Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Xiaopu Zhou, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong SAR, China

REVIEWED BY

Eugene Valerievich Gasanov, International Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology in Warsaw (IIMCB), Poland Rezvan Noroozi, Jagiellonian University, Poland Amin Safa, Complutense University of Madrid, Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE

Arezou Sayad ar.sayad@yahoo.com Maryam Rezazadeh rezazadeh.mary@gmail.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Alzheimer's Disease and Related Dementias, a section of the journal Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience

RECEIVED 30 April 2022 ACCEPTED 14 July 2022 PUBLISHED 09 August 2022

CITATION

Asadi MR, Talebi M, Gharesouran J, Sabaie H, Jalaiei A, Arsang-Jang S, Taheri M, Sayad A and Rezazadeh M (2022) Analysis of ROQUIN, Tristetraprolin (TTP), and BDNF/miR-16/TTP regulatory axis in late onset Alzheimer's disease. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 14:933019. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2022.933019

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Asadi, Talebi, Gharesouran, Sabaie, Jalaiei, Arsang-Jang, Taheri, Sayad and Rezazadeh. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Analysis of ROQUIN, Tristetraprolin (TTP), and BDNF/miR-16/TTP regulatory axis in late onset Alzheimer's disease

Mohammad Reza Asadi^{1,2}, Mahnaz Talebi³, Jalal Gharesouran², Hani Sabaie², Abbas Jalaiei², Shahram Arsang-Jang⁴, Mohammad Taheri⁵, Arezou Sayad^{6*} and Maryam Rezazadeh^{1,2*}

¹Molecular Medicine Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran, ²Clinical Research Development Unit of Tabriz Valiasr Hospital, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran, ³Neurosciences Research Center (NSRC), Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran, ⁴Cancer Gene Therapy Research Center, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Zanjan, Iran, ⁵Institute of Human Genetics, Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany, ⁶Department of Medical Genetics, School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a heterogeneous degenerative disorder of the brain that is on the rise worldwide. One of the critical processes that might be disturbed in AD is gene expression regulation. Tristetraprolin (TTP) and RC3H1 gene (ROQUIN) are two RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) that target AU-rich elements (AREs) and constitutive decay elements (CDEs), respectively. TTP and ROQUIN, members of the CCCH zincfinger protein family, have been demonstrated to fine-tune numerous inflammatory factors. In addition, miR-16 has distinct characteristics and may influence the target mRNA through the ARE site. Interestingly, BDNF mRNA has ARE sites in the 3' untranslated region (UTR) and can be targeted by regulatory factors, such as TTP and miR-16 on MRE sequences, forming BDNF/miR-16/TTP regulatory axis. A number of two microarray datasets were downloaded, including information on mRNAs (GSE106241) and miRNAs (GSE157239) from individuals with AD and corresponding controls. R software was used to identify BDNF, TTP, ROQUIN, and miR-16 expression levels in temporal cortex (TC) tissue datasets. Q-PCR was also used to evaluate the expression of these regulatory factors and the expression of BDNF in the blood of 50 patients with AD and 50 controls. Bioinformatic evaluation showed that TTP and miR-16 overexpression might act as post-transcriptional regulatory factors to control BDNF expression in AD in TC samples. Instead, this expression pattern was not found in peripheral blood samples from patients with AD compared to normal controls. ROQUIN expression was increased in the peripheral blood of patients with AD. Hsa-miR-16-5p levels did not show significant differences in peripheral blood samples. Finally, it was shown that TTP and BDNF, based on evaluating the receiver operating characteristic (ROC), effectively identify patients with AD from healthy controls. This study could provide a new perspective on the molecular regulatory processes associated with AD pathogenic mechanisms linked to the BDNF growth factor, although further research is needed on the possible roles of these factors in AD.

KEYWORDS

Alzheimer's disease, TTP, ROQUIN, miR-16, BDNF, AU-rich elements, bioinformatics

Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disease that jeopardizes the physical and mental health of the elderly (Ding et al., 2022). The primary clinical signs of AD are memory loss and cognitive decline, which progress to dementia (Ding et al., 2022). It is estimated to affect 131.5 million people by 2050 if a certain cure is not found (Cummings et al., 2016). The global number of AD cases is estimated to be 35.6 million, with women accounting for a larger percentage than men (Prince et al., 2013). This massive number exerts an enormous strain on patients and their families (Wortmann, 2012). Despite tremendous attempts to treat the disease, AD remains incurable. It is believed that the significant failure rate of AD medication development is primarily attributable to our limited understanding of the disease's complicated pathological process (Nguyen et al., 2021). There are several ideas about the primary origin of AD, illustrating the disease's complexity. Cholinergic deficiency (Ferreira-Vieira et al., 2016), betaamyloid toxicity (A β) (Lim et al., 2021), neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) (Armstrong, 2009), tau protein hyperphosphorylation (Lewis and Dickson, 2016), neuroinflammation (Calsolaro and Edison, 2016), and dysregulation of neurotrophin growth factors (Amidfar et al., 2020) have all been indicated to be the risk factors for AD development. Regardless of the primary origin of AD, finding similar variables in different pathological pathways can aid in treating this complex disease.

Gene expression regulation is one of the primary mechanisms that can be affected in AD (Liang et al., 2008).

In this regard, a large group of genes affects the production or clearance of $A\beta$, which are the part of the "AD genes" (Chen et al., 2013). Whereas it is well established that the expression levels of AD genes play a role in the etiology of AD, much regarding their particular regulation remains unclear (Ertekin-Taner, 2011). Thus, studying the regulatory components of disease genes and their related transcription factors is crucial to better understanding disease processes (Zhang et al., 2019). Post-transcriptional regulation is an effective form of gene expression regulation because it allows for the activation or repression of transcription and controls the number of proteins generated during translation (Zhang et al., 2019). Disruptions of normal transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulatory processes are increasingly recognized as causes of human disorders (Zhang et al., 2019). Studies have also been performed on posttranscriptional regulation in AD (Cui et al., 2018; Samadian et al., 2021; Song et al., 2021; Ghafouri-Fard et al., 2022). RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) and microRNAs (miRNAs) are among the most fundamental post-transcriptional regulation factors (Salerno et al., 2020), with the slightest alteration in the regulatory network imposed by RBPs and miRNAs leading to a larger-scale alteration in disease appearance (Carpenter et al., 2014). These factors act through specific sites on the 3'UTR of the target gene mRNA molecule. MiRNAs are small RNA molecules ranging in size from 19 to 25 nucleotides that govern the post-transcriptional silencing of target genes (Lu and Rothenberg, 2018). A single miRNA may target hundreds of mRNAs and alter the expression of several genes, many of which are engaged in functionally interacting pathways (Lu and Rothenberg, 2018). In general, a miRNA acts by binding to the microRNA response elements (MREs) in the 3' untranslated region (3'UTR) and repressing mRNA translation by degradation or translational repression (Filipowicz et al., 2008). Similar to MREs, other sequences are found in 3'UTR of many mRNAs that can be targeted by certain RBPs, including the AU-rich elements (AREs), stem loop (SL), and constitutive decay elements (CDEs)

Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; TTP, Tristetraprolin; RBP, RNAbinding proteins; MRE, microRNA response element; ARE, AU-rich elements; CDEs, constitutive decay elements; UTR, untranslated region; BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; TC, temporal cortex; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; NFT, neurofibrillary tangle; miRNA, microRNA; SL, stem loop; GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus database; DEG, differentially expressed genes; DEGA, differentially expressed gene analysis; DEmiRNA, differentially expressed miRNA; RMA, Robust Multichip Average; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; UBC, ubiquitin C; SD, standard deviation; AUC, area under the curve; CNS, central nervous system; NDD, neurodegenerative disease; MSUT2, mammalian suppressor of tau pathology-2; SE, sensitivity; SP, specificity.

(Caput et al., 1986; Hall, 2005; Glasmacher et al., 2010; Binas et al., 2020).

Tristetraprolin (TTP), RC3H1 gene (ROQUIN), and Regnase-1 are among the RBPs that target the AREs, CDEs, and SL sequences, respectively. TTP and ROQUIN have been shown to fine-tune the TNF- α and IL-6 inflammatory transcription mRNAs (Maeda and Akira, 2017) and belong to the CCCH zinc-finger protein family (Hall, 2005). Regulation of inflammatory cytokines is one of the potential roles played by TTP and ROQUIN, and in addition, studies have been performed on the dysregulation of these inflammatory factors in AD (Su et al., 2016). Interestingly, BDNF mRNA also has AREs in the 3'UTR and can be targeted by regulatory factors, such as TTP (Kumar et al., 2014). An increasing number of studies show that polymorphisms in the BDNF gene and reduced BDNF expression in the human brain are closely related to the pathogenesis of AD (Notaras and van den Buuse, 2019). Among these, C270T and Val66Met polymorphisms of the BDNF gene increase the susceptibility to AD (Fleitas et al., 2018). One of the prominent roles in post-transcriptional regulation is played by miRNAs with their specific functional positions, which are the MREs (Lu and Rothenberg, 2018). The miR-16 has unique features, and in addition to the MREs, it can exert its effect on the target mRNA through the ARE site (Calin et al., 2008). Overexpression of miR-16 is directly related to a decrease in the levels of inflammatory factors TNF- α and IL-6 and even affects TNF- α mRNA in addition to the MRE site through the ARE site (Yan et al., 2019). In addition, BDNF mRNA can be targeted by miR-16 according to bioinformatic studies through the MRE site (Figure 1) and according to the previous studies via ARE site (Bai et al., 2012). Given the importance of BDNF in the pathogenesis of AD, studies of the regulatory mechanisms of this growth factor are of particular importance. Since the effect of miR-16 and TTP, the BDNF/miR-16/TTP regulatory axis in AD can be considered. Therefore, the BDNF/miR-16/TTP regulatory axis in AD patients' brain tissue and peripheral blood needs further investigation. AD is a brain disease, but pathogenic changes are not limited to the brain. In the recent years, studies have shown that changes in the blood are also detectable, and peripheral blood contains essential information for detecting pathogenic and physiological changes, so analyzing the gene expression of blood samples suggests an opportunity to study AD-related changes non-invasively with no need for brain biopsy and brain-spinal fluid examinations that are challenging (Caput et al., 1986; Sharp et al., 2006; Booij et al., 2011; Zou et al., 2020).

The purpose of this study was to determine the levels of TTP and ROQUIN expression in AD brain tissues, as well as the BDNF/miR-16/TTP regulatory axis and their use as peripheral factors related to the development of AD using bioinformatic and experimental methods.

Materials and methods

Regulatory axis design

The genes that impact BDNF through ARE sites were found using the ARE site database (Fallmann et al., 2016), a database for AREs, and direct evidence for interaction and lifetime regulation through choosing the ATTTA motif and inserting ENSG00000176697 as the target gene (BDNF). To find a miRNA to study, BDNF was entered as a target gene into the miRTarBase (Huang et al., 2020) and miRWalk (version 3) (Sticht et al., 2018) databases. These two databases are among the databases that provide validated miRNA-target interactions. TargetScan was used to visualize the binding sites of miRNAs to BDNF.

Bioinformatic analysis using brain microarray dataset

Data collection on gene expression profiles

The purpose of this study was to mine data from a microarray dataset of human temporal cortex (TC) tissue samples with varying degrees of AD-related neurofibrillary pathology using a bioinformatic technique (GSE106241). This study aimed to determine the changes in the expression of ROQUIN, TTP, and BDNF in human TC tissue samples. The above gene expression profile was retrieved from the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus database (GEO) (Barrett et al., 2013). A chip-based platform, GPL24170, Agilent-044312, Human 8×60 K Custom Exon array (Probe Name version), was used for the dataset. GSE106241 includes 60 human TC tissue samples divided into seven groups according to Braak staging (Braak 0: n = 6, Braak I: n = 11, Braak II: n = 11, Braak III: n = 6, Braak IV: n = 7, Braak V: n = 12, Braak VI: n = 7) (Marttinen et al., 2019). We evaluated the expression of these genes during stages III through VI, which corresponds to the disease's most severe form. Braak staging is a method of neuropathological staging that differentiates among early, medium, and advanced AD based on the development of NFTs within the medial temporal lobe memory circuit. Braak stage 0 correlates with the lack of NFTs, stages I-II to NFTs in the entorhinal-perirhinal cortex, stages III-IV to NFTs in the hippocampus as well, and stages V-VI to NFTs scattered across the neocortical areas (Braak and Braak, 1991).

Data preprocessing and the identification of differentially expressed genes

Background correction (Silver et al., 2009) was conducted with an offset of 15 using the normexp technique, and betweenarray normalization was performed using the quantile approach using the normalizeBetweenArrays () function from the linear models for microarray data (limma) R package (Ritchie et al., 2015). Only spots with signal minus background flagged Asadi et al.



as "positive and significant" (field name gIsPosAndSignif) and not flagged as ControlType or IsManualFlag were used. The AgiMicroRna Bioconductor package (version 2.40.0) was utilized to assess the quality. Using the linear models for microarray data (limma) R package (Ritchie et al., 2015) in Bioconductor (Huber et al., 2015), differentially expressed gene analysis (DEGA) was done to compare AD samples to normal samples. Student's *t*-test was performed to determine whether genes were statistically significant. Additionally, the following cutoff values for aberrantly expressed mRNAs were used: a false discovery rate (adjusted *p*-value) < 0.001 (Kang et al., 2020), and $|log_2$ fold change $(log_2FC)| > 0.5$ (Fan et al., 2020). The volcano plot for DEGs and the heatmap for ROQUIN, TTP, and BDNF genes were created using the R packages Enhanced Volcano (version 1.8.0) and Pheatmap (version 1.0.12).

Identification of miR-16 expression levels associated with Alzheimer's disease and prediction of its interactions with brain-derived neurotrophic factor

We used the same bioinformatic approach mentioned before to identify the differentially expressed miRNAs (DEmiRNAs) associated with AD pathogenesis. The NCBI GEO database was used to obtain data on the miRNA profile of GSE157239. The platform GPL21572 (miRNA-4) Affymetrix Multispecies miRNA-4 Array (ProbeSet ID version) was used for the dataset. The GSE157239 study included eight TC samples from patients with AD (Braak stage III or above) and eight TC samples from healthy controls. The Robust Multichip Average (RMA), a powerful tool included in the affy Bioconductor package, was used to compensate for background and normalize the quantiles of all raw data files (Irizarry et al., 2003). Interquartile range filtering (IQR across samples on the log base two-scale greater than the median IQR) was used to reduce the number of analyzed genes, followed by an intensity filtering (a minimum of > 100 expression signals in a minimum of 25% of the arrays) to eliminate insignificant probe sets that are not expressed or changing (von Heydebreck et al., 2004). The AgiMicroRna Bioconductor package was used to determine the quality. The DEGA was performed on normal and AD samples in Bioconductor (Huber et al., 2015) using the limma R package (Ritchie et al., 2015). The miRNAmeConverter Bioconductor package (Haunsberger et al., 2017) converted the miRNA names to miRbase v22. Student's *t*-test was performed to determine whether miRNAs were statistically significant. Additionally, the following cutoff values for aberrantly expressed miRNAs were used: a false discovery rate (adjusted *p*-value) < 0.001 (Kang et al., 2020), and $|log_2$ fold change $(log_2FC)| > 0.1$ (Fan et al., 2020). The DEmiRNA heatmap was built using the R package Pheatmap. Finally, miR-16 expression levels were examined from the data. The interaction between miR-16 and BDNF mRNA was identified using miRWalk (version 3) (Sticht et al., 2018) and miRTarBase (Huang et al., 2020).

Correlation analysis among ROQUIN, tristetraprolin, and brain-derived neurotrophic factor

Pearson's correlation analysis was used to assess whether there were any positive correlations in the regulatory axis among ROQUIN, TTP, and BDNF. Correlations and visualizations were calculated and visualized using the Hmisc and psych packages.

Identification and differential analysis of tristetraprolin and ROQUIN expression levels and the brain-derived neurotrophic factor/miR-16/tristetraprolin regulatory axis in peripheral blood

Participants and peripheral blood samples

This case-control study comprised of 100 participants, 50 patients with sporadic AD, and 50 age- and gender-matched healthy controls. The clinical research ethics committee of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences approved this study (ethical code: IR.TBZMED.REC.1398.1263). Individuals from Tabriz University of Medical Sciences' Imam Reza Hospital were recruited to participate in this study. A neurology specialist used

the DSM-V criteria (Vahia, 2013) to identify the individuals. In selecting the cases, the patients' medical records were reviewed, including the disease's duration, education level, family history, history of other diseases, and their duration, the drugs used, and the results of the Mini-Mental State Examination. Patients who met the inclusion criteria were selected for sampling. The inclusion criteria were that one was 65 years of age or older and had no other psychiatric or neurological diagnosis other than AD. The control group consisted of individuals without AD in the same department who were 65 years old or older. Based on the exclusion criteria, diabetes, active or chronic infectious illnesses, thyroid disorders, cancer, renal and liver failure, inflammatory diseases or use of anti-inflammatory medications, metabolic disease, severe ischemic heart disease, alcohol misuse, cerebrovascular accident, and having taken corticosteroids during the preceding 8 weeks of examination were all excluded. Cognitive function was assessed using MMSE in both groups. Before joining the study, all individuals or their principal caregivers provided written informed permission. Finally, 5 ml of peripheral blood was collected from each participant and placed in EDTAtreated tubes.

Expression assays

Total RNAs were obtained from whole blood using the Hybrid-RTM Blood RNA purification kit (GeneALL, Seoul, South Korea) and then processed with DNase I to eliminate DNA contamination. NanoDrop was used to determine the quantity and quality of isolated RNA (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, United States). The cDNA synthesis kit (GeneALL) was used to produce complementary DNA (cDNA) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. The cDNA was preserved at 20°C for additional investigation. Table 1 lists the primer sequences used in reverse transcription and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) experiments. To normalize miRNA and mRNA levels, internal controls, such as U6 and ubiquitin C (UBC), were used. The qPCR was performed using the Step OnePlusTM Real-Time PCR and the RealQ Plus2x Master Mix (Ampliqon, Odense, Denmark). All qPCRs were accomplished in duplicate.

Quantitative polymerase chain reaction statistical analysis

The R v.4 software packages brms, stan, pROC, and GGally were used to analyze the data. The Bayesian regression model was used to evaluate the relative expressions of BDNF, TTP, ROQUIN, and hsa-miR-16-5p in patients with AD, healthy controls, and subgroups. The effects of age and gender have been corrected. Adjusted p-values of less than 0.05 were considered significant. The genes, as mentioned earlier expression was also examined across age groups and between men and women. The Spearman correlation

coefficients were used to assess the connections between the variables in the study. The diagnostic power of the genes was assessed by utilizing a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve study.

Results

Identification of brain-derived neurotrophic factor/miR-16/tristetraprolin regulatory axis

The results obtained from ARE site database indicated that TTP targets BDNF through ARE sites. In addition, hsa-mir-16-5p was identified by miRTarBase and miRWalk databases as one of the miRNAs capable of targeting BDNF. Figure 1 illustrates the binding site of miR-16 on the BDNF mRNA using the TargetScan database.

Reanalysis of brain microarray datasets

Identification of differentially expressed genes

Before DEGA, background correction, batch modification, gene filtering, and normalization were all carried out. To assess the quality, the AgiMicroRna Bioconductor package was used. Box plots from the gene expression dataset were used to assess data distribution after normalization. The box plots showed that separate arrays in the box plots had identical expression level medians, indicating that the adjustment was made correctly.

According to the results, TTP was significantly upregulated $(\log_2 FC = 2.246849, adj.P.Val = 2.97E-10)$, whereas BDNF $(\log_2 FC = -0.54698, adj.P.Val = 5.33E-10)$ and ROQUIN $(\log_2 FC = -0.70881, adj.P.Val = 1.36E-14)$ were significantly downregulated in Braak stages III-IV. Table 2 provides details of above-mentioned genes expression levels in Braak stage III-IV. The criteria for the identification of DEGs were adjusted *p*-value < 0.001, and $|\log_2$ fold change $(\log_2 FC) | > 0.5$. Figure 2 depicts a volcano plot of DEGs, as well as a hierarchical clustering heatmap of BDNF, ROQUIN, and TTP genes in Braak stages III to IV.

Identification of miR-16 expression levels associated with Alzheimer's disease

Gene filtering, normalization, batch adjustment, and background correction were conducted before DEGA. Quality was monitored using the AgiMicroRna Bioconductor package. After normalization, box plots for gene expression profiles were provided to assess the data distribution. The box plots' expression level medians of separate arrays were comparable,

TABLE 1 List of primers used in this study.

Gene name	Primer sequences (5 '-3')	Product size	Tm
TTP	Forward primer TCTGACTGCCATCTACGAGAGCC Reverse primer TCAGTCTTGTAGCGCGAGGG	315 nt	61
ROQUIN	Forward primer AGTGCTAGAGGAGTGGGTCTG Reverse primer ATCCCCTAGCCCTTACTGCTG	232 nt	60.5
BDNF	Forward primer GGAAAACTTGGGAGGCGGAAT Reverse primer TCTCACCTGGTGGAACCATT	234 nt	59
UBC	Forward primer CAGCCGGGATTTGGGTCG Reverse primer CACGAAGATCTGCATTGTCAAGT	72 nt	60
hsa-miR-16-5p	RT primer GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGT ATTCGCACTGGATACGACCGCCAA Forward primer CAGCCGGGATTTGGGTCG Reverse primer CACGAAGATCTGCATTGTCAAGT	_	60
U6	RT primer GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGC ACTGGATACGACAAAAATAT Forward primer GCTTCGGCAGCACATATACTAAAAT Reverse primer CGCTTCACGAATTTGCGTGTCAT	-	60

TABLE 2 Expression levels of TTP, RC3H1, BDNF, and miR-16 genes in TC tissue samples.

Gene symbol	Log ₂ FC	Average expression	<i>t</i> -test	P-value	adj.Pval	B -statistic
TTP	2.246849	10.15882	8.950171	4.50E-11	2.97E-10	14.87444
BDNF	-0.54698	5.117175	-8.73636	8.59E-11	5.33E-10	14.22688
RC3H1	-0.70881	9.071129	-13.155	4.54E-16	1.36E-14	26.43185
hsa-miR-16-5p	0.170641094	10.40612988	6.4211615	1.20E-05	2.24E-05	0.775078547



expres 0.001.

indicating a proper adjustment. MiR-16 has been upregulated $(\log_2 FC = 0.170641094, adj.P.Val = 2.24E-05)$ according to the selected criteria: adjusted *p*-value < 0.001, and | log₂ fold change $(\log_2 FC)$ | > 0.1 among DEmiRNAs. Figure 3 illustrates a DEmiRNA volcano plot. Table 2 summarizes the details concerning the miR-16 expression level.

Correlation analysis among ROQUIN, tristetraprolin, and brain-derived neurotrophic factor

The Pearson's correlation analysis was performed among ROQUIN, TTP, and BDNF to confirm the regulatory axis hypothesis, which indicates that TTP and miR-16 regulate



BDNF. Significant negative correlations were identified between the levels of expression of BDNF-TTP (r = -0.62, p < 0.001) and ROQUIN-TTP (r = -0.75, p < 0.001) (Figure 4).

Identification and differential analysis of tristetraprolin and ROQUIN expression levels and the brain-derived neurotrophic factor/miR-16/tristetraprolin regulatory axis in peripheral blood

General demographic data

Following assessment of the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the study comprised of 50 patients with AD (male/female%: 31.4/68.6) with age [mean standard \pm deviation (SD)] of 76.36 \pm 6.26 and 50 healthy controls (male/female%: 30.6/69.4) with age (mean \pm *SD*) of 74.3 \pm 6.22. The patient and control groups' MMSE scores (mean \pm *SD*) were 14.2 \pm 5.94 and 27.5 \pm 0.76, respectively.

Expression assays

The relative expression levels of the genes BDNF, ROQUIN, TTP, and hsa-miR-16-5p in patients with AD and controls are shown in **Figure 5**. TTP expression levels in PB samples showed no significant differences between patients with AD and healthy controls (adjusted *p*-value = 0.949), nor between subgroups. BDNF expression was considerably reduced in PB samples from patients with AD compared to controls (posterior beta = -1.066, adjusted *p*-value = 0.005). Male and female subgroups showed similar decreased expression (posterior beta = -1.092, adjusted *p*-value = 0.048 and posterior beta = -1.257, adjusted *p*-value = 0.007, respectively). ROQUIN expression was considerably higher in PB samples from patients with AD than in controls (posterior beta = 0.858, adjusted *p*-value = 0.013). The male and female subgroups also showed increased

expression (posterior beta = 0.812, adjusted *p*-value = 0.046 and posterior beta = 0.811, adjusted *p*-value = 0.002, respectively). There were no significant differences in hsa-miR-16-5p expression in PB samples from patients with AD and healthy controls (adjusted *p*-value = 0.701), as well as subgroups. **Tables 3–6** provide detailed data on the relative expressions of BDNF, TTP, ROQUIN, and hsa-miR-16-5p, respectively.

Correlation analysis

Expression levels of ROQUIN, TTP and hsa-miR-16-5p were not correlated with the age of patients. In patients with AD and control samples, TTP expression was positively correlated with miR-16 (r = 0.584, p < 0.001). Positive correlation between ROQUIN and miR-16 expression (r = 0.446, p < 0.001) and ROQUIN and TTP (r = 0.436, p < 0.001) with more significant values in control samples than patients was seen (Figure 6).

Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis

The TTP and BDNF were evaluated for their diagnostic utility in differentiating patients with AD from controls. We achieved significant diagnostic powers of 0.7854 (*SE* = 87.38, *SP* = 69.7) and 0.7612 (*SE* = 85.44, *SP* = 66.8) from the transcript levels of TTP and BDNF, respectively, by measuring the area under the curve (AUC) (**Figure 7**).

Discussion

So far, many studies have been conducted on the ARE sites and their potential effects on regulating gene expression in other diseases, including cancer, and confirm that this mechanism of regulating gene expression can cause dysregulation in target genes (reviewed in Khabar, 2017). Among these, gene expression regulation in the CNS, and more specifically in the brain, has the most complex and, at the same time, the most precise regulation, and in the case of dysregulation, it shows it results in various forms of diseases (reviewed in Goldie and Cairns, 2012). Many



FIGURE 4

The diagonal depicts the distribution of each variable. The bottom section of the diagonal depicts bivariate scatter plots with a fitted line. The correlation coefficients and significance level are presented as stars on the top section of the diagonal. ***Indicates a significant association with a p-value < 0.001.



studies have been conducted on the gene expression regulation mechanisms in AD as one of the major neurodegenerative diseases (NDD) from the past to the present (reviewed in Goldie and Cairns, 2012; Ułamek-Kozioł et al., 2016). There have even

been studies of other members of the RNA-binding protein family targeting this ARE site in AD and other NDDs, but their potential role in this site has not been addressed (LaJevic et al., 2010; Mansfield and Keene, 2012). Identifying this regulatory mechanism could explain related pathogenic processes and offer new treatment options. Our efforts to understand the various aspects of regulatory processes of gene expression in AD pathogenesis clarify possible molecular targets, identifying factors involved in the disease developing based on the exact regulatory mechanism.

Tristetraprolin and ROQUIN as a member of the CCCH-type zinc-finger protein family in Alzheimer's disease

The results of the bioinformatic evaluation showed that, as expected, ROQUIN expression showed a significant decrease in TC samples compared to healthy controls. On the other hand, TTP has an increased expression in TC samples compared to healthy controls. So far, studies on other types of zincfinger family proteins (C2H2 type, MYM type, ZC3H14 type, and Matrin type) have been performed in AD (reviewed in Bu et al., 2021). Meanwhile, MSUT2 (ZC3H14), belonging to the CCCH family, ultimately affects the accumulation of tau proteins to affect NFTs (Baker et al., 2020). MSUT2, also known as the mammalian suppressor of tau pathology-2, binds to the polyadenosine tail (poly[A]-tail) of mRNAs through the zinc-finger domain CCCH-type at its C-terminal, leading to the formation of pathological tau protein (Baker et al., 2020). Tau proteins are a collection of six protein isoforms generated by alternative splicing of the microtubuleassociated protein tau (MAPT) gene, and their major role is to preserve the integrity of microtubules in axons owing to their high expression in CNS neurons (Asadi et al., 2021). In addition, loss of MSUT2 function can reduce tau protein formation and prevent neuronal death, but the molecular mechanism is still unclear, and the only conjecture that can be made is the shared function of MSUT2 and PABPN1 (Baker et al., 2020). Other studies have shown that MSUT2 knockout has anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective effects in the mouse model (Wheeler et al., 2019). ROQUIN and TTP are the primary genes that regulate inflammatory factors. A significant decrease in ROQUIN expression in TC samples indicates an increase in inflammatory factors and thus an increase in inflammation in TC tissue. By acting on the CDE sequences and utilizing Ccr4 and Caf-1 factors, ROQUIN destabilizes the target gene mRNA molecule and reduces its expression (Leppek et al., 2013). Among the significant inflammatory factors with CDE sequence are TNF- α and IL-6 (Zhao et al., 2008), ROQUIN is also responsible for regulating the expression of co-stimulatory receptor molecules, such as Icos, CTLA-4, and Ox40 (Tavernier et al., 2019). On the other hand, the point to be noted is the increased expression of ROQUIN in the peripheral blood of patients with AD compared to healthy controls. Studies on inflammation and expression of inflammatory factors TNF- α and IL-6 in AD indicate an increase in the expression of these factors in the peripheral blood and even mention the increase in these factors as a biomarker in AD (Kim et al., 2017).

However, increased ROQUIN expression in the peripheral blood of patients with AD may indicate that ROQUIN is not involved in the mechanism of regulating the expression of inflammatory factors in AD. A recently published study raises the possibility that ROQUIN regulates the expression of silent mRNAs (Mino et al., 2015). IL-2 is one of the main inflammatory factors affected by the regulation of ROQUIN by acting on the CDE sequence (Kim et al., 2012). IL-2, along with TNF- α and IL-6, plays a significant role in regulating the profile of immune cells (Paul-Samojedny et al., 2013). Since patients with AD have their immune cell profiles (Frost et al., 2019), increased ROQUIN expression may be one of the levers of this disease in regulating the number and characteristics of immune cells in the peripheral blood of patients with AD, which has not been addressed in this study and could be the basis for further studies.

The increase in TTP expression obtained by bioinformatic studies in the TC tissue sample of patients with AD compared to healthy controls indicates that TTP does not play an essential role in regulating the expression of inflammatory genes in the brain. However, this increase should not be underestimated, as TTP is not the only regulator of inflammatory gene expression and has the potential to regulate gene expression by ARE sites, each of which may play an essential role in the pathogenesis of AD. In this regard, no significant difference in TTP expression levels in the peripheral blood of patients with AD compared to healthy controls indicates the lack of a role for the TTP gene in the mechanisms of gene expression regulation in the peripheral blood.

Brain-derived neurotrophic factor as a target for tristetraprolin and miR-16 in Alzheimer's disease

Brain-derived neurotrophic factor, the most diffuse neurotrophin in the central nervous system, plays a crucial role in synaptic plasticity and neuronal survival (Leal et al., 2017). Accumulated evidence suggests that BDNF polymorphisms and decreased BDNF expression in the human brain are closely related to the pathogenesis of AD (Leal et al., 2015). It has been suggested that C270T and Val66Met polymorphisms of the BDNF gene increase the ADs susceptibility and that individuals with AD have decreased levels of BDNF mRNA and protein in serum and brain compared with healthy elderly (Olin et al., 2005; Tsai, 2018). Significantly, higher BDNF expression reduces cognitive impairment in the elderly. In addition, advanced neuropathology of AD suggests that BDNF

	BDNF	Posterior Beta of $[2^{(-ddct)}]^{\lambda}$	SE	Adjusted <i>P</i> -value*	95% Crl for beta
Total	Group, case vs. control	-1.066	0.416	0.005	[-1.818, -0.197]
	Sex, female vs. male	0.078	0.364	0.835	[-0.598, 0.847]
	Age (years)	0.004	0.01	> 0.999	[-0.014, 0.023]
	Group * sex	-0.187	0.468	0.491	[-1.091, 0.708]
Male	Case vs. control	-1.092	-0.267	0.048	[-0.267, -1.881]
Female	Case vs. control	-1.257	-0.658	0.007	[-0.658, -1.77]

TABLE 3 Relative levels of BDNF in AD cases and controls according to the Bayesian quantile regression model.

*Estimated from frequentist methods; CrI, credible interval; λ , power transformation value estimated from Box-cox or Yeo-Johnson method.

TADLEA	Deletion Levels of	TTD : AD	and a second sec	a factor a second factor data	Ale a Dancastana a	the second se
IADLE 4	Relative levels of	I I P IN AD	cases and contr	ols according to	o the Bayesian c	juantile regression model.

	ТТР	Posterior Beta of $[2^{(-ddct)}]^{\Lambda}$	SE	Adjusted P-value*	95% Crl for beta
Total	Group, case vs. control	0.07	0.33	0.949	[-0.61, 0.71]
	Sex, female vs. male	0.02	0.27	0.837	[-0.5, 0.58]
	Age (years)	-0.019	0.02	0.241	[-0.05, 0.01]
	Group * sex	-0.084	0.43	0.96	[-0.91, 0.74]
Male	Case vs. control	0.037	0.23	0.814	[-0.42, 0.48]
	Age	-0.019	0.01	0.424	[-0.05, 0.01]
Female	Case vs. control	0.036	0.23	0.965	[-0.45, 0.47]
	Age	-0.019	0.01	0.810	[-0.05, 0.01]

*Estimated from frequentist methods; CrI, credible interval, *k*, power transformation value estimated from Box-cox or Yeo-Johnson method. The bolded values indicate the significance of that data among the rest of the data.

TABLE 5	Relative levels of ROQUIN in	AD cases and controls acc	cording to the E	Bayesian quantile r	egression model.
---------	------------------------------	---------------------------	------------------	---------------------	------------------

	ROQUIN	Posterior Beta of $[2^{(-ddct)}]^{k}$	SE	Adjusted P-value*	95% Crl for beta
Total	Group, case vs. control	0.858	0.26	0.013	[0.38, 1.38]
	Sex, female vs. male	-0.177	0.22	0.432	[-0.61, 0.27]
	Age (years)	0.008	0.01	0.564	[-0.02, 0.03]
	Group * sex	-0.043	0.32	0.961	[-0.67, 0.56]
Male	Case vs. control	0.812	0.16	0.046	[0.51, 1.13]
	Age	0.011	0.01	0.317	[-0.02, 0.04]
Female	Case vs. control	0.811	0.15	0.002	[0.51, 1.12]
	Age	0.01	0.01	0.852	[-0.02, 0.03]

*Estimated from frequentist methods; CrI, credible interval, κ , power transformation value estimated from Box-cox or Yeo-Johnson method. The bolded values indicate the significance of that data among the rest of the data.

TABLE 6 Relative levels of miR-16 in AD cases and controls according to the Bayesian quantile regression model.

	hsa-miR-16-5p	Posterior Beta of $[2^{(-ddct)}]^{\Lambda}$	SE	Adjusted P-value*	95% Crl for beta
Total	Group, case vs. control	0.24	0.28	0.701	[-0.38, 0.75]
	Sex, female vs. male	-0.455	0.2	0.51	[-0.84, -0.04]
	Age (years)	-0.004	0.01	0.693	[-0.03, 0.02]
	Group * sex	-0.054	0.34	0.94	[-0.7, 0.62]
Male	Case vs. control	0.147	0.21	0.727	[-0.32, 0.54]
	Age	-0.0002	0.02	0.447	[-0.03, 0.03]
Female	Case vs. control	0.137	0.22	0.955	[-0.32, 0.54]
	Age	-0.0003	0.02	0.607	[-0.03, 0.03]

The bolded values indicate the significance of that data among the rest of the data.



levels in the brain can be used as a new marker to assess the progression of AD (Mori et al., 2021). In vitro and in vivo experiments show that BDNF has neuroprotective effects against the cytotoxic effects of beta-amyloid plaques and A β -induced learning disabilities (Jiao et al., 2016). In this regard, BDNF gene delivery in transgenic mice promotes A β precursor protein (APP), improves learning and memory, increases synapse protection, and reduces APP mutation cell loss (Psotta et al., 2015). These lines of evidence suggest that direct use of BDNF exerts a protective effect against A β -related pathologies.

Brain-derived neurotrophic factor microRNA was identified as the target of TTP by the database for AREs and direct evidence for interaction and lifetime regulation (Fallmann et al., 2016). On the other hand, miRTarBase and miRWalk databases were used to identify microRNAs with a common purpose of BDNF (Sticht et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2020). Among these, hsa-miR-16 was selected as the desired microRNA. MiR-16 is one of the few microRNAs capable of acting on AREs (Jing et al., 2005). Thus, an expression regulatory axis related to BDNF is created through two ARE sites that TTP and miR-16 target and the MRE site, which is targeted by miR-16, where this regulation occurs. Remarkably, miR-16 is one of the prominent miRNAs in inflammatory pathways by targeting inflammatory factors, such as TNF- α , IL-6, IL-4, and IL-8 (reviewed in Yan et al., 2019). Our bioinformatic analysis demonstrated that BDNF expression significantly decreased (log₂FC = -0.54698, adj.P.Val = 5.33E-10) in TC tissues compared to healthy



controls, TTP expression had increased significantly (log₂FC = 2.246849, adj.P.Val = 2.97E-10), and miR-16 expression also increased (log₂FC = 0.170641094, adj.P.Val = 2.24E-05) in TC tissues. Brain tissue has its expression profile and transcript complexity compared to other tissues (Naumova et al., 2013). The amounts of changes obtained from bioinformatic analysis, except for TTP, do not exceed 2-folds. However, it should be noted that these factors are among the influential factors, namely, RBPs and miRs, in regulatory gene expression networks; the slightest changes in their expression can be followed in disease manifestation. Expression correlation studies, as a result, showed a negative correlation (r = -0.62, p < 0.001) between TTP and BDNF in TC tissues in AD. Gene correlation analysis attempts to categorize human genes by considering their co-expression levels (Michalopoulos et al., 2012).

On the one hand, Kumar et al. introduced TTP as a regulator of BDNF in the C2C12 cell line, a derivative of myoblast cells (Kumar et al., 2014). By acting on the ARE site and through the use of destabilizing factors, TTP causes instability of the target mRNA molecule and reduces its expression. On the other hand, miR-16 and miR-15 were among the first microRNAs implicated in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (Calin et al., 2002). MiR-16 may remove or inhibit the translation of this neurotrophic factor by acting on the RISC complex structure and acting on the MRE site of BDNF mRNA (Figure 1). It should be noted that miR-16 contains a UAAAUAUU sequence that complements the ARE site, which was one of the reasons for its selection among other miRs (Brooks and Blackshear, 2013). There is an indirect collaboration between TTP and miR-16 through the RISK complex, and miR-16 has the ability to accompany TTP in targeting mRNAs containing ARE sites (Jing et al., 2005).

The results of studies of TTP (adjusted p-value = 0.949) and miR-16 (adjusted p-value = 0.701) gene expression in the peripheral blood of patients with AD compared to healthy controls did not support this hypothesis, nor did the results of bioinformatic analysis. However, the expression of BDNF in the peripheral blood of patients with AD has experienced a significant decrease, although the expression correlation analysis of TTP and miR-16 is positive (r = 0.584, p < 0.001) and indicates an expression relationship between these two factors. Positive expressive correlation, although not very high value, and the cooperation between TTP and miR-16 can be considered in one direction; however, a weak correlation may not even indicate a direct impact. Given the ROC value of the TTP gene, it can be identified as one of the genes that may have diagnostic value in AD. Decreased expression of BDNF in both brain and peripheral blood is a common feature of patients with AD, and further attention and studies in this field can work to eliminate one of the levers of this disease.

Limitations

Our research had some limitations. First, various aspects, such as different methods, sample preparation,

platforms, data analysis, and patient's characteristics, may affect gene expression patterns. Second, the limited number of samples may lead to a lack of statistical validity. In addition, our bioinformatic analysis must be validated by practical techniques. Third, this expression regulation mechanism is best investigated at the protein level and with related techniques, such as ELISA and Western blotting. Finally, we did not examine the expression of TTP, ROQUIN, and hsa-miR-16-5p in peripheral blood cell subpopulations.

Conclusion

The genes encoding the CCCH-type zinc-finger protein family play the essential roles in the underlying mechanisms in many cells. These roles, including the most important ones, such as regulating gene expression, create the potential for this gene family, which may cause disease and pathogenesis in a particular complication. Learning more about these genes and the regulatory axes involved can help to explain pathogenic processes and provide opportunities for new therapies. As a result, our efforts to understand the various aspects of regulatory processes through the family of CCCH-type zinc-finger proteins in AD pathogenesis led to new insights into possible molecular targets and to the discovery of biomarkers based on these regulatory pathways. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first evidence of one of these regulatory axes implemented by TTP and ROQUIN in AD patients' peripheral brain and blood. The effect of TTP and miR-16 on the BDNF expression regulation was also investigated as one of the aspects of this regulation due to its effect on the ARE site. The results are preliminary, and further, in vitro and in vivo studies may reinforce these findings. Whereas the possible role of these regulatory axes at the transcript level requires further exploration, this study develops insights into one of the regulatory aspects of critical and influential factors at the transcript level. It provides a new perspective on the molecular processes of AD development.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are publicly available. This data can be found here:

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE106241 and https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc= GSE157239.

Ethics statement

The clinical research Ethics Committee of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences approved the current study (Ethical code: IR.TBZMED.REC.1398.1263). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

MA, HS, MAT, and AJ performed the experiment and collected the samples. SA-J and AS analyzed the data. MT and JG designed and supervised the study. MR and MA wrote the draft and revised it. All authors read and confirmed the submitted version.

Funding

This research protocol was approved and supported by the Molecular Medicine Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences (grant no. 64402).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Amidfar, M., de Oliveira, J., Kucharska, E., Budni, J., and Kim, Y. K. (2020). The role of CREB and BDNF in neurobiology and treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Life Sci.* 257:118020. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2020.118020

Armstrong, R. A. (2009). The molecular biology of senile plaques and neurofibrillary tangles in Alzheimer's disease. *Folia Neuropathol.* 47, 289–299.

Asadi, M. R., Sadat Moslehian, M., Sabaie, H., Jalaiei, A., Ghafouri-Fard, S., Taheri, M., et al. (2021). Stress Granules and Neurodegenerative Disorders: A Scoping Review. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 13:650740. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2021.650740

Bai, M., Zhu, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, S., Zhang, L., Xue, L., et al. (2012). Abnormal hippocampal BDNF and miR-16 expression is associated with depression-like behaviors induced by stress during early life. *PLoS One* 7:e46921. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0046921

Baker, J. D., Uhrich, R. L., Strovas, T. J., Saxton, A. D., and Kraemer, B. C. (2020). Targeting Pathological Tau by Small Molecule Inhibition of the Poly(A):MSUT2 RNA-Protein Interaction. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 11, 2277–2285. doi: 10.1021/ acschemneuro.0c00214

Barrett, T., Wilhite, S. E., Ledoux, P., Evangelista, C., Kim, I. F., Tomashevsky, M., et al. (2013). NCBI GEO: Archive for functional genomics data sets—update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41:D991–D995. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1193

Binas, O., Tants, J. N., Peter, S. A., Janowski, R., Davydova, E., Braun, J., et al. (2020). Structural basis for the recognition of transiently structured AU-rich elements by Roquin. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48, 7385–7403. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa465

Booij, B. B., Lindahl, T., Wetterberg, P., Skaane, N. V., Sæbø, S., Feten, G., et al. (2011). A gene expression pattern in blood for the early detection of Alzheimer's disease. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 23, 109–119. doi: 10.3233/JAD-2010-101518

Braak, H., and Braak, E. (1991). Neuropathological stageing of Alzheimerrelated changes. Acta Neuropathol. 82, 239-259. doi: 10.1007/BF00308809

Brooks, S. A., and Blackshear, P. J. (2013). Tristetraprolin (TTP): Interactions with mRNA and proteins, and current thoughts on mechanisms of action. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1829, 666–679. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagrm.2013.02.003

Bu, S., Lv, Y., Liu, Y., Qiao, S., and Wang, H. (2021). Zinc Finger Proteins in Neuro-Related Diseases Progression. *Front. Neurosci.* 15:760567. doi: 10.3389/ fnins.2021.760567

Calin, G. A., Cimmino, A., Fabbri, M., Ferracin, M., Wojcik, S. E., Shimizu, M., et al. (2008). MiR-15a and miR-16-1 cluster functions in human leukemia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 5166–5171. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0800121105

Calin, G. A., Dumitru, C. D., Shimizu, M., Bichi, R., Zupo, S., Noch, E., et al. (2002). Frequent deletions and down-regulation of micro- RNA genes miR15 and miR16 at 13q14 in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 15524–15529. doi: 10.1073/pnas.242606799

Calsolaro, V., and Edison, P. (2016). Neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease: Current evidence and future directions. *Alzheimers Dement.* 12, 719–732. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2016.02.010

Caput, D., Beutler, B., Hartog, K., Thayer, R., Brown-Shimer, S., and Cerami, A. (1986). Identification of a common nucleotide sequence in the 3'-untranslated region of mRNA molecules specifying inflammatory mediators. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 83, 1670–1674. doi: 10.1073/pnas.83.6.1670

Carpenter, S., Ricci, E. P., Mercier, B. C., Moore, M. J., and Fitzgerald, K. A. (2014). Post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression in innate immunity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 14, 361–376. doi: 10.1038/nri3682

Chen, X. F., Zhang, Y. W., Xu, H., and Bu, G. (2013). Transcriptional regulation and its misregulation in Alzheimer's disease. *Mol. Brain* 6:44. doi: 10.1186/1756-6606-6-44

Cui, P., Ma, X., Li, H., Lang, W., and Hao, J. (2018). Shared Biological Pathways Between Alzheimer's Disease and Ischemic Stroke. *Front. Neurosci.* 12:605. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2018.00605

Cummings, J., Aisen, P. S., DuBois, B., Frölich, L., Jack, C. R. Jr., Jones, R. W., et al. (2016). Drug development in Alzheimer's disease: The path to 2025. *Alzheimers Res. Ther.* 8:39. doi: 10.1186/s13195-016-0207-9

Ding, Y., Luan, W., Shen, X., Wang, Z., and Cao, Y. (2022). LncRNA BDNF-AS as ceRNA regulates the miR-9-5p/BACE1 pathway affecting neurotoxicity in Alzheimer's disease. *Arch. Gerontol. Geriatr.* 99:104614. doi: 10.1016/j.archger. 2021.104614

Ertekin-Taner, N. (2011). Gene expression endophenotypes: A novel approach for gene discovery in Alzheimer's disease. *Mol. Neurodegener.* 6:31. doi: 10.1186/1750-1326-6-31

Fallmann, J., Sedlyarov, V., Tanzer, A., Kovarik, P., and Hofacker Ivo, L. (2016). AREsite2: An enhanced database for the comprehensive investigation

of AU/GU/U-rich elements. Nucleic Acids Res. 44:D90-D95. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv1238

Fan, L., Mao, C., Hu, X., Zhang, S., Yang, Z., Hu, Z., et al. (2020). New Insights Into the Pathogenesis of Alzheimer's Disease. *Front. Neurol.* 10:1312. doi: 10.3389/ fneur.2019.01312

Ferreira-Vieira, T. H., Guimaraes, I. M., Silva, F. R., and Ribeiro, F. M. (2016). Alzheimer's disease: Targeting the Cholinergic System. *Curr Neuropharmacol.* 14, 101–115. doi: 10.2174/1570159X13666150716165726

Filipowicz, W., Bhattacharyya, S. N., and Sonenberg, N. (2008). Mechanisms of post-transcriptional regulation by microRNAs: Are the answers in sight? *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 9, 102–114. doi: 10.1038/nrg2290

Fleitas, C., Piñol-Ripoll, G., Marfull, P., Rocandio, D., Ferrer, I., Rampon, C., et al. (2018). proBDNF is modified by advanced glycation end products in Alzheimer's disease and causes neuronal apoptosis by inducing p75 neurotrophin receptor processing. *Mol. Brain.* 11:68. doi: 10.1186/s13041-018-0411-6

Frost, G. R., Jonas, L. A., and Li, Y.-M. (2019). Friend, Foe or Both? Immune Activity in Alzheimer's Disease. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 11:337. doi: 10.3389/fnagi. 2019.00337

Ghafouri-Fard, S., Safari, M., Taheri, M., and Samadian, M. (2022). Expression of Linear and Circular lncRNAs in Alzheimer's Disease. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 72, 187–200. doi: 10.1007/s12031-021-01900-z

Glasmacher, E., Hoefig, K. P., Vogel, K. U., Rath, N., Du, L., Wolf, C., et al. (2010). Roquin binds inducible costimulator mRNA and effectors of mRNA decay to induce microRNA-independent post-transcriptional repression. *Nat. Immunol.* 11, 725–733. doi: 10.1038/ni.1902

Goldie, B. J., and Cairns, M. J. (2012). Post-transcriptional trafficking and regulation of neuronal gene expression. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 45, 99–108. doi: 10.1007/s12035-011-8222-0

Hall, T. M. (2005). Multiple modes of RNA recognition by zinc finger proteins. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 15, 367–373. doi: 10.1016/j.sbi.2005.04.004

Haunsberger, S. J., Connolly, N. M., and Prehn, J. H. (2017). miRNAmeConverter: An R/bioconductor package for translating mature miRNA names to different miRBase versions. *Bioinformatics* 33, 592-593. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btw660

Huang, H. Y., Lin, Y. C., Li, J., Huang, K. Y., Shrestha, S., Hong, H. C., et al. (2020). miRTarBase 2020: Updates to the experimentally validated microRNA-target interaction database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48:D148–D154. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz896

Huber, W., Carey, V. J., Gentleman, R., Anders, S., Carlson, M., Carvalho, B. S., et al. (2015). Orchestrating high-throughput genomic analysis with Bioconductor. *Nat. Methods* 12, 115–121. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3252

Irizarry, R. A., Hobbs, B., Collin, F., Beazer-Barclay, Y. D., Antonellis, K. J., Schef, U., et al. (2003). Exploration, normalization, and summaries of high density oligonucleotide array probe level data. *Biostatistics* 4, 249–264. doi: 10. 1093/biostatistics/4.2.249

Jiao, S. S., Shen, L. L., Zhu, C., Bu, X. L., Liu, Y. H., Liu, C. H., et al. (2016). Brain-derived neurotrophic factor protects against tau-related neurodegeneration of Alzheimer's disease. *Transl. Psychiatry* 6:e907. doi: 10.1038/tp.2016.186

Jing, Q., Huang, S., Guth, S., Zarubin, T., Motoyama, A., Chen, J., et al. (2005). Involvement of microRNA in AU-rich element-mediated mRNA instability. *Cell* 120, 623–634. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2004.12.038

Kang, S. S., Ahn, E. H., and Ye, K. (2020). Delta-secretase cleavage of Tau mediates its pathology and propagation in Alzheimer's disease. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 52, 1275–1287. doi: 10.1038/s12276-020-00494-7

Khabar, K. S. (2017). Hallmarks of cancer and AU-rich elements. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA 8:e1368. doi: 10.1002/wrna.1368

Kim, H. J., Ji, Y. R., Kim, M. O., Yu, D. H., Shin, M. J., Yuh, H. S., et al. (2012). The role of Roquin overexpression in the modulation of signaling during in vitro and ex vivo T-cell activation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 417, 280–286. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2011.11.101

Kim, Y. S., Lee, K. J., and Kim, H. (2017). Serum tumour necrosis factor- α and interleukin-6 levels in Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Psychogeriatrics* 17, 224–230. doi: 10.1111/psyg.12218

Kumar, A., Varendi, K., Peränen, J., and Andressoo, J. O. (2014). Tristetraprolin is a novel regulator of BDNF. *Springerplus* 3:502. doi: 10.1186/2193-1801-3-502

LaJevic, M. D., Koduvayur, S. P., Caffrey, V., Cohen, R. L., and Chambers, D. A. (2010). Thy-1 mRNA destabilization by norepinephrine a 3' UTR cAMP

responsive decay element and involves RNA binding proteins. Brain Behav. Immun. 24, 1078-1088. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2010.04.006

Leal, G., Afonso, P. M., Salazar, I. L., and Duarte, C. B. (2015). Regulation of hippocampal synaptic plasticity by BDNF. *Brain Res.* 1621, 82–101. doi: 10.1016/j. brainres.2014.10.019

Leal, G., Bramham, C. R., and Duarte, C. B. (2017). BDNF and Hippocampal Synaptic Plasticity. *Vitam. Horm.* 104, 153–195. doi: 10.1016/bs.vh.2016.10.004

Leppek, K., Schott, J., Reitter, S., Poetz, F., Hammond, M. C., and Stoecklin, G. (2013). Roquin promotes constitutive mRNA decay via a conserved class of stem-loop recognition motifs. *Cell* 153, 869–881. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.04.016

Lewis, J., and Dickson, D. W. (2016). Propagation of tau pathology: Hypotheses, discoveries, and yet unresolved questions from experimental and human brain studies. *Acta Neuropathol.* 131, 27–48. doi: 10.1007/s00401-015-1507-z

Liang, W. S., Dunckley, T., Beach, T. G., Grover, A., Mastroeni, D., Ramsey, K., et al. (2008). Altered neuronal gene expression in brain regions differentially affected by Alzheimer's disease: A reference data set. *Physiol. Genom.* 33, 240–256. doi: 10.1152/physiolgenomics.00242.2007

Lim, B., Prassas, I., and Diamandis, E. P. (2021). From the amyloid hypothesis to the autoimmune hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease. *Diagnosis* 9, 280–281. doi: 10.1515/dx-2021-0108

Lu, T. X., and Rothenberg, M. E. (2018). MicroRNA. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 141, 1202–1207. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2017.08.034

Maeda, K., and Akira, S. (2017). Regulation of mRNA stability by CCCH-type zinc-finger proteins in immune cells. *Int. Immunol.* 29, 149–155. doi: 10.1093/intimm/dxx015

Mansfield, K. D., and Keene, J. D. (2012). Neuron-specific ELAV/Hu proteins suppress HuR mRNA during neuronal differentiation by alternative polyadenylation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, 2734–2746. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr1114

Marttinen, M., Paananen, J., Neme, A., Mitra, V., Takalo, M., Natunen, T., et al. (2019). A multiomic approach to characterize the temporal sequence in Alzheimer's disease-related pathology. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 124, 454–468. doi: 10.1016/j.nbd.2018.12.009

Michalopoulos, I., Pavlopoulos, G. A., Malatras, A., Karelas, A., Kostadima, M. A., Schneider, R., et al. (2012). Human gene correlation analysis (HGCA): A tool for the identification of transcriptionally co-expressed genes. *BMC Res. Notes* 5:265. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-5-265

Mino, T., Murakawa, Y., Fukao, A., Vandenbon, A., Wessels, H. H., Ori, D., et al. (2015). Regnase-1 and Roquin Regulate a Common Element in Inflammatory mRNAs by Spatiotemporally Distinct Mechanisms. *Cell* 161, 1058–1073. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.04.029

Mori, Y., Tsuji, M., Oguchi, T., Kasuga, K., Kimura, A., Futamura, A., et al. (2021). Serum BDNF as a Potential Biomarker of Alzheimer's Disease: Verification Through Assessment of Serum, Cerebrospinal Fluid, and Medial Temporal Lobe Atrophy. *Front. Neurol.* 12:653267. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.653267

Naumova, O. Y., Lee, M., Rychkov, S. Y., Vlasova, N. V., and Grigorenko, E. L. (2013). Gene expression in the human brain: The current state of the study of specificity and spatiotemporal dynamics. *Child Dev.* 84, 76–88. doi: 10.1111/cdev. 12014

Nguyen, T. T., Nguyen, T. T. D., Nguyen, T. K. O., Vo, T. K., and Vo, V. G. (2021). Advances in developing therapeutic strategies for Alzheimer's disease. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 139:111623. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2021.111623

Notaras, M., and van den Buuse, M. (2019). Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF): Novel Insights into Regulation and Genetic Variation. *Neuroscientist* 25, 434–454. doi: 10.1177/1073858418810142

Olin, D., MacMurray, J., and Comings, D. E. (2005). Risk of late-onset Alzheimer's disease associated with BDNF C270T polymorphism. *Neurosci. Lett.* 381, 275–278. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2005.02.017

Paul-Samojedny, M., Owczarek, A., Kowalczyk, M., Suchanek, R., Palacz, M., Kucia, K., et al. (2013). Association of interleukin 2 (IL-2), interleukin 6 (IL-6), and TNF-alpha (TNFa) gene polymorphisms with paranoid schizophrenia in a Polish population. J. Neuropsychiatry Clin. Neurosci. 25, 72–82. doi: 10.1176/appi. neuropsych.12020021

Prince, M., Bryce, R., Albanese, E., Wimo, A., Ribeiro, W., and Ferri, C. P. (2013). The global prevalence of dementia: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Alzheimers Dement.* 9, 63–75.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2012.11.007

Psotta, L., Rockahr, C., Gruss, M., Kirches, E., Braun, K., Lessmann, V., et al. (2015). Impact of an additional chronic BDNF reduction on learning performance in an Alzheimer mouse model. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 9:58. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh. 2015.00058

Ritchie, M. E., Phipson, B., Wu, D., Hu, Y., Law, C. W., Shi, W., et al. (2015). limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43:e47. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv007

Salerno, F., Turner, M., and Wolkers, M. C. (2020). Dynamic Post-Transcriptional Events Governing CD8(+) T Cell Homeostasis and Effector Function. *Trends Immunol.* 41, 240–254. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2020.01.001

Samadian, M., Gholipour, M., Hajiesmaeili, M., Taheri, M., and Ghafouri-Fard, S. (2021). The Eminent Role of microRNAs in the Pathogenesis of Alzheimer's Disease. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 13:641080. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2021.641080

Sharp, F. R., Xu, H., Lit, L., Walker, W., Apperson, M., Gilbert, D. L., et al. (2006). The future of genomic profiling of neurological diseases using blood. *Arch. Neurol.* 63, 1529–1536. doi: 10.1001/archneur.63.11.1529

Silver, J., Ritchie, M., and Smyth, G. (2009). Microarray background correction: Maximum likelihood estimation for the normal-exponential convolution. *Biostatistics* 10, 352–363. doi: 10.1093/biostatistics/kxn042

Song, C., Shi, J., Xu, J., Zhao, L., Zhang, Y., Huang, W., et al. (2021). Post-transcriptional regulation of α 7 nAChR expression by miR-98-5p modulates cognition and neuroinflammation in an animal model of Alzheimer's disease. *FASEB J.* 35:e21658. doi: 10.1096/fj.202100257R

Sticht, C., De La Torre, C., Parveen, A., and Gretz, N. (2018). miRWalk: An online resource for prediction of microRNA binding sites. *PLoS One* 13:e0206239. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0206239

Su, F., Bai, F., and Zhang, Z. (2016). Inflammatory Cytokines and Alzheimer's Disease: A Review from the Perspective of Genetic Polymorphisms. *Neurosci. Bull.* 32, 469–480. doi: 10.1007/s12264-016-0055-4

Tavernier, S. J., Athanasopoulos, V., Verloo, P., Behrens, G., Staal, J., Bogaert, D. J., et al. (2019). A human immune dysregulation syndrome characterized by severe hyperinflammation with a homozygous nonsense Roquin-1 mutation. *Nat. Commun.* 10:4779. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-13379-9

Tsai, S.-J. (2018). Critical Issues in BDNF Val66Met Genetic Studies of Neuropsychiatric Disorders. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 11:156. doi: 10.3389/fnmol. 2018.00156

Ułamek-Kozioł, M., Pluta, R., Januszewski, S., Kocki, J., Bogucka-Kocka, A., and Czuczwar, S. J. (2016). Expression of Alzheimer's disease risk genes in ischemic brain degeneration. *Pharmacol. Rep.* 68, 1345–1349. doi: 10.1016/j.pharep.2016. 09.006

Vahia, V. N. (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders 5: A quick glance. *Indian J. Psychiatry* 55, 220–223. doi: 10.4103/0019-5545.117131

von Heydebreck, A., Huber, W., and Gentleman, R. (2004). Differential expression with the bioconductor project, in *Encyclopedia of Genetics, Genomics, Proteomics and Bioinformatics*, (Hoboken: John Wiley and Sons). doi: 10.1002/047001153X.g405208

Wheeler, J. M., McMillan, P., Strovas, T. J., Liachko, N. F., Amlie-Wolf, A., Kow, R. L., et al. (2019). Activity of the poly(A) binding protein MSUT2 determines susceptibility to pathological tau in the mammalian brain. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 11:eaao6545. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aao6545

Wortmann, M. (2012). Dementia: A global health priority - Highlights from an ADI and World Health Organization report. *Alzheimer's Res. Ther.* 4:40. doi: 10.1186/alzrt143

Yan, L., Liang, M., Hou, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, H., Guo, Z., et al. (2019). The role of microRNA-16 in the pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases: A comprehensive review. *Biomed. Pharmacother*. 112:108583. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2019.01.044

Zhang, J. G., Xu, C., Zhang, L., Zhu, W., Shen, H., and Deng, H. W. (2019). Identify gene expression pattern change at transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels. *Transcription* 10, 137–146. doi: 10.1080/21541264.2019.1575159

Zhao, W., Liu, M., and Kirkwood, K. L. (2008). p38alpha stabilizes interleukin-6 mRNA via multiple AU-rich elements. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 1778–1785. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M707573200

Zou, K., Abdullah, M., and Michikawa, M. (2020). Current Biomarkers for Alzheimer's Disease: From CSF to Blood. *J. Pers. Med.* 10:85. doi: 10.3390/ jpm10030085