The good and the bad: using C reactive protein to distinguish bacterial from non-bacterial infection among febrile patients in low-resource settings

Camille Escadafal,¹ Sandra Incardona,¹ B Leticia Fernandez-Carballo,¹ Sabine Dittrich ^{1,2}

ABSTRACT C reactive protein (CRP), a marker for the presence of an

inflammatory process, is the most extensively studied

marker for distinguishing bacterial from non-bacterial

infections in febrile patients. A point-of-care test for

bacterial infections would be of particular use in low-

resource settings where other laboratory diagnostics

are not always available, antimicrobial resistance rates

a leading cause of death. This document summarises

income and middle-income countries (LMICs). With a

push for universal health coverage and prevention of

antimicrobial resistance, it is important to understand

polarised the global health community and the aim of this

document is to summarise the 'good and the bad' of CRP

was reviewed suggests that CRP testing may be beneficial

in multiple settings in LMICs. In brief, the literature that

in low-resource settings to improve rational antibiotic

is insufficient to allow it to be used alone as a single

tool. CRP testing may be best used as part of a panel

of diagnostic tests and algorithms. Further studies in

low-resource settings, particularly with regard to impact on antibiotic prescribing and cost-effectiveness of CRP

use for febrile patients, but the positive predictive value

if CRP might be able to do the job. The use of CRP

evidence on CRP testing for bacterial infections in low-

are high and bacterial infections such as pneumonia are

Incardona S, Fernandez-Carballo BL, *et al.* The good and the bad: using C reactive protein to distinguish bacterial from non-bacterial infection among febrile patients in low-resource settings. *BMJ Global Health* 2020;**5**:e002396. doi:10.1136/ bmjgh-2020-002396

To cite: Escadafal C.

Handling editor Seye Abimbola

Received 11 February 2020 Revised 14 April 2020 Accepted 17 April 2020

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics (FIND), Geneva, Switzerland ²Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Correspondence to Dr Sabine Dittrich; sabine.dittrich@finddx.org

BACKGROUND

testing, are warranted.

The management of febrile patients is a major problem in lower resource areas where access to diagnostics is limited. Fever symptoms can result from a variety of different infections, including parasites like malaria, bacterial or viral pathogens, which are difficult to distinguish from one another based on clinical presentation alone. While the widespread use of rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) for malaria has transformed the management of fevers in tropical settings, it has been accompanied by an increase in antibiotic prescriptions, since in the absence of further diagnostics,

Summary box

- C reactive protein (CRP) testing may be beneficial in low-resource settings to improve rational antibiotic use for febrile patients, but the positive predictive value is insufficient to allow it to be used alone as a single tool.
- More extensive cost-effectiveness data across multiple geographies are needed.
- The cut-off used for CRP across studies varies widely and makes it difficult to select a universal cut-off threshold for diagnostic use.

malaria-negative patients are generally treated for bacterial infection.¹² Unnecessary use of antibiotics is considered to be a major driver of development of antimicrobial resistance, an increasingly serious threat to global public health.

A rapid point-of-care test (POCT) to detect bacterial infection would be of particular use in resource-constrained settings, where other laboratory diagnostics such as blood culture and radiology are not always available, antimicrobial resistance rates are high, and bacterial infections such as pneumonia are a leading cause of death. Various potential biomarkers have been evaluated, of which C reactive protein (CRP), a marker for the presence of an inflammatory process, is the most extensively studied.³ A Cochrane review from 2014 focusing on acute non-severe respiratory infections in primary care concluded that CRP was the only sufficiently accurate biomarker for which POCTs are available that could safely and effectively reduce the prescribing of antibiotics.⁴ However, the majority of studies evaluating the diagnostic performance of CRP have taken place in high-resource settings. This document summarises existing data in low-income and middle-income countries

BMJ

(LMICs), and discusses the potential utility of CRP testing in this setting. The practical aim of this work is to help support communication and informed discussions among the global health community by showing the 'good and the bad' in a relatively unbiased manner. This work does not aim to be a systematic review but a pragmatic document that can help a broad community to start off discussions with a similar knowledge base. Data were gathered based on an unstructured search of the PubMed database for studies on CRP in LMICs, published before June 2019.

DIAGNOSTIC PERFORMANCE OF CRP FOR DISTINGUISHING BACTERIAL INFECTIONS

Studies assessing the correlation between elevated CRP levels with presence of bacterial infections and the diagnostic accuracy of CRP for distinguishing between bacterial and non-bacterial infections in LMICs are detailed in table 1. Of seven studies that assessed the correlation between CRP levels and presence of bacterial infection, all seven found that CRP levels were significantly higher in patients with confirmed bacterial infections versus those without.^{5–13}

The performance of CRP for distinguishing bacterial from non-bacterial infections varied considerably across studies with areas under the receiver operating curve (AUROC) ranging from 0.62 to 0.91. The high variability in performance across studies may be due to a number of factors, including differences in the clinical presentation of the population studied, the degree of patient severity, the definition used for bacterial infections (the gold standard used; table 1), geographical location of the study, the age of the patients, the specific bacterial pathogens causing infection, concomitant infections and presence of other conditions causing elevated CRP.

Most of the studies identified were performed in African countries (6/8) with children under 5 years of age (6/10), facilitating comparison between studies. Studies carried out with inpatients, independently of the gold standard used for bacterial infection definition, presented high performances (0.72-0.87) except for the study with severe acute malnourished children, which reported an AUROC of 0.66. However, when looking at outpatients, two studies carried out in Tanzania in children and one study in Cambodia in individual 7-49 years reported very different performances. One study which used positive blood culture as gold standard reported a high AUROC of 0.83 and 74.2/77.8 sensitivity/specificity. However, the two other studies, which used a wider definition of bacterial infection (ie, the gold standard for bacterial infection includes microbiological results and/ or symptoms in addition to positive cultures), reported lower performances: AUROC of 0.62, 44.6/78.5 sensitivity/specificity and 52.5/84.3 sensitivity/specificity, respectively. In line with this, Lubell et al described that the fact of being admitted as an inpatient had an independent effect of elevated levels of CRP (p=0.006).⁹

When looking specifically at HIV-positive and HIVnegative patients, Higdon *et al* found that patients who were HIV positive were more likely to have CRP levels of \geq 40 mg/L, and within this group, older children and those with more severe pneumonia were also more likely to have higher CRP levels.⁷ Among HIV-negative patients, those from the African study sites were more likely to have CRP levels of \geq 40 mg/L than those from the Asian sites.⁷

Three studies evaluated a CRP cut-off level of approximately 20 mg/L.⁶⁹¹⁴ Three studies assessed a higher cutoff of approximately 40 mg/L.⁶⁷¹⁰ Five studies calculated the optimal CRP cut-off for distinguishing bacterial from non-bacterial infections. Of these, Hildenwall *et al* and Mueller *et al* proposed a cut-off of 19 and 21.3 mg/L, respectively,¹¹¹⁴ while Mahende *et al*, Higdon *et al*, and Wangrangsimakul *et al* proposed higher cut-offs of 37.3, 37.1 and 36 mg/L, respectively.⁷¹⁰¹³

The method used to detect CRP differed across studies, with the majority of studies using quantitative CRP tests. A study by Phommasone *et al* was the only study to assess commercially available lateral flow CRP tests (DTS233 (Creative Diagnostics, USA), WD-23 (Assure Tech, China) and bioNexia CRPplus (bioMerieux, France)), in comparison to the Nycocard CRP test and reader.¹⁵ At a cut-off of 10 mg/L, all three tests had high sensitivity (ranging from 87% to 98%) and specificity (91% to 98%) in patients with fever in rural Laos, suggesting that lateral flow tests are a viable option in LMIC settings.

CRP levels have been shown to be elevated in patients with malaria, as well as those with bacterial infections (consequently, some studies excluded patients with malaria from their analyses). Studies that assessed the correlation between elevated CRP and malaria infection are detailed in table 2. All five studies found that CRP levels were significantly higher in patients with malaria versus those without. $^{9\ 10\ 16-18}$ In Tanzania, 80% of children aged 2-59 months presenting with malaria had CRP levels >40 mg/L, the higher of the commonly used CRP cut-offs.¹⁰ Consistent with this, Lubell et al found no significant difference in CRP levels between patients with bacterial infections and patients with malaria.⁹ The confounding effect of malaria seems to be limited to clinical malaria, as Peto et al found that in the general population, only 7.6% of people who tested positive for subclinical malaria had a CRP of >10 mg/L.¹

Of note, CRP has also been widely evaluated as a predictor for serious infections, and serious bacterial infections (SBI) in particular, however such studies were essentially conducted in high-income countries. For example, CRP in combination with vital signs and objective symptoms measurements achieved a sensitivity of 97.1% (95% CI 94.3% to 98.7%) for identifying children aged 1 month to 16 years with serious infections in clinics and emergency departments in Belgium, classifying them into groups of low, intermediate and high risk with CRP levels of <20, 20–75 and >75 mg/L, respectively.¹⁹ Other studies in the Netherlands and Iran report a receiver operator characteristic curve area of 0.77 and 0.74 for

			Correlation with bacterial infection		Median CRP values were significantly higher in HIV- negative and HIV- positive patients with serious bacterial infections compared with those without those	CRP levels were higher in those with positive bacterial culture vs those with negative bacterial culture (177.65 mg/L, p<0.001). Median CRP levels (>18.5to ≤97.9) were predictive of bacterial culture positivity (OR 15.31, 95% CI 1.91 to 122.45, p=0.01).	Of those with CRP <20 mg/L (n=256, 59.8%), 84% had no signs of bacterial infection. Of those with CRP >80 mg/L (n=33, 7.7%), 36.7% were positive for bacterial infection.
			y† Specificity†		N/A	45 57	78.5 (73.0 to 83.1)
		0	Sensitivit		Υ/Ν	95 85 5	44.6 (33.2 to 56.6)
	LMICs	oerformance	Cut-off*		NA	20.0 38.00	0
	of CRP in I	Diagnostic p	AUROC (95% CI)		0.81 (0.73 to 0.89) for serious bacterial	6.7.0	0.62
	ostic performance		CRP test		Beckman Coulter image immunochemistry system	Immunoturbidimetric assay, ADVIA Chemisty CRP 2 (Siemens)	Afinion AS 100 Analyzer (Axis-Shield)
	ection and diagn	Gold standard	for bacterial infection		Culture, microscopy, antigen or PCR from blood, cerebrospinal fluid and/or lung aspirate	Blood culture	Positive urine or blood culture or symptoms suggestive pneumonia as defined in the IMCI
	bacterial infe		Number of patients		377	2880	428
	RP levels and t		Disease characteristics		Pneumonia or meningitis	Clinical severe pneumonia (no malaria)	Fever (malaria negative)
correlation between (Inpatients/ Outpatients		Inpatients	Inpatients	Outpatients
			Age group		2 months to 16 years	<5 years	3 months to 5 years
	lies assessing		Country		Malawi	Mozambique	Tanzania
	Table 1 Stud		Study	Studies in Africa	Carrol et al ⁵	Diez-Padrisa et af	Hildenwall et a ^{rta}

Continued

Table 1 Cont	inued											
						Gold standard		Diagnostic per	rformance			
Study	Country	Age group	Inpatients/ Outpatients	Disease characteristics	Number of patients	for bacterial infection	CRP test	AUROC (95% CI)	Cut-off*	Sensitivity†	Specificity†	Correlation with bacterial infection
Huang et al ⁶	Gambia and Kenya	2 months to 5 years	Inpatients	Pneumonia	Pneumonia: n=204 Matched controls: n=186	Blood culture or radiograph	ELISA (R&D Systems)	0.72 (0.59 to 0.86)	150, 2200	70.5	56.1	Median CRP plasma concentration was significantly higher in those with probable bacterial linfection (positive blood culture or radiograph) vs those with probable viral infection (negative radiograph and low WBC) (283 vs 175 µg/mL, p<0.001).
Mahende <i>et al</i> ¹⁰	Tanzania	2 months to 5 years	Outpatients	Fever	691	Blood culture	Cobas c111 (Roche Diagnostics)	0.83	37.3	74.2	77.8	
Page et al ¹²	Niger	6 months to 5 years	Inpatients	Severe acute mainutrition	256	Blood, urine, stool culture and radiograph	NycoCard Reader (Abott)	0.66 (0.59 all patients, 0.79) all patients, 0.72 (0.63 to 0.30) malaria-negative patients	47.5 13	46.5 81.1	80.4 58.7	Median CRP levels were significantly higher in those with pneumonia (radiograph positive) vs those with no proved bacterial infection (40.8 vs 12 mg/L, p=0.0014).
Studies in South-E	ast Asia											
Mueller <i>et al</i> ¹¹	Cambodia	7-49 years	Outpatients	Acute fever	Febrile patients: 1193, Matched controls: 282	Microbiological results including culture, PCR, ELISA, RDTs	Latex bead immune- turbidimetric method adapted on Integra 400 (Roche Diagnostics)	AA	21.3	52.5	84.3	
Wangrangsimakul et al ¹³	Thailand	≥15 years	Inpatients and outpatients	Acute fever	231	Microbiological results including culture, PCR, ELISA, RDTs	NycoCard Reader (Abott, USA)	0.91 (0.85 to 0.96)	36	88.9 (79.3 to 95.1)	86.4 (65.1 to 97.1)	
Lubell <i>et al</i> ⁶	Cambodia, Laos, Thailand	5-49 years	Inpatients and outpatients	Acute fever	1372	Microbiological results including culture, antigen detecton, PCR and ELISA for JEV IgM ELISA	NycoCard Reader (Abott, USA)	0.89 (0.8 to 0.97)	20 20	95 (92 to 97) 86 (82 to 88)	49 (46 to 53) 67 (63 to 71)	CRP levels were significantly lower in patients with a viral infection than other infections (p<0.0001 in all comparisons across countries).
Studies in multiple	settings											
												Continued

								Diagnostic	erformance			
Study	Country	Age group	Inpatients/ Outpatients	Disease characteristics	Number of patients	Gold standard for bacterial infection	CRP test	AUROC (95% CI)	Cut-off*	Sensitivity†	Specificity†	Correlation with bacterial infection
Higdon <i>et al</i> ⁷	Bangladesh, Gambia, Kenya, Mali, South Africa, Thailand and Zambia	<5 years	Inpatients	Pneumonia	Pneumonia: n=3597, controls: n=822	Blood culture or positive lung aspirate or pleural fluid culture or PCR‡	CRP Gen3 or CRP VARIO (Roche Diagnostics)	0.87	37.1	77 (69 to 84)	82 (78 to 85)	77% of HIV- negative cases with confirmed bacterial pneumonia vs 17% (n=556) cases with RSV pneumonia had CRP ≥40 mg/L (p<0.001).
*mg/L. †%; 95% Cl. ‡Compared with 'R AUROC, area undei	SV pneumonia' – nas • the receiver operato	iopharyngeal/oi r characteristic	ropharyngeal or in curve; CRP, C rea	duced sputum PCR-po active protein; IMCI, intr	sitive without con	firmed/suspected bac	sterial pneumonia. ss; LMICs, low-income an	d middle-income	countries; N/A,	not available; R	tDT, rapid diagn	ostic test; RSV,

CRP predicting SBI in children aged 1 month to 16 years, and infants aged 3 months or less, respectively.^{20 21} A cluster randomised controlled trial in Belgium furthermore proposes the use of CRP POCTs as a tool to rule out the need of hospital referral for children aged 1 month to 16 years with CRP levels <5 mg/L at primary healthcare level.²²

REDUCTION IN ANTIBIOTIC PRESCRIPTION DUE TO CRP TESTING

Only two prospective studies assessed the impact of CRP testing as a standalone tool on antibiotic prescriptions in LMICs (table 3), in combination with clinical judgement; both took place in South-East Asia. Althaus et al assessed use of CRP cut-offs of 20 mg/L and CRP of 40 mg/L to guide antibiotic prescription in adults and children aged ≥ 1 year attending primary care and presenting with fever.²³ While the proportion of patients receiving antibiotics by the fifth day after the initial visit was slightly higher in the group for whom no CRP testing was performed compared with the two CRP groups, only the difference between the 40 mg/L cut-off and the control group was statistically significant. Do et al found that a significantly lower percentage of patients with non-severe acute respiratory tract infection who were diagnosed using CRP testing were prescribed antibiotics within 14 days, compared with those in whom no CRP testing was performed.²⁴ This study used a CRP cut-off of 20 mg/L for patients aged 6–65 years, and a cut-off of 10 mg/L for those aged 1-5 years.

In the study by Althaus *et al*, a higher proportion of patients with elevated CRP concentration were prescribed an antibiotic in the CRP groups versus the control group, suggesting that treatment was targeted.²³ The limited impact of CRP testing on antibiotic prescriptions in this study was therefore unlikely to be due to non-adherence to the test results. However, in the study by Do *et al*, the majority of patients in Vietnam who received immediate antibiotic prescriptions had CRP measurements of <10 mg/L, suggesting that healthcare professionals did not always adhere to test results.²⁴

There was a considerable difference between the percentages of patients prescribed antibiotics in the control groups of the studies by Althaus *et al* and Do *et al* (39% vs 78%).^{23 24} It is possible that healthcare professionals in the study by Althaus *et al* were more cautious with prescribing of antibiotics due to their participation in the study. However, the Althaus *et al* data are consistent with a retrospective study assessing antibiotic use in 97 230 patients with fever in primary care health centres in Thailand, in which only 46.9% were prescribed antibiotics.² Given the differences between Althaus *et al* and Do *et al* in terms of adherence to test results and control groups, further studies are required to better elucidate the impact of CRP testing on reduction in antibiotic prescriptions.

espiratory syncytial virus; WBC, white blood cell count

Table 2 Studies	assessing cor	rrelation betweer	n CRP levels and m	ıalaria		
Study	Country	Age group	Disease characteristics	Number of patients	CRP test	Correlation with malaria infection
Studies in Africa						
Mahende <i>et al</i> ¹⁰	Tanzania	2-59 months	Fever	691	Cobas Indianapolis, Indiana, USA	 45 of 56 (80.4%) patients with malaria had elevated CRP levels of >40 mg/L, although they also had low WBC and ANC counts.
Pelkonen <i>et al</i> ¹⁶	Angola	≤16 years	Suspected malaria	346	QuikRead 101 (Orion Diagnostica, Finland)	 Median CRP was significantly higher in those with malaria vs those without (140 mg/L (IQR 88) vs 69 mg/L (IQR 129), p<0.01).
Sarfo <i>et al</i> ¹⁸	Ghana	≤15 years	Fever	541	CRP Test Kit CRP-K10 (Diagnostik Nord, Germany)	 52.2% of those with CRP 10–30 mg/L, and 53.0% of those with CRP >30 mg/L were positive for malaria parasitaemia (ORs 14.2 (95% Cl 4.2 to 48.1) and 14.7 (95% Cl 4.4 to 48.3) vs those with CRP <10 mg/L). Increased CRP levels were strongly associated with clinical malaria, defined as parasitaemia >5000 parasites/µL (OR 16.5 (95% Cl 2.2 to 121), p<0.001). In a multivariate analysis, patients whose CRP level increased by >10 mg/L had more than an eightfold likelihood for positive parasitaemia (adjusted OR 8.7 (95% Cl 2.5 to 30.5), p<0.001).
Studies in South-I	East Asia					
Lubell <i>et al</i> ⁹	Cambodia, Laos, Myanmar	5-49 years	Acute undifferentiated fever	1372	NycoCard Reader (Abott, USA)	 CRP levels were significantly higher in malaria infections compared with viral infections (p<0.001). There was no significant difference in CRP levels between bacterial infections and malaria (p=0.15); the AUROC for discriminating between malaria and bacterial infections was 0.54 (95% CI 0.49 to 0.6).
Peto <i>et al</i> ¹⁷	Cambodia	>6 months	General population	Parasitaemia: n=328 n=328 n=328	Solid phase sandwich ELISA	 Plasma CRP concentrations were higher in those with malaria compared with matched controls (p=0.025). 7.6% of malaria-positive cases had CRP of >10 mg/L vs 2.1% of matched controls (p<0.001); 17.3% of malaria-positive cases had CRP of >3 mg/L vs 10.4% of malaria-positive cases had CRP of >3 mg/L vs 10.4% of matched controls. There was a significant association between parasite count and CRP, which remained significant after controlling for fever (p<0.001).
			notono no de de de			DObite bleed cell correct

Escadafal C, et al. BMJ Global Health 2020;5:e002396. doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2020-002396

6

assessing reduction in antibiotic prescriptions associated with CRP testing in LMICs	Disease Number of Reduction in antibiotic Disease of Ountry Age group characteristics patients CRP test prescriptions Targeted prescribing	ast Asia	halland21yearEver2410NyooCard> 290 (36%) of 803 patients forP compared with control, a higherndVinom a CRP out-off of 20mg/Lwhom a CRP out-off of 20mg/Lproportion of patients with elevatedNameUSA)whom a CRP out-off of 40mg/L was usedproportion of patients with elevatedNameCRP out-off of 40mg/L was usedwhom CRPproportionNameCRP out-off of 40mg/L was usedproportionproportionNameCRP 20mg/L group wasproportionproportionNameCRP 20mg/L group wasproportionproportionNameCRP 20mg/L group wasproportionproportionNameCRP 20mg/L groupproportionproportionNameCRP 20mg/L groupproportionproportionNameCRP 20mg/L groupproportionproportionNameCRP 20mg/L groupproportionproportionNameCRP 20mg/L groupproportionproportionNameCRP 20mg/L groupproportionproportionNameCRP 20mg/L group <th> 1-65 years Acute respiratory 2036 NycoCard Acute respiratory 2036 NycoCard Acute respiratory 2036 NycoCard Acute antibiotics within 14 days was 581 antibiotic prescriptions, 75% (64%) of 902 patients in those in whom CRP testing was performed vs 738 (78%) of 947 patients in the control group, representing a statistically significant reduction (OR 0.49, 95% CI 0.40 to 0.61; 2017) had CRP measurements of <1000 NL, 101 (10%) of 21–50 mg/L. </th>	 1-65 years Acute respiratory 2036 NycoCard Acute respiratory 2036 NycoCard Acute respiratory 2036 NycoCard Acute antibiotics within 14 days was 581 antibiotic prescriptions, 75% (64%) of 902 patients in those in whom CRP testing was performed vs 738 (78%) of 947 patients in the control group, representing a statistically significant reduction (OR 0.49, 95% CI 0.40 to 0.61; 2017) had CRP measurements of <1000 NL, 101 (10%) of 21–50 mg/L.
s assessing redu	Country Ag	I-East Asia	Thailand ≥1 and Myanmar	Vietnam 1-6
Table 3 Studies as	Study Cou	Studies in South-Eas	Althaus <i>et al</i> ²³ Tha and Mye	Do et al ²⁴ Viet

6

CRP, C reactive protein; LMICs, low-income and middle-income countries.

Table 4 Studie	es assessing	cost-effectiveness of CRP testing in LMICs	
Study	Country	Assumptions	Results
Studies in Sout	h-East Asia		
Lubell <i>et al</i> ²⁷	Laos	 Patients with CRP >20 mg/L or positive scrub typhus RDT are prescribed an antibiotic; patients with positive dengue RDT do not receive antibiotics. If tests are negative, antibiotics are prescribed at a rate of 38% Mean cost of CRP test was US\$1.5, mean cost of a course of antibiotics was US\$0.5. Mortality rate for bacterial infections without appropriate treatment was 1% (each death represents a mean loss of 45 life-years). Self-limiting/treated infections have a disability weight of 0.053. 	 CRP RDT prevented 0.017 DALYs. Median ICER for CRP RDT was US\$94. CRP testing is likely to be cost-effective even at low willingness-to-pay thresholds. The CRP tests was approximately 80% likely to be cost-effective at a willingness-to-pay threshold of US\$1400 (approximating the Laos GDP/capita).
Lubell <i>et al</i> ²⁹	Vietnam	 Unit cost of US\$0.5 to US\$3 per CRP test. Economic cost of AMR of US\$0 to US\$14 per full course. No difference in clinical outcomes between CRP-tested and non-CRP-tested patients, benefits relate only to the societal costs of AMR averted due to lower prescribing. 	 At an AMR cost of US\$4.1 and unit costs of US\$0.5, CRP testing has a positive netbenefit if adherence to test results is >70%. At an AMR cost of US\$4.1 and unit costs of US\$1, CRP testing has a positive netbenefit if adherence to test results is ≥80%. A higher AMR cost of US\$14.1 implies a positive netbenefit if adherence is >60%, even at US\$3 per unit.

AMR, antimicrobial resistance; CRP, C reactive protein; DALY, disability-adjusted life-years; GDP, gross domestic product; ICER, incremental cost-effectiveness ratio; LMICs, low-income and middle-income countries; RDT, rapid diagnostic test.

Interestingly, two studies in Tanzania have used CRP testing as part of electronical decision algorithms to guide the healthcare staff decisions on the use of antibiotics and hospital referral for management of children aged 2–59 months in a primary healthcare setting. These algorithms combined CRP blood levels at a cut-off of 80 mg/L with other POCTs and/or clinical features, and allowed to reduce antibiotics use by up to 83.4% while at the same time improving clinical outcomes, in comparison with standard recommended clinical algorithms.^{25 26}

COST-EFFECTIVENESS OF CRP TESTING

Two studies have evaluated cost-effectiveness of CRP diagnostics in LMICs (table 4). In a model using data from the study of CRP testing in febrile patients in rural Laos,⁹ the NycoCard analyser was shown to avert 0.017 disability-adjusted life-years, with a median incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) of US\$94, at a cut-off of 20 mg/L.²⁷ This model assumed that patients were tested for CRP, dengue fever and scrub typhus, and that in patients who tested negative, antibiotics were prescribed randomly at a rate of 38%. The analysis suggested that CRP testing is likely to be cost-effective even at low willingness-to-pay thresholds.

A model using data from the study in patients with acute respiratory infection in Vietnam²⁸ showed that CRP testing at a cut-off of 20 mg/L (10 mg/L in those aged 1–5 years) can be cost-beneficial providing that

adherence to test results is high (>70% for a unit cost of US\$0.5 and >80% for a unit cost of US\$1).²⁹ This assumed an economic cost of antimicrobial resistance of US\$4.1, based on published modelling data from Thailand.³⁰ A higher cost of AMR led to cost-benefits of CRP testing at lower adherence and higher unit cost. Notably, this study assumed no difference in clinical outcomes between CRP-tested and non-tested patients, thus it may represent a conservative estimate.

Findings from the two studies detailed above are generally consistent with studies in higher resource settings, although willingness-to-pay thresholds used in high-income setting models are considerably higher.^{31–33} In Norway and Sweden, CRP POCT was associated with a cost per quality-adjusted life-year (QALY) gained of €9391.³¹ At a willingness-to-pay threshold of €30 000, there was a 70% probability of CRP being cost-effective. In the UK, CRP POCT in adults with acute respiratory tract infection had ICERs of £19705 per QALY gained and £16.07 per antibiotic prescription avoided. At a threshold of £20000 per QALY, the probability of CRP POCT being cost-effective was 0.49 (0.84 in those with lower respiratory tract infection).³²

More studies would be needed to determine the optimal cost of a CRP rapid test in LMICs, which would obviously vary by country. The two published studies in Laos and Vietnam assume costs ranging from US\$0.5 to US\$3 per test which seem to be reasonably low and still

Table 5 Overview of the get	ood and the bad	
	'The good' (advantages)	'The bad' (disadvantages)
Viability as a marker of bacterial infection	 Correlation between elevated CRP levels and presence of bacterial infection is consistent across studies. 	 CRP levels are also elevated in patients with malaria, hence identifying malaria/bacterial co-infections is challenging. CRP performance (AUROC, sensitivities and specificities) is variable across studies. A universally applicable cut-off point is difficult to determine.
Impact on antibiotic prescribing	 Studies show a reduction in overall number of antibiotic prescriptions with CRP testing. 	 Reductions in antibiotic prescriptions were only significant at higher cut-off. Number of studies is limited.
Cost-effectiveness	 CRP testing is cost-effective when test results are adhered to. 	 Adherence to CRP test results has been variable in studies assessing impact on antibiotic prescription. Number of cost-effectiveness studies in low-resource settings is limited.

CRP, C reactive protein.

compatible with the manufacturers' capacities, assuming that current costs of approximately US\$3 per test could be driven down if demand increases or if manufacturers accept selling for lower prices in LMICs while making more profit with higher prices in high-income countries.

CONCLUSION

While a significant correlation between elevated CRP levels and the presence of bacterial infections in febrile patients in low-resource settings has been confirmed across several studies, reported sensitivity and specificity values have varied considerably. As such, the optimal cutoff point for diagnostic utility in these settings is difficult to determine. A conservative cut-off of ~20 mg/L would limit the number of false negatives, ensuring that patients with serious bacterial infections are appropriately treated, but the potential impact on antibiotic prescribing would be reduced, since more patients would receive antibiotics unnecessarily. In one of the two studies assessing the impact of CRP testing on antibiotic prescriptions in low-resource settings, only the 40 mg/L cut-off was sufficient to significantly reduce the number of prescriptions²³; however, the second study demonstrated a significant reduction at a cut-off of 20 mg/L (10 mg/L for the youngest patients).²⁴ Several studies have demonstrated that CRP levels are also elevated in patients with malaria. In malaria-endemic settings, CRP testing should be performed in conjunction with a malaria RDT, to compensate for the confounding effect of malaria and to limit overtreatment of both conditions. CRP testing has been shown to be cost-effective in high-resource settings, but data in LMICs are limited. Two modelling studies have suggested potential cost benefits, but notably, in one study, the level of adherence to CRP test results had considerable impact on the cost-effectiveness of CRP testing.²⁹ CRP testing may therefore be most beneficial as part of a diagnostic algorithm that includes test results and clinical symptoms as part of an integrated approach,

giving healthcare professionals more confidence in the recommended treatment.

In summary, the use of CRP has advantages and disadvantages that need to be evaluated carefully for each specific use case (table 5). The collation of this evidence, both good and bad, can hopefully facilitate the discussions between researchers and global health decision makers bringing a little objectivity to what can be a much polarised discussion.

Twitter Sabine Dittrich @sabinedittrich

Contributors SD developed the methodology. CE, SI, BLF-C supported data collection and drafted the first draft. SD provided conceptional direction. All authors have read and approved the final draft.

Funding Medical writing assistance to identify and compile the data as well as editorial support, under the direction of the authors, was provided by Rachel Wright, PhD, funded by Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics (FIND), according to Good Publication Practice guidelines.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement All data are available via public databases.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Sabine Dittrich http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4522-2788

REFERENCES

- Hopkins H, Bruxvoort KJ, Cairns ME, et al. Impact of introduction of rapid diagnostic tests for malaria on antibiotic prescribing: analysis of observational and randomised studies in public and private healthcare settings. BMJ 2017;356:j1054.
- 2 Greer RC, Intralawan D, Mukaka M, et al. Retrospective review of the management of acute infections and the indications for antibiotic prescription in primary care in northern Thailand. *BMJ Open* 2018;8:e022250.

- 3 Kapasi AJ, Dittrich S, González IJ, et al. Host biomarkers for distinguishing bacterial from non-bacterial causes of acute febrile illness: a comprehensive review. PLoS One 2016;11:e0160278.
- 4 Aabenhus R, Jensen J-US, Jørgensen KJ, *et al.* Biomarkers as point-of-care tests to guide prescription of antibiotics in patients with acute respiratory infections in primary care. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014;11:CD010130.
- 5 Carrol ED, Mankhambo LA, Jeffers G, *et al.* The diagnostic and prognostic accuracy of five markers of serious bacterial infection in Malawian children with signs of severe infection. *PLoS One* 2009;4:e6621.
- 6 Díez-Padrisa N, Bassat Q, Morais L, *et al.* Procalcitonin and Creactive protein as predictors of blood culture positivity among hospitalised children with severe pneumonia in Mozambique. *Trop Med Int Health* 2012;17:1100–7.
- 7 Higdon MM, Le T, O'Brien KL, et al. Association of C-Reactive Protein With Bacterial and Respiratory Syncytial Virus-Associated Pneumonia Among Children Aged <5 Years in the PERCH Study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017;64:S378–86.
- 8 Huang H, Ideh RC, Gitau E, *et al.* Discovery and validation of biomarkers to guide clinical management of pneumonia in African children. *Clin Infect Dis* 2014;58:1707–15.
- 9 Lubell Y, Blacksell SD, Dunachie S, et al. Performance of Creactive protein and procalcitonin to distinguish viral from bacterial and malarial causes of fever in Southeast Asia. *BMC Infect Dis* 2015;15:511.
- 10 Mahende C, Ngasala B, Lusingu J, *et al.* Profile of C-reactive protein, white cells and neutrophil populations in febrile children from rural north-eastern Tanzania. *Pan Afr Med J* 2017;26:51.
- 11 Mueller TC, Siv S, Khim N, *et al.* Acute undifferentiated febrile illness in rural Cambodia: a 3-year prospective observational study. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e95868.
- 12 Page A-L, de Rekeneire N, Sayadi S, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic value of procalcitonin and C-reactive protein in malnourished children. *Pediatrics* 2014;133:e363–70.
- 13 Wangrangsimakul T, Althaus T, Mukaka M, et al. Causes of acute undifferentiated fever and the utility of biomarkers in Chiangrai, Northern Thailand. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2018;12:e0006477.
- 14 Hildenwall H, Muro F, Jansson J, *et al.* Point-Of-Care assessment of C-reactive protein and white blood cell count to identify bacterial aetiologies in malaria-negative paediatric fevers in Tanzania. *Trop Med Int Health* 2017;22:286–93.
- 15 Phommasone K, Althaus T, Souvanthong P, et al. Accuracy of commercially available C-reactive protein rapid tests in the context of undifferentiated fevers in rural Laos. BMC Infect Dis 2016;16:61.
- 16 Pelkonen T, Albino A, Roine I, *et al.* C-Reactive protein in children with malaria in Luanda, Angola: a prospective study. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2015;109:535–7.
- 17 Peto TJ, Tripura R, Lee SJ, et al. Association between subclinical malaria infection and inflammatory host response in a Pre-Elimination setting. PLoS One 2016;11:e0158656.
- 18 Sarfo BO, Hahn A, Schwarz NG, et al. The usefulness of C-reactive protein in predicting malaria parasitemia in a sub-Saharan African region. PLoS One 2018;13:e0201693.
- 19 Verbakel JY, Lemiengre MB, De Burghgraeve T, et al. Point-Of-Care C reactive protein to identify serious infection in acutely ill children

presenting to hospital: prospective cohort study. *Arch Dis Child* 2018;103:420–6.

- 20 Bilavsky E, Yarden-Bilavsky H, Ashkenazi S, et al. C-Reactive protein as a marker of serious bacterial infections in hospitalized febrile infants. Acta Paediatr 2009;98:1776–80.
- 21 Nijman RG, Moll HA, Smit FJ, et al. C-Reactive protein, procalcitonin and the lab-score for detecting serious bacterial infections in febrile children at the emergency department: a prospective observational study. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2014;33:e273–9.
- 22 Verbakel JY, Lemiengre MB, De Burghgraeve T, *et al.* Should all acutely ill children in primary care be tested with point-of-care CRP: a cluster randomised trial. *BMC Med* 2016;14:131.
- 23 Althaus T, Greer RC, Swe MMM, et al. Effect of point-of-care Creactive protein testing on antibiotic prescription in febrile patients attending primary care in Thailand and Myanmar: an open-label, randomised, controlled trial. Lancet Glob Health 2019;7:e119–31.
- 24 Do NTT, Ta NTD, Tran NTH, *et al.* Point-Of-Care C-reactive protein testing to reduce inappropriate use of antibiotics for non-severe acute respiratory infections in Vietnamese primary health care: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Glob Health* 2016;4:e633–41.
- 25 Keitel K, Kagoro F, Samaka J, *et al.* A novel electronic algorithm using host biomarker point-of-care tests for the management of febrile illnesses in Tanzanian children (e-POCT): a randomized, controlled non-inferiority trial. *PLoS Med* 2017;14:e1002411.
- 26 Keitel K, Samaka J, Masimba J, et al. Safety and efficacy of C-reactive Protein-guided antibiotic use to treat acute respiratory infections in Tanzanian children: a planned subgroup analysis of a randomized controlled Noninferiority trial evaluating a novel electronic clinical decision algorithm (ePOCT). *Clin Infect Dis* 2019;69:1926–34.
- 27 Lubell Y, Althaus T, Blacksell SD, et al. Modelling the impact and cost-effectiveness of biomarker tests as compared with pathogenspecific diagnostics in the management of undifferentiated fever in remote tropical settings. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0152420.
- 28 Davido B, Badr C, Lagrange A, et al. Serum protein electrophoresis: an interesting diagnosis tool to distinguish viral from bacterial community-acquired pneumonia. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2016;35:899–902.
- 29 Lubell Y, Do NTT, Nguyen KV, et al. C-reactive protein point of care testing in the management of acute respiratory infections in the Vietnamese primary healthcare setting - a cost benefit analysis. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 2018;7:119.
- 30 Shrestha P, Cooper BS, Coast J, et al. Enumerating the economic cost of antimicrobial resistance per antibiotic consumed to inform the evaluation of interventions affecting their use. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 2018;7:98.
- 31 Oppong R, Jit M, Smith RD, et al. Cost-effectiveness of pointof-care C-reactive protein testing to inform antibiotic prescribing decisions. Br J Gen Pract 2013;63:e465–71.
- 32 Holmes E, Harris S, Hughes A, et al. Cost-Effectiveness analysis of the use of point-of-care C-reactive protein testing to reduce antibiotic prescribing in primary care. *Antibiotics* 2018;7. doi:10.3390/antibiotics7040106. [Epub ahead of print: 07 Dec 2018].
- 33 Hunter R. Cost-Effectiveness of point-of-care C-reactive protein tests for respiratory tract infection in primary care in England. Adv Ther 2015;32:69–85.