

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Yasui D, Yamane A, Itoh H, Kobayashi M, Kumita S-i (2020) In vivo evaluation of a monodisperse solid-in-oil-in-water miriplatin/ lipiodol emulsion in transcatheter arterial chemoembolization using a rabbit VX2 tumor model. PLoS ONE 15(8): e0222553. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0222553

Editor: Gayle E. Woloschak, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, UNITED STATES

Received: September 1, 2019

Accepted: July 15, 2020

Published: August 5, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Yasui et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: DY 17K16489 Japan society for the promotion of science https://www.jsps.go.jp/j-grantsinaid/ The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

In vivo evaluation of a monodisperse solid-inoil-in-water miriplatin/ lipiodol emulsion in transcatheter arterial chemoembolization using a rabbit VX2 tumor model

Daisuke Yasui ${}_{0}{}^{1*}$, Aya Yamane ${}^{2^{\varpi}}$, Hiroshi Itoh ${}^{3^{\varpi}}$, Masayuki Kobayashi ${}^{3^{\varpi}}$, Shinichiro Kumita ${}^{1^{\varpi}}$

1 Department of Radiology, Nippon Medical School, Tokyo, Japan, 2 Department of Radiology, Nippon Medical School Musashi Kosugi Hospital, Kawasaki, Kanagawa, Japan, 3 Advanced Animal Medical Center, Suntou-gun, Shizuoka, Japan

These authors contributed equally to this work.

* ledhotcp@nms.ac.jp

Abstract

Transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) is a standard treatment for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma; however, it does not always result in tumor control. Nevertheless, treatment outcome can be improved with monodisperse emulsions of anticancer agents. In this study, the distribution of a monodisperse miriplatin-Lipiodol emulsion in the tumor and its safety were evaluated in ten Japanese white rabbits. VX2 tumor was implanted into the left liver lobe. The animals were divided into control and experimental groups (of five animals each) and respectively administered a conventional miriplatin suspension or the emulsion via the left hepatic artery. Computed tomography (CT) was performed before, immediately after, and two days following TACE. All rabbits were sacrificed two days after the procedure. Each tumor was removed and cut in half for assessment of iodine concentration in one half by mass spectroscopy and evaluation of Lipiodol accumulation and adverse events in the other half. Mean Hounsfield unit (HU) values were measured using plain CT images taken before and after TACE. Iodine concentration was higher in the experimental group [1100 (750-1500) ppm, median (range)] than in the control group [840 (660-1800) ppm], although statistically not significant. Additionally, the HU value for the experimental group was higher than that for the control group immediately after [199.6 (134.0-301.7) vs. 165.3 (131.4-280.5)] and two days after [114.2 (56.1-229.8) vs. 58.3 (42.9-132.5)] TACE, although statistically not significant. Cholecystitis was observed in one rabbit in the control group. Ischemic bile duct injury was not observed in any group. The results show that Lipiodol accumulation and retention in VX2 tumor can possibly be improved with a monodisperse emulsion; however, it should be verified with a larger study. Moreover, no significant adverse events are associated with the use of the emulsion.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) is a standard of care for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), according to the AASLD Guidelines for the Treatment of Hepatocellular Carcinoma [1]. The procedure consists of two steps. First, a mixture of anticancer agents (doxorubicin, epirubicin, cisplatin, and miriplatin) and iodized oil (Lipiodol; Guerbet, Villepinte, France) is injected through microcatheters placed in a feeding artery. Gelatin slurry is subsequently injected to induce ischemia. The efficacy of TACE has been validated in randomized control trials [2]. There have been several attempts to further improve local tumor control by using microspheres and performing balloon-occluded TACE; however, complete response rates remain in the range of 26.9–57.1% [3–6].

Two types of carriers are now available for transarterial delivery of anticancer agents: Lipiodol and microspheres. Well to moderately-differentiated HCC is usually fed by abnormal arteries that do not accompany bile ducts (unpaired arteries) and are drained by terminal portal venules or adjacent hepatic sinusoids [7], which can be potential routes for metastasis. Lipiodol is a liquid that can be distributed to the drainage area, while microspheres cannot. Therefore, Lipiodol may be advantageous to obtaining local tumor control considering microcirculation, although this point remains to be controversial.

It has been discussed recently, that unsatisfactory outcomes of TACE using Lipiodol may be due to uneven sizes of the anticancer agent-Lipiodol emulsion particles. Consequently, using a monodisperse anticancer agent-Lipiodol emulsion may improve local tumor control [8]. Emulsion has been prepared by manually mixing two agents using a three-way stopcock without adding a surfactant. The resultant polydisperse emulsion is unstable and will typically get stuck in the proximal feeding arteries, thus compromising the delivery of an anticancer agent to the tumor. A solution to this problem is to use a monodisperse emulsion, which can be prepared by membrane emulsification using the following procedure. A mixture of the anticancer agent and Lipiodol (water-in-oil emulsion, w/o emulsion) is pushed under constant pressure through an SPG membrane (from SPG Technology Co., Ltd.), which has multiple even-sized pores. A homogenous emulsion, specifically a water-in-oil-in-water (w/o/w) emulsion, can then be obtained. Clinical application of this type of dispersion has been already attempted using epirubicin w/o/w emulsion and promising results have been obtained [8, 9]. However, the process for preparing a w/o/w emulsion is complex. Moreover, emulsions that are prepared using lipophilic anticancer agents are more stable since their affinity for Lipiodol is higher than that of hydrophilic agents.

Miriplatin is a lipophilic platinum derivative, which has lipophilic group: myristic acid [10]. (Fig 1) Water solubility is extremely low: less than 0.00260 mg/mL at 20 °C. It is a freeze-dried powder and is prepared as a suspension in which the powder is dispersed in Lipiodol. This unique feature makes miriplatin distinct from other anticancer agents. A solid-in-oil-in-water (s/o/w) emulsion of miriplatin can be obtained by pushing a miriplatin-Lipiodol suspension



Fig 1. Chemical structure of miriplatin.

through an SPG membrane. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the distribution of miriplatin s/o/w emulsion in tumor and compare them to those of a conventional suspension, as well as to evaluate the safety, in Japanese white rabbits.

Materials and methods

Preparation of conventional miriplatin suspension and miriplatin s/o/w emulsion

Miriplatin (Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) suspension was prepared using 60 mg miriplatin powder and 3 mL Lipiodol (Guerbet Japan, Tokyo, Japan; product number: 170402). Lipiodol 3mL was aspirated using a 10mL syringe and was injected into a vial, containing 60mg miriplatin powder. The mixture: suspension, was then aspirated using the same syringe. In order to prepare the emulsion, the suspension was pushed through a 20µm hydrophilic SPG membrane (SPG Technology Co., Ltd., Miyazaki, Japan) into an outer aqueous phase using a syringe pump (https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.6edhba6). PEG-60 hydrogenated castor oil (HCO 60; Nikko Chemicals Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was used as a surfactant in the formulation. HCO 60 (0.8%) was added to NaCl solution (0.45%w/v) to obtain a mixture that was used as the outer aqueous phase. Droplet size was measured by microscopy at 100× magnification. Kernel density estimation was performed to estimate the distribution of droplet diameter.

Animal experiment

The study was carried out in strict accordance with the relevant guidelines and acts in Japan, including the Act on Welfare and Management of Animals. The protocol was approved by the Animal Experiment Ethics Committee of Nippon Medical School (Tokyo, Japan; protocol number: 30–014). All efforts were made to minimize suffering. VX2-tumor-bearing Japanese white rabbits (14 weeks old, clean; Japan SLC, Inc., Hamamatsu, Japan) were used for the study. VX2 tumor is a Shope papilloma virus (SPV), originally developed in the National Cancer Center (Tokyo, Japan) in 1974, and has been widely used as a rabbit liver cancer model worldwide. It is already known that infectious SPV is not detected in the VX2 tumor. The tumor was implanted in a posterior leg of Japanese white rabbits, and tumors were exercised four weeks later after euthanization. After getting lid of muscle and necrotic tissue, tumors were homogenized, adding 20mL of normal saline. The cell suspension was obtained using a centrifuge (2~3 min, 500 rpm), and was stored in a freezer at -20°C. Fivefold-diluted cell suspension was implanted in the left liver lobe of each rabbit. The whole procedure from culture to tumor implantation was performed in Japan SLC, and the tumor-bearing rabbits were supplied to our institute. TACE was performed 14 days after tumor implantation. Five rabbits each were put in control and experimental groups and administered the conventional suspension and s/o/w emulsion, respectively.

Dynamic contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) scan (Aquilion PRIME; Canon Medical Systems, Tochigi, Japan) was performed prior to TACE. The contrast medium (iopa-midol, 300 mg I/mL; Fuji Pharma Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was intravenously injected at 2 mL/kg at a rate of 0.2 mL/s into the animals. Quadriphasic scan was performed at 20, 40, 63, and 118 s after the injection. The scanning parameters used were as follows: collimation thickness, 80×0.5 mm; rotation speed, 0.35 s; helical pitch, 0.738; tube voltage, 80 kVp; and tube current, automatic exposure control.

TACE was performed under general anesthesia, which was induced using a subcutaneous injection of medetomidine hydrochloride (0.1 mg/kg) and ketamine hydrochloride (25 mg/

kg) before moving to angio suite. Anesthesia was maintained by inhalation of 2–2.5% isoflurane in angio suite. Each rabbit was monitored during the procedure using an electrocardiograph and a pulse oximeter. The common femoral artery was surgically exposed, into which a 4-F introducer sheath (Medikit Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was inserted. The celiac artery was cannulated with a 4-F C2 diagnostic catheter (Medikit Co., Ltd.). Next, a 2-F microcatheter (Gold Crest Neo; HI-LEX, Takarazuka, Japan) was coaxially advanced into the left hepatic artery, after which 0.1 mL of either the suspension or emulsion was injected under fluoroscopic guidance. Embolization was not performed. A non-contrast CT scan was taken immediately after the procedure.

All the rabbits were individually housed in an animal cage and kept sanitized under appropriate temperature control and lightening control: 12-hour light and dark cycle per day. They were allowed for free access to standard laboratory food and water. During the postoperative period, they were kept under close monitoring: once every three hours and checked for unintended adverse events. Meloxicam (Metacam) was administered subcutaneously at a dose of 0.2mg /kg bodyweight for analgesia when the animals showed signs of pain or fever.

All the rabbits were euthanized two days after TACE by injecting pentobarbital sodium (100mg/kg) intravenously. Dynamic contrast-enhanced CT scanning was performed prior to sacrifice by following the same protocol as before TACE. The tumor was extracted and cut in half: one half was used for pathological evaluation, whereas the other half was evaluated for its iodine content by mass spectroscopy.

Evaluation of intra-tumor distribution of the emulsion

Accumulation of iodized oil in the tumors was assessed by mass spectroscopy (for iodine concentration) as well as pathological and radiological analyses.

Mass spectroscopy

Iodine concentration in the specimens was evaluated by inductively-coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (Japan Testing Laboratories, Inc., Ogaki, Japan). Median iodine concentration was then compared between the two animal groups.

Pathological evaluation

Oil red O staining, which is a lipid staining test, was performed to quantitatively evaluate the accumulation of iodized oil in the tumors. Each specimen was prepared using the maximum cross-section of the tumor. Digitization was done by whole slide imaging (NanoZoomer-XR; Hamamatsu Photonics K.K., Yokohama, Japan). Each scanned image was compressed to obtain a JPEG image with a resolution of 1920 × 984 pixels. Lipiodol accumulation was evaluated using the HSV model, which defines color space by three parameters: hue, saturation, and value. Lesions without lipid were bluish in color. An area with a 'hue' value of more than 55 and less than 65 was digitally subtracted from the digitized specimen by setting 'value' to be zero. The remaining area was considered to be the region of Lipiodol accumulation. The extent of Lipiodol accumulation was evaluated using 'saturation'. Source codes were written in Python (Anaconda 4.6.14; Anaconda, Inc., Austin, TX, USA) using Jupyter Notebook, whereas cv2 module was used for image processing as described above. Tumor necrosis rate was also evaluated by manually tracking area with necrosis in each specimen.

Radiological evaluation

A slice of specimen was selected to include the maximum cross-section of the tumor for the evaluation. A region of interest (ROI) was defined to cover the target tumor in the CT images taken before, immediately after (POD 0), and two days after (POD 2) TACE. Mean Hounsfield unit (HU) values were used for the evaluation. Washout rate was defined as the difference in HU values between POD 0 and POD 2, divided by the HU value for POD 0.

Evaluation of adverse events

Cholecystitis, bile duct injury, and biloma formation were considered as potential adverse events. The extent of Lipiodol accumulation in the gallbladder was evaluated using CT images, whereas inflammation of the gallbladder was evaluated using pathological specimens. Bile duct injury and biloma formation were pathologically evaluated.

Statistical analysis

Mann-Whitney U test (two-tailed) was performed for all the numerical variables. A p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All the analysis was performed using scipy.stats module (ver 1.1.0) of Python.

Results

A monodisperse s/o/w emulsion containing miriplatin was successfully formulated with a mean diameter of 62.0 ± 6.42 (SD) μ m (Fig 2).

There were no significant difference in the mean body weights of the rabbits nor in the mean tumor diameter; 2.6 ± 0.1 vs 2.7 ± 0.1 kg (p = 0.083), and 14.3 ± 5.6 vs 15.4 ± 3.0 mm (p = 0.92) in the control and experimental groups, respectively. Median iodine concentration was higher in the experimental group (1100 ppm, range: 750–1500 ppm) than in the control group (840 ppm, range: 660–1800 ppm (Fig 3) with no statistically significant difference (p = 0.53). The median saturation was also higher in the experimental group (4.4, range:



Fig 2. The s/o/w miriplatin emulsion. (A) Image of conventional miriplatin suspension ($100 \times$ magnification). (B) Image of miriplatin s/o/w emulsion prepared using three-way stopcock without adding surfactant ($100 \times$ magnification). (C) Image of miriplatin s/o/w emulsion, used in the experiment, prepared using SPG membrane with adding surfactant ($100 \times$ magnification). (D) Results of kernel density estimation. The mode droplet size was 60.0 µm. The data was calculated based on droplet diameters obtained from the microscopic analysis.



Fig 3. Iodine concentration in the tumors. The concentration of iodine (ppm) in the tumors was evaluated by inductively-coupled plasma mass spectroscopy. Orange dot represents the value in each individual.

2.8–20.3) than in the control group (3.8, range: 2.1–8.1) (Fig 4) without significant difference (p = 0.30). Mean HU value was higher in the experimental group than in the control group immediately after TACE [199.6 (134.0–301.7) vs. 165.3 (131.4–280.5)] as well as two days after TACE [114.2 (56.1–229.8) vs. 58.3 (42.9–132.5)] (Fig 5) without significant difference (p = 0.53, 0.30). Washout rate was higher in the control group [55.6 (51.1–75.4)] than in the



Fig 4. Quantitative pathological evaluation of Lipiodol accumulation in the tumors. The HSV model was used in the assessment. 'Saturation' values for areas with Lipiodol accumulation were evaluated using digitized specimens stained with Oil red O. Orange dot represents the value in each individual.



Fig 5. Radiological evaluation of Lipiodol accumulation in the tumors. Mean HU value (A) immediately after and (B) two days after TACE. (C) Lipiodol washout rate.

experimental group [49.9 (23.8–58.1)] (Fig 5) without significant difference (p = 0.14). Lipiodol accumulation varied greatly among animals in the same group. Extensive Lipiodol deposition was observed in all but one rabbit in the experimental group (Figs <u>6</u> and <u>7</u>). Poor Lipiodol deposition was possibly due to a massive arterioportal shunt around the tumors, since Lipiodol tended to deposit in normal liver parenchyma rather than in the tumors, as observed in the pathological specimens and CT images (Fig <u>7</u>). A low-density area was observed at the interface between the tumor and the normal liver parenchyma, which possibly indicates liquefying necrosis, in all the rabbits in the experimental group and in three rabbits in the control group



Fig 6. Representative images showing Lipiodol deposition. (A–C) Images for a rabbit in the experimental group. The s/o/w miriplatin emulsion was injected via the left hepatic artery. (C) Dense Lipiodol accumulation observed two days after TACE (white arrow). (D–F) Images for a rabbit in the control group. Miriplatin suspension was injected via the left hepatic artery. (F) Slight accumulation of Lipiodol observed two days after TACE (white dashed arrow).



Fig 7. Representative images showing poor Lipiodol accumulation in a rabbit in the experimental group. (A) A massive arterioportal shunt around the tumor (white arrow). (B) Lipiodol accumulation higher in the liver parenchyma than in the tumor immediately after TACE (white dashed arrow). (C) Necrosis in liver parenchyma surrounding the tumor two days after TACE (white arrowhead). (D) Lipiodol accumulation in normal liver parenchyma in specimen stained with Oil red O (black arrow).

(Figs <u>6</u> and <u>7</u>). Median tumor necrosis rate was 60% (range: 20-100) and 40% (range: 40-90) in the control and experiment group, respectively, without significant difference (p = 0.46).

In the experimental group, Lipiodol accumulation in the gallbladder was observed in four rabbits immediately after TACE; however, washout was observed in all rabbits and cholecystitis was not observed. Conversely, in the control group, Lipiodol accumulation was observed in three rabbits after TACE and washout was not observed in one animal, in which cholecystitis ensued (Fig 8). Bile duct injury and biloma were not observed in either group.

Discussion

Preferable accumulation of Lipiodol in the VX2 tumors was observed using the novel s/o/w miriplatin emulsion, compared to the conventional miriplatin suspension although statistically significant difference was not observed. Statistical power was insufficient due to small sample size, and further study should be performed to verify the result.

Miriplatin is a lipophilic platinum derivative, which was specially designed to have an increased affinity for Lipiodol. Therefore, it can be well dispersed in Lipiodol to form an suspension and gradually release active platinum into the aqueous phase [11]. Miriplatin has several advantages over other anticancer drugs such as doxorubicin, epirubicin, cisplatin, and mitomycin C. Anticancer agents are usually prepared as emulsions by manually mixing them with Lipiodol using a three-way stopcock without adding a surfactant. This yields a relatively unstable emulsion since a surfactant is required to stabilize the system. Body temperature may also facilitate aggregation of the emulsion, causing its breakdown in the blood stream after injection into the body. Once the emulsion breaks down, the anticancer agent and Lipiodol reach the tumor microcirculation as separate components, which does not allow Lipiodol to



Fig 8. A case of cholecystitis following TACE. (A) Diffuse Lipiodol accumulation in the gallbladder wall, as observed in a CT scan, immediately after TACE (white arrow). (B) Lipiodol retention in the gallbladder wall, as well as thickening of the wall, two days after TACE (white dashed arrow). (C) Infiltration of inflammatory cells into the gallbladder wall, which is indicative of cholecystitis (black arrowheads).

work as an efficient carrier. Miriplatin may be superior to other agents since it will be delivered to the tumor combined to Lipiodol. Moreover, compared to epirubicin or cisplatin, miriplatin induces lesser vascular damage [12, 13]. TACE is indicated for unresectable HCC, which is typically characterized by multiple lesions that require repeated treatment. It is reported that anticancer agents can cause arterial damage when injected into the hepatic artery. Additionally, they can cause occlusion of the vessel or arterioportal shunt. This compromises drug delivery following TACE sessions and may lead to insufficient drug accumulation in the tumor. Miriplatin may be thus suitable for repeated TACE.

The results of a previous randomized control trial showed that the antitumor effect of miriplatin is equal or superior to that of epirubicin or cisplatin. However, it is also reported that administering miriplatin as a high-viscosity formulation or large-droplet suspension may impede its delivery [14–16]. One solution to this problem is to use warmed miriplatin because the viscosity of miriplatin decreases on warming [17]. It has been demonstrated in some clinical studies that miriplatin shows a higher efficacy and improves tumor control better when it is warmed; however, local tumor control rate remains unsatisfactorily low (objective response rate: 40–46.7%) [18, 19]. Moreover, the temperature of administered miriplatin suspension decreases along the way to the tumor, which attenuates the warming effect.

Another solution is to emulsify the miriplatin suspension. The droplet sizes of miriplatin suspensions vary, and occlusion of the feeding vessel can occur when large droplets are stacked in the proximal hepatic artery before optimal accumulation of the suspension is achieved [16]. This problem can be solved by using a monodisperse emulsion. Optimal accumulation of the emulsion can be obtained by carefully setting the droplet size of the emulsion to be less than the diameter of feeding arteries. The emulsion can also be stabilized by adding surfactant to the formulation. The results of the present study showed preferable Lipiodol accumulation in

the tumors, both immediately after and two days after TACE, with the s/o/w emulsion than with the conventional suspension. Lipiodol retention in the tumors was also better with the s/ o/w emulsion, which possibly reflects the stable nature of the emulsion. Moreover, necrosis of the tumor-normal parenchymal interface was more common in the experimental group. Local tumor recurrence tended to occur in the lesions and a sufficient margin is essential for tumor control when performing TACE [20]. Therefore, local tumor recurrence can possibly be reduced by using the s/o/w emulsion.

No significant adverse events were observed in the experimental group; however, cholecystitis was observed in one rabbit in the control group. Lipiodol accumulation in the gallbladder wall was more common immediately after TACE in the experimental group; however, washout was observed in all rabbits in the group two days after the procedure. This may be due to the stability and uniform droplet size of the emulsion. This is because if the emulsion is unstable, it can break down inside the arteries feeding normal structures. This will result in exposure of blood vessels to the anticancer drug, which may cause vascular injury. Ischemic injury may also occur if large Lipiodol droplets block proximal arteries; however, this is less likely to occur with the s/o/w emulsion than with the conventional suspension. Ischemic bile duct injury, which is a major complication and drawback of TACE, was not observed in this study. This indicates that the monodisperse s/o/w emulsion may be a safer alternative to the conventional suspension.

Preferable results were obtained in the present study; however, further research is mandatory to statistically verify the result. Also a few additional clarifications are needed. Firstly, differences in the antitumor effects of the s/o/w emulsion and the conventional suspension are unclear. This is because the amounts of miriplatin and Lipiodol in the administered doses were lower than the required amounts for complete tumor necrosis. This was done to notice differences in Lipiodol accumulation in the tumors more clearly. Thus, the extent of necrosis should be evaluated in further studies after the full doses of the two ingredients are administered to both groups of rabbits. Additionally, the two-day period from TACE to animal sacrifice was too short for effective evaluation of antitumor effect. It has been shown that, in VX2-tumor-bearing rabbits, the difference in the concentration of an anticancer agent between the tumor and normal liver parenchyma peaks in approximately three days after TACE is performed when an emulsion prepared using SPG membrane is administered to the rabbits [21]. The main purpose of the present study was to evaluate the difference in Lipiodol distribution following treatment with conventional miriplatin suspension or miriplatin s/o/w emulsion. Thus, the observational period in future experiments should be longer. Thirdly, platinum concentration in the tumors should have been measured in addition to iodine, and this should be evaluated in following studies. Finally, the droplet size of the emulsion should be considered. For instance, in the present study, an SPG membrane with a pore size of 20 µm was used to obtain an emulsion with a peak droplet diameter of 60 µm. This was because a previous clinical study on the efficacy of an epirubicin w/o/w emulsion revealed that local tumor control was better when droplet size was 70 μ m than when it was 30 μ m [22]. Emulsions with small-sized droplets can enter microcirculation without being trapped, which indicates that droplet sizes as large as 70 µm are recommended. However, the data on the vessel diameters of rabbits are scarce and optimal target droplet size for rabbits should be determined by further investigation. Furthermore, drug release profile and the stability of the s/o/w emulsion should be evaluated.

In conclusion, Lipiodol accumulation and retention in VX2 tumor may be improved by using a monodisperse emulsion, which is associated with no significant adverse events.

Supporting information

S1 Video. Preparation of s/o/w emulsion. Miriplatin suspension is pushed through the SPG membrane. (MP4)

S2 Video. Injection of s/o/w emulsion. (MP4)

S1 Checklist. (PDF)

S1 Data. (XLSX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Daisuke Yasui.

Data curation: Aya Yamane.

Funding acquisition: Daisuke Yasui.

Investigation: Aya Yamane, Hiroshi Itoh, Masayuki Kobayashi.

Methodology: Hiroshi Itoh, Masayuki Kobayashi.

Project administration: Aya Yamane, Hiroshi Itoh, Masayuki Kobayashi, Shin-ichiro Kumita.

Supervision: Shin-ichiro Kumita.

Writing - original draft: Daisuke Yasui.

Writing - review & editing: Daisuke Yasui.

References

- Heimbach JK, Kulik LM, Finn RS, Sirlin CB, Abecassis MM, Roberts LW, et al. AASLD Guidelines for the Treatment of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Hepatology. 2018; 67: 358–380. https://doi.org/10.1002/ hep.29086 PMID: 28130846.
- Llovet JM, Real MI, Montaña X, Planas R, Coll S, Aponte J, et al. Arterial embolisation or chemoembolisation versus symptomatic treatment in patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2002; 359: 1734–1739. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(02)08649-X</u> PMID: 12049862.
- Lammer J, Malagari K, Vogl T, Pilleul F, Denys A, Watkinson A, et al. Prospective randomized study of doxorubicin-eluting-bead embolization in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: Results of the PRECISION V study. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2010; 33: 41–52. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00270-009-9711-7 PMID: 19908093.
- Golfieri R, Giampalma E, Renzulli M, Cioni R, Bargellini I, Bartolozzi C, et al. Randomised controlled trial of doxorubicin-eluting beads vs conventional chemoembolisation for hepatocellular carcinoma. Br J Cancer. 2014; 111: 255–264. https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2014.199 PMID: 24937669.
- Irie T, Kuramochi M, Takahashi N. Dense accumulation of lipiodol emulsion in hepatocellular carcinoma nodule during selective balloon-occluded transarterial chemoembolization: Measurement of balloonoccluded arterial stump pressure. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2013; 36: 706–713. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00270-012-0476-z</u> PMID: 22996589.
- Arai H, Abe T, Takayama H, Toyoda M, Ueno T, Kakizaki S, et al. Safety and efficacy of balloonoccluded transcatheter arterial chemoembolization using miriplatin for hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatol Res. 2015; 45: 663–666. https://doi.org/10.1111/hepr.12403 PMID: 25132539.
- 7. Matsui O, Kobayashi S, Sanada J, Kouda W, Ryu Y, Kozaka K, et al. Hepatocelluar nodules in liver cirrhosis: hemodynamic evaluation (angiography-assisted CT) with special reference to multi-step

hepatocarcinogenesis. Abdom Imaging. 2011; 36: 264–272. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00261-011-9685-1 PMID: 21267562.

- Masada T, Tanaka T, Nishifuku H, Fukuoka Y, Taiji R, Sato T, et al. Use of a Glass Membrane Pumping Emulsification Device Improves Systemic and Tumor Pharmacokinetics in Rabbit VX2 Liver Tumor in Transarterial Chemoembolization. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2020; 31: 347–351. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> jvir.2019.06.015 PMID: 31542274.
- Higashi S, Shimizu M, Nakashima T, Iwata K, Uchiyama F, Tateno S, et al. Arterial-injection chemotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma using monodispersed poppy-seed oil microdroplets containing fine aqueous vesicles of epirubicin. Initial medical application of a membrane-emulsification technique. Cancer. 1995; 75: 1245–1254. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142(19950315)75:6<1245::aidcncr2820750606>3.0.co;2-u PMID: 7882276.
- Okusaka T, Okada S, Nakanishi T, Fujiyama S, Kubo Y. Phase II trial of intra-arterial chemotherapy using a novel lipophilic platinum derivative (SM-11355) in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Invest New Drugs. 2004; 22: 169–176. <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/B:DRUG.0000011793.72775.d1</u> PMID: 14739665.
- Hanada M, Baba A, Tsutsumishita Y, Noguchi T, Yamaoka T, Chiba N, et al. Intra-hepatic arterial administration with miriplatin suspended in an oily lymphographic agent inhibits the growth of tumors implanted in rat livers by inducing platinum-DNA adducts to form and massive apoptosis. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2009; 64: 473–483. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00280-008-0895-3 PMID: 19104812.
- Kishimoto S, Aoki H, Suzuki R, Inoue M, Fukushima S. Angiographic evaluation of vascular damage in rat liver after administration of epirubicin or miriplatin. Anticancer Res. 2018; 38: 247–251. <u>https://doi.org/10.21873/anticanres.12214</u> PMID: 29277779.
- Iwazawa J, Hashimoto N, Ohue S, Muramoto O, Mitani T. Chemoembolization-induced arterial damage: Evaluation of three different chemotherapeutic protocols using epirubicin and miriplatin. Hepatol Res. 2014; 44: 201–208. https://doi.org/10.1111/hepr.12104 PMID: 23551984.
- Otsuji K, Takai K, Nishigaki Y, Shimizu S, Hayashi H, Imai K, et al. Efficacy and safety of cisplatin versus miriplatin in transcatheter arterial chemoembolization and transarterial infusion chemotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma: A randomized controlled trial. Hepatol Res. 2015; 45: 514–522. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1111/hepr.12376 PMID: 24961745</u>.
- Ikeda M, Kudo M, Aikata H, Nagamatsu H, Ishii H, Yokosuka O, et al. Transarterial chemoembolization with miriplatin vs. epirubicin for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: a phase III randomized trial. J Gastroenterol. 2018; 53: 281–290. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00535-017-1374-6 PMID: 28766016.
- Iwazawa J, Ohue S, Hashimoto N, Mitani T. Local tumor progression following lipiodol-based targeted chemoembolization of hepatocellular carcinoma: a retrospective comparison of miriplatin and epirubicin. Cancer Manag Res. 2012; 4: 113–119. https://doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S30431 PMID: 22570565.
- Kora S, Urakawa H, Mitsufuji T, Osame A, Higashihara H, Ohki T, et al. Warming effect on miriplatinlipiodol suspension for potential use as a chemotherapeutic agent for transarterial chemoembolization of hepatocellular carcinoma: *In vitro* study. Hepatol Res. 2013; 43: 1100–1104. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/</u> hepr.12050 PMID: 23347354.
- Yasui D, Murata S, Onozawa S, Mine T, Ueda T, Sugihara F, et al. Improved efficacy of transcatheter arterial chemoembolization using warmed miriplatin for hepatocellular carcinoma. Biomed Res Int. 2014; 2014: 359296. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/359296 PMID: 25276780.
- 19. Seko Y, Ikeda K, Kawamura Y, Fukushima T, Hara T, Sezaki H, et al. Antitumor efficacy of transcatheter arterial chemoembolization with warmed miriplatin in hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatol Res. 2013; 43: 942–949. https://doi.org/10.1111/hepr.12041 PMID: 23301851.
- Bannangkoon K, Hongsakul K, Tubtawee T, Piratvisuth T. Safety margin of embolized area can reduce local recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after superselective transarterial chemoembolization. Clin Mol Hepatol. 2019; 25: 74–85. https://doi.org/10.3350/cmh.2018.0072 PMID: 30813680.
- Yanagie H, Dewi N, Higashi S, Ikushima I, Seguchi K, Mizumachi R, et al. Selective boron delivery by intra-arterial injection of BSH-WOW emulsion in hepatic cancer model for neutron capture therapy. Br J Radiol. 2017; 90: 20170004. https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.20170004 PMID: 28406315.
- 22. Higashi S, Tabata N, Kondo KH, Maeda Y, Shimizu M, Nakashima T, et al. Size of lipid microdroplets effects results of hepatic arterial chemotherapy with an anticancer agent in water-in-oil-in-water emulsion to hepatocellular carcinoma. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 1999; 289: 816–819. PMID: 10215657.