

# Inferring microevolution from museum collections and resampling: lessons learned from *Cepaea*

Małgorzata Ożgo<sup>1</sup>, Thor-Seng Liew<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Nicole B. Webster<sup>3,4</sup> and Menno Schilthuizen<sup>2,3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Evolutionary Biology, Kazimierz Wielki University, Bydgoszcz, Poland

<sup>2</sup>Institute for Tropical Biology and Conservation, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia

<sup>3</sup>Institute Biology Leiden, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>4</sup>Endless Forms Group, Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

## ABSTRACT

Natural history collections are an important and largely untapped source of long-term data on evolutionary changes in wild populations. Here, we utilize three large geo-referenced sets of samples of the common European land-snail *Cepaea nemoralis* stored in the collection of Naturalis Biodiversity Center in Leiden, the Netherlands. Resampling of these populations allowed us to gain insight into changes occurring over 95, 69, and 50 years. *Cepaea nemoralis* is polymorphic for the colour and banding of the shell; the mode of inheritance of these patterns is known, and the polymorphism is under both thermal and predatory selection. At two sites the general direction of changes was towards lighter shells (yellow and less heavily banded), which is consistent with predictions based on on-going climatic change. At one site no directional changes were detected. At all sites there were significant shifts in morph frequencies between years, and our study contributes to the recognition that short-term changes in the states of populations often exceed long-term trends. Our interpretation was limited by the few time points available in the studied collections. We therefore stress the need for natural history collections to routinely collect large samples of common species, to allow much more reliable hind-casting of evolutionary responses to environmental change.

Submitted 19 July 2016

Accepted 26 September 2017

Published 27 October 2017

Corresponding author

Menno Schilthuizen,  
menno.schilthuizen@naturalis.nl

Academic editor

Tim Collins

Additional Information and  
Declarations can be found on  
page 8

DOI 10.7717/peerj.3938

© Copyright  
2017 Ożgo et al.

Distributed under  
Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

## OPEN ACCESS

**Subjects** Environmental Sciences, Evolutionary Studies, Zoology

**Keywords** HIREC, Snails, Natural selection, Natural history collections, Colour polymorphism, Time series, Evolutionary trends, Population genetics

## INTRODUCTION

Evolutionary processes occurring over time-scales as short as decades or years are increasingly documented, especially in the context of human alterations of the environment, including pollution, harvest, or species introductions (Hendry, Gotanda & Svensson, 2017; Schilthuizen, 2018). Such rapid evolutionary changes, taking place generation-by-generation, can have important consequences for populations, ecosystems, and human societies (Palkovacs et al., 2012; Kinnison, Hairston & Hendry, 2015; Hendry, Gotanda & Svensson, 2017). The interest in the reciprocal influences between ecology and evolution has been growing recently, and while the general picture of eco-evolutionary dynamics is coming into focus, many questions remain open to investigation (Hendry, 2013; Kinnison,

*Hairston & Hendry, 2015; Hendry, 2017*). One of the factors limiting progress in this field is the scarcity of data, especially long-term records from natural populations (*Kingsolver & Pfennig, 2007; Siepielski, DiBattista & Carlson, 2009; Siepielski et al., 2013*). Surprisingly, such time-series appear to be more readily available for fossils than for contemporary populations (*Bell, 2010*). Unlike in other studies such as, e.g., spatial analyses, this lack cannot easily be remedied by applying more effort, as available data are limited to the studies previously initiated and maintained. Notable examples include studies on the Darwin's finches in the Galápagos (*Grant & Grant, 2014*), the peppered moth *Biston betularia* in England (*Cook & Saccheri, 2013*), the damselfly *Ischnura elegans* (*Le Rouzic et al., 2015*) or the polymorphic marine snail *Littorina saxatilis* in Sweden (*Johannesson & Butlin, 2017*). In polymorphic land snails, studies based on annual recording of morph frequencies over extended periods of time were carried out on *Cepaea nemoralis* in England (*Cain, Cook & Currey, 1990; Bell, 2010*), and on *Theba pisana* in Australia (*Johnson, 2011*). Long-term monitoring of natural populations requires many years of work in often challenging field conditions, and studies of this kind remain relatively few.

Other sources of long-term data include fisheries reports or trophy measurements (e.g., *Sharpe & Hendry, 2009; Shackell et al., 2010; Douhard et al., 2016*), and, especially, natural history collections. Natural history collections are exceptional in that they contain “raw data”—the actual specimens, and not only records associated with specimens (*Schilthuizen et al., 2015*), and they often date back 100 years or even more. Their value is increasingly recognized (*Holmes et al., 2016; Linck et al., 2016*), but they remain a largely untapped resource. Among museum collections, mollusks have a prominent place. Their beauty has always appealed to naturalists and they have been collected extensively. Because mollusk shells are relatively easy to maintain they constitute an important part of museum collections worldwide (*Vinarski, 2016; Breure & Araujo, 2017*).

In this paper we identified several large geo-referenced samples of the land snail *Cepaea nemoralis* in the collection of Naturalis Biodiversity Center in Leiden, the Netherlands, and we resampled these populations in the field in 2010. *Cepaea nemoralis* is a common European land snail species with a broad distribution. It has a distinctive shell polymorphism: its shells can be yellow, pink or brown and bear up to five spiral bands; the mode of inheritance of these characteristics is well established (*Murray, 1975*). The environmental drivers of selection include climate and visually hunting predators, and morph frequency shifts in response to these pressures have been documented (reviews in, e.g., *Cook, 1998; Cook, in press; Ożgo, 2008*). The system has been utilized extensively, but many questions remain unanswered; the most important among them concern the temporal and spatial patterns of selection. The aim of the present study is to add to the understanding of evolutionary processes occurring in populations of *Cepaea nemoralis* over extended periods of time. The use of museum collections allowed us to gain insight into changes occurring over 95, 69, and 50 years.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Historical baseline data

We used the dry Mollusca collection of Naturalis Biodiversity Center to locate large samples of *Cepaea nemoralis* from the Netherlands with locality information precise enough to make re-sampling possible. We identified three such sets of samples (at the time of writing, these samples had not yet received collection numbers; however, they were held in the special “large *Cepaea* sample” section):

(1) Lobith. Shells collected by H Wolda and students on 20th April 1960 ( $N = 368$ ), 27–28th April 1961 ( $N = 398$ ), and 10–14th April 1962 ( $N = 1,657$ ) at 13 positions along a 400-m section of dike on the river bank along the Rhine (51.859°N 6.085°E). The samples were used for a study on stability of a steep c. 20-m-wide cline located along the section, where unbanded yellow shells increase in frequency at the expense of banded yellow and unbanded “red” shells (Wolda, 1969). Wolda (1969) reports on a total of 26,230 individuals, and writes that most samples consisted of mostly living snails and a small proportion of dead shells. Since Wolda states that all living snails were returned to their collection localities after scoring, we conclude that the Naturalis samples ( $N = 2,423$ ) consist only of snails that were collected as empty shells. Wolda (1969) also reports that the vegetation along the dike grades from a dense river-dune vegetation on sand, rich in nutrition and calcium, to more open river-dune vegetation on coarse, rich, and dry sand with calcium.

(2) Empe. Shells collected by JC Van Heurn in May 1915 ( $N = 468$ ) and July 1951 ( $N = 340$ ) at Empe estate (52.145°N 6.142°E). The sample of 1915 is labelled as follows: “Collected at random in one site on the main road from Zutphen to Voorst, in the Zutphen municipality, directly opposite the manor “Empe”. The collection consists of 451 adults and 17 juveniles. [...] The habitats are the elm stems as well as the roadside-verges. In the verges there were no nettles or generally tall herbs, but there was a row of elm-bushes, mixed with some hawthorn, grasses and other low herbs” (translated from Dutch by M.S.). The sample of 1951 is labelled, “Road south of Voorst. Opposite “Empe””. We conclude that both samples were taken at the exact same locality.

(3) Allemansgeest. Shells collected by WC Van Heurn in summer 1942 ( $N = 500$ ) and 1943 ( $N = 772$ ) on the 150 × 50 m peninsula “Allemansgeest” at the confluence of Vliet and Korte Vliet, near Voorschoten (52.143°N 4.468°E). The location and samples were reported on by Van Heurn (1943) and Van Heurn (1945). He describes the habitat as “a narrow spit of land, planted with willow, ash, and some alder, among which a wild herb vegetation appears in summer, consisting mostly of nettles” (translated from Dutch by M.S.). He also reports on a set of samples from 1941, which we could not locate. The collected numbers reported for 1942 and 1943 were, respectively, 535 and 787, suggesting that a small number of individuals had been lost from the samples. We assume these to have been random subsets.

(A fourth set, from the vicinity of Eenrum, was already reported on previously; Ożgo & Schilthuizen, 2012).

## Resampling in 2010

We visited these exact locations in 2010. All sites were identifiable and still contained *Cepaea nemoralis*. At Lobith, the vegetation remained as described by [Wolda \(1969\)](#). Since snail densities were apparently much lower than in Wolda's time, we did not sample Wolda's individual subsections, but instead pooled all material from the entire 400-m length of dike (20–22 June 2010). At Empe, no patch of vegetation exactly matched the description by Van Heurn, so we sampled from two different patches facing the façade of the manor house: Empe-1 (52.1457°N 6.1435°E; reeds, nettles; 20–22 June 2010) and Empe-2 (52.1456°N 6.1426°E; maple forest; 20–22 June 2010). At Allemansgeest, finally, the vegetation had become more park-like, with lawns, tall poplars, and only a few patches where a herbaceous layer was present. *Cepaea* densities were low, so we sampled multiple times, on 21 June, 22 August, and 13 September 2010. At all sites, we collected juveniles and adults, (fresh) dead and alive. Juveniles too small to judge the colour morph accurately were not included. Fieldwork was conducted under permission FF/75A/2010/021a from the Netherlands Ministry of Agriculture, Nature, and Food Quality.

## Shell morph scoring scheme


Each individual from the museum samples and the recent samples was scored following the same scoring scheme as described in [Cain & Sheppard \(1954\)](#). We investigated three types of changes in shell morph frequencies between the historical baseline data and the resampling data: (1) changes in shell ground colour: yellow (Y), pink (P), and brown (B); (2) changes in banding categories: mid-banded (00300; M), three-banded (00345; T), five-banded (12345; F), and other banding (O); and (3) changes in yellow effectively unbanded (YeU), the aggregate phenotype that includes all yellow shells with two upper bands missing (Y00XXX).

## Data analysis

At each site, and for each pair of samples, we performed separate chi-square homogeneity tests for each of the three types of morph change (banding, colour, and proportion of YeU) to examine whether there is evidence of a change in frequency between years ([Fig. 1](#)). Although we recorded the frequency of all morph categories, we excluded categories from the homogeneity tests when the morph frequency in the baseline year was equal to zero. The significance level of the chi-square test was set at  $p = 0.05$ , and we tested for the following changes in frequency between the baseline and resampling years. Colour: yellow, pink, and brown; shell banding: mid-banded, three-banded and five-banded; YeU: Yellow-effectively unbanded. All the analyses were calculated in Excel (Microsoft) ([Data S1](#)).

## RESULTS

Full results are given in [Fig. 1](#) and [Data S1–S4](#). We found no significant differences in colour ( $\chi^2 = 2.38$ ,  $d.f. = 2$ ,  $p = 0.30$ ) or banding morph frequencies ( $\chi^2 = 2.03$ ,  $d.f. = 2$ ,  $p = 0.36$ ) between the two patches sampled at Empe in 2010, so we pooled the data. Altogether, our 2010 sample sizes for Lobith, Empe, and Allemansgeest were  $N = 131$ ,  $N = 189$ , and  $N = 77$ , respectively ([Data S2–S4](#)). Parts of these samples have



**Figure 1** Proportional variation in morph frequencies for all sites in all years. (A–C), Shell ground colour morphs. (D–F), Banding categories —Mid, mid-banded (00300); Three, three-banded (00345); Five, five-banded (12345); Other, other banding categories. (G–I) Proportion of Yellow effectively unbanded (YeU). Numbers indicate total count. \*,  $p < 0.05$ ; \*\*,  $p < 0.01$ ; \*\*\*,  $p < 0.001$ .

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.3938/fig-1](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3938/fig-1)

been stored as vouchers in the alcohol collection of Naturalis Biodiversity Center, under collection numbers RMNH.5004222 (Allemansgeest), RMNH.5004223-5004224 (Lobith) and RMNH.5004225-5004227 (Empe).

In Lobith, significant changes in the frequencies of colour, banding, and the yellow effectively unbanded (YeU) phenotype occurred over the study period (Fig. 1). There was a shift in the frequency of yellow shells from 85% in 1960 to 79% in 1961 ( $\chi^2 = 4.4$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ), and then to 84% in 1962 ( $\chi^2 = 4.8$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). Roughly the same frequency of yellow (83%) was recorded in 2010. The banding pattern was significantly different in 2010 as compared to the years 1960–1962 (1960–2010:  $\chi^2 = 18.5$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; 1961–2010:  $\chi^2 = 12.5$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ; 1962–2010:  $\chi^2 = 28.1$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), which included a decrease in the frequency of five-banded from 73% in 1962 to 55% in 2010, and an increase in the frequency of usually rare “other banding” snails, in this case the 00045 form, from 6% in 1962 to 26% in 2010. Between 1961 and 1962, the frequency of the YeU phenotype increased from 48% to 61% ( $\chi^2 = 22.6$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). This was due to an increase in the frequency of yellow shells and a simultaneous increase in the frequency of yellow unbanded among yellow shells from 52% to 64%. Although there were some reversals, together these changes were in the direction of higher frequencies of less heavily banded forms.

In Empe, the population remained relatively stable between samples, with no significant differences in banding patterns or the frequency of YeU. Interestingly, data from 1951 suggest that some shifts in morph frequencies were occurring over that time despite the fact that the 1915 and 2010 samples do not differ significantly: the frequency of yellow in 1951 was lower (66%) ( $\chi^2 = 7.1$ ,  $p < 0.01$  and  $\chi^2 = 6.4$ ,  $p < 0.05$ , respectively). This corresponded with an increase in the frequency of pink shells in 1951 from 22% to 34%.

In Allemansgeest, a significant change in the frequency of banding morphs occurred between 1942 and 1943 ( $\chi^2 = 66.4$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), including an increase in the mid-banded from 28% to 48%, and a decrease in five-banded from 51% to 24%. The frequency of YeU also changed over this time period from 29% in 1942 to 39% in 1943 ( $\chi^2 = 12.5$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Colour morphs did not change significantly between those years; the frequency of yellow did increase from 52% to 62% between 1943 and 2010 ( $\chi^2 = 2.8$ , n.s.). As in Lobith, the overall change was in the direction of lighter shells.

## DISCUSSION

The use of natural history museum collections allowed us to analyse evolutionary changes in populations of *Cepaea nemoralis* that occurred over several decades at three sites in the Netherlands. Our study contributes to the increasing recognition that large changes in the states of populations can occur over very short time scales and exceed in magnitude the long-term trends (Hendry & Kinnison, 1999; Siepielski, DiBattista & Carlson, 2009). They may result from natural selection in response to biotic or abiotic factors (e.g., changing weather conditions and/or fluctuating selection by predators), from migration, and from random events. The data available in this study do not allow us to draw conclusions about the relative importance of those factors. Historical data from consecutive years show that the changes in the genetic structure of populations were rapid, but as the years

of sampling were different in the three sets of data, it remains unknown whether the recorded changes were consistent over different sites. In the long time-scale, the data from Lobith and Allemansgeest suggest that the general direction of changes was towards higher frequencies of lighter morphs (yellow and less heavily banded), which is consistent with the direction of selection predicted on the basis of the on-going climate change, and this has been shown in some other studies on *Cepaea* snails (Ozgo & Schilthuizen, 2012; Cameron, Cook & Greenwood, 2013; Silvertown et al., 2011). The value of the present study lies in the insight we were able to gain into changes in the genetic composition of populations over long time intervals. However, our interpretation is limited by the number of time points that the collections we studied could provide.

Thus, an additional reflection from our study concerns the value of long time-series of population samples (lots) maintained in museum collections. The importance of natural history museum collections is increasingly recognized (Schilthuizen et al., 2015; Turney et al., 2015; Holmes et al., 2016) but it is realistic to assume that for reasons of space, resources, and staff, their scope will remain limited, and careful choices of the focus of the on-going and future collection efforts need to be taken. We advocate especially for the collection of time-series of the (often-ignored) common species, with the view of securing future access to the records of population states of currently widespread species. Such collections have the potential of being analysed with the tools and resolution not yet available today, and being used to answer questions which at present are not even anticipated. Current examples of such applications include the vast field of museum genomics (Yeates, Zwick & Mikheyev, 2016), studies of amphibian chytridiomycosis (James et al., 2015), or of retroviral integration sites (Cui et al., 2016). Focusing on common species has the advantage of allowing to collect relatively large samples from designated populations at regular intervals. An important reason to focus on common species is that the present biodiversity crisis concerns many species that until recently were common and widespread, but are now in decline (Gaston & Fuller, 2007; Inger et al., 2015; Petrovan & Schmidt, 2016). Time-series collections can help document demographic, genetic, and evolutionary processes in populations undergoing distribution shifts, declines, and possibly rebounds. It is the common species with relatively high population numbers that are most likely to undergo evolutionary rescue (Carlson, Cunningham & Westley, 2014), and time-series collections have the potential of capturing its occurrence in wild populations.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Jeroen Goud and Bram van der Bijl, collection managers of the Naturalis Mollusca collection for providing access to the historical specimens and for arranging the administration of the 2010 vouchers. Henrik Wolda, Rinny Kooi, Wilke van Delden, and Lucy Oosterhoff helped in obtaining additional information on historical collection localities. Laurence Cook, Kerstin Johannesson, and Andrew Hendry reviewed an earlier version of this paper, and their comments helped us to rewrite and improve it.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

### Funding

The authors received no funding for this work.

### Competing Interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

### Author Contributions

- Małgorzata Ożgo conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Thor-Seng Liew performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Nicole B. Webster performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, prepared figures and/or tables, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Menno Schilthuis conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, wrote the paper, prepared figures and/or tables.

### Field Study Permissions

The following information was supplied relating to field study approvals (i.e., approving body and any reference numbers):

Field work was carried out under permission FF/75A/2010/021a from the Netherlands Ministry of Agriculture, Nature, and Food Quality.

### Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw data has been supplied as a [Supplementary File](#).

### Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3938#supplemental-information>.

## REFERENCES

- Bell G. 2010.** Fluctuating selection: the perpetual renewal of adaptation in variable environments. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 365:87–97 DOI 10.1098/rstb.2009.0150.
- Breure ASH, Araujo R. 2017.** The Neotropical land snails (Mollusca, Gastropoda) collected by the ‘Comision Cientifica del Pacifico’. *PeerJ* 5:e3065 DOI 10.7717/peerj.3065.
- Cain AJ, Cook LM, Currey JD. 1990.** Population size and morph frequency in a long-term study of *Cepaea nemoralis*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 240:231–250 DOI 10.1098/rspb.1990.0036.



- Cain AJ, Sheppard PM. 1954. Natural selection in *Cepaea*. *Genetics* **39**:89–116.
- Cameron RAD, Cook LM, Greenwood JJD. 2013. Change and stability in a steep morpho-frequency cline in the snail *Cepaea nemoralis* (L.) over 43 years. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **108**:473–483 DOI [10.1111/j.1095-8312.2012.02033.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2012.02033.x).
- Carlson SM, Cunningham CJ, Westley PAH. 2014. Evolutionary rescue in a changing world. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **29**:521–530 DOI [10.1016/j.tree.2014.06.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.06.005).
- Cook LM. 1998. A two-stage model for *Cepaea* polymorphism. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal B: Biological Sciences* **353**:1577–1593 DOI [10.1098/rstb.1998.0311](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1998.0311).
- Cook LM. 2017. Reflections on molluscan shell polymorphism. *Biological Journal of Linnean Society* In Press.
- Cook LM, Saccheri IJ. 2013. The peppered moth and industrial melanism: evolution of a natural selection case study. *Heredity* **110**:207–212 DOI [10.1038/hdy.2012.92](https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2012.92).
- Cui P, Löber U, Alquezar-Planas DE, Ishida Y, Courtiol A, Timms P, Johnson RN, Lenz D, Helgen KM, Roca AL, Hartman S, Greenwood AD. 2016. Comprehensive profiling of retroviral integration sites using target enrichment methods from historical koala samples without an assembled reference genome. *PeerJ* **4**:e1847 DOI [10.7717/peerj.1847](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1847).
- Douhard M, Festa-Bianchet M, Pelletier F, Gaillard J-M, Bonenfant C. 2016. Changes in horn size of Stone's sheep over four decades correlate with trophy hunting pressure. *Ecological Applications* **26**:309–321 DOI [10.1890/14-1461](https://doi.org/10.1890/14-1461).
- Gaston KJ, Fuller RA. 2007. Commonness, population depletion and conservation biology. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **23**:14–19 DOI [10.1016/j.tree.2007.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2007.11.001).
- Grant PR, Grant BR. 2014. *40 Years of evolution. Darwin's finches on Daphne Major Island*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Hendry AP. 2013. Key questions in the genetics and genomics of eco-evolutionary dynamics. *Heredity* **111**:456–466 DOI [10.1038/hdy.2013.75](https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2013.75).
- Hendry AP. 2017. *Eco-evolutionary dynamics*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Hendry AP, Gotanda KM, Svensson EI. 2017. Human influences on evolution, and the ecological and societal consequences. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **372**:20160028 DOI [10.1098/rstb.2016.0028](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0028).
- Hendry AP, Kinnison MT. 1999. The pace of modern life: measuring rates of contemporary microevolution. *Evolution* **53**:1637–1653 DOI [10.1111/j.1558-5646.1999.tb04550.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1999.tb04550.x).
- Holmes MW, Hammond TT, Wogan GOU, Walsh RE, Labarbera K, Wommack EA, Martins FM, Crawford JC, Mack KL, Bloch LM, Nachman MW. 2016. Natural history collections as windows on evolutionary processes. *Molecular Ecology* **25**:846–881 DOI [10.1111/mec.13535](https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13535).
- Inger R, Gregory R, Duffy JP, Stott I, Voříšek P, Gaston KJ. 2015. Common European birds are declining rapidly while less abundant species' numbers are rising. *Ecology Letters* **18**:28–36 DOI [10.1111/ele.12387](https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12387).
- James TY, Toledo LF, Rödder D, Da Silva Leite D, Belasen AM, Betancourt-Román CM, Jenkinson TS, Soto-Azat C, Lambertini C, Longo AV, Ruggeri J, Collins JP, Burrowes PA, Lips KR, Zamudio KR, Longcore JE. 2015. Disentangling host,

- pathogen, and environmental determinants of a recently emerged wildlife disease: lessons from the first 15 years of amphibian chytridiomycosis research. *Ecology and Evolution* 5:4079–4097 DOI [10.1002/ece3.1672](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1672).
- Johannesson K, Butlin RK. 2017.** What explains rare and conspicuous colours in a snail? A test of time-series data against models of drift, migration or selection. *Heredity* 118:21–30 DOI [10.1038/hdy.2016.77](https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2016.77).
- Johnson MS. 2011.** Thirty-four years of climatic selection in the land snail *Theba pisana*. *Heredity* 106:741–748 DOI [10.1038/hdy.2010.114](https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2010.114).
- Kingsolver JG, Pfennig DW. 2007.** Patterns and power of phenotypic selection in nature. *BioScience* 57:561–572 DOI [10.1641/B570706](https://doi.org/10.1641/B570706).
- Kinnison MT, Hairston NG, Hendry AP. 2015.** Cryptic eco-evolutionary dynamics. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1360:120–125 DOI [10.1111/nyas.12974](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12974).
- Le Rouzic A, Hansen TF, Gosden TP, Svensson EI. 2015.** Evolutionary time-series analysis reveals the signature of frequency-dependent selection on a female mating polymorphism. *The American Naturalist* 185:E182–E196 DOI [10.1086/680982](https://doi.org/10.1086/680982).
- Linck E, Bridge ES, Duckles JM, Navarro-Sigüenza, Rohwer S. 2016.** Assessing migration patterns in *Passerina ciris* using the world’s bird collections as an aggregated resource. *PeerJ* 4:e1871 DOI [10.7717/peerj.1871](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1871).
- Murray JJ. 1975.** The genetics of the Mollusca. In: King RC, ed. *Handbook of genetics*, vol. 3. New York: Plenum, 3–31.
- Ozgo M. 2008.** Current problems in the research of *Cepaea* polymorphism. *Folia Malacologica* 16:55–60 DOI [10.12657/folmal.016.009](https://doi.org/10.12657/folmal.016.009).
- Ozgo M, Schilthuizen M. 2012.** Evolutionary change in *Cepaea nemoralis* shell colour over 43 years. *Global Change Biology* 18:74–81 DOI [10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02514.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02514.x).
- Palkovacs EP, Kinnison MT, Correa C, Dalton CM, Hendry AP. 2012.** Ecological consequences of human-induced trait change: fates beyond traits. *Evolutionary Applications* 5:183–191 DOI [10.1111/j.1752-4571.2011.00212.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2011.00212.x).
- Petrovan SO, Schmidt BR. 2016.** Volunteer conservation action data reveals large-scale and long-term negative population trends of a widespread amphibian, the common toad (*Bufo bufo*). *PLOS ONE* 11:e0161943 DOI [10.1371/journal.pone.0161943](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0161943).
- Schilthuizen M. 2018.** *Darwin comes to town. How the urban jungle drives evolution*. London: Quercus Books.
- Schilthuizen M, Vairappan CS, Slade EM, Mann DJ, Miller JA. 2015.** Specimens as primary data: museums and “open science”. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 20:1–2 DOI [10.1016/j.tree.2015.03.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2015.03.002).
- Shackell NL, Frank KT, Fisher JAD, Petrie B, Leggett WC. 2010.** Decline in top predator body size and changing climate alter trophic structure in an oceanic ecosystem. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 277:1353–1360 DOI [10.1098/rspb.2009.1020](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.1020).
- Sharpe DM, Hendry AP. 2009.** Life history change in commercially exploited fish stocks: an analysis of trends across studies. *Evolutionary Applications* 2:260–275 DOI [10.1111/j.1752-4571.2009.00080.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2009.00080.x).

- Siepielski AM, DiBattista JD, Carlson SM. 2009.** It's about time: the temporal dynamics of phenotypic selection in the wild. *Ecology Letters* **12**:1261–1276 DOI [10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01381.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01381.x).
- Siepielski AM, Gotanda KM, Morrissey MB, Diamond SE, DiBattista JD, Carlson SM. 2013.** The spatial patterns of directional phenotypic selection. *Ecology Letters* **16**:1382–1392 DOI [10.1111/ele.12174](https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12174).
- Silvertown J, Cook L, Cameron R, Dodd M, McConway K, Worthington J, Skelton P, Anton C, Bossdorf O, Baur B, Schilthuizen M, Fontaine B, Sattmann H, Bertorelle G, Correia M, Oliveira C, Pokryszko B, Ożgo M, Stalažs A, Gill E, Rammul U, Sólomos P, Féher Z, Juan X. 2011.** Citizen science reveals unexpected continental-scale evolutionary change in a model organism. *PLOS ONE* **6**:e18927 DOI [10.1371/journal.pone.0018927](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0018927).
- Turney S, Cameron ER, Cloutier CA, Buddle CM. 2015.** Non-repeatable science: assessing the frequency of voucher specimen deposition reveals that most arthropod research cannot be verified. *PeerJ* **3**:e1168 DOI [10.7717/peerj.1168](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1168).
- Van Heurn WC. 1943.** Stabiliteit van populaties van *Cepaea nemoralis* (L.). *Basteria* **8**:59–63.
- Van Heurn WC. 1945.** Stabiliteit van populaties van *Cepaea nemoralis* (L.), vervolg. *Basteria* **9**:39–43.
- Vinarski MV. 2016.** Annotated type catalogue of lymnaeid snails (Mollusca, Gastropoda) in the collection of the Natural History Museum, Berlin. *Zoosystematics and Evolution* **92**:131–152 DOI [10.3897/zse.92.8107](https://doi.org/10.3897/zse.92.8107).
- Wolda H. 1969.** Stability of a steep cline in morph frequencies of the snail *Cepaea nemoralis* (L.). *Journal of Animal Ecology* **38**:623–635 DOI [10.2307/3039](https://doi.org/10.2307/3039).
- Yeates DK, Zwick A, Mikheyev AS. 2016.** Museums are biobanks: unlocking the genetic potential of the three billion specimens in the world's biological collections. *Current Opinion in Insect Science* **18**:83–88 DOI [10.1016/j.cois.2016.09.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2016.09.009).