# **BMJ Open** The current understanding of precision medicine and personalised medicine in selected research disciplines: study protocol of a systematic concept analysis

Nicola Brew-Sam <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Anne Parkinson <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Christian Lueck <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>2,3</sup> Ellen Brown <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Karen Brown <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>1,4</sup> Anne Bruestle <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>5</sup> Katrina Chisholm <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Simone Collins, <sup>4</sup> Matthew Cook <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>5</sup> Eleni Daskalaki <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>6</sup> Janet Drew <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Harry Ebbeck, <sup>1</sup> Mark Elisha <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Vanessa Fanning <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Adam Henschke <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>7</sup> Jessica Herron, <sup>4</sup> Emma Matthews, <sup>4</sup> Krishnan Murugappan <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>8,9</sup> Dragomir Neshev <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>10</sup> Christopher J Nolan <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>2,5,11</sup> Lachlan Pedley <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Christine Phillips <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>2</sup> Hanna Suominen <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>6,12</sup> Antonio Tricoli <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>8,13</sup> Kristine Wright <sup>(b)</sup>, <sup>4</sup> Jane Desborough <sup>(b)</sup> <sup>1</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

**To cite:** Brew-Sam N, Parkinson A, Lueck C, *et al.* The current understanding of precision medicine and personalised medicine in selected research disciplines: study protocol of a systematic concept analysis. *BMJ Open* 2022;**12**:e060326. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-060326

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-060326).

Received 20 December 2021 Accepted 08 August 2022

#### Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

#### **Correspondence to**

Dr Nicola Brew-Sam; Nicola.Brew-Sam@anu.edu.au **Introduction** The terms 'precision medicine' and 'personalised medicine' have become key terms in health-related research and in science-related public communication. However, the application of these two concepts and their interpretation in various disciplines are heterogeneous, which also affects research translation and public awareness. This leads to confusion regarding the use and distinction of the two concepts. Our aim is to provide a snapshot of the current understanding of these concepts.

Methods and analysis Our study will use Rodgers' evolutionary concept analysis to systematically examine the current understanding of the concepts 'precision medicine' and 'personalised medicine' in clinical medicine, biomedicine (incorporating genomics and bioinformatics), health services research, physics, chemistry, engineering, machine learning and artificial intelligence, and to identify their respective attributes (clusters of characteristics) and surrogate and related terms. A systematic search of the literature will be conducted for 2016-2022 using databases relevant to each of these disciplines: ACM Digital Library, CINAHL, Cochrane Library, F1000Research, IEEE Xplore, PubMed/Medline, Science Direct, Scopus and Web of Science. These are among the most representative databases for the included disciplines. We will examine similarities and differences in definitions of 'precision medicine' and 'personalised medicine' in the respective disciplines and across (sub)disciplines, including attributes of each term. This will enable us to determine how these two concepts are distinguished.

**Ethics and dissemination** Following ethical and research standards, we will comprehensively report the methodology for a systematic analysis following Rodgers' concept analysis method. Our systematic concept analysis will contribute to the clarification of the two concepts and distinction in their application in given settings and circumstances. Such a broad concept analysis will contribute to non-systematic syntheses of the concepts,

## STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ In contrast to previous studies, we examine the definitions of 'precision medicine' and 'personalised medicine' in specific selected disciplines in order to facilitate interdisciplinary communication, translational medical research and implementation science.
- ⇒ Moreover, we analyse these two concepts systematically and base our review on renowned concept analysis methodology.
- ⇒ Our study will contribute to the clarification of the two concepts, their attributes and differences in various disciplines.
- ⇒ Concepts are constantly developing and their meanings change over time, and hence, it is not our objective to deliver an unequivocal definition.

or occasional systematic reviews on one of the concepts that have been published in specific disciplines, in order to facilitate interdisciplinary communication, translational medical research and implementation science.

## INTRODUCTION

The terms 'precision medicine' and 'personalised medicine' are increasingly used in health-related research. However, the interpretation of these concepts and their application in various disciplines is heterogeneous.<sup>12</sup> The terms are often used in relation to specific diseases (eg, cancer) with an applied focus but without a detailed definition or specification of the underlying concepts. Non-systematic syntheses of (one of) the concepts<sup>3 4</sup> or occasional systematic reviews on (one or other of) the concepts have been published in specific

BMJ

disciplines.<sup>5 6</sup> But, so far, understanding of the concepts has not been systematically clarified and compared across disciplines. Erikainen and Chan<sup>3</sup> report that disciplines have inconsistent, and potentially contrary, understandings of precision medicine or personalised medicine, as well as differing preferences of terms describing similar entities (for an exemplary variety of definitions see table 1).

For example, discussion in biomedical literature has relatively early started to express criticism of the term 'personalised medicine' arguing that this term implies unrealistic optimism and promises in relation to what biomedical technology will be able to deliver. According to Schleidgen *et al*<sup> $\tilde{p}$ </sup> 'personalised medicine' wrongly implies a focus on the interests and preferences of an individual and the provision of patient-centred medicine through personalised medicine alone. A similar statement was put forward by the United States National Academies.<sup>7</sup> Schleidgen *et al*<sup>b</sup> recommended the use of the term 'stratified medicine' instead of 'personalised medicine' to present a more realistic vision of the underlying concept.<sup>3</sup> Similarly, 'precision' promises a level of certainty<sup>8</sup> 'that is unlikely to be reflected in the realities of precision medicine'.

However, other disciplines (including medical ethics, critical studies of biosciences, patient-centred research) have criticised Schleidgen *et al*'s view, suggesting it can only be applied in a biomedical context, emphasising that 'personalised medicine' should be clearly distinguished from 'patient-centred care'<sup>3</sup>

Schleidgen *et al*'s definition can be seen as privileging the biological and molecular interpretation of 'personalisation' as group-level treatment stratification, to the explicit and purposeful exclusion of patient-centred interpretations.<sup>3</sup>

To summarise, the understanding and use of the concepts 'personalised' and 'precision' medicine is discipline dependent, which may also influence discussions on a policy level.<sup>9–12</sup> The latter can be shown by countryspecific preferences at a policy level. For example, while the discussion is mostly focusing on precision medicine in the USA since the Precision Medicine Initiative was launched in 2015,<sup>11</sup> the European Union set up an International Consortium for Personalised Medicine (ICPerMed) in 2016.<sup>13</sup> Yet, the distinction of the two concepts is not clear enough to argue how the two terms differ. Preferences and an understanding largely depend on historical developments of the terms in specific contexts, leading to varying interpretations (eg, on a research and a policy level). This variety in interpretations, going along with the use of different terms, can have negative consequences, including the creation of different representations or beliefs in people when using a variety of terms, or a reinforcement of inaccuracies in definitions.<sup>14</sup>

A first step towards more clarity is an understanding of current differences and similarities regarding precision and personalised medicine across disciplines in research. Further research can then examine how these current interpretations developed historically (concept revisions) and how they influence(d) policy.

Our Health in Our Hands (OHIOH) is a multidisciplinary research project at the Australian National University which brings together the disciplines of clinical medicine, biomedicine (incorporating genomics and bioinformatics) and health services research; physics, chemistry and engineering; machine learning and artificial intelligence. These disciplines are the focus of this paper. OHIOH aims to advance digitalisation and personalisation of healthcare using a coproduction approach with partners from research, lived experience, healthcare professionals and health services.<sup>15</sup> OHIOH research includes studies on the experiences of people living with multiple sclerosis (MS)<sup>16</sup> and type 1 diabetes.<sup>17</sup> A recently published OHIOH paper<sup>18</sup> discusses the understanding and experiences of people living with MS in order to emphasise the importance of personalised medicine in MS treatment and care. In the course of drafting the manuscript, discussions around 'personalised' and 'precision' medicine revealed that the understanding of these two concepts varied greatly between the researchers in the various disciplines involved in OHIOH.

## **Objective**

The aim of this study is to examine the current understanding of the concepts 'precision medicine' and 'personalised medicine' in clinical medicine, biomedicine (incorporating genomics and bioinformatics) and health services research; physics, chemistry and engineering; machine learning and artificial intelligence using Rodgers'<sup>19</sup> concept analysis to identify concept attributes (clusters of characteristics) and to determine how these two concepts are distinguished in these selected disciplines and potential subdisciplines. We will answer the following research questions (RQ):

RQ1: What is the current understanding of 'precision medicine' and 'personalised medicine' in clinical medicine, biomedicine (incorporating genomics and bioinformatics) and health services research; physics, chemistry and engineering; machine learning and artificial intelligence, and what are similarities and differences in definitions in the respective disciplines and across different (sub)disciplines?

RQ2: What are the related and surrogate terms for 'precision medicine' and 'personalised medicine' in each of the selected disciplines?

It is important to understand how the two concepts are currently interpreted and understood in the individual disciplines in order to be able to create consistent understanding of the concept interpretations and to reduce ambiguity in the literature. This current interpretation does not include concept revisions over time which could be examined in follow-up research. As concepts are constantly developing and their meanings change over time, it is not our objective to deliver an unequivocal definition.

Concept	Year	Authors, journal/source	Discipline (Scopus)*	Definition
Precision medicine	2017	Marson et al, Frontiers in Pharmacology <sup>32</sup>	Medicine: pharmacology; pharmacology, toxicology, pharmaceutics: pharmacology	In precision medicine, the individual is understood "as a response to the interrelation between environment, lifestyle, and genetic factors".
	2020	Elemento, <i>Emerging Topics in Life</i> Sciences <sup>33</sup>	Biochemistry, genetics and molecular biology: general biochemistry, genetics and molecular biology	Precision medicine is "personalized medicine enhanced by technology".
	2020	Ho et al, Trends in Biotechnology <sup>34</sup>	Biochemistry, genetics and molecular biology: biotechnology; chemical engineering: bioengineering	"From an engineering perspective, precision medicine involves the use of technologies to acquire and validate population-wise data, such as omics-based single-cell analysis and biomarker discovery, for subsequent application on the individual patient level."
	2021	Ong et al, Asian Bioethics Review <sup>35</sup>	Arts and humanities: philosophy; social sciences: health; medicine: health policy	"Precision medicine (PM) aims to improve healthcare with the use of genomic analyses and data analytics to develop tailored approaches to predicting disease progression and treatment responses for individual patients."
	2021	US National Library of Medicine <sup>36</sup>	(Medicine)	"Clinical, therapeutic and diagnostic approaches to optimal disease management based on individual variations in a patient's genetic profile."
Personalised medicine	2013	Schleidgen et al, BMC Medical Ethics <sup>5</sup>	Nursing: ethics and legal aspects; social sciences: health; medicine: health policy	Personalized medicine "is not medicine with a special focus on the interests and preferences of the individual patient" and it "is not related to the term patient-centered medicine".
	2017	Marson et al, Frontiers in Pharmacology $^{32}$	Medicine: pharmacology; pharmacology, toxicology, pharmaceutics: pharmacology	Personalized medicine is "the treatment directed at the symptoms, and this treatment is adjusted depending on the patient's phenotype".
	2015/2020	Council of the European Union/European Commission <sup>913</sup>	(Health policy)	"Personalised medicine refers to a medical model using characterisation of individuals' phenotypes and genotypes (eg, molecular profiling, medical imaging, lifestyle data) for tailoring the right therapeutic strategy for the right person at the right time, and/or to determine the predisposition to disease and/or to deliver timely and targeted prevention. Personalised medicine relates to the broader concept of patient-centred care, which takes into account that, in general, healthcare systems need to better respond to patient needs."
	2020	Ho et al, Trends in Biotechnology <sup>34</sup>	Biochemistry, genetics and molecular biology: biotechnology; chemical engineering: bioengineering	"Personalized medicine involves the use of technologies to seriously acquire and assess an individual's own data for only their own treatment. For example, this may involve the use of artificial intelligence (AI) to both design a drug combination based on a patient's own biopsy and follow with N-of-1 dosing protocols."
	2021	Fournier et al, Journal of Personalized Medicine <sup>14</sup>	Medicine: medicine (miscellaneous)	"PM seems to have a wide scope, encompassing many practices, including targeted therapies (TT) It seems there are several terms used to name PM Indeed, it is possible to define PM as 'targeted therapy', 'pharmacogenomics' or 'precision medicine' depending on the author, the domain or the definition"

3

## METHODS AND ANALYSIS Protocol development

We used the 17 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) throughout the development of our study protocol<sup>20</sup> (see online supplemental file 1). Our systematic data collection and analysis will similarly follow the subsequent PRISMA.<sup>21</sup> Amendments to the study protocol will be reported in the final published systematic concept analysis manuscript.

## **Concept analysis approach**

A concept analysis aims to clarify a concept (eg, attributes, antecedents, consequences).<sup>19</sup> Such a concept clarification enables assessment of a concept's strengths and weaknesses.<sup>22</sup> Based on Walker and Avant's<sup>23</sup> traditional approach who view concepts as static entities which are independent of context and have clear boundaries - derived from Wilson's method<sup>24</sup> which is based on realism (deductive analysis) - Rodgers<sup>19</sup> developed an evolutionary concept analysis based on relativism (inductive analysis). Rodgers<sup>19</sup> viewed concepts as dynamic and evolving phenomena without strict boundaries. This took account of the fact that concepts are constantly developing and their meanings change over time, and hence it is not possible for an analysis to deliver an unequivocal definition.<sup>22</sup> Moreover, concepts are understood differently in different disciplines due to what Rodgers<sup>19</sup> calls 'enculturation' within individual disciplines. Thus, it is important to clarify the selection of disciplines being focused on when using Rodgers' approach to a concept analysis.

Bearing in mind the changing and non-static understanding of the terms 'precision medicine' and 'personalised medicine' over time, Rodgers' approach appears to be a good fit for analysis. Our analysis of 'personalised' and 'precision' medicine will only represent a snapshot of the current understanding of these concepts in the literature pertaining to clinical medicine, biomedicine (incorporating genomics and bioinformatics) and health services research; physics, chemistry and engineering; machine learning and artificial intelligence. Nevertheless, it is essential to highlight any differences and similarities between disciplines to inform current and future research, aiming to standardise and generate a uniform approach if at all possible. We will not look into revisions of definitions over time, the focus is on the current understanding of the two concepts.

Rodgers'<sup>19</sup> concept analysis has generated a lot of attention in the healthcare context and has become a recognised method of concept clarification. For example, Hudon *et al*<sup>25</sup> used Rodgers' concept analysis approach to analyse 'enablement' in a healthcare context. In Rodgers' method of concept analysis,<sup>19</sup> concepts are considered to be abstractions that are expressed in an arbitrary form. They constitute a mental (re)grouping of a number of attributes. Hudon *et al*<sup>25</sup> define attributes as characteristics of concepts that must be present for the recognition

of the concept as an entity. Concept analyses are employed in developing valid measuring instruments which can evaluate the attributes of a concept (determining whether there is good content validity).<sup>25</sup>

## **Concept analysis procedure**

Rodgers<sup>,19</sup> concept analysis is divided into six steps, comprising (1) the identification of the concepts of interest and associated expressions and background, (2) the selection of an appropriate realm for data collection (setting, sample and data sources), (3) the collection of data relevant to identifying concept attributes and the contextual concept basis, (4) the analysis and data summary regarding the concept characteristics, (5) the identification of concept examples and (6) the identification of implications for further concept development.

## Concept identification (step 1)

By way of example, Viana *et at*<sup>26</sup> state that 'precision medicine' and 'precision health' are not identical:

Distinct from precision medicine, precision health takes a lifespan perspective in health monitoring, identifying actionable risks and intervening early.<sup>26</sup>

Our systematic concept analysis will focus on the clarification of the two concepts 'precision medicine' and 'personalised medicine'. Surrogate and related terms will not be identified in advance: surrogate terms are other terms used to describe identical concepts, while related terms describe entities that are not identical but have something in common with the concepts under analysis.<sup>19</sup> The exploration of these will be conducted at a later step in the full-text analysis of the included papers (eg Pueyo-Garrigues *et al*<sup>27</sup>). Related (but not identical) concepts such as individualised care, stratified medicine, P4 (predictive, preventive, personalised and participatory) medicine, genomic medicine or patient-centred care will be collected in the systematic review alongside definitions and interpretations derived from analysis of the full texts. Similarly, replacement terms for 'medicine' in 'precision/personalised medicine' such as 'health(care)', 'treatment', 'therapy/therapeutics', 'medical care' or similar composite terms<sup>28</sup> will be collected during full-text analysis. Since related concepts are not identical with precision or personalised medicine, these are not central to the focus of this study. The main focus will be on the two terms 'precision medicine' and 'personalised medicine'.

## Setting, sample and data source selection and data collection (steps 2 and 3)

As above, the disciplines of clinical medicine, biomedicine (incorporating genomics and bioinformatics) and health services research; physics, chemistry and engineering; machine learning and artificial intelligence were selected for analysis due to their key roles in OHIOH, which is an interdisciplinary research collaboration. The analysis might also reveal diverse understandings of the concepts

Table 2	Collection of databases	for the selected	disciplines
---------	-------------------------	------------------	-------------

Database	Disciplines
Association for Computing Machinery Digital Library	Machine learning and artificial intelligence
CINAHL	Clinical medicine Health services research
Cochrane Library	All included disciplines
F1000Research	Biomedicine
IEEE Xplore Digital Library	Machine learning and artificial intelligence
PubMed/Medline	Clinical medicine Biomedicine Health services research Medical informatics
Science Direct	All included disciplines
Scopus	Clinical medicine Health services research Medical informatics Physics Chemistry Engineering
Web of Science	Clinical medicine Health services research Physics Chemistry Engineering

in subdisciplines of the selected disciplines. This will be considered adequately in the analysis.

The search strategy will be used to search a number of databases relevant to each of these disciplines. We will use the databases Association for Computing Machinery (ACM) Digital Library, CINAHL, Cochrane Library, F1000Research, IEEE Xplore Digital Library, PubMed/ Medline, Science Direct, Scopus and Web of Science (table 2). These are among the most representative and commonly used databases for the included disciplines.

They were selected based on a more extensive list of databases from which several were excluded for reasons as specified in table 3.

Once identified, the relevant discipline of a given publication will be defined according to the chosen publication's profile in Scopus (www.scopus.com). Scopus delivers a detailed categorisation and classification of journals into disciplines.

Additional manual hand searching will be carried out to identify potentially relevant articles that might have been missed in the searches of the above databases (eg, references of papers).

The search strategy, developed after an initial exploratory search of the literature, will look for articles that mention 'precision medicine' and/or 'personalised medicine' in their titles in addition to 'defin\*' (definition/ define) or 'concept\*' in the full text. Both British and American spelling will be accepted ('personalised'/'personalized' medicine). As an example, a PubMed search string for precision and personalised medicine will include:

((precision medicine[Title]) OR (personalised medicine[Title]) OR (personalized medicine[Title])) AND ((defin\*[Text Word]) OR (concept\*[Text Word])).

The search will be limited to scientific research papers in English language published in peer-reviewed academic journals. Moreover, the search will be limited to articles published from 2016 to 2022 in order to capture the current understanding of these concepts following the introduction of major initiatives such as the Precision Medicine Initiative<sup>11</sup> or the International Consortium for Personalised Medicine (ICPerMed) in 2015 and 2016.<sup>13</sup>

Guidance from Rodgers advises that each discipline should be represented by approximately 20% of the overall included references. If a larger number of relevant studies are returned in our search results, we will reduce the number for analysis in each discipline by selecting every fifth article starting from a random article.

Table 3     Considered but excluded databases		
Database	Disciplines	Exclusion reason
ACL Anthology	Machine learning and artificial intelligence	Poster and conference proceedings
arXiv (Cornell University)	Machine learning and artificial intelligence	Pre-print server
DBLP Computer Science Bibliography	Machine learning and artificial intelligence	Limited search options (by publication only) Pilot searches returned poor results
EMBASE	Biomedicine	Unable to access
Google Scholar	Clinical medicine Health services research Physics Chemistry Engineering Machine learning and artificial intelligence	Searches difficult to refine Large volume of irrelevant results
Papers With Code	Machine learning and artificial intelligence	No advanced search option Could not restrict to peer reviewed journal papers
Research Square	Biomedicine	Mainly preprints No advanced search option No export option

#### Box 1 Eligibility criteria for articles

- $\Rightarrow$  Publications in peer-reviewed academic journals.
- ⇒ Published in the disciplines of clinical medicine, biomedicine (incorporating genomics and bioinformatics) and health services research; physics, chemistry and engineering; machine learning and artificial intelligence (discipline defined by the chosen publication's profile in Scopus).
- $\Rightarrow$  Published between 2016 and 2022 (including papers under review).
- $\Rightarrow$  Published in English language.
- ⇒ Having a main focus on clarifying at least one of the concepts 'precision medicine' or 'personalised medicine', beyond that of a simple definition and contributing to a deeper understanding of the concept(s) using theoretical or empirical studies—publications that do not deliver any substantial contribution regarding the clarification of the concepts are to be excluded.
- ⇒ Empirical studies will be included if they serve the purpose of concept clarification (eg, hybrid concept analysis which combines empirical research with the analysis of a concept).

Any articles with a main focus on clarifying at least one of the concepts, beyond that of a simple definition and contributing to a deeper understanding of the concept(s) will be included (box 1). Articles that do not offer any substantial (theoretical) basis underlying the clarification of the concepts will be excluded. Empirical studies will be included if they serve the purpose of concept clarification (eg, hybrid concept analysis which combines empirical research/fieldwork such as expert interviews with the analysis of a concept<sup>29</sup>).

## Analysis, data summary and identification of examples (steps 4 and 5)

The study selection procedure will follow standard practice, that is, an initial first search by one researcher who will remove any duplicates, followed by screening of titles and abstracts and then full article screening, by several researchers from the included disciplines. We recognise that due to the nature of our inquiry, it may not be apparent at the abstract and title screening stage whether articles discuss concepts in detail; this will be determined at the full-text screening stage. Any disagreements regarding article inclusion will be resolved through discussion with additional researchers. Search and inclusion results will be displayed using a PRISMA flow diagram. A search/study log book will be used to support study reliability estimation, with notes about the search and data collection procedure taken by the researchers throughout the data collection.

Data will be managed using a reference manager (Endnote), an Excel list with study details and data extraction summaries and the systematic review management programme Covidence to organise data collection and analysis steps.

For full-text analysis, every included article will be read with a focus on extracting information relevant to the interpretation and definition of the two concepts, as well as their contextual basis, their attributes and any related and/or surrogate terms (table 4). Data extracted from the eligible papers will include the journal name, research discipline/context (including subdiscipline), authors, year, citation, study aim, definitions of the concepts, attributes/characteristics, concept differences/ similarities, related and surrogate terms, quality appraisal and further notes. For empirical studies—if the final analysis will include these—risk of bias will be assessed using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool.<sup>30</sup>

Several researchers with expertise in cooperating across the included disciplines (who participated in the abstract and full article screening) will initially extract data from five to ten papers for the extraction to be compared in order to reach consensus for the further extraction. The subjectivity of the views of involved researchers will be identified and discussed through intercoder comparisons, and differences will be resolved through discussion with additional researchers, if necessary. In the following, data will be extracted from all remaining included articles by the same researchers.

Rodgers' concept analysis method will guide a narrative synthesis, an example can be found in Miles and Huberman.<sup>31</sup> The findings will be compared, with (dis) similarities within the disciplines analysed.<sup>22</sup> Through this process, patterns will be revealed and main themes will be identified. This is a continuous process of data analysis, the data being reorganised until a descriptive pattern of themes is reached.<sup>19</sup> A data summary requires consensus regarding the concepts and their attributes, and (practical) examples from the included articles are

Table 4     Questions to explain the categories for analysis		
Concept attribute	What are the concept's characteristics?	
Concept definition	Which definitions are presented in the data material?	
Concept differences/similarities	Are specific concept differences or similarities mentioned in the data material?	
Contextual basis	In which context is the concept presented (research discipline)?	
Example	Are examples of the concept described in the data material?	
Related term	Do other words have something in common with the concept?	
Surrogate term	Do other words say the same thing as the chosen concept?	
Based on Tofthagen and Fagerstrom. <sup>22</sup>		

used for concept explanation and illustration.<sup>19 22</sup> Several researchers will be involved in the data analysis in order to avoid subjective interpretation.

## Identification of implications for further concept development (step 6)

Rodgers<sup>19</sup> suggests that, based on the data analysis, further questions and hypotheses are presented, rather than an attempt to generate a 'final' definition of the concepts involved, since this is not possible due to the dynamic nature of concepts. Suggestions will be made in relation to directing future research and helping guide further concept development and analysis.

## Patient and public involvement

OHIOH is a project that spans several disciplines and is underpinned by a participatory coproduction model of research that is characterised by close collaboration with clinicians and with people living with MS or type 1 diabetes in research planning and in conducting the research. Our coproduction partners have been involved in the development of this protocol and will continue to be involved throughout the research project. After finalising the data collection and data analysis, the results will be discussed with our partners and the OHIOH teams in order to put the results in an OHIOH context. For each discipline, the respective OHIOH team will review the resulting understanding of personalised and precision medicine from their scientific perspective.

## **Ethics and dissemination**

Following ethical and research standards, we will comprehensively report the methodology for a concept analysis following Rodgers.<sup>19</sup> Ethical approval is not required for this research because our study collects publicly available and theoretical data about the concepts underlying 'precision medicine' and 'personalised medicine'. The results of this study will be disseminated through publication in peer-reviewed academic journals and at scientific conferences. Our findings will contribute to clarification of the underlying concepts and so help guide future research.

#### **Potential study limitations**

Potentially relevant articles might be missed using any specific search strategy. However, the inclusion of several databases and additional hand searching as part of a systematic approach is likely to minimise the risk of missing significant literature. Difficulties arising in an application of concept analysis to precision/personalised medicine could include that in contrast to other theoretical concepts (eg, enablement), precision/personalised medicine are mostly practical medical terms rather than mere theoretical concepts.

#### **Author affiliations**

<sup>1</sup>National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health, College of Health and Medicine, Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

<sup>2</sup>School of Medicine and Psychology, College of Health and Medicine, Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia <sup>3</sup>Department of Neurology, Canberra Health Services, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

<sup>4</sup>The Centenary Hospital for Women and Children, Canberra Health Services, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

<sup>5</sup>The John Curtin School of Medical Research, College of Health and Medicine, Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia <sup>6</sup>School of Computing, College of Engineering and Computer Science, Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia <sup>7</sup>Department of Philosophy, University of Twente, Enschede, Overijssel, The Netherlands

<sup>8</sup>Nanotechnology Research Lab, Research School of Chemistry, College of Science, Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia <sup>9</sup>CSIRO, Mineral Resources, Clayton South, Victoria, Australia

<sup>10</sup>Department of Electronic Materials Engineering, Research School of Physics, College of Science, Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

<sup>11</sup>Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Canberra Health Services, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

<sup>12</sup>Department of Computing, University of Turku, Turku, Finland

<sup>13</sup>Nanotechnology Research Laboratory, Faculty of Engineering, The University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

Twitter Jane Desborough @JaneODes

**Contributors** NB-S prepared the study protocol and drafted the manuscript. All authors were involved in study concept and design discussions, provided feedback on the draft study protocol and approved the final manuscript version. AP, NB-S and JD revised the manuscript based on the feedback. JD supervised the procedure of developing the study protocol.

**Funding** This research was funded by and has been delivered in partnership with Our Health in Our Hands, a strategic initiative of the Australian National University, which aims to transform healthcare by developing new personalised health technologies and solutions in collaboration with patients, clinicians and healthcare providers. AT gratefully acknowledges the support of the Australian Research Council (DP190101864 and FT200100939) and NATO Science for Peace and Security Programme AMOXES.

Competing interests None declared.

**Patient and public involvement** Patients and/or the public were involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research. Refer to the Methods and analysis section for further details.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

## **ORCID** iDs

Nicola Brew-Sam http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7544-4499 Anne Parkinson http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9053-0707 Christian Lueck http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1537-7612 Ellen Brown http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7924-4857 Karen Brown http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3312-120X Anne Bruestle http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3342-5683 Katrina Chisholm http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3342-5683 Katrina Chisholm http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3331-9363 Eleni Daskalaki http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7665-7039 Janet Drew http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8862-5448

## **Open** access

Mark Elisha http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6798-0723 Vanessa Fanning http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9211-4256 Adam Henschke http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2956-0883 Krishnan Murugappan http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6845-4653 Dragomir Neshev http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4508-8646 Christopher J Nolan http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6964-3819 Lachlan Pedley http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0212-3656 Christine Phillips http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5602-3664 Hanna Suominen http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4195-1641 Antonio Tricoli http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4964-2111 Kristine Wright http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7649-900X Jane Desborough http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1406-4593

#### REFERENCES

- De Grandis G, Halgunset V. Conceptual and Terminological confusion around personalised medicine: a coping strategy. *BMC Med Ethics* 2016;17:43.
- 2 Faulkner E, Holtorf A-P, Walton S, et al. Being precise about precision medicine: What should value frameworks incorporate to address precision medicine? A report of the personalized precision medicine special interest group. Value Health 2020;23:529–39.
- 3 Erikainen S, Chan S. Contested futures: envisioning "Personalized," "Stratified," and "Precision" medicine. *New Genet Soc* 2019;38:308–30.
- 4 Muthusamy V, Venkatesan A, Radhakrishnan A, et al. Personalized medicine: a review on scope and its pitfall. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research* 2020;13.
- 5 Schleidgen S, Klingler C, Bertram T, *et al.* What is personalized medicine: sharpening a vague term based on a systematic literature review. *BMC Med Ethics* 2013;14:55.
- 6 Sheikhy A, Fallahzadeh A, Aghaei Meybodi HR, et al. Personalized medicine in cardiovascular disease: review of literature. J Diabetes Metab Disord 2021;20:1793–805.
- 7 Williamson R, Anderson W, Duckett SJ, et al. The future of precision medicine in Australia. Report for the Australian Council of Learned Academies (ACOLA) 2018 https://apo.org.au/sites/default/files/ resource-files/2018-01/apo-nid130321.pdf
- 8 Hunter DJ. Uncertainty in the era of precision medicine. N Engl J Med 2016;375:711–3.
- 9 Council of the European Union. Personalised medicine for patients Council conclusions; 2015 [Accessed 07 Dec 2015].
- 10 AMS. Stratified, personalised or P4 medicine: a new direction for placing the patient at the centre of healthcare and health education. Summary of a joint forum meeting 2015 https://acmedsci.ac.uk/ viewFile/564091e072d41.pdf
- 11 NIH. The precision medicine initiative cohort programme building a research foundation for 21st century medicine, 2015.
- 12 Health Informatics Society of Australia HISA. What are precision medicine and personalized medicine? 2018.
- 13 European Commission. Personalised medicine, 2020. Available: https://ec.europa.eu/info/research-and-innovation/researcharea/health-research-and-innovation/personalised-medicine\_en [Accessed 30/11/2021].
- 14 Fournier V, Prebet T, Dormal A, et al. Definition of personalized medicine and targeted therapies: does medical familiarity matter? J Pers Med 2021;11. doi:10.3390/jpm11010026. [Epub ahead of print: 04 01 2021].
- 15 The Australian National University. Our Health in Our Hands, 2021. Available: https://www.anu.edu.au/research/research-initiatives/ourhealth-in-our-hands [Accessed 09 Jan 2021].

- 16 Desborough J, Brunoro C, Parkinson A, et al. 'It Struck at the heart of who I thought I was': a meta-synthesis of the qualitative literature examining the experiences of people with multiple sclerosis. *Health Expect* 2020;23:1007–27.
- 17 Brew-Sam N, Chhabra M, Parkinson A, et al. Experiences of young people and their caregivers of using technology to manage type 1 diabetes mellitus: systematic literature review and narrative synthesis. JMIR Diabetes 2021;6:e20973.
- 18 Henschke A, Desborough J, Parkinson A, et al. Personalizing medicine and technologies to address the experiences and needs of people with multiple sclerosis. J Pers Med 2021;11. doi:10.3390/ jpm11080791. [Epub ahead of print: 12 08 2021].
- 19 Rodgers BL. Concept analysis. An evolutionary view. In: Concept development in nursing: Foundations, techniques and applications. Philadelphia: Saunders, 2000: 77–102.
- 20 Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *BMJ* 2015;349:g7647.
- 21 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. BMJ 2009;339:b2535.
- 22 Tofthagen R, Fagerstrøm LM. Rodgers' evolutionary concept analysis – a valid method for developing knowledge in nursing science. *Scand J Caring Sci* 2010;24 Suppl 1:21–31.
- 23 Walker LO, Avant KC. Strategies for theory construction in nursing. 6th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall, 2019.
- 24 Wilson J. *Thinking with concept*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1963.
- Hudon C, St-Cyr Tribble D, Bravo G, et al. Enablement in health care context: a concept analysis. J Eval Clin Pract 2011;17:143–9.
  Viana JN, Edney S, Gondalia S, et al. Trends and gaps in precision
- bealth research: a scoping review. BMJ Open 2021;11:e056938.
- 27 Pueyo-Garrigues M, Whitehead D, Pardavila-Belio MI, et al. Health education: a Rogerian concept analysis. Int J Nurs Stud 2019;94:131–8.
- 28 Ali-Khan S, Kowal S, Luth W, et al. Terminology for personalized medicine: A systematic collection, PACEOMICS. McGill University: University of Alberta, 2016.
- 29 Schwartz-Barcott D, Kim H-SAn expansion and elaboration of the hybrid model of concept development. In: Concept development in nursing: Foundation, techniques, and applications. Philadelphia: Saunders, 2000: 129–59.
- 30 Hong QN, Pluye P, Fabregues S, et al. Mixed methods appraisal tool (MMAT) version 2018 - user guide, 2018. http://mixedmethodsappr aisaltoolpublic.pbworks.com/w/file/fetch/127916259/MMAT\_2018\_ criteria-manual\_2018-08-01\_ENG.pdf
- 31 Miles MB, Huberman MA. *Qualitative data analysis*. London: Sage, 2003.
- 32 Marson FAL, Bertuzzo CS, Ribeiro JD. Personalized or precision medicine? The example of cystic fibrosis. Front Pharmacol 2017;8:390.
- 33 Elemento O. The future of precision medicine: towards a more predictive personalized medicine. *Emerg Top Life Sci* 2020;4:175–7.
- 34 Ho D, Quake SR, McCabe ERB, et al. Enabling technologies for personalized and precision medicine. Trends Biotechnol 2020;38:497–518.
- 35 Ong S, Ling J, Ballantyne A, et al. Perceptions of 'precision' and 'personalised' medicine in Singapore and associated ethical issues. Asian Bioeth Rev 2021;13:179–94.
- 36 US National Library of Medicine. Precision medicine. Mesh descriptor data 2021, 2021. Available: https://meshb.nlm.nih.gov/ record/ui?ui=D057285