

http://dx.doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2016.31.6.963 • J Korean Med Sci 2016; 31: 963-971

# Cataract and Cataract Surgery: Nationwide Prevalence and **Clinical Determinants**

#### Sang Jun Park,<sup>1,2\*</sup> Ju Hyun Lee,<sup>3\*</sup> Se Woong Kang,<sup>4</sup> Joon Young Hyon,<sup>1</sup> and Kyu Hyung Park<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Korea; <sup>2</sup>Department of Preventive Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; <sup>3</sup>Medical Research Collaborating Center, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Korea; <sup>4</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

\*Sang Jun Park and Ju Hyun Lee contributed equally to this work.

Received: 22 December 2015 Accepted: 21 March 2016

Address for Correspondence: Kyu Hyung Park, MD Department of Ophthalmology, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital. 82 Gumi-ro 173-beon-gil, Bundang-gu, Seongnam 13620, Korea E-mail: jiani4@snu.ac.kr

Funding: This study was supported by the grant funded by the Seoul National University Bundang Hospital (SNUBH) Research Fund (grant No: 14-2015-007).

# **INTRODUCTION**

Cataract is the leading cause of blindness in the world, accounting for half of blindness and affecting about 20 million people (1). Although cataract surgery is an effective method for restoring vision, individuals in developing countries have limited access to cataract surgery services. In addition, cataract is also one of the major socioeconomic and public health burdens, even in developed countries. This is because the volume of cataract surgery has increased due to an aging population and an increase in cataract surgery services (2). Hence, estimating the prevalence and clinical determinants of both cataract and cataract surgery is important for establishing a public health plan, identifying modifiable determinants, and minimizing the public health burden. Furthermore, investigating estimates of both cataract and cataract surgery simultaneously may provide clues for their association and unmet needs for health care. However, despite the socioeconomic and public health burden of cataract and cataract surgery, epidemiologic studies representing a nation-

This study aimed to investigate the prevalence and clinical determinants of cataract and cataract surgery in Korean population. The 2008-2012 Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey was analyzed, which included 20,419 participants aged  $\geq$  40 years. The survey is a multistage, probability-cluster survey, which can produce nationally representative estimates. Prevalence of cataract and cataract surgery was estimated. Clinical determinants for those were investigated using logistic regression analyses (LRAs). The prevalence of cataract was 42.28% (95% confidence interval [CI]. 40.67-43.89); 40.82% (95% Cl, 38.97-42.66) for men and 43.62% (95% Cl, 41.91-45.33) for women (P = 0.606). The prevalence of cataract surgery was 7.75% (95% Cl. 7.30-8.20); 6.38% (95% Cl, 5.80-6.96) for men and 9.01% (95% Cl, 8.41-9.61) for women (P < 0.001). Cataract was associated with older age (P < 0.001), men (P = 0.032), lower household income (P = 0.031), lower education (P < 0.001), hypertension (P < 0.001), and diabetes mellitus (DM) (P < 0.001). Cataract surgery was consistently associated with older age, occupation, DM, asthma, and anemia in two LRAs, which compared participants with cataract surgery to those without cataract surgery and those having a cataract but without any cataract surgery, respectively. Hypertension, arthritis, and dyslipidemia were associated with cataract surgery at least in one of these LRAs. These results suggest that there are 9.4 million individuals with cataract and 1.7 million individuals with cataract surgery in Korea. Further studies are warranted to reveal the causality and its possible mechanism of developing/exacerbating cataract in novel determinants (i.e., anemia, asthma, and arthritic conditions) as well as well-known determinants.

Keywords: Cataract; Cataract Surgery; Prevalence; Determinants; Risk Factors

wide population have been scarce.

Korea is one of the newly developed countries in Asia and one of the most populous countries in the world. As the issue of an aging population has been on the rise in Korea, as well as in other developed countries, several nationwide, governmentled surveys have been conducted. The Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) is one of these national surveys; it was initiated in 1998 and publishes nationally representative estimates for approximately 50 million Koreans (3,4). Accompanying the 2008-2012 KNHANES, the Korean Ophthalmologic Society (KOS) conducted the 5-year Korean Ophthalmic Survey. The results of the 2008-2012 KNHANES and completed Korean Ophthalmic Survey were recently released. Hence, this study estimated the prevalence of, and investigated clinical determinants for, cataract and cataract surgery in Koreans using the large-scale, nationally representative 2008-2012 KNHANES database and the Korean Ophthalmic Survey.

pISSN 1011-8934 This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) eISSN 1598-6357 which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Study design and population

This study analyzed the 5-year (2008-2012) KNHANES data, which included the completed five-year plan ophthalmic survey designed by the KOS. The KNHANES is an ongoing, population-based, cross-sectional survey in Korea conducted by the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and the Korean Ministry of Health and Welfare (3-6). The 2008-2012 KNH-ANES annually selected 3,840-4,600 households in 192-200 enumeration districts representing the civilian, non-institutionalized Korean population using rolling sampling designs and involving a complex, stratified, multistage, probability-cluster survey. A total of 45,810 subjects participated in the 2008-2012 KNHANES; the response rate in each year was 77.8%, 82.8%, 81.9%, 80.4%, and 80.0% in 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively. The KNHANES database is a publicly available database in the KNHANES homepage (https://knhanes.cdc.go.kr/, accessed on 29 December 2014), and the KNHANES introduced the database detail regarding the characteristics and the way for data resource use (3).

#### Data collection and inclusion criteria

The KNHANES consisted of three components, which were the Health Interview Survey, Health Examination Survey, and Nutrition Survey (3-6). We used data from the first two surveys, including medical histories, socioeconomic status, anthropometric measurements, blood tests, and ophthalmic surveys (4-7). The data from a structured slit-lamp examination (BQ-900; Haag-Streit AG, Koeniz, Switzerland) determined the crystalline lens/cataract status as phakia without any cataract, phakia with cataract (i.e., nuclear, cortical, anterior subcapsular, posterior subcapsular, or mixed cataract using the Lens Opacities Classification System [LOCS] III (8) as the grading system) pseudophakia and aphakia. The quality of the ophthalmic survey was verified by the Epidemiologic Survey Committee of the KOS (4-7). Participants aged  $\geq 40$  years with slit-lamp examination of at least one eye were included in the study.

#### **Definition of variables**

The prevalence of, and determinants for, two dependent variables were separately investigated as follows: 1) the presence of cataract and 2) the evidence of cataract surgery. The presence of cataract was defined as the existence of any cataract, pseudophakia or aphakia, in at least one eye in a participant. The evidence of cataract surgery was defined as the existence of pseudophakia or aphakia in at least one eye in a participant. Independent variables were defined and categorized as follows. For each variable below, the first category in each list was selected as the reference point for logistic regression analysis (LRA). Participants were divided into four age groups, which were 40-49,

50-59, 60-69, and  $\geq$  70 years. Smoking status was defined as never-smoker, ex-smoker, and current smoker. Household income status was divided into participants with > 50% household income and those with  $\leq 50\%$  household income according to the equivalized annual gross household income. Education status was divided into participants with at least a high school degree and those who had graduated from middle school or less. Occupation was categorized as blue collar (agriculture, forestry, fishery workers, craft and related trade workers, plant and machine operators and assemblers, and simple labor), white collar (managers, professionals, clerks, and service/sales workers), and inoccupation (unemployed, retired, students, and housewives). Residence was categorized into urban and rural areas based on participants' addresses. Sun-exposure status was divided into participants with an average of < 5 hours/day and those with  $\geq$  5 hours/day. Co-morbidities were categorized into participants without a history of co-morbidities and those with a history of co-morbidities. Co-morbidities used in LRAs were as follows: hypertension, diabetes mellitus (DM), dyslipidemia, stroke, myocardial infarction (MI) or ischemic heart disease (IHD), osteoarthritis (OA) or rheumatoid arthritis (RA), pulmonary tuberculosis, and asthma. Participants were categorized into two groups by body mass index (BMI), the ratio of weight (kg) to height<sup>2</sup> (m<sup>2</sup>): those with BMI <  $25 \text{ kg/m}^2$  and those with BMI  $\geq 25$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Waist circumference (WC) was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm at the narrowest point between the lower borders of the rib cage and iliac crest after normal expiration. Participants were divided into those with WC < 90 cm in men or WC < 80 cm in women and those with WC  $\ge$  90 cm in men or  $\geq$  80 cm in women. Hemoglobin was measured with an XE-2100D (Sysmex, Kobe, Japan), and participants with a hemoglobin level < 13 g/dL in men or < 12 g/dL in women were designated anemic.

#### **Statistical analysis**

The data were analyzed with SAS, version 9.2 (SAS Institute INC., Cary, NC, USA) using PROC SURVEY procedures. We used the KNHANES sample weight adjusted for oversampling, non-response, and the Korean Population in 2008-2012 (3,4). The standard errors of estimates were calculated. Characteristics of participants included and excluded from the study were compared.

The prevalence of cataract and cataract surgery, including age group- and sex-specific prevalence, was estimated. Differences in the prevalence between sexes were estimated by the  $\chi^2$ test. Simple LRAs were conducted to investigate associations between cataract and a set of independent variables. Age-group-, sex-, and smoking-status-adjusted (ASS-adjusted) LRAs were subsequently performed. Covariates with a *P* value < 0.100 in each ASS-adjusted LRA were chosen for the multiple LRA. Age group, sex, and smoking status were always included in the multiple LRA, regardless of *P* values. The same set of analyses was also conducted for cataract surgery by estimating prevalence and determinants compared to 1) participants without any cataract surgery and 2) participants having a cataract in at least one eye but without any cataract surgery. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) values were calculated for all LRAs. *P* values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

#### **Ethics statement**

The present study protocol was reviewed and approved by the institutional review board of the Seoul National University Bundang Hospital (IRB No. X-1211/177-903). Informed consent was waived by the board.

### RESULTS

A total of 20,419 eligible subjects aged  $\geq$  40 years (8,777 men and 11,642 women) participated in KNHANES during the study period. Of these participants, 19,953 participants had slit-lamp examination data regarding the cataract state of at least one eye. Comparisons between participants with and without the examination data are provided in Supplementary Table S1.

#### Prevalence of cataract and cataract surgery

The overall prevalence of cataract was 42.28% (95% CI, 40.67-43.89); 40.82% (95% CI, 38.97-42.66) for men and 43.62% (95% CI, 41.91-45.33) for women (P = 0.606). The overall prevalence of cataract surgery was 7.75% (95% CI, 7.30-8.20); 6.38% (95% CI, 5.80-6.96) for men and 9.01% (95% CI, 8.41-9.61) for women (P < 0.001). Detailed sex- and age group-based prevalence can be seen in Table 1 and Fig. 1.

#### **Clinical determinants of cataract**

Results of the simple LRA are provided in Supplementary Table S2. Variables with a P value < 0.100 in ASS-adjusted LRAs were age group, household income, education, occupation, hypertension, DM, dyslipidemia, and OA or RA. In multiple LRA, cat-

aract were associated with older age (P < 0.001), men (P = 0.032), lower household income (P = 0.031), lower education (P < 0.001), hypertension (P < 0.001), and DM (P < 0.001). Detailed demographic results for each variable, ASS-adjusted LRAs, and multiple LRA are provided in Table 2.

#### Clinical determinants of cataract surgery

# Participants with cataract surgery vs. participants without any cataract surgery

Results of simple LRA are provided in Supplementary Table S2. Variables with a *P* value < 0.100 in ASS-adjusted LRAs were age group, sex, smoking status, occupation, residence, sun exposure, hypertension, DM, dyslipidemia, MI or IHD, OA or RA, asthma, and anemia. In multiple LRA, cataract surgery was associated with older age (P < 0.001), occupation (P < 0.001), hypertension (P = 0.011), DM (P < 0.001), OA or RA (P = 0.044),

**Table 1.** Weighted prevalences and frequencies of participants with any cataract (including cataract surgery) in at least one eye and participants with cataract surgery in at least one eye in the Korean population aged  $\geq$  40 years during the 5-year study period (2008-2012)

Demographic parameters	Participants with cataract	Participants with cataract surgery
Frequency	10,128	1,989
Prevalence (95% Cl)	42.28 (40.67-43.89)	7.75 (7.30-8.20)
Sex Men, Frequency Prevalence % (95% Cl) Women, Frequency Prevalence % (95% Cl)	4,355 40.82 (38.97-42.66) 5,773 43.62 (41.91-45.33)	774 6.38 (5.80-6.96) 1,215 9.01 (8.41-9.61)
Age groups, yr 40-49, Frequency Prevalence % (95% Cl) 50-59, Frequency Prevalence % (95% Cl) 60-69, Frequency Prevalence % (95% Cl) ≥ 70, Frequency Prevalence % (95% Cl)	578 11.11 (9.57-12.65) 1,862 35.65 (33.05-38.25) 3,507 71.77 (69.29-74.24) 4,181 94.15 (93.10-95.21)	51 0.95 (0.67-1.24) 132 2.44 (1.95-2.93) 495 10.42 (9.36-11.49) 1,311 30.63 (28.91-32.36)

CI, confidence interval



Fig. 1. Age- and sex-specific weighted prevalences of cataracts and cataract surgery in Korea during the 5-year study period (2008-2012).

Table 2. Comparison of characteristics of participants with cataracts (including cataract surgery) and without cataracts in at least one eye and its clinical determinants using logistic regression analyses (LRAs) from the 5-year data of the KNHANES (2008-2012)

Parameters	Participants without any cataract Participants with		Adjusted LRA (age group, sex, and smoking status)		Multivariate model LRA (using all variables with $P < 0.100$ in adjusted analysis)	
	No. (weighted %)	No. (weighted %)	OR (95% Cl)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value
Demographics						
Age groups, yr			P trend	< 0.001	P trend	< 0.001
40-49	4,775 (56.50)	578 (9.64)	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
50-59	3,426 (33.20)	1,862 (25.11)	4.46 (3.84-5.18)	< 0.001	3.76 (3.23-4.37)	< 0.001
60-69	1,349 (8.71)	3,507 (30.22)	20.85 (17.44-24.94)	< 0.001	14.72 (12.18-17.80)	< 0.001
≥ 70	275 (1.59)	4,181 (35.03)	129.20 (101.83-163.92)	< 0.001	83.37 (64.41-107.90)	< 0.001
Sex		, , , ,	· · · · ·			
Men	4,189 (49,05)	4.355 (46.18)	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
Women	5,636 (50,95)	5.773 (53.82)	0.94 (0.82-1.08)	0.379	0.85 (0.73-0.99)	0.032
Smokina		-, - ( ,	P trend	0.121	P trend	0.332
Never	5.797 (54.05)	5,753 (55,31)	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
Fx	1,919 (21,20)	2,442 (25,15)	1.03 (0.87-1.21)	0.754	1.00 (0.84-1.18)	0.966
Current	1,958 (24,76)	1 622 (19 54)	1 17 (0 99-1 37)	0.064	1 11 (0 94-1 31)	0.228
House income	1,000 (2 m d)	1,022 (1010 1)		01001		0.220
> 50%	6,098 (62,62)	3,481 (38,86)	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
< 50%	3 593 (37 38)	6 476 (61 14)	1 23 (1 09-1 38)	< 0.001	1 14 (1 01-1 28)	0.031
Education	0,000 (01.00)	0,110 (01111)	1.20 (1.00 1.00)	0.001	1111 (1101 1120)	0.001
> High school	6 141 (66 92)	2 827 (32 01)	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
< Middle school	3 527 (33 08)	6 994 (67 99)	1 31 (1 15-1 48)	< 0.001	1 24 (1 10-1 40)	< 0.001
	3,327 (33.00)	0,004 (07.00)	Ptrend	0.001	Ptrend	0.070
Blue collar	3 1 1 3 (3 3 2 1)	3 210 (33 20)	1 (reference)	0.000	1 (reference)	0.070
White collar	3,59/ (39,83)	1 304 (16 67)	0.84 (0.72-0.98)	0.027		0.244
Inoccupation	2,050 (26,06)	5 205 (50 04)	1.05 (0.01-1.22)	0.027	1.07(0.731.00)	0.378
Residence	2,950 (20.90)	3,293 (30.04)	1.03 (0.91-1.22)	0.495	1.07 (0.92=1.24)	0.570
lirhan	7 623 (79 50)	6 836 (71 02)	1 (reference)		NΛ	NΛ
Dural	2 202 (20 50)	2 202 (28 08)		0.542	NA NA	NA
Sup ovposuro	2,202 (20.30)	3,292 (20.90)	1.00 (0.04=1.30)	0.545	NA	NA
$\sim 5 \text{ hr/day}$	7 038 (82 67)	7 276 (75 30)	1 (reference)		NΛ	NΛ
$\leq 5 \ln/day$	1,900 (02.07)	2 772 (24 70)		0.257	NA NA	NA
≥ J III/uay Modical history	1,022 (17.33)	2,112 (24.10)	1.10 (0.95=1.50)	0.237	NA	NA
Hyportonoion	1 001 (17 51)	1 211 (11 20)	1 22 (1 20 1 40)	< 0.001	1 22 (1 00 1 27)	< 0.001
	1,001 (17.31) 502 (5.70)	4,311 (41.30)	1.33 (1.20-1.40)	< 0.001	1.22 (1.09-1.37)	< 0.001
Divi	1 114 (10 15)	1,007 (10.22)	1.74 (1.47-2.00)	< 0.001	1.00 (1.34-1.90)	0.001
Stroko	1.114 (10.13)	1,017 (10.23)	1.21 (1.07-1.37)	0.003	1.00 (0.94=1.23) NA	0.207
ML or IUD	134 (1.11)	441 (3.90) 546 (5.07)	1.20 (0.09-1.02)	0.224	NA NA	NA NA
	209 (1.70)	040 (0.07)	1.23 (0.94-1.00)	0.120		NA 0.000
UA UI KA Dulmanary Th	1,472 (12.01) E01 (E.00)	3,130 (20.04)	1.13(1.01-1.27)	0.030	1.07 (0.90-1.20)	0.223
Aothmo	091 (0.09) 004 (0.44)	651 (6.04)	1.00 (0.07-1.20)	0.010	NA NA	NA NA
Astillia Clinical manageroa	JJ4 (J.44)	001 (0.20)	1.13 (0.90-1.41)	0.295	NA	NA
DMI						
	6 406 (64 60)	6 600 (64 65)	t (reference)		NIA	NIA
< 20	0,400 (04.02)	0,029 (04.00)		0 100		NA NA
2 Z3	3,402 (33.36)	3,400 (33.33)	1.07 (0.97-1.17)	0.160	INA	NA
WU Normal	6 101 (04 00)		t (roforman)			
	0,131 (04.22)	0,37∠ (04.49)		0 100	NIA	NIA
≥ 90 cm (men)	3,094 (35.78)	4,700 (45.51)	1.09 (0.98-1.20)	0.106	INA	NA
≥ 80 cm (Women)		1 010 /10 00		0 500	<b>BIA</b>	N10
	031 (0.05)	1,013 (10.29)	1.00 (0.89-1.23)	0.568	NA	NA
Indsay carrier	317 (4.18)	220 (3.44)	1.02 (0.81-1.27)	0.8/3	NA	NA

KNHANES, Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; Unadjusted, unadjusted simple logistic regression analysis; Adjusted, age groups, sex, and smoking statusadjusted logistic regression analysis; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; Never, never-smoker; Ex, ex-smoker; Current, current smoker; DM, diabetes mellitus; MI, myocardial infarction; IHD, ischemic heart disease; OA, osteoarthritis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; Tb, tuberculosis; BMI, body mass index; WC, waist circumference; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; NA, not applicable.

asthma (P = 0.030), and anemia (P < 0.001). Detailed demographic results for each variable, ASS-adjusted LRAs, and multiple LRA are provided in Table 3. Participants with cataract surgery vs. participants with cataract but without any cataract surgery

Variables with a *P* value < 0.100 in ASS-adjusted LRAs were age group, sex, smoking status, occupation, residence, sun exposure,

Table 3. Comparison of characteristics of participants with or without cataract surgery in at least one eye and its clinical determinants using logistic regression analyses (LRAs) from the 5-year data of the KNHANES (2008-2012)

Parameters	Participants without any cataract surgery cataract surgery		Adjusted LRA (age group, sex, and smoking status)		Multivariate model LRA (using all variables with $P < 0.100$ in adjusted analysis)	
	No. (weighted %)	No. (weighted %)	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value
Demographics						
Age groups, yr			P trend	< 0.001	P trend	< 0.001
40-49	5,302 (39.39)	51 (4.51)	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
50-59	5,156 (31.49)	132 (9.36)	2.64 (1.82-3.82)	< 0.001	2.57 (1.74-3.80)	< 0.001
60-69	4,361 (17.29)	495 (23.95)	11.76 (8.47-16.33)	< 0.001	9.90 (6.90-14.22)	< 0.001
≥ 70	3.145 (11.83)	1.311 (62.18)	43.73 (31.81-60.13)	< 0.001	31.71 (22.08-45.54)	< 0.001
Sex	, , ,	, , , ,				
Men	7,770 (48,55)	774 (39.37)	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
Women	10.194 (51.45)	1.215 (60.63)	1.28 (1.05-1.56)	0.017	1.03 (0.82-1.29)	0.826
Smoking		, ( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	P trend	0.045	<i>P</i> trend	0.257
Never	10,418 (54,27)	1,132 (58,35)	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
Fx	3,841 (22,46)	520 (27.56)	1.27 (1.02-1.57)	0.031	1.19 (0.95-1.50)	0.139
Current	3 328 (23 27)	252 (14 10)	1 03 (0 81-1 29)	0.835	1.03 (0.81-1.31)	0.821
House income	0,020 (20.27)	202 (11110)	1.00 (0.01 1.20)	0.000	1.00 (0.01 1.01)	0.021
> 50%	8 634 (45 46)	519 (29 56)	1 (reference)		NA	NA
< 50%	9,060 (54,54)	1 435 (70 44)	1 03 (0 89-1 20)	0.661	ΝA	NΔ
Education	0,000 (04.04)	1,400 (70.44)	1.00 (0.00 1.20)	0.001	147 (	IW (
> High school	8 539 (54 70)	129 (22 82)	1 (reference)		NΔ	NΔ
< Middle school	9,000 (04.70)	1 /172 (77 18)	1 00 (0 02-1 20)	0.304	ΝΔ	NΔ
	3,043 (43.30)	1,472 (77.10)	D trond	0.004	Ptrend	< 0.001
Blue collar	1 720 (21 79)	150 (0.01)	1 (reference)	< 0.001	1 (reference)	< 0.001
White collar	4,739 (31.70) 5 975 (34 11)	139 (9.91)		0 102		0.211
Inconunction	5,075 (54.11) 6 052 (24.11)	1 202 (67 52)	1.23 (0.30-1.37)	< 0.001	1.10 (0.91-1.33)	< 0.001
Residence	0,953 (34.11)	1,292 (07.53)	1.30 (1.33-1.63)	< 0.001	1.43 (1.20-1.70)	< 0.001
Urban	13,114 (76.38)	1,345 (70.34)	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
Rural	4850 (23.62)	644 (29.66)	0.84 (0.72-0.98)	0.024	1.00 (0.84-1.17)	0.953
Sun exposure						
< 5 hr/day	13,746 (79.83)	1,468 (76.34)	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
≥ 5 hr/day	4,087 (20.17)	507 (23.66)	0.87 (0.75-1.01)	0.067	0.99 (0.84-1.17)	0.906
Medical history						
Hypertension	5,188 (25.45)	1,004 (52.30)	1.31 (1.15-1.49)	< 0.001	1.20 (1.04-1.38)	0.011
DM	1,835 (9.17)	445 (22.19)	1.67 (1.44-1.95)	< 0.001	1.46 (1.24-1.73)	< 0.001
Dyslipidemia	2,371 (12.22)	360 (18.53)	1.40 (1.17-1.67)	< 0.001	1.20 (1.00-1.45)	0.054
Stroke	468 (2.04)	107 (5.19)	1.12 (0.86-1.44)	0.401		
MI or IHD	603 (2.80)	152 (7.52)	1.49 (1.16-1.91)	0.002	1.21 (0.93-1.58)	0.156
OA or RA	3,831 (18.02)	777 (37.97)	1.26 (1.09-1.45)	< 0.001	1.16 (1.00-1.35)	0.044
Pulmonary Tb	1,250 (6.71)	148 (7.84)	0.92 (0.73-1.17)	0.514		
Asthma	821 (4.27)	164 (8.89)	1.35 (1.07-1.71)	0.011	1.30 (1.03-1.65)	0.030
Clinical measures						
BMI						
< 25	11,687 (64.36)	1,348 (67.92)	1 (reference)		NA	NA
≥ 25	6,236 (35.64)	634 (32.08)	0.92 (0.81-1.04)	0.196	NA	NA
WC						
Normal	10,488 (60.78)	1,015 (52.03)	1 (reference)		NA	NA
$\geq$ 90 cm (men)	7,476 (39.22)	974 (47.97)	1.02 (0.90-1.15)	0.769	NA	NA
$\geq$ 80 cm (women)						
Anemia	1,558 (8.42)	286 (16.15)	1.44 (1.20-1.73)	< 0.001	1.40 (1.16-1.70)	< 0.001
HBsAg carrier	659 (4.21)	35 (2.81)	0.94 (0.62-1.42)	0.758	NA	NA

KNHANES, Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; Unadjusted, unadjusted simple logistic regression analysis; Adjusted, age groups, sex, and smoking statusadjusted logistic regression analysis; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; Never, never-smoker; Ex, ex-smoker; Current, current smoker; DM, diabetes mellitus; MI, myocardial infarction; IHD, ischemic heart disease; OA, osteoarthritis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; Tb, tuberculosis; BMI, body mass index; WC, waist circumference; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; NA, not applicable.

hypertension, DM, dyslipidemia, MI or IHD, OA or RA, asthma, and anemia. In multiple LRA, cataract surgery was associated with older age (P < 0.001), occupation (P < 0.001), DM (P < 0.001), dyslipidemia (P = 0.049), asthma (P = 0.044), and anemia (P = 0.049)

0.007). Detailed demographic results for each variable, ASS-adjusted LRAs, and multiple LRA are provided in Table 4. Table 4. Comparison of characteristics of participants with cataract surgery in at least one eye to participants with cataracts but without any cataract surgery using logistic regression analyses (LRAs) from the 5-year data of the KNHANES (2008-2012)

Parameters	Participants with cataract but without cataract surgery	Participants with cataract surgery	Adjusted LRA (age group, sex, and smoking status)		Multivariate model LRA (using all variables with $P < 0.100$ in adjusted analysis)	
	No. (weighted %)	No. (weighted %)	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value
Demographics						
Age groups, yr			P trend	< 0.001	P trend	< 0.001
40-49	527 (10.79)	51 (4.51)	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
50-59	1,730 (28.64)	132 (9.36)	0.79 (0.53-1.17)	0.240	0.78 (0.51-1.19)	0.247
60-69	3,012 (31.63)	495 (23.95)	1.72 (1.20-2.45)	0.003	1.57 (1.07-2.31)	0.022
≥ 70	2.870 (28.94)	1.311 (62.18)	4.78 (3.38-6.76)	< 0.001	3.85 (2.62-5.66)	< 0.001
Sex	, ( )	/- (/			(	
Men	3.581 (47.71)	774 (39.37)	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
Women	4 558 (52 29)	1 215 (60 63)	1 25 (1 02-1 54)	0.035	1 02 (0 80-1 29)	0.859
Smoking	1,000 (02.20)	1,210 (00.00)	<i>P</i> trend	0.029	P trend	0.154
Never	4 621 (54 64)	1 132 (58 35)	1 (reference)	0.020	7 4014	0.101
Fy	1 022 (24 61)	520 (27 56)	1 23 (0 99-1 5/l)	0.061	1 17 (0 02-1 /8)	0.204
Current	1,322 (24.01)	252 (17, 10)	0.94 (0.74-1.19)	0.001	0.94 (0.74-1.20)	0.204
House income	1,070 (20.70)	202 (14.10)	0.04 (0.74 1.10)	0.022	0.04 (0.74 1.20)	0.010
	2 962 (40 94)	510 (20 56)	1 (reference)		NΛ	NΛ
< 50%	5 0/1 (50 06)	1 /35 (70 //)		0 708	NA	NA
Education	0,041 (00.00)	1,-00 (70)	0.07 (0.04 1.10)	0.700	NA	
	2 208 (34 03)	100 (00 80)	1 (reference)		NΛ	NΛ
∠ Middlo school	5 522 (65 07)	1 472 (22.02)		0 827	NA	NA
	3,322 (03.97)	1,472 (77.10)	0.90 (0.03-1.17) Dtrond	< 0.001	D trond	- 0.001
Blue collar	2 762 (25 65)	150 (0.01)	1 (reference)	< 0.001	1 (reference)	< 0.001
Mue collar	2,702 (33.03)	109 (9.91) 440 (00 EC)		0.010		0.060
Inconunction	1,140 (10.10)	440 (22.00)	1.55 (1.00-1.74)	0.010	1.29 (0.99-1.00)	0.000
Decidence	4,003 (40.19)	1,292 (07.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	< 0.001	1.42 (1.19-1.70)	< 0.001
Lithan		1 245 (70 24)	1 (reference)		t (reference)	
UIDall	0,491 (09.00) 0,649 (40.04)	1,343 (70.34)		0.010		0.600
Rura	2,040 (40.94)	044 (29.00)	0.62 (0.70-0.90)	0.012	0.97 (0.62-1.14)	0.003
Sull exposule		1 460 (76 04)	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
< 0 III/Uay	0,000 (70.07) 0,005 (04.00)	1,400 (70.34) E07 (02.66)		0.020		0.700
≥ 5 III/Uay	2,203 (24.93)	307 (23.00)	0.65 (0.72-0.99)	0.032	0.96 (0.63-1.16)	0.760
Ineurcal history	2 207 (20 05)	1 004 (50 20)		0.001	1 15 (0 00 1 00)	0.066
nypertension	3,307 (36.63)	1,004 (32.30)	1.20 (1.10-1.42)	0.001	1.10 (0.99-1.33)	0.000
DIVI	1,242 (14.89)	445 (22.19)	1.53 (1.31-1.79)	< 0.001	1.34 (1.13-1.38)	0.001
Dyslipidemia	1,237 (13.72)	300 (18.53)	1.39 (1.10-1.00)	< 0.001	1.21 (1.00-1.47)	0.049
Stroke	334 (3.01)	107 (5.19)	1.11 (0.85-1.43)	0.452		NA 0.174
	394 (4.53)	152 (7.52)	1.46 (1.14-1.88)	0.003	1.21 (0.92-1.58)	0.174
UA or RA	2,359 (26.81)	/// (37.97)	1.24 (1.08-1.43)	0.002	1.15 (0.99-1.33)	0.070
Pulmonary Ib	659 (8.09)	148 (7.84)	0.92 (0.73-1.17)	0.487	NA 1 00 (1 01 1 04)	NA
Asthma	487 (5.68)	164 (8.89)	1.33 (1.04-1.69)	0.021	1.29 (1.01-1.64)	0.044
Clinical measures						
BMI	F 001 (00 00)	1 0 40 (07 00)				
< 25	5,281 (63.92)	1,348 (67.92)	1 (reference)		NA	NA
≥ 25	2,834 (36.08)	634 (32.08)	0.91 (0.80-1.03)	0.123	NA	NA
WC						
Normal	4,357 (55.04)	1,015 (52.03)	1 (reference)		NA	NA
≥ 90 cm (men) ≥ 80 cm (women)	3,782 (44.96)	974 (47.97)	1.01 (0.89-1.15)	0.900	NA	NA
Anemia	727 (9.05)	286 (16.15)	1.44 (1.19-1.73)	< 0.001	1.41 (1.16-1.71)	0.001
HBsAg carrier	191 (3.57)	35 (2.81)	0.93 (0.60-1.43)	0.739	NA	NA

KNHANES, Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; Unadjusted, unadjusted simple logistic regression analysis; Adjusted, age groups, sex, and smoking statusadjusted logistic regression analysis; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; Never, never-smoker; Ex, ex-smoker; Current, current smoker; DM, diabetes mellitus; MI, myocardial infarction; IHD, ischemic heart disease; OA, osteoarthritis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; Tb, tuberculosis; BMI, body mass index; WC, waist circumference; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; NA, not applicable.

# DISCUSSION

and clinical determinants of cataract and cataract surgery based on a nationally representative database of over 20,000 participants aged  $\geq$  40 years. The KOS completed the five-year oph-

This study provided detailed estimates regarding the prevalence

thalmic survey project in 2012, accompanying the 2008-2012 KNHANES, and the present results are reported on behalf of the KOS. Since previous epidemiologic studies for cataract and cataract surgery vary considerably across regions, racial/ethnic groups, population structures, economic status, and healthcare systems (9-13), the results of this study are expected to provide a meaningful stepping stone for future investigations and establishing a public health plan.

The prevalence of cataract in participants aged  $\geq 40$  years in Korea was 42.28%, but in those aged  $\geq$  70 years, it was > 90%. Age was the most significant determinant for cataract even in the multiple LRA, as in all previous studies (11,12,14,15). Without appropriate cataract surgery, the effect of cataract on vision in an aged population can be easily guessed (1). Historically, the association between sex and cataract has not been consistent, showing either no association (11) or a higher incidence in women (13). Differences in ethnicity, public health states, childbearing rates, and socioeconomic status may play a role in these various associations (11,12,14-18). Interestingly, household income and education were associated with cataract, and occupation also had a marginal association (P = 0.070) with cataract. Although the underlying mechanism remains unknown, these socioeconomic determinants have also been associated with cataract in previous studies (11,15,16). The determinants of household income, education, and occupation may be surrogate markers for nutrition status, lifestyle, and living conditions. A recent report from the Physicians' Health Study (PHS) II showed that daily multivitamin use decreased the risk of cataract in well-nourished men of high social position (19), suggesting nutrition affects the development of cataract. Lastly, like many other studies (17,18,20), the present study also found that hypertension and DM had a positive association with cataract. Hypertension and DM are modifiable risk factors and should be better controlled to lessen the socioeconomic and public health burden of cataract.

The current study also estimated cataract surgery in Korea. The prevalence of cataract surgery in participants aged  $\geq 40$ years was 7.75%, increasing to 30.63% in those  $\geq$  70 years. Before comparing the prevalence of cataract surgery between countries, it should be noted that Korea has several remarkable factors/barriers affecting cataract surgery, such as medical resource availability, health insurance, costs, and healthcare utilization. First, Korea has had universal health insurance coverage since 1989 (21); Koreans have paid only 30% or less of their total medical fees, including cataract examination and surgery. In addition, the National Health Insurance provides health checkup programs to all Koreans every two years, including a vision test and referral system. Second, Korea has a relatively large number (approximately 3,000) of certificated ophthalmologists, and there are over 1,100 clinics/hospitals serving small-incision cataract surgeries. Cataract surgery is the most frequent surgery in

Korea, reaching 335,915-425,473 cases annually during the study period of 2008-2012. The corresponding cataract surgical rate was 7,000-8,900/million persons/year, which was one of the highest level in the world, especially considering the population structure of Korea (22,23). All these statistics were from the Korean Statistical Information Service (http://kosis.kr, accessed on 1 March 2014). Lastly, in Korea, the medical fees for hospital/clinics and physicians are set by the government for cost containment (21), and Korea has one of the cheapest medical fees (approximately 1,300 USD in 2012) for cataract surgery in the world (24). Based on these characteristics, Korea is assumed one of the countries that has minimized unmet needs and barriers for cataract surgery, and the prevalence of cataract surgery should be interpreted in light of these characteristics.

In addition, this study investigated clinical determinants for cataract surgery by comparing participants in two ways. Similar to the determinants of cataract stated above, age, occupation, and DM consistently had an association with cataract surgery in both two analyses. Interestingly, in addition to these covariates, cataract surgery was consistently associated with anemia and asthma, which are novel determinants for cataract surgery found in the present study. Anemia may be associated with aging, as a pro-inflammatory state accompanies aging and may give rise to anemia (25); moreover, inflammation is the secondmost cause of anemia and accounts for one-third of anemia in older persons (26,27). As inflammation plays an important role in the development of cataract, it partially explains the association between anemia and cataract surgery. Inflammation may also explain, at least partially, the association between asthma and cataract surgery; this is because increased systemic inflammation is common in asthmatics (28,29). In addition, inhaled corticosteroids are the recommended, preferred long-term therapy in asthma patients of all ages (30), and corticosteroids, even inhaled corticosteroids, are causally involved in cataract pathogenesis, especially in posterior subcapsular opacities, which often require early surgical treatment (31). Cataract surgery was also associated with OA or RA when analyzing all participants in the first analysis. The effect of OA or RA on cataract surgery might have been caused by the use of anti-inflammatory drugs (15). However, some studies have shown conflicting results for the association between cataract surgery and anti-inflammatory drugs (32,33). Lastly, in addition to DM, hypertension and dyslipidemia were associated with cataract surgery, as they had been for cataract. Both physicians and public health experts must pay attention to these modifiable clinical determinants, including cardiovascular diseases, anemia, and asthma.

However, although it was assumed that Korea has minimized barriers and unmet needs for cataract surgery, inevitable unmet needs for cataract surgery and/or lead time bias may affect the prevalence of and determinants for cataract surgery. The patients with OA or RA, asthma, or cardiovascular diseases may be more familiar with healthcare use, resulting in earlier detection of and intervention for cataract. In addition, the results indicating lower household income and lower education were positively associated with cataract, but not with cataract surgery, suggesting the presence of possible unmet needs for cataract surgery due to socioeconomic status. Although the present study, a cross-sectional study using a pre-existing database, did not examine a causal relationship, the results of this study provide directions for further investigations into unmet needs and socioeconomic determinants for cataract surgery. Further investigations, including longitudinal and qualitative studies, are warranted.

The present study has several limitations. The KNHANES did not included institutionalized individuals, and participants with no information on cataract status were excluded. This may cause selection bias in analyzing determinants for cataract and cataract surgery, as well as underestimating the prevalence of cataract and cataract surgery. The results should be interpreted under consideration of these limitations (4). In addition, this study did not provide cataract type-specific analyses, as classified by the LOCS III, for estimating the prevalence and determinants of cataract. Since small-incision cataract surgery, which has become commonly used in Korea and developed countries, reduces the importance of the cataract subtypes and its determinants. In addition, a recent study using the three-year data of the 2008-2010 KNHANES has already reported the cataract-type specific prevalence and risk factors for each cataract subtype (34).

In conclusion, the prevalence of cataract and cataract surgery was 40.28% and 7.75% respectively in Koreans aged  $\geq$  40 years, suggesting 9.4 million individuals with cataract and 1.7 million individuals with cataract surgery in at least one eye. In addition to the nationally representative estimates of cataract and cataract surgery from this populous Asian country, the current study also provides clinical determinants for cataract and cataract surgery. Since cataract has emerged as a public concern and the cost burden for cataract surgery has increased with an aging population, it is expected that the present study will form a cornerstone for further investigations and the establishment of a public health plan.

# **DISCLOSURE**

No conflicting relationship exists for any author of this study. The funding organization played no role in the design or conduct of this research.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION**

Research conception & design: Park SJ, Lee JH, Park KH. Data acquisition: Kang SW, Hyon JY, Park KH. Data analysis and in-

terpretation: Park SJ, Lee JH, Kang SW, Hyon JY, Park KH. Statistical analysis: Park SJ, Lee JH. Drafting of the manuscript: Park SJ, Lee JH, Park KH. Critical revision of the manuscript: Kang SW, Hyon JY, Park KH. Receiving grant: Park SJ. Approval of final manuscript: all authors.

# ORCID

Sang Jun Park http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0542-2758 Ju Hyun Lee http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5743-6751 Se Woong Kang http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2095-6977 Joon Young Hyon http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3620-1536 Kyu Hyung Park http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5516-8121

# REFERENCES

- 1. Pascolini D, Mariotti SP. Global estimates of visual impairment: 2010. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2012; 96: 614-8.
- 2. Blindness C. Vision 2020: the cataract challenge. *Community Eye Health* 2000; 13: 17-9.
- 3. Kweon S, Kim Y, Jang MJ, Kim Y, Kim K, Choi S, Chun C, Khang YH, Oh K. Data resource profile: the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES). *Int J Epidemiol* 2014; 43: 69-77.
- 4. Park SJ, Lee JH, Woo SJ, Ahn J, Shin JP, Song SJ, Kang SW, Park KH; Epidemiologic Survey Committee of the Korean Ophthalmologic Society. Agerelated macular degeneration: prevalence and risk factors from Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2008 through 2011. *Ophthalmology* 2014; 121: 1756-65.
- 5. Park SJ, Ahn S, Woo SJ, Park KH. Extent of exacerbation of chronic health conditions by visual impairment in terms of health-related quality of life. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 2015; 133: 1267-75.
- Park SJ, Lee JH, Woo SJ, Kang SW, Park KH; Epidemiologic Survey Committee of Korean Ophthalmologic Society. Five heavy metallic elements and age-related macular degeneration: Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2008-2011. *Ophthalmology* 2015; 122: 129-37.
- 7. Yoon KC, Mun GH, Kim SD, Kim SH, Kim CY, Park KH, Park YJ, Baek SH, Song SJ, Shin JP, et al. Prevalence of eye diseases in South Korea: data from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2008-2009. *Korean J Ophthalmol* 2011; 25: 421-33.
- Chylack LT Jr, Wolfe JK, Singer DM, Leske MC, Bullimore MA, Bailey IL, Friend J, McCarthy D, Wu SY; The Longitudinal Study of Cataract Study Group. The Lens Opacities Classification System III. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1993; 111: 831-6.
- 9. Seah SK, Wong TY, Foster PJ, Ng TP, Johnson GJ. Prevalence of lens opacity in Chinese residents of Singapore: the tanjong pagar survey. *Ophthalmology* 2002; 109: 2058-64.
- Congdon N, Vingerling JR, Klein BE, West S, Friedman DS, Kempen J, O'Colmain B, Wu SY, Taylor HR; Eye Diseases Prevalence Research Group. Prevalence of cataract and pseudophakia/aphakia among adults in the United States. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2004; 122: 487-94.
- 11. Athanasiov PA, Casson RJ, Sullivan T, Newland HS, Shein WK, Muecke JS, Selva D, Aung T. Cataract in rural Myanmar: prevalence and risk factors

from the Meiktila Eye Study. Br J Ophthalmol 2008; 92: 1169-74.

- 12. Duan XR, Liang YB, Wang NL, Wong TY, Sun LP, Yang XH, Tao QS, Yuan RZ, Friedman DS. Prevalence and associations of cataract in a rural Chinese adult population: the Handan Eye Study. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2013; 251: 203-12.
- 13. Mahdi AM, Rabiu M, Gilbert C, Sivasubramaniam S, Murthy GV, Ezelum C, Entekume G; Nigeria National Blindness and Visual Impairment Study Group. Prevalence and risk factors for lens opacities in Nigeria: results of the national blindness and low vision survey. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2014; 55: 2642-51.
- Foster PJ, Wong TY, Machin D, Johnson GJ, Seah SK. Risk factors for nuclear, cortical and posterior subcapsular cataracts in the Chinese population of Singapore: the Tanjong Pagar Survey. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2003; 87: 1112-20.
- 15. Chang JR, Koo E, Agrón E, Hallak J, Clemons T, Azar D, Sperduto RD, Ferris FL 3rd, Chew EY; Age-Related Eye Disease Study Group. Risk factors associated with incident cataracts and cataract surgery in the Age-related Eye Disease Study (AREDS): AREDS report number 32. *Ophthalmology* 2011; 118: 2113-9.
- Klein R, Klein BE, Jensen SC, Moss SE, Cruickshanks KJ. The relation of socioeconomic factors to age-related cataract, maculopathy, and impaired vision. The Beaver Dam Eye Study. *Ophthalmology* 1994; 101: 1969-79.
- 17. Klein BE, Klein R, Lee KE. Diabetes, cardiovascular disease, selected cardiovascular disease risk factors, and the 5-year incidence of age-related cataract and progression of lens opacities: the Beaver Dam Eye Study. Am J Ophthalmol 1998; 126: 782-90.
- 18. Leske MC, Wu SY, Hennis A, Connell AM, Hyman L, Schachat A. Diabetes, hypertension, and central obesity as cataract risk factors in a black population. The Barbados Eye Study. *Ophthalmology* 1999; 106: 35-41.
- Christen WG, Glynn RJ, Manson JE, MacFadyen J, Bubes V, Schvartz M, Buring JE, Sesso HD, Gaziano JM. Effects of multivitamin supplement on cataract and age-related macular degeneration in a randomized trial of male physicians. *Ophthalmology* 2014; 121: 525-34.
- 20. Tan JS, Wang JJ, Mitchell P. Influence of diabetes and cardiovascular disease on the long-term incidence of cataract: the Blue Mountains eye study. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2008; 15: 317-27.
- Anderson GE Universal health care coverage in Korea. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 1989; 8: 24-34.

- 22. Erie JC, Baratz KH, Hodge DO, Schleck CD, Burke JP. Incidence of cataract surgery from 1980 through 2004: 25-year population-based study. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2007; 33: 1273-7.
- 23. Lansingh VC, Resnikoff S, Tingley-Kelley K, Nano ME, Martens M, Silva JC, Duerksen R, Carter MJ. Cataract surgery rates in latin america: a fouryear longitudinal study of 19 countries. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2010; 17: 75-81.
- 24. International Federation of Health Plans (GB). 2012 Comparative price report. Available at http://www.ifhp.com [accessed on 3 March 2014].
- 25. Roy CN. Anemia of inflammation. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program* 2010; 2010: 276-80.
- 26. Guralnik JM, Eisenstaedt RS, Ferrucci L, Klein HG, Woodman RC. Prevalence of anemia in persons 65 years and older in the United States: evidence for a high rate of unexplained anemia. *Blood* 2004; 104: 2263-8.
- 27. Weiss G, Goodnough LT. Anemia of chronic disease. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 352: 1011-23.
- 28. Girdhar A, Kumar V, Singh A, Menon B, Vijayan VK. Systemic inflammation and its response to treatment in patients with asthma. *Respir Care* 2011; 56: 800-5.
- 29. Wood LG, Baines KJ, Fu J, Scott HA, Gibson PG. The neutrophilic inflammatory phenotype is associated with systemic inflammation in asthma. *Chest* 2012; 142: 86-93.
- National Asthma Education and Prevention Program. Expert Panel Report 3 (EPR-3): guidelines for the diagnosis and management of asthmasummary report 2007. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007; 120: S94-138.
- Weatherall M, Clay J, James K, Perrin K, Shirtcliffe P, Beasley R. Dose-response relationship of inhaled corticosteroids and cataracts: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Respirology* 2009; 14: 983-90.
- 32. Christen WG, Manson JE, Glynn RJ, Ajani UA, Schaumberg DA, Sperduto RD, Buring JE, Hennekens CH. Low-dose aspirin and risk of cataract and subtypes in a randomized trial of U.S. physicians. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 1998; 5: 133-42.
- Cumming RG, Mitchell P. Medications and cataract. The Blue Mountains Eye Study. *Ophthalmology* 1998; 105: 1751-8.
- 34. Rim TH, Kim MH, Kim WC, Kim TI, Kim EK. Cataract subtype risk factors identified from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination survey 2008-2010. BMC Ophthalmol 2014; 14: 4.

# JKMS

Characteristics	Included participants	Excluded participants	P value
No. (weighted %)	19,953 (97.34)	466 (2.66)	
Age, weighted mean (SE)	55.83 (0.14)	55.87 (0.83)	0.958
Sex, No. (weighted %)			0.002
Male	8,544 (47.84)	233 (56.37)	
Female	11,409 (52.16)	233 (43.63)	
Smoking, No. (weighted %)			0.010
Never-smoker	11,550 (54.57)	183 (48.90)	
Ex-smoker	4,361 (22.85)	68 (20.24)	
Current smoker	3,580 (22.58)	87 (30.85)	
House income, No. (weighted %)			0.005
> 50%	9,579 (52.62)	184 (42.54)	
≤ 50%	10,069 (47.38)	266 (57.46)	
Education, No. (weighted %)			0.437
$\geq$ High school	8,968 (47.71)	146 (54.94)	
≤ Middle school	10,521 (52.29)	191 (45.06)	
Occupation, No. (weighted %)			0.880
White collar	4,898 (30.13)	82 (30.92)	
Blue collar	6,323 (33.24)	105 (34.09)	
Inoccupation	8,245 (36.63)	150 (34.99)	
Residence, No. (weighted %)			0.852
Urban	14,459 (75.91)	346 (75.26)	
Rural	5,494 (24.09)	120 (24.74)	
Sun exposure, No. (weighted %)			0.862
< 5 hours/day	15,214 (79.56)	285 (80.08)	
$\geq$ 5 hours/day	4,594 (20.44)	75 (19.92)	
Hypertension, No. (weighted %)	6,192 (27.51)	118 (29.47)	0.547
DM, No. (weighted %)	2,280 (10.17)	48 (12.30)	0.261
Dyslipidemia, No. (weighted %)	2,731 (12.70)	44 (11.77)	0.647
Stroke, No. (weighted %)	575 (2.28)	12 (2.69)	0.672
MI or IHD, No. (weighted %)	755 (3.16)	18 (3.42)	0.774
OA or RA, No. (weighted %)	4,608 (19.55)	90 (18.54)	0.682
Pulmonary Tb, No. (weighted %)	1,398 (6.80)	28 (9.55)	0.156
Asthma, No. (weighted %)	985 (4.62)	28 (6.33)	0.152
Body mass index, No. (weighted %)			0.542
< 25	13,035 (64.63)	297 (62.87)	
≥ 25	6,870 (35.37)	151 (37.13)	
Waist circumference, No. (weighted %)			0.877
Normal	11,503 (60.10)	280 (59.66)	
≥ 90 cm (men)	8,450 (39.90)	186 (40.34)	
$\geq$ 80 cm (women)			
Anemia, No. (weighted %)	1,844 (8.97)	47 (11.64)	0.129
HBsAg carrier, No. (weighted %)	704 (4.10)	14 (4.37)	0.842

Supplementary Table S1. Comparisons of participants aged ≥ 40 years included in and excluded from the study in the KNHANES during 5-year study period (2008-2012)

KNHANES, Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; SE, standard error; DM, diabetes mellitus; MI, myocardial infarction; IHD, ischemic heart disease; OA, osteoarthritis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; Tb, tuberculosis; WC, waist circumference; HbsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen. Supplementary Table S2. Results of unadjusted logistic regression analyses (LRAs) between potential determinants and 1) cataracts and 2) cataract surgery from the 5-year data of the KNHANES (2008-2012)

Deremetera	Unadjusted LRA for c	ataract	Unadjusted LRA for cataract surgery		
Parameters	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value	
Demographics					
Age groups	P trend	< 0.001	P trend	< 0.001	
40-49	1 (reference)		1 (reference)		
50-59	4.43 (3.81-5.15)	< 0.001	2.59 (1.80-3.35)	< 0.001	
60-69	20.34 (17.03-24.29)	< 0.001	12.09 (8.78-16.64)	< 0.001	
≥ 70	128.79 (101.82-162.90)	< 0.001	45.88 (33.64-62.58)	< 0.001	
Sex					
Men	1 (reference)		1 (reference)		
Women	1 12 (1 05-1 19)	< 0.001	1 45 (1 30-1 63)	< 0.001	
Smoking	P trend	< 0.001	<i>P</i> trend	< 0.001	
Never	1 (reference)	0.001	1 (reference)	0.001	
Fx	1 16 (1 07-1 26)	< 0.001	1 14 (1 00-1 30)	0.052	
Current	0.77 (0.70-0.85)	< 0.001	0.56 (0.47-0.67)	< 0.002	
House income	0.11 (0.10 0.00)	< 0.001	0.00 (0.47 0.07)	< 0.001	
> 50%	1 (reference)		1 (reference)		
< 50%	2.64(2.41,2.80)	< 0.001		< 0.001	
≤ J0 %	2.04 (2.41-2.09)	< 0.001	2.00 (2.49-3.20)	< 0.001	
	1 (reference)		1 (reference)		
≥ ⊓igii school		- 0.001		- 0.001	
	4.30 (3.92-4.71)	< 0.001	4.06 (3.30-4.06)	< 0.001	
	P trend	< 0.001		< 0.001	
Blue collar		0.001		0.001	
white collar	0.42 (0.37-0.47)	< 0.001	0.47 (0.38-0.59)	< 0.001	
Inoccupation	1.85 (1.66-2.06)	< 0.001	2.99 (2.57-3.48)	< 0.001	
Residence					
Urban	1 (reference)		1 (reference)		
Rural	1.58 (1.34-1.87)	< 0.001	1.34 (1.18-1.57)	< 0.001	
Sun exposure					
< 5 hr/day	1 (reference)		1 (reference)		
≥ 5 hr/day	1.57 (1.39-1.77)	< 0.001	1.23 (1.07-1.41)	0.004	
Medical history					
Hypertension	3.31 (3.05-3.61)	< 0.001	3.21 (2.87-3.59)	< 0.001	
DM	3.15 (2.76-3.59)	< 0.001	2.82 (2.45-3.25)	< 0.001	
Dyslipidemia	1.72 (1.55-1.90)	< 0.001	1.63 (1.40-1.90)	< 0.001	
Stroke	3.62 (2.86-4.58)	< 0.001	2.63 (2.05-3.38)	< 0.001	
MI or IHD	2.95 (2.39-3.64)	< 0.001	2.82 (2.25-3.53)	< 0.001	
OA or RA	2.76 (2.53-3.01)	< 0.001	2.79 (2.47-3.14)	< 0.001	
Pulmonary Tb	1.40 (1.22-1.61)	< 0.001	1.18 (0.95-1.48)	0.143	
Asthma	1.87 (1.57-2.22)	< 0.001	2.16 (1.75-2.67)	< 0.001	
Clinical measures					
BMI					
< 25	1 (reference)		1 (reference)		
≥ 25	1.00 (0.93-1.08)	0.971	0.85 (0.76-0.96)	0.007	
WC					
Normal	1 (reference)	< 0.001	1 (reference)		
≥ 90 cm (men)	1.50 (1.39-1.62)		1.43 (1.28-1.60)	< 0.001	
≥ 80 cm (women)	. ,		· · · /		
Anemia	1.31 (1.16-1.47)	< 0.001	2.10 (1.78-2.47)	< 0.001	
HBsAg carrier	0.77 (0.64-0.93)	0.006	0.70 (0.46-1.07)	0.102	

KNHANES, Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; Unadjusted, unadjusted simple logistic regression analysis; Adjusted, age groups, sex, and smoking statusadjusted logistic regression analysis; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; Never, never-smoker; Ex, ex-smoker; Current, current smoker; DM, diabetes mellitus; MI, myocardial infarction; IHD, ischemic heart disease; OA, osteoarthritis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; Tb, tuberculosis; BMI, body mass index; WC, waist circumference; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen.