

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

Use of shock index as a prognostic marker in patients with normal heart rate and blood pressure at ICU admission

FG Zampieri^{1,2*}, F Colombari¹

From ESICM LIVES 2015 Berlin, Germany. 3-7 October 2015

Introduction

Heart rate (HR) and blood pressure (BP) are two of the most ubiquitous hemodynamic parameters assessed in medicine. Nevertheless, normal values of HR and BP are not absolute markers of stability. Shock index (SI - ratio between HR and systolic BP) is an important prognosis marker in trauma [1] and has been suggested to be associated with mortality in acutely ill patients even when HR and BP are within the normal range [2]. Intriguingly, there is a lack of data reporting SI as a marker of severity in the intensive care unit. It is especially unclear if SI could be an additional useful parameter in patients with normal values of HR and BP.

Objectives

To assess the association between SI measured at admission and mortality in otherwise stable patients admitted to the ICU. As secondary endpoint, we assessed the association between SI and subsequent need for organ support (vasopressors, mechanical ventilation and renal replacement therapy).

Methods

Retrospective analysis of all patients admitted from January 2012 until August 2014 in a tertiary ICU in Brazil. Inclusion criteria assessed at ICU admission were:

- 1) HR > 50 and < 100 bpm,
- 2) Systolic blood pressure > 90 mmHg,
- 3) Mean BP > 65 mmHg,
- 4) Absence of vasopressor use.

Association between SI and hospital mortality and need for organ support was assessed through logistic regression controlling for illness severity (SAPS3 without attributable points for HR and BP).

Results

3,140 patients were included. Hospital mortality was 5.7% (179 patients). 7.3% (231 patients) eventually required vasopressors; 4.3% (137) required mechanical ventilation and 2.6% (83) required renal replacement therapy. Higher SI was independently associated with mortality (Figure 1)

An SI greater than 0.7 had an odds ratio for mortality of 1.43 (95% CI 1.03-2.00; p=0.03). A SI value higher than 0.7 was associated with need for starting vasopressors during ICU stay (OR 1.69; 95% CI 1.28-2.23; p<0.01). SI was not associated with need for mechanical ventilation or renal replacement therapy. Excluding patients with a known diagnosis of chronic heart failure did not change the results.

Conclusion

In a population with otherwise stable vital signs at admission, higher SI values were independently associated with

¹Hospital Alemão Oswaldo Cruz, Intensive Care Unit, São Paulo, Brazil Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Ailling brook lindex

Figure 1

mortality and need for vasopressors. SI should be considered as an additional prognostic marker in this population and may help predicting future hemodynamic instability.

Authors' details

¹Hospital Alemão Oswaldo Cruz, Intensive Care Unit, São Paulo, Brazil. ²Hospital das Clínicas, University of São Paulo, Intensive Care Unit, São Paulo, Brazil.

Published: 1 October 2015

References

- Cannon CM, Braxton CC, Kling-Smith M, Mahnken JD, Carlton E, Moncure M: Utility of the shock index in predicting mortality in traumatically injured patients. J Trauma 2009, 67(6):1426-1430.
- Rady MY, Smithline HA, Blake H, Nowak R, Rivers E: A comparison of the shock index and conventional vital signs to identify acute, critical illness in the emergency department. Ann Emerg Med 1994, 24(4):685-690.

doi:10.1186/2197-425X-3-S1-A596

Cite this article as: Zampieri and Colombari: Use of shock index as a prognostic marker in patients with normal heart rate and blood pressure at ICU admission. *Intensive Care Medicine Experimental* 2015 3 (Suppl 1):A596.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com