

Grip strength modifies the association between estimated glomerular filtration rate and all-cause mortality

Per-Ola Sundin ¹, Ruzan Udumyan¹, Katja Fall^{1,2} and Scott Montgomery^{1,3,4}

¹Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Medical Sciences, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden, ²Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden, ³Clinical Epidemiology Division, Department of Medicine, Karolinska University Hospital Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden and ⁴Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College, London, UK

Correspondence and offprint requests to: Per-Ola Sundin; E-mail: perola.sundin@regionorebrolan.se

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) with reduced glomerular filtration rate (GFR) represents a high-magnitude increased risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD) and all-cause mortality (ACM) [1]. The main non-GFR determinant of the endogenous filtration marker creatinine is its production rate in muscle [2]. Stratification of GFR by a marker of muscle function (grip strength) associated with muscle mass [3] may remove heterogeneity, providing a more accurate marker of mortality risk.

This study evaluates whether grip strength identifies ACM risk associated with estimated GFR (eGFR) from serum creatinine in a subsample of the UK Household Longitudinal Survey (UKHLS) [4–6]. Of the eligible participants, 10 900 had complete data, with an eGFR of 15–120 mL/min/1.73 m² body surface area (BSA) and followed for up to 4–5 years [7].

eGFR was determined using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation [8] and grip strength was standardized by age and sex. Baseline diagnoses were self-reported. ACM was reported by the diseased individual's household or identified through systematic enquiries in the event of non-contact; otherwise participants were classified as alive.

All procedures were in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 on ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects, as revised in 2013 [9].

Associations between eGFR and ACM were evaluated using logistic regression (due to the wave structure of the data) adjusting for age, sex, ethnicity, body mass index (BMI), smoking and self-reported pre-existing diagnoses of CVD (ischaemic heart disease or stroke), diabetes and hypertension. The linearity of the relationship between the log odds of mortality and the continuous variables eGFR, age and BMI was assessed by applying the multivariable fractional polynomial method [10]. Odds ratios (ORs) for ACM were calculated for the following categories of eGFR: median 37.5 (range 30–44), 52.5 (45–60), 75 (60–89), 97.5 (90–104) and 112.5 (105–119) mL/min/1.73 m² BSA using 90 mL/min/1.73 m² BSA as a reference. Sparsely populated categories at the extremes of the distribution are not included. Effect modification by grip strength was evaluated by adding

the main effects and the eGFR–grip strength multiplicative interaction term to the adjusted model. The association between eGFR and ACM was further estimated by stratifying the adjusted model into thirds of the distribution of grip strength. Sensitivity analyses included additional adjustment for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cancer and congestive heart failure at baseline. Further sensitivity analysis included eGFR values outside the 15–120 mL/min/1.73 m² BSA range. Additional information is available in the [Supplementary data Methods](#).

Mortality was recorded for 2.48% (270/10900). Standardized grip strength is not correlated with eGFR (Pearson's correlation coefficients –0.04–0.01). The multiplicative interaction between grip strength and eGFR for mortality risk is statistically significant ($P = 0.04$). Characteristics of the study population and adjusted OR for ACM calculated at specified eGFR values are presented in [Table 1](#). In the entire sample, the corresponding unadjusted OR decrease linearly from 12.8 [95% confidence interval (CI) 8.90–16.67] at eGFR 37.5 mL/min/1.73 m² BSA to 0.34 (0.30–0.73) at eGFR 112.5 mL/min/1.73 m² BSA compared with eGFR 90 mL/min/1.73 m² BSA. The adjusted OR for the lowest third of grip strength compared with the highest associated with ACM is 1.77 (95% CI 1.28–2.46). The distribution of eGFR values is illustrated in [Supplementary data, Figure S1](#). Results for all covariates are presented in [Supplementary data, Table S1](#). Sensitivity analyses did not indicate notable changes in associations ([Supplementary data, Tables S2 and S3](#)).

In this large general population cohort, eGFR has a U-shaped association with increased ACM risk in adjusted models. Following stratification into thirds of the grip strength distribution, a statistically significant association between low eGFR and increased ACM risk is present only for the lowest grip strength (there is no elevated risk in the two higher thirds). These findings suggest that associations between lower eGFR and increased ACM are driven disproportionately by individuals with low grip strength. Testing grip strength may be a convenient and inexpensive way to improve the stratification of ACM risk based on eGFR.

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population and associations of eGFR with ACM

Characteristics	Total (n = 10 900)	Lowest third (n = 3637)	Grip strength ^a		P-value
			Middle third (n = 3660)	Highest third (n = 3603)	
Characteristics of the study population ^b					
Age (years), mean (SD)	53.50 (15.70)	53.77 (16.23)	53.22 (15.55)	53.51 (15.30)	0.32
Female sex, n (%)	5989 (54.9)	1990 (54.7)	2053 (56.1)	1946 (54.0)	0.19
Ethnicity, n (%)					<0.001
White UK	10 067 (92.4)	3329 (91.5)	3361 (91.8)	3377 (93.7)	
Afro-Caribbean	87 (0.8)	20 (0.5)	36 (1.0)	31 (0.9)	
Other	746 (6.8)	288 (7.9)	263 (7.2)	195 (5.4)	
Serum creatinine (µmol/L)	75 (65–86)	74 (64–85)	74 (64–86)	76 (88–87)	<0.001
BMI	27.4 (24.5–30.9)	27.0 (24.0–30.7)	27.2 (24.2–30.4)	28.1 (25.1–31.4)	<0.001
Smoking, n (%)					<0.01
Never regular smoker	4431 (40.7)	1499 (41.2)	1550 (42.3)	1382 (38.4)	
Former regular smoker	4429 (40.6)	1431 (39.3)	1444 (39.5)	1554 (43.1)	
Current smoker	2040 (18.7)	707 (19.4)	666 (18.2)	667 (18.5)	
Diabetes, n (%)	810 (7.4)	361 (9.9)	237 (6.5)	212 (5.9)	<0.001
CVD, n (%)	621 (5.7)	268 (7.4)	182 (5.0)	171 (4.7)	<0.001
Hypertension, n (%)	2089 (19.2)	741 (20.4)	674 (18.4)	674 (18.7)	0.07
eGFR categories (mL/min/1.73 m ²), n (%)					<0.001
15–29	38 (0.3)	24 (0.7)	5 (0.1)	9 (0.2)	
30–44	156 (1.4)	72 (2.0)	45 (1.2)	39 (1.1)	
45–59	561 (5.1)	194 (5.3)	184 (5.0)	183 (5.1)	
60–89	4564 (41.9)	1402 (38.5)	1515 (41.4)	1647 (45.7)	
90–104	3541 (32.5)	1180 (32.4)	1197 (32.7)	1164 (32.3)	
105–119	2040 (18.7)	765 (21.0)	714 (19.5)	561 (15.6)	
Adjusted OR (95% CI) for ACM ^c					
eGFR categories [median (IQR)]					
37.5 (30–44)	1.68 (1.09–2.61)	2.24 (1.39–3.61)	0.94 (0.38–2.29)	0.83 (0.30–2.34)	
52.5 (45–59)	1.07 (0.77–1.50)	1.50 (1.18–1.91)	0.96 (0.51–1.81)	0.88 (0.42–1.83)	
75.0 (60–89)	0.86 (0.71–1.04)	1.12 (1.05–1.20)	0.98 (0.76–1.27)	0.95 (0.71–1.27)	
90.0	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	
97.5 (90–104)	1.18 (1.03–1.35)	0.96 (0.93–0.98)	1.01 (0.89–1.15)	1.03 (0.89–1.19)	
112.5 (105–119)	1.95 (1.20–3.17)	0.89 (0.83–0.95)	1.03 (0.70–1.50)	1.08 (0.70–1.68)	

^aGrip strength standardized by age and sex.

^bValues are presented as median [interquartile range (IQR)] unless stated otherwise. Categorical measures are compared using Pearson’s chi-squared test and continuous measures using the Kruskal–Wallis test, with the exception of age, which was compared using analysis of variance.

^cAdjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, BMI, smoking and self-reported pre-existing diagnoses of CVD, diabetes and hypertension. Stratified into thirds of the distribution of grip strength. Non-linearity of the association for eGFR, age and BMI was modelled as specified in the [Supplementary data, Methods](#).

Low grip strength may indicate sarcopenia [3], which is associated with increased mortality risk in patients with CKD independent of GFR [11]. Recent papers have established an association between low grip strength and ACM for dialysis patients where variation in GFR is small [12], unlike in our study, which has much greater variation. However, as this study identified a ‘multiplicative’ interaction of grip strength with eGFR for mortality risk, the additional independent risk associated with sarcopenia cannot explain our results; neither can lower creatinine production in sarcopenia. Low grip strength is associated with changes in metabolic, inflammatory and hormonal systems potentially relevant to the adverse effects of CKD [13–15].

Our study has several major strengths, including the large general population sample and the use of flexible modelling. No previous study that we are aware of evaluated the effect modification by grip strength for the association between low eGFR and ACM.

Our study also has potential limitations. Due to the distribution of our data, we estimated ACM risk associated with a mild or moderate reduction of eGFR, but this is of major public health importance. Our data do not include measured GFR or a

specific measure of muscle mass, nor do they allow estimation of risk of progression of CKD to end-stage renal disease. The relatively short follow-up may not allow some low-risk groups to be represented fully in the results. Morbidity associated with low eGFR may not be as pronounced in our general population sample as in a clinical population, as individuals living in institutions or with a medical history indicating non-eligibility for taking blood samples are not included. Mortality may be somewhat underestimated, as it is obtained from other household members or by enquiries by the researchers. UKHLS data have not been linked to national health registers, so diagnoses are self-reported and cause-specific mortality cannot be evaluated. For the above reasons, ACM is detected with reduced sensitivity and mortality among the people in the poorest health may be underestimated. These potential limitations do not invalidate the potential usefulness of combining grip strength and eGFR to estimate mortality risk.

Grip strength may be used to improve estimates of eGFR-related ACM risk. To refine this further, studies should include both eGFR and measured GFR, as well as measures of muscle strength or muscle mass.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available at [ndt](https://ndt.oxfordjournals.org/) online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Understanding Society is an initiative funded by the Economic and Social Research Council and various government departments, with scientific leadership by the Institute for Social and Economic Research, University of Essex and survey delivery by NatCen Social Research and Kantar Public. The research data are distributed by the UK Data Service.

FUNDING

This study received support from the UK Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) as grants to the International Centre for Life Course Studies (grants RES-596-28-0001 and ES/JO19119/1). The study was also financed by grants from the Swedish state under an agreement between the Swedish government and the county councils, the Agreement on Medical Education and Research (ALF; grant OLL-685971). The study sponsors had no role in the study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report or in the decision to submit the article for publication.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors fulfilled the authorship requirements and approved the final version of the manuscript. P.-O.S. contributed to the acquisition and preparation of data. P.-O.S. and S.M. contributed to the development of the research question and study design. P.-O.S. contributed to the data analyses. P.-O.S., S.M., K.F. and R.U. contributed to the interpretation of data. S.M. contributed to study supervision. P.-O.S. wrote the first draft of the manuscript to which all authors made significant subsequent contributions.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

P.-O.S. received grants from the Swedish state under the agreement between the Swedish government and the county councils, the ALF agreement, during the conduct of the study. R.U. and K.F. declared no conflicts of interest. S.M. received grants from UK Economic and Social Research Council

(ESRC) as grants to the International Centre for Life Course Studies during the conduct of the study.

REFERENCES

1. Matsushita K, van der Velde M, Astor BC *et al.* Association of estimated glomerular filtration rate and albuminuria with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in general population cohorts: a collaborative meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2010; 375: 2073–2081
2. Levey AS, Inker LA, Coresh J. GFR estimation: from physiology to public health. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2014; 63: 820–834
3. Kallman DA, Plato CC, Tobin JD. The role of muscle loss in the age-related decline of grip strength: cross-sectional and longitudinal perspectives. *J Gerontol* 1990; 45: M82–M88
4. University of Essex, Institute for Social and Economic Research, National Centre for Social Research, Kantar Public. *Understanding Society: Waves 1-7, 2009-2016 and Harmonised BHPS: Waves 1-18, 1991-2009*. 9th edn. SN: 6614. Colchester: UK Data Service, 2017
5. University of Essex, Institute for Social and Economic Research and National Centre for Social Research. *Understanding Society: Waves 2 and 3 Nurse Health Assessment, 2010-2012*. 3rd edn. SN: 7251. Colchester: UK Data Service, 2014
6. Buck N, McFall S. Understanding society: design overview. *Longit Life Course Stud* 2012; 3: 5–17
7. McFall S, Petersen J, Kaminska O *et al.* *Understanding Society – UK Household Longitudinal Study: Waves 2 and 3 Nurse Health Assessment, 2010-2012, Guide to Nurse Health Assessment*. Colchester: University of Essex, 2014
8. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH *et al.* A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med* 2009; 150: 604–612
9. World Medical Association. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA* 2013; 310: 2191–2194
10. Royston P, Ambler G, Sauerbrei W. The use of fractional polynomials to model continuous risk variables in epidemiology. *Int J Epidemiol* 1999; 28: 964–974
11. Di Micco L, Quinn RR, Ronksley PE *et al.* Urine creatinine excretion and clinical outcomes in CKD. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2013; 8: 1877–1883
12. Hwang SH, Lee DH, Min J *et al.* Handgrip strength as a predictor of all-cause mortality in patients with chronic kidney disease undergoing dialysis: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *J Ren Nutr* 2019, in press
13. Zhang H, Lin S, Gao T *et al.* Association between sarcopenia and metabolic syndrome in middle-aged and older non-obese adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrients* 2018; 10: 364
14. Karakelides H, Nair KS. Sarcopenia of aging and its metabolic impact. *Curr Top Dev Biol* 2005; 68: 123–148
15. Oterdoom LH, Gansevoort RT, Schouten JP *et al.* Urinary creatinine excretion, an indirect measure of muscle mass, is an independent predictor of cardiovascular disease and mortality in the general population. *Atherosclerosis* 2009; 207: 534–540

Received: 26.3.2019; Editorial decision: 7.6.2019