The spliceosome-associated protein NrI1 suppresses homologous recombination-dependent R-loop formation in fission yeast

Lucia Aronica^{1,2,*}, Torben Kasparek³, David Ruchman¹, Yamile Marquez⁴, Lubos Cipak⁵, Ingrid Cipakova⁵, Dorothea Anrather⁶, Barbora Mikolaskova⁵, Maximilian Radtke¹, Sovan Sarkar³, Chen-Chun Pai³, Elizabeth Blaikley³, Carol Walker³, Kuo-Fang Shen⁷, Renee Schroeder¹, Andrea Barta⁴, Susan L. Forsburg⁷ and Timothy C. Humphrey^{3,*}

¹Department of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Max F. Perutz Laboratories, Vienna A-1030, Austria, ²Department of Oncology, Stanford University, Stanford 94305, USA, ³CRUK/MRC Oxford Institute for Radiation Oncology, Oxford OX37DQ, UK, ⁴Department of Medical Biochemistry, Max F. Perutz Laboratories, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna A-1030, Austria, ⁵Cancer Research Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava 81438, Slovakia, ⁶Max F. Perutz Laboratories, Mass Spectrometry Facility, Vienna A-1030, Austria and ⁷University of Southern California, Los Angeles 90089-0911, USA

Received March 25, 2015; Revised November 17, 2015; Accepted December 03, 2015

ABSTRACT

The formation of RNA-DNA hybrids, referred to as R-loops, can promote genome instability and cancer development. Yet the mechanisms by which Rloops compromise genome instability are poorly understood. Here, we establish roles for the evolutionarily conserved Nrl1 protein in pre-mRNA splicing regulation, R-loop suppression and in maintaining genome stability. $nrl1\Delta$ mutants exhibit endogenous DNA damage, are sensitive to exogenous DNA damage, and have defects in homologous recombination (HR) repair. Concomitantly, $nrl1\Delta$ cells display significant changes in gene expression, similar to those induced by DNA damage in wild-type cells. Further, we find that $nrl1\Delta$ cells accumulate high levels of R-loops, which co-localize with HR repair factors and require Rad51 and Rad52 for their formation. Together, our findings support a model in which **R-loop accumulation and subsequent DNA damage** sequesters HR factors, thereby compromising HR repair at endogenously or exogenously induced DNA damage sites, leading to genome instability.

INTRODUCTION

Genome instability in the form of increased rates of mutations or chromosomal aberrations is a hallmark of most tumor cells and a key factor in cancer development, progression and prognosis (1). While dysfunctional DNA repair is a well recognized cause of genome instability (2), it is becoming increasingly appreciated that defects in mRNA biogenesis may also destabilize genomes through the formation of mutagenic structures referred to as R-loops (3). R-loops are three-stranded structures, which form during transcription when the nascent mRNA hybridizes to the complementary DNA template, forming an RNA/DNA hybrid and a displaced DNA strand (4). Through direct promotion of DNA damage (5) and indirect effects on gene expression (6–10), R-loops lead to different forms of genome instability. The genome-threatening effects of R-loops also play a role in tumor development (11–15), but the underlying mechanism is poorly understood.

Pre-mRNA splicing is a key process in genome maintenance (16,17), as reflected by its disruption in various cancer types (18). Increasing evidence suggests that splicing factors, R-loop suppression and DNA repair interface with each other in a coordinated manner to safeguard genome stability. Splicing factors can not only prevent R-loop formation (19), but also promote homologous recombination (HR) repair (20,21). Conversely, HR factors can both repress and promote R-loop levels in the cell (12,22), and interact both physically and functionally with the splicing machinery (23,24). These findings suggest that perturbation of splicing may lead to genome instability by inducing both accumulation of R-loops and defects in DNA repair in the cell.

In this study we show that the evolutionarily conserved protein Nrll associates with the spliceosome, affects pre-

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +44 1865 617327; Fax: +44 1865 617318; Email: timothy.humphrey@oncology.ox.ac.uk Correspondence may also be addressed to Lucia Aronica. Tel: +1 650 724 3439; Email: laronica@stanford.edu

© The Author(s) 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Nucleic Acids Research.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

mRNA splicing of a subset of genes and non-coding RNAs, and contributes to genome stability by both suppressing R-loops and promoting HR repair in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Our findings suggest a model in which R-loop formation acts to sequester the HR machinery, thus leading to inhibition of HR repair. As the human ortholog of Nrl1 is down-regulated and associated with Copy Number Loss (CNL) in cancer (25,26), this mechanism may have important implications for the emerging yet still elusive role of R-loops in cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains, media and growth conditions

The genotypes of the strains used in this study are listed in the Supplementary Table S6. Strains carrying a deletion or a TAP-tagged version of *nrl1* have been constructed as described in (27) and (28), respectively. The strains were grown at 30°C in standard yeast extract with supplements (YE6S), minimal medium (EMM), or Pombe Minimal Glutamate (PMG), and crosses were performed at 25°C. For spot assays exponential phase cultures were serially diluted 5-fold, spotted onto the indicated media in the presence or absence of genotoxic drugs and incubated at 32°C for 3 days before analysis. For irradiation experiments, cells were grown to mid-log phase and exposed to 100 Gy of gamma irradiation (3.3 Gy/min, for 30 min). After irradiation cells were recovered for 30 min at 30°C. For plasmid rescue experiments PIRT3-based plasmids containing a LEU2 gene were transformed into leu1-32 strains and selected on leucinedeficient media before being spotted onto YE6S plates as indicated.

Colony sectoring and DSB assay

The sectoring assay was performed as previously described (29). The minichromosome Ch¹⁶-LMYAU was crossed into wild-type and $nrll \Delta$ strains from a donor strain. Cells were grown on selective media with thiamine $(2\mu M)$ to repress HO expression from rep81X-nmt-HO (Leu+) integrated into SPCC1795.09 on the left arm of Ch¹⁶-LMYAU. Cells were then diluted in MQ water and ~ 100 cells plated onto sectoring plates (containing either EMM+ arginine (15 mg/l), histidine (15 mg/l), uracil (15 mg/l), leucine (15 mg/l), and adenine (5 mg/l) with and without thiamine (break off/on) to identify break-dependent, and independent Ch¹⁶ loss. To detect break-induced LOH, cells were treated as above but grown in the absence of leucine to select for the left arm of the minichromosome. Plates were incubated for 56 h at 32°C and stored for 48 h at 4°C before being scored for the presence of sectored colonies. Results were confirmed by repeating the assay two further times.

Site-specific DSB assay

The DSB assay was performed as previously described (29). Wild-type and $nrll\Delta$ strains containing the minichromosome Ch¹⁶-RMYAH and either p28 (rep81X-HO) or p40 (rep81X) were grown exponentially in EMM liquid culture (with appropriate supplements to select for the plasmid

while allowing for loss of Ch^{16} -RMYAH) for 48 h in the absence of thiamine to induce expression of HO endonuclease The percentage of colonies undergoing NHEJ/SCR (R⁺ Y^R A⁺ H⁺), GC (R⁺ Y^S A⁺ H⁺), Ch¹⁶ loss (R⁻ Y^S A⁻ H⁻) and extensive break-induced LOH (R⁺ Y^S A⁻ H⁻) were calculated. To determine the levels of break-induced GC, Ch¹⁶ loss and LOH; background events at 48 h in a blank vector assay were subtracted from break-induced events in cells transformed with rep81X-HO. Each experiment was performed three times using three independently derived strains. A minimum of 1000 colonies were scored for each strain.

Protein purification and LC-MS/MS analysis

Isolation of Nrl1-TAP associated proteins, proteolytic digest (trypsin) and chromatographic separation of the peptides were performed as previously described (30) (Supplemental Methods). Raw data were searched with MaxQuant 1.5.1.2 (31) against the *S. pombe* database (http://www. pombase.org/) with tryptic specificity, 5 ppm precursor tolerance, 20 ppm fragment ion tolerance, filtered for 1% FDR on peptide and protein level.

Yeast two-hybrid assay

All constructs were made using vectors supplied in the Matchmaker GAL4 2-hybrid system (Clontech). Twohybrid DNA-binding domain (BD) constructs were made in the pAS2–1 vector containing the *TRP1* gene for selection on tryptophan-deficient media and activation domain (AD) constructs were made in the pGADT7 vector containing the *LEU2* gene for selection on leucine-deficient media. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain PJ69–4A was cotransformed simultaneously with both AD and BD constructs by the lithium acetate method as described in the Yeast Protocols Handbook of the Matchmaker system (Clontech). Cotransformants growing on both –Ade and –His selective media were assayed for β-galactosidase activity.

RNAseq library preparation and bioinformatic analysis

WT, $nrl1\Delta$, WT+IR and $nrl1\Delta$ +IR strand specific cDNA libraries were prepared with lexogen SENSE protocol using poly(A)⁺ RNA as previously described (32). Two biological replicates were used for each sample and libraries were sequenced using the Illumina platform. The resulting paired end sequencing reads (100-bp long) of each sample and biological replicate were aligned independently using Tophat v2.0.11. The following (not default) parameters were used for performing the alignment: -i 30, -I 2000, -p 16, -a 15 – library-type fr-firststrand, -b2-very-sensitive, -microexonsearch and -G (gene annotation *S. pombe* ASM294v2).

Splicing analysis of WT and $nrl1\Delta$ was performed using the splice junctions predicted by Tophat. Only those introns that present at least two unique reads in both biological replicates were used for further analysis. Introns were classified as new if they were not included in the gene annotation (ASM294v2). To determine differences in intron splicing, the PSI (percentage of spliced in) was calculated by using uniquely mapped splice junction and exonic reads.

Only those changes over 15% (Δ PSI > 15) and a *P*-value ≤ 0.05 between WT and *nrl1* Δ are illustrated in Figure 5 and listed in Supplementary Table S3. For obtaining differentially expressed genes between a pair of samples (Supplementary Tables S5.1–S5.5) Cuffquant and Cuffdiff from the Cufflinks v2.2.1 package were used.

Nuclear Spreading and Indirect Immunofluorescence

Chromosome spreads were performed as previously described (33). For R-loop detection, slides were incubated with the mouse monoclonal antibody S9.6-kind gift of N. Proudfoot (Sir William Dunn School of Pathology, UK) and L. Székvölgyi (University of Debrecen, Hungary)-as previously described (34). For RNase H controls, slides were incubated with 2 U of RNase H (Roche) and 1 µg RNase A (Roche) in PBS buffer for 2 h prior to antibody treatment. For co-localization analysis cells were grown in YE6S medium at 25°C in a shaking incubator for \sim 20 h to reach mid-log phase and then were treated with or without 3 µM Bleomycin at 25°C for 4 h before harvesting. Primary antibodies (S9.6, KeraFAST; rabbit anti-GFP antibody ab290, Abcam Inc) at 1:500 dilution and secondary antibodies (approciate Cy2 conjugated Donkey anti-Mouse IgG2 antibody, Jackson; Texas Red conjugated Goat anti Rabbit IgG antibody; Jackson) were used in these experiments. After a final wash step, the slides were mounted with $\sim 10 \,\mu l$ of mounting medium containing DAPI (Invitrogen).

Image collection

Images were acquired with a DeltaVision Core widefield deconvolution microscope (Applied Precision, Issaquah, WA, USA) using an Olympus 603/1.40, PlanApo, NA = 1.40 objective lens and a 12-bit Photometrics CoolSnap HQII CCD, deep- cooled, Sony ICX-285 chip. The system x-y pixel size is 0.1092 mm x-y. softWoRx v4.1 (Applied Precision) software was used at acquisition electronic gain = 1.0 and pixel binning 1 3 1. Excitation illumination was from a solid-state illuminator (seven-color version); Cv2 was detected with a 0.1-s exposure; Texas Red was detected with a 0.1-s exposure; DAPI was detected with a 0.2-s exposure. Suitable polychroic mirror Semrock DAPI/FITC/A594/Cy5 API#52-852112-000 bs generally: 433/55-522/34-593/64-655LPish was used. Twelve z sections at 0.4 mm were acquired. Three-dimensional stacks were deconvolved with manufacturer-provided optical transfer function using a constrained iterative algorithm and images were maximum- intensity projected. Images were contrast adjusted using a histogram stretch with an equivalent scale and gamma for comparability.

RESULTS

Nrl1 associates with the spliceosome and affects pre-mRNA splicing

In the worm *Caenorabditis elegans* the protein NRDE-2 is required for nuclear RNAi silencing by a mechanism based on inhibition of transcription elongation (35). We performed a computational analysis of NRDE-2, and identified homologous proteins in other eukaryotes, including human (Supplementary Figure S1). Surprisingly, these

NRDE-2 like factors were unrelated to any known RNAi factors but were structurally similar to splicing proteins (Supplementary Figure S2).

To explore the function of NRDE-2 factors further, we studied the NRDE-2 like gene SPBC20F10.05, which we named *nrl1* (*NR*DE-2 *like* 1), in the tractable model organism *S. pombe* (Figure 1A). Nrl1 and its worm and human orthologs share a conserved domain of unknown function and a common three-dimensional structure containing Half-A-Tetratricopeptide (HAT) motifs, which closely resembles the domain architecture of pre-mRNA processing and splicing factors such as Syf1 and Syf3 (36) (Figure 1A, Supplementary Figure S2).

To analyze Nrl1 in its cellular context, we isolated Nrl1associated factors by tandem affinity purification (TAP) of Nrl1-TAP tagged strains, and identified the purified proteins by mass spectrometry (MS) (28,37). We performed TAP purification both in presence and absence of RNase A to distinguish between core complex proteins and factors indirectly binding to Nrl1 through RNA-mediated interaction (Figure 1B, Supplementary Figure S3, Table S1). Nrl1 copurified with an RNA-resistant core complex consisting of the pre-mRNA processing factors Mtl1 and Ctr1 and an RNA-sensitive sub-complex including components of the U2·U5·U6 spliceosome and Prp19 complexes (38). However, we also performed yeast-two-hybrid analysis and found that Nrl1 interacts directly not only with Mtl1 and Ctr1 but also with the splicing proteins Ntr2 and Syf3, which is structurally related to Nrl1 (Figure 1C, Supplementary Figure S2, Table S2). This suggests that the RNAbinding proteins Ntr2 and Syf3 represent bridge binders between an RNA-independent core complex (Nrl1-Mtl1-Ctr1) and an RNA-dependent sub-complex consisting of other splicing and RNA-processing factors. In contrast, no RNAi factor copurified with Nrl1 (Supplementary Table S4). These findings are consistent with a recent publication in which Nrl1 was shown to interact with spliceosome components (39), and further identify splicing proteins Ntr2 and Syf3 as proteins attracting Nrl1 into the spliceosome.

To gain functional insights into the role of Nrll, we created an *nrll* deletion mutant $(nrll \Delta)$ by replacing the nrl1⁺gene with a natMX4 drug resistance cassette (strains 16594-5), and analyzed its phenotype. To explore a possible role for Nrl1 in pre-mRNA splicing we performed high-throughput paired-end sequencing of polyA+-selected mRNA (RNA-Seq) from wild-type and $nrl1 \Delta$ cells. To determine differences in intron splicing, the PSI was calculated by dividing the number of uniquely mapped exonic reads by the sum of uniquely mapped exonic reads and uniquely mapped splice junction reads spanning exon-exon borders. An intron was considered to be differentially spliced if there was a difference in its retention of more than 15% (ΔPSI > 15 with a *P*-value < 0.05) between wild-type and $nrll \Delta$ cells. All introns obtained in our RNA-seq experiments were evaluated, and 43 introns in protein-coding genes and non-coding RNAs met the criteria mentioned above (Supplementary Figure S4, Table S3). The affected genes were involved in a variety of processes including cellular transport and metabolism, transcription regulation and pre-mRNA processing. Together, these findings indicate that Nrl1 physically and functionally associates with the splicing machin-



Figure 1. Nrll associates with spliceosome proteins. (A) Comparison of *S. pombe* (Spo) Nrll, *C. elegans* (Cele) and *Homo sapiens* (Hs) NRDE-2 like proteins. HAT = halfa-tetratricopeptide domain. (B) Nrll-associated proteins were isolated from exponentially growing WT cells harboring a TAP-tagged *nrll* allele (17106) in the presence or absence of RNase A by tandem affinity purification and identified by mass spectrometry (MS) analysis. A core complex consisting of Nrl1, Mtl1, Ctr1, Ntr2 and Syf3 associates through RNA-dependent interactions with the spliceosome. Blue: Nrl1; pink: splicing factors; orange: mRNA processing factors. Only the top 30 proteins based on spectral counting are shown. (C) Yeast-two-hybrid interaction map of Nrl1 interactome. All constructs were made using vectors supplied in the Matchmaker GAL4 2-hybrid system (Clontech). Two-hybrid DNA-binding domain (BD) constructs were made in the pAS2–1 vector containing the *TRP1* gene for selection on tryptophan-deficient media and activation domain (AD) constructs were made in the pGADT7 vector containing the *LEU2* gene for selection on leucine-deficient media.

ery and its loss results in changes in the splicing patterns of a subset of introns.

nrl1 deletion leads to accumulation of endogenous DNA damage

Unexpectedly, $nrll \Delta$ cells exhibited several markers of endogenous DNA damage. $nrll \Delta$ cells were elongated (average cell length = 16.4 μ m) compared with wild-type cells (average length = $10.8 \mu m$) with a subpopulation of giant cells (3–5% of cells) reaching 30–50 µm and exhibiting nuclear fragmentation together with chromatin hypercondensation (Figure 2A, left). This elongated phenotype is frequently observed in cells accumulating unrepaired DNA lesions, which activate DNA damage checkpoint pathways to delay the cell cycle and provide an opportunity for DNA repair (40). We therefore tested whether the depletion of the G2/M checkpoint kinase Chk1 or the intra-S phase checkpoint kinase Cds1 could attenuate the elongated cell phenotype of $nrll\Delta$. We found $nrll\Delta chkl\Delta$ double mutants but not $nrll \Delta cdsl \Delta$ double mutants exhibited a cell length comparable to wild-type (average length of 9.8 µm and 15.8 µm, respectively) with no detectable giant cells. This finding indicates that loss of Nrl1 activates the DNA damage checkpoint resulting in Chk1-triggered G2/M arrest (Figure 2A, right). We next assayed Chk1 activation by Rad3catalyzed phosphorylation, which is evident as a mobility shift on western blots (41,42) (Supplementary Figure S5). While Chk1 activity was detected in response to MMS in both wild-type and $nrl1\Delta$ cells, no Chk1 activity was detected in untreated cells. Thus, it is likely that Chk1 activation is triggered endogenously in a minority of cells reflecting the elongated G2-arrested subpopulation of $nrll \Delta$.

To investigate the nature of the endogenous DNA damage observed in *nrl1* Δ , we measured the accumulation of spontaneous Rad52 DNA repair foci in wild-type and *nrl1* Δ strains bearing a yellow fluorescent protein tagged Rad52 (Rad52-YFP) (Figure 2B). Rad52 is a repair protein, which accumulates at DNA lesions to facilitate repair of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) through the HR pathway (43– 45). Strikingly, a significant increase in Rad52-YFP foci was observed in *nrl1* Δ (23%, *P* < 0.05) compared with wild-type (7%) under normal growth conditions. Together these observations indicate that loss of Nrl1 leads to endogenous DNA damage, accumulation of Rad52-bound DNA lesions and activation of the G2/M checkpoint.

Further, $nrll\Delta$ cells were hypersensitive to exogenous DNA damage as shown by treatment with the genotoxic drugs bleomycin (Bleo), methylmethane sulphonate (MMS), and camptothecin (CPT) (Figure 2C). In contrast, $nrll\Delta$ cells were not hyper-sensitive to hydroxyurea (not shown). Notably, $nrll\Delta$ rad3 Δ and $nrll\Delta$ rad52 Δ double mutants were synthetically sick and exhibited even greater sensitivity to DNA damage than single mutants (Supplementary Figure S6). These observations confirm that in the absence of Nrl1 cells accumulate unrepaired DNA damage, which further sensitizes HR and checkpoint mutants involved in the DNA damage response.

Nrl1 is required for efficient DSB repair by homologous recombination

The accumulation of Rad52 foci in response to endogenous DNA damage and *nrl1* Δ hypersensitivity to exogenous DNA insults suggested that Nrl1 might be required for efficient DNA DSB repair. To explore a possible role for Nrl1 in DSB repair, we employed a previously described colonysectoring assay to allow rapid visualization of defects in repair of a broken nonessential minichromosome (Ch¹⁶-LMYAU) following site-specific DSB induction by the HO endonuclease (29). Consistent with a role for Nrl1 in DSB repair, *nrl1* Δ cells exhibited elevated levels of break-induced minichromosome loss and break-induced chromosomal rearrangements resulting in loss of heterozygosity (LOH) as determined by the break-induced sectoring assay (Supplementary Figure S7A–B)

To quantify DSB repair in $nrl1\Delta$ cells DSB-induced marker loss was assessed using a DSB assay in which a previously described minichromosome (Ch16-RMYAH) was cleaved uniquely at the MATa target site following HO endonuclease derepression from a plasmid (pREP81X-HO) (46) (Figure 3A). Following break induction by thiamine depletion, cells were plated onto YE6S plates and colonies were replica plated to selective plates to determine the marker loss profile for each colony (Figure 3B). The DSB repair profile indicated that colonies exhibiting a gene conversion (GC) phenotype (arg⁺ hyg^s, ade⁺ his⁺) were significantly reduced in an *nrl1* Δ background (49%) compared to wild-type levels (72%, P < 0.05). Compared with wildtype, $nrl1\Delta$ also showed a significant increase in failed repair events resulting in both Ch¹⁶ loss (arg⁻ hyg^s, ade⁻ his⁻ colonies: $nrll \Delta = 29\%$, wild-type = 18%; P < 0.01) and LOH phenotype (arg⁺ hyg^S ade⁻ his⁻ colonies: $nrl1\Delta$ = 18%, wild type = 5%; P < 0.01). No significant difference in levels of arg⁺ hyg^R ade⁺ his⁺ colonies, arising from Non Homologous End Joining (NHEJ)/sister chromatid recombination (SCR), was observed (*nrl1* $\Delta = 10\%$, wild-type 8%). The low levels of GC and high levels of both failed DSB repair (Ch¹⁶ loss) and misrepair (LOH) indicate that Nrl1 is required for efficient HR repair of DSBs and thereby for maintaining genome stability.

Loss of Nrl1 results in prolonged accumulation of RPA and Rad52 foci upon DNA damage

The first key step in HR repair is sensing the presence of DSBs to recruit the effectors of the DNA damage response (DDR). Rad11 (RPA1), is a component of the replication protein A (RPA) complex which binds to single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) at resected DSBs, thereby promoting DNA damage sensing (47). To analyze whether Nrl1 might be required for this early step of the HR pathway, we measured the kinetics of recruitment and unloading of Rad11 by fluorescence microscopy in wild-type and $nrl1\Delta$ cells bearing a green fluorescent protein tagged Rad11 (Rad11-GFP), following exposure to 50 Gy of ionizing radiation (IR) (Figure 4A). Remarkably, Rad11 foci, which tailed off 90 min after irradiation in wild-type cells, persisted at very high levels up to 5 h after irradiation (Rad11 positive cells: WT = 19%, $nrl1 \Delta = 50\%$). The dramatic increase and prolonged persistence of Rad11 foci in $nrl1\Delta$ cells suggested that the down-



Figure 2. $nrll^+$ deletion leads to accumulation of endogenous DNA damage and sensitivity to genotoxic agents. (A) Top: Depiction of DNA-damage induced cell cycle arrest and elongated cell morphology. Bottom: Fluorescent microscopy analysis of DAPI-stained wild-type (WT) (TH8342), $nrll\Delta$ (TH8341), $nrll\Delta chk1$ (5972) and $nrll\Delta cds1$ (16594). Arrowheads indicate abnormally elongated cells displaying chromatin fragmentation. Scale bar = 10 μ m. (B) Left: Fluorescent microscopy analysis of DAPI-stained Rad52-YFP harboring WT and $nrll\Delta$ cells. Right: Quantification of cells with one or more Rad52-YFP foci. Mean and standard deviation were scored from five experiments, n > 200. The asterisk (*) indicates a significant difference compared with WT as determined by Wilcoxon signed rank test (P = 0.0313). (C) $nrll\Delta$ strains are hypersensitive to genotoxic agents. Five-fold serial dilutions of WT (TH2094) and $nrll\Delta$ (TH8103) on YE6S, methyl methanesulfonate (MMS), bleomycin (Bleo) and camptothecin (CPT) at indicated concentrations.

stream HR repair response might also be impaired in *nrl1* Δ cells. We therefore analyzed foci accumulation of yellow fluorescent protein tagged Rad52 (Rad52-YFP) and cyan fluorescent protein tagged Rad51 (Rad51-CFP) in wild-type and *nrl1* Δ cells following exposure to IR (50 Gy). Rad52 facilitates the displacement of Rad11 from ssDNA and its replacement with Rad51, the central recombinase of the HR pathway, which catalyzes strand invasion into homologous sequences during GC events (48). Consistent with the increase of Rad11 foci, Rad52 foci persisted at very high lev-

els up to 5 h after irradiation (Rad52 positive cells: WT = 17%, *nrl1* Δ = 49%) (Figure 4B). In contrast, despite peaking at 30 min after irradiation in both wild-type and *nrl1* Δ cells, overall Rad51-CFP foci formation was considerably lower in *nrl1* Δ cells (35%) compared with wild-type cells (65%; Figure 4C). Importantly, this phenotype was not due to a decrease of Rad51 protein, as levels of Rad51-eCFP were comparable between wild-type and *nrl1* Δ cells (Figure 4D). This finding indicates that Nrl1 is required for efficient loading of Rad51 at DSBs, which is consistent with the sig-



Figure 3. Nrl1 is required for efficient DSB repair by Homologous Recombination. (A) Schematic of the minichromosome Ch¹⁶-RMYAH and possible outcomes following DSB induction at *MAT*a target site (scissors). (B) Quantitative DSB assay. Percentage of DSB-induced marker loss in WT Ch¹⁶-RMYAH transformed with pREP81X-HO (TH4104, TH4121–2) or pREP81X (TH4125) and *nrl1* Δ Ch¹⁶-RMYAH transformed with pREP81X-HO (TH8913–5) or pREP81X (TH8916–8) backgrounds. Means \pm standard errors of three experiments are shown. The asterisk (*) represents significant difference compared with WT (*P* < 0.01). NHEJ: Non Homologous End Joining; SCR: sister chromatid recombination; Ch loss: chromosome loss; LOH: loss of heterozygosity.

nificantly reduced levels of Rad51-mediated GC observed in $nrl1\Delta$ compared to wild-type cells following DSB induction.

We therefore tested whether Nrll could directly recruit Rad51 or other DDR factors to DSBs. To this end, we examined Nrl1-associated proteins from Nrl1-TAP strains exposed to IR (100 Gy). However, no new protein or DNA repair factor was found to interact with Nrl1 upon exposure to IR compared with untreated cells (Supplementary Table S4). This indicates that Nrl1 is not required to directly recruit DDR factors to sites of DNA damage and that other mechanisms underlie its requirement for efficient Rad51 loading at DSBs.

Nrl1 depletion and DNA damage result in similar transcriptional changes

Given its association with spliceosomal factors, we examined whether Nrl1 might indirectly affect HR repair by affecting the splicing or expression of DDR genes. We therefore tested the splicing of intron-containing HR transcripts whose proteins act upstream of Rad51 loading, including $rad11^+$, $rad55^+$, $rad57^+$ and $swi5^+$, in untreated and irradiated (IR; 100 Gy) wild-type and $nrl1\Delta$ cells by RT-PCR. None of these genes showed differential splicing in $nrl1\Delta$ (Supplementary Figure S8). Next, we performed RNA-Seq of polyA+ selected mRNA from irradiated cells (wildtype+IR, $nrl1\Delta$ +IR; 100 Gy) and compared the sequencing data with those obtained from untreated cells (wild-type,



Figure 4. Loss of Nrl1 results in prolonged accumulation of RPA and Rad52 foci upon DNA damage. (A) *nrl1* Δ cells show persistently high levels of Rad11 foci upon DNA damage. Left: Rad11-GFP-tagged WT (TH2151) and *nrl1* Δ (TH8125) cells were grown in YE6S until exponential phase, treated with 50 Gy of IR and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy (100x; Phase/DAPI and GFP). Right: Quantitation of cells with Rad11-GFP foci at indicated time points. (B) *nrl1* Δ shows persistently high levels of Rad52 foci upon DNA damage. Left: Rad52-YFP tagged WT (TH8906) and *nrl1* Δ (TH8907) cells were treated as in (A) and analyzed by fluorescent microscopy (100x; Phase/DAPI and eCFP). Right: Quantitation of cells with Rad52-YFP foci at indicated time points. (C) *nrl1* Δ show decreased level of Rad51 foci upon DNA damage. Left: Rad51-eCFP tagged WT (TH3607) and *nrl1* Δ (TH8123) cells were treated as in (A) and analyzed by fluorescent microscopy (100x; Phase/DAPI and eCFP). Right: Quantitation of cells with Rad51-eCFP foci at indicated time points. (C) *nrl1* Δ show decreased level of Rad51 foci upon DNA damage. Left: Rad51-eCFP tagged WT (TH3607) and *nrl1* Δ (TH8123) cells were treated as in (A) and analyzed by fluorescent microscopy (100x; Phase/DAPI and eCFP). Right: Quantitation of cells with Rad51-eCFP foci at indicated time points. (D) Protein levels of Rad51 are not affected in *nrl1* Δ cells. Western blot of Rad51-eCFP tagged WT (TH3607) and *nrl1* Δ (TH8123) cells in the presence (+) or absence (-) of bloomycin (bleo, 3 μ M).

 $nrl1\Delta$). In both irradiated and untreated cells, nrl1 deletion did not affect the expression of any known DNA repair genes (Supplementary Table S5.1, Table S5.4). In contrast, in untreated cells, $nrl1\Delta$ induced profound transcriptional changes, which were remarkably similar to those induced by IR in wild-type cells. A total of 231 genes were differentially expressed between wild-type and $nrl1\Delta$, whereby 85 genes displayed similar transcriptional changes to $nrll \Delta$ compared to wild-type +IR cells (85 out of 153, 55%; r = 0.86, *P*-value < 2.2e-16; Figure 5, Supplementary Table S5.1, Table S5.3). Notably, this common fraction of genes affected in both $nrll \Delta$ and wild type +IR is significantly higher than the previously reported fraction of genes affected in both wild-type cells exposed to IR and wild-type cells treated with the alkylating drug MMS (30%, *P*-value < 4.5e-11) (49). Consistent with these findings, only 42 genes were differentially expressed in $nrl1 \Delta$ +IR compared with $nrl1\Delta$ (Supplementary Table S5.4), while no genes showed significant expression changes in wild-type +IR compared with $nrl1 \Delta$ +IR (Supplementary Table S5.5). These findings indicate that the absence of Nrl1 results in transcriptional changes similar to those induced by IR.

Nrl1 prevents HR-dependent R-loop accumulation

The DNA damage-like transcriptional profile of $nrll\Delta$ and the association of Nrl1 with the splicing machinery suggested that $nrll\Delta$ might accumulate endogenous DNA lesions in the form of R-loops. R-loops are genomethreatening structures consisting of an RNA:DNA hybrid and a displaced ssDNA, which can arise from defects in splicing (19). We therefore sought to analyze R-loop formation in $nrll\Delta$. To this end we performed immunostaining on chromosome spreads from wild-type and $nrll\Delta$ using the mouse monoclonal S9.6 antibody, which recognizes RNA/DNA duplexes (50). $nrll\Delta$ cells showed a dramatic



Figure 5. $nrl1\Delta$ displays DNA damage-associated transcriptional changes. Venn diagram of differentially expressed genes between WT versus $nrl1\Delta$ (red circle) and WT versus WT+IR (blue circle). Eighty five differentially expressed genes were shared between both comparisons. The log2 fold changes of those 85 genes are shown in the scatter plot below (Pearson correlation coefficient, r = 0.86). Down-regulated genes are depicted in red dots while up-regulated genes are depicted in green dots. Black dots are genes that are differentially expressed but are not congruent in both comparisons.

increase in R-loop accumulation (53%) when compared with wild-type cells (7%; P = 0.01; Figure 6A–B, Supplementary Figure S6). Furthermore, this increase was even higher upon exposure to IR (wild-type = 10%, $nrl1\Delta$ = 71%; P = 0.003). The observed immunostaining signals were sensitive to pre-treatment with RNase H, which specifically degrades RNA/DNA hybrids, thus confirming these foci as R-loops (Figure 6A). These findings indicate that $nrl1\Delta$ accumulates R-loops.

We next tested whether R-loops might be a source of endogenous DNA damage in $nrl1\Delta$. A prediction from this was that removal of the R-loop degrading enzymes RNase H1 and RNase H2 should result in synthetic growth defects and enhanced sensitivity to DNA damage in an $nrl1\Delta$ background due to increased accumulation of RNA/DNA hybrids. Consistent with this prediction, we found that crossing $nrl1\Delta$ with $rnh1\Delta$ gave a reduced number of viable progeny compared to that expected, and the triple mutant $nrl1\Delta rnh1\Delta rnh201\Delta$ was obtained much less frequently. Accordingly, we found that $nrl1\Delta rnh1\Delta rnh2\Delta$ exhibited acute sensitivity to bleocin (Figure 6C). Thus R-loops are the likely source of DNA damage in $nrl1\Delta$.

These findings raised the intriguing hypothesis that HR proteins may bind R-loops in $nrl1\Delta$ thus explaining the increased levels of endogenous Rad52 foci and long-term persistence of Rad11 and Rad52 foci following IR. We therefore analyzed the localization of HR proteins in relation to R-loops by immunostaining in WT and $nrll \Delta$ strains harboring a Rad11-GFP, Rad52-YFP or Rad51-CFP protein by co-immunostaining both in the absence and presence of DNA damage (Figure 7A–C). We observed approximately 25% colocalization between RNA:DNA hybrids and each of these HR factors in the absence of exogenous DNA damage in both wild-type and $nrl1\Delta$. Strikingly, in the presence of bleomycin, the percentage of RNA:DNA hybrids associating with HR proteins increased dramatically-in both wild-type and *nrll* Δ for Rad11 (wt = 75%, *nrll* Δ = 94%, Figure 7A) and Rad51 (wt = 82%, $nrl1\Delta$ = 91%, Figure 7B), but only in *nrl1* Δ in the case of Rad52 (wt = 36%, $nrl1 \Delta = 92\%$, Figure 7C). These findings indicate that, despite their different pattern of foci formation, Rad11, Rad52 and Rad51 similarly associate with R-loops in $nrl1\Delta$ upon DNA damage.

We next analyzed whether HR factors might associate with R-loops to facilitate their formation. Rad51 and Rad52 have recently been shown to catalyze R-loop formation in pre-mRNA processing mutants in *S. cerevisiae* (22). We therefore tested whether HR factors might similarly mediate R-loop formation in the absence of Nr11 in *S. pombe*. Strikingly, R-loop formation was significantly decreased in both *nrl1* Δ *rad51* Δ (3%; *P* = 0.01) and *nrl1* Δ *rad52* Δ (6%; *P* = 0.02) mutants compared to *nrl1* Δ (53%; Figure 7D). The increased IR-induced R-loop formation in an *nrl1* Δ background also required both Rad51 and Rad52 (Figure 6C; Supplementary Figures S9 and S10). These findings indicate that HR proteins promote R-loop formation in *nrl1* Δ .

The accumulation of HR-dependent R-loops in $nrl1\Delta$ suggested that R-loops or their associated DNA damage might sequester HR factors from exogenous DNA damage lesions thus explaining the HR repair defect in $nrl1\Delta$ cells. A prediction from this sequestration model was that overexpression of Rad51 would rescue $nrl1\Delta$ sensitivity to DNA damage. Consistent with this, we found that Rad51 over-expression (Rad51OP) following transformation of $nrl1\Delta$ cells with pIRT-Rad51 plasmid, but not with empty vector, partially suppressed the bleocin sensitivity of $nrl1\Delta$ (Figure 7E). These findings support a model, in which the HR repair defects in $nrl1\Delta$ are due to sequestration of HR factors at sites of R-loop formation.

DISCUSSION

We have investigated the function of the evolutionarily conserved Nrll protein in fission yeast, and identified its role in



Figure 6. Nr11 prevents R-loop accumulation. (A) Immunofluorescence analysis of RNA–DNA hybrids in chromosome spreads from WT (TH8342) and *nrl1* Δ (16581) using the mouse monoclonal S6.9 antibody. As negative control, the spreads were pre-treated with RNase H (+RNase H) before immunostaining as previously described (34). +IR: The cells were exposed to 100 Gy of IR before immunostaining. (B) Quantification of the R-loop positive nuclei in A. Mean and standard deviation were scored from triplicate experiments, n > 200. The asterisks (*) indicate significant differences compared with WT as determined by paired T-test (*P = 0.01, **P = 0.003). (C) *nrl1* Δ becomes hypersensitive to bleocin in the absence of Rnh1 and Rnh201. Fivefold serial dilution of *nrl1* Δ (TH8341) *nnh1* Δ *rnh201* Δ (TH8743) *and nrl1* Δ *rnh201* Δ (TH8904) in the absence and presence of bleocin (0.2 µg/ml).



Figure 7. R-loops associate with and are dependent on HR factors in $nrl1\Delta$. (A) Immunostaining images of RNA/DNA hybrids in relation to rad11-GFP foci in a wild-type (TH2151) and $nrl1\Delta$ (TH8125) in the absence (left panels) and presence (right panels) of bleomycin (3 μ M) for 4 h at 25 °C. (B) Immunostaining images of RNA/DNA hybrids in relation to Rad52-YFP foci in a wild-type (TH8096) and $nrl1\Delta$ (TH8097) in the absence (left panels) and presence (right panels) of bleomycin (C) Immunostaining images of RNA/DNA hybrids in relation to Rad51-eCFP foci in a wild-type (TH3047) and $nrl1\Delta$ (TH8123) in the absence (left panels) and presence (right panels) of bleomycin (C) Immunostaining images of RNA/DNA hybrids in relation to Rad51-eCFP foci in a wild-type (TH3607) and $nrl1\Delta$ (TH8123) in the absence (left panels) and presence (right panels) of bleomycin. Bars, 5 μ m. (D) Quantification of R-loop positive nuclei in $nrl1\Delta$, $rad51\Delta$, $rad52\Delta$, $rad51\Delta$ nrl1 Δ and $rad52\Delta$ nrl1 Δ . Mean and standard deviation were scored from triplicate experiments, n > 200. The asterisks (*) indicate significant differences compared with $nrl1\Delta$ as determined by paired T-test (*P = 0.01, **P = 0.02). (E) Overexpression of Rad51 (Rad510P) suppresses the bleocin sensitivity of $nrl1\Delta$. Five-fold serial dilution of wild-type (TH351), $nrl1\Delta$ (TH2841) and $rad51\Delta$ (TH2801) strains transformed with either pIRT3 (vector) or pIRT3-Rad51 (Rad510P) as indicated, and spotted onto YE6S or YE6S in the presence of 0.3 μ g/ml bleocin.

both efficient pre-mRNA splicing and maintaining genome stability through the suppression of R-loops and the promotion of efficient HR repair.

We found that Nrl1 forms a core complex with the mRNA processing factors Mtl1 and Ctr1 and the splicing factors Ntr2 and Syf3, which mediate the interaction between the core complex and the spliceosome. Moreover, deletion of *nrl1*⁺ led to significant changes in splicing patterns at several genomic loci, thus identifying a link between Nrl1 and pre-mRNA splicing. A possible role of Nrl1 in premRNA splicing is also supported by a study from Lee and colleagues, in which Nrll was shown to interact with splicing factors and regulate the splicing of non-annotated introns of several developmental genes and retrotransposons (39). While our findings broadly concur with those of Lee et al., who reported that nrll deletion affected the splicing of 135 non-annotated introns, we detected a lower number of differentially spliced introns in our study, with significant changes in splicing at 10 newly identified non-annotated introns out of 43 introns differentially spliced in $nrl1\Delta$ compared with wild-type. These differences may reflect differences in growth media, bioinformatic selection criteria (see Methods) and the fact that Lee et al. used a different genetic background $(nrl1 \Delta rrp6 \Delta)$ for their study. These findings raise important questions as to how Nrl1 functionally interacts with the splicing machinery to influence the splicing of this subset of introns. Whether Nrl1 binds directly to the affected pre-mRNAs, and how its loss interferes with splicing dynamics will be the subject of future studies.

Splicing mutants have previously been shown to promote R-loop formation (19). In line with a role for Nrl1 in premRNA splicing, we additionally found that loss of Nrl1 resulted in a remarkably high degree of R-loop formation. In this respect, Nrl1 may suppress R-loops by ensuring timely processing of pre-mRNAs, thus reducing their ability to rehybridize with the DNA template, as has been shown for the splicing factor ASF/SF2 (19). Concerning the nature of R-loop-induced DNA damage in $nrl1\Delta$, our data suggest that the displaced ssDNA at R-loops may facilitate DNA damage checkpoint activation thus leading to cell elongation, accumulation of endogenous Rad52 foci and a DNA damage-like transcriptional response. It would be interesting to determine the genomic distribution of R-loops accumulating in $nrl1\Delta$, as R-loops form at different loci in different RNA processing mutants. While wild-type cells accumulate R-loops on actively transcribed protein-coding genes and ribosomal DNA regions, RNase H mutants display high levels of R-loops at tRNA genes, retrotransposons and mitochondrial genes in S. cerevisiae (51). In contrast, defects in the R-loop helicase Sen1 induces R-loop formation on short and actively transcribed genes, in line with the transcription termination function of Sen1 at these loci (52,53). To provide further functional insights into how Nrl1 suppresses R-loop formation it would be interesting to determine whether R-loops in $nrl1 \Delta$ cells form at splice sites, such as those detected in this study and reported by Lee et al. (39) or at highly transcribed genes in the presence or absence of DNA damage.

In addition to its role in R-loop suppression, we found that Nrl1 is required for genome mainteinance. $nrl1\Delta$ displayed sensitivity to the DNA damaging agents MMS,



Figure 8. Model depicting the impact of Nrl1 loss on HR repair and genome stability. Loss of Nrl1 results in inefficient splicing, leading to HR-dependent R-loop formation and endogenous DNA damage. HR factors are sequestered at R-loops or associated sites of endogenous DNA damage and genome instability. See text for details.

bleomycin and CPT and defective DSB repair by HR- with significantly reduced gene conversion, increased chromosome loss and extensive chromosomal rearrangements leading to loss of heterozygosity, as determined by the DSB assay. Moreover, *nrl1* Δ displayed prolonged accumulation of Rad11 and Rad52 foci, and reduced formation of Rad51 foci following IR compared with wild-type. Concomitantly, we found that exposure to DNA damage results in significantly increased levels of both R-loops and their colocalization with Rad11, Rad52 and Rad51 in *nrl1* Δ . Finally, consistent with these findings, we identified a role for the HR machinery in facilitating R-loop formation in *nrl1* Δ cells, with loss of either Rad51 or Rad52 abrogating R-loop formation.

From our findings we propose the following model to explain the role of Nrl1 in R-loop suppression, genome stability and HR repair: changes in pre-mRNA processing, arising either directly or indirectly through loss of Nrl1, result in increased R-loop accumulation. HR factors facilitate this process, and are hence sequestered to sites of R-loop formation and/or R-loop induced DNA damage. This, in turn, leads to both elevated levels of endogenous DNA damage and defects in HR-repair of exogenous DNA insults (Figure 8). In support of this model, rad51 overexpression alleviates the sensitivity of $nrll\Delta$ to genotoxic agents, suggesting that an excess of Rad51 may increase the pool of free proteins, thus rescuing the function of the sequestered form. This sequestration model explains why Nrl1 is required for efficient HR repair despite not interacting directly with HR factors nor affecting their expression or splicing. This model also provides a unifying mechanism to explain the composite genome instability phenotype of $nrl1\Delta$. In this respect, the finding that R-loop formation increases after IR exposure in $nrll \Delta$ may reflect an increased HR activity following DNA damage, with subsequent promotion of R-loop formation and/or stability. Consistent with this is the observation that the levels of colocalization between HR factors and R-loops significantly increase upon DNA damage in $nrl1\Delta$. Alternatively, the increased levels of R-loops following IR may result directly from DNA damage-induced blocking of RNA polymerase progression, which may potentially increase R-loop formation in the presence of defective splicing in $nrll \Delta$. In agreement with a role for HR factors in R-loop formation is also the aberrant pattern of HR protein foci observed in $nrll \Delta$ upon IR exposure- with prolonged accumulation of Rad11 and Rad52 foci, and reduced formation of Rad51 foci. We speculate that while Rad11, Rad52 and Rad51 are similarly recruited to nascent R-loops to facilitate their formation, only Rad11 and Rad52 may bind to secondary lesions arising from R-loops. These regions are likely to consist of ssDNA stretches, and may no longer associate with Rad51 either because they are not substrates, or because they are subject to repair through a Rad52-dependent and Rad51-independent pathway such as single strand annealing (SSA). In favor of this hypothesis, Rad52 co-localizes with R-loops upon DNA damage only in $nrl1\Delta$, which is consistent with the increased levels of endogenous Rad52 foci and possibly indicates persistent binding at R-loop associated DNA lesions. In addition, $nrl1 \Delta rad52 \Delta$ double mutants exhibit increased sensitivity to bleomycin compared to the parental strains. As Rad52 is required for R-loop formation in $nrl1\Delta$, this increased sensitivity may arise from the formation of a genotoxic R-loop precursor in $nrl1 \Delta rad52 \Delta$ cells, which cannot be repaired in the absence of Rad52. Notably, R-loop induced DNA damage in sen1 S. cerevisiae mutants is also associated with Rad52 foci accumulation and repair through the HR pathway (53).

These observations are in line with previous findings that mutations in pre-mRNA processing factors can result in Rloop formation (10,11,19) and genome instability (5,11-15), and that this process is dependent on Rad51 and Rad52 in S. cerevisiae (22). Therefore, our data suggest an evolutionarily conserved role for HR in facilitating R-loop formation in pre-mRNA processing mutants. Further, our findings that deletion of the RNase H1 and H2 genes sensitized $nrll \Delta$ cells to bleomycin closely mirror those of Lazzaro et al. (2012), which show that budding yeast strains lacking both RNase H1 and H2 as well as Rad51 (*rnh1\Delta rnh201\Delta* $rad51\Delta$) are sensitive to genotoxins, and that loss of RAD52 is lethal in $rnh1\Delta$ $rnh201\Delta$ strains (54). Finally, a recent study by Keskin et al. identified a similar link between Rloops and the HR machinery by showing that RNase H not only degrades mutagenic R-loops but also inhibits RNAtemplated HR repair (55). RNA-DNA hybrids may thus act as a double-edged sword in HR repair: they may promote HR repair through RNA-templated HR repair across a break-site as reported by Keskin et al., but they may also compromise it when present at multiple different genomic loci by sequestering HR factors as proposed here.

Taken together, our data provide the first evidence that the spliceosome-associated factor Nrll can promote both HR repair and R-loop suppression, and suggest a mechanism of genome instability through R-loop mediated sequestration of HR factors. According to our model, the emerging yet elusive function of R-loops in tumor development may underlie both direct promotion of DNA damage, as shown previously (5), and indirect inhibition of HR repair. These findings therefore suggest how the human homolog of Nrl1 is implicated in cancer (25,26), and provide mechanistic insights into the oncogenic effects of R-loops (11–15).

ACCESSION NUMBERS

The mass spectrometry (MS) proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium (56) via the PRIDE partner repository (http://www.pride-project.eu) with the data set identifier PXD001583. The RNA-Seq data are available in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/) under accession PRJEB7937.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank N. Proudfoot and L. Székvölgyi for antibodies (S9.6). We thank Margarita Schlackow and Monika Gullerova for helpful discussions, and Hannah Mischo for critical reading of the manuscript. The data deposition to the ProteomeXchange Consortium was supported by PRIDE Team, EBI.

FUNDING

Austrian Science Fund (FWF) [T527-B11 to L.A.; F43-P10 to A.B.]; Medical Research Council [MC_PC_12003 to T.H., S.S., C.C.P., E.B., C.W.]; Cancer Research UK [H3RPUX00 to T.K.; People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) European Union's Seventh Framework Programme [REA grant agreement No. 609427]; Slovak Academy of Sciences; Slovak Research and Development Agency [contract no. APVV-0111–12 and VEGA grant no. 2/0014/14 to L.C.]; National Institute of Health (NIH) [R01-GM059321 and R01-GM111040 to S.L.F.].

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

- 1. Hanahan, D. and Weinberg, R.A. (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell*, **144**, 646–674.
- Malkova, A. and Haber, J.E. (2012) Mutations arising during repair of chromosome breaks. *Annu. Rev. Genet.*, 46, 455–473.
- Skourti-Stathaki,K. and Proudfoot,N.J. (2014) A double-edged sword: R loops as threats to genome integrity and powerful regulators of gene expression. *Genes Dev.*, 28, 1384–1396.
- Aguilera, A. and Garcia-Muse, T. (2012) R loops: from transcription byproducts to threats to genome stability. *Mol. Cell*, 46, 115–124.
- Hamperl,S. and Cimprich,K.A. (2014) The contribution of co-transcriptional RNA:DNA hybrid structures to DNA damage and genome instability. *DNA Repair (Amst)*, 19, 84–94.
- Ginno, P.A., Lim, Y.W., Lott, P.L., Korf, I. and Chedin, F. (2013) GC skew at the 5' and 3' ends of human genes links R-loop formation to epigenetic regulation and transcription termination. *Genome Res.*, 23, 1590–1600.

- Sun,Q., Csorba,T., Skourti-Stathaki,K., Proudfoot,N.J. and Dean,C. (2013) R-loop stabilization represses antisense transcription at the Arabidopsis FLC locus. *Science*, **340**, 619–621.
- Chan, Y.A., Aristizabal, M.J., Lu, P.Y., Luo, Z., Hamza, A., Kobor, M.S., Stirling, P.C. and Hieter, P. (2014) Genome-wide profiling of yeast DNA: RNA hybrid prone sites with DRIP-chip. *PLoS Genet.*, 10, e1004288.
- Skourti-Stathaki,K., Proudfoot,N.J. and Gromak,N. (2011) Human senataxin resolves RNA/DNA hybrids formed at transcriptional pause sites to promote Xrn2-dependent termination. *Mol. Cell*, 42, 794–805.
- Chan, Y.A., Hieter, P. and Stirling, P.C. (2014) Mechanisms of genome instability induced by RNA-processing defects. *Trends Genet.*, 30, 245–253.
- Stirling, P.C., Chan, Y.A., Minaker, S.W., Aristizabal, M.J., Barrett, I., Sipahimalani, P., Kobor, M.S. and Hieter, P. (2012) R-loop-mediated genome instability in mRNA cleavage and polyadenylation mutants. *Genes Dev.*, 26, 163–175.
- Bhatia, V., Barroso, S.I., Garcia-Rubio, M.L., Tumini, E., Herrera-Moyano, E. and Aguilera, A. (2014) BRCA2 prevents R-loop accumulation and associates with TREX-2 mRNA export factor PCID2. *Nature*, 511, 362–365.
- Chernikova,S.B., Razorenova,O.V., Higgins,J.P., Sishc,B.J., Nicolau,M., Dorth,J.A., Chernikova,D.A., Kwok,S., Brooks,J.D., Bailey,S.M. *et al.* (2012) Deficiency in mammalian histone H2B ubiquitin ligase Bre1 (Rnf20/Rnf40) leads to replication stress and chromosomal instability. *Cancer Res.*, **72**, 2111–2119.
- Ruiz, J.F., Gomez-Gonzalez, B. and Aguilera, A. (2011) AID induces double-strand breaks at immunoglobulin switch regions and c-MYC causing chromosomal translocations in yeast THO mutants. *PLoS Genet.*, 7, e1002009.
- Jackson, B.R., Noerenberg, M. and Whitehouse, A. (2014) A novel mechanism inducing genome instability in Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infected cells. *PLoS Pathog.*, 10, e1004098.
- Paulsen, R.D., Soni, D.V., Wollman, R., Hahn, A.T., Yee, M.C., Guan, A., Hesley, J.A., Miller, S.C., Cromwell, E.F., Solow-Cordero, D.E. *et al.* (2009) A genome-wide siRNA screen reveals diverse cellular processes and pathways that mediate genome stability. *Mol. Cell*, 35, 228–239.
- Lenzken,S.C., Loffreda,A. and Barabino,S.M. (2013) RNA splicing: a new player in the DNA damage response. *Int. J. Cell Biol.*, 2013, 153634.
- Sato,Y., Yoshizato,T., Shiraishi,Y., Maekawa,S., Okuno,Y., Kamura,T., Shimamura,T., Sato-Otsubo,A., Nagae,G., Suzuki,H. *et al.* (2013) Integrated molecular analysis of clear-cell renal cell carcinoma. *Nat. Genet.*, 45, 860–867.
- Li,X. and Manley,J.L. (2005) Inactivation of the SR protein splicing factor ASF/SF2 results in genomic instability. *Cell*, **122**, 365–378.
- Rajesh,C., Baker,D.K., Pierce,A.J. and Pittman,D.L. (2011) The splicing-factor related protein SFPQ/PSF interacts with RAD51D and is necessary for homology-directed repair and sister chromatid cohesion. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **39**, 132–145.
- Adamson,B., Smogorzewska,A., Sigoillot,F.D., King,R.W. and Elledge,S.J. (2012) A genome-wide homologous recombination screen identifies the RNA-binding protein RBMX as a component of the DNA-damage response. *Nat. Cell Biol.*, 14, 318–328.
- 22. Wahba,L., Gore,S.K. and Koshland,D. (2013) The homologous recombination machinery modulates the formation of RNA-DNA hybrids and associated chromosome instability. *Elife*, **2**, e00505.
- Savage, K. I., Gorski, J.J., Barros, E.M., Irwin, G.W., Manti, L., Powell, A.J., Pellagatti, A., Lukashchuk, N., McCance, D.J., McCluggage, W.G. *et al.* (2014) Identification of a BRCA1-mRNA splicing complex required for efficient DNA repair and maintenance of genomic stability. *Mol. Cell*, 54, 445–459.
- 24. Marechal, A., Li, J.M., Ji, X.Y., Wu, C.S., Yazinski, S.A., Nguyen, H.D., Liu, S., Jimenez, A.E., Jin, J. and Zou, L. (2014) PRP19 transforms into a sensor of RPA-ssDNA after DNA damage and drives ATR activation via a ubiquitin-mediated circuitry. *Mol Cell*, 53, 235–246.
- Jones, K.B., Salah, Z., Del Mare, S., Galasso, M., Gaudio, E., Nuovo, G.J., Lovat, F., LeBlanc, K., Palatini, J., Randall, R.L. *et al.* (2012) miRNA signatures associate with pathogenesis and progression of osteosarcoma. *Cancer Res.*, **72**, 1865–1877.

- Chiu,C.G., Nakamura,Y., Chong,K.K., Huang,S.K., Kawas,N.P., Triche,T., Elashoff,D., Kiyohara,E., Irie,R.F., Morton,D.L. *et al.* (2014) Genome-wide characterization of circulating tumor cells identifies novel prognostic genomic alterations in systemic melanoma metastasis. *Clin. Chem.*, **60**, 873–885.
- Gregan, J., Rabitsch, P.K., Rumpf, C., Novatchkova, M., Schleiffer, A. and Nasmyth, K. (2006) High-throughput knockout screen in fission yeast. *Nat. Protoc.*, 1, 2457–2464.
- Cipak,L., Spirek,M., Novatchkova,M., Chen,Z., Rumpf,C., Lugmayr,W., Mechtler,K., Ammerer,G., Csaszar,E. and Gregan,J. (2009) An improved strategy for tandem affinity purification-tagging of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* genes. *Proteomics*, 9, 4825–4828.
- Moss, J., Tinline-Purvis, H., Walker, C.A., Folkes, L.K., Stratford, M.R., Hayles, J., Hoe, K.L., Kim, D.U., Park, H.O., Kearsey, S.E. *et al.* (2010) Break-induced ATR and Ddb1-Cul4(Cdt)(2) ubiquitin ligase-dependent nucleotide synthesis promotes homologous recombination repair in fission yeast. *Genes* Dev., 24, 2705–2716.
- Cipak,L., Gupta,S., Rajovic,I., Jin,Q.W., Anrather,D., Ammerer,G., McCollum,D. and Gregan,J. (2013) Crosstalk between casein kinase II and Ste20-related kinase Nak1. *Cell Cycle*, **12**, 884–888.
- Cox,J. and Mann,M. (2008) MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 26, 1367–1372.
- 32. Zhong,S., Joung,J.G., Zheng,Y., Chen,Y.R., Liu,B., Shao,Y., Xiang,J.Z., Fei,Z. and Giovannoni,J.J. (2011) High-throughput illumina strand-specific RNA sequencing library preparation. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.*,**8**, 940–949.
- Loidl, J. and Lorenz, A. (2009) Analysis of Schizosaccharomyces pombe meiosis by nuclear spreading. Methods Mol. Biol., 558, 15–36.
- 34. Wahba,L., Amon,J.D., Koshland,D. and Vuica-Ross,M. (2011) RNase H and multiple RNA biogenesis factors cooperate to prevent RNA:DNA hybrids from generating genome instability. *Mol. Cell*, 44, 978–988.
- Guang,S., Bochner,A.F., Burkhart,K.B., Burton,N., Pavelec,D.M. and Kennedy,S. (2010) Small regulatory RNAs inhibit RNA polymerase II during the elongation phase of transcription. *Nature*, 465, 1097–1101.
- Ben-Yehuda,S., Dix,I., Russell,C.S., McGarvey,M., Beggs,J.D. and Kupiec,M. (2000) Genetic and physical interactions between factors involved in both cell cycle progression and pre-mRNA splicing in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics*, **156**, 1503–1517.
- Rigaut,G., Shevchenko,A., Rutz,B., Wilm,M., Mann,M. and Seraphin,B. (1999) A generic protein purification method for protein complex characterization and proteome exploration. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **17**, 1030–1032.
- Chen, W., Shulha, H.P., Ashar-Patel, A., Yan, J., Green, K.M., Query, C.C., Rhind, N., Weng, Z. and Moore, M.J. (2014) Endogenous U2.U5.U6 snRNA complexes in *S. pombe* are intron lariat spliceosomes. *RNA*, 20, 308–320.
- Lee, N.N., Chalamcharla, V.R., Reyes-Turcu, F., Mehta, S., Zofall, M., Balachandran, V., Dhakshnamoorthy, J., Taneja, N., Yamanaka, S., Zhou, M. *et al.* (2013) Mtr4-like protein coordinates nuclear RNA processing for heterochromatin assembly and for telomere maintenance. *Cell*, 155, 1061–1074.
- Caspari, T. and Carr, A.M. (1999) DNA structure checkpoint pathways in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Biochimie*, 81, 173–181.
- Walworth,N.C. and Bernards,R. (1996) rad-dependent response of the chk1-encoded protein kinase at the DNA damage checkpoint. *Science*, 271, 353–356.
- Latif,C., den Elzen,N.R. and O'Connell,M.J. (2004) DNA damage checkpoint maintenance through sustained Chk1 activity. J. Cell Sci., 117, 3489–3498.
- Kim,W.J., Lee,S., Park,M.S., Jang,Y.K., Kim,J.B. and Park,S.D. (2000) Rad22 protein, a rad52 homologue in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, binds to DNA double-strand breaks. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 275, 35607–35611.
- Lisby,M., Mortensen,U.H. and Rothstein,R. (2003) Colocalization of multiple DNA double-strand breaks at a single Rad52 repair centre. *Nat. Cell Biol.*, 5, 572–577.
- 45. van den Bosch, M., Vreeken, K., Zonneveld, J.B., Brandsma, J.A., Lombaerts, M., Murray, J.M., Lohman, P.H. and Pastink, A. (2001)

Characterization of RAD52 homologs in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Mutat. Res.*, **461**, 311–323.

- 46. Tinline-Purvis, H., Savory, A.P., Cullen, J.K., Dave, A., Moss, J., Bridge, W.L., Marguerat, S., Bahler, J., Ragoussis, J., Mott, R. *et al.* (2009) Failed gene conversion leads to extensive end processing and chromosomal rearrangements in fission yeast. *EMBO J.*, 28, 3400–3412.
- Wold, M.S. (1997) Replication protein A: a heterotrimeric, single-stranded DNA-binding protein required for eukaryotic DNA metabolism. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, 66, 61–92.
- Sugawara, N., Wang, X. and Haber, J.E. (2003) In vivo roles of Rad52, Rad54, and Rad55 proteins in Rad51-mediated recombination. *Mol. Cell*, 12, 209–219.
- Watson, A., Mata, J., Bahler, J., Carr, A. and Humphrey, T. (2004) Global gene expression responses of fission yeast to ionizing radiation. *Mol. Biol. Cell*, 15, 851–860.
- Boguslawski,S.J., Smith,D.E., Michalak,M.A., Mickelson,K.E., Yehle,C.O., Patterson,W.L. and Carrico,R.J. (1986) Characterization of monoclonal antibody to DNA.RNA and its application to immunodetection of hybrids. *J. Immunol. Methods*, 89, 123–130.
- El Hage, A., Webb, S., Kerr, A. and Tollervey, D. (2014) Genome-wide distribution of RNA-DNA hybrids identifies RNase H targets in

tRNA genes, retrotransposons and mitochondria. *PLoS Genet.*, **10**, e1004716.

- Steinmetz, E.J., Warren, C.L., Kuehner, J.N., Panbehi, B., Ansari, A.Z. and Brow, D.A. (2006) Genome-wide distribution of yeast RNA polymerase II and its control by Sen1 helicase. *Mol. Cell*, 24, 735–746.
- Mischo, H.E., Gomez-Gonzalez, B., Grzechnik, P., Rondon, A.G., Wei, W., Steinmetz, L., Aguilera, A. and Proudfoot, N.J. (2011) Yeast Sen1 helicase protects the genome from transcription-associated instability. *Mol. Cell*, 41, 21–32.
- Lazzaro, F., Novarina, D., Amara, F., Watt, D.L., Stone, J.E., Costanzo, V., Burgers, P.M., Kunkel, T.A., Plevani, P. and Muzi-Falconi, M. (2012) RNase H and postreplication repair protect cells from ribonucleotides incorporated in DNA. *Mol Cell*, 45, 99–110.
- Keskin,H., Shen,Y., Huang,F., Patel,M., Yang,T., Ashley,K., Mazin,A.V. and Storici,F. (2014) Transcript-RNA-templated DNA recombination and repair. *Nature*, 515, 436–439.
- 56. Vizcaino, J.A., Deutsch, E.W., Wang, R., Csordas, A., Reisinger, F., Rios, D., Dianes, J.A., Sun, Z., Farrah, T., Bandeira, N. et al. (2014) ProteomeXchange provides globally coordinated proteomics data submission and dissemination. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **32**, 223–226.