ARTICLE

Open Access

Characterization of TNF-induced cell death in *Drosophila* reveals caspase- and JNKdependent necrosis and its role in tumor suppression

Mingli Li¹, Shiyao Sun¹, Jessica Priest¹, Xiaolin Bi² and Yun Fan¹

Abstract

Tumor-necrosis factor (TNF) and its superfamily members are pleiotropic cytokines. Activation of TNF can lead to distinct cellular outcomes including inflammation, cell survival, and different forms of cell death, such as apoptosis and necrosis in a context-dependent manner. However, our understanding of what determines the versatile functions of TNF is far from complete. Here, we examined the molecular mechanisms that distinguish the forms of cell death induced by Eiger (Egr), the sole homolog of TNF in Drosophila. We show that expression of Egr in the developing Drosophila eye simultaneously induces apoptosis and apoptosis-independent developmental defects indicated by cellular disorganization, both of which rely on the c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) signaling activity. Intriguingly, when effector caspases DrICE and Dcp-1 are defective or inhibited, expression of Egr triggers necrosis which is characterized by loss of cell membrane integrity, translucent cytoplasm, and aggregation of cellular organelles. Moreover, such Egr-induced necrosis depends on the catalytic activity of the initiator caspase Dronc and the input from JNK signaling but is independent of their roles in apoptosis. Further mosaic analysis with mutants of scribble (scrib), an evolutionarily conserved tumor suppressor gene regulating cell polarity, suggests that Egr/JNK-mediated apoptosis and necrosis establish a two-layered defense system to inhibit the oncogenic growth of scrib mutant cells. Together, we have identified caspase- and JNK-dependent mechanisms underlying Egr-induced apoptosis versus necrosis and their fail-safe roles in tumor suppression in an intact organism in vivo.

Introduction

Apoptosis is a major form of programmed cell death critical for development and damage response¹. The key factors driving apoptosis are caspases, a family of cysteine proteases. These apoptotic caspases can further group into initiator and effector caspases². The initiator caspases, once activated, cleave and activate the effector caspases leading to apoptosis. Unlike apoptosis, necrosis has long been considered as an uncontrolled form of cell

Correspondence: Yun Fan (y.fan@bham.ac.uk)

death. However, recent studies have revealed that certain types of necrosis are molecularly regulated^{3,4}. Intriguingly, our growing understanding of apoptosis and regulated necrosis has unveiled multiple molecular interplays between them⁵. One example of such molecules is the tumor-necrosis factor (TNF) superfamily, a group of cytokines which was initially discovered because of its antitumor activity⁶. Binding of TNF to their receptors promotes recruitment of various death-inducing protein complexes depending on the context⁷. A key component common in these complexes is caspase-8, an initiator caspase. Once activated, caspase-8 cleaves and activates effector caspases, such as caspase-3 and -7, to trigger

© The Author(s) 2019

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

¹School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK ²College of Basic Medical Sciences, Dalian Medical University, Dalian, China Edited by E. Baehrecke

apoptosis⁸. Notably, caspase-8 also cleaves and inactivates two receptor-interacting serine/threonine-protein kinases (RIPKs), RIPK1, and RIPK3, which mediate activation of necroptosis, a form of regulated necrosis^{9–12}. Therefore, when Caspase-8 is deficient or inhibited, activation of TNF induces necrosis via RIPK1 and PIPK3.

In addition to cell death, functions of TNF family members have been revealed in immunity, inflammation, cell survival, and proliferation⁶. Many of these functions are mediated by pleiotropic molecules, such as the Nuclear Factor- κ B (NF- κ B) transcription factor¹³, the c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), and the p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (p38-MAPK)^{14,15}. It is therefore not surprising that dysregulated TNF signaling is associated with numerous pathological conditions including cancer^{16,17}. However, our understanding of the mechanisms that determine distinct outcomes of TNF signaling is still far from complete.

Eiger (Egr) is the sole *Drosophila* homolog of TNF^{18,19}. Wengen²⁰ and, more recently, Grindelwald²¹ have been identified as its receptors. Similar to mammalian TNFs, Egr plays multiple roles in regulating cell death, host defense, tissue growth, and regeneration in a contextdependent manner²²⁻²⁴. Notably, Egr exerts its functions mainly through activation of JNK. For example, expression of Egr under the control of an eye-specific driver GMR (GMR > egr) activates JNK leading to cell death and small adult eyes^{18,19,25}. Intriguingly, GMR > egr-induced cell death may be nonapoptotic because its eye ablation phenotype cannot be suppressed by P35 (ref.¹⁸), an inhibitor of the effector caspases DrICE and Dcp-1 (ref.²⁶). However, what seems to be a paradox, *GMR* > *egr*-induced small eyes can be suppressed by inhibition of the initiator caspase $\text{Dronc}^{19,25}$. It is therefore not yet clear whether and how Egr can induce both apoptotic and nonapoptotic cell death.

Here, we report that Egr primarily induces JNKdependent apoptosis and, simultaneously, apoptosisindependent cellular disorganization when it is expressed in the Drosophila eye. However, when apoptosis is blocked by inhibition of the effector caspases DrICE and Dcp-1, expression of Egr induces necrosis instead. Intriguingly, the initiator caspase Dronc is required for both Egr-induced apoptosis and necrosis, but at different protein levels. Its catalytic activity cooperates with an apoptosis-independent role of JNK signaling to activate necrosis. Furthermore, under pathological conditions, e.g., in cells mutant for scribble (scrib) which is a tumor suppressor gene regulating cell polarity, activation of Egr-JNK establishes a two-layered defense mechanism with apoptosis and, if apoptosis fails, necrosis in place to prevent oncogenic tissue overgrowth. Therefore, Drosophila models can be employed to dissect the molecular interplays between apoptosis and necrosis in vivo.

Results

The cleaved Dcp-1 antibody is a specific marker for

activated effector caspases DrICE and Dcp-1 in Drosophila To determine whether GMR > Egr induces apoptosis or nonapoptotic cell death, we first sought to identify a marker specifically recognizing activated effector caspases, e.g., the cleaved DrICE and Dcp-1, in Drosophila because antibodies recognizing the cleaved human caspase-3 are not specific to these proteins²⁷. A recently developed cleaved Dcp-1 (Asp216) antibody (referred to as cDcp1) from Cell Signaling Technology is a polyclonal antibody raised against the large 22 kDa fragment of cleaved Dcp-1. Although this antibody has been increasingly used to label apoptosis in Drosophila²⁸, a detailed characterization of its specificity has not been performed. To address this, we used *GMR-hid*, a transgene activating apoptosis in the eye²⁹. In late 3rd instar larval eye disks, compared with wild type (Fig. 2e), GMR-hid induces two waves of apoptotic cells as indicated by TUNEL, an assay detecting DNA fragmentation therefore labeling apoptotic cells (Fig. 1a)³⁰. The cDcp1 antibodies recognize these two apoptotic waves (Fig. 1b). Interestingly, these apoptotic signals persist in *dcp-1* null mutants (Fig. 1c, d). It suggests that cleaved Dcp-1 is not the only protein recognized by cDcp1. In addition to Dcp-1, DrICE is another major effector caspase in somatic tissues^{31,32}. Indeed, GMR-hid-induced apoptosis is almost completely lost in drICE null mutants (Fig. 1e). Because DrICE and Dcp-1 share similar sequences cleaved by Dronc^{27,33}, it is possible that cDcp1 also recognizes the cleaved DrICE. Consistent with this idea, cDcp1 detects a relatively low level of proteins, presumably the cleaved Dcp-1, in drICE mutants (Fig. 1f). In contrast, the cDcp1- and TUNEL-signals are lost in *dcp-1; drICE* double mutants (Fig. 1g, h). Therefore, cDcp1 recognizes both cleaved Dcp-1 and cleaved DrICE.

Expression of Eiger induces strong apoptosis through the canonical apoptosis pathway and the pro-apoptotic gene *hid*

It has been reported that GMR > egr activates JNK resulting in an eye ablation phenotype (compare 2b to 2a)^{18,19}, which can be suppressed by RNAi knockdown of *egr* (Fig. 2c) or expression of *puckered* (*puc*) (Fig. 2d), a negative regulator of JNK^{34,35}. To assess whether GMR > egr induces apoptosis, we used the cDcp1 antibody. Compared with wild type (Fig. 2e), a strong wave of cDcp1-labeling was observed in GMR > egr disks (Fig. 2f). To confirm it is apoptosis, we performed genetic analyses on the key components in the apoptosis pathway. Loss of Dronc, the major initiator caspase mediating apoptosis in *Drosophila*³⁶, or expression of P35, an inhibitor of activated DrICE and Dcp-1 (ref.²⁶), completely blocks the cDcp1 signals in GMR > egr (Fig. 2g, h). Consistently,



Fig. 1 The cDcp1 antibody recognizes cleaved DrICE and Dcp-1 in *Drosophila.* Late 3rd instar larval eye disks labeled with either the TUNEL assay (**a**, **c**, **e**, **g**) or the cDcp1 antibodies (**b**, **d**, **f**, **h**). Expression of *hid* under the control of *GMR* (*GMR-hid*) induces two apoptotic waives indicated by either TUNEL (**a**, arrows) or cDcp1 (**b**, arrows) staining. *GMR-hid-*induced two apoptotic waves are recognized by both TUNEL (**c**) and cDcp1 (**d**) in *dcp-1* null mutants. *GMR-hid-*induced apoptosis is blocked in *drICE* null mutants as indicated by lack of TUNEL labeling (**e**). However, cDcp1 recognizes a low level of signals in the whole *GMR* domain in the same genetic background (**f**). No *GMR-hid-*induced signals were detected by either TUNEL (**g**) or cDcp1 (**h**) in *drICE* and *dcp-1* double null mutants

GMR > egr-induced eye ablation phenotype is suppressed in *dronc* null mutants (compare Fig. 2m to 2b). Moreover, expression of a RING domain-deleted (therefore stabilized) form of Diap1 (BIR), an apoptosis inhibitor acting upstream of Dronc³⁷, also suppresses GMR > egr small eyes (compare Fig. 2l to 2b). Therefore, GMR > egr triggers massive apoptosis in the developing *Drosophila* eye.

To identify which proapoptotic genes mediate GMR > egr-induced apoptosis, we examined expression of *hid* and *rpr* by using their reporters³⁸. Compared with the control, expression of *hid*, but not *rpr*, significantly increases in GMR > egr (Supplementary Fig. S1). Moreover, loss of *rpr* in *rpr87*/XR38, a combination of a deletion³⁹ and a null mutant of *rpr* (ref.⁴⁰), does not affect GMR > egr-induced apoptosis (Fig. 2j). In contrast, such apoptosis is lost in *hid* mutant clones (Fig. 2i–i''). Consistent with these results, the *hid* mutant clones, but not *rpr* mutants, suppress GMR > egr-induced small eyes (Fig. 2k, n). Taken together, expression of Egr activates apoptosis through the proapoptotic gene *hid* in the developing *Drosophila* eye.

Expression of Eiger induces developmental defects independent of apoptosis

Notably, unlike expression of *puc* (Fig. 2d), loss of *dronc* or expression of Diap1 (*GMR* > *BIR*) does not completely restore the *GMR* > *egr* eyes back to normal. These eyes show glassy appearance suggesting defects in their ommatidial patterning (Fig. 2l, m). In contrast, expression of a dominant negative form of *bsk* (*bsk*^{*DN*}, *bsk* = *Droso-phila JNK*) or hemizygous mutants of *Tak1* (*Tak1*²⁵²⁷, null mutant⁴¹), an upstream kinase of JNK, almost completely rescue *GMR* > *egr*-induced adult eye defects including the glassy eye appearance (Fig. 3a, b). Therefore, *GMR* > *egr* also induces apoptosis-independent, but JNK-dependent, developmental defects (Fig. 3c).

To further characterize this nonapoptotic developmental defects, we examined cellular organization in the pupal eye disks, which are composed of well-patterned ommatidia (Fig. 3d, d'', ELAV labeling) and



interommatidial cells (Fig. 3d, d'). At 25 °C, developmental apoptosis occurs at 28 h after pupal formation (APF28h) to remove extra interommatidial cells in eye disks (Supplementary Fig. S2)^{42,43}. In contrast, no apoptosis is observed at APF22h (Fig. 4a, a'). We therefore focused our analysis of GMR > egr on the stage of APF22h





to avoid developmental apoptosis. Compared with wildtype eye disks in which ommatidia and interommatidial cells are well-patterned (Fig. 3d-d''), GMR > egr induces disorganization of these cells (Fig. 3e-e'') indicated by both increased interommatidial spacing (Fig. 3e'', arrows) and ommatidial fusion (Fig. 3e'', arrowheads). Such defects persist when apoptosis is inhibited in *dronc* mutants

(Fig. 3g-g'') or by expression of Diap1 (Fig. 3i–i''). As controls, ommatidial organization is not affected by loss of *dronc* (Fig. 3f–f'') or expression of Diap1 (Fig. 3h–h'') alone. Moreover, *GMR* > *egr*-induced ommatidial organization defects are strongly suppressed by expression of bsk^{DN} (Fig. 3j–j'') or in hemizygous mutants of *Tak1* (Fig. 3k–k''). Altogether, these data suggest that expression of *egr* induces both apoptosis and nonapoptotic, but JNK-dependent, cellular disorganization in the developing eye (Fig. 3c).

Inhibition of effector caspases in *GMR* > *egr* induces necrosis

One intriguing observation is that, unlike *dronc* mutants or expression of Diap1, expression of P35, an inhibitor of effector caspases, cannot or only slightly suppresses the *GMR* > *egr*-induced eye ablation phenotype (compare Fig. 4d to Fig. 2b, quantified in Fig. 6f)^{18,19,44}. One possible explanation is that P35 may not be sufficient to block *GMR* > *egr*-induced apoptosis because it is a pseudosubstrate of effector caspases^{26,45}. We examined this possibility. Compared with wild type (Fig. 4a, a'), *GMR* >





egr induces massive apoptosis and defective ommatidial patterning in pupal eye disks at APF22h (Fig. 4b, b'). Expression of P35 is sufficient to block apoptosis (Fig. 4c, c'). However, unlike *dronc* mutants or expression of Diap1, expression of P35 in *GMR* > *egr* still results in small adult eyes (compare Fig. 4d to Fig. 2l, m). This is not due to any artefacts induced by P35 itself because double RNAi knockdown of *drICE* and *dcp-1* or *drICE* mutants do not suppress, or even enhance, *GMR* > *egr*-induced eye ablation phenotype (Fig. 4e, f). These RNAi lines and mutants are functional because they can suppress *GMR-hid*-induced apoptosis (Supplementary Fig. S3). These suggest that inhibition of effector caspases, particularly DrICE, in *GMR* > *egr* may induce another form of cell death while it suppresses apoptosis.

To further characterize this nonapoptotic cell death, we performed labeling with propidium iodide (PI), a dye enters a cell and binds to its DNA when the cell membrane is



disrupted. Because loss of membrane integrity is a hallmark of necrosis, PI has been used to label necrotic cells (Supplementary Fig. S4a,b)^{46,47}. Interestingly, no PI-positive cells were detected in late 3rd instar larval GMR > egr disks with or without expression of P35 (Supplementary Fig. S4c, d). We then examined a later pupal stage (APF22h). In GMR >egr, a very low level of PI-labeling was observed (Fig. 4g, g'). Notably, most of these PI signals are unspecific because they do not co-localize with a DNA marker Hoechest (Fig. 4g, g'', arrows). In contrast, expression of P35 strongly induces PI-labeling in GMR > egr disks (Fig. 4h, h'). Importantly, majority of these PI signals co-localize with Hoechest-positive nuclei (Fig. 4h, h", arrowheads) suggesting that they specifically label membrane-compromised cells. As a control, expression of P35 alone does not induce PI-labeling (Fig. 4j). Altogether, these data suggest that Egr may induce necrosis when apoptosis is blocked. To further confirm this, we performed the Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) analysis on GMR > egr/GMR-p35 pupal eye disks. Compared with the wild-type control (Fig. 5a), cells with typical apoptotic features⁴⁸ such as high-electrondensity chromatin condensation and apoptotic bodies were frequently observed in GMR > egr disks (Fig. 5b, b'). In contrast, cells with typical necrotic features were observed in GMR > egr/GMR-p35 disks (Fig. 5c-c''). These cells have translucent cytoplasm, mal-shaped or unidentifiable nuclei, and aggregation of cellular organelles such as endoplasmic reticulum, which are characteristics of necrotic cell death^{48,49}. Therefore, necrosis is induced in GMR > egr/GMR-p35 pupal eye disks.

The initiator caspase Dronc mediates Eiger-induced necrosis

To determine how necrosis is activated when effector caspases are inhibited, we first examined PI-labeling in GMR > egr disks in a background of *dronc* mutants or with expression of the stabilized Diap1 (GMR-BIR). This is because both of them suppress GMR > egr-induced apoptosis upstream of effector caspases (Fig. 2l, m). Only a background level of PI-labeling was detected under these conditions (Fig. 4j). Strikingly, loss of one copy of dronc strongly suppresses the PI-labeling induced in GMR > egr/GMR-p35 (Fig. 4j). However, it only weakly affects GMR > egr-induced apoptosis (Fig. 6a, a') hence the eye ablation phenotype (Fig. 6b, h). Consistent with these observations, dronc heterozygous mutants strongly suppress the *GMR* > *egr/GMR-p35* small eyes (Fig. 6c, h). Therefore, Dronc is a key component mediating necrosis when GMR > egr-induced apoptosis is inhibited.

It is known that Dronc, the initiator caspase, cleaves its downstream effector caspases, e.g., DrICE and Dcp-1, to activate apoptosis in *Drosophila*^{33,50}. We thus examined whether the catalytic activity of Dronc is required for necrosis induced in *GMR* > *egr/GMR*-*p35*. To do this,





either a wild-type Dronc (dronc^{wt}) or a catalytic sitemutated form of Dronc (dronc^{C318A}) transgene was used⁵¹. As expected, expression of the wild-type Dronc in dronc^{+/-} GMR > egr/GMR-p35;animals restores PI-positive necrosis (Fig. 4i-i'', quantified in 4j) and, consequently, the eye ablation phenotype (Fig. 6d, h). In contrast, expression of *dronc*^{C318A} in the same background does not have such effects (Figs. 4i and 6e, h). As controls, expression of $dronc^{wt}$ or $dronc^{C318A}$ in a similar background without expression of egr does not result in small eyes (Fig. 6f, g). Notably, an eye pigmentation defect was observed when $dronc^{wt}$ is overexpressed (Fig. 6f), consistent with what has been previously reported^{33,50}. Altogether, these data suggest that the catalytic activity of Dronc is required for Egr-induced necrosis.

JNK signaling is required for Eiger- and Dronc-induced necrosis

Unlike GMR > egr, the eye ablation phenotype induced by expression of *hid*, e.g., *GMR-hid*, can be suppressed by expression of P35 (ref.²⁶) or reduction of DrICE and Dcp-1 (Supplementary Fig. S3). Therefore, factor(s) other than inhibition of apoptosis is required for induction of necrosis in *GMR* > *egr/GMR-p35*. Because Egr activates the JNK signaling upstream of *hid*-mediated apoptosis, we examined whether there is a nonapoptotic input from JNK contributing to induction of necrosis when apoptosis



(see figure on previous page)

Fig. 7. JNK signaling contributes to Eiger- and Dronc-induced necrosis when apoptosis is blocked. a–**h** Adult eye images. Heterozygous mutants of bsh^1 (**a**), hep^1 (**b**), $MKK4^{G680}$ (**c**) and $Tak1^2$ (**d**) can only weakly or moderately inhibit GMR > egr-induced eye ablation phenotype (compared with Fig. 2b). In contrast, GMR > egr/GMR-p35-induced small eyes are strongly suppressed by heterozygous mutants of bsh^1 (**e**), $MKK4^{G680}$ (**g**) and $Tak1^2$ (**h**), but not hep^1 (**f**) (compared with Fig. 4d). **i–I** Late 3rd instar larval eye disks labeled with Propidium lodide (PI). Compared with expression of a wild-type form of Dronc ($GMR > dronc^{wt}/+$, **i**), co-expression of Dronc and P35 (GMR > dronc/GMR-p35) induces PI-positive necrosis (arrowhead, **j**). In contrast, PI-labeling is not observed when a catalytic site-mutated form of Dronc is expressed instead ($GMR > dronc^{-C318A}/GMR-p35$, **k**). Loss of one copy of bsk ($bsk^{+/-}$) strongly suppresses necrosis induced in $GMR > dronc^{wt}/GMR-p35$ (**I**). **m** Quantification of PI-positive cell numbers in late 3rd instar larval eye disks of various genetic backgrounds as indicated. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni multiple comparison test was used to compute *p*-values. Asterisks indicate statistically significant changes (**** p < 0.0001). Loss of one copy of Tak1 ($Tak1^{+/-}$) or a Tak1 null mutant ($Tak1^{-/-}$) strongly suppresses necrosis in duced in $GMR > dronc^{wt}/GMR-p35$. **n** A diagram showing comparable molecular mechanisms of regulated necrosis in *Drosophila* and mammals. The *Drosophila* TNF (Egr), similar to its mammalian counterparts, has multiple context-dependent functions including induction of necrosis when apoptosis is blocked. In mammals, necrosis can occur when inhibition of caspase-8 on RIPK1 and RIPK3 is removed. JNK contributes to both apoptosis and necrosis. While in *Drosophila*, effector caspases DrICE and Dcp-1 inhibit Egr-induced necrosis. Once this inhibition is removed, the initiator caspase Dron

implicated in regulation of Egr/TNF-induced signaling responses although their exact roles remain to be determined (see "Discussion")

is blocked. Hypomorphic mutants of bsk, hep, MKK4 and Tak1, genes encoding various kinases in the JNK pathway⁵², were used. We observed that heterozygosity of these mutants can only moderately suppress the GMR >egr-induced eye ablation phenotype (compare Fig. 7a-d to Fig. 2b). In contrast, except hep^1 (Fig. 7f), heterozygosity of bsk¹, MKK4^{G680} or Tak1² mutants strongly suppresses the GMR > egr/GMR-p35 small eyes (compare Fig. 7e, g, h to Fig. 2b). Moreover, we observed that overexpression of Dronc in eye disks, i.e., GMR > dronc^{wt}, induces a moderate level of apoptosis (Supplementary Fig. S5a-c). It activates necrosis when apoptosis is blocked (compare Fig. 7j to 7i). In contrast, expression of the catalytic sitemutated form of Dronc does not induce necrosis (Fig. 7k). Because JNK is activated at a basal level in photoreceptor neurons during eye development 53 , we examined whether JNK is required for Dronc-induced necrosis. Although JNK activity is not further enhanced by overexpression of Dronc (Supplementary Fig. S5d-i), loss of one copy of bsk or Tak1, as well as the Tak1 null mutants, suppress necrosis in the $GMR > dronc^{wt}/GMR - p35$ disks (Fig. 7l, m). Therefore, in addition to its roles in apoptosis and development, JNK signaling also contributes to induction of necrosis (Fig. 7n).

Egr/JNK-mediated necrosis restricts the oncogenic potential of *scrib* mutant cells

To further determine roles of Egr/JNK-mediated necrosis under pathophysiological conditions, we examined mutants of *scrib*, a well-characterized tumor suppressor gene regulating the epithelial cell polarity^{54–56}. Loss of *scrib* leads to Egr-mediated activation of JNK (Supplementary Fig. S6)^{57,58}. Although animals entirely mutant for *scrib* die at the larval stage with massive tissue overgrowth⁵⁴, *scrib* mutant cells (*scrib*^{-/-} clones) in an otherwise wild-type tissue are eliminated via JNK-

mediated apoptosis⁵⁹. Consistent with these reports, we observed small *scrib* mutant clones in the wild-type larval eye disks (Fig. 8a). Interestingly, when p35 is expressed in *scrib*^{-/-} clones to inhibit apoptosis (*scrib*^{-/-}-p35 clones), these clones show no signs of overgrowth and their average size is close to the wild-type control (Fig. 8b, g). Therefore, apoptosis is not the only mechanism that restricts the oncogenic potential of scrib mutant cells. Compared with the scrib^{-/-} clones (Fig. 8a'), strong PIlabeling was detected in the *scrib*^{-/--}*p*35 clones (Fig. 8b').</sup> This suggests that necrosis is induced in these clones. In support of this, compared with adults with $scrib^{-/-}$ clones (Fig. 8d), over 90% of survived adults (n = 44) with *scrib*^{-/-}-*p*35 clones have eyes with necrotic patches (Fig. 8e). We then examined whether JNK is required for necrosis induced in scrib^{-/-}-p35 clones. Expression of bsk^{DN} in the $scrib^{-/-}-p35$ clones $(scrib^{-/-}-p35-bsk^{DN})$ clones) completely suppresses the PI-labeling (Fig. 8c'). This results in massively overgrown clones which occupy most of the entire eye disk in all samples we have examined (n > 50, Fig. 8c, g). Consequently, these animals are pupal lethal (Fig. 8f). Collectively, these data suggest that, similar to what we observed in the *GMR* > *egr/GMR*p35 disks, Egr/JNK-mediated necrosis is activated in scrib mutant cells when apoptosis is deficient. Importantly, in this situation, necrosis constrains the oncogenic tissue overgrowth.

Discussion

Our findings in this study suggest an analogy between *Drosophila* and mammals in regulation of TNF-induced apoptosis and necrosis (Fig. 7n). Caspases play critical roles in these processes. In mammals, caspase-8, an initiator caspase, exerts a permissive role on TNF-induced apoptosis but an inhibitory role on necrosis. Here, we report that both apoptosis and necrosis induced by Egr,



the Drosophila TNF, depend on the initiator caspase Dronc. Loss of one copy of *dronc* blocks necrosis but not apoptosis suggesting that different levels of Dronc are required for apoptosis versus necrosis. In contrast to Dronc, effector caspases DrICE and Dcp-1, particularly DrICE, appear to inhibit Egr-induced necrosis. Such inhibition can be released by either expression of the inhibitor P35 or reduction of DrICE and Dcp-1. However, inhibition of DrICE and Dcp-1 alone is not sufficient to trigger a switch from apoptosis to necrosis. It requires a further input from JNK signaling. Interestingly, the mammalian JNK has been implicated in promoting TNFinduced and Caspase-8-mediated apoptosis⁶⁰. It can also contribute to necrosis but the underlying mechanisms remain elusive^{61,62}. Therefore, JNK may have an evolutionarily conserved role in connecting activation of apoptosis and, if apoptosis fails, necrosis. Such interlinked apoptosis and necrosis may have pathophysiological implications because many human diseases including cancers are frequently associated with both apoptosis and necrosis^{63,64}. In this study, we observed that Egr/JNKmediated apoptosis and necrosis act as a two-layered defense system to restrict the oncogenic potential of scrib, a well-established tumor suppressor gene. It is therefore

Official journal of the Cell Death Differentiation Association

interesting to see whether apoptosis and necrosis cooperate to prevent tumorigenesis in mammals.

Another intriguing observation in our study is that expression of the full-length wild-type Dronc is sufficient to induce necrosis when apoptosis is blocked. Although the full-length Dronc is enzymatically inactive under physiological conditions, its overexpression triggers a moderate level of apoptosis (Supplementary Fig. S5b), which is probably due to spontaneous activation of the full-length Dronc⁵⁰. Suppression of this apoptosis by P35 results in a switch from apoptosis to necrosis (Fig. 7j). Interestingly, although expression of the proapoptotic genes such as hid activates Dronc and apoptosis^{33,50}, it does not seem to trigger necrosis when apoptosis is blocked. This suggests that activation of Dronc alone is not sufficient to induce necrosis. It has recently been reported that the subcellular localization of Dronc determines its apoptotic versus nonapoptotic functions^{65,66}. Therefore, overexpression of Dronc may allow its protein level in a particular subcellular domain to exceed a threshold thus trigger necrosis. This may not occur in GMR-hid because it activates but does not induce expression of Dronc (Supplementary Fig. S5i). Notably, the catalytic activity of Dronc is required for both Dronc- and Egr-induced necrosis. Hence, identification of the nonapoptotic substrates of Dronc may provide critical information to understand how Dronc mediates necrosis.

What are other factors that coordinate the inputs from caspases and JNK to differentiate apoptosis versus necrosis? This is an important question that remains largely open. In mammals, caspase-8 cleaves and inactivates RIPK1 and RIPK3 therefore inhibits TNFinduced necroptosis^{67,68}. However, no homologs of RIPK1 and RIPK3 have been reported in Drosophila. Notably, RIPK3 mediates TNF-induced necrosis at least partially via its role in regulation of energy metabolism and reactive oxygen species (ROS) production¹¹. The concept of metabolic checkpoints has been proposed to describe the complex roles of metabolism in regulation of cell death including necrosis⁶⁹. Intriguingly, a recent study in Drosophila also revealed roles of the energy metabolism pathways in regulation of GMR > egr-induced eye ablation phenotype⁴⁴. As we show in this study, expression of Egr can lead to distinct outcomes including apoptosis, nonapoptotic developmental defects and necrosis in a context dependent manner (Fig. 7n). It is therefore interesting to see whether the metabolism pathways regulate Egrinduced necrosis and, if yes, how they interact with caspases and JNK in this process. Furthermore, necrosis-like cell death in Drosophila has also been reported in the stressed larval brains⁴⁹, the developmental male testes^{70,71} and female ovaries⁷². In these forms of necrosis, effector caspases and the catalytic activity of Dronc are not required. Hence, future research is needed to understand how different types of necrosis are regulated at the molecular level. What's more, a series of intracellular events specific to necrosis, such as mitochondrial dysfunction, ATP depletion and increased cytosolic Ca²⁺, appear to be conserved in multiple organisms⁷³. Therefore, studies on various necrosis models in Drosophila will likely provide insights into understanding the molecular regulation of necrosis.

Material and methods

Drosophila genetics

Genetic crosses for all experiments were reared at 25 °C. *GMR-GAL4 UAS-egr* (*GMR* > *egr*)¹⁹, *GMR-hid*¹⁰ (ref.²⁹), *dcp-1*^{*Prev1*} (ref.⁷⁴), *drICE*^{Δ1} (ref.³¹), *dronc*¹²⁹ (ref.³⁶), *rpr*⁸⁷ (ref.⁴⁰), *XR38* (ref.³⁹), *hid*⁰⁵⁰¹⁴ (ref.²⁹), *GMR-BIR*³⁷, *GMRp35* (ref.²⁶), *hid*²⁰⁻¹⁰-*lacZ*³⁸, *rpr*^{*XRE*}-*lacZ*³⁸, *sev* > *Glu*^{*Lc46*}, *MKK4*^{G680} (ref.⁷⁵), *UAS-dronc*^{wt} and *UAS-dronc*^{C318A} (ref.⁵⁰), *UAS-bsk*^{DN} (on Chr.III) (ref.⁷⁶), *scrib*¹ and *scrib*² (refs.^{77,78}) were as described. *bsk*¹, *hep*¹, *Tak1*²⁵²⁷, *Tak1*² and *UAS-bsk*^{DN} (on Chr.X) were obtained from the Bloomington stock center. *UAS-drICE*^{*RNAi*} and *UAS-dcp-1*^{*RNAi*} were obtained from the NIG-Fly stock center. *UAS-egr^{RNAi}* (108814) was obtained from the VDRC stock center.

Mosaic analysis

For mosaic analysis with *hid* mutant clones, larvae of the following genotype were analyzed at the late 3rd instar larval stage: *ey-FLP/+; GMR* > *egr/+; FRT80B/hid*⁰⁵⁰¹⁴ *FRT80B.* For mosaic analyses with wild-type control, *scrib* mutant (*scrib*^{-/-}), *scrib*^{-/-}-*p*35 or *scrib*^{-/-}-*p*35-*bak*^{DN} clones, the following genotypes were used: (1) *ey-FLP/+; act* > *y*+> *GAL4 UAS-GFP/+; FRT82B/FRT82B tub-GAL80;* (2) *ey-FLP/+; act* > *y*+> *GAL4 UAS-GFP/+; FRT82B scrib*¹/*FRT82B tub-GAL80;* (3) *ey-FLP/+; act* > *y* +> *GAL4 UAS-GFP/UAS-p35; FRT82B scrib*¹/*FRT82B tub-GAL80;* (4) *ey-FLP/+; act* > *y*+> *GAL4 UAS-GFP/ UAS-p35; FRT82B scrib*¹ *UAS-bak*^{DN}/*FRT82B tub-GAL80.* Similar mosaic analyses with *scrib*² mutants showed comparable results.

Immunohistochemistry

Pupal or larval disks were dissected, fixed (with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature), and then labeled with antibodies using standard protocols as described³⁰. Primary antibodies used were rabbit anticDcp1 (the cleaved Dcp-1 antibody, 1:500, Cell Signaling), rabbit anti-phospho-JNK (pJNK, 1:200, Calbiochem), rat anti-ELAV, mouse anti-Dlg, mouse anti- β -Gal and mouse anti-MMP1 (all 1:50, DHSB). To generate the rabbit anti-Dronc antibody, the full-length dronc cDNA was amplified by PCR with primers F-5'CGGGATCCATG-CAGCCGCCGGAGCT3' and R-5'CGGAATTCCTATT CGTTGAAAAACCCGGGGATT3'. It was then subcloned into BamHI and EcoRI sites in pET-28a (Novagen) to produce a His-tagged recombinant protein. The purified recombinant protein was then inject into rabbits to generate a polyclonal antibody. The antisera were subsequently affinity purified. Secondary antibodies were goat Fab fragments conjugated to Alex488, 555, or 647 (all 1:1000) from Molecular Probes.

Propidium Iodidum (PI), Hoechst labeling and TUNEL

For PI and Hoechst double labeling on pupal disks, freshly dissected disks were incubated in dark with $4 \mu M$ PI (Sigma-Aldrich) and $16 \mu M$ Hoechst (ThermoFisher) in Schneider's media for 1 h at room temperature. The disks were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature followed by gentle washes as previously described⁴⁷. For PI labeling alone, larval or pupal eye disks were incubated with 15 μM PI for 10 min at room temperature followed by fixation (with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature followed by fixation (with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature) and gentle washes as previously described⁴⁶. PI labeling can also be observed and scored without fixation. For TUNEL (terminal deoxynucleotide transferase-mediated dUTP)

Imaging and statistical analysis

Fluorescent eye disk images were taken with either a Zeiss or Leica confocal microscope. Adult fly eye images were taken using a Zeiss stereomicroscope equipped with an AxioCam ICC1 camera. For statistical analysis of PIlabeling (Fig. 4j and 7m), at least 20 pupal or larval disks from each genotype were used for counting the number of PI-positive cells. For quantification of adult eye size (Fig. 6h), the "histogram" function in Adobe Photoshop CS was used to measure ten representative adult eyes of each genotype. For quantification of the clone/disk size ratio in late 3rd instar mosaic eye disks (Fig. 8g), the sizes of GFP-positive clones and the whole eve disk were measured using the "histogram" function in Adobe Photoshop CS before the ratio is calculated for each disk. Ten representative mosaic disks of each genotype were used. For all quantifications, the statistical significance was evaluated through a one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni multiple comparison test using GraphPad Prism.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

Freshly dissected pupal eye–brain complexes were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) for 45 min followed by a secondary fixation of 1% Osmium Tetroxide for 1 h at room temperature. The samples were then washed with the buffer $(10 \times 5 \text{ min})$ and dehydrated in ascending concentrations of ethanol before they were embedded in epoxy resin. Sections (90 nm) were prepared and stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate followed by examination using a JEOL 1200EX electron microscope fitted with a tungsten filament. Images were acquired through a GATAN Multi-Scan camera.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Paul Stanley and Theresa Morris at the Centre for Electron Microscopy in the University of Birmingham for their assistance on TEM. The confocal imaging was carried out with support of the Birmingham Advanced Light Microscopy (BALM) facility. We thank Kai Liu for advice on Pllabeling. We are grateful to Konrad Basler, Andreas Bergmann, Lei Liu, Pascal Meier, Mirka Uhlirova, the Bloomington Stock Center, the NIG-Fly stock center in Japan, the VDRC stock center in Vienna and the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB) in Iowa for fly stocks and reagents. M.L. is supported by the China Scholarship Council (CSC)-Birmingham joint PhD program. Y.F. is supported by Grant BB/M010880/1 from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) UK and the Marie Curie Career Integration Grant (CIG) 630846 from the European Union's Seventh Framework Program (FP7).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-019-1862-0).

Received: 15 April 2019 Revised: 31 July 2019 Accepted: 1 August 2019 Published online: 14 August 2019

References

- Fuchs, Y. & Steller, H. Programmed cell death in animal development and disease. *Cell* 147, 742–758 (2011).
- Kumar, S. Caspase function in programmed cell death. *Cell Death Differ.* 14, 32–43 (2007).
- Conrad, M., Angeli, J. P., Vandenabeele, P. & Stockwell, B. R. Regulated necrosis: disease relevance and therapeutic opportunities. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 15, 348–366 (2016).
- Degterev, A. & Yuan, J. Expansion and evolution of cell death programmes. Nat. Rev. 9, 378–390 (2008).
- Vanden Berghe, T., Kaiser, W. J., Bertrand, M. J. M. & Vandenabeele, P. Molecular crosstalk between apoptosis, necroptosis, and survival signaling. *Mol. Cell Oncol.* 2 (2015).
- Aggarwal, B. B., Gupta, S. C. & Kim, J. H. Historical perspectives on tumor necrosis factor and its superfamily: 25 years later, a golden journey. *Blood* **119**, 651–665 (2012).
- Brenner, D., Blaser, H. & Mak, T. W. Regulation of tumour necrosis factor signalling: live or let die. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 15, 362–374 (2015).
- Kantari, C. & Walczak, H. Caspase-8 and bid: caught in the act between death receptors and mitochondria. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1813, 558–563 (2011).
- Cho, Y. et al. Phosphorylation-driven assembly of the RIP1-RIP3 complex regulates programmed necrosis and virus-induced inflammation. *Cell* 137, 1112–1123 (2009).
- He, S. D. et al. Receptor interacting protein kinase-3 determines cellular necrotic response to TNF-alpha. *Cell* **137**, 1100–1111 (2009).
- Zhang, D. W. et al. RIP3, an energy metabolism regulator that switches TNF-induced cell death from apoptosis to necrosis. *Science* **325**, 332–336 (2009).
- Galluzzi, L. et al. Molecular mechanisms of cell death: recommendations of the Nomenclature Committee on Cell Death 2018. *Cell Death Differ.* 25, 486–541 (2018).
- Hayden, M. S., West, A. P. & Ghosh, S. NF-kappaB and the immune response. Oncogene 25, 6758–6780 (2006).
- Wajant, H., Pfizenmaier, K. & Scheurich, P. Tumor necrosis factor signaling. *Cell Death Differ.* 10, 45–65 (2003).
- Sabio, G. & Davis, R. J. TNF and MAP kinase signalling pathways. Semin. Immunol. 26, 237–245 (2014).
- Sedger, L. M. & McDermott, M. F. TNF and TNF-receptors: from mediators of cell death and inflammation to therapeutic giants—past, present and future. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 25, 453–472 (2014).
- Kalliolias, G. D. & Ivashkiv, L. B. TNF biology, pathogenic mechanisms and emerging therapeutic strategies. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 12, 49–62 (2016).
- Igaki, T. et al. Eiger, a TNF superfamily ligand that triggers the Drosophila JNK pathway. *EMBO J.* 21, 3009–3018 (2002).
- Moreno, E., Yan, M. & Basler, K. Evolution of TNF signaling mechanisms: JNKdependent apoptosis triggered by Eiger, the Drosophila homolog of the TNF superfamily. *Curr. Biol.* **12**, 1263–1268 (2002).
- Kanda, H., Igaki, T., Kanuka, H., Yagi, T. & Miura, M. Wengen, a member of the Drosophila tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, is required for Eiger signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 28372–28375 (2002).
- Andersen, D. S. et al. The Drosophila TNF receptor Grindelwald couples loss of cell polarity and neoplastic growth. *Nature* 522, 482–486 (2015).
- Igaki, T. & Miura, M. The Drosophila T. N. F. ortholog Eiger: emerging physiological roles and evolution of the TNF system. *Semin. Immunol.* 26, 267–274 (2014).
- Agrawal, N. et al. The Drosophila TNF Eiger is an adipokine that acts on insulin-producing cells to mediate nutrient response. *Cell Metab.* 23, 675–684 (2016).

- Fogarty, C. E. et al. Extracellular reactive oxygen species drive apoptosisinduced proliferation via drosophila macrophages. *Curr. Biol.* 26, 575–584 (2016).
- Ma, X. et al. NOPO modulates Egr-induced JNK-independent cell death in Drosophila. *Cell Res.* 22, 425–431 (2012).
- Hay, B. A., Wolff, T. & Rubin, G. M. Expression of baculovirus P35 prevents cell death in Drosophila. *Development* 120, 2121–2129 (1994).
- Fan, Y. & Bergmann, A. The cleaved-Caspase-3 antibody is a marker of Caspase-9-like DRONC activity in Drosophila. *Cell Death Differ.* 17, 534–539 (2010).
- Sarkissian, T., Timmons, A., Arya, R., Abdelwahid, E. & White, K. Detecting apoptosis in Drosophila tissues and cells. *Methods* 68, 89–96 (2014).
- Grether, M. E., Abrams, J. M., Agapite, J., White, K. & Steller, H. The head involution defective gene of Drosophila melanogaster functions in programmed cell death. *Genes Dev.* 9, 1694–1708 (1995).
- Fan, Y. & Bergmann, A. Multiple mechanisms modulate distinct cellular susceptibilities toward apoptosis in the developing Drosophila eye. *Dev. Cell* 30, 48–60 (2014).
- Muro, I. et al. The Drosophila caspase Ice is important for many apoptotic cell deaths and for spermatid individualization, a nonapoptotic process. *Development* 133, 3305–3315 (2006).
- Xu, D. et al. The effector caspases drICE and dcp-1 have partially overlapping functions in the apoptotic pathway in Drosophila. *Cell Death Differ.* 13, 1697–1706 (2006).
- Hawkins, C. J. et al. The Drosophila caspase DRONC cleaves following glutamate or aspartate and is regulated by DIAP1, HID, and GRIM. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 27084–27093 (2000).
- McEwen, D. G. & Peifer, M. Puckered, a Drosophila MAPK phosphatase, ensures cell viability by antagonizing JNK-induced apoptosis. *Development* 132, 3935–3946 (2005).
- Narasimamurthy, R. et al. Structure-function analysis of Eiger, the Drosophila TNF homolog. *Cell Res.* 19, 392–394 (2009).
- Xu, D., Li, Y., Arcaro, M., Lackey, M. & Bergmann, A. The CARD-carrying caspase Dronc is essential for most, but not all, developmental cell death in Drosophila. *Development* 132, 2125–2134 (2005).
- Hay, B. A., Wassarman, D. A. & Rubin, G. M. Drosophila homologs of baculovirus inhibitor of apoptosis proteins function to block cell death. *Cell* 83, 1253–1262 (1995).
- Fan, Y. et al. Dual roles of Drosophila p53 in cell death and cell differentiation. Cell Death Differ. 17, 912–921 (2010).
- Peterson, C., Carney, G. E., Taylor, B. J. & White, K reaper is required for neuroblast apoptosis during Drosophila development. *Development* 129, 1467–1476 (2002).
- Moon, N. S. et al. E2F and p53 induce apoptosis independently during Drosophila development but intersect in the context of DNA damage. *PLoS Genet.* 4, e1000153 (2008).
- Stronach, B. & Perrimon, N. Activation of the JNK pathway during dorsal closure in Drosophila requires the mixed lineage kinase, slipper. *Genes Dev.* 16, 377–387 (2002).
- Cagan, R. L. & Ready, D. F. The emergence of order in the Drosophila pupal retina. *Dev. Biol.* **136**, 346–362 (1989).
- Wolff, T. & Ready, D. F. Pattern formation in the Drosophila retina. Vol. II (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 1993).
- Kanda, H., Igaki, T., Okano, H. & Miura, M. Conserved metabolic energy production pathways govern Eiger/TNF-induced nonapoptotic cell death. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 18977–18982 (2011).
- Riedl, S. J., Renatus, M., Snipas, S. J. & Salvesen, G. S. Mechanism-based inactivation of caspases by the apoptotic suppressor p35. *Biochemistry* 40, 13274–13280 (2001).
- Yang, Y., Hou, L., Li, Y., Ni, J. & Liu, L. Neuronal necrosis and spreading death in a Drosophila genetic model. *Cell Death Dis.* 4, e723 (2013).
- Obata, F. et al. Induction of rapid and selective cell necrosis in Drosophila using Bacillus thuringiensis Cry toxin and its silkworm receptor. *BMC Biol.* 13, 48 (2015).
- Vandenabeele, P., Galluzzi, L., Vanden Berghe, T. & Kroemer, G. Molecular mechanisms of necroptosis: an ordered cellular explosion. *Nat. Rev.* 11, 700–714 (2010).
- Kuang, C. et al. A novel fizzy/Cdc20-dependent mechanism suppresses necrosis in neural stem cells. *Developmet* 141, 1453–1464 (2014).
- Meier, P., Silke, J., Leevers, S. J. & Evan, G. I. The Drosophila caspase DRONC is regulated by DIAP1. *Embo J.* **19**, 598–611 (2000).

- 51. Chew, S. K. et al. The apical caspase dronc governs programmed and unprogrammed cell death in Drosophila. *Dev. Cell* **7**, 897–907 (2004).
- 52. Igaki, T. Correcting developmental errors by apoptosis: lessons from Drosophila JNK signaling. *Apoptosis* **14**, 1021–1028 (2009).
- Willsey, H. R. et al. Localized JNK signaling regulates organ size during development. *Elife* 5 (2016).
- 54. Bilder, D., Li, M. & Perrimon, N. Cooperative regulation of cell polarity and growth by Drosophila tumor suppressors. *Science* **289**, 113–116 (2000).
- Bergstralh, D. T. & St Johnston, D. Epithelial cell polarity: what flies can teach us about cancer. *Essays Biochem.* 53, 129–140 (2012).
- Elsum, I., Yates, L., Humbert, P. O. & Richardson, H. E. The Scribble-Dlg-Lgl polarity module in development and cancer: from flies to man. *Essays Biochem.* 53, 141–168 (2012).
- Cordero, J. B. et al. Oncogenic Ras diverts a host TNF tumor suppressor activity into tumor promoter. *Dev. Cell* 18, 999–1011 (2010).
- Igaki, T., Pastor-Pareja, J. C., Aonuma, H., Miura, M. & Xu, T. Intrinsic tumor suppression and epithelial maintenance by endocytic activation of Eiger/TNF signaling in Drosophila. *Dev. Cell* 16, 458–465 (2009).
- Brumby, A. M. & Richardson, H. E. scribble mutants cooperate with oncogenic Ras or Notch to cause neoplastic overgrowth in Drosophila. *EMBO J.* 22, 5769–5779 (2003).
- Wang, L, Du, F. & Wang, X. TNF-alpha induces two distinct caspase-8 activation pathways. *Cell* 133, 693–703 (2008).
- Ventura, J. J., Cogswell, P., Flavell, R. A., Baldwin, A. S. Jr. & Davis, R. J. JNK potentiates TNF-stimulated necrosis by increasing the production of cytotoxic reactive oxygen species. *Genes Dev.* 18, 2905–2915 (2004).
- Sosna, J. et al. TNF-induced necroptosis and PARP-1-mediated necrosis represent distinct routes to programmed necrotic cell death. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 71, 331–348 (2014).
- Hanahan, D. & Weinberg, R. A. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell* 144, 646–674 (2011).
- Najafov, A., Chen, H. & Yuan, J. Necroptosis and Cancer. Trends Cancer 3, 294–301 (2017).
- Kang, Y., Neuman, S. D. & Bashirullah, A. Tango7 regulates cortical activity of caspases during reaper-triggered changes in tissue elasticity. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 603 (2017).
- 66. Amcheslavsky, A. et al. Plasma membrane localization of apoptotic caspases for non-apoptotic functions. *Dev. Cell* **45**, 450–464 (2018). e453.
- Lin, Y., Devin, A., Rodriguez, Y. & Liu, Z. G. Cleavage of the death domain kinase RIP by Caspase-8 prompts TNF-induced apoptosis. *Genes Dev.* 13, 2514–2526 (1999).
- Feng, S. S. et al. Cleavage of RIP3 inactivates its caspase-independent apoptosis pathway by removal of kinase domain. *Cell. Signal.* 19, 2056–2067 (2007).
- Green, D. R., Galluzzi, L. & Kroemer, G. Metabolic control of cell death. *Science* 345, 1466–+ (2014).
- Yacobi-Sharon, K., Namdar, Y. & Arama, E. Alternative germ cell death pathway in Drosophila involves HtrA2/Omi, lysosomes, and a caspase-9 counterpart. *Dev. Cell* 25, 29–42 (2013).
- Napoletano, F. et al. p53-dependent programmed necrosis controls germ cell homeostasis during spermatogenesis. *PloS Genet.* 13, e1007024 (2017).
- 72. Bass, B. P. et al. Cell-autonomous requirement for DNasell in nonapoptotic cell death. *Cell Death Differ.* **16**, 1362–1371 (2009).
- Golstein, P. & Kroemer, G. Cell death by necrosis: towards a molecular definition. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 32, 37–43 (2007).
- Laundrie, B. et al. Germline cell death is inhibited by P-element insertions disrupting the dcp-1/pita nested gene pair in Drosophila. *Genetics* 165, 1881–1888 (2003).
- Geuking, P., Narasimamurthy, R., Lemaitre, B., Basler, K. & Leulier, F. A nonredundant role for Drosophila Mkk4 and hemipterous/Mkk7 in TAK1-mediated activation of JNK. *PloS ONE* 4, e7709 (2009).
- Uhlirova, M. & Bohmann, D. JNK- and Fos-regulated Mmp1 expression cooperates with Ras to induce invasive tumors in Drosophila. *EMBO J.* 25, 5294–5304 (2006).
- Perez, E., Lindblad, J. L. & Bergmann, A. Tumor-promoting function of apoptotic caspases by an amplification loop involving ROS, macrophages and JNK in Drosophila. *Elife* 6, e26747 (2017).
- Zeitler, J., Hsu, C. P., Dionne, H. & Bilder, D. Domains controlling cell polarity and proliferation in the Drosophila tumor suppressor Scribble. J. Cell Biol. 167, 1137–1146 (2004).