OXFORD

Testing the Accuracy of Vegetation-Based Ecoregions for Predicting the Species Composition of Blow Flies (Diptera: Calliphoridae)

K. Ketzaly Munguía-Ortega,¹ Eulogio López-Reyes,¹ and F. Sara Ceccarelli^{2,3,0}

¹Museo de Artrópodos, Departamento de Biología de la Conservación, Centro de Investigación Científica y de Educación Superior de Ensenada, Ensenada, Baja California, Mexico, ²Museo de Artrópodos, Departamento de Biología de la Conservación, CONACYT-Centro de Investigación Científica y de Educación Superior de Ensenada, Ensenada, Baja California, Mexico, and ³Corresponding author, e-mail: ceccarelli@cicese.mx

Subject Editor: Philippe Usseglio-Polatera

Received 25 June 2020; Editorial decision 5 December 2020

Abstract

To properly define ecoregions, specific criteria such as geology, climate, or species composition (e.g., the presence of endemic species) must be taken into account to understand distribution patterns and resolve ecological biogeography questions. Since the studies on insects in Baja California are scarce, and no fine-scale ecoregions based on the region's entomofauna is available, this study was designed to test whether the ecoregions based on vegetation can be used for insects, such as Calliphoridae. Nine collecting sites distributed along five ecoregions were selected, between latitudes 29.6° and 32.0°N. In each site, three baited traps were used to collect blow flies from August 2017 to June 2019 during summer, winter, and spring. A total of 30,307 individuals of blow flies distributed in six genera and 13 species were collected. The most abundant species were *Cochliomyia macellaria* (Fabricius), *Phormia regina* (Meigen), and *Chrysomya rufifacies* (Macquart). The composition of the Calliphoridae community was different between the localities and three general groups have been distinguished, based on the species composition similarity (ANOSIM) results: Gulf-Desert, Mountains, and Pacific-Center. The vegetation-based ecoregions only reflect the blow fly species' distributions to a certain extent, meaning that care must be taken when undertaking ecological biogeographical studies using regionalization based on organisms other than the focal taxa because vegetation does not always reflect fauna species composition.

Key words: biogeography, ANOSIM, Baja California, ecology, Diptera diversity

Ecological biogeography depends on the delimitation of regions based on specific criteria such as geology, climate, or species composition (Escalante 2009, Kreft and Jetz 2013, Vilhena and Antonelli 2015). Furthermore, various methods have been used to identify and delimit biogeographical areas based on species distribution data, such as the non-parametric statistical analysis of similarities (ANOSIM; Shears et al. 2008, Cabrero-Sañudo 2012, Gutjahr et al. 2014, Mercado Casares et al. 2017), methods such as NDM, which search for areas of endemism (Goloboff 1994), methods that analyze the history of taxa over areas (Arias et al. 2011), or track and biogeographic cladistic analysis (Morrone 2006). In his study, Morrone (2006) identified biogeographic areas and transition zones of Latin America and the Caribbean Islands based on panbiogeography and cladistics analyses of the entomofauna, in which he recognized the Nearctic and Neotropical regions and the transition zone for Mexico. Subsequently, Morrone et al. (2017) provided a map of 14 biogeographic provinces of Mexico based on climatic, geological, and biotic criteria, recognized five provinces for the Nearctic region, four assigned to the Neotropical region and five corresponding to the Mexican transition zone. The ecoregions proposed by González-Abraham et al. (2010) for the peninsula of Baja California distinguished two regions: Mediterranean and Desert, and 14 ecoregions, of which nine belong to the state of Baja California. These ecoregions were based on the integration of abiotic factors and biogeographical patterns such as vegetation and the spatial scale of micro and macro-geographic processes. The geographical distribution of the indicator plant species was one of the bases to define the ecoregions since vegetation depends on climate, soil type, and geomorphology, helping in recognition of regional borders that work for the definition of the ecoregions. Abiotic factors taken into account were climate information at regional level, physiography, topography, and geology, allowing them to identify where regions change abruptly, transition zones, and ecological limits. In addition, ecoregions were also defined according to the north-south latitudinal axis, which determines the

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

[©] The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Entomological Society of America.

rainfall recorded in winter in the northern part and the tropical cyclones that affect the southern part of the peninsula, taking into account the proximity to the Gulf of California and the Pacific Ocean along an east-west gradient which determines the air temperature, influence of coastal fogs and the degree of continentality. The ecoregions delimited by González-Abraham et al. (2010) are the best example of fine-scale regionalization available for Baja California.

The vegetation in Baja California is well-studied (Rebman and Roberts 2012) as opposed to other groups of organisms, such as insect species. Considering the ecological importance of insects (Triplehorn and Johnson 2005, Hung et al. 2018) and their declining status (Hallmann et al. 2017, Lister and Garcia 2018, Habel et al. 2019), there is an urgent need for more studies. An ecologically important group of insects are the species belonging to the fly family Calliphoridae, which has recently undergone several taxonomic revisions whereby subfamilies such as Mesembrinellidae, Rhiniidae, and Pollenidae have been elevated to family status based on morphological and molecular data, leaving approximately 932 species described for the family Calliphoridae (Kutty et al. 2010; Pape et al. 2011; Marinho et al. 2012, 2017; Cerretti et al. 2017, 2019; Kutty et al. 2019; Whitworth and Yusseff-Vanegas 2019; Gisondi et al. 2020). This family is very diverse with regards to morphology, ecology, and behavior (Pape et al. 2011, Yang et al. 2014). Most blow fly species have scavenger and decomposer feeding habits, but some species are known to cause myiasis in birds, amphibians, and mammals, including humans (de Azeredo-Espin and Lessinger 2006, Stevens et al. 2006, Stevens and Wallman 2006, Marshall 2012). Some calliphorids are bioindicators of the state of conservation of ecosystems (Nuorteva 1963; Vasconcelos et al. 2015), the adults of some species are pollinators (Dag and Gazit 2001, Pérez-Bañón et al. 2003) and recently, the use of several species in forensic sciences and larval therapy has been increasing (Amendt et al. 2004, Byrd and Castner 2010, Echeverri et al. 2010, Benbow et al. 2015).

Given the fact that sampling efficiency must be maximized in ecological studies, it is important to select the minimum number of sites (at least one site per ecoregion) that will yield an accurate estimate of the beta diversity of the model organisms in a study region. Since there is a paucity of studies on insects in Baja California and no fine-scale ecoregions based on the region's entomofauna, this study was designed to test whether the ecoregions based on vegetation can be used for other groups of organisms, such as calliphorid flies, and to propose a framework for alternative ecoregions.

Material and Methods

Nine collecting sites were selected based on a grid system, between latitudes 29.6° and 32.0°N. The collecting sites fall into five of the nine ecoregions of Mexico's Baja California state (Fig. 1). Collections in the field were carried out between August 2017 and June 2019 during summer, winter and spring (for more details, see Supp Table S1 of Appendix I and Fig. S1 of Appendix II [online only]). In each site, three baited traps (two NTP-80 modified traps and a modified Blendon butterfly net trap), left for five hours, were used to collect blow flies (for more details, see Supp Fig. S2 Appendix II [online only]). The temperature and relative humidity were recorded with a data sensor (LASCAR electronics, EasyLog EL-USB-2). Rainy or excessively cold days were avoided, as suggested by Saloña Bordas

et al. (2009). The individuals collected were recovered in 80% ethanol and brought to the laboratory for counting, curation, and taxonomic identification.

Calliphorid specimens were identified to the species level based on specialized taxonomic keys (Whitworth 2006, 2014; Tantawi et al. 2017; Jones et al. 2019) and identifications were verified by Dr. Terry L. Whitworth (Washington State University). The characters of some *Calliphora* (Robineau-Desvoidy) specimens' genitalia were dissected for further identification. Representative voucher specimens for each species were dried and pinned, labeled, and deposited in the Collection of Baja California Arthropod Museum in Ensenada Center for Scientific Research and Higher Education (MABC; CICESE), Mexico, and the Orma J. Smith Museum of Natural History at The College of Idaho (CIDA). The remaining specimens were stored in 80% ethanol in the Collection of Baja California Arthropod Museum.

To corroborate morphology-based identifications, the nucleotide sequences of fragments of the 'Bar Code' gene (cytochrome oxidase C I) were obtained (Supp Table S2, Appendix I [online only]). Tissue from 22 individuals was sent to the CIBNOR node in La Paz, Baja California Sur of the MEXBOL (Mexican thematic network for the Barcode of Life project) for DNA extraction and fragment amplification. The amplified product was sent for Sanger sequencing to Macrogen, Korea. The sequences were deposited in the GenBank database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/). The BLAST search engine was used to compare the sequence identity of the specimens studied herein with sequences available in the GenBank database.

To test the significance of differences among the ecoregional groups of localities, based on calliphorid species composition, an ANOSIM was carried out, which is a non-parametric multivariate analysis based on the R statistic, which has values of -1 to 1 and a value of significance (P). The null hypothesis (R = 0) establishes that there is no difference between-groups and within-group similarities. Positive values indicate more similarity within groups than between groups (i.e., the groups differ), and negative values suggest more similarity between groups than within groups (Anderson and Walsh 2013). To carry out the ANOSIM analysis, the similarity matrices were constructed using the Bray-Curtis coefficient of similarity and the matrix data were previously treated to standardize the abundance of each species by the sampling effort in each ecoregion; that is, the total abundance was divided by the number of traps per ecoregion. A dendrogram was also constructed with the Bray-Curtis coefficient of similarity, using the unweighted pair-group average (UPGMA) algorithm. The dendrogram and ANOSIM analysis were performed in the PAST v 3.25 program (Hammer and Harper 2006). Finally, the percentage similarity analysis (SIMPER) was performed to evaluate which taxa best contributed to the differences between groups in the samples (Clarke 1993). This analysis has been used with the same Bray-Curtis similarity matrix, to support the results of the ANOSIM (Kavazos and Wallman 2012, Martín-Vega and Baz 2013, Dufek et al. 2019). To explore the possibility of delimiting new ecoregions based on calliphorid species composition and areas of endemism, an analysis was carried out in NDM/VNDM (version 3.1; Szumik et al. 2002, Goloboff 2004; Szumik and Goloboff 2004) using distribution data for the 14 species known from Baja California. For the data on species distributions, the coordinates of the sampling sites for each individual caught during this study were used, along with data obtained from different sources (the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF; https://www.gbif.org/); CICESE collection; CEAM-Colección Entomológica Colegio de Postgraduados, Texcoco, México; Whitworth and Rognes

3



Fig. 1. Map of five ecoregions of the state of Baja California with the respective collecting sites shown. The red square in the bottom-left inset map represents the area shown in the main map. The colors and numbers represent the Ecoregion to which each collecting site belongs. From west to east, the collecting sites at latitude 32°N are: El Mogor (1), Sierra Juarez (3) and Río Hardy (5); at latitude 30°N: Punta Colonet (2), Sierra San Pedro Mártir (3) and San Felipe (5); at latitude 29°N: Santa Catarina (4), Cerro Santo Tomás (4) and Punta Final (5). Map made in QGIS Version 2.14 (Essen) based on the Ecoregions proposed by González-Abraham et al. (2010).

2012, Whitworth 2014, Tantawi et al. 2017, Yusseff-Vanegas and Agnarsson 2017). The analysis was run 100 times using edge proportions, saving sets with one or more endemic species and keeping the remaining default settings. A consensus of the areas was then created with a cutoff of 75% similarity.

Results

Abundance and Richness

A total of 30,307 individual blow flies distributed in six genera and 13 species were collected in the nine localities (Supp Table S3 Appendix I [online only]). *Cochliomyia macellaria* (Fabricius) was the most abundant species (77.11%), followed by *Phormia regina* (Meigen) (16.37%) and *Chrysomya rufifacies* (Macquart) (2.95%). These three species make up 96.43% of all individuals collected in this study. The least abundant species was *Compsomyiops callipes* (Bigot) with only two specimens collected.

Two species found in all nine localities were *Chrysomya rufifacies* and *Cochliomyia macellaria*. Most of the *Calliphora* species were distributed in a narrow area. Furthermore, the six species of *Calliphora* were collected in Sierra Juarez and Sierra San Pedro Martir. However, *Calliphora latifrons* (Hough) was the only species in this genus that occurred in eight of the nine localities. The species that were only found in Sierra Juárez and Sierra San Pedro Mártir were *Calliphora grahami* (Aldrich), *C. terraenovae* (Macquart), *C. vomitoria* (L), and *Compsomyiops callipes. Lucilia cuprina* (Wiedemann) was found in five of the nine localities. The locality with the highest total abundance of calliphorids was San Felipe, where *C. macellaria* predominated, followed by Sierra Juarez, where *P. regina* was the most abundant, and Punta Final and El Mogor, where *C. macellaria* predominated (Supp Table S3 Appendix I [online only]).

The localities with the highest species richness were Sierra Juárez and Sierra San Pedro Mártir, where 12 of the 13 species were found, but they slightly differed in species composition: *Chrysomya megacephala* (Fabricius) was found only in Sierra Juárez and *Compsomyiops callipes* only in Sierra San Pedro Mártir. Rio Hardy and El Mogor exhibited eight species with different species composition. The localities with the lowest species richness were Santa Catarina and Cerro Santo Tomás with four species but different composition of species.

Spatial Variability by Localities

The composition of the Calliphoridae community differed between the localities (R global = 0.3758, P < 0.001). Two similar localities in terms of species composition were San Felipe and Punta Final with no significant difference between the species found in either locality (R = 0.087, P = 0.138) (Table 1, Fig. 2). These localities also belong to the same ecoregion, San Felipe Desert (Eco 5). The species composition of Santa Catarina and Río Hardy were also not significantly different (R = 0.037, P = 0.289) and the two localities

 Table 1. R-values provided by the ANOSIM test for community similarities of Calliphoridae in nine localities of Baja California, Mexico, between August 2017 and June 2019

	ElM	РС	SJ	SSPM	SC	CST	RH	SF
ElM								
PC	-0.049							
SJ	0.594**	0.565**						
SSPM	0.716**	0.562**	0.048					
SC	0.031	0.017	0.719**	0.797**				
CST	0.175	0.268	0.449**	0.594**	0.344*			
RH	0.104	0.082	0.706**	0.748**	0.037	0.319*		
SF	0.421**	0.399**	0.817**	0.994**	0.153*	0.498**	0.413**	
PF	0.388**	0.398**	0.817**	0.954**	0.078	0.495**	0.356**	0.087

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.



Fig. 2. UPGMA dendrogram by localities and map with the clusters color-coded as in the dendrogram. EIM: El Mogor; PC: Punta Colonet; SJ: Sierra Juárez; SSPM: Sierra San Pedro Mártir; SC: Santa Catarina; CST: Cerro Santo Tomás; RH: Río Hardy; SF: San Felipe; PF: Punta Final.

grouped together, despite belonging to different ecoregions, where Santa Catarina is part of the Central Desert ecoregion and Rio Hardy is part of San Felipe Desert.

El Mogor, which belongs to the transitional zone Chaparral/ Coastal Sage Scrub (Eco 1), had species composition that was not significantly different from Santa Catarina, Cerro Santo Tomás, Río Hardy and Punta Colonet. El Mogor formed a group in the dendrogram with Punta Colonet, located in Coastal Succulent Scrub (Eco 2). Cerro Santo Tomás had nonsignificantly different species composition from the localities El Mogor and Punta Colonet, although it belongs to the Central Desert (Eco 4) and should therefore have similar species composition as Santa Catarina, but in the dendrogram it does not group with any other locality. Sierra Juárez and Sierra San Pedro Mártir belong to the same ecoregion of California Mountains (Eco 3) and are similar (R = 0.048, P = 0.255) in terms of species composition, while being distinct from all of the other localities. When comparing the groupings on the dendrogram (Fig. 2) with the ANOSIM R-values (Table 1), it is clear that some of the localities early split in the dendrogram, do not appear to have significantly different species compositions based on the ANOSIM R-values. Therefore, the approach taken here has been to use the dendrogram as a visual guide, but to discuss the general groupings based on the ANOSIM R-values. In the SIMPER analysis, an overall dissimilarity percentage of 67.58% was obtained. The species that contributed most to the dissimilarity between localities were *Cochliomyia macellaria* (41.38%), *Calliphora latifrons* (19.60%), *Phormia regina* (11.44%), and *Chrysomya rufifacies* (8.11%) (Table 2). The search for areas of endemism returned zero areas (Supp Appendix II, Fig. S3 [online only]).

Discussion

Of the 13 species belonging to the Calliphoridae family found in this study, seven have been previously registered in Baja California (Tantawi et al. 2017, Lyle Stotelmyre personal communication, 2019). However, *Lucilia mexicana*, a species known in the region according to the literature and collected by Whitworth (2014) and Lyle Stotelmyre (personal communication, 2019), was not collected in this study. Furthermore, seven species are newly reported to occur in the State of Baja California (*Calliphora coloradensis, C. grahami, C. livida, C. terraenovae, C. vomitoria, Composomyiops callipes,* and *Phormia regina*) and two of them are newly reported in Mexico (*Calliphora livida* and *C. terraenovae*).

Based on the most recent revision of the Nearctic species of the genus Calliphora, 13 species are described for North America (Tantawi et al. 2017), six of which were collected in this study. Of these six species, Calliphora coloradensis and C. livida are endemic to North America, C. terraenovae has a Holarctic distribution, while C. grahami, C. latifrons and C. vomitoria are distributed in other regions as well (Pont 1980, Verves 2005, Kurahashi 1989, Tantawi et al. 2017). Calliphora coloradensis is widespread throughout the western United States (Hall 1948, Whitworth 2006, Jones et al. 2019) and in northwestern and central Mexico (Vergara-Pineda et al. 2012, Tantawi et al. 2017). Calliphora livida and C. terraenovae are newly reported from Mexico (Munguía-Ortega et al. 2020, unpublished data), the former commonly found in Canada and southern United States (Tantawi et al. 2017, Jones et al. 2019) and the latter widespread from Alaska to Southern California, and has even been reported from eastern Greenland (Whitworth 2006). Calliphora grahami is endemic to the western Palearctic and Oriental regions and was introduced to Hawaii and western United States and then to Mexico, where it has been reported from four states

(Núñez-Vázquez et al. 2010; Whitworth and Rognes 2012; Tantawi et al. 2017). *Calliphora latifrons* is widespread in the United States, Canada, and has been reported from northern, central, and southern Mexico (Whitworth and Rognes 2012, Tantawi et al. 2017). Finally, *Calliphora vomitoria* is common throughout the Holarctic Nearctic regions, including Mexico (James 1955, Delfinado and Hardy 1977, Molina-Chavez et al. 2012, Tantawi et al. 2017).

The genus *Chrysomya*, known to cause myiasis (Whitworth 2006) contains 12 species, four of which are found in the Nearctic and Neotropical regions (Dear 1985, Carmo et al. 2018) and two, *C. megacephala* and *C. rufifacies*, in this study. Both species were originally only found in the southern United States and throughout Mexico (Gagné 1981, Baumgartner and Greenberg 1984, Castañeda-Vildózola et al. 1999, Greenberg and Kunich 2002, Whitworth 2006, Pérez-Balam et al. 2012, Vergara-Pineda et al. 2012, Huerta Jiménez 2015, Mañas-Jordá et al. 2017, Jones et al. 2019). However, the distributional ranges of these two species are currently expanding since they have also been reported from Canada during the summer (Rosati and VanLaerhoven 2007, Whitworth 2019, personal communication).

The genus Cochliomyia is endemic to America with four species described so far. The only species of this genus found in this study, C. macellaria, is widespread in the Nearctic and Neotropical regions (Whitworth 2010) and has been recorded from several states throughout Mexico (Dear 1985, Whitworth 2010, Vergara-Pineda et al. 2012, Wolff and Kosmann 2016, Yusseff-Vanegas and Agnarsson 2016). Compsomyiops callipes is a predominantly Neotropical species, also found in the Nearctic region in the southwestern United States (Whitworth 2006, Brundage and Byrd 2016, Jones et al. 2019) and in central and southern Mexico (Dear 1985, Huerta Jiménez 2015). Phormia regina is a monotypic genus with a Holarctic distribution, widespread and very common in North America. In Mexico, it has been found as far south as Mexico City (Hall 1948, Molina-Chávez et al. 2012, Vergara-Pineda et al. 2012) and Veracruz (personal observation by Jaume-Schinkel and Ibáñez-Bernal 2020).

The genus *Lucilia* includes 12 species described for North America, cosmopolitan and mostly synanthropic (Whitworth 2006). Of the two species found in this study, *L. cuprina* is found from the southern United States through Central America to northern

 Table 2.
 Percentage contribution of each species of Calliphoridae to dissimilarities in nine localities of Baja California, Mexico, between

 August 2017 and June 2019

Species	Av. dissim	Contrib. %	Cumulative %	ElM	РС	SJ	SSPM	SC	CST	RH	SF	PF
Cochliomyia macellaria	27.97	41.38	41.38	0.464	0.513	0.054	0.000	0.783	0.238	0.71	0.996	0.984
Calliphora latifrons	13.25	19.60	60.99	0.143	0.204	0.24	0.464	0.132	0	0.116	0.000	0.000
Phormia regina	11.44	16.92	77.91	0.002	0	0.643	0.349	0	0.018	0.028	0.000	0.002
Chrysomya rufifacies	8.115	12.01	89.92	0.342	0.104	0.030	0.003	0.084	0.206	0.032	0.002	0.012
Lucilia sericata	2.634	3.897	93.82	0.037	0.18	0.009	0.004	0.000	0	0.000	0.000	0
Chrysomya megacephala	1.675	2.479	96.30	0.009	0	0.008	0	0	0.037	0.098	0.001	0.00091
Calliphora livida	1.674	2.477	98.77	0.004	0	0.008	0.132	0	0	0	0	0
Calliphora coloradensis	0.268	0.396	99.17	0	0	0.001	0.016	0	0	0.006	0	0
Calliphora grahami	0.247	0.365	99.53	0	0	0.000	0.020	0	0	0	0	0
Lucilia cuprina	0.186	0.276	99.81	0.001	0.000	0.007	0.000	0	0	0.008	0	0
Calliphora vomitoria	0.063	0.093	99.90	0	0	0.001	0.004	0	0	0	0	0
Calliphora terraenovae	0.061	0.090	99.99	0	0	0.000	0.004	0	0	0	0	0
Compsomyiops callipes	0.005	0.007	100	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0	0	0

Av. Dissim: Average dissimilarity, Contrib. %: contribution percentage, Cumulative%: cumulative percentage, mean dissimilarity values for each species in El Mogor (ElM), Punta Colonet (PC), Sierra Juarez (SJ), Sierra San Pedro Martir (SSPM); Santa Catarina (SC), Cerro Santo Tomas (CST), Río Hardy (RH), San Felipe (SF), and Punta Final (PF).

Argentina and Peru (Whitworth 2006, 2010; Jones et al. 2019; personal communication Yusseff-Vanegas 2019), with records from the Mexican states of Mexico City and Veracruz (Molina-Chavez et al. 2012, Whitworth 2014) and *L. sericata* can be found from southern Canada to Argentina (Hall 1948, Mello 1961, Whitworth 2014), recorded in four Mexican states (Vergara-Pineda et al. 2012, Molina-Chávez et al. 2012, Valdés-Perezgasga and García-Espinoza 2014, Mañas-Jordá et al. 2017).

A new regionalization for Baja California, based on calliphorid species, could not be obtained in this study since these species are widespread and there are no endemic species in our focal area. Furthermore, given the fact that the main aim of this study was not to propose new ecoregions, but rather, to evaluate the fit of vegetation-based ecoregions for blow flies, the discussion will focus on the results of the analyses carried out using ANOSIM and the UPGMA-based cluster analysis.

For the nine localities studied here, the Calliphoridae species composition was similar in two of the three localities of the San Felipe Desert ecoregion (Eco 5), reflecting the homogeneity in environmental factors of these coastal desert localities. However, Rio Hardy, which-based on vegetation-also belongs to Eco 5, was more similar to the locality Santa Catarina (Eco 2) in terms of Calliphoridae species composition, possibly due to the fact that both localities had a higher relative humidity than other localities. The other two localities which belong to different ecoregions, but grouped together based on calliphorids, are Punta Colonet and El Mogor. These localities are found in neighboring regions, where González-Abraham et al. (2010) mentioned as main discrepancy zones for the biological regionalization of the Baja California peninsula. Punta Colonet is located in Coastal Succulent Scrub ecoregion and El Mogor belongs to the transitional zone Chaparral/Coastal Sage Scrub. However, both localities are near the Pacific coast and only separated from each other by 157 km, which confers very similar climatic conditions.

The California Mountains ecoregion, which includes the localities Sierra Juárez and Sierra San Pedro Mártir, also formed a group based on calliphorid species composition, significantly different from the composition of all other localities studied. Even though Sierra San Pedro Mártir and Sierra Juárez had similar species compositions, a more in-depth study of the California Mountains ecoregion might yield interesting results, given that these two localities differ in species composition for other groups as well, such as Hesperocyparis montana (Wiggins), Sphaeromeria martirensis (Wiggins), Oncorhynchus mykiss nelsoni (Everman), and Tamiasciurus mearnsi (Townsend) which are endemic to Sierra San Pedro Mártir (Minnich et al. 1997, Rebman and Roberts 2012, Ramos-Lara et al. 2013, Rebman et al. 2016) and Hesperocyparis revealiana (Silba) and Verbena orcuttiana (Perry) which are endemic of Sierra Juárez (Rebman et al. 2016). Furthermore, Riemann and Ezcurra (2007) mentioned that high levels of microendemics were found in Sierra San Pedro Mártir. The distinctiveness of these mountains was previously recognized in other studies (e.g., Morrone 2017) and is reflected by the fact that the California Mountains ecoregion belongs to the California biogeographic province, while the remainder of the peninsula belongs to the Baja California province.

Cerro Santo Tomás is the locality that did not form a group in the dendrogram with any of the other localities, although it belongs to the Central Desert (Eco 4), as does Santa Catarina, where the greatest abundance and richness of species was found. On the other hand, the collections in Cerro Santo Tomás were characterized by a lack of specimens, which could be associated both with the adverse conditions that occurred throughout the collections, with wind during the three sampling seasons, extreme temperatures and low humidity. Furthermore, even though Cerro Santo Tomás belongs to the Central Desert ecoregion and the Valle de los Cirios protected area, it could be considered a 'sky island', with an ecosystem different to its surrounding areas. We recommend the Valle de los Cirios protected area for further studies, especially given the fact that populations of the invasive genus *Chrysomya* were found in sympatry with native species such as *C. macellaria*, whereas previous studies in other areas have reported displacement of *C. macellaria* by expanding populations of *Chrysomya* (Baumgartner and Greenberg 1984, Baumgartner 1993, Godoy 2007, Rosati and VanLaerhoven 2007, Moretti and Godoy 2013).

Although in the dendrogram the localities formed five clusters, some of these clusters were not separated based on the calliphorid species composition analysis (ANOSIM). Therefore, considering both sources of information, but lending more weight to the R-values, three general groups have been distinguished: Gulf-Desert, Mountains and Pacific-Center. The Gulf-Desert group is conformed by San Felipe and Punta Final, two of the three localities in the San Felipe Desert ecoregion. The Pacific-Central group formed by El Mogor, Punta Colonet, Rio Hardy, Santa Catarina and Cerro Santo Tomás, which belong to three vegetation-based ecoregions, and the Mountains group conformed by Sierra Juárez and Sierra San Pedro Mártir which belong to the California Mountains ecoregion.

Therefore, one can infer that in the case of calliphorid flies, the vegetation-based ecoregions only reflect the fly species' distributions to a certain extent and care must be taken when undertaking ecological biogeographical studies using regionalization based on organisms other than the focal taxa. Perhaps, in the case of herbivorous insects with host-plant specificity, the distribution of the insects mirrors that of vegetation more closely. But given that calliphorids display a wide range of different habits and strategies, it would be of better use delimiting their own ecoregions. Unfortunately, the factors determining these fly species' distributional range are still not clear. Previous studies have provided different explanations for calliphorid fly distribution, including biotic and abiotic factors such as time of year, geographic position, environmental characteristics, and microhabitats (Anderson 1995, Hwang and Turner 2005, Lomolino et al. 2006, Fremdt and Amedendt 2014, Mañas-Jordá et al. 2017, Feddern et al. 2018). Thus, temporal fluctuations in calliphorid populations are difficult to model, due to the complexity of predicting resource availability, interspecific competition, climate, habitat structure, and their effects (Kavazos and Wallman 2012). Based on the approximate grouping of the localities in this study, one important factor could be altitude, followed by humidity. Another factor that could be taken into account in future studies is the degree of urbanization of certain localities, especially for the more synanthropic species. Since carrion is an ephemeral resource in the ecosystem, these 'ecological islands' (Beaver 1977) are characterized by intense resource competition (Hanski 1987). Therefore, it is not surprising that blowfly species distribution often does not match vegetation categories or ecoregions since factors such as food availability are mostly animalbased. Since this is the first study in the State of Baja California, we recommend more extensive collecting throughout each ecoregion, which would improve the understanding of blow fly populations in the entire region.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary data are available at Journal of Insect Science online.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Sánchez-Piñuelas family and their cousin Simón Peralta, for granting us permission and logistics support to collect in Cerro Santo Tomás. We thank Sierra San Pedro Mártir National Park for their help during our stay in the Park. We thank the many volunteers and students from CICESE and UABC who participated in the field work: Ramiro Santos Cobos, Carlo González Vera, Diego González Zamora, Víctor Aguilera Molina, Edgar Lima, Rodrigo Arce Valdés, Heriberto Pérez Murillo, Brvan Meza Macias, Jorge Sánchez Solís, Cipatli Jiménez Vera, Stephen Bullock, Mario Salazar (who also helped us resolve questions about endemic flora), Jaime Luévano, and Mario Mendoza. Special thanks to William H. and Mary Clark for all the lab materials and help during the development of this research, for joining us during fieldwork and for letting us stay in their home while working in the Desert. We are most grateful to Terry L. Whitworth for helping us with specimen identifications, research stay and comments to improve the research and the manuscript. We thank Jesús Romero Nápoles and Alejandro Estrada for the records data from the Museum Colección Entomológica Colegio de Postgraduados (CEAM), Texcoco, Mexico. We thank Sohath Zamira Yusseff Vanegas, Arn Rytter Jensen, Juan José Morrone, Philippe Usseglio-Polatera and an anonymous reviewer for the constructive comments on the manuscript. We are grateful to William H. Clark from the Orma J. Smith Museum of Natural History at The College of Idaho (CIDA), who provided valuable feedback in the early English version of the essay and which greatly improved the final version of the manuscript. This research was supported by the Jiji Foundation fund of the International Community Foundation awarded to FSC (award number 20180127), Fondo Sectorial de Investigación para la Educación SEP-CONACYT Investigación Científica Básica 2017-2018 grant A1-S-15134 to FSC, and by the postgraduate grant from the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACyT, grant number 634579) to KMO.

Author Contributions

F.S.C., K.M.O. and E.L.R. conceived and designed the study. K.M.O. and E.L.R. collected the data through field and laboratory work. K.M.O. and F.S.C. analyzed the data and led the writing. All authors contributed to the editing of the final version of the manuscript.

References Cited

- Amendt, J., R. Krettek, and R. Zehner. 2004. Forensic entomology. Naturwissenschaften. 91: 51–65.
- Anderson, G. S. 1995. The use of insect in death investigations: an analysis of cases in British Columbia over a five year period. Can. Soc. Forensic Sci. J. 28: 277–292.
- Anderson, M. J., and D. C. Walsh. 2013. PERMANOVA, ANOSIM, and the Mantel test in the face of heterogeneous dispersions: what null hypothesis are you testing? Ecol. Monogr. 83: 557–574.
- Arias, J. S., C. A. Szumik, and P. A. Goloboff. 2011. Spatial analysis of vicariance: a method for using direct geographical information in historical biogeography. Cladistics. 27:617–628.
- Baumgartner, D. L. 1993. Review of *Chrysomya rufifacies* (Diptera: Calliphoridae). J. Med. Entomol. 30: 338–352.
- Baumgartner, D. L., and B. Greenberg. 1984. The genus *Chrysomya* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) in the new world. J. Med. Entomol. 21: 105–113.
- Beaver, R. A. 1977. Non-equilibrium 'island' communities: Diptera breeding in dead snails. J. Anim. Ecol. 46: 783–798.
- Benbow, M. E., J. K. Tomberlin, and A. M. Tarone (eds.). 2015. Carrion ecology, evolution, and their applications. CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, Boca Raton, FL.
- Brundage, A., and J. H. Byrd. 2016. Forensic Entomology in Animal Cruelty Cases. Vet. Pathol. 53: 898–909.
- Byrd, J. H., and J. L. Castner. 2010. Forensic Entomology: The Utility of Arthropods in Legal Investigations. CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, Boca Raton, FL.
- Cabrero-Sañudo, F. J. 2012. Composition and distribution patterns of species at a global biogeographic region scale: biogeography of Aphodiini dung

beetles (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae) based on species geographic and taxonomic data, pp. 329–360. *In* L. Stevens (ed.), Global advances in biogeography. InTech, Rijeka, Croatia.

- Carmo, R. F., S. D. Vasconcelos, A. L. Brundage, and J. K. Tomberlin. 2018. How do invasive species affect native species? Experimental evidence from a carrion blowfly (Diptera: Calliphoridae) system. Ecol. Entomol. 43: 483–493.
- Castañeda-Vildózola, A., A. Equihua-Martínez, J. Valdés-Carrasco, A. F. Barrientos-Priego, G. Ish-Am, and S. Gazit. 1999. Insectos Polinizadores del Aguacatero en los estados de México y Michoacán. Revista Chapingo Serie Horticultura. 5: 129–136.
- Cerretti, P., J. O. Stireman III, T. Pape, J. E. O'Hara, M. A. Marinho, K. Rognes, and D. A. Grimaldi. 2017. First fossil of an oestroid fly (Diptera: Calyptratae: Oestroidea) and the dating of oestroid divergences. PLoS One. 12: e0182101.
- Cerretti, P., J. O. Stireman III, D. Badano, S. Gisondi, K. Rognes, G. L. Giudice, and T. Pape. 2019. Reclustering the cluster flies (Diptera: Oestroidea, Polleniidae). Syst. Entomol. 44: 957–972.
- Clarke, K. R. 1993. Non-parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure. Aust. J. Eco. 18: 117–143.
- Dag, A., and S. Gazit. 2001. Mango pollinators in Israel. J. Appl. Hortic. 2: 39-43.
- de Azeredo-Espin, A. M., and A. C. Lessinger. 2006. Genetic approaches for studying myiasis-causing flies: molecular markers and mitochondrial genomics. Genetica. 126: 111–131.
- Dear, J. P. 1985. A revision of the New World Chrysomyini (Diptera:Calliphoridae). Rev. Bras. Zool. 3: 100–169.
- Delfinado, M. D., and D. E. Hardy. 1977. A Catalog of the Diptera of the Oriental Region: Suborder Cyclorrhapha (excluding Division Aschiza), Vol. 3. University of Hawaii Press, Honolulu, HI.
- Dufek, M. I., E. B. Oscherov, M. P. Damborsky, and P. R. Mulieri. 2019. Calliphoridae (Diptera) in Human Transformed and Wild Habitats: Diversity and Seasonal Fluctuations in the Humid Chaco Ecoregion of South America. J. Med. Entomol. 56: 725–736.
- Echeverri, M. I. W., C. R. Alvarez, S. E. H. Higuita, J. C. W. Idárraga, and M. M. E. Franco. 2010. *Lucilia eximia* (Diptera: Calliphoridae), una nueva alternativa para la terapia larval y reporte de casos en Colombia. Iatreia. 23: 107–118.
- Escalante, T. 2009. Un ensayo sobre regionalización biogeográfica. Rev. Mex. Biodivers. 80: 551–560.
- Feddern, N., J. Amendt, C. Schyma, C. Jackowski, and J. Tschui. 2018. A preliminary study about the spatiotemporal distribution of forensically important blow flies (Diptera: Calliphoridae) in the area of Bern, Switzerland. Forensic Sci. Int. 289: 57–66.
- Fremdt, H., and J. Amendt. 2014. Species composition of forensically important blow flies (Diptera: Calliphoridae) and flesh flies (Diptera: Sarcophagidae) through space and time. Forensic Sci. Int. 236: 1–9.
- Gagné, R. J. 1981. Chrysomya spp., old world blow flies (Diptera: Calliphoridae), recently established in the Americas. Entomol. Soc. Am. Bull. 27: 21–22.
- Gisondi, S., K. Rognes, D. Badano, T. Pape, and P. Cerretti. 2020. The world Polleniidae (Diptera, Oestroidea): key to genera and checklist of species. Zookeys. 971: 105–155.
- Godoy, W. A. 2007. Dynamics of blowfly populations. Fun. Ecosyst. Commun. 1: 129–139.
- Goloboff, P. 1994. NONA: a tree searching program. Program and documentation. Argentina, Tucumán, published by the author.
- Goloboff, P. 2004. NDM/VNDM: programs for identification of areas of endemism (Version 3.1) [Software].
- González-Abraham, C. E., P. P. Garcillán, and E. Ezcurra. 2010. Ecorregiones de la península de Baja California: una síntesis. Bol. Soc. Bot. Méx. 87: 69–82.
- Greenberg, B., and J. C. Kunich. 2002. Entomology and the law: flies as forensic indicators. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Gutjahr, S., S. Schmidt, and H. J. Hahn. 2014. A proposal for a groundwater habitat classification at local scale. Sub. Biol. 14: 25–49.
- Habel, J. C., R. Trusch, T. Schmitt, M. Ochse, and W. Ulrich. 2019. Long-term large-scale decline in relative abundances of butterfly and burnet moth species across south-western Germany. Sci. Rep. 9: 14921.

- Hall, D. G. 1948. The blowflies of North America. The Thomas Say Foundation, Lafayette, IN.
- Hallmann, C. A., M. Sorg, E. Jongejans, H. Siepel, N. Hofland, H. Schwan, W. Stenmans, A. Müller, H. Sumser, T. Hörren, et al. 2017. More than 75 percent decline over 27 years in total flying insect biomass in protected areas. PLoS One 12: e0185809.
- Hammer, Ø., and D. Harper. 2006. Paleontological data analysis. Blackwell Publishing, Malden, MA.
- Hanski, I. 1987. Carrion fly community dynamics: patchiness, seasonality and coexistence. Ecol. Entomol. 12: 257–266.
- Huerta Jiménez, H. 2015. Actualización de la Colección de Artrópodos con importancia médica (CAIM), Laboratorio de Entomología, InDRE. Instituto Nacional de Diagnóstico y Referencia Epidemiológicos. Bases de datos SNIB-CONABIO. Proyectos No. HA006 CC011, G011, México, DF.
- Hung, K. L. J., J. M. Kingston, M. Albrecht, D. A. Holway, and J. R. Kohn. 2018. The worldwide importance of honey bees as pollinators in natural habitats. Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. B: Biological Sciences. 285: 20172140.
- Hwang, C., and B. D. Turner. 2005. Spatial and temporal variability of necrophagous Diptera from urban to rural areas. Med. Vet. Entomol. 19: 379–391.
- James, M. T. 1955. The blowflies of California (Diptera: Calliphoridae). Bulletin of the California Insect Survey. 4: 34.
- Jaume-Schinkel, S., and S. Ibáñez-Bernal. 2020. Catálogo de la familia Calliphoridae (Diptera: Oestroidea) de México. Acta Zoológica Mexicana (nueva serie). 36: 1–25.
- Jones, N., T. Whitworth, and S. A. Marshall. 2019. Blow flies of North America: keys to the subfamilies and genera of Calliphoridae, and to the species of the subfamilies Calliphorinae, Luciliinae and Chrysomyinae. Can. J. Arthropod Identif. 39: 1–191.
- Kavazos, C. R., and J. F. Wallman. 2012. Community composition of carrionbreeding blowflies (Diptera: Calliphoridae) along an urban gradient in south-eastern Australia. Landscape and Urban Plann. 106: 183–190.
- Kreft, H., and W. Jetz. 2013. Comment on "An update of Wallace's zoogeographic regions of the world". Science. 341: 343.
- Kurahashi, H. 1989. Family Calliphoridae, pp. 702–718. In N. L. Evenhuis (eds.), Catalog of the Diptera of the Australasian and Oceanian regions. Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu, HI.
- Kutty, S. N., T. Pape, B. M. Wiegmann, and R. Meier. 2010. Molecular phylogeny of the Calyptratae (Diptera: Cyclorrhapha) with an emphasis on the superfamily Oestroidea and the position of Mystacinobiidae and McAlpine's fly. Syst. Entomol. 35: 614–635.
- Kutty, S. N., K. Meusemann, K. M. Bayless, M. A. T. Marinho, A. C. Pont, X. Zhou, B. Misof, B. M. Wiegmann, D. Yeates, P. Derretti, et al. 2019. Phylogenomic analysis of Calyptratae: resolving the phylogenetic relationships within a major radiation of Diptera. Cladistics. 35: 605–622.
- Lister, B. C., and A. Garcia. 2018. Climate-driven declines in arthropod abundance restructure a rainforest food web. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 115: E10397–E10406.
- Lomolino, M. V., B. R. C. Riddle, and J. H. C. Brown. 2006. Biogeography, 3rd ed. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
- Mañas-Jordá, S., J. L. León-Cortés, M. D. García-García, U. Caballero, and F. Infante. 2017. Dipteran diversity and ecological succession on dead pigs in contrasting mountain habitats of Chiapas, Mexico. J. Med. Entomol. 55: 59–68.
- Marinho, M. A., A. C. Junqueira, D. F. Paulo, M. C. Esposito, M. H. Villet, and A. M. Azeredo-Espin. 2012. Molecular phylogenetics of Oestroidea (Diptera: Calyptratae) with emphasis on Calliphoridae: insights into the inter-familial relationships and additional evidence for paraphyly among blowflies. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 65: 840–854.
- Marinho, M. A. T., M. Wolff, Y. Ramos-Pastrana, A. M. L. de Azeredo-Espin, and D. D. S. Amorim. 2017. The first phylogenetic study of Mesembrinellidae (Diptera: Oestroidea) based on molecular data: clades and congruence with morphological characters. Cladistics. 33: 134–152
- Marshall, S. A. 2012. Flies. The natural history and diversity of Diptera. Firefly Books Ltd., Richmond Hill, ON, Canada.
- Martín-Vega, D., and A. Baz. 2013. Sarcosaprophagous Diptera assemblages in natural habitats in central Spain: spatial and seasonal changes in composition. Med. Vet. Entomol. 27: 64–76.

- Mello, R. P. de. 1961. Contribuição ao estudo do gênero *Phaenicia* (R.-D., 1830) (Diptera, Calliphoridae). Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz 59: 259–278.
- Mercado Casares, B., J. J. Soto Àngel, and Á. L. Peña Cantero. 2017. Towards a better understanding of Southern Ocean biogeography: new evidence from benthic hydroids. Polar Biol. 40: 1975–1988.
- Minnich, R. A., E. Franco-Vizcaíno, J. Sosa-Ramírez, J. H. Burk, W. J. Barry, M. G. Barbour, and H. de la Cueva-Salcedo. 1997. A land above: protecting Baja California's Sierra San Pedro Mártir within a biosphere reserve. J. Southwest. 39: 613–695.
- Molina-Chávez, H., M. Nava-Hernández, J. Luy-Quijada, B. Miranda-Gallardo, S. Gutiérrez-Rodríguez, N. Galindo-Mirand. 2012. Distribución de Dipteros asociados con las fases de degradación cadavérica de humanos en el Distrito Federal, Mexico. At: XLVII Congreso Nacional de Entomología de la Sociedad Mexicana de Entomología. A.C. pp. 1749–1755.
- Moretti, T. D. C., and W. A. C. Godoy. 2013. Spatio-temporal dynamics and preference for type of bait in necrophagous insects, particularly native and introduced blow flies (Diptera: Calliphoridae). J. Med. Entomol. 50: 415–424.
- Morrone, J. J. 2006. Biogeographic areas and transition zones of Latin America and the Caribbean islands based on panbiogeographic and cladistic analyses of the entomofauna. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 51: 467–494.
- Morrone, J. J., T. Escalante, and G. Rodríguez-Tapia. 2017. Mexican biogeographic provinces: Map and shapefiles. Zootaxa. 4277: 277–279.
- Núñez-Vázquez, C., J. Tomberlin, and O. García-Martínez. 2010. First record of the blow fly *Calliphora grahami* from Mexico. Southwest. Entomol. 35: 313–316.
- Nuorteva, P. 1963. Synanthropy of blowflies (Diptera: Calliphoridae) in Finland. Ann. Entomol. Fenn. 29: 1–49.
- Pape, T., V. Blagoderov, and M. B. Mostovski. 2011. Order Diptera Linnaeus, 1758, pp. 222–229. *In* Z. Q. Zhang (eds.), Animal biodiversity: an outline of higher-level classification and survey of taxonomic richness. Zootaxa, Auckland, New Zealand. 3148.
- Pérez-Balam, J., J. J. G. Quezada-Euán, R. Alfaro-Bates, S. Medina, L. McKendrick, A. Soro, and R. J. Paxton. 2012. The contribution of honeybees, flies and wasps to avocado (*Persea americana*) pollination in southwestern Mexico. J. Pollinat. Ecol. 8: 42–47.
- Pérez-Bañón, C., A. Juan, T. Petanidou, M. A. Marcos-García, and M. B. Crespo. 2003. The reproductive ecology of Medicago citrina (Font Quer) Greuter (Leguminosae): a bee-pollinated plant in Mediterranean islands where bees are absent. Plant Syst. Evo. 241: 29–46.
- Pont, A. C. 1980. Family Calliphoridae, pp. 779–800. In R. W. Crosskey (ed.), Catalogue of the Afrotropical region. British Museum (Natural History), London, United Kingdom.
- Ramos-Lara, N., J. L. Koprowski, and D. E. Swann. 2013. Nest-site characteristics of the montane endemic Mearns's squirrel (*Tamiasciurus mearnsi*): an obligate cavity-nester?. J. Mammal. 94: 50–58.
- Rebman, J. P., and C. N. Roberts. 2012. Baja California plant field guide, 3rd ed. San Diego Natural History Museum Publication. Sunbelt Publication, San Diego, CA.
- Rebman, J. P., J. Gibson, and K. Rich. 2016. Annotated checklist of the vascular plants of Baja California, Mexico. Proc. S. Diego Soc. Nat. Hist. 45: 1–352.
- Riemann, H., and E. Ezcurra.2007. Endemic regions of the vascular flora of the peninsula of Baja California, Mexico. J. Veg. Sci. 18: 327–336.
- Rosati, J. Y., and S. L. VanLaerhoven. 2007. New record of *Chrysomya rufifacies* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) in Canada: predicted range expansion and potential effects on native species. Can. Entomol. 139: 670–677.
- Saloña Bordas, M. I., J. Moneo Pellitero, B. Díaz Martín. 2009. Estudio sobre la distribución de califóridos (Diptera, Calliphoridae) en la Comunidad Autónoma del País Vasconcelos. Boln. Asoc. Esp. Ent. 33: 63–89.
- Shears, N. T., F. Smith, R. C. Babcock, C. A. Duffy, and E. Villouta. 2008. Evaluation of biogeographic classification schemes for conservation planning: application to New Zealand's coastal marine environment. Conserv. Biol. 22: 467–481.
- Stevens, J. R., and J. F. Wallman. 2006. The evolution of myiasis in humans and other animals in the Old and New Worlds (part I): phylogenetic analyses. Trends Parasitol. 22: 129–136.
- Stevens, J. R., J. F. Wallman, D. Otranto, R. Wall, and T. Pape. 2006. The evolution of myiasis in humans and other animals in the Old and New

Worlds (part II): biological and life-history studies. Trends Parasitol. 22: 181–188.

- Szumik, C., and P. Goloboff. 2004. Areas of endemism: an improved optimality criterion. Syst. Biol. 53: 968–977.
- Szumik, C. A., F. Cuezzo, P. A. Goloboff, and A. E. Chalup. 2002. An optimality criterion to determine areas of endemism. Syst. Biol. 51: 806–816.
- Tantawi, T. I., T. L. Whitworth, and B. J. Sinclair. 2017. Revision of the Nearctic Calliphora Robineau-Desvoidy (Diptera: Calliphoridae). Zootaxa. 4226: 301–347.
- Triplehorn, C. A., and N. F. Johnson. 2005. Borror and DeLong's introduction to the study of insects. Thomson Brooks/Cole, Belmont, CA.
- Valdés-Perezgasga, M. T., and F. García-Espinoza. 2014. Dinámica de califóridos (Diptera: Calliphoridae) en tres municipios de La Comarca Lagunera en el periodo de 2012–2013. Entomología Mexicana. 1: 345–350.
- Vasconcelos, S. D., T. M. Barbosa, and T. P. B. Oliveira. 2015. Diversity of forensically-important dipteran species in different environments in northeastern Brazil, with notes on the attractiveness of animal baits. Fla. Entomol. 98: 770–775.
- Vergara-Pineda, S., H. De León-Múzquiz, O. García-Martínez, M. Cantú-Sifuentes, J. Landeros-Flores, and J. K. Tomberlin. 2012. Dispersión espacial de larvas de *Lucilia sericata* y *Calliphora coloradensis* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) en etapa de postalimentación. Rev. Colomb. Entomol. 38: 97–99.
- Verves, Yu. G. 2005. A catalogue of Oriental Calliphoridae (Diptera). Int. J. Dipt. Res. 16: 233–310.
- Vilhena, D. A., and A. Antonelli. 2015. A network approach for identifying and delimiting biogeographical regions. Nat. Commun. 6: 6848.

- Whitworth, T. 2006. Keys to the genera and species of blow flies (Diptera: Calliphoridae) of America North of Mexico. Proc. Entomol. Soc. Wash. 108: 689–725.
- Whitworth, T. 2010. Keys to the genera and species of blow flies (Diptera: Calliphoridae) of the West Indies and description of a new species of *Lucilia* Robineau-Desvoidy. Zootaxa. 2663: 1–35.
- Whitworth, T. 2014. A revision of the Neotropical species of *Lucilia* Robineau-Desvoidy (Diptera: Calliphoridae). Zootaxa. 3810: 1–76.
- Whitworth, T., and K. Rognes. 2012. Identification of Neotropical blow flies of the genus *Calliphora* Robineau-Desvoidy (Diptera: Calliphoridae) with the description of a new species. Zootaxa. 3209: 1–27.
- Whitworth, T. L., and S. Yusseff-Vanegas. 2019. A revision of the genera and species of the Neotropical family Mesembrinellidae (Diptera: Oestroidea). Zootaxa. 4659: 1–146.
- Wolff, M., and C. Kosmann. 2016. Families Calliphoridae and Mesembrinellidae. Zootaxa. 4122: 856–875.
- Yang, S. T., H. Kurahashi, S. F. Shiao. 2014. Keys to the blow flies of Taiwan, with a checklist of recorded species and the description of a new species of Paradichosia Senior-White (Diptera, Calliphoridae). ZooKeys. 434: 57–109.
- Yusseff-Vanegas, S., and Agnarsson, I. 2016. Molecular phylogeny of the forensically important genus *Cochliomyia* (Diptera: Calliphoridae). ZooKeys. 609: 107–120.
- Yusseff-Vanegas, S. Z., and I. Agnarsson. 2017. DNA-barcoding of forensically important blow flies (Diptera: Calliphoridae) in the Caribbean Region. PeerJ 5: e3516.