Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Preventive Veterinary Medicine

Corrigendum

# Corrigendum to "The feasibility of testing whether *Fasciola hepatica* is associated with increased risk of verocytotoxin producing *Escherichia coli* O157 from an existing study protocol" [Prev. Vet. Med. 119 (2015) 97–104]

Graeme L. Hickey [a,*], Peter J. Diggle [a], Tom N. McNeilly [b], Sue C. Tongue [c,1], Margo E. Chase-Topping [d], Diana J.L. Williams [e,f]

[a] *Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, Institute of Infection and Global Heath, University of Liverpool, The Farr Institute@HeRC, Waterhouse Building, 1–5 Brownlow Street, Liverpool, L69 3GL, UK*
[b] *Moredun Research Institute, Pentlands, Science Park, Bush Loan, Penicuik, Midlothian, EH26 0PZ, UK*
[c] *SRUC (Scotland's Rural College), West Mains Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JG, UK*
[d] *Centre for Immunity, Infection and Evolution, University of Edinburgh, Ashworth Laboratories, Charlotte Auerbach Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3FL, UK*
[e] *Department of Infection Biology, Institute of Infection and Global Heath, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 7ZJ, UK*
[f] *School of Veterinary Science, University of Liverpool, Leahurst Campus, Chester High Road, Neston, CH64 7TE, UK*

## ARTICLE INFO

The authors regret that there is an error in lines 617–622 of the R script (coinfection_updated.r; Supplemental Data). Namely, the list of parameters 'pars0' used in the calculation should have been 'pars1' in all instances. This resulted in a small error in the first sensitivity analysis in Section 4.2. Specifically, the third sentence should read:

"Power ranged from 13.5% (for OR = 1.2) to 99.5% (for OR = 3.0)".

This error also affects Fig. 4; however the revised figure is visually indistinguishable from the original.

There is also a typographical error in the left hand side of Eq. (4). Specifically, it should read:

$$E_{X|r_j=\hat{r}}\left[P\left[Y_j > 0|\alpha_j, \beta, X_{1j}, \ldots\ldots, X_{n_j j}\right]\right], \tag{4}$$

where the expectation is taken conditional on the average individual prevalence for *F. hepatica*, $\hat{r}$. The two instances of '$\alpha_j$' in the integrand of the proceeding equation (that followed after averaging over the random effects and sample size distributions) should have been written as '$u$'. This does not affect the calculation of the random effects parameters. The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.