptome, and that the total cytosolic actin monomer concentration was unchanged. We interpret this as an effect of an RBP on local cytoplasmic events rather than on overall cellular post-transcriptional regulation. A simple model would be that Imp defines an RNA assemblage ensuring that functionally related mRNAs – i.e. a posttranscriptional RNA regulon – would be in the vicinity of each other, and produce components at a higher local concentration than possible from dispersed protein synthesis in the cytoplasm.

Imp-dependent stimulation of F-actin formation has developmental consequences, especially for processes relying on F-actin dynamics such a neuronal growth cone steering and synaptogenesis [67]. Imp-deficient flies exhibit a spectrum of neurogenesis defects – almost to the point of being stochastic – and even survivors at the pharate adult stage are unable to eclose, suggesting locomotory failure. The broad spectrum of defects is compatible with what was observed in the cell-line: namely that all components appear to be formed in the appropriate amount – at least at the transcript level – regardless of Imp. However, the possibility of producing the components in high local concentrations facilitating macromolecular assembly is jeopardized to varying degrees. A study by Besse and co-workers [68] supports the role of *Drosophila* Imp in facilitating F-actin formation during neurogenesis,



Figure 4. Schematic representation of the role of RNP granules/droplets in the segregation of mRNA assemblages. In the nucleus, transcripts are associated with particular RBPs, that – via low-complexity sequences – drive the formation of liquid droplets containing mRNAs encoding factors participating in a particular biological process or macromolecular complex. Following cellular trafficking to the final destination, the increase in local mRNA concentration facilitates synchronous on-site protein production.

because defects in mushroom body (somewhat reminiscent of the hippocampus) neurogenesis in Imp-deficient animals can be partially rescued by Chickadee. The latter is the Drosophila homologue of profilin, which is the main facilitator of F-actin formation from G-actin. One would also expect transcripts encoding Rho GTPases, moesin, ena/VASP, and cytosolic actin itself in a post-transcriptional RNA regulon coordinated by Imp and conveying cues from the membrane to the cvtoskeleton, and this was actually observed [64]. A recent translational profiling study of the conversion of growth cones into presynaptic terminals in Drosophila photoreceptor R cells has shown that prior to differentiation there is a 40-fold upregulation of Imp. Moreover, all of the actin regulatory proteins encoded by mRNAs, identified in our iCLIP study, are expressed in R cells during synapse formation [69]. Taken together, a picture emerges where one phase transition, namely the partitioning of RNPs into liquid droplets, facilitates a subsequent transition of monomeric actin into F-actin [70].

The advantages in clustering functionally related mRNAs within an RNA assemblage

Compared with solid-like cytoplasmic inclusions, liquid droplets offer some intrinsic advantages especially in terms of dynamics, because material can enter and exit the droplet faster but still be concentrated in a small volume [71]. The latter is important, because concomitant release of a high local concentration of related mRNAs to the translational

apparatus will facilitate a high local protein concentration of newly synthesized interacting species (Fig. 4). Based on RNP body condensation/ dissolution behavior following translational inhibition of either initiation or elongation, respectively [29, 72], it is generally assumed that the translational apparatus does not have access to the droplet interior. However, it should be recalled that ribosomal proteins themselves exhibit intrinsically disordered regions termed "waggly tails" [73]. A few studies have addressed the presence of translating ribosomes in the vicinity of RNP granules [74, 75], and the study by Mili and co-workers [76] actually showed translation within cytoplasmic FUS granules generating local protein production from APC-RNPs in NIH 3T3 protrusions. In general, the understanding of the location and the identity of the ribosomes carrying out the local synthesis is lagging behind, so this should be an important line of enquiry in upcoming studies.

Conclusions and prospects

In this essay, we have presented the rationale behind clustering functionally related mRNAs in assemblages, with an emphasis on coordinated and accelerated recruitment to the translational apparatus. An additional bonus of partitioning is fidelity, allowing much less volume and time for aberrant interactions among the resulting protein products. However, this mechanism does not come without a cost, because phase separation of an RBP is a fine balance between physiological assembly and pathological fibrillization.

Recent genome-wide screenings strongly support the concept of RNA assemblages segregated by particular RBPs. To understand the physiological significance of RNA assemblages, we need a deeper understanding of their dynamic behavior and molecular composition. The crucial role of RNA, salt and temperature for droplet dynamics has been obtained from studies in vitro focusing on the biophysical behavior of tagged low-complexity sequences. However, visualization of the interplay between RNP granules and the translational apparatus in vivo is strongly needed. Microscopes are now approaching single RNP particle resolution, so studies should be directed toward endogenous components rather than reporters with various tags. Moreover, low-complexity sequences in homologous RBPs exhibit low levels of conservation, so comparative studies may provide clues to the rationale behind these intrinsically disordered regions in terms of evolutionary rewiring of post-transcriptional regulation.

A number of granular RBPs have been implicated in neurological diseases. So far we know little about the potential druggability of the conditions, but the concept of modifying particular RNA assemblages is an appealing one, because a complex process might be influenced by a single drug. Blockmirs may be employed to up-regulate RBPs, whereas another possibility would be to prevent granule formation by modulating post-translational modifications, such as phosphorylations, or by introducing RNA sponges. Considering the many ongoing human sequencing initiatives, we envisage that RBPs will be implicated in a number of both common and rare diseases, which will stimulate the development of new therapeutic strategies.

References

- Li GW, Burkhardt D, Gross C, Weissman JS. 2014. Quantifying absolute protein synthesis rates reveals principles underlying allocation of cellular resources. *Cell* 157: 624–35.
- 2. Robitaille AM, Christen S, Shimobayashi M, Cornu M, et al. 2013. Quantitative phosphoproteomics reveal mTORC1 activates de novo pyrimidine synthesis. *Science* **339**: 1320–3.
- 3. French JB, Jones SA, Deng H, Pedley AM, et al. 2016. Spatial colocalization and functional link of purinosomes with mitochondria. *Science* **351**: 733–7.
- Keene JD. 2007. RNA regulons: coordination of post-transcriptional events. Nat Rev Genet 8: 533–43.
- Castello A, Fischer B, Eichelbaum K, Horos R, et al. 2012. Insights into RNA biology from an atlas of mammalian mRNA-binding proteins. *Cell* 149: 1393–406.
- Gerstberger S, Hafner M, Tuschl T. 2014. A census of human RNAbinding proteins. Nat Rev Genet 15: 829–45.
- Neelamraju Y, Hashemikhabir S, Janga SC. 2015. The human RBPome: from genes and proteins to human disease. J Proteomics 127: 61–70.
- Zhang DL, Ghosh MC, Rouault TA. 2014. The physiological functions of iron regulatory proteins in iron homeostasis – an update. *Front Pharmacol* 5: 124.
- Mackay JP, Font J, Segal DJ. 2011. The prospects for designer singlestranded RNA-binding proteins. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 18: 256–61.
- Daubner GM, Clery A, Allain FH. 2013. RRM-RNA recognition: NMR or crystallography and new findings. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 23: 100–8.
- 11. Mackereth CD, Sattler M. 2012. Dynamics in multi-domain protein recognition of RNA. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 22: 287–96.
- Hennig J, Militti C, Popowicz GM, Wang I, et al. 2014. Structural basis for the assembly of the SxI-Unr translation regulatory complex. *Nature* 515: 287–90.
- Cheong CG, Hall TM. 2006. Engineering RNA sequence specificity of Pumilio repeats. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103: 13635–9.
- Hafner M, Landthaler M, Burger L, Khorshid M, et al. 2010. Transcriptome-wide identification of RNA-binding protein and microRNA target sites by PAR-CLIP. *Cell* 141: 129–41.
- Lunde BM, Moore C, Varani G. 2007. RNA-binding proteins: modular design for efficient function. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 8: 479–90.
- Singh G, Pratt G, Yeo GW, Moore MJ. 2015. The clothes make the mRNA: past and present trends in mRNP fashion. *Annu Rev Biochem* 84: 325–54.
- Iadevaia V, Gerber AP. 2015. Combinatorial control of mRNA fates by RNA-Binding proteins and non-coding RNAs. *Biomolecules* 5: 2207–22.
- Brangwynne CP, Eckmann CR, Courson DS, Rybarska A, et al. 2009. Germline P granules are liquid droplets that localize by controlled dissolution/condensation. *Science* 324: 1729–32.
- Frey S, Richter RP, Gorlich D. 2006. FG-rich repeats of nuclear pore proteins form a three-dimensional meshwork with hydrogel-like properties. *Science* 314: 815–7.
- Kato M, Han TW, Xie S, Shi K, et al. 2012. Cell-free formation of RNA granules: low complexity sequence domains form dynamic fibers within hydrogels. *Cell* 149: 753–67.
- Han TW, Kato M, Xie S, Wu LC, et al. 2012. Cell-free formation of RNA granules: bound RNAs identify features and components of cellular assemblies. *Cell* 149: 768–79.

- Jonson L, Christiansen J, Hansen TV, Vikesa J, et al. 2014. IMP3 RNP safe houses prevent miRNA-directed HMGA2 mRNA decay in cancer and development. *Cell Rep* 7: 539–51.
- Bounedjah O, Desforges B, Wu TD, Pioche-Durieu C, et al. 2014. Free mRNA in excess upon polysome dissociation is a scaffold for protein multimerization to form stress granules. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42: 8678–91.
- Berkovits BD, Mayr C. 2015. Alternative 3' UTRs act as scaffolds to regulate membrane protein localization. *Nature* 522: 363–7.
- Jain S, Parker R. 2013. The discovery and analysis of P Bodies. Adv Exp Med Biol 768: 23–43.
- Gilks N, Kedersha N, Ayodele M, Shen L, et al. 2004. Stress granule assembly is mediated by prion-like aggregation of TIA-1. *Mol Biol Cell* 15: 5383–98.
- Kedersha N, Anderson P. 2009. Regulation of translation by stress granules and processing bodies. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci* 90: 155–85.
- Buchan JR, Parker R. 2009. Eukaryotic stress granules: the ins and outs of translation. *Mol Cell* 36: 932–41.
- Kedersha N, Stoecklin G, Ayodele M, Yacono P, et al. 2005. Stress granules and processing bodies are dynamically linked sites of mRNP remodeling. J Cell Biol 169: 871–84.
- Eulalio A, Behm-Ansmant I, Schweizer D, Izaurralde E. 2007. P-body formation is a consequence, not the cause, of RNA-mediated gene silencing. *Mol Cell Biol* 27: 3970–81.
- Hu W, Sweet TJ, Chamnongpol S, Baker KE, et al. 2009. Cotranslational mRNA decay in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Nature* 461: 225–9.
- Lee C, Zhang HY, Baker AE, Occhipinti P, et al. 2013. Protein aggregation behavior regulates cyclin transcript localization and cellcycle control. *Dev Cell* 25: 572–84.
- Ramaswami M, Taylor JP, Parker R. 2013. Altered ribostasis: RNAprotein granules in degenerative disorders. *Cell* 154: 727–36.
- Deng H, Gao K, Jankovic J. 2014. The role of FUS gene variants in neurodegenerative diseases. *Nat Rev Neurol* 10: 337–48.
- Molliex A, Temirov J, Lee J, Coughlin M, et al. 2015. Phase separation by low complexity domains promotes stress granule assembly and drives pathological fibrillization. *Cell* 163: 123–33.
- Kim HJ, Kim NC, Wang YD, Scarborough EA, et al. 2013. Mutations in prion-like domains in hnRNPA2B1 and hnRNPA1 cause multisystem proteinopathy and ALS. *Nature* 495: 467–73.
- Lin Y, Protter DS, Rosen MK, Parker R. 2015. Formation and maturation of phase-separated liquid droplets by RNA-binding proteins. *Mol Cell* 60: 208–19.
- Li P, Banjade S, Cheng HC, Kim S, et al. 2012. Phase transitions in the assembly of multivalent signalling proteins. *Nature* 483: 336–40.
- Xiang S, Kato M, Wu LC, Lin Y, et al. 2015. The LC domain of hnRNPA2 adopts similar conformations in hydrogel polymers, liquid-like droplets, and nuclei. *Cell* 163: 829–39.
- Patel A, Lee HO, Jawerth L, Maharana S, et al. 2015. A liquid-to-solid phase transition of the ALS protein FUS accelerated by disease mutation. *Cell* 162: 1066–77.
- Cherkasov V, Hofmann S, Druffel-Augustin S, Mogk A, et al. 2013. Coordination of translational control and protein homeostasis during severe heat stress. *Curr Biol* 23: 2452–62.
- Kroschwald S, Maharana S, Mateju D, Malinovska L, et al. 2015. Promiscuous interactions and protein disaggregases determine the material state of stress-inducible RNP granules. *Elife* 4: e06807.
- Murakami T, Qamar S, Lin JQ, Schierle GS, et al. 2015. ALS/FTD mutation-induced phase transition of FUS liquid droplets and reversible hydrogels into irreversible hydrogels impairs RNP granule function. *Neuron* 88: 678–90.
- Elbaum-Garfinkle S, Brangwynne CP. 2015. Liquids, fibers, and gels: the many phases of neurodegeneration. Dev Cell 35: 531–2.
- Schmidt HB, Gorlich D. 2016. Transport selectivity of nuclear pores, phase separation, and membraneless organelles. *Trends Biochem Sci* 41: 46–61.
- Lee C, Occhipinti P, Gladfelter AS. 2015. PolyQ-dependent RNAprotein assemblies control symmetry breaking. *J Cell Biol* 208: 533–44.
- Zhang H, Elbaum-Garfinkle S, Langdon EM, Taylor N, et al. 2015. RNA controls PolyQ protein phase transitions. *Mol Cell* 60: 220–30.
- Holt CE, Schuman EM. 2013. The central dogma decentralized: new perspectives on RNA function and local translation in neurons. *Neuron* 80: 648–57.
- Kanai Y, Dohmae N, Hirokawa N. 2004. Kinesin transports RNA: isolation and characterization of an RNA-transporting granule. *Neuron* 43: 513–25.

- Dienstbier M, Boehl F, Li X, Bullock SL. 2009. Egalitarian is a selective RNA-binding protein linking mRNA localization signals to the dynein motor. *Genes Dev* 23: 1546–58.
- Song T, Zheng Y, Wang Y, Katz Z, et al. 2015. Specific interaction of KIF11 with ZBP1 regulates the transport of beta-actin mRNA and cell motility. J Cell Sci 128: 1001–10.
- Higuchi Y, Ashwin P, Roger Y, Steinberg G. 2014. Early endosome motility spatially organizes polysome distribution. J Cell Biol 204: 343–57.
- Jansen RP, Niessing D, Baumann S, Feldbrugge M. 2014. MRNA transport meets membrane traffic. *Trends Genet* 30: 408–17.
- Uversky VN, Kuznetsova IM, Turoverov KK, Zaslavsky B. 2015. Intrinsically disordered proteins as crucial constituents of cellular aqueous two phase systems and coacervates. *FEBS Lett* 589: 15–22.
- Nott TJ, Petsalaki E, Farber P, Jervis D, et al. 2015. Phase transition of a disordered nuage protein generates environmentally responsive membraneless organelles. *Mol Cell* 57: 936–47.
- Wippich F, Bodenmiller B, Trajkovska MG, Wanka S, et al. 2013. Dual specificity kinase DYRK3 couples stress granule condensation/dissolution to mTORC1 signaling. *Cell* 152: 791–805.
- Wang JT, Smith J, Chen BC, Schmidt H, et al. 2014. Regulation of RNA granule dynamics by phosphorylation of serine-rich, intrinsically disordered proteins in C. elegans. *Elife* 3: e04591.
- Gerber AP, Herschlag D, Brown PO. 2004. Extensive association of functionally and cytotopically related mRNAs with Puf family RNA-binding proteins in yeast. *PLoS Biol* 2: E79.
- Blackinton JG, Keene JD. 2014. Post-transcriptional RNA regulons affecting cell cycle and proliferation. Semin Cell Dev Biol 34: 44–54.
- Lee CD, Tu BP. 2015. Glucose-regulated phosphorylation of the PUF protein puf3 regulates the translational fate of its bound mRNAs and association with RNA granules. *Cell Rep* 11: 1638–50.
- Gancedo JM. 1998. Yeast carbon catabolite repression. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 62: 334–61.
- Saint-Georges Y, Garcia M, Delaveau T, Jourdren L, et al. 2008. Yeast mitochondrial biogenesis: a role for the PUF RNA-binding protein Puf3p in mRNA localization. *PLoS ONE* 3: e2293.
- Toretsky JA, Wright PE. 2014. Assemblages: functional units formed by cellular phase separation. J Cell Biol 206: 579–88.
- Hansen HT, Rasmussen SH, Adolph SK, Plass M, et al. 2015. Drosophila Imp iCLIP identifies an RNA assemblage coordinating F-actin formation. *Genome Biol* 16: 123.
- 65. **Darnell RB**. 2010. HITS-CLIP: panoramic views of protein-RNA regulation in living cells. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA* **1**: 266–86.

- Huppertz I, Attig J, D'Ambrogio A, Easton LE, et al. 2014. ICLIP: protein-RNA interactions at nucleotide resolution. *Methods* 65: 274–87.
- Chia PH, Chen B, Li P, Rosen MK, et al. 2014. Local F-actin network links synapse formation and axon branching. *Cell* 156: 208–20.
- Medioni C, Ramialison M, Ephrussi A, Besse F. 2014. Imp promotes axonal remodeling by regulating profilin mRNA during brain development. *Curr Biol* 24: 793–800.
- Zhang KX, Tan L, Pellegrini M, Zipursky SL, et al. 2016. Rapid changes in the translatome during the conversion of growth cones to synaptic terminals. *Cell Rep* 14: 1258–71.
- 70. Weber SC, Brangwynne CP. 2012. Getting RNA and protein in phase. *Cell* 149: 1188–91.
- Hyman AA, Weber CA, Julicher F. 2014. Liquid-liquid phase separation in biology. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 30: 39–58.
- Teixeira D, Sheth U, Valencia-Sanchez MA, Brengues M, et al. 2005. Processing bodies require RNA for assembly and contain nontranslating mRNAs. *RNA* 11: 371–82.
- Peng Z, Oldfield CJ, Xue B, Mizianty MJ, et al. 2014. A creature with a hundred waggly tails: intrinsically disordered proteins in the ribosome. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 71: 1477–504.
- Tatavarty V, Ifrim MF, Levin M, Korza G, et al. 2012. Single-molecule imaging of translational output from individual RNA granules in neurons. *Mol Biol Cell* 23: 918–29.
- Yasuda K, Zhang H, Loiselle D, Haystead T, et al. 2013. The RNAbinding protein Fus directs translation of localized mRNAs in APC-RNP granules. J Cell Biol 203: 737–46.
- Shi Z, Barna M. 2015. Translating the genome in time and space: specialized ribosomes, RNA regulons, and RNA-Binding proteins. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 31: 31–54.
- Nielsen FC, Nielsen J, Kristensen MA, Koch G, et al. 2002. Cytoplasmic trafficking of IGF-II mRNA-binding protein by conserved KH domains. *J Cell Sci* 115: 2087–97.
- Dominguez R. 2009. Actin filament nucleation and elongation factorsstructure-function relationships. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 44: 351–66.
- Bear JE, Gertler FB. 2009. Ena/VASP: towards resolving a pointed controversy at the barbed end. J Cell Sci 122: 1947–53.
- Xu J, Casella JF, Pollard TD. 1999. Effect of capping protein, CapZ, on the length of actin filaments and mechanical properties of actin filament networks. *Cell Motil Cytoskeleton* 42: 73–81.
- Carlier MF, Pantaloni D. 1997. Control of actin dynamics in cell motility. J Mol Biol 269: 459–67.