

# 

**Citation:** Metwally DM, Qassim LE, Al-Turaiki IM, Almeer RS, El-Khadragy MF (2018) Gene-based molecular analysis of *COX1* in *Echinococcus granulosus* cysts isolated from naturally infected livestock in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. PLoS ONE 13(4): e0195016. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0195016

**Editor:** Ulrike Gertrud Munderloh, University of Minnesota, UNITED STATES

Received: August 30, 2017

Accepted: March 15, 2018

Published: April 18, 2018

**Copyright:** © 2018 Metwally et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** This research project was supported by a grant from the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for funding this research through the Research Group project no. RG-1439-034. The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Gene-based molecular analysis of *COX1* in *Echinococcus granulosus* cysts isolated from naturally infected livestock in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Dina M. Metwally<sup>1,2</sup>\*, Latifa E. Qassim<sup>1</sup>, Isra M. Al-Turaiki<sup>3</sup>, Rafa S. Almeer<sup>1</sup>, Manal F. El-Khadragy<sup>1,4</sup>

1 Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia,

2 Parasitology Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt,

3 Information Technology Department, College of Computer and Information Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 4 Department of Zoology and Entomology, Faculty of Science, Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt

\* mdbody7@yahoo.com

# Abstract

The diversity and importance of *Echinococcus* species in domesticated animals in Saudi Arabia are poorly understood. In this study, 108 singular (hydatid) cysts were collected from goats (n = 25), sheep (n = 56) and camels (n = 27). DNA was extracted from the protoscoleces of individual fertile cysts and used for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of mitochondrial subunit 1 of the *cytochrome c oxidase 1 (cox1)* gene. Amplicon sequencing results revealed the presence of *Echinococcus granulosus* sensustricto (s.s.) (genotypes G1–G3) in 16 of the17 sheep cysts and 2 of the 27 camel cysts.of these samples, 18 (2 camel and 16 sheep) were divided into genotypes G1, G2, and G3.

# Introduction

Cystic echinococcosis (CE) is a prevalent zoonotic disease caused by parasitic infection [1].CE is most widespread in rural areas with few to no hygiene facilities and poor living conditions where humans, dogs, and animals reside in close proximity [2]. The parasitic lifecycle includes eggs that are passed by definitive hosts (canids) harboring adult worms.The eggs subsequently develop to reach the cystic stage after ingestion by an intermediate host [3].CE affects millions of people worldwide and is highly endemic in Mediterranean coastal regions, South America, Eastern Europe, the Middle East, East Africa, China, Central Asia, and Russia [4]. The disease is also estimated to cause yearly financial losses of several billion dollars in domesticated animals.This is partly the result of low eradication rates, mortality in infected animals, and the need to discard the contaminated organs of slaughtered animals [5].Genetic examinations of hydatid cysts innumerous geographical areas have led to the discovery of ten genotypes: G1–G10 [6–9]. *Echinococcus granulosus* sensulato was recently categorized into four distinct species: *E. granulosus* sensu stricto (s.s.) (G1–G3), *Echinococcus ortleppi* (G5), *Echinococcus equinuus* (G4) and *Echinococcus canadensis* (G6–G10) [10, 11]. Although the status of genotype G9



**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

remains uncertain [12], it may be similar to the genotype found in pigs (G7) [13]. The *E. granulosus* s.s. G1 genotype (found in sheep) has been implicated innumerous human CE cases. In addition, human infections have been described for every genotype except G4 [13,14]. A phylogenetic examination of the *cytochrome c oxidase* 1(cox1) gene indicated that the primary strains observed in sheep (G1) and buffalo (G3) cycle among domesticated animals and have adapted to goats, camels and cattle. Human infections have been linked to the G1 basic sheep genotype of *E. granulosus*, suggesting that these strains are highly capable of engaging in zoonotic exchange [15]. Better characterization of *Echinococcus* species may improve the development and advancement of control measures, indicative tests, and treatment options [11,16]. Studies performed in Saudi Arabia have investigated the general prevalence of *Echinococcus* [17–20],although little information is available regarding the zoonotic potential of this parasite. In the present study, we analyzed hydatid cysts using a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) sequencing strategy to evaluate the mitochondrial *cox1* gene in domesticated animals (sheep and camels) in Saudi Arabia with the aim of expanding what is known about *E. granulosus*.

#### Materials and methods

#### **Ethics statement**

The Institutional Committee of Post-graduate Studies and Research at King Saud University (Saudi Arabia) approved this study. Hydatid cysts were collected by veterinarians during postmortem inspections of slaughtered animals performed at the Al-Sada Abattoir in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, in April and October 2016. Official approval of the use of hydatid cysts (for research purposes only) was obtained from the university as well as the abattoir veterinarians.

## Sample collection

A total of 108 hydatid cysts were collected from sheep (n = 56), goats (n = 25) and camels (n = 27).Each cyst was considered an isolate, and all cysts were isolated from the liver. To determine whether the cysts were fertile, the contents of each cyst were aseptically aspirated and dispensed into sterile Petri dishes, and the presence of protoscoleces (fertile cysts) was visually determined. Protoscoleces were specifically collected from single fertile cysts under nuanced aseptic conditions and subsequently washed as many as three times using a sterile saline solution before being fixed in 95% ethanol.

#### **DNA extraction and PCR amplification**

Protoscoleces obtained from cysts were washed with distilled water and ethanol before they were centrifuged. Genomic DNA (gDNA) was then extracted using a High Pure PCR Template Preparation Kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany, Cat. No.51304). The mitochondrial *cox1* gene was amplified with the reverse primer 5' – TAAAGAAAGAACATAATGAAAAT G–3'[6] and the forward primer 5' – TTTTTTGGGCATCCTGAGGTTTAT–3' in a 40-µl reaction mixture containing 8 µl of master mix, 25.6 µl of deoxynucleotides (dNTPs), 2.4 µl of primers and 4 µl of DNA template. The PCR program consisted of an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 5 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 45 seconds, annealing at 50°C for 45 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 10 minutes, and a final extension step at 72°C for 10 minutes. The PCR products were analyzed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis.

#### DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis

The sequences of the forward strands were aligned using ClustalW [11] implemented in Geneious software version 10.0.7 [21]. Multiple sequence alignment was performed once for each

group of samples (camel, sheep, and a combination of all samples). Multiple sequence alignment included the genotypes G1, G2, and G3, and G1 was set as the reference sequence. Lowquality sequence ends were trimmed to obtain better results. A phylogenetic tree was generated from the trimmed sequences obtained in this study in addition to standard sequences for *E. granulosus* genotypes (G1–G10) and other *Echinococcus species*. *Taenia saginata* was used as the out-group (Table 1). The neighbor-joining method [22] was used with the *Tamura Nei* model to generate the phylogenetic tree. The bootstrap method was used for resampling with the number of replicates set to 1000.

Haplotype, genotype or species	Host	Accession number (cox1)	Reference
Echs1	Sheep		The present study
Echs2	Sheep		The present study
Echs3	Sheep		The present study
Echs4	Sheep		The present study
Echs5	Sheep		The present study
Echs6	Sheep		The present study
Echs7	Sheep		The present study
Echs8	Sheep		The present study
Echs9	Sheep		The present study
Echs10	Sheep		The present study
Echs11	Sheep		The present study
Echs12	Sheep		The present study
Echs13	Sheep		The present study
Echs14	Sheep		The present study
Echs15	Sheep		The present study
Echs16	Sheep		The present study
Echs17	Sheep		The present study
Echs18	Sheep		The present study
Echc1	Camel		The present study
Echc2	Camel		The present study
G1	Sheep	U50464	[23]
G2	Sheep	M84662	[6]
G3	Buffalo	M84663	
G4	Horse	M84664	
G5	Cattle	M84665	
G6	Camel	M84666	
G7	Pig	M84667	
G8	Moose	AB235848	[10]
G10	Reindeer	AF525457	[9]
E. multilocularis	Human	M84668	[6]
E. multilocularis	Rodent	M84669	[6]
E. vogeli	Rodent	AB208064	[10]
E. shiquicus	Pika	M84670	[6]
E. oligarthrus	Rodent	M84671	[6]
E. felidis	Lion	EF558356	[24]
Outgroup: Taeniasagin ata	Cattle	AB465239	[25]

Table 1. E. granulosus haplotypes and reference sequences utilized for phylogenetic analysis of partial cox1 sequences.

## Results

#### Hydatid cyst collection

After the collected cysts were assessed, 17 of the 56 cysts extracted from sheep and 2 of the 27 cysts collected from camels were found to be fertile. All 25 cysts derived from goats were infertile. PCR amplification and DNA sequencing were performed for all fertile cysts. Unfertile cysts were not processed for molecular analysis.

#### Amplification of the mitochondrial cox1 gene

A 446-bp fragment of the *cox1* gene was PCR amplified from gDNA extracted from each of the fertile hydatid cysts (Figs 1 and 2).

**Camel samples.** A portion of the multiple sequence alignment of camel samples *Echc1* and *Echc2* with genotypes G1, G2, and G3 is shown in Fig 3. We found a one-nucleotide substitution (C to T) in one sample at position 69 of the reference sequence. Fig 4 shows the phylogenetic tree of the camel samples and reference sequences. The results indicate that G1 was the only genotype found in the camel samples. Table 2 shows the genetic distance matrix.

**Sheep samples.** A portion of the multiple sequence alignment of the 17 sheep samples with genotypes G1, G2, and G3 is shown in Fig 5. We found the following one-nucleotide substitutions: in 7 samples, C to T at position 69 of the reference sequence (SNP analysis indicates that this change is a transition SNP);in 1 sample, C to T at position 79;in 1 sample, A to T at position 98; in 1 sample, G to T at position 100;in 1 sample, T to G at position 101;in 1 sample, C to T at position 105;in 1 sample, C to T and C to A at position 124; and in 2 samples, T to C at position 270. Fig 6 shows the phylogenetic tree of the sheep samples and reference sequences. The two samples, *Echs15* and *Echs16*,formed a clade with bootstrap support of 96.9%. The pair *Echs2* and *Echs6* also formed a clade with bootstrap support of 94.6%.Similarly, *Echs9* and *Echs11* formed a clade with bootstrap support of 85.2%. *Echs1* and *Echs13* formed a



**Fig 1. Agarose gel (1.0%) electrophoretogram with 100-bp DNA ladder.** PCR analysis of the *cox1* gene revealed a 446-bp band derived from different sheep hydatid cyst isolates (2–18).



**Fig 2.** Agarose gel (1.0%) electrophoretogram with 100-bp DNA ladder. PCR analysis of the *cox1* gene revealed a 446-bp band derived from different camel hydatid cyst isolates (2, 3).

clade with bootstrap support of 73.7%. *Echs4* and *Echs7* formed a clade with bootstrap support of 71%.

Overall, the results grouped 16 out of the 17 samples in a clade with genotypes G1, G2, and G3, with bootstrap support of 99.7%. Sample *Echs18*seemed less related to the other sheep sequences and more related to the outgroup.

		50	60	70	80
		50	60	70	80
1. G1 (sheep)	TGTTTGAG	TÁTTAG	GTGCTAATTT'	TGATGCĠTTTGGG <sup>-</sup>	ттст
2. Echc1					
3. Echc2				$\cdots$ $\top$ $\top$ $\top$ $\cdots$ $\cdots$ $\cdots$	· · <u>·</u> ·
4. G3 (buffalo)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:: <u></u> ‡:
5. GZ (sneep)		90	100	110	120
		90	100	110	120
1 G1 (sheen)	ATGGGTTG	ттатт	ιοστατάτττ	TCTATAGTGTGTGTT	тссс
2. Eshel	Ardddrid		I GCTATOTTT		
2. EChCl					
4. G3 (buffalo)					
5. G2 (sheep)					
		130	140	150	160
		130	140	150	160
1. G1 (sheep)	TAGCAGGG	TTTGGG	G T C A T C A T A	TGTTTACTGTTGG	GTTG
2. Echc1					
3. Echc2					
4. G3 (buffalo)					
5. GZ (sneep)		170	180	190	200
		170	190	190	200
1 G1 (sheen)	GATGTGAA	GACGGC			ΔΤΩΔ
2 Echc1					
2. ECHCI					
4. G3 (buffalo)					
5. G2 (sheep)					
		210	220	230	240
		210	220	230	240
1. G1 (sheep)	TTATAGGG	GTTCCT	FACTGGTATA,	AAGGTGTTTACTT	GGTT
• •					

Fig 3. Multiple sequence alignment of two camel samples and genotypes G1, G2, and G3.





Fig 4. Phylogenetic tree of the sequences of camel samples from Saudi Arabia and reference sequences obtained from previous studies.

**Sheep and camel samples.** The multiple sequence alignment of the sheep and camel samples with genotypes G1, G2, and G3 is shown in Fig 7. We found the following one-nucleotide substitutions: C to T at position 69of the reference sequence (SNP analysis indicates that this change is a transition SNP appearing in 8 of the samples, including one camel sample); C to T at position 79; A to T at position 98; G to T at position 100; T to G at position 101; C to T at position 105; and T to C at position 270. Fig 8 shows the phylogenetic tree of the sheep samples and reference sequences.

Two sheep samples, *Echs1* and *Echs13*, formed a clade with camel sample *Echc1*, with bootstrap support of 85.2%. Overall, the results grouped18of the samples (2 camel and 16 sheep) in a clade with G1, G2, and G3, with bootstrap support of 98.7%.

#### Discussion

The results of this study show that as many as three separate genotypes of *E. granulosus*, including G1, G2, and G3, are present in Saudi Arabia and that they are frequently found in

	Echc1	Echc2	G1 (sheep)	G2 (sheep)	G3 (buffalo)
Echc1		0.01	0.019	0.017	0.014
Echc2	0.01		0.016	0.014	0.017
G1 (sheep)	0.019	0.016		0.008	0.006
G2 (sheep)	0.017	0.014	0.008		0.003
G3 (buffalo)	0.014	0.017	0.006	0.003	

Table 2. Genetic distance matrix based on the Tamura Nei model for the camel samples and genotypes G1, G2, and G3.

	264	274	284	294
1. G1 (sheep)				
1. 61 (Sheep)   2. Echs2   3. Echs6   4. Echs3   5. Echs15   6. Echs16   7. Echs1   8. Echs3   9. Echs9   10. Echs11   11. Echs4   12. Echs12   13. G3 (buffalo)   14. G2 (sheep)   15. Echs7   16. Echs10   17. Echs8   18. Echs5   19. Echs14		с. с. с. с.		
20. ECHST8	310	320	330	340 350
	204	214	224	224 244
1. G1 (sheep)	304	314	324	334 344
2. Echs2 3. Echs6 4. Echs3 5. Echs15 6. Echs16 7. Echs1 8. Echs13 9. Echs9 10. Echs11 11. Echs4 12. Echs12				





Fig 6. Phylogenetic tree of the sequences of 17 sheep samples and reference sequence obtained from previous studies.

Consensus	GATGCGTTT-GGGTTCTATGGGTTGTTGTTTGCTATGTTTTCTATAGTGTGTTTTGGGTAGCAGGGTT						
	67	76	86	96	106	116	126
1. G1 (sheep)							
2. G3 (buffalo)		- · · · · · T · ·					
3. G2 (sheep)	· · · · · T · · · ·	- · · · · · T · ·					
4. Echs2							
5. Echs6	· · · · T · · · ·						
6. Echs3	· · · · T · · · ·						
7. Echc2	· · · · T · · · ·						
8. Echs15							• т • • • • • •
9. Echs16	· · · · T · · · ·			· · · · · · T · T · ·			
10. Echs1							
11. Echs13							
12. Echc1							
13. Echs7	· · · · T · · · ·	- · · · · · T · ·					
14. Echs10							
15. Echs4	· · · · T · · · ·	- · · · · · · · ·					
16. Echs12	· · · · T · · · ·						
17. Echs9		- · · · · · · · ·					
18. Echs11	· · · · T · · · ·						
19. Echs8							
20. Echs5							
21. Echs14		G		G	· · T · · · · · ·		
22. Echs18	· · · · · T · · ·		· · · A · · A · · A ·		· · · A · · · · · C		$\cdot A \cdot \cdot T \cdot \cdot G$
	140	150	160	170	180	190	200
Consensus	T-GGGGTCA	TCATATGTT	TACTGTTGGGT	TGGATGTGAA	GACGGCTGTT	TTTTTTAGCT	CTGTTACT
	135	145	155	165	175	185	195
1. G1 (sheep)							
2. G3 (buffalo)							
3. G2 (sheep)							
4. Echs2							
5. Echs6							
6. Echs3							
7 Echc?							

Fig 7. Multiple sequence alignment of sheep and camel samples and reference sequences obtained from previous studies.

sheep, cattle, and buffalo, respectively. These findings are similar to those of studies performed in Iran suggesting that G1 remains the most prevalent *E. granulosus* genotype in livestock [26]



Fig 8. Phylogenetic tree of all samples and reference sequences obtained from GenBank.

and that G2 is the second most common genotype in both cattle and sheep [27,28]. A study performed in Turkey assessed nearly 208 isolates (19 cattle, 179 sheep, 7 goats, 1dog and 1 camel) and detected only the G1 genotype. However, that study mainly utilized PCR-based restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) targeted to the internal transcribed spacer (ITS)-1 region of ribosomal DNA and performed a cox1 sequence analysis for only a handful of isolates [29]. Genotype G3 of *E. granulosus* has been isolated from cattle, buffalo and sheep in Turkey, Italy, India and Chile [30-34], and studies worldwide have demonstrated many similarities between the distributions of genotypesG1 and G3. For example, in southern Italy, an investigation of 48 wild water oxen detected G3 in 31.25% and G1 in 68.75% of CE cases [35]. Other studies have reported comparable patterns with varying proportions of G1 and G3. For example, in a study of 112 cattle and sheep performed in Turkey, 95.5% of CE cases were G1, while 4.5% were G3 [36]; in a study of 30 cows, sheep and humans performed in Tunisia, 93.3% of CE cases were G1, and 6.7% were G3 [37]; in a study of 80 cattle and water buffaloes performed in Italy, 78.75% of CE cases were G1, and 12.5% were G3 [30]; in a study of 38 animals performed in southeastern Iran, 73.7% of CE cases were G1,and13.2% were G3 [38]; and in a study of 18 humans and dogs performed in southern Brazil, 77.8% of CE cases were G1,and 11.1% were G3 [39]. Another study identified four different E. granulosus genotypes, G1, G2, G3, and G5, in 46 household animals in India [40]. Of these genotypes, G3 was the most prevalent, accounting for 63% of the CE cases, whereas G1 was observed in only six (13%) cases. Furthermore, a unique situation was observed in a study of 19 different hydatid cyst samples extracted from camels in central Iran. In that study, the nad1 and pcox1genes were sequenced, and the G3 genotype was identified in 42.1%, the G6 genotype in 31.6% and the G1 genotype in 26.3% of the cases [41]. The camel genotype clearly differs from the genotypes found in other domestic animals throughout numerous regions in Iran. While only the G6 genotype has been found in some African countries [42–44], no G6 isolates were identified in the current study. Several studies based on cox1 analysis have also demonstrated different haplotypes within genotypes G3 and G1 in various hosts [34, 45-47]. For example, one study of 112 cattle and sheep hydatid cyst isolates (in Turkey) identified 5 G3 isolates, 107 G1 isolates, and 5 unique haplotypes [37]. In the present study, 18 samples (2 camel and 16out of 17 sheep) were grouped with genotypesG1, G2, and G3, and these isolates were compared with other genotypes. The horizontal branches in a phylogenetic tree indicate genetic distances (i.e., the amount of genetic change), while longer horizontal branches are associated with greater divergence. Our analysis of the combined sheep and camel samples revealed the following onenucleotide substitutions: C to T at position 69, C to T at position 79, A to T at position 98, G to T at position 100, T to G at position 101, C to T at position 105, and T to C at position 270 of the reference sequence. The substitution at position 69 of the reference sequence was identified in 8 of the samples, including one camel sample. A phylogenetic tree constructed using all the isolates (Fig 8) showed that the G1, G2 and G3 genotypes comprise a deeply related complex that is distinct from other genotypes (G4 to G10), as previously described by other investigators [35,47,48]. Because most of the sheep used by humans in Saudi Arabia are imported from Sudan, these animals are the likely source of the *E.granulosus* s.s. observed in Saudi Arabia. These harmful cysts are economically important because of their impact on animal health, and the findings of the present work are therefore valuable because they establish the exact genotypes present in each species; this will enable appropriate preventative measures and therapeutic strategies to be implemented in the various animal populations affected by CE. Obtaining additional isolates from other hosts, such as humans and stray canines, and from other geographic areas may be necessary to increase our understanding of the distribution of CE in Saudi Arabia.

## **Supporting information**

S1 Dataset. All samples in the present study provided in two formats: FASTA and chromatogram. (ZIP)

**Acknowledgments** 

The authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for funding this research through the Research Group project no. RG-1439-034.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Dina M. Metwally.

Data curation: Latifa E. Qassim.

Formal analysis: Isra M. Al-Turaiki.

Funding acquisition: Dina M. Metwally, Manal F. El-Khadragy.

Investigation: Dina M. Metwally.

Methodology: Latifa E. Qassim.

Project administration: Dina M. Metwally.

Resources: Latifa E. Qassim.

Software: Isra M. Al-Turaiki.

Supervision: Dina M. Metwally.

Validation: Dina M. Metwally.

Visualization: Latifa E. Qassim.

Writing - original draft: Dina M. Metwally.

Writing - review & editing: Dina M. Metwally, Rafa S. Almeer, Manal F. El-Khadragy.

#### References

- Faraji R, Javadi GR, Barshahi PM, Ahmadian F, Sarebanhassanabadi M, Firoozabadi AD, et al. Prevalence of Hydatid Cyst in Slaughtered Livestock in Kermanshah (West Iran). Advances in Microbiology. 2015 Apr 1; 5(04):252.
- 2. Elzein FE, Aljaberi A, Asiri S, Alghamdi A. Isolated Hydatid Cyst of the Kidney. World Journal of Nephrology and Urology. 2016 Mar 31; 5(1):16–9.
- Eckert J, Gemmell MA, Meslin F, Pawłowski ZS. WHO/OIE manual on echinococcosis in Humans and Animals: A Public Health Problem of Global Concern. World Organisation for Animal Health (Office International des Epizooties) and World Health Organisation. 2001; 1–250.
- Zhang W, Zhang Z, Wu W, Shi B, Li J, Zhou X, et al. Epidemiology and control of echinococcosis in central Asia, with particular reference to the People's Republic of China. ActaTropica. 2015 Jan 31; 141:235–43.
- Budke CM, Deplazes P, Torgerson PR. Global socioeconomic impact of cystic echinococcosis. Emerging infectious diseases. 2006 Feb; 12(2):296. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1202.050499 PMID: 16494758
- Bowles J, Blair D, McManus DP. Genetic variants within the genus Echinococcus identified by mitochondrial DNA sequencing. Molecular and biochemical parasitology. 1992 Sep 1; 54(2):165–73. PMID: 1435857
- 7. Bowles J, Blair D, McManus DP. Molecular genetic characterization of the cervid strain ('northern form') of *Echinococcus granulosus*. Parasitology. 1994 Aug; 109(2):215–21.

- Scott JC, Stefaniak J, Pawlowski ZS, McManus DP. Molecular genetic analysis of human cystic hydatid cases from Poland: identification of a new genotypic group (G9) of *Echinococcus granulosus*. Parasitology. 1997 Jan; 114(1):37–43.
- Lavikainen A, Lehtinen MJ, Meri T, Hirvelä-Koski V, Meri S. Molecular genetic characterization of the Fennoscandian cervid strain, a new genotypic group (G10) of *Echinococcus granulosus*. Parasitology. 2003 Sep; 127(3):207–15.
- Nakao M, McManus DP, Schantz PM, Craig PS, Ito A. A molecular phylogeny of the genus Echinococcus inferred from complete mitochondrial genomes. Parasitology. 2006 May; 134(5):713–22.
- 11. Thompson RA, McManus DP. Towards a taxonomic revision of the genus Echinococcus. TRENDS in Parasitology. 2002 Oct 1; 18(10):452–7. PMID: 12377596
- Kedra AH, Swiderski Z, Tkach V, Dubinsky P, Pawlowski Z, Stefaniak J, et al. Genetic analysis of *Echinococcus granulosus* from humans and pigs in Poland, Slovakia and Ukraine. A multicenter study. Acta-Parasitologica. 1999; 44(4):248–54.
- Rojas CA, Romig T, Lightowlers MW. Echinococcus granulosus sensulato genotypes infecting humans-review of current knowledge. International Journal for Parasitology. 2014 Jan 31; 44(1):9–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2013.08.008 PMID: 24269720
- Farhadi M, Fazaeli A, Haniloo A. Genetic characterization of livestock and human hydatid cyst isolates from northwest Iran, using the mitochondrial cox1 gene sequence. Parasitology research. 2015 Dec 1; 114(12):4363–70. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-015-4673-y PMID: 26280086
- Latif AA, Tanveer A, Maqbool A, Siddiqi N, Kyaw-Tanner M, Traub RJ. Morphological and molecular characterization of *Echinococcus granulosus* in livestock and humans in Punjab, Pakistan. Veterinary parasitology. 2010 May 28; 170(1):44–9.
- McManus DP. Echinococcosis with particular reference to Southeast Asia. Advances in parasitology. 2010 Dec 31; 72:267–303. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-308X(10)72010-8 PMID: 20624535
- 17. Ibrahim MM. Study of cystic echinococcosis in slaughtered animals in Al Baha region, Saudi Arabia: interaction between some biotic and abiotic factors. ActaTropica. 2010 Jan 31; 113(1):26–33.
- Toulah FH, El-Shafei AA, Alsolami MN. Prevalence of hydatidosis among slaughtered animals in Jeddah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Journal of the Egyptian Society of Parasitology. 2012 Dec; 42(3):563– 72. PMID: 23469631
- 19. Al-Malki JS, Degheidy NS. Epidemiological studies of hydatidosis among slaughtered sheep and human in Taif, Saudi Arabia.
- 20. Giri DK, Dewangan G, Kashyap DK. Diagnosis and Therapeutic Management of Oestrosis in Small Ruminants. IntasPolivet. 2016 Jul 1; 17(2).
- 21. http://www.geneious.com/
- Saitou N, Nei M. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Molecular biology and evolution. 1987 Jul 1; 4(4):406–25. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals. molbev.a040454 PMID: 3447015
- 23. Okamoto M, Bessho Y, Kamiya M, Kurosawa T, Horii T. Phylogenetic relationships within *Taenia taeniaeformis* variants and other taeniid cestodes inferred from the nucleotide sequence of the cytochromec oxidase subunit I gene. Parasitology Research. 1995 Jun 1; 81(6):451–8. PMID: 7567901
- Hüttner M, Nakao M, Wassermann T, Siefert L, Boomker JD, Dinkel A, et al. Genetic characterization and phylogenetic position of *Echinococcus felidis* (Cestoda: Taeniidae) from the African lion. International journal for parasitology. 2008 Jun 30; 38(7):861–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.10.013</u> PMID: 18062973
- 25. Okamoto M, Nakao M, Blair D, Anantaphruti MT, Waikagul J, Ito A. Evidence of hybridization between *Taenia saginata* and *Taenia asiatica*. Parasitology international. 2010 Mar 31; 59(1):70–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2009.10.007 PMID: 19874910
- Nejad MR, Taghipour N, Nochi Z, Mojarad EN, Mohebbi SR, Harandi MF, et al. Molecular identification of animal isolates of *Echinococcus granulosus* from Iran using four mitochondrial genes. Journal of helminthology. 2012 Dec; 86(4):485–92. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X1100071X</u> PMID: 22166311
- Pezeshki A, Akhlaghi L, Sharbatkhori M, Razmjou E, Oormazdi H, Mohebali M, et al. Genotyping of *Echinococcus granulosus* from domestic animals and humans from Ardabil Province, northwest Iran. Journal of helminthology. 2013 Dec; 87(4):387–91. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X1200051X PMID: 23046636
- Nikmanesh B, Mirhendi H, Ghalavand Z, Alebouyeh M, Sharbatkhori M, et al. Genotyping of *Echinococcus granulosus* isolates from human clinical samples based on sequencing of mitochondrial genes in Iran, Tehran. Iranian journal of parasitology. 2014 Mar; 9(1):20. PMID: 25642256

- Utuk AE, Simsek S, Koroglu E, McManus DP. Molecular genetic characterization of different isolates of *Echinococcus granulosus* in east and southeast regions of Turkey. ActaTropica. 2008 Aug 31; 107 (2):192–4.
- Casulli A, Manfredi MT, La Rosa G, Di Cerbo AR, Genchi C, Pozio E. *Echinococcus ortleppi* and *E. granulosus* G1, G2 and G3 genotypes in Italian bovines. Veterinary parasitology. 2008 Aug 1; 155 (1):168–72.
- Simsek S, Kaplan M, Ozercan IH. A comprehensive molecular survey of *Echinococcus granulosus* in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues in human isolates in Turkey. Parasitology research. 2011 Aug 1; 109(2):411–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-011-2269-8 PMID: 21286751
- 32. Sharma M, Fomda BA, Mazta S, Sehgal R, Singh BB, Malla N. Genetic diversity and population genetic structure analysis of *Echinococcus granulosus* sensu stricto complex based on mitochondrial DNA signature. PLoS One. 2013 Dec 9; 8(12):e82904. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0082904 PMID: 24349394
- Sharma M, Sehgal R, Fomda BA, Malhotra A, Malla N. Molecular characterization of *Echinococcus granulosus* cysts in north Indian patients: identification of G1, G3, G5 and G6 genotypes. PLoS neglected tropical diseases. 2013 Jun 13; 7(6):e2262. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002262 PMID: 23785531
- Espinoza S, Salas AM, Vargas A, Freire V, Diaz E, Sánchez G, Venegas J. Detection of the G3 genotype of *Echinococcus granulosus* from hydatid cysts of Chilean cattle using COX1and ND1 mitochondrial markers. Parasitology research. 2014 Jan 1; 113(1):139–47. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-013-3636-4 PMID: 24158646
- Capuano F, Rinaldi L, Maurelli MP, Perugini AG, Veneziano V, Garippa G, et al. Cystic echinococcosis in water buffaloes: epidemiological survey and molecular evidence of ovine (G1) and buffalo (G3) strains. Veterinary parasitology. 2006 Apr 30; 137(3):262–8.
- Vural G, Baca AU, Gauci CG, Bagci O, Gicik Y, Lightowlers MW. Variability in the *Echinococcus granulosus* cytochrome C oxidase 1 mitochondrial gene sequence from livestock in Turkey and a re-appraisal of the G1–3 genotype cluster. Veterinary parasitology. 2008 Jul 4; 154(3):347–50.
- 37. M'rad S, Oudni-M'rad M, Filisetti D, Mekki M, Nouri A, Sayadi T, et al. Molecular identification of *Echinococcus granulosus* in Tunisia: first record of the Buffalo strain (G3) in human and bovine in the country. The Open Veterinary Science Journal. 2010 Aug 24; 4(1).
- Mario L, Takano K, Brochado JF, Costa CV, Soares AG, Yamano K, et al. Infection of humans and animals with *Echinococcus granulosus* (G1 and G3 strains) and *E. ortleppi* in Southern Brazil. Veterinary parasitology. 2011 Apr 19; 177(1):97–103.
- Hajialilo E, Harandi MF, Sharbatkhori M, Mirhendi H, Rostami S. Genetic characterization of *Echinococcus granulosus* in camels, cattle and sheep from the south-east of Iran indicates the presence of the G3 genotype. Journal of helminthology. 2012 Sep; 86(3):263–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X11000320 PMID</u>: 21749740
- Pednekar RP, Gatne ML, Thompson RA, Traub RJ. Molecular and morphological characterisation of Echinococcus from food producing animals in India. Veterinary parasitology. 2009 Oct 28; 165(1):58–65.
- Sharbatkhori M, Harandi MF, Mirhendi H, Hajialilo E, Kia EB. Sequence analysis of cox1 and nad1 genes in *Echinococcus granulosus* G3 genotype in camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) from central Iran. Parasitology research. 2011 Mar 1; 108(3):521–7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-010-2092-7 PMID: 20922418
- 42. Bardonnet K, Piarroux R, Dia L, Schneegans F, Beurdeley A, Godot V, et al. Combined eco-epidemiological and molecular biology approaches to assess *Echinococcus granulosus* transmission to humans in Mauritania: occurrence of the 'camel'strain and human cystic echinococcosis. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2002 Jul 1; 96(4):383–6. PMID: 12497974
- 43. Maillard S, Benchikh-Elfegoun MC, Knapp J, Bart JM, Koskei P, Gottstein B, Piarroux R. Taxonomic position and geographical distribution of the common sheep G1 and camel G6 strains of *Echinococcus granulosus* in three African countries. Parasitology research. 2007 Feb 1; 100(3):495–503. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-006-0286-9 PMID</u>: 17016727
- Khalifa NO, Khater HF, Fahmy HA, Radwan ME, Afify JS. Genotyping and phylogenetic analysis of cystic echinococcosis isolated from camels and humans in Egypt. American Journal of Epidemiology and Infectious Disease. 2014 Jan 23; 2(3):74–82.
- **45.** Kamenetzky L, Gutierrez AM, Canova SG, Haag KL, Guarnera EA, Parra A, et al. Several strains of *Echinococcus granulosus* infect livestock and humans in Argentina. Infection, Genetics and Evolution. 2002 Dec 31; 2(2):129–36. PMID: <u>12797989</u>
- 46. Haag KL, Ayala FJ, Kamenetzky L, Gutierrez AM, Rosenzvit M. Livestock trade history, geography, and parasite strains: the mitochondrial genetic structure of *Echinococcus granulosus* in Argentina. Journal of Parasitology. 2004 Apr; 90(2):234–9. https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-173R PMID: 15165043

- **47.** Nakao M, Li T, Han X, Ma X, Xiao N, Qiu J, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of Echinococcus tapeworms in China as determined by mitochondrial and nuclear DNA sequences. International journal for parasitology. 2010 Mar 1; 40(3):379–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.09.006 PMID: 19800346
- **48.** Abushhewa MH, Abushhiwa MH, Nolan MJ, Jex AR, Campbell BE, Jabbar A, Gasser RB. Genetic classification of *Echinococcus granulosus* cysts from humans, cattle and camels in Libya using mutation scanning-based analysis of mitochondrial loci. Molecular and cellular probes. 2010 Dec 31; 24(6):346–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcp.2010.07.005 PMID: 20659552