

# EfrEF and the Transcription Regulator ChIR Are Required for Chlorhexidine Stress Response in *Enterococcus faecalis* V583

Farry J. Li,<sup>a</sup> DKelli L. Palmer<sup>a</sup>

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR

<sup>a</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas, USA

Antimicrobial Agents

MICROBIOLOGY and Chemotherapy®

ABSTRACT Enterococcus faecalis is an opportunistic pathogen and leading cause of health care-associated infections. Daily chlorhexidine gluconate (CHG) bathing of patients is generally regarded as an effective strategy to reduce the occurrence of health care-associated infections. It is likely that E. faecalis is frequently exposed to inhibitory and subinhibitory concentrations of CHG in clinical settings. The goal of this study was to investigate how the vancomycin-resistant strain E. faecalis V583 transcriptionally responds to and tolerates stress from CHG. We used transcriptome (microarray) analysis to identify genes upregulated by E. faecalis V583 in response to CHG. The genes efrE (EF2226) and efrF (EF2227), encoding a heterodimeric ABC transport system, were the most highly upregulated genes. efrEF expression was induced by CHG at concentrations several 2-fold dilutions below the MIC. Deletion of efrEF increased E. faecalis V583 susceptibility to CHG. We found that ChIR, a MerRlike regulator encoded by a sequence upstream of efrEF, mediated the CHGdependent upregulation of efrEF, and deletion of chIR also increased chlorhexidine susceptibility. Overall, our study gives insight into E. faecalis stress responses to a commonly used antiseptic.

**KEYWORDS** Enterococcus, chlorhexidine

Enterococci are Gram-positive bacteria and colonizers of the gastrointestinal tracts of humans and animals. *Enterococcus faecalis*, an opportunistic pathogen, is one of the leading causes of health care-associated infections, including bloodstream infections, surgical wound infections, and urinary tract infections (1). The intrinsic antibiotic resistance of *E. faecalis* combined with the horizontal acquisition of antibiotic resistance genes often complicates treatment of these infections (2). Vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) are particularly of concern.

Chlorhexidine is a bisbiguanide disinfectant and antiseptic with broad-spectrum antimicrobial efficiency against bacteria. Chlorhexidine gluconate (CHG), a chlorhexidine salt solution, is used for infection control, including whole-body rinsing of patients in intensive care units (ICUs), oral cleansing, and surgical hand washes. The mechanism of action and efficacy of chlorhexidine against bacteria have been studied for decades (3). It is generally postulated that the antimicrobial activity of chlorhexidine stems from its cationic nature. Chlorhexidine attaches to the negatively charged cell envelope, resulting in breakage of the outer leaflet. High concentrations of chlorhexidine severely compromise the cytoplasmic membrane, leading to cell lysis. At lower concentrations, near the MIC, chlorhexidine distorts the cell walls of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, leading to morphological changes in the cell surface (4). Uptake of chlorhexidine into the cytoplasm causes precipitation of the cytoplasmic components and inhibits ATPase activity (5, 6).

Daily CHG bathing of ICU patients is used to control VRE and other nosocomial infections (7–9). CHG is typically detectable on patients' skin for 24 h postbath (10). However, VRE recover to the prebath density on patients' bodies in less than 24 h (10).

Received 9 February 2018 Returned for modification 1 March 2018 Accepted 16 March 2018

Accepted manuscript posted online 2 April 2018

Citation Li FJ, Palmer KL. 2018. EfrEF and the transcription regulator ChIR are required for chlorhexidine stress response in *Enterococcus faecalis* V583. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62:e00267-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC .00267-18.

**Copyright** © 2018 Li and Palmer. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Kelli L. Palmer, kelli.palmer@utdallas.edu.



**FIG 1** Growth curves. (a) The optical density at 600 nm (OD<sub>600</sub>) is shown on the *y* axis. Mid-exponentialphase *E. faecalis* V583 cultures (OD<sub>600</sub>, 0.4 to 0.5) were split into fresh, prewarmed medium to achieve different H-CHG concentrations (indicated with the arrow). For all experiments, 1× MIC is the broth microdilution MIC of *E. faecalis* V583. The time point at which RNA was harvested is also indicated. (b) Viable cell counts (number of CFU per milliliter) for 1× MIC-treated cultures and control cultures are shown. For this curve, the time at which cultures were split is set to 0 h. For both panels, error bars indicate standard deviations from 3 independent experiments.

This finding indicates that VRE are frequently exposed to inhibitory and subinhibitory CHG concentrations as a result of CHG bathing. Recent *E. faecalis* isolates from an ICU demonstrated a high prevalence of reduced chlorhexidine susceptibility (11). It is conceivable that extensive use of CHG bathing could select for strains with reduced chlorhexidine susceptibilities.

It is currently not well understood how VRE respond to and tolerate stress from subinhibitory concentrations of CHG. By using microarray analysis, we found that gene expression in *E. faecalis* V583 is altered after CHG exposure. Of particular interest is that EF2226 and EF2227, which encode the heterodimeric ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter EfrEF (12), are the most upregulated genes in *E. faecalis* V583 upon exposure to CHG. By deletion analysis, we show that *efrEF* expression confers protection from CHG. Further, EF2225 (referred to as *chlR* here), a putative MerR family transcription regulator encoded by a sequence upstream of *efrEF*, mediates the upregulation of *efrEF* in response to CHG exposure.

## RESULTS

**E.** faecalis V583 growth kinetics after H-CHG exposure. *E.* faecalis V583 is a VanB-type vancomycin-resistant bloodstream infection isolate and model strain for *E.* faecalis studies (13, 14). The broth microdilution MIC of the commercially available Hibiclens CHG product (H-CHG) for *E.* faecalis V583 is 9.8  $\mu$ g/ml, which is within the lowest range of CHG residual concentrations detected on patients' bodies (0 to 18.75  $\mu$ g/ml) (10).

We assessed the growth of *E. faecalis* V583 in response to different concentrations of H-CHG by spiking H-CHG into cultures in exponential phase (Fig. 1). We used the H-CHG MIC obtained by broth microdilution as a reference for the amount of H-CHG spiked into the cultures. V583 stops growing after exposure to  $1 \times$  MIC H-CHG, but cells remain viable (Fig. 1a and b). V583 is initially growth inhibited but recovers to normal growth after exposure to  $1/2 \times$  MIC H-CHG (Fig. 1a). After 20 h of incubation, the optical



**FIG 2** Quantitative RT-PCR confirms H-CHG-dependent upregulation of *efrF*. Primers were designed to amplify ~600-bp internal regions of *efrF*. RNA was harvested from *E. faecalis* V583 after 15 min of exposure to no CHG,  $1/2 \times$  MIC H-CHG, or  $1 \times$  MIC H-CHG. The gyrase B (*gyrB*) gene was amplified as a control. \*, P < 0.05; \*\*\*, P < 0.005; \*\*\*, P < 0.005.

density at 600 nm (OD<sub>600</sub>) of cultures exposed to 1× MIC H-CHG was identical (OD<sub>600</sub>,  $\sim$ 1.8) to that of untreated control cultures.

efrE and efrF are highly upregulated in response to H-CHG. We used custom Affymetrix GeneChips with probes targeting *E. faecalis* V583 open reading frames (ORFs) (15) to assess the transcriptional response of V583 to H-CHG exposure. Across two independent experimental trials, exposure to  $1 \times \text{MIC}$  H-CHG for 15 min resulted in the  $\geq$ 4-fold upregulation of 75 genes compared to their expression levels in untreated cells (see Data Set S1 in the supplemental material). Of the 75 genes, 39 (52%) were predicted by the PSORTb (version 3.0) program (16) to encode membrane proteins (Data Set S1). In comparison, only 28.4% of the V583 proteome (884 of 3,112 proteins) is predicted to be membrane proteins (17). This is a significant enrichment for membrane proteins in the H-CHG stress response ( $\chi^2$  test [degrees of freedom = 1, n = 3,187] = 18.685, P < 0.0001).

Of the genes upregulated in response to H-CHG, *efrE* and *efrF* stood out with 286and 326-fold upregulation, respectively. Semiquantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) (Fig. S1) analyses confirmed the microarray results for these two genes, and quantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 2) confirmed the upregulation of *efrF* in the presence of H-CHG. We also assessed *efrEF* expression in the vancomycin-susceptible *E. faecalis* strain OG1RF, which we determined has the same broth microdilution H-CHG MIC (9.8  $\mu$ g/ml) as *E. faecalis* V583. In the presence of H-CHG, the *efrEF* orthologs OG1RF\_11766 and OG1RF\_11767 are upregulated (Fig. 2 and S2).

ABC transporters typically consist of two subunits which function as homo- or heterodimers. A previous study purified EfrE and EfrF and determined that the proteins formed a heterodimer (12). It is therefore likely that *efrE* and *efrF* are cotranscribed and coregulated. To test this, RT-PCR was performed using RNA isolated from *E. faecalis* V583 grown in the presence of H-CHG. Primers were designed to amplify the 52-bp intergenic region between *efrE* and *efrF*, along with parts of the *efrE* and *efrF* coding regions. The results demonstrate that a transcript containing *efrE* and *efrF* is present in *E. faecalis* V583 (Fig. S2).

**Deletion of efrEF increases H-CHG susceptibility.** To investigate how *efrE* and *efrF* impact H-CHG susceptibility in *E. faecalis*, we constructed an *efrEF* deletion mutant. Growth on brain heart infusion (BHI) medium was not affected when *efrE* and *efrF* were deleted (Fig. 3a). However, the deletion mutant was more susceptible to H-CHG than the wild-type strain in broth microdilution assays (MIC, 2.4  $\mu$ g/ml H-CHG) and in agar plate assays (Fig. 3a). We complemented the  $\Delta efrEF$  mutant by expressing *efrEF* from its native promoter on a multicopy plasmid. Complementation restored H-CHG susceptibility to wild-type levels (Fig. 3a).

**ChIR, a MerR family regulator, mediates** *efrEF* **upregulation in response to H-CHG.** We were interested in how *efrE* and *efrF* are regulated. NCBI Conserved Domains analysis assigns EF2225 to the MerR-like family of transcription regulators. EF2225 is encoded by a sequence upstream of *efrEF* and is divergently transcribed. The



**FIG 3** *efrEF* and *chIR* deletion mutants are more susceptible to H-CHG. Overnight cultures were adjusted to an  $OD_{600}$  of ~0.3 and serially diluted in 10-fold dilutions. Ten microliters of each dilution (from  $10^{-1}$  to  $10^{-6}$ , from left to right in each image) was spotted on BHI agar supplemented with different concentrations of H-CHG. The images are representative of those from three independent trials. The results of experiments assessing the contributions of *efrEF* (a) and *chIR* (b) to chlorhexidine susceptibility are shown.

layout of EF2225 and *efrEF* is representative of that of a MerR regulon (18, 19). We refer to EF2225 as *chIR* here.

To investigate if ChIR regulates *efrEF* expression, a  $\Delta chIR$  strain was constructed. The  $\Delta chIR$  strain was complemented in *trans* by cloning the complete *chIR* gene and putative promoter into a multicopy vector. The  $\Delta chIR$  mutant strain showed increased susceptibility to H-CHG (Fig. 3b), and its broth microdilution H-CHG MIC (4.9  $\mu$ g/ml) was half that of the wild-type strain. H-CHG susceptibility was restored to the wild-type level in the complemented strain (Fig. 3b).

To further substantiate the relationship between ChIR and *efrEF*, *efrEF* expression was assessed in the  $\Delta chIR$  and complemented strains by quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR). Upon 15 min of exposure to  $1/2 \times$  MIC H-CHG, the transcription levels of *efrE* and *efrF* in the  $\Delta chIR$  mutant remained the same regardless of the presence of H-CHG, whereas H-CHG induced *efrE* and *efrF* expression in the *chIR* complemented strain (Fig. 4). This result demonstrates that ChIR is required for the upregulation of *efrEF* in response to H-CHG.

To investigate the *efrEF* promoter, primer extension was used to find the transcription start site (TSS) of *efrE*. Primer extension identified two transcription start sites. Under standard culture conditions in BHI broth, we identified a TSS at -78 bp upstream of the *efrE* ORF. When cells were exposed to H-CHG, an additional TSS was detected at -33 bp upstream of the *efrE* ORF; its corresponding promoter is designated  $P_{EF}$  here. On the basis of this evidence, we propose that the *efrEF* operon has two promoters. One promoter, corresponding to the TSS at -78 bp upstream of the *efrE* ORF, is constitutive and is responsible for basal transcription of *efrEF*.  $P_{EF}$  is a ChIR-dependent promoter (Fig. 5).



**FIG 4** *chlR* is required for H-CHG-dependent upregulation of *efrF*. Quantitative RT-PCR was used to investigate *efrF* expression in cultures of wild-type strain V583, the  $\Delta chlR$  mutant, the  $\Delta chlR$  mutant with the empty complementation vector pCAT28, and the complemented  $\Delta chlR$  strain ( $\Delta chlR$ /pFL102) after 15 min of exposure to  $1/2 \times$  MIC H-CHG or no H-CHG. The gyrase B (*gyrB*) gene was amplified as a control. \*, P < 0.05.



**FIG 5** Organization of *chlR-efrE* intergenic region. The organization of the *chlR* and *efrEF* genes is shown (the arrows are not drawn to scale). Transcription start sites (TSS) were detected 33 and 78 bp upstream of the *efrE* ORF. Consensus -35 and near-consensus -10 housekeeping sigma factor promoter sequences upstream of the H-CHG-responsive *efrE* promoter ( $P_{EF}$ ) are shown in bold. Putative ChlR binding motifs are underlined. The predicted promoter for the -78-bp TSS is not shown for clarity.

On the basis of existing knowledge of MerR family regulators (18), the *chlR* promoter is predicted to be oriented opposite to  $P_{EF}$ . We obtained inconsistent results across multiple primer extension trials for the *chlR* TSS. The presumptive *chlR* promoter is designated  $P_R$  here.

**H-CHG treatment induces ChIR to activate the** *efrEF* **promoter.** In our microarray trials, the expression of *chIR* was not affected by H-CHG (fold change = 0.3; P = 0.57). This evidence indicates that H-CHG may directly or indirectly trigger the activation of ChIR.

We performed  $\beta$ -galactosidase assays to assess the responses of the *efrEF* promoter  $P_{EF}$  to H-CHG. Promoter reporter strains were spotted on agar plates supplemented with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside (X-Gal) and different concentrations of H-CHG (Fig. 6). As expected, the control strain lacking a promoter for *lacZ*, *E. faecalis* V583/pPB101 (strain FL101), displayed no detectable  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity in the presence or absence of H-CHG (Fig. 6a). For cultures without H-CHG,  $P_{EF}$  promoter activity was not detected. Subinhibitory concentrations of H-CHG elicited increases in  $P_{EF}$  promoter activity (Fig. 6a). These results demonstrate that H-CHG is required to stimulate *efrEF* promoter activity and that H-CHG concentrations several 2-fold dilutions below the MIC still elicit this response. Conversely,  $P_{EF}$  induction by H-CHG was absent in V583  $\Delta ch/R$  (Fig. 6a). We conclude that ChIR is required for activation of the *efrEF* promoter upon H-CHG exposure.

We constructed a *chlR* promoter reporter, pFL202 (Fig. 4b), to assess the responses of the  $P_R$  promoter to H-CHG. Unlike  $P_{EF}$ ,  $P_R$  was active regardless of the presence or absence of H-CHG. Moreover,  $P_R$  was active in V583  $\Delta$ *chlR* irrespective of the presence or absence of H-CHG (Fig. 6b).



**FIG 6**  $\beta$ -Galactosidase assays. Cultures were spotted on BHI agar plates supplemented with X-Gal and different concentrations of H-CHG. Wild-type V583 with pPB101 (promoterless *lacZ*) was used as the negative control for all panels. (a) *efrE* promoter activity (pFL201) in wild-type V583 and the  $\Delta ch/R$  strain. (b) *ch/R* promoter activity (pFL202) in wild-type V583 and the  $\Delta ch/R$  strain. (c) *efrE* promoter activity in wild-type V583 with (pFL204) or without (pFL203) mutation of the 3' region of the putative ChIR binding motif. The images shown are representative of those from three independent trials.

The  $P_{EF}$  promoter includes a consensus -35 sequence (TTGACA) and a nearconsensus -10 region (TACAAT) for binding by a housekeeping sigma factor. The -10and -35 sequences are separated by 19 bp. This 19-bp spacing is typical for MerR family promoters; MerR regulators recruit RNA polymerase holoenzyme to nonoptimal promoters (18, 19). Unusually, however, the TSS of  $P_{EF}$  occurs 13 bp downstream from the 3' end of the -10 region. Nonstandard TSS spacing was also observed for the MerR regulation of *merA* in the archaeon *Sulfolobus solfataricus* (20) but to our knowledge has not been observed for other MerR regulators in bacteria.

Typical MerR-regulated promoters harbor a palindromic MerR binding motif between the -35 and -10 promoter regions (18). Within the  $P_{EF}$  promoter region, we identified a palindromic motif (underlined), <u>TTCAAGTTACTTGAA</u> (Fig. 5), which does not occur elsewhere on the V583 chromosome. Because the 5' half of the motif lies directly adjacent to the predicted -35 region (Fig. 5), alteration of that sequence may prevent RNA polymerase binding. We modified the 3' motif from ACTTGAA to CAGCTAC to determine if this motif affects *efrEF* promoter inducibility. H-CHG induction was abolished for the construct with the mutant  $P_{EF}$  promoter (Fig. 6c).

#### DISCUSSION

In this study, we performed transcriptomic analysis to identify genes that are differentially regulated when *E. faecalis* V583 is exposed to H-CHG. The genes *efrE* and *efrF* were the most highly upregulated. We found that *efrEF* and the transcription regulator ChIR are required for the H-CHG stress response in *E. faecalis* V583. ChIR activates *efrEF* expression in response to H-CHG. These results are consistent with and identify new features of the chlorhexidine stress response in enterococci. The *efrEF* orthologs in a VanA-type VRE strain, *E. faecium* 1,231,410, were also upregulated in the presence of H-CHG (21). Moreover, sequential subinhibitory H-CHG exposure selected for *E. faecium* 1,231,410 *efrE* mutations that conferred reduced H-CHG susceptibility (22). Finally, deletion of *efrE* in *E. faecalis* OG1RF conferred decreased susceptibility to chlorhexidine and pentamidine (23, 24). Our results deepen our understanding of *efrEF* by identifying a transcriptional regulator that is required for the induction of *efrEF* expression in response to H-CHG stress.

Gaps in knowledge about the enterococcal response to chlorhexidine stress remain. Specifically, what does EfrEF transport, and what ligand activates ChIR? These processes are significant because they reduce enterococcal susceptibility to chlorhexidine. Hassan et al. discovered chlorhexidine efflux proteins in Gram-negative bacteria (25, 26), but EfrEF does not belong to this protein family. Overexpression of *efrEF* in *Lactococcus lactis* conferred enhanced efflux of fluorescent dyes and decreased susceptibility to multiple antibiotics (12). Monitoring of [<sup>14</sup>C]chlorhexidine transport in *E. faecalis* wild-type and  $\Delta efrEF$  strains would be required to determine whether chlorhexidine is a substrate for EfrEF. Alternatively, EfrEF may transport a metabolite that is required for the cell to survive the stress imposed by H-CHG and other antimicrobials.

ChIR belongs to the MerR regulator family. MerR was first identified as a transcriptional activator of the mercury resistance (*mer*) operon in Gram-negative bacteria. An activated MerR dimer bound at a dyad symmetrical motif sequence in the *mer* promoter region drives a conformational change in DNA that results in induction of *mer* operon expression (18, 19). Generally, MerR family proteins possess two domains: a highly conserved N-terminal DNA binding region and a poorly conserved C-terminal ligand binding region (18, 19). The functionality of the N-terminal region depends on ligand binding by the C terminus. The variable C-terminal sequences of the MerR protein family recognize different ligands, including metals and dyes, and therefore lack amino acid sequence conservation (18, 19). The C-terminal region of ChIR possesses no predicted conserved domains. As shown by our microarray and  $\beta$ -galactosidase reporter analyses, *chIR* expression is not induced by H-CHG. It appears that ChIR requires H-CHG or metabolites associated with H-CHG stress to induce *efrEF* expression. Our study did not determine whether chlorhexidine directly interacts with ChIR. The observation that *efrEF* is upregulated in response to the plasmid postsegregational

|                        |  | Reference or                  |
|------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Strain or plasmid      | Description  | source                        |
| Bacterial strains      |  |                               |
| E. faecalis strains    |  |                               |
| V583                   | Bloodstream isolate; VanB-type VRE   | 13                            |
| OG1RF                  | Human oral isolate   | 33                            |
| ∆chlR                  | E. faecalis V583 ΔchlR   | This study                    |
| ∆efrEF                 | E. faecalis V583 ΔefrEF  | This study                    |
| ∆ <i>efrEF</i> /pFL103 | <i>E. faecalis</i> V583 Δ <i>efrEF</i> transformed with pFL103   | This study                    |
| FL101                  | E. faecalis V583 transformed with pPB101   | This study                    |
| FL201                  | E. faecalis V583 transformed with pFL201   | This study                    |
| FL202                  | E. faecalis V583 transformed with pFL202   | This study                    |
| FL203                  | E. faecalis V583 transformed with pFL203   | This study                    |
| FL204                  | E. faecalis V583 transformed with pFL204   | This study                    |
| ∆ <i>chl</i> R/pFL102  | $\Delta ch lR$ mutant transformed with pFL102  | This study                    |
| Δ <i>chIR</i> /pFL201  | $\Delta ch l R$ mutant transformed with pFL201   | This study                    |
| $\Delta ch IR/pFL202$  | $\Delta ch l R$ mutant transformed with pFL202   | This study                    |
| E. coli strains        |  |                               |
| EC1000                 | Cloning host; provides <i>repA</i> in <i>trans</i> ; F <sup>-</sup> <i>araD139</i> ( <i>ara ABC-leu</i> )7679 galU galK lacX74 rspL thi repA of pWV01 in alaB Km | 34                            |
| $DH5\alpha$            | Cloning host; $F^-$ endA1 glnV44 thi-1 recA1 relA1 gyrA96 deoR nupG $\phi$ 80dlacZ $\Delta$ M15 $\Delta$ (lacZYA-  | 35                            |
|                        | $araFU169$ hsdR17(r <sub>v</sub> <sup>-</sup> m <sub>v</sub> <sup>+</sup> ) $\lambda^-$  |                               |
| BW23474                | Cloning host for pPB101 and derivatives; $\Delta lac-169$ robA1 cre C510 hsdR514 endA recA1 $\Delta uidA::pir-116$   | 36                            |
| Plasmids               |  |                               |
| pHA101                 | pLT06 plasmid with <i>oriT</i> from pHOU2 inserted at PstI   | 21                            |
| pCAT28                 | Shuttle vector; pUC and pAM $\beta$ 1 origins; confers chloramphenicol resistance  | M. Rodrigues and<br>K. Palmer |
| pFL102                 | pCAT28 containing 882-bp EcoRI/BamHI-digested <i>chIR</i> ORF and promoter region  | This study                    |
| pFL103                 | pCAT28 containing 3,673-bp EcoRI/BamHI-digested <i>efrEF</i> ORF and promoter region   | This study                    |
| pPB101                 | pTCV-lac-cat; expression vector for Gram-positive bacteria; confers kanamycin, erythromycin, and chloramphenicol resistance                                      | 21                            |
| pFL201                 | pPB101 with 114-bp EcoRl/BamHl-digested <i>efrEF</i> promoter region   | This study                    |
| pFL202                 | pPB101 with 114-bp EcoRI/BamHI-digested chIR promoter region   | This study                    |
| pFL203                 | pPB101 with 98-bp EcoRI/BamHI-digested efrEF promoter region   | This study                    |
| pFL204                 | pPB101 with 98-bp EcoRI/BamHI-digested efrEF promoter region with modified motif CAGCTAC   | This study                    |
|                        |  |                               |

#### TABLE 1 Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study

killing toxin Fst (27) confirms that *efrEF* upregulation is not specific to H-CHG stress. It is unknown whether ChIR mediates the Fst-dependent upregulation of *efrEF*. Identifying the specific ligand of ChIR will be a topic of future studies.

In conclusion, our study provides novel insights into the transcriptomic response of vancomycin-resistant *E. faecalis* to chlorhexidine. The *chIR* and *efrEF* genes play key roles in *E. faecalis* survival of H-CHG exposure at concentrations near the MIC. Notably,  $1/8 \times$  MIC H-CHG activated ChIR-dependent *efrEF* expression; induction at lower concentrations may occur, but we did not test this. In a hospital environment, *E. faecalis* is likely exposed to subinhibitory concentrations of H-CHG which are not lethal but are sufficient to elicit a transcriptional response. It remains to be determined whether this transcriptional response impacts susceptibility to other clinically relevant antimicrobials.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and routine molecular biology procedures.** The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. *E. faecalis* was routinely cultured in brain heart infusion (BHI) medium with or without agar at 37°C unless otherwise noted. *Escherichia coli* was routinely cultured in lysogeny broth or agar at 37°C unless otherwise noted. Chloramphenicol was used at 15  $\mu$ g/ml. PCR was performed with *Taq* polymerase (New England BioLabs) or Phusion (Fisher Scientific). Plasmids were purified using a QIAprep Spin Miniprep kit (Qiagen). Inserts in engineered plasmids were sequenced (Massachusetts General Hospital DNA Core) to ensure that no mutations occurred during cloning. The sequences of the primers used in this study are provided in Table S1 in the supplemental material.

**Susceptibility testing.** Unless otherwise noted, the CHG used in this study was commercially available Hibiclens (referred to here as H-CHG). The H-CHG MIC was determined in BHI broth using broth microdilution. The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of H-CHG that inhibited visible cell growth. MIC values were independently confirmed using chlorhexidine digluconate (Sigma). For all

experiments in this study, 1 $\times$  MIC refers to the *E. faecalis* V583 H-CHG MIC determined by broth microdilution.

**Growth kinetic assays with H-CHG.** *E. faecalis* V583 growth was monitored by recording the optical density of the cultures at 600 nm ( $OD_{600}$ ) using a spectrophotometer. An overnight culture was diluted to an  $OD_{600}$  of 0.01 in BHI broth and incubated at 37°C with agitation at 100 rpm. At mid-log phase ( $OD_{600}$ , between 0.4 and 0.5), 25 ml of culture was split into flasks with prewarmed medium with or without H-CHG such that concentrations of 1× MIC and 1/2× MIC were attained or no H-CHG was present (control). Growth was then monitored at 15-min intervals for the first half hour and 30-min intervals for the subsequent 3 h.

Transcriptomic analysis. Total RNA was extracted from the E. faecalis V583 cultures after 15 min exposure to  $1 \times$  MIC H-CHG or no H-CHG. Briefly, 10 ml culture was transferred to 20 ml RNA Protect Bacteria reagent (Qiagen) and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. Cells were then pelleted by centrifugation at 11,000  $\times$  g, resuspended in IHB-1 buffer (50 mM glucose, 25 mM Tris, 10 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) supplemented with 125  $\mu$ l of a 50-mg/ml lysozyme stock and 25  $\mu$ l of a 2.5-kU/ml mutanolysin stock, and incubated at 37°C for 20 min. Total RNA was isolated by RNA Bee (Tel-Test) extraction following the manufacturer's protocol. RNA was dissolved in 50 µl RNase-free water (Ambion). RNA samples were treated with RNase-free DNase I (Roche) to remove contaminating DNA and purified using a Qiagen RNeasy kit. DNA contamination was monitored by PCR with primers targeting a 16S rRNA gene (Table S1). RNA integrity was confirmed by visualization of intact 23S and 16S rRNA bands on a 1% agarose gel. RNA was quantified with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer. cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) and random hexamers (Qiagen). Three micrograms of cDNA was fragmented with Roche DNase I and 3' end labeled using a Bioarray terminal labeling kit (Enzo). Labeled, fragmented cDNA was hybridized to custom Affymetrix GeneChips probing the E. faecalis V583 gene sequences (Gilmorea520187F) (15). Processing of Affymetrix GeneChips was performed at the University of Iowa DNA facility. Two independent transcriptome experiments were performed.

**Microarray data analysis.** Microarray data were processed by the bioconductor package based on the R statistical programming environment. The .cel files were preprocessed by use of the robust multiarray average (RMA) algorithm, and the processed data were subjected to gene expression analysis by the linear models for microarray data (Limma) package (28). All codes utilized for gene expression analysis followed the Limma user's guide. Statistical analysis was performed utilizing two independent microarray data sets. Genes with a fold change of  $\geq$ 4 and a false discovery rate-adjusted *P* value of <0.05 were considered further.

**Semiquantitative and quantitative RT-PCR.** Reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) was performed to confirm select microarray results and to determine whether *efrE* and *efrF* are cotranscribed. For semiquantitative RT-PCR, RNA (100 ng) was used as the template for cDNA synthesis with 250 ng random hexamers and SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). Five nanograms of the resulting purified cDNA was used as the template for PCR. The housekeeping gene *clpX* was used as a control. Quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed with an AzuraQuant green fast quantitative PCR (qPCR) mix per the manufacturer's recommendations. qRT-PCR experiments were performed independently three times. The *gyrB* housekeeping gene was used as a control, and expression was normalized to the level of expression of this gene. Statistical significance was assessed by the Student *t* test.

**Construction of deletion mutants.** Vector pHA101 (21), a derivative of pLT06 (29), was used to create deletion mutants of *E. faecalis* V583. Mutants were generated by markerless in-frame deletion as previously described (21). Briefly, ~1.0-kb regions upstream and downstream of the gene(s) targeted for deletion were amplified by PCR. Products were digested by the restriction enzymes indicated in Table 1 and ligated with pHA101. Plasmid constructs were propagated in *E. coli* EC1000 with chloramphenicol selection. Plasmids were transformed into *E. faecalis* V583 cells by electroporation (30). Deletions were generated using temperature shift and *p*-chlorophenylalanine counterselection as previously described (21, 29).

**Complementation of deletion mutants.** Deletion mutant strains were complemented in *trans* using the shuttle vector pCAT28, a derivative of pAT28 (31) that confers chloramphenicol resistance. For complementation, the *chlR* or *efrEF* complete ORFs with predicted promoter regions were amplified by PCR, treated with the restriction enzymes indicated in Table 1 and S1, and ligated into pCAT28. Plasmids were propagated in *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  and electroporated into *E. faecalis*.

**Primer extension.** Total RNA was obtained as described above. Primer extension was performed using 6-carboxyfluorescein-labeled primers as previously described (32). DNA fragment analysis was processed at the University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center Laboratory for Genomics and Bioinformatics. Data were analyzed by Peak Scanner software (version 1.0; Thermo Fisher). The size of the most abundant cDNA product was used to determine the transcription start site.

**Viability assay.** Broth cultures were adjusted to an  $OD_{600}$  of 0.3 and serially diluted in phosphatebuffered saline (PBS). Ten microliters of each dilution was spotted on agar plates containing different concentrations of H-CHG. Colonies were counted after overnight incubation at 37°C. Counts of colonies of between 20 and 200 were taken into consideration and normalized.

**β-Galactosidase assay.** The putative promoter regions of the *efrEF* operon and the *chIR* gene were amplified using PCR. Products were digested by EcoRI and BamHI and ligated into pPB101 (21). pPB101 and derivatives were propagated in *E. coli* BW23474 and then transformed into *E. faecalis* strains by electroporation. For the β-galactosidase assay, a qualitative assay was used. Stationary-phase cultures were adjusted to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.3 and diluted in PBS buffer. Ten microliters of each dilution was spotted on BHI agar plates supplemented with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (X-Gal) and different concentrations of H-CHG.

Accession number(s). The microarray data have been deposited in the EMBL-EBI data bank (www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress) under accession number E-MTAB-5181.

### SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC .00267-18.

**SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 1,** PDF file, 1.1 MB. **SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 2,** XLSX file, 0.1 MB.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by UT-Dallas start-up funds to K.L.P.

We gratefully acknowledge Michael Gilmore for permission to reproduce the custom *E. faecalis* Affymetrix GeneChip; Marinelle Rodrigues, Hannah Adams, and Pooja Bhardwaj for providing plasmids used in this study; and Zhenyu Xuan and Brendan Schmidt for assistance with microarray data analysis.

#### REFERENCES

- Sievert DM, Ricks P, Edwards JR, Schneider A, Patel J, Srinivasan A, Kallen A, Limbago B, Fridkin S, National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Team and Participating NHSN Facilities. 2013. Antimicrobial-resistant pathogens associated with healthcare-associated infections: summary of data reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2009-2010. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 34:1–14. https://doi.org/10.1086/668770.
- Kristich CJ, Rice LB, Arias CA. 2014. Enterococcal infection—treatment and antibiotic resistance. *In* Gilmore MS, Clewell DB, Ike Y, Shankar N (ed), Enterococci: from commensals to leading causes of drug resistant infection. Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, Boston, MA.
- Gilbert P, Moore LE. 2005. Cationic antiseptics: diversity of action under a common epithet. J Appl Microbiol 99:703–715. https://doi.org/10 .1111/j.1365-2672.2005.02664.x.
- Cheung HY, Wong MM, Cheung SH, Liang LY, Lam YW, Chiu SK. 2012. Differential actions of chlorhexidine on the cell wall of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Escherichia coli*. PLoS One 7:e36659. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal .pone.0036659.
- Hugo WB, Longworth AR. 1966. The effect of chlorhexidine on the electrophoretic mobility, cytoplasmic constituents, dehydrogenase activity and cell walls of *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Pharm Pharmacol 18:569–578. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2042-7158.1966.tb07935.x.
- Kuyyakanond T, Quesnel LB. 1992. The mechanism of action of chlorhexidine. FEMS Microbiol Lett 100:211–215. https://doi.org/10.1111/j .1574-6968.1992.tb05705.x.
- Climo MW, Sepkowitz KA, Zuccotti G, Fraser VJ, Warren DK, Perl TM, Speck K, Jernigan JA, Robles JR, Wong ES. 2009. The effect of daily bathing with chlorhexidine on the acquisition of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus*, and healthcare-associated bloodstream infections: results of a quasiexperimental multicenter trial. Crit Care Med 37:1858–1865. https://doi .org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e31819ffe6d.
- Vernon MO, Hayden MK, Trick WE, Hayes RA, Blom DW, Weinstein RA, Chicago Antimicrobial Resistance Project (CARP). 2006. Chlorhexidine gluconate to cleanse patients in a medical intensive care unit: the effectiveness of source control to reduce the bioburden of vancomycinresistant enterococci. Arch Intern Med 166:306–312. https://doi.org/10 .1001/archinte.166.3.306.
- Frost SA, Alogso MC, Metcalfe L, Lynch JM, Hunt L, Sanghavi R, Alexandrou E, Hillman KM. 2016. Chlorhexidine bathing and health careassociated infections among adult intensive care patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care 20:379. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13054-016-1553-5.
- Popovich KJ, Lyles R, Hayes R, Hota B, Trick W, Weinstein RA, Hayden MK. 2012. Relationship between chlorhexidine gluconate skin concentration and microbial density on the skin of critically ill patients bathed daily with chlorhexidine gluconate. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 33: 889–896. https://doi.org/10.1086/667371.
- Suwantarat N, Carroll KC, Tekle T, Ross T, Maragakis LL, Cosgrove SE, Milstone AM. 2014. High prevalence of reduced chlorhexidine susceptibility in organisms causing central line-associated bloodstream infec-

tions. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 35:1183–1186. https://doi.org/10 .1086/677628.

- Hurlimann LM, Corradi V, Hohl M, Bloemberg GV, Tieleman DP, Seeger MA. 2016. The heterodimeric ABC transporter EfrCD mediates multidrug efflux in *Enterococcus faecalis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60: 5400–5411. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00661-16.
- Sahm DF, Kissinger J, Gilmore MS, Murray PR, Mulder R, Solliday J, Clarke B. 1989. In vitro susceptibility studies of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 33:1588–1591. https://doi .org/10.1128/AAC.33.9.1588.
- Paulsen IT, Banerjei L, Myers GS, Nelson KE, Seshadri R, Read TD, Fouts DE, Eisen JA, Gill SR, Heidelberg JF, Tettelin H, Dodson RJ, Umayam L, Brinkac L, Beanan M, Daugherty S, DeBoy RT, Durkin S, Kolonay J, Madupu R, Nelson W, Vamathevan J, Tran B, Upton J, Hansen T, Shetty J, Khouri H, Utterback T, Radune D, Ketchum KA, Dougherty BA, Fraser CM. 2003. Role of mobile DNA in the evolution of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis*. Science 299:2071–2074. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1080613.
- McBride SM, Fischetti VA, Leblanc DJ, Moellering RC, Jr, Gilmore MS. 2007. Genetic diversity among *Enterococcus faecalis*. PLoS One 2:e582. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000582.
- Yu NY, Wagner JR, Laird MR, Melli G, Rey S, Lo R, Dao P, Sahinalp SC, Ester M, Foster LJ, Brinkman FS. 2010. PSORTb 3.0: improved protein subcellular localization prediction with refined localization subcategories and predictive capabilities for all prokaryotes. Bioinformatics 26:1608–1615. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq249.
- Peabody MA, Laird MR, Vlasschaert C, Lo R, Brinkman FS. 2016. PSORTdb: expanding the Bacteria and Archaea protein subcellular localization database to better reflect diversity in cell envelope structures. Nucleic Acids Res 44:D663–D668. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1271.
- Brown NL, Stoyanov JV, Kidd SP, Hobman JL. 2003. The MerR family of transcriptional regulators. FEMS Microbiol Rev 27:145–163. https://doi .org/10.1016/S0168-6445(03)00051-2.
- Hobman JL, Wilkie J, Brown NL. 2005. A design for life: prokaryotic metal-binding MerR family regulators. Biometals 18:429–436. https://doi .org/10.1007/s10534-005-3717-7.
- Schelert J, Dixit V, Hoang V, Simbahan J, Drozda M, Blum P. 2004. Occurrence and characterization of mercury resistance in the hyperthermophilic archaeon *Sulfolobus solfataricus* by use of gene disruption. J Bacteriol 186:427–437. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.186.2.427-437.2004.
- Bhardwaj P, Ziegler E, Palmer KL. 2016. Chlorhexidine induces VanA-type vancomycin resistance genes in enterococci. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60:2209–2221. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02595-15.
- Bhardwaj P, Hans A, Ruikar K, Guan Z, Palmer KL. 2017. Reduced chlorhexidine and daptomycin susceptibility in vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* after serial chlorhexidine exposure. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62:e01235-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01235-17.
- Davis D, Rogers BL, White AC. October 2001. Multidrug resistance (MDR) efflux pump polypeptides. Patent WO/2001/079257.
- Davis DR, McAlpine JB, Pazoles CJ, Talbot MK, Alder EA, White C, Jonas BM, Murray BE, Weinstock GM, Rogers BL. 2001. Enterococcus faecalis

multi-drug resistance transporters: application for antibiotic discovery. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 3:179–184.

- Hassan KA, Jackson SM, Penesyan A, Patching SG, Tetu SG, Eijkelkamp BA, Brown MH, Henderson PJ, Paulsen IT. 2013. Transcriptomic and biochemical analyses identify a family of chlorhexidine efflux proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:20254–20259. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas .1317052110.
- Hassan KA, Liu Q, Henderson PJ, Paulsen IT. 2015. Homologs of the Acinetobacter baumannii Acel transporter represent a new family of bacterial multidrug efflux systems. mBio 6:e01982-14. https://doi.org/10 .1128/mBio.01982-14.
- Brinkman CL, Bumgarner R, Kittichotirat W, Dunman PM, Kuechenmeister LJ, Weaver KE. 2013. Characterization of the effects of an *rpoC* mutation that confers resistance to the Fst peptide toxin-antitoxin system toxin. J Bacteriol 195:156–166. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01597-12.
- Smyth GK. 2004. Linear models and empirical Bayes methods for assessing differential expression in microarray experiments. Stat Appl Genet Mol Biol 3:Article3. https://doi.org/10.2202/1544-6115.1027.
- 29. Thurlow LR, Thomas VC, Hancock LE. 2009. Capsular polysaccharide production in *Enterococcus faecalis* and contribution of CpsF to capsule serospecificity. J Bacteriol 191:6203–6210. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00592-09.
- 30. Bae T, Kozlowicz B, Dunny GM. 2002. Two targets in pCF10 DNA for PrgX binding: their role in production of Qa and *prgX* mRNA and in regulation

of pheromone-inducible conjugation. J Mol Biol 315:995–1007. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2001.5294.

- Trieu-Cuot P, Carlier C, Poyart-Salmeron C, Courvalin P. 1990. A pair of mobilizable shuttle vectors conferring resistance to spectinomycin for molecular cloning in *Escherichia coli* and in gram-positive bacteria. Nucleic Acids Res 18:4296. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/18.14.4296.
- 32. Lloyd AL, Marshall BJ, Mee BJ. 2005. Identifying cloned *Helicobacter pylori* promoters by primer extension using a FAM-labelled primer and GeneScan analysis. J Microbiol Methods 60:291–298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2004.10.009.
- Gold OG, Jordan HV, van Houte J. 1975. The prevalence of enterococci in the human mouth and their pathogenicity in animal models. Arch Oral Biol 20:473–477. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9969(75)90236-8.
- Leenhouts K, Buist G, Bolhuis A, ten Berge A, Kiel J, Mierau I, Dabrowska M, Venema G, Kok J. 1996. A general system for generating unlabelled gene replacements in bacterial chromosomes. Mol Gen Genet 253: 217–224. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004380050315.
- Taylor RG, Walker DC, McInnes RR. 1993. E. coli host strains significantly affect the quality of small scale plasmid DNA preparations used for sequencing. Nucleic Acids Res 21:1677–1678. https://doi.org/10.1093/ nar/21.7.1677.
- Haldimann A, Prahalad MK, Fisher SL, Kim SK, Walsh CT, Wanner BL. 1996. Altered recognition mutants of the response regulator PhoB: a new genetic strategy for studying protein-protein interactions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93:14361–14366.