Dexmedetomidine for early postoperative cognitive dysfunction after video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy in elderly male patients with lung cancer

Hai-Xia Shi, MM^{a,b}, Xue-Jiang Du, MM^b, Fan Wu, MM^b, Ya-Juan Hu, MM^b, Wei-Dong Mi, MD^{a,*}

Abstract

This retrospective study explored the efficacy and safety of dexmedetomidine in treating early postoperative cognitive dysfunction (EPPNCD) after video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy (VATL) in elderly male patients with lung cancer (LC).

This study included a total of 80 elderly male patients with LC who received VATL. All of them were equally assigned to a treatment group and a control group, with 40 patients each group. The primary outcome included cognitive dysfunction, as evaluated by minimental state examination scale. The secondary outcomes consisted of incidence of EPPNCD, lung function (as measured by forced vital capacity, forced expiratory volume in 1 second, peak expiratory flow, and maximal voluntary ventilation), and adverse events. All outcome data were analyzed before and 3 days after surgery.

After surgery, all patients in the treatment group exerted better efficacy in mini-mental state examination scale (P < .01) and incidence of EPPNCD (P = .03), than patients in the control group. However, no significant differences were detected in forced vital capacity (P = .65), forced expiratory volume in 1 second (P = .50), peak expiratory flow (P = .73), and maximal voluntary ventilation (P = .27) between 2 groups. In addition, there is similar safety profile between 2 groups.

The findings of this study showed that dexmedetomidine may benefit EPPNCD after VATL in elderly male patients with LC. Future studies are needed to warrant the present conclusions.

Abbreviations: EPPNCD = early postoperative neurocognitive dysfunction, FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second, FVC = forced vital capacity, LC = lung cancer, MMSES = mini-mental state examination scale, MVV = maximal voluntary ventilation, PEF = peak expiratory flow, VATL = video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy.

Keywords: cognitive dysfunction, efficacy, lobectomy, safety

Editor: Qinhong Zhang.

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available, but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Funded by Inner Mongolia Natural Science Foundation (No. 2017MS08107) and Inner Mongolia Health and Family Planning Research Project (No. 201703096). Applicant: Hai-xia Shi.

^a Department of Anesthesiology, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing,

^b Department of Anesthesiology, The Affiliated Hospital of Inner Mongolia Medical University, Hohhot, China.

* Correspondence: Hai-Xia Shi, Department of Anesthesiology, The Affiliated Hospital of Inner Mongolia Medical University, No. 1 Tongdaobei Street, Hohhot, 010050, China (e-mail: haixia0403@163.com).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CCBY-NC), where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.

How to cite this article: Shi HX, Du XJ, Wu F, Hu YJ, Mi WD. Dexmedetomidine for early postoperative cognitive dysfunction after video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy in elderly male patients with lung cancer. Medicine 2020;99:36 (e21691).

Received: 31 May 2020 / Received in final form: 29 June 2020 / Accepted: 8 July 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000021691

1. Introduction

Lung cancer (LC) is one of the most common cancers; and it is also the leading cause of cancer deaths worldwide.^[1–4] Lobectomy, such as video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy (VATL), is considered as the gold standard intervention,^[5–6] and it has become the preferred approach for patients with LC.^[7–10] During the period of VATL, all patients received analgesia. However, most patients experience a variety of complications, such as bleeding, infections, postoperative pain and early postoperative cognitive dysfunction (EPPNCD).^[11–14] Thus, it is very important to choose an effective analgesia with fewer complications, especially for EPPNCD.

Medicir

OPEN

Dexmedetomidine is a highly selective α 2-adrenergic receptor agonist,^[15,16] which is utilized as a short-term sedation and analgesia in several preoperative settings.^[17–21] Studies suggested that it can benefit EPPNCD after VATL.^[22–24] However, there is still limited data available regarding the efficacy and safety of dexmedetomidine on EPPNCD after VATL in elderly male patients with LC. Thus, this retrospective study investigated the efficacy and safety of dexmedetomidine on EPPNCD after VATL in elderly male patients with LC.

2. Patients and methods

2.1. Ethical approval

This study was approved by the ethics medical committee of The Affiliated Hospital of Inner Mongolia Medical University. All eligible patients provided written informed consent.

2.2. Design

This retrospective study included 80 elderly male patients with LC who received VATL from The Affiliated Hospital of Inner Mongolia Medical University between May 2017 and August 2019. All 80 eligible patients were assigned to a treatment group (n=40) and a control group (n=40), according to the different treatment schedules they received. All patients received same baseline anesthesia. In addition, all patients in the treatment group received dexmedetomidine, while the patients in the control group underwent 0.9% NaCl. No randomization procedure was applied to this study because it was conducted based on the completed patient records. In addition, no blind was placed to the outcome assessors. However, data analyst was blind in this study.

2.3. Patients

All eligible elderly male patients (over 60 years old) with LC after VATL were included in this study. In addition, all included patients should meet the following criteria: tumor diameter <5 cm, peripheral LC, and not close to large blood vessels. Patients were excluded if they had distant metastasis, sublobar resection, or cognitive dysfunction before surgery. In addition, patients were excluded if the surgery could not be completed successfully or received radiotherapy, or other medications for cognitive dysfunction, that may affect EPPNCD 1-month before the surgery. Furthermore, patients were excluded if they had history of chest surgery, single lung ventilation, and extensive pleural adhesions.

2.4. Treatment schedule

All patients were strictly required to fast and water before surgery, and were closely monitored changes in vital signs (including heart rate, blood pressure, pulse and blood oxygen saturation). Before induction of anesthesia, all patients in the treatment group received dexmedetomidine (Yangzijiang Pharmaceutical Group Co, Ltd, National Medicine Permit No. H20183220) with loading amount of $0.5 \,\mu$ g/kg for continuous 20 minutes. Then, the dose was adjusted to $0.2 \,\mu$ g/(kg.h) until 30 minutes before surgery finished. All patients in the control group administered 0.9% NaCl with the same procedure as the intervention group.

After continuous pumping in both groups, all patients were induced with 1 mg/kg propofol (Xi'an Libang Pharmaceutical Co, Ltd, National Medicine Permit No. H20163040), 0.5μ g/kg sufentanil (Yichang Renfu Pharmaceutical Co, Ltd, National Medicine Permit No. H20054172), and 0.2 mg/kg atracurium (Shanghai Pharmaceutical Dongying (Jiangsu) Pharmaceutical Co, Ltd Secretary, National Medicine Permit No. H20133373).

2.5. Outcome measurements

The primary outcome was cognitive dysfunction, as assessed by mini-mental state examination scale (MMSES).^[25] It ranges from 0 to 30, the lower score, the severer cognitive dysfunction. It exerts in details as followings: scores of 24 to 30 shows no cognitive dysfunction; scores of 18 to 23 means mild cognitive dysfunction; and scores of 0 to 17 suggests severe cognitive dysfunction.

The secondary outcomes were incidence of EPPNCD, lung function (as measured by forced vital capacity (FVC, known as the volume of maximally forcefully exhaled air), forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1, known as the best appraisal measurement of airway obstruction), peak expiratory flow [PEF, known as the maximum speed of expiration], and maximal voluntary ventilation [MVV, known as the maximum breathing capacity]),^[26,27] and adverse events. All outcomes were measured before and 3 days after surgery.

2.6. Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using SAS package (Version 9.1; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC). All continuous data was analyzed by *t* test or Wilcoxon test, and all discontinuous data was analyzed by Pearson chi-square test or Fisher exact test. We defined P < .05 as having statistical significance.

Although several studies have investigated the efficacy of dexmedetomidine for EPPNCD, no study has specifically appraised its efficacy and safety for EPPNCD after VATL in elderly male patients with LC. Thus, no closely relevant study was previously published that help to calculate sample size of this study. Taking into account the minimum number of patients

Table 1

Comparison of baseline characteristics between 2 groups.

Characteristics	Treatment group (n=40)	Control group (n=40)	Р
Mean age, yr (mean \pm SD)	66.4 (5.2)	67.6 (5.5)	.32
Gender (Male) (%)	40 (100.0)	40 (100.0)	.02
Ethnicity (China) (%)	40 (100.0)	40 (100.0)	_
Height, cm (mean \pm SD)	168.4 (6.7)	166.9 (8.8)	.39
Weight, kg (mean \pm SD)	65.1 (7.3)	64.0 (8.0)	.52
Educational background (%)		()	
Primary school or below	19 (47.5)	21 (52.5)	.65
Secondary school	12 (30.0)	11 (27.5)	.80
High school or above	9 (22.5)	8 (20.0)	.78
Co-morbidities (%)	- ()	- ()	
Hypertension	9 (22.5)	12 (30.0)	.45
COPD	16 (40.0)	13 (32.5)	.49
Smoking	32 (80.0)	28 (70.0)	.30
Diabetes	7 (17.5)	10 (25.0)	.41
WHO performance status (%)	(-)		
0	23 (57.5)	21 (52.5)	.65
1	15 (37.5)	16 (40.0)	.82
2	2 (5.0)	3 (7.5)	.65
Tumor site (%)			
Left lower lobe	9 (22.5)	7 (17.5)	.58
Left upper lobe	7 (17.5)	8 (20.0)	.77
Right lower lobe	8 (20.0)	5 (12.5)	0.37
Right middle lobe	5 (12.5)	7 (17.5)	0.53
Right upper lobe	11 (27.5)	13 (32.5)	0.63
Histology (%)			
Adenocarcinoma	22 (55.0)	20 (50.0)	0.65
Squamous	7 (17.5)	8 (20.0)	0.77
Other	3 (7.5)	5 (12.5)	0.46
Unkonwn	8 (20.0)	7 (17.5)	0.77
Tumor stage (%)			
T1a	24 (60.0)	27 (67.5)	0.49
T1b	10 (25.0)	8 (20.0)	0.59
T2a	6 (15.0)	5 (12.5)	0.75
Type (%)			
Peripheral	33 (82.5)	35 (87.5)	0.53
Central	7 (17.5)	5 (12.5)	0.53

COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, SD = standard deviation, WHO = World Health Organization.

Table 2 Comparison of cognitive dysfunction before and 3 days after surgery between 2 groups.

MMSES	Treatment group (n=40)	Control group (n=40)	Р
Before surgery	28.1 (2.0)	27.8 (2.2)	.52
After surgery	27.6 (2.3)	24.1 (4.0)	
=Difference between groups		3.5 (2.1, 5.0)	< 0.01

Data are present as mean ± standard deviation (range).

MMSES = mini-mental state examination scale.

necessary to assess the efficacy of dexmedetomidine for EPPNCD after VATL in elderly male patients with LC, this retrospective study included 80 patients (40 in each group) with an expected dropout rate of 20%.^[28]

3. Results

We summarized characteristic information of all included patients in both groups (Table 1). There were not significant differences regarding all baseline characteristic values between 2 groups.

The results of this study demonstrated that patients in the treatment group showed better efficacy in cognitive dysfunction by MMSES (P < .01, Table 2), and incidence of EPPNCD (P = .03, Table 3), than those of patients in the control group.

On the other hand, patients in the treatment group did not exert greater improvement in lung function by FVC (P=.65, Table 4), FEV1 (P=.50, Table 5), PEF (P=.73, Table 6), and

Table 3

Comparison of incidence of EPPNCD 3 days after surgery between 2 groups.

Outcome	Treatment group (n = 40)	Control group (n=40)	Р
Incidence of EPPNCD (%)	4 (10.0)	12 (30.0)	.03

EPPNCD = early postoperative cognitive dysfunction.

Table 4

Comparison of FVC before and 3 days after surgery between 2 groups.

	Treatment group	Control group	
FVC (L)	(n = 40)	(n=40)	Р
Before surgery	2.93 (0.41)	2.96 (0.55)	.78
After surgery	1.41 (0.36)	1.37 (0.43)	
Difference between groups		0.04 (0.01, 0.07)	.65

Data are present as mean \pm standard deviation (range); FVC = forced vital capacity.

Table 5

Comparison of FEV1 before and 3 days after surgery between 2 groups.

FEV1 (L)	Treatment group (n=40)	Control group (n=40)	Р
Before surgery	2.48 (0.71)	2.53 (0.67)	.75
After surgery	1.31 (0.32)	1.26 (0.35)	
Difference between groups		0.05 (0.01, 0.08)	.50

Data are present as mean ± standard deviation (range).

FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second.

Table 6

Comparison of PEF before and 3 days after surgery between 2 groups.

PEF (L/S)	Treatment group (n=40)	Control group (n=40)	Р
Before surgery	4.41 (1.55)	4.33 (1.70)	.83
After surgery	3.68 (0.92)	3.61 (0.88)	
Difference between groups		0.07 (0.03, 0.12)	.73

Data are present as mean ± standard deviation (range).

PEF = peak expiratory flow.

MVV (P=.27, Table 7), than those of patients in the control group. Moreover, although several adverse events were documented in both groups, no significant differences of them were detected between 2 groups (Table 8).

4. Discussion

LC is a very common cancer among the general population.^[1,2] Although a variety of treatments are available to treat LC, their efficacy is still not satisfied. Surgery is considered as a common and effective approach for LC, especially for VATL.^[7–10] Dexmedetomidine is commonly used as analgesia during the procedures of VATL. However, a lot of patients with LC who underwent VATL and dexmedetomidine experienced many complications, such as EPPNCD.^[17–24] In addition, few data are available regarding the dexmedetomidine on EPPNCD after VATL in elderly male patients with LC.

This retrospective study investigated the efficacy and safety of dexmedetomidine on EPPNCD after VATL in elderly male patients with LC. In the present study, our findings found that patients in the treatment group showed more promising efficacy in EPPNCD, as measured by MMSES and incidence of EPPNCD, than patients in the control group. It indicates that dexmedetomidine may benefit EPPNCD after VATL in elderly male patients with LC.

Table 7

Comparison of MVV PEF before and 3 days after surgery between 2 groups.

	Treatment group	Control group	
MVV (L/min)	(n = 40)	(n=40)	P
Before surgery	78.16 (22.35)	75.98 (23.84)	.67
After surgery	45.28 (10.19)	42.56 (11.77)	
Difference between groups		2.75 (2.10, 3.56)	.27

Data are present as mean \pm standard deviation (range). MVV = maximal voluntary ventilation.

Table 8

Comparison of adverse events between 2 groups.

Adverse events (%)	Treatment group (n = 40)	Control group (n=40)	P
Bradycardia	6 (15.0)	3 (7.5)	.30
Nausea	5 (12.5)	3 (7.5)	.46
Vomiting	2 (5.0)	1 (2.5)	.56
Hypotension	3 (7.5)	4 (10.0)	.69
Bleeding	0 (0)	0 (0)	-
Infections	0 (0)	1 (2.5)	.50

On the other hand, patients in the treatment group did not show exciting outcome results in lung function, as assessed by FVC, FEV1, PEF, and MVV, when compared with patients in the control group. It suggests that dexmedetomidine is not superior to other analgesic drugs in lung function improvement. In addition, both groups showed similar safety profile.

Several limitations existed in this study. First, limited sample size of this study may affect our findings. Second, no randomization procedure was utilized to assign patients in this study, because we only analyzed data from existed patient records. Third, all patients, researchers and outcome assessors were not blind in this study. Fourth, all case records were collected from The Affiliated Hospital of Inner Mongolia Medical University, which may affect its generalization to other hospitals. Fifth, this study only assessed outcomes before and 3 days after surgery. Thus, data and following up assessment at other time points still need to be explored in the future.

5. Conclusion

The findings of this study showed that dexmedetomidine may benefit EPPNCD after VATL in elderly male patients with LC. However, further studies are still needed to warrant the current conclusion.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Hai-Xia Shi, Fan Wu, Ya-Juan Hu, Wei-Dong Mi.

Data curation: Hai-Xia Shi, Xue-Jiang Du, Fan Wu.

Formal analysis: Hai-Xia Shi, Xue-Jiang Du, Ya-Juan Hu, Wei-Dong Mi.

Investigation: Wei-Dong Mi.

Methodology: Hai-Xia Shi, Xue-Jiang Du, Fan Wu, Ya-Juan Hu. Project administration: Wei-Dong Mi.

Resources: Hai-Xia Shi, Xue-Jiang Du, Fan Wu, Ya-Juan Hu.

Software: Hai-Xia Shi, Xue-Jiang Du, Fan Wu, Ya-Juan Hu. Supervision: Wei-Dong Mi.

Validation: Hai-Xia Shi, Xue-Jiang Du, Fan Wu, Ya-Juan Hu, Wei-Dong Mi.

Visualization: Hai-Xia Shi, Xue-Jiang Du, Wei-Dong Mi.

Writing – original draft: Hai-Xia Shi, Xue-Jiang Du, Fan Wu, Ya-Juan Hu, Wei-Dong Mi.

Writing - review & editing: Hai-Xia Shi, Fan Wu, Wei-Dong Mi.

References

- Nasim F, Sabath BF, Eapen GA. Lung cancer. Med Clin North Am 2019;103:463–73.
- [2] Dlamini SB, Sartorius B, Ginindza T. Mapping the evidence on interventions to raise awareness on lung cancer in resource poor settings: a scoping review protocol. Syst Rev 2019;8:217.
- [3] Lewis R, Hendry M, Din N, et al. Pragmatic methods for reviewing exceptionally large bodies of evidence: systematic mapping review and overview of systematic reviews using lung cancer survival as an exemplar. Syst Rev 2019;8:171.
- [4] Cao M, Chen W. Epidemiology of lung cancer in China. Thorac Cancer 2019;10:3–7.

- [5] Demmy TL, Yendamuri S, D'Amico TA, et al. Oncologic equivalence of minimally invasive lobectomy: the scientific and practical arguments. Ann Thorac Surg 2018;106:609–17.
- [6] Liu B, Flores RM, Taioli E. Patterns of elective lobectomy for lung cancer. J Surg Res 2017;220:59–67.
- [7] Berfield KS, Farjah F, Mulligan MS. Video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy for lung cancer. Ann Thorac Surg 2019;107:603–9.
- [8] Lex JR, Naidu B. In patients with resectable non-small-cell lung cancer, is video-assisted thoracoscopic segmentectomy an appropriate alternative to video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy? Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2016;23:826–31.
- [9] Harris CG, James RS, Tian DH, et al. Systematic review and metaanalysis of uniportal versus multiportal video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy for lung cancer. Ann Cardiothorac Surg 2016;5:76–84.
- [10] Gonzalez-Rivas D, Delgado M, Fieira E, et al. Double sleeve uniportal video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy for non-small cell lung cancer. Ann Cardiothorac Surg 2014;3:E2.
- [11] Okyere S, Attia R, Toufektzian L, et al. Is the learning curve for videoassisted thoracoscopic lobectomy affected by prior experience in open lobectomy? Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2015;21:108–12.
- [12] Perna V, Carvajal AF, Torrecilla JA, et al. Uniportal video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy versus other video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy techniques: a randomized study. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2016;50:411–5.
- [13] Wu CF, Paradela M, Wu CY, et al. Novice training: the time course for developing competence in single port video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy. Medicine (Baltimore) 2020;99:e19459.
- [14] Agostini P, Lugg ST, Adams K, et al. Video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy: which patients require postoperative physiotherapy? Physiotherapy 2020;106:87–93.
- [15] Kulikov AS, Lubnin AY. Dexmedetomidine: new opportunities in anesthesiology. Anesteziol Reanimatol 2013;1:37–41.
- [16] Gerresheim G, Schwemmer U. Dexmedetomidine. Anaesthesist 2013; 62:661–74.
- [17] Keating GM. Dexmedetomidine: a review of its use for sedation in the intensive care setting. Drugs 2015;75:1119–30.
- [18] Sottas CE, Anderson BJ. Dexmedetomidine: the new all-in-one drug in paediatric anaesthesia? Curr Opin Anaesthesiol 2017;30:441–51.
- [19] Castillo RL, Ibacache M, Cortínez I, et al. Dexmedetomidine improves cardiovascular and ventilatory outcomes in critically Ill patients: basic and clinical approaches. Front Pharmacol 2020;10:1641.
- [20] Wang K, Wang LJ, Yang TJ, et al. Dexmedetomidine combined with local anesthetics in thoracic paravertebral block: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Medicine (Baltimore) 2018;97:e13164.
- [21] Lin N, Vutskits L, Bebawy JF, et al. Perspectives on dexmedetomidine use for neurosurgical patients. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol 2019;31:366–77.
- [22] Carr ZJ, Cios TJ, Potter KF, et al. Does dexmedetomidine ameliorate postoperative cognitive dysfunction? A brief review of the recent literature. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep 2018;18:64.
- [23] Du X, Song F, Zhang X, et al. Protective efficacy of combined use of parecoxib and dexmedetomidine on postoperative hyperalgesia and early cognitive dysfunction after laparoscopic cholecystectomy for elderly patients. Acta Cir Bras 2019;34:e201900905.
- [24] Li WX, Luo RY, Chen C, et al. Effects of propofol, dexmedetomidine, and midazolam on postoperative cognitive dysfunction in elderly patients: a randomized controlled preliminary trial. Chin Med J (Engl) 2019;132:437–45.
- [25] Li H, Jia J, Yang Z. Mini-mental state examination in elderly Chinese: a population-based normative study. J Alzheimers Dis 2016;53:487–96.
- [26] Gao CL, Zhang X, Wang D, et al. Reference values for lung function screening in 10- To 81-year-old, healthy, never-smoking residents of Southeast China. Medicine (Baltimore) 2018;97:e11904.
- [27] Zhang Y, Gao Y. Effects of VATS lobectomy, VATS anatomic segmentectomy, and open thoracotomy on pulmonary function of patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Zhongguo Fei Ai Za Zhi 2016;19:700–4.
- [28] Johanson GA, Brooks GP. Initial scale development: sample size for pilot studies. Educ Psychol Meas 2010;70:394–400.