# BY-NC

# **RSC Advances**



# **PAPER**



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2020, 10, 739

# Diels—Alder reactions between cyclopentadiene analogs and benzoquinone in water and their application in the synthesis of polycyclic cage compounds†

Yijun Shi, \*\*D \*\*ab Xuejing Liu, \*\*a Ying Han, \*\*a Peng Yan, \*\*a Fusheng Bie\*a and Han Cao\*\*

Diels–Alder reactions between cyclopentadiene analogs and p-benzoquinone were explored in water and yielded 83–97% product, higher than the results reported in water with a catalyst or cetrimonium bromide (CTAB) micelles. The novel adduct **10** was synthesized and further used to synthesize the bi-cage hydrocarbon 4,4′-spirobi[pentacyclo[5.4.0.0<sup>2,6</sup>.0<sup>3,10</sup>.0<sup>5,9</sup>]undecane], which has a high density (1.2663 g cm<sup>-3</sup>) and a high volumetric heat of combustion (53.353 MJ L<sup>-1</sup>). Four novel bi-cage hydrocarbon compounds were synthesized in water using this method starting from 2,2′-bi(p-benzoquinone) and cyclopentadiene analogs.

Received 21st November 2019 Accepted 16th December 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ra09745g

rsc.li/rsc-advances

# Introduction

In recent years, water has been reported as a desirable solvent for many chemical reactions for reasons of cost, safety, and environmental concerns. Most notably, the Diels–Alder reaction has been found to be accelerated in dilute aqueous solution, and it is undoubtedly one of the most important reactions in the synthesis of polycyclic cage compounds. Polycyclic cage compounds have drawn more and more attention in the fields of medicinal chemistry and high energy density materials. The Diels–Alder reaction was developed by Diels and Alder in 1928 and the product of this reaction between cyclopentadiene and *p*-benzoquinone was further applied in the preparation of pentacyclo [5.4.0.0<sup>2,6</sup>.0<sup>3,10</sup>.0<sup>5,9</sup>] undecane (PCUD) by Marchand (Scheme 1).


So far, several Diels–Alder reactions between cyclopentadiene analogs and p-benzoquinone have been carried out in water (Table 1) and the Diels–Alder adducts (Scheme 2) have been further applied in the synthesis of polycyclic cage compounds, but this required the addition of an organotungsten Lewis acid as a catalyst (entry 1)<sup>58</sup> or cetrimonium bromide (CTAB) micelles (entry 2)<sup>59</sup> and yielded lower than in organic solvents. Only the Diels–Alder reaction between 1,3-cyclohexadiene and p-benzoquinone was done in water without

a catalyst or CTAB micelles, but the yield was 67%, which was lower than in toluene (entry 3).<sup>60</sup> Apart from these, the other Diels-Alder reactions shown in Table 1 were done in organic solvents, and their yields were 76–97%.<sup>54,56,61-63</sup>

In this paper, Diels–Alder reactions between cyclopentadiene analogs and p-benzoquinone were further explored in water to improve the adduct yields. The new structure of adduct  ${\bf 10}$  is reported and used to synthesize the bi-cage hydrocarbon  ${\bf 4,4'}$ -spirobi[pentacyclo[5.4.0.0<sup>2,6</sup>.0<sup>3,10</sup>.0<sup>5,9</sup>]undecane], which has a high density (1.2663 g cm $^{-3}$ ) and a high volumetric heat of combustion (53.353 MJ L $^{-1}$ ). Furthermore, new polycyclic bicage scaffolds were synthesized in water using this method starting from  ${\bf 2,2'}$ -bi(p-benzoquinone) and cyclopentadiene analogs ( ${\bf 1}$  and  ${\bf 2}$ ).

## Results and discussion

In order to improve the yields of Diels–Alder reactions between cyclopentadiene analogs and p-benzoquinone in water, the reaction between cyclopentadiene and p-benzoquinone at room temperature in water was chosen as the model reaction. We found that dissolving p-benzoquinone into cyclopentadiene was an instantly exothermic process, and the state of this reaction changed to solid from liquid along with a decrease in temperature.



Scheme 1 Synthesis of PCUD.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Engineering and Technology Research Institute of Lunan Coal Chemical, Zaozhuang University, Beian Road, Zaozhuang 277160, Shandong Province, China. E-mail: 673230386@qq.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Zaozhuang University, Beian Road, Zaozhuang 277160, Shandong Province, China

 $<sup>\</sup>dagger$  Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 1936540, 1936541 and 1936543. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c9ra09745g

RSC Advances Paper

The reported Diels-Ald		

Entry	Diene	Solvent	Conditions	Time/h	Yield/%
1	1	$\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}$	0–25 °C, organotungsten Lewis acid, rt	2.25	94-97
		Water	, 6	2	87
2	2	Benzene	Reflux, CTAB micelles, rt	15	82
		Water		3	66
3	3	Toluene	Reflux, rt	24	76
		Water		48	67
4	4	MeOH	−10−25 °C	5	76

Based on this phenomenon and the solubility of p-benzo-quinone in water, different methods of dropping were explored (Fig. 1). When water was added to p-benzoquinone (a), only a small amount of p-benzoquinone was dissolved in water (b). The system presented three phases because of the different densities after cyclopentadiene was added (c), and a large amount of p-benzoquinone was unreacted with cyclopentadiene after 24 h (d).

When cyclopentadiene was added to *p*-benzoquinone (A), the state of this reaction changed to liquid from solid along with an increase in temperature. The system presented two liquid phases after water was added (C), and almost all of the product (6) had precipitated after 2 h. The product was simply obtained in 96% yield by filtration and recrystallization. Compared with the reaction in Table 1, this reaction was done in water without a catalyst and the yield was improved from 87% to 96%. Compared with the method of dropping in Fig. 1a and b, the method of dropping in Fig. 1A and B yielded more because it reacted more fully in two phases.

Based on the method shown in Fig. 1A–D, the results of Diels–Alder reactions between cyclopentadiene analogs and p-benzo-quinone in water are shown in Table 2. The yields (90–97%, entries 1–4) were higher than those reported previously and the novel product **10** was synthesized in 83% yield. Products **6–9** have been reported to be important in the synthesis of polycyclic cage hydrocarbons, such as PCUD and bi-cage hydrocarbon 4,4'-spirobi[pentacyclo[ $5.4.0.0^{2,6}.0^{3,10}.0^{5,9}$ ]undecane].

Scheme 2 Diels-Alder reactions between cyclopentadiene analogs and p-benzoquinone.

Further investigation was carried out to study the synthesis of a bi-cage hydrocarbon from adduct **10**. Finally, the polycyclic cage hydrocarbon compound **12**, which was important in the synthesis of 4,4'-spirobi[pentacyclo[ $5.4.0.0^{2,6}.0^{3,10}.0^{5,9}$ ]undecane], was synthesized by intramolecular [2 + 2] photocyclization and Wolff-Kishner reduction from **10** (Scheme 3).

To expand the scope of this method in water, we found that the structure of 2,2'-bi(*p*-benzoquinone) was similar to that of *p*-benzoquinone and can be synthesized by oxidation of 2,2'-biphenol.<sup>64</sup> According to the structure of 2,2'-bi(*p*-benzoquinone) and the high reactivity of *p*-benzoquinone with cyclopentadiene, inspiration was drawn and a convenient synthetic strategy to construct two cages simultaneously in the synthesis of bi-cage hydrocarbon compounds was designed (Scheme 4). In this scheme, the Diels–Alder reaction in water was applied and bi-cage hydrocarbon compounds **HV-1** and **HV-2** were synthesized.

Following Scheme 4, two isomers (**D-A-1**: **D-A-2** = 32:28) were obtained by the Diels–Alder reaction of 2,2'-bi(p-benzoquinone) with cyclopentadiene with an overall yield of 60% and separated. The intramolecular [2 + 2] photocyclization of **D-A-1** at room temperature with a medium-pressure mercury immersion lamp formed **HV-1** in 90% yield and **HV-2** was obtained in 87% yield by the intramolecular [2 + 2] photocyclization of **D-A-2**.

To confirm the structures of the bi-cage hydrocarbon compounds HV-1 and HV-2, crystals of compounds HV-1 and




Fig. 1 Diels-Alder reactions between cyclopentadiene and p-benzoquinone (1 : 1) in water.


Paper **RSC Advances** 

Table 2 Diels-Alder reactions between cyclopentagiene analogs and p-benzoquinone in water<sup>a</sup>

Entry	Diene	Solvent	Time/h	Product	Yield <sup>b</sup> /%
1	1	Water	2	6	96
2	2	Water	4	7	90
3	3	Water	4	8	97
4	4	Water	4	9	91
5	5	Water	4	10	83

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: n(diene) : n(p-benzoquinone) = 1 : 1, n(p-benzoquinone)benzoquinone) = 4.63 mmol,  $V(\text{water, pH} = 7) = 5 \text{ mL, rt.}^{b}$  Isolated yield.

Scheme 3 Synthesis of 12 from 10



Scheme 4 Synthesis of bi-cage hydrocarbon compounds HV-1 and HV-2

HV-2 suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by recrystallization. In the crystal structures of compounds HV-1 and HV-2 (Fig. 2), two polycyclic cages were clearly connected with a single C-C bond, which directly confirmed the bi-cage structures.

Based on Scheme 4, bi-cage hydrocarbon compounds HV-3 and HV-4 were synthesized as shown in Scheme 5. Two isomers (D-A-3:D-A-4=38:34) were obtained by the Diels-Alder reaction of 2,2'-bi(p-benzoquinone) with 2 with an overall yield of 72% and separated. The intramolecular [2 + 2] photocyclization of D-A-3 at room temperature with a medium-pressure mercury immersion lamp formed HV-3 in 88% yield and HV-4 was obtained in 80% yield by the intramolecular [2 + 2] photocyclization of **D-A-4**.





Fig. 2 X-ray crystal structures of HV-1 (CCDC 1936540) and HV-2 (CCDC 1936541).



Scheme 5 Synthesis of bi-cage hydrocarbon compounds HV-3 and HV-4




Fig. 3 X-ray crystal structure of HV-4 (CCDC 1936543)

To confirm the structures of the bi-cage hydrocarbon compounds HV-3 and HV-4, only a crystal of compound HV-4 suitable for X-ray diffraction was obtained by recrystallization. The crystal structure of compound HV-4 (Fig. 3) is very similar to the structure of compound HV-2 and the two cyclopropyl structures share two spiro-carbons with the bi-cage structures.

#### Conclusions

In summary, the Diels-Alder reactions between cyclopentadiene analogs and p-benzoquinone were done in water used the method of dropping shown in Fig. 1A and B and yielded more than previously reported in water with a catalyst or CTAB micelles. The novel adduct 10 was synthesized and further used to synthesize the bi-cage hydrocarbon 4,4'- spirobi[pentacyclo [5.4.0.0<sup>2,6</sup>.0<sup>3,10</sup>.0<sup>5,9</sup>]undecane]. Furthermore, four bi-cage hydrocarbon compounds were synthesized in water using this method starting from 2,2'-bi(p-benzoquinone) and cyclopentadiene analogs (1 and 2). Additionally, further work on the synthesis of more polycyclic cage (one cage or two cages) compounds is underway in our laboratory.

# Experimental

#### General procedures

All chemicals were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. Melting points were measured using an INESA (SGWX-4B) melting point detector and are uncorrected. NMR spectra were recorded with Bruker Avance 400M or Bruker Avance 500M spectrometers. MS data were recorded with a Micromass-GC/TOF mass spectrometer GCT (UK) and a Thermo-LTQ ORBITRAP XL. IR data were recorded with a NICOLET 6700 FT-IR. The X-ray single-crystal diffraction analysis was performed on an Agilent Supernova CCD diffractometer instrument.

RSC Advances Paper

#### Synthesis and analytical data for 2

2 was prepared by the procedure described by Marchand.  $^{54}$   $^{1}H$  NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.59–6.49 (m, 2H), 6.19–6.10 (m, 2H), 1.66 (s, 4H).

#### Synthesis and analytical data for 4

4 was prepared by the procedure described by Semmelhack.<sup>65</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.86–6.78 (m, 1H), 6.30–6.27 (m, 1H), 6.21–6.14 (m, 1H), 6.08–5.99 (m, 1H), 4.88–4.81 (m, 2H), 2.12–2.03 (m, 2H), 1.77–1.72 (m, 2H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H).

#### Synthesis and analytical data for 5

5 was prepared by the procedure described by Semmelhack.<sup>65</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.39–6.35 (m, 1H), 6.25–6.20 (m, 1H), 6.20–6.13 (m, 2H), 4.29 (t, J=4.4 Hz, 2H), 3.67 (s, 1H), 3.60 (s, 1H), 1.99–1.94 (m, 4H).

#### Synthesis and analytical data for 2,2'-bi(p-benzoquinone)

2,2'-bi(*p*-benzoquinone) was prepared by the procedure described by Bouaziz.<sup>64</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.85 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 6H).

#### Synthesis and analytical data for 6

0.50 g (4.63 mmol) of *p*-benzoquinone and 0.31 g (4.70 mmol) of cyclopentadiene were added sequentially to a 25 mL flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer bar. Water (5 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The precipitate was filtered and recrystallized from *n*-hexane to yield **6** (0.77 g, 4.42 mmol, 96%) as yellow needles. Mp: 76–77 °C. IR (film): 2986, 2950, 2926, 1659, 1604, 1298, 1280, 1141, 1066, 915, 872, 852, 725, 709 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.55 (s, 2H), 6.05 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 2H), 3.53 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 2H), 3.20 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 2H), 1.52 (dd, J = 8.7, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 1.41 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  199.46 (C), 142.06 (CH), 135.30 (CH), 48.77 (CH), 48.71 (CH), 48.34 (CH<sub>2</sub>).

#### Synthesis and analytical data for 7

7 was prepared with the same procedure as 6 starting with p-benzoquinone (0.50 g, 4.63 mmol), 2 (0.44 g, 4.78 mmol) and water (5 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The precipitate was filtered and recrystallized from n-hexane to yield 7 (0.83 g, 4.15 mmol, 90%) as yellow needles. Mp: 100–102 °C. IR (film): 2980, 1662, 1606, 1301, 1282, 1131, 1028, 956, 929, 897, 874, 848, 734 cm $^{-1}$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $_3$ )  $\delta$  6.58 (s, 2H), 6.14 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 2H), 3.38 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 2H), 2.89 (s, 2H), 0.60 (dd, J = 9.3, 5.7 Hz, 2H), 0.49 (dd, J = 9.5, 5.9 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl $_3$ )  $\delta$  199.31 (C), 142.32 (CH), 135.34 (CH), 53.66 (C), 49.21 (CH), 44.56 (CH), 7.96 (CH $_2$ ), 6.94 (CH $_2$ ).

#### Synthesis and analytical data for 8

**8** was prepared with the same procedure as **6** starting with p-benzoquinone (0.50 g, 4.63 mmol), **3** (0.38 g, 4.75 mmol) and water (5 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for

4 h. The precipitate was filtered and recrystallized from n-hexane to yield **8** (0.84 g, 4.47 mmol, 97%) as yellow needles. Mp: 88–90 °C. IR (film): 2947, 2866, 1662, 1611, 1289, 1277, 1257, 1176, 1163, 1107, 1044, 1018, 877, 798, 722, 710 cm $^{-1}$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $_3$ )  $\delta$  6.63 (s, 2H), 6.29–6.11 (m, 2H), 3.20 (d, J=1.1 Hz, 2H), 2.98 (s, 2H), 1.80–1.60 (m, 2H), 1.47–1.17 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl $_3$ )  $\delta$  198.36 (C), 140.98 (CH), 132.45 (CH), 48.32 (CH), 34.32 (CH), 23.72 (CH $_2$ ).

#### Synthesis and analytical data for 9

9 was prepared with the same procedure as 6 starting with pbenzoquinone (0.50 g, 4.63 mmol), 4 (0.89 g, 4.63 mmol) and water (5 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The precipitate was filtered and recrystallized from nhexane to yield 9 (1.26 g, 4.20 mmol, 91%) as yellow needles. Mp: 158-160 °C. IR (film): 2974, 2943, 2908, 1666, 1604, 1384, 1372, 1283, 1208, 1119, 1052, 1022, 988, 877, 843, 728 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.57 (s, 2H), 6.04 (ddd, J = 30.2, 5.7, 2.8 Hz, 2H, 4.57 (dt, J = 32.1, 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.67 (s, 1H), 3.43 (dd, J= 8.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H, 3.17 (dd, J = 8.4, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.96 (s, 1H), 2.03(dd, J = 14.9, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 1.75 (dd, J = 14.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 1.62-1.47 (m, 5H), 1.26 (s, 3H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  199.43 (C), 199.23 (C), 142.58 (CH), 141.97 (CH), 136.89 (CH), 134.37 (CH), 109.33 (C), 80.54 (CH), 79.65 (CH), 68.18 (C), 57.42 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 53.66 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 47.99 (CH), 47.69 (CH), 37.77 (CH), 36.68 (CH), 26.36 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 23.52 (CH<sub>3</sub>).

#### Synthesis and analytical data for 10

**10** was prepared with the same procedure as **6** starting with *p*-benzoquinone (0.50 g, 4.63 mmol), **5** (0.71 g, 4.67 mmol) and water (5 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The precipitate was filtered and subjected to chromatography on silica gel to give **10** (1.00 g, 3.84 mmol, 83%) as yellow needles. Mp: 160–162 °C. IR (film): 3348, 2968, 1712, 1659, 1325, 1124, 1075, 956 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 6.58 (s, 2H), 6.04 (dd, J = 9.3, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 4.04 (d, J = 35.0 Hz, 2H), 3.36 (s, 1H), 3.27 (ddd, J = 18.1, 8.3, 3.9 Hz, 2H), 3.11 (s, 1H), 2.40 (s, 1H), 2.27 (s, 1H), 1.85–1.60 (m, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 199.40 (C), 199.26 (C), 142.45 (CH), 142.24 (CH), 136.18 (CH), 135.59 (CH), 73.62 (CH), 72.86 (CH), 64.22 (C), 57.75 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 56.80 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 48.18 (CH), 47.99 (CH), 37.08 (CH), 36.76 (CH). HRMS (EI):  $C_{15}H_{16}O_4$  [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd, 260.1049; found, 260.1054.

#### Synthesis and analytical data for 11

A solution of **10** (1.00 g, 3.84 mmol) in EtOAc (200 mL) was irradiated with a 400 W medium-pressure mercury immersion lamp for 3 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*, and the residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel to give **11** (0.90 g, 3.46 mmol, 90%) as a white solid. Mp: 200–202 °C. IR (film): 3343, 2952, 2925, 1732, 1194, 1076, 1049 cm<sup>-1</sup>. 
<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  4.40 (s, 2H), 3.85 (s, 2H), 3.17 (s, 2H), 2.74 (s, 4H), 2.53 (d, J = 38.4 Hz, 2H), 1.78–1.43 (m, 4H). 
<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  213.19 (C), 73.12 (CH), 73.02 (CH), 59.80 (C), 54.50 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 54.46 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 54.43 (CH), 53.33 (CH), 43.90 (CH), 43.87 (CH), 38.39 (CH), 38.34 (CH), 37.41 (CH), 33.64 (CH). HRMS (EI): C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>4</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd, 260.1049; found, 260.1057.

Paper RSC Advances

#### Synthesis and analytical data for 12

A solution of 11 (1.00 g, 3.84 mmol) and hydrazine monohydrate (3.3 mL, 80%, 54.31 mmol) in diethylene glycol (3 mL) was heated at 135 °C for 20 h and the solution was distilled until the temperature of the distillate reached 190 °C. Solid potassium hydroxide (1.51 g, 26.96 mmol) was added portionwise and the solution was heated at 200 °C for 20 h, allowed to cool, and diluted with water (50 mL). The precipitate was filtered and recrystallized from EtOAc to yield 12 (0.55 g, 2.37 mmol, 62%) as a white solid. Mp: 156-158 °C. IR (film): 3266, 2933, 2857, 1088, 1031 cm<sup>-1</sup>.  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  4.18 (dd, J = 11.7, 4.2 Hz, 2H), 3.85-3.68 (m, 2H), 2.50 (d, J = 30.5 Hz, 4H), 2.28 (s, 2H), 1.88–1.60 (m, 4H), 1.47–1.26 (m, 4H), 0.86 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ 73.34 (CH), 73.26 (CH), 57.17 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 56.11 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 51.80 (C), 43.12 (CH), 42.97 (CH), 41.33 (CH), 41.16 (CH), 38.58 (CH), 37.48 (CH), 35.39 (CH), 35.35 (CH), 27.14 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 27.06 (CH<sub>2</sub>).

#### Synthesis and analytical data for D-A-1 and D-A-2

0.50 g (2.34 mmol) 2,2'-bi(p-benzoquinone) and 0.31 g (4.70 mmol) cyclopentadiene were added one after another to a 25 mL flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer bar. Water (5 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The precipitate was filtered and subjected to chromatography on silica gel to give **D-A-1** (0.26 g, 0.75 mmol, 32%) as a yellow microcrystalline solid and **D-A-2** (0.23 g, 0.66 mmol, 28%) as a yellow microcrystalline solid.

**D-A-1.** Mp: 162–164 °C. IR (film): 2984, 2958, 1671, 1658, 1197, 1091, 1044, 903, 800, 734, 718 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 6.43 (s, 2H), 6.21 (dd, J = 5.4, 2.9 Hz, 2H), 6.05 (dd, J = 5.5, 2.9 Hz, 2H), 3.52 (s, 4H), 3.37–3.23 (m, 4H), 1.58–1.52 (m, 2H), 1.43 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 197.47 (C), 195.86 (C), 147.25 (C), 138.91 (CH), 134.87 (CH), 134.07 (CH), 48.48 (CH), 48.09 (CH), 47.85 (CH), 47.75 (CH). HRMS (ESI):  $C_{22}H_{18}O_4$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd, 369.11028; found, 369.10995.

**D-A-2.** Mp: 158–160 °C. IR (film): 2985, 2962, 2932, 1665, 1605, 1322, 1246, 1194, 1052, 843, 748, 730, 719 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.44 (s, 2H), 6.25–6.17 (m, 2H), 6.07 (dd, J = 5.2, 2.3 Hz, 2H), 3.52 (s, 4H), 3.31 (ddd, J = 23.3, 8.9, 3.8 Hz, 4H), 1.55 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 1.43 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  197.47 (C), 195.98 (C), 147.45 (C), 138.76 (CH), 134.93 (CH), 134.14 (CH), 48.55 (CH), 48.13 (CH), 47.78 (CH), 47.64 (CH), 47.54 (CH<sub>2</sub>). HRMS (ESI):  $C_{22}H_{18}O_4$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd, 369.11028; found, 369.10960.

#### Synthesis and analytical data for HV-1

A solution of **D-A-1** (0.51 g, 1.47 mmol) in EtOAc (30 mL) was irradiated with a 400 W medium-pressure mercury immersion lamp for 2 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuum, and the residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel to give **HV-1** (0.46 g, 1.33 mmol, 90%) as a white solid. Mp: 310–313 °C. IR (film): 2962, 2944, 1742, 1731, 1079, 1042 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.15 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.99 (d, J = 28.5 Hz, 6H), 2.71 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 6H), 2.03 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 2H), 1.89 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  211.85

(C), 210.54 (C), 55.17 (C), 55.08 (CH), 52.36 (CH), 46.26 (CH), 44.72 (CH), 44.14 (CH), 42.50 (CH), 40.88 (CH), 37.47 (CH<sub>2</sub>). HRMS (EI):  $C_{22}H_{18}O_4$  [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd, 346.1205; found, 346.1212.

#### Synthesis and analytical data for HV-2

A solution of **D-A-2** (0.46 g, 1.33 mmol) in EtOAc (30 mL) was irradiated with a 400 W medium-pressure mercury immersion lamp for 2 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuum, and the residue was subjected to chromatography on silica gel to give **HV-2** (0.40 g, 1.16 mmol, 87%) as white solid. Mp: 306–309 °C. IR (film): 2991, 2935 1742, 1718, 1223, 1083 cm<sup>-1</sup>.  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)  $\delta$  3.22–3.04 (m, 2H), 3.03–2.73 (m, 6H), 2.62 (s, 4H), 2.40 (s, 2H), 1.96 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 2H), 1.82 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 2H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  210.66 (C), 209.57 (C), 54.00 (C), 53.73 (CH), 50.81 (CH), 45.69 (CH), 43.23 (CH), 42.85 (CH), 40.56 (CH), 39.85 (CH), 36.54 (CH<sub>2</sub>). HRMS (EI):  $C_{22}H_{18}O_4$  [M] $^+$  calcd, 346.1205; found, 346.1206.

#### Synthesis and analytical data for D-A-3 and D-A-4

**D-A-3** and **D-A-4** were prepared with the same procedure as **D-A-1** and **D-A-2** starting with 2,2'-bi(*p*-benzoquinone) (0.50 g, 2.34 mmol), 2 (0.44 g, 4.78 mmol) and water (5 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The precipitate was filtered and subjected to chromatography on silica gel to give **D-A-3** (0.35 g, 0.88 mmol, 38%) as a yellow microcrystalline solid and **D-A-4** (0.32 g, 0.80 mmol, 34%) as a yellow microcrystalline solid.

**D-A-3.** Mp: 163–165 °C. IR (film): 2997, 1663, 1602, 1245, 1227, 1029, 910, 730 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.48 (s, 2H), 6.33–6.23 (m, 2H), 6.19–6.08 (m, 2H), 3.48 (ddd, J = 19.9, 8.5, 3.8 Hz, 4H), 2.90 (s, 4H), 0.66–0.56 (m, 4H), 0.55–0.44 (m, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  197.30 (C), 195.70 (C), 147.51 (C), 139.14 (CH), 134.92 (CH), 134.18 (CH), 52.66 (CH), 52.57 (CH), 49.37 (CH), 48.94 (CH), 43.71 (C), 6.97 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.94 (CH<sub>2</sub>). HRMS (ESI): C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>4</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd, 421.14103; found, 421.14098.

**D-A-4.** Mp: 156–158 °C. IR (film): 2977, 1668, 1223, 1198, 1016, 930, 904, 766, 742, 729 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.48 (s, 2H), 6.33–6.27 (m, 2H), 6.20–6.15 (m, 2H), 3.56–3.42 (m, 4H), 2.97–2.79 (m, 4H), 0.69–0.56 (m, 4H), 0.54–0.43 (m, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  198.36 (C), 196.86 (C), 148.78 (C), 140.03 (CH), 136.02 (CH), 135.24 (CH), 53.57 (CH), 53.47 (CH), 50.46 (CH), 50.01 (CH), 44.70 (C), 7.98 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.99 (CH<sub>2</sub>). HRMS (ESI): C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>4</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd, 421.14103; found, 421.14130.

#### Synthesis and analytical data for HV-3

HV-3 was prepared with same procedure as HV-1 and yielded in 88% as white solid. Mp: 260–262 °C. IR (film): 2985, 1734, 1182, 1080, 1048, 956, 942, 922, 876 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 3.31 (dd, J = 13.9, 6.2 Hz, 2H), 3.19–3.05 (m, 2H), 2.93 (s, 4H), 2.79 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s, 2H), 2.32–2.23 (m, 2H), 0.77–0.56 (m, 8H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 211.87 (C), 210.52 (C), 55.63 (CH), 55.56 (CH), 53.11 (CH), 50.34 (CH), 49.68 (CH), 46.95 (C), 42.72 (C), 37.70 (CH), 37.50 (CH), 5.50 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.05 (CH<sub>2</sub>). HRMS (EI):  $C_{26}H_{22}O_4$  [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd, 398.1518; found, 398.1527.

RSC Advances Paper

#### Synthesis and analytical data for HV-4

HV-4 was prepared with the same procedure as HV-1 and yielded 80% as a white solid. Mp: 318–320 °C. IR (film): 3003, 2985, 2962, 1727, 1221, 1181, 1083, 974, 955, 942, 761 cm  $^{-1}$ .  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$ ) δ 3.48–3.34 (m, 2H), 3.24 (s, 2H), 3.00–2.85 (m, 4H), 2.43 (s, 2H), 2.29–2.15 (m, 4H), 0.77–0.56 (m, 8H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$ ) δ 210.65 (C), 209.48 (C), 54.48 (CH), 54.18 (CH), 51.50 (CH), 48.86 (CH), 48.45 (CH), 46.36 (C), 40.77 (C), 36.77 (CH), 36.52 (CH), 4.43 (CH $_{2}$ ), 3.06 (CH $_{2}$ ). HRMS (EI):  $C_{26}H_{22}O_{4}$  [M] $^{+}$  calcd, 398.1518; found, 398.1522.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

### Notes and references

- 1 N. Windmon and V. Dragojlovic, *Green Chem. Lett. Rev.*, 2008, 1, 155–163.
- 2 P. A. Grieco, K. Yoshida and P. Garner, J. Org. Chem., 1983, 48, 3137–3139.
- 3 M. C. Pirrung and K. D. Sarma, *Tetrahedron*, 2005, **61**, 11456–11472
- 4 Y. Jung and R. A. Marcus, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 5492-5502
- 5 S. Narayan, J. Muldoon, M. G. Finn, V. V. Fokin, H. C. Kolb and K. B. Sharpless, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, 44, 3275–3279.
- 6 J. Wlochal, R. D. M. Davies and J. Burton, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, 16, 4094.
- 7 W. J. Geldenhuys, S. F. Malan, T. Murugesan, C. J. Van der Schyf and J. R. Bloomquist, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2004, 12, 1799.
- 8 W. J. Geldenhuys, S. F. Malan, J. R. Bloomquist, A. P. Marchand and C. Van der Schyf, *Med. Res. Rev.*, 2005, 25, 21.
- 9 A. Mdzinarishvili, W. J. Geldenhuys, T. J. Abbruscato, U. Bickel, J. Klein and C. Van der Schyf, *Neurosci. Lett.*, 2005, **383**, 49.
- 10 D. W. Oliver and S. F. Malan, Med. Chem. Res., 2008, 17, 137.
- 11 H. Gunosewoyo, J. L. Guo, M. R. Bennett, M. J. Coster and M. Kassiou, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2008, **18**, 3720.
- 12 J. Hao, A. Mdzinarishvili, T. J. Abbruscato, J. Klein, W. J. Geldenhuys, C. J. Van der Schyf and U. Bickel, *Brain Res.*, 2008, **1196**, 113.
- 13 W. J. Geldenhuys, L. M. Bezuidenhout and D. E. Dluzen, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, 2009, **619**, 38.
- 14 C. J. Van der Schyf and W. J. Geldenhuys, *Neurotherapeutics*, 2009, **6**, 175.
- 15 J. Joubert, S. van Dyk, I. R. Green and S. F. Malan, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, **46**, 5010.
- 16 J. Wang, C. Ma, V. Balannik, L. H. Pinto, R. A. Lamb and W. F. DeGrado, ACS Med. Chem. Lett., 2011, 2, 307.
- 17 H. J. R. Lemmer, J. Joubert, S. van Dyk, F. H. van der Westhuizen and S. F. Malan, *Med. Chem.*, 2012, **8**, 361.

18 J. Joubert, W. J. Geldenhuys, C. J. Van der Schyf, D. W. Oliver, H. G. Kruger, T. Govender and S. F. Malan, *ChemMedChem*, 2012, 7, 375.

- 19 J. A. Lockman, W. J. Geldenhuys, M. R. Jones-Higgins, J. D. Patrick, D. D. Allen and C. Van der Schyf, *Brain Res.*, 2012, 1489, 133.
- 20 A. S. Sklyarova, V. N. Rodionov, C. G. Parsons, G. Quack, P. R. Schreiner and A. A. Fokin, *Med. Chem. Res.*, 2013, 22, 360.
- 21 J. Joubert, R. Sharma, M. Onani and S. F. Malan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2013, 54, 6923.
- 22 C. Beinat, S. D. Banister, J. Hoban, J. Tsanaktsidis, A. Metaxas, A. D. Windhorst and M. Kassiou, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2014, 24, 828.
- 23 J. Joubert, H. Samsodien, Q. R. Baber, D. L. Cruickshank, M. R. Caira and S. F. Malan, J. Chem. Crystallogr., 2014, 44, 194
- 24 S. M. Wilkinson, H. Gunosewoyo, M. L. Barron, A. Boucher, M. McDonnell, P. Turner, D. E. Morrison, M. R. Bennett, I. S. McGregor, L. M. Rendina and M. Kassiou, ACS Chem. Neurosci., 2014, 5, 335.
- 25 F. T. Zindo, Q. R. Barber, J. Joubert, J. J. Bergh, J. P. Petzer and S. F. Malan, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2014, **80**, 122.
- 26 A. O. Egunlusi, S. F. Malan and J. Joubert, *ChemMedChem*, 2015, **10**, 1259.
- 27 F. T. Zindo, J. Joubert and S. F. Malan, *Future Med. Chem.*, 2015, 7, 609.
- 28 J. Joubert, E. Kapp, D. Taylor, P. J. Smith and S. F. Malan, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2016, **26**, 1151.
- 29 A. d. Meijere, S. Redlich, D. Frank, J. Magull, A. Hofmeister, H. Menzel, B. Konig and J. Svoboda, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 4574.
- 30 K. F. Biegasiewicz, J. R. Griffiths, G. P. Savage, J. Tsanaktsidis and R. Priefer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 6719.
- 31 H. N. Lim and G. Dong, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 1104.
- 32 A. P. Marchand, M. N. Deshpande and G. M. Reddy, *Trans. ASME: J. Energy Resour. Technol.*, 1989, 34, 946.
- 33 C. Segal and W. Shyy, Trans. ASME, 1996, 118, 180.
- 34 C. Segal, S. Pethe and K. R. Williams, *Combust. Sci. Technol.*, 2001, **163**, 229.
- 35 L. Qiu, D. Ye, W. Wei, K. Chen, J. Hou, J. Zheng, X. Gong and M. Xiao, *J. Mol. Struct.: THEOCHEM*, 2008, **866**, 63.
- 36 S. Lal, S. Rajkumar, A. Tare, S. Reshmi, A. Chowdhury and I. N. N. Namboothiri, *Chem.–Asian J.*, 2014, 9, 3533.
- 37 L. Pan, R. Feng, H. Peng, X. E, J. Zhou, L. Wang and X. Zhang, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 50998.
- 38 C. Segal, M. J. Friedauer, H. S. Udaykumar, W. Shyy and A. P. Marchand, *J. Propul. Power*, 1997, 13, 246.
- 39 R. J. Stedman, L. S. Miller, L. D. Davis and J. R. E. Hoover, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1970, 35, 4169.
- 40 A. P. Marchand and R. W. Allen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1974, **39**, 1596.
- 41 P. G. Gassman and R. Yamaguchi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1978, 43,
- 42 A. P. Marchand, Chem. Rev., 1989, 89, 1011.
- 43 G. Mehta, S. Padma and S. R. Karra, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1989, 54, 1342.
- 44 G. W. Griffin, Chem. Rev., 1989, 89, 997.

Paper RSC Advances

- 45 M. A. Forman and W. P. Dailey, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 1501.
- 46 H. Takeshita, H. Kawakami, Y. Ikeda and A. Mori, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1994, **59**, 6490.
- 47 A. d. Meijere, C. Lee, B. Bengtson, E. Pohl, S. I. Kozhushkov, P. R. Schreiner, R. Boese and T. Haumann, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2003, **9**, 5481.
- 48 A. M. Kenwright and J. D. Sellars, *Magn. Reson. Chem.*, 2012, **50**, 803.
- 49 A. P. Marchand, P. Jin and M. N. Deshpande, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 1988, 44, 1617.
- 50 A. P. Marchand, G. M. Reddy, M. N. Deshpande, W. H. Watson, A. Nagl, O. S. Lee and E. Osawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, 112, 3521.
- 51 A. P. Marchand, P. Jin and K. Siam, *J. Mol. Struct.: THEOCHEM*, 1990, **204**, 209.
- 52 A. P. Marchand and V. Vidyasagar, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1991, 56, 282.
- 53 A. P. Marchand, A. Zope, F. Zaragoza and G. Bott, *Tetrahedron*, 1994, **50**, 1687.
- 54 A. P. Marchand, V. D. Sorokin, W. H. Watson, T. F. Carlson and M. Krawiec, *Struct. Chem.*, 1994, 5, 367.

- 55 Y. Shi, J. Jiang, L. Ma, J. Wang and W. Li, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2017, 58, 1376.
- 56 Y. Shi, J. Jiang, J. Wang and Y. Wang, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2015, **56**, 6704.
- 57 C. C. Nawrat and C. J. Moody, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, 53, 2056.
- 58 I. Chen, J. Young and S. Yu, Tetrahedron, 2004, 60, 11903.
- 59 V. K. Singh, B. N. S. Raju and P. T. Deota, *Synth. Commun.*, 1986, **16**, 1731.
- 60 T. Sunakawa and C. Kuroda, Molecules, 2005, 10, 244.
- 61 O. Masaji, K. Takeshi, O. Tomoaki and E. Tetsuya, *Org. Synth.*, 1996, 73, 253.
- 62 D. Mal and S. Ray, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2008, 3014.
- 63 Y. Ishii, S. Ito, Y. Saito, D. Uno and T. Oba, *Tetrahedron*, 2015, 71, 8892.
- 64 Z. Bouaziz, A. Gherardi, F. Regnier, M. Sarciron, X. Bertheau, B. Fenet, N. Walchshofer and H. Fillion, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, 1834.
- 65 M. F. Semmelhack, J. S. Foos and S. Katz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1973, **95**, 7325.