Body Mass Index and Mortality in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: A Meta-Analysis

Chao Cao^{1,2}, Ran Wang¹, Jianmiao Wang¹, Hansvin Bunjhoo¹, Yongjian Xu¹, Weining Xiong¹*

1 Department of Respiratory Medicine, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, 2 Department of Respiratory Medicine, Affiliated Hospital, Medical College, Ningbo University, Ningbo, China

Abstract

Background: The association between body mass index (BMI) and mortality in patients suffering from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) has been a subject of interest for decades. However, the evidence is inadequate to draw robust conclusions because some studies were generally small or with a short follow-up.

Methods: We carried out a search in MEDLINE, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, and EMBASE database for relevant studies. Relative risks (RRs) with 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated to assess the association between BMI and mortality in patients with COPD. In addition, a baseline risk-adjusted analysis was performed to investigate the strength of this association.

Results: 22 studies comprising 21,150 participants were included in this analysis. Compared with patients having a normal BMI, underweight individuals were associated with higher mortality (RR = 1.34, 95% CI = 1.01-1.78), whereas overweight (RR = 0.47, 95% CI = 0.33-0.68) and obese (RR = 0.59, 95% CI = 0.38-0.91) patients were associated with lower mortality. We further performed a baseline risk-adjusted analysis and obtained statistically similar results.

Conclusion: Our study showed that for patients with COPD being overweight or obese had a protective effect against mortality. However, the relationship between BMI and mortality in different classes of obesity needed further clarification in well-designed clinical studies.

Citation: Cao C, Wang R, Wang J, Bunjhoo H, Xu Y, et al. (2012) Body Mass Index and Mortality in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: A Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE 7(8): e43892. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043892

Editor: Colin Simpson, The University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom

Received November 24, 2011; Accepted July 26, 2012; Published August 24, 2012

Copyright: © 2012 Cao et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work is supported by research grants from Clinical academic key funds of the Chinese Ministry of Health (2007353) and special funds for scientific research on public causes of the Chinese Ministry of Health (201002008). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: xiongweining@tjh.tjmu.edu.cn

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), a common disease with a high burden on healthcare resources, is predicted to be the third leading cause of death worldwide by the year 2020 [1]. Apart from its effects in the lungs, COPD has been redefined as a systemic disease in recent years due to its significant extrapulmonary manifestations [2]. Although the hallmark feature of COPD is airflow obstruction, it was poorly predicted by Forced Expiratory Volume in the first second (FEV₁) only [3,4]. Thus, many other independent predictors of outcomes have been identified including frequency of acute exacerbations and hospital admissions [5], exercise capacity [3], nutritional status [6], depressive symptoms [7], and biomarkers of systemic and bronchial inflammation [8]. Among these, the most extensively studied and conveniently used predictor is the alterations of the Body Mass Index (BMI).

BMI is the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters. The association between BMI and mortality in patients suffering from COPD has been a subject of interest for decades. Even though weight loss commonly occurs among these patients, it is not clear whether this is an epiphenomenon of severity of the disease or an independent risk factor [9]. Studies with small sample size or a short follow-up appeared to lack sufficient power to detect such an association. In addition, data from some studies were insufficient to adjust the influence of age, gender, smoking, and lung function. To comprehensively synthesize the evidence relating to this issue, we conducted this systematic review to examine if the BMI in patients with COPD is a significant predictor of mortality after being adjusted for other prognostic factors.

Materials and Methods

Identification and eligibility of relevant studies

We carried out a search in MEDLINE, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), and EMBASE databases covering all papers published till March 2011, using the following search terms: *body mass index, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and cohort studies*, as well as combinations of these terms. We retrieved all eligible studies, checked their bibliographies for other relevant publications, and examined the abstracts of relevant scientific meetings to ensure complete review of available studies. We also made efforts to contact the author when relevant data were unclear.

Study selection

Individual studies had to meet the following criteria to be included: (1) study population originating from a well-established general cohort; (2) diagnosis of COPD according to pulmonary function test; (3) mortality reported in COPD patients according to BMI category; (4) published in English; (5) the availability of data for analysis; and (6) BMI categorized into four groups according to World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines (http://apps.who. int/bmi/index.jsp?introPage = intro_3.html): (a) underweight $(\leq 18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2)$, (b) normal weight $(18.5-24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2)$, (c) overweight $(25.0-29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2)$, and (d) obese $(\geq 30.0 \text{ kg/m}^2)$ [10]. We anticipated all the included studies to be according to WHO BMI classification guidelines. However, the cutoff point for underweight patients was controversial, and 20 kg/m² or 21 kg/m² was also accepted. Major exclusion criteria of studies were if (1) the BMI classification was not according to WHO guidelines or (2) the participant was without COPD at baseline. The study quality was assessed using the 9-star Newcastle-Ottawa Scale [11].

Data extraction

Two investigators (C. C. and W. R.) identified articles eligible for further review by performing an initial screening of identified title and abstract. We used broad inclusion criteria for studies during this step, which could be included by either of the two investigators. Further screening was based on full-text review. The results of two reviewers were compared, and disagreements were resolved by discussion and consensus. The first author's surname and the year of publication of the article were used for the identification purpose. We extracted data from studies concerning study design, baseline patient characteristics, categories of BMI, follow-up, sample size, and number of events. We also extracted the hazard ratios (HRs) of time-to-event data, as suggested by Parmar and colleagues, directly from the original study, or by reading off survival curves to estimate the log HR and its variance [12]. When studies reported mortality at several time intervals, we selected the longest follow-up period for analysis.

Statistical Analysis

We extracted dichotomous data form all studies reporting number of patients with events and total participants and pooled them to calculate relative risks (risk ratios, RRs) with 95% confidence interval (CI). We further performed a baseline riskadjusted analysis of survival data to determine if the main results were robust when quantitative pooling was limited to those studies in which we could calculate pooled adjusted all-cause mortality HR. We used the statistic of I^2 to efficiently test for the heterogeneity, with $I^2 < 25\%$, 25–75% and >75% to represent low, moderate and high degree of inconsistency, respectively [13]. Statistical heterogeneity was defined as an I^2 statistic value of more than 50% [13]. In analyses, if the heterogeneity was low then we used a fixed-effect model, or else applied the random-effect model [14]. Furthermore, we examined the variables concerning age, lung function, study population (hospital-based or communitybased), and length of follow-up in a meta-regression model to explore for possible heterogeneity. We performed a sensitivity analysis, in which a study was removed at a time while the rest was analyzed, to evaluate whether the results could have markedly been affected by that single study [14]. We used Egger's linear regression test to find a potential publication bias [15]. All analyses were performed with Review Manager (version 5.1, The Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK) and Stata (Version10.0, Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA). A 2-tailed P value of less than 0.05 was judged as statistically significant.

Results

The electronic database search identified 2086 citations. Of these, the first screening excluded 1869 citations based on abstracts or titles, leaving 217 articles for full-text review. The excluded 181 studies had no relative outcomes, insufficient information, and means or standard deviations comparison for BMI. On more detailed review, an additional 14 papers were excluded for the following reasons: BMI classification was not according to WHO [16–21]; participant was without COPD at baseline [22–26]; or data was unavailable [27–29]. We finally included 22 studies in our systematic review and meta-analysis [30–51]. The detailed steps of our literature search are shown in Figure 1.

The characteristics of 22 included studies are summarized in Table 1. A total of 21,150 participants with COPD were included in these studies. The selected studies were published between 1997 and 2010. Median duration of follow-up ranged from 1 to 17 years, with 11 of the 22 studies had more than 5 years of follow-up. All studies reported the mortality in COPD patients according to BMI category. 10 studies were community based [31,33,36,38,41-43,48-50]. Adjusted data were available for 16 studies [31,33,34,38-51], either as reported or by reading off survival curves. Risk measures concerning age, gender, FEV₁%pred, and smoking were frequently adjusted. Analyses were also adjusted for other risk factors in some studies, such as outdoor activity, inhalation, PaO2, PaCO2, cor pulmonale, and COPD-related hospitalization. One study reported HRs of mortality in man and woman separately [38], and each group was considered as a separate study in analyses.



Figure 1. Flow Diagram of the Literature Search and Trial Selection Process.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043892.g001

 Table 1. Characteristics of Studies Included in the Meta-analysis.

Source	No. of Participan	tsStudy Design	FEV1,%, Predicted, Mean (SD), [Range]	Age at Follow-up, Mean(SD)[Range], y	BMI categories reported (kg/m2)	Study Quality [*]	Follow-up, y
Chang et al, ³⁰ 2007	84	Retrospective review	36 [14–83]	69.5 (12)	Underweight <20, normal 20–25, overweight 26–30, obese >30	9	-
Ringbaek et al, ³¹ 2004	221	Prospective cohort	29.8 (10.7)	NA	Underweight <20, normal 20–24.9, overweight \geq 25	7	4.9
Esteban et al, ³² 2010	543	Prospective longitudinal	55.0±13.3	68.3±8.3	Underweight ≤21, normal/overweight >21	9	m
Seersholm, ³³ 1997	342	Prospective cohort	52.1 (29.5)	45.5 (10.7)	Underweight <20, normal/overweight ≥20	7	7.6
Marti et al, ³⁴ 2006	128	Retrospective review	25.4 (8.8)	68.9 (9.7)	Underweight <20, normal 20–24.9, overweight 25–29.9, obese ≥30	7	m
Pothirat et al, ³⁵ 2007	195	Prospective cohort	41.97 (15.45)	67.7 (7.8) [48–86]	Underweight<18.5, normal 18.5–25, overweight 25–30, obese \ge 30	9	3.8
Gunen et al, ³⁶ 2005	205	Prospective cohort	62.7 (21.2)	64.8 (9.3)	Underweight <20, normal 20–24.9, overweight \geq 25	7	m
Collins et al, 3^7 2010	424	Retrospective review	NA	NA	Underweight <20, normal 20-24.9, overweight 25-29.9, obese ≥30	NA	-
Landbo et al, ³⁸ 1999	2132	Prospective	Man: 64.7 (18.1) Woman: 66.1 (16.6)	Man:57.7 (11.0) Woman:55.0 (10.8)	Underweight <20, normal 20-24.9, overweight 25-29.9, obese ≥30	ω	17
Budweiser et al, ³⁹ 2007	188	Prospective cohort	31.0±9.6	64.5 (8.0)	Underweight/low-normal $<$ 25, overweight \ge 25	7	5
Hallin et al, ⁴⁰ 2007	261	Prospective cohort	NA	NA	Underweight <20, normal 20–25, overweight 25–30, obese >30	9	2
Chailleux et al, ⁴¹ 2003	4088	Prospective cohort	Man: 68 (9) Woman:70 (10)	Man: 31 (12) Woman: 34 (11)	Underweight <20, normal 20–24, overweight 25–29, obese ≥30	8	5
Hansen et al, ⁴² 2001	1095	Retrospective cohort	NA	61.8 (9.9)	Underweight <20, normal 20–24.9, overweight 25–30, obese ≥30	9	8.9
Jordan et al, ⁴³ 2010	2439	Retrospective cohort	NA	64.28	Underweight<18.5, normal 18.5–24.9, overweight 25–29.9 overweight 25–29.9, obese \ge 30	6	10
Machado et al, ⁴⁴ 2006	435	Prospective cohort	31.4 (8.0)	66.6 (7.6)	Underweight <18.5, normal 18.5–24.9, overweight 25–29.9, obese \ge 30	7	7
Almagro et al, ⁴⁵ 2009	316	Prospective cohort	NA	72.1 (9.6)	Underweight <20, normal 20–25, overweight 25–30, obese >30	7	m
Haruna et al, ⁴⁶ 2010	251	Prospective cohort	50.3 (17.0) [10.0–95.1]	68.7 (7.0) [47–88]	Underweight <18.8, normal/overweight ≥18.8	7	8
Ringbaek et al, ⁴⁷ 2005	869	Prospective cohort	Man: 43.3 (17.4) Woman: 45.2 (15.8)	Man: 57.7 (8.5) Woman: 55.0 (6.9)	Underweight <20, normal 20−24.9, overweight 25-29.9, obese ≥30	7	13.3
Schembri et al, ⁴⁸ 2009	3343	Prospective cohort	NA	NA	Underweight <18.5, normal 18.5–24.9, overweight 25–29.9, obese \ge 30	6	1.9
Prescott et al, ⁴⁹ 2002	1612	Prospective cohort	Man: 66.3 (20.6) Woman: 67.3 (21.7)	Man: 56.6 (9.7) Woman: 55.8 (9.0)	Underweight <18.5, normal 18.5–24.9, overweight 25–29.9, obese \geq 30	8	14

Source	No. of Participa	ntsStudy Design	FEV1,%, Predicted, Mean (SD), [Range]	Age at Follow-up, Mean(SD)[Range], y	BMI categories reported (kg/m2)	Study Quality [*]	Follow-up, y
Vestbo et al, ^{so} 2006	1898	Epidemiologic study	NA	NA	Underweight <18.5, normal 18.5- overweight 25–29.9, obese ≥ 30	-24.9, 7	7
Tsimogianni et al, ⁵¹ 2009	81	Retrospective cohort	47 (17) [15–87]	68 (9) [44–87]	Underweight/normal <25, Overweight/obese ≥25	Q	£

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043892.t001

BMI and Mortality in COPD

Dichotomous clinical outcomes are reported as RR and survival data as HR. We pooled RRs of deaths for different levels of BMI compared with a reference of normal BMI of 18.5 kg/m^2 to 24.9 kg/m². Compared with patients with normal BMI, underweight individuals were associated with a higher mortality (RR = 1.34, 95% CI = 1.01-1.78), whereas overweight (RR = 0.47, 95% CI = 0.33-0.68) and obese (RR = 0.59, 95% CI = 0.38-0.68) 0.91) patients were associated with a lower mortality (Figure 2). The RR for mortality were significantly higher in underweight individuals compared with individuals with BMI $> 20 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (RR = 1.65, 95% CI = 1.29-2.11; Table 2). We also found significant increased risk of mortality in patients having a BMI of 24.9 kg/m² or less compared with BMI $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (RR = 3.02, 95% CI = 2.02 - 4.52).

Sixteen studies analyzed by a Cox proportional hazards regression model to adjust for baseline differences and were included in a combined risk adjusted analysis. As shown in Figure 3, the HR of mortality in underweight patients was 1.48 (95% CI = 1.26-1.75) when compared with those with a normal BMI. Compared with patients with normal BMI, overweight (HR =0.78, 95% CI =0.65-0.94) and obese (HR =0.69, 95% CI = 0.54 - 0.89) patients were associated with lower mortality. In addition, underweight patients had higher mortality compared with those with BMI values of 20 kg/m² or more (HR = 1.53, 95% CI = 1.24–1.88). Likewise, compared with individuals with a BMI ≥ 25 kg/m², underweight and normal BMI patients were associated with higher mortality (HR = 2.36, 95% CI = 1.65-3.36). We further performed a subgroup analysis by different BMI cut-off for underweight. The heterogeneity of underweight versus normal turned out to be insignificant ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.16$, $I^2 = 45\%$) in the studies with standardized BMI cut-off. In the subgroup analysis of obese versus normal, the heterogeneity was significantly decreased in the studies without standardized BMI cut-off ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.68, I^2 = 0\%$).

To explore the heterogeneity among studies of BMI and mortality, a sensitivity analysis was performed. In risk-adjusted analysis, when one study (group of man) was excluded [38], the heterogeneity of underweight versus normal turned out to be insignificant ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.16$, $I^2 = 35\%$), with an HR of 1.36 (95% CI, 1.21-1.52), whereas exclusion of any other studies did not influence the results. A study by Prescott et al. was the main origin of heterogeneity in the comparison of obese versus normal [49]. After exclusion of this study, the heterogeneity was significantly decreased ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.91$, $I^2 = 0\%$; HR = 0.64, 95% CI = 0.58-0.71). However, pooled HR of mortality in comparison of overweight versus normal was not excessively influenced by any single study. As to unadjusted data analysis, similar procedures on sensitivity analysis were performed. After exclusion of one study [37] about the comparison between underweight and normal, the heterogeneity was significantly decreased ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.30$, $I^2 = 18\%$; RR = 1.21, 95% CI = 1.04 - 1.40). The pooled result was not affected by any single study in comparison of underweight versus BMI values of 18.5 kg/ m² or more. We further performed meta-regression to identify the sources of heterogeneity. We evaluated the source of heterogeneity by age, lung function, study population, and length of follow-up. We found that study population were a significant source of heterogeneity in the comparison of underweight versus BMI values of 18.5 kg/m² or more (tau² = 0.0132, P = 0.001). However, metaregression did not indicate age, lung function, study population, or length of follow-up could explain the significance between-study heterogeneity in the comparison of underweight versus normal.

Table 1. Cont

	Other	BMI	Norm	al BMI			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	Risk Ratio, 95% (CI Risk Ratio, 95% CI
1.1.1 Underweight vs	s Normal				•		
Chang et al, 2007	8	16	16	41	13.6%	1.28 [0.69, 2.39]	
Collins et al, 2010	21	67	15	144	14.1%	3.01 [1.66, 5.46]	
Gunen et al, 2005	37	58	43	94	19.7%	1.39 [1.04, 1.87]	-
Marti et al, 2006	10	15	38	50	17.9%	0.88 [0.59, 1.30]	
Pothirat et al, 2007	18	74	13	84	13.3%	1.57 [0.83, 2.98]	+
Ringbaek et al, 2004	58	76	67	98	21.4%	1.12 [0.93, 1.34]	• •
Subtotal (95% CI)		306		511	100.0%	1.34 [1.01, 1.78]	◆
Total events	152		192				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.08; Chi ²	² = 15.47	7, df = 5 (l	P = 0.00	9); ² = 68%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.03 (P = 0.04	4)		-		
1.1.2 Overweight vs	s Normal						
Chang et al, 2007		0 13	3 1	64	1 14.1%	0.09 [0.01, 1.42]	← • − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − −
Gunen et al. 2005		5 120	D 1:	5 14	4 23.2%	0.40 [0.15, 1.07]	
Marti et al. 2006	2	1 4	7 3	8 5	0 62.7%	0.59 [0.41, 0.84]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		180)	23	5 100.0%	0.47 [0.33, 0.68]	◆
Total events	2	26	6	9			
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	= 2.91. df :	= 2 (P =	0.23); l ²	= 31%			
Test for overall effect	t: Z = 4.00) (P < 0.	0001)				
1.1.3 Obese vs Nori	mal						
Chang et al. 2007		2 1	1 1	6 4	1 18.3%	0.47 [0.13, 1.73]	_
Collins et al. 2010		Δ Q ⁴		5 14	4 31.8%	0.41 [0.13, 1.73]	_ _
Marti at al. 2006			2 2	8 5	0 /0.8%	0.41 [0.14, 1.21]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)		120) 3	23	5 100 0%	0.59 [0.38, 0.91]	\bullet
Total events	1	5	6	9	100.070	0.00 [0.00, 0.01]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	= 1.52. df :	= 2 (P =	0.47); l ²	= 0%			
Test for overall effect	T = 2.38	2 (P = 0)	02)	• /•			
							0.01 0.1 1 10 1

Favours Other BMI Favours Normal BMI

Figure 2. Relative risks of Mortality with Body Mass Index among Patients with Chronic Obstruct Pulmonary Disease. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043892.g002

Publication bias

We used Egger's regression asymmetry test to access the publication bias of literatures. The results showed no evidence of

publication bias (t=0.44, P=0.684 for underweight versus normal; t=-6.76, P=0.094 for overweight versus normal; and t=-0.87, P=0.543 for obese versus normal).

 Table 2. Body Mass Index and Mortality in Chronic Obstruct Pulmonary Disease.

	Unadj	usted outco	omes				Risk-a	djusted out	comes			
Body Mass Index (kg/ m ²)	No.ª	Subjects	RR [95% CI]	Р	<i>l</i> ²,%	P ^b	No.ª	Subjects	HR [95% CI]	Р	<i>1</i> ²,%	P ^b
<18.5 vs. 18.5–24.9	6	1,161	1.34[1.01,1.78]	0.04	68	0.009	8	14,163	1.48[1.26,1.75]	<0.00001	66	0.005
25–29.9 vs. 18.5–24.9	3	510	0.47[0.33,0.68]	<0.0001	31	0.23	8	12,659	0.78[0.65,0.94]	0.008	79	<0.0001
≥30 vs. 18.5–24.9	3	439	0.59[0.38,0.91]	0.02	0	0.47	8	14,365	0.69[0.54,0.89]	0.004	76	0.0001
<18.5 vs. ≥18.5	8	5,744	1.65[1.29,2.11]	<0.0001	83	<0.00001	2	593	1.53[124,1.88]	<0.0001	0	0.74
<24.9 vs. ≥25	5	1,452	3.02[2.02,4.52]	<0.00001	26	0.25	2	209	2.361.65,3.36]	<0.00001	0	0.63

^aNumber of studies; ^b P value of Q-test for heterogeneity test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043892.t002

..

				Hazard Ratio	Hazard Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% CI
2.1.1 Underweight vs N	ormal				
Chailleux et al, 2003	0.2464	0.04	21.7%	1.28 [1.18, 1.38]	•
Landbo et al, 1999 -w	0.8952	0.2779	6.4%	2.45 [1.42, 4.22]	
Landbo et al, 1999-m	1.206	0.2772	6.4%	3.34 [1.94, 5.75]	
Prescott et al, 2002	0.2154	0.1202	15.5%	1.24 [0.98, 1.57]	-
Ringbaek et al, 2004	0.3105	0.2037	9.6%	1.36 [0.92, 2.03]	
Ringbaek et al, 2005	0.3147	0.1174	15.7%	1.37 [1.09, 1.72]	-
Schembri et al, 2009	0.2072	0.1373	14.1%	1.23 [0.94, 1.61]	-
Vestbo et al, 2006	0.6078	0.1865	10.6%	1.84 [1.27, 2.65]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			100.0%	1.48 [1.26, 1.75]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.	03; Chi² = 20.52, df =	= 7 (P = 0).005); l² =	= 66%	
Test for overall effect: Z :	= 4.75 (P < 0.00001)				
2.1.2 Overweight vs No	rmal				
Chailleux et al, 2003	-0.2215	0.0436	21.1%	0.80 [0.74, 0.87]	
Hallin et al, 2007	-1.8688	0.7466	1.5%	0.15 [0.04, 0.67]	
Hansen et al, 2001	0.0204	0.1034	17.4%	1.02 [0.83, 1.25]	+
Landbo et al, 1999 -w	-0.734	0.398	4.4%	0.48 [0.22, 1.05]	
Landbo et al, 1999-m	-0.3253	0.2192	10.0%	0.72 [0.47, 1.11]	-
Marti et al, 2006	-1.0993	0.2842	7.3%	0.33 [0.19, 0.58]	
Prescott et al, 2002	0.0392	0.0681	19.8%	1.04 [0.91, 1.19]	
Schembri et al, 2009	-0.2231	0.0905	18.4%	0.80 [0.67, 0.96]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			100.0%	0.78 [0.65, 0.94]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.	04; Chi² = 32.88, df =	=7(P<0	0.0001); l²	= 79%	
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 2.66 (P = 0.008)				
2.1.3 Obasa va Normal					
Almontro et al. 2000	0 5070	0 2527	0.004	0 56 10 20 4 441	
Almagro et al, 2009 Cheilleux et el 2002	-0.3070	0.3037	0.0%	0.50 [0.20, 1.11]	-
Unanieux et al, 2005	-0.4456	0.07	19.4%	0.64 [0.56, 0.75]	-
Jordan et al. 2010	-0.5065	0.1031	10.470	0.09 [0.00, 0.95]	
Landbolet al, 1999 -W	-1.0734	0.0001	4.0%	0.54 [0.12, 0.97]	
Landbolet al, 1999-III Machado et al. 2006	-0.5074	0.3727	10.404	0.60 [0.29, 1.25]	
Dreadett at al. 2000	-0.0090	0.2004	10.470	1.02 [0.00, 1.54]	
Prescoll et al, 2002	0.2109	0.1127	17.0%	1.25 [0.99, 1.04]	-
Schemphiet al, 2009 Subtotal (95% CI)	-0.4005	0.1293	100.0%	0.69 [0.52, 0.86]	
	00: Chi2 - 00 40 -46	7/0-0	00041	- 7604	•
Test for succell affect: 7	00, UNE = 29.40, df = = 2.98 (D = 0.004)	- / (P = (1.0001); F	- 10%	
rest for overall effect: Z	= 2.80 (P = 0.004)				
					0.01 0.1 1 10 100
					Favours Other BMI Favours Normal BMI

Figure 3. Hazard ratios of Mortality with Body Mass Index among Patients with Chronic Obstruct Pulmonary Disease (HR was adjusted for age, gender, FEV₁%pred, smoking and so on. The HR of Chailleux et al. was extracted by reading off survival curves). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043892.g003

Discussion

To our knowledge, this study is the first comprehensive metaanalysis to date that has assessed the relationship between BMI and mortality in COPD patients. The findings from our study indicated that reduced BMI was associated with an increased risk of mortality in COPD. A higher risk of death was found not only in underweight patients, but also in those with normal BMI.

In our study, we found higher mortality associated with underweight individuals among patients with COPD when compared with those having a normal BMI value, while lower overall mortality in overweight and obese patients. Statistically similar results were obtained after risk adjustment analysis. These results demonstrated an inverse relationship between BMI and mortality among patients with COPD, which corresponded with most of the related studies. However, there was evidence of heterogeneity between studies in our analysis. After sensitivity analysis by sequential omission of individual studies, heterogeneity was effectively decreased or removed from all but two comparisons. In our study, the reasons for heterogeneity were unclear. It might have been due to methodological differences among studies, particularly on the cutoff point for underweight patients.

One of the most important finding in this study was that individuals even with a normal BMI had a higher risk of mortality. In recent years, lots of studies combined several variables including BMI to predict mortality in COPD. A good example is the BODEindex (**B**ody mass index, **O**bstruction, **D**yspnea, **E**xercise capacity) [4], a multidimensional 10-point scale in which higher scores indicate a higher risk of death. For body-mass index the values were 0 or 1, and the inflection point of BMI was 21 kg/m². However, our results showed that BMI category associated with lower risk of mortality was 25 kg/m² or more. Thus, a more reasonable scoring system concerning BMI might be a better predictor of mortality among patients with COPD.

The association between low BMI and poor survival among patients with COPD could be several reasons such as diaphragmatic muscle weakness [50], decreased lung function [52], and systemic inflammation [53], all of which is related to weight loss. Furthermore, proinflammatory status reflected by acute-phase proteins, tumor necrosis factor-a receptors, and soluble adhesion molecules is related to increasing resting energy expenditure in patients with COPD [54]. However, loss of skeletal muscle mass is the main cause of weight loss in COPD, whereas loss of fat mass contributes to a lesser extent [55]. Published data showed that fatfree mass index (FFMI) provided information in addition to BMI and assessment of FFMI was also suggested in the routine assessment [56].

Usually, obesity is a recognized risk factor for insulin resistance, obstructive sleep apnea, and cardiovascular disease. However, our study found that for patients with COPD being overweight or obese had a protective effect against mortality. The pathophysiological basis for this apparent obesity paradox is unknown. A recent study found thin patients with COPD to have more cardiovascular complications and a higher mortality rate [57]. In addition, Landbo et al thought that obesity in itself contributes to low FEV_1 , leading to those subjects being classified as having severe COPD while in fact they may not have experienced severe disease or a severe decline in lung function [38]. However, we could not obtain enough statistical power to explore those hypotheses because there were no sufficient data supplied in published studies. Further studies are needed to detect it by various methods, such as adjustment of obstructive ratio, FVC or subgroup analysis of individuals with severe flow limitation.

Considering deleterious effects of malnutrition in COPD patients, studies have been conducted and focused on the efficacy of nutritional supplementation therapy. Planas et al found administration of nutritional supplements to have a significant improvement in body weight and handgrip strength, decrement in

References

- Murray CJL, Lopez AD (1997) Mortality by cause for eight regions of the world: Global Burden of Disease Study. Lancet 349:1269–1276.
- Celli BR, MacNee W (2004) ATS/ERS Task Force. Standards for the diagnosis and treatment of patients with COPD: a summary of the ATS/ERS position paper. Eur Respir J 23:932–946.
- Waschki B, Kirsten A, Holz O, Müller KC, Meyer T, et al. (2011) Physical activity is the strongest predictor of all-cause mortality in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a prospective cohort study. Chest 140:331–42.
- Celli BR, Cote CG, Marin JM, Casanova C, Montes de Oca M, et al. (2004) The body-mass index, airflow obstruction, dyspnea, and exercise capacity index in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. N Engl J Med 350:1005–1012.
- Esteban C, Arostegui I, Moraza J, Aburto M, Quintana JM, et al. (2011) Development of a decision tree to assess the severity and prognosis of stable COPD. Eur Respir J In press.
- Slinde F, Grönberg A, Engström CP, Rossander-Hulthén L, Larsson S (2005) Body composition by bioelectrical impedance predicts mortality in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients. Respir Med 99:1004–1009.
- de Voogd JN, Wempe JB, Koëter GH, Postema K, van Sonderen E, et al. (2009) Depressive symptoms as predictors of mortality in patients with COPD. Chest 135:619–625.
- de Torres JP, Pinto-Plata V, Casanova C, Mullerova H, Córdoba-Lanús E, et al. (2008) C-reactive protein levels and survival in patients with moderate to very severe COPD. Chest 133:1336–1343.

airflow limitation, and increment in quality of life [58]. Raherison and Girodet thought that achieving an optimal nutritional status could delay the progression of COPD and might also reduce the risk of early mortality [59]. However, nutritional supplementation was only suggested as a part of the treatment approach in some specific individuals [60,61]. Therefore, changing the BMI by nutritional supplementation, which improved COPD outcomes, needed further investigation.

As a systematic review, our findings and interpretations were limited by the quality and quantity of included studies. Firstly, the cutoff point for underweight was in disparity among studies and might have led to an underestimate risk of mortality in patients with low BMI. Secondly, detailed information, such as respiratory mortality and sex difference in mortality, were unavailable in most studies, which limited our further assessment of those confounding factors at the patient level, and were incorporated into the analysis. Thirdly, only studies written in English were included in this metaanalysis, which might have led to the bias. Lastly, as a metaanalysis, we were unable to get enough data to assess the relationship between BMI and mortality in different classes of obesity. There may be a ceiling BMI that is associated with better prognosis and this is not explored in the current study. Further studies should be more informative and then subgroup analysis by degree of obesity would be available.

In conclusion, our systematic review of 22 studies comprising 21,150 subjects showed that for patients with COPD being overweight or obese had a protective effect against mortality. However, the importance and efficacy of nutritional management of patients with COPD needed further clarification in well-designed, adequately powered clinical studies.

Acknowledgments

We are extremely thankful to Dr. Fen Lan of Department of Respiratory Medicine, Second Affiliated Hospital, College of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, for her review and scientific editing of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: CC XW. Performed the experiments: CC RW JW HB YX WX. Analyzed the data: CC RW WX. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: CC RW JW HB YX WX. Wrote the paper: CC HB WX. Study supervision: YX WX.

- Schols AM, Slangen J, Volovics L, Wouters EF (1998) Weight loss is a reversible factor in the prognosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 157:1791–1797.
- World Health Organization (2009) Global Database on Body Mass Index: BMI Classification. http://apps.who.int/bmi/index.jsp?introPage = intro_3.html. Accessed 2012 Jan 8.
- Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, et al. (2010) The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/ oxford.htm. Accessed 2012 Apr 2.
- Parmar MK, Torri V, Stewart L (1998) Extracting summary statistics to perform meta-analyses of the published literature for survival endpoints. Stat Med 17:2815–2834.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG (2003) Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 327:557–560.
- Larsson SC, Orsini N, Wolk A (2010) Vitamin B6 and risk of colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. JAMA 303:1077–1083.
- Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C (1997) Bias in meta analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 315: 629–634.
- Ringback T, Martinez G, Brøndum E, Thøgersen J, Morgan M, et al. (2010) Shuttle walking test as predictor of survival in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients enrolled in a rehabilitation program. J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev 30:409–414.

- Schols AM, Slangen J, Volovics L, Wouters EF (1998) Weight loss is a reversible factor in the prognosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 157:1791–1797.
- Martinez FJ, Foster G, Curtis JL, Criner G, Weinmann G, et al. (2006) Predictors of mortality in patients with emphysema and severe airflow obstruction. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 173:1326–1334.
- Gray-Donald K, Gibbons L, Shapiro SH, Macklem PT, Martin JG (1996) Nutritional status and mortality in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 153:961–966.
- Connors AF Jr, Dawson NV, Thomas C, Harrell FE Jr, Desbiens N, et al. (1996) Outcomes following acute exacerbation of severe chronic obstructive lung disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 154:959–967.
- De Benedetto F, Del Ponte A, Marinari S (2003) The role of nutritional status in the global assessment of severe COPD patients. Monaldi Arch Chest Dis 59:314–319.
- Tóth S, Tkácová R, Matula P, Stubna J (2004) Nutritional depletion in relation to mortality in patients with chronic respiratory insufficiency treated with longterm oxygen therapy. Wien Klin Wochenschr 116:617–621.
- Yang L, Zhou M, Smith M, Yang G, Peto R, et al. (2010) Body mass index and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease-related mortality: a nationally representative prospective study of 220,000 men in China. Int J Epidemiol 39:1027– 1036.
- Walda IC, Tabak C, Smit HA, Räsänen L, Fidanza F, et al. (2002) Diet and 20year chronic obstructive pulmonary disease mortality in middle-aged men from three European countries. Eur J Clin Nutr 56:638–643.
- Hospers JJ, Postma DS, Rijcken B, Weiss ST, Schouten JP (2000) Histamine airway hyper-responsiveness and mortality from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a cohort study. Lancet 356:1313–1317.
- Chailleux E, Fauroux B, Binet F, Dautzenberg B, Polu JM (1996) Predictors of survival in patients receiving domiciliary oxygen therapy or mechanical ventilation. A 10-year analysis of ANTADIR Observatory. Chest 109:741–749.
- Mannino DM, Buist AS, Petty TL, Enright PL, Redd SC (2003) Lung function and mortality in the United States: data from the First National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey follow up study. Thorax 58:388–393.
- Swallow EB, Reyes D, Hopkinson NS, Man WD, Porcher R, et al. (2007) Quadriceps strength predicts mortality in patients with moderate to severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Thorax 62:115–120.
- Soler-Cataluña JJ, Sánchez-Sánchez L, Martínez-García MA, Sánchez PR, Salcedo E, et al. (2005) Mid-arm muscle area is a better predictor of mortality than body mass index in COPD. Chest 128:2108–2115.
- Chang CL, Sullivan GD, Karalus NC, Hancox RJ, McLachlan JD, et al. (2007) Audit of acute admissions of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: inpatient management and outcome. Intern Med J 37:236–241.
- Ringbaek TJ, Viskum K, Lange P (2004) BMI and oral glucocorticoids as predictors of prognosis in COPD patients on long-term oxygen therapy. Chron Respir Dis 1:71–78.
- Esteban C, Quintana JM, Moraza J, Aburto M, Aguirre U, et al. (2010) BODE-Index vs HADO-score in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Which one to use in general practice? BMC Med 8:28.
- Seersholm N (1997) Body mass index and mortality in patients with severe alpha 1-antitrypsin deficiency. Respir Med 91:77–82.
- Marti S, Muñoz X, Rios J, Morell F, Ferrer J (2006) Body weight and comorbidity predict mortality in COPD patients treated with oxygen therapy. Eur Respir J 27:689–696.
- Pothirat C, Phetsuk N, Deesomchok A, Theerakittikul T, Bumroongkit C, et al. (2007) Clinical characteristics, management in real world practice and long-term survival among COPD patients of Northern Thailand COPD club members. J Med Assoc Thai 90:653–662.
- Gunen H, Hacievliyagil SS, Kosar F, Mutlu LC, Gulbas G, et al. (2005) Factors affecting survival of hospitalised patients with COPD. Eur Respir J 26:234–241.
- Collins PF, Stratton RJ, Kurukulaaratchy R, Elia M (2010) The 'obesity paradox' in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Thorax 65: 74.
- Landbo C, Prescott E, Lange P, Vestbo J, Almdal TP (1999) Prognostic value of nutritional status in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 160:1856–1861.

- Budweiser S, Jörres RA, Riedl T, Heinemann F, Hitzl AP, et al. (2007) Predictors of survival in COPD patients with chronic hypercapnic respiratory failure receiving noninvasive home ventilation. Chest 131:1650–1658.
- Hallin R, Gudmundsson G, Suppli Ulrik C, Nieminen MM, Gislason T, et al. (2007) Nutritional status and long-term mortality in hospitalised patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Respir Med 101:1954–1960.
- Chailleux E, Laaban JP, Veale D (2003) Prognostic value of nutritional depletion in patients with COPD treated by long-term oxygen therapy: data from the ANTADIR observatory. Chest 123:1460–1466.
- Hansen EF, Vestbo J, Phanareth K, Kok-Jensen A, Dirksen A (2001) Peak flow as predictor of overall mortality in asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 163:690–693.
- Jordan JG Jr, Mann JR (2010) Obesity and mortality in persons with obstructive lung disease using data from the NHANES III. South Med J 103:323–330.
- Machado MC, Krishnan JA, Buist SA, Bilderback AL, Fazolo GP, et al. (2006) Sex differences in survival of oxygen-dependent patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 174:524–529.
- Almagro P, Salvadó M, Garcia-Vidal C, Rodriguez-Carballeira M, Delgado M, et al. (2010) Recent improvement in long-term survival after a COPD hospitalisation. Thorax 65:298–302.
- Haruna A, Muro S, Nakano Y, Ohara T, Hoshino Y, et al. (2010) CT scan findings of emphysema predict mortality in COPD. Chest 138:635–640.
- Ringback T, Seersholm N, Viskum K (2005) Standardised mortality rates in females and males with COPD and asthma. Eur Respir J 25:891–895.
- Schembri S, Anderson W, Morant S, Winter J, Thompson P, et al. (2009) A predictive model of hospitalisation and death from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Respir Med 103:1461–1467.
- Prescott E, Almdal T, Mikkelsen KL, Tofteng CL, Vestbo J, et al. (2002) Prognostic value of weight change in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: results from the Copenhagen City Heart Study. Eur Respir J 20:539–544.
- 50. Vestbo J, Prescott É, Almdal T, Dahl M, Nordestgaard BG, et al. (2006) Body mass, fat-free body mass, and prognosis in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease from a random population sample: findings from the Copenhagen City Heart Study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 173:79–83.
- Tsimogianni AM, Papiris SA, Stathopoulos GT, Manali ED, Roussos C, et al. (2009) Predictors of outcome after exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. J Gen Intern Med 24:1043–1048.
- Coxson HO, Chan IH, Mayo JR, Hlynsky J, Nakano Y, et al. (2004) Early emphysema in patients with anorexia nervosa. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 170:748–752.
- Tomoda K, Yoshikawa M, Itoh T, Tamaki S, Fukuoka A, et al. (2007) Elevated circulating plasma adiponectin in underweight patients with COPD. Chest 132:135–140.
- Eid AA, Ionescu AA, Nixon LS, Lewis-Jenkins V, Matthews SB, et al. (2001) Inflammatory response and body composition in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 164:1414–1418.
- Ischaki E, Papatheodorou G, Gaki E, Papa I, Koulouris N, et al (2007) Body mass and fat-free mass indices in COPD: relation with variables expressing disease severity. Chest 132:164–169.
- 56. Vestbo J, Prescott E, Almdal T, Dahl M, Nordestgaard BG, et al. (2006) Body mass, fat-free body mass, and prognosis in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease from a random population sample: findings from the Copenhagen City Heart Study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 173:79–83.
- Blum A, Simsolo C, Sirchan R, Haick S (2011) "Obesity paradox" in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Isr Med Assoc J 13:672–675.
- Planas M, Alvarez J, García-Peris PA, de la Cuerda C, de Lucas P, et al. (2005) Nutritional support and quality of life in stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients. Clin Nutr 24:433–441.
- Raherison C, Girodet PO (2009) Epidemiology of COPD. Eur Respir Rev 18:213–221.
- Aniwidyaningsih W, Varraso R, Cano N, Pison C (2008) Impact of nutritional status on body functioning in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and how to intervene. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 11:435–442.
- Velema MS, Kwa BH, de Ronde W (2012) Should androgenic anabolic steroids be considered in the treatment regime of selected chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients? Curr Opin Pulm Med 18:118–124.