

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Nat Commun.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 February 11.

Published in final edited form as: *Nat Commun.*; 5: 4587. doi:10.1038/ncomms5587.

## TENSION ON THE LINKER GATES THE ATP-DEPENDENT RELEASE OF DYNEIN FROM MICROTUBULES

Frank B. Cleary<sup>1</sup>, Mark A. Dewitt<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Bilyard<sup>2</sup>, Zaw Min Htet<sup>2</sup>, Vladislav Belyy<sup>1</sup>, Danna D. Chan<sup>2</sup>, Amy Y. Chang<sup>2</sup>, and Ahmet Yildiz<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Biophysics Graduate Group, University of California; Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

<sup>2</sup>Dept. of Physics, University of California; Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

## Abstract

Cytoplasmic dynein is a dimeric motor that transports intracellular cargoes towards the minus-end of microtubules (MTs). In contrast to other processive motors, stepping of the dynein motor domains (heads) is not precisely coordinated. Therefore, the mechanism of dynein processivity remains unclear. Here, by engineering the mechanical and catalytic properties of the motor, we show that dynein processivity minimally requires a single active head and a second inert MT binding domain. Processivity arises from a high ratio of MT-bound to unbound time, and not from interhead communication. Additionally, nucleotide-dependent microtubule release is gated by tension on the linker domain. Intramolecular tension sensing is observed in dynein's stepping motion at high interhead separations. We developed a quantitative model for the stepping characteristics of dynein and its response to chemical and mechanical perturbation.

Cytoplasmic dynein is responsible for nearly all MT minus-end-directed transport in eukaryotes<sup>1</sup>. In interphase cells, dynein transports membrane-bound organelles and vesicles<sup>1</sup>. During mitosis, dynein positions the spindle<sup>2</sup>, focuses the MTs into poles<sup>3</sup>, and regulates the spindle assembly checkpoint<sup>4</sup>. Impaired dynein function has been implicated in motor neuron degeneration<sup>5</sup>, lissencephaly, and primary ciliary dyskinesia<sup>6</sup>. The ability of dynein to move processively and maintain contact with the MT is thought to be essential for its cellular roles, and small perturbations in dynein processivity were implicated in motor neuron degeneration<sup>7</sup>. However, the mechanism underlying these properties is not well understood.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Supplementary information is linked to the online version of this paper.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

#### COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Users may view, print, copy, and download text and data-mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use:http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial\_policies/license.html#terms \*Corresponding author: yildiz@berkeley.edu.

F.B.C. and A.Y. designed experiments, F.B.C. and D.C. cloned, expressed, purified and characterized the protein, F.B.C., V.B., and T.B. performed optical trapping assays, F.B.C. and Z.M.H. and A.Y.C. performed single molecule fluorescence assays, M.A.D. performed two-color fluorescent tracking assays, and F.B.C. and A.Y. wrote the manuscript.

Dynein's structure is unique among cytoskeletal motors. The ~350 kDa dynein motor domain (head) is much larger than that of kinesin or myosin, and contains six AAA+ subunits arranged in a hexameric ring (Fig. 1a)<sup>7–9</sup>. Unlike kinesin, whose MT binding and ATPase sites are part of the same globular domain, dynein's MT-binding domain (MTBD) is located at the end of a coiled-coil stalk<sup>10</sup>. The linker, a helical bundle extending from the ring, is thought to be the mechanical element of dynein motility<sup>9,11,12</sup>. The linker shifts its position relative to the ring as a function of the nucleotide state of AAA1<sup>12</sup> and its conformation correlates with MT affinity<sup>13</sup>. The linker makes multiple contacts with the ring and disruption of these contacts reduces catalytic activity<sup>7,8</sup>. Therefore, apart from functioning as a lever arm, the linker may also play a regulatory role in the nucleotide hydrolysis cycle.

The mechanism of dynein motility also differs significantly from other motors. Processive kinesin and myosins walk hand-over-hand<sup>14-17</sup>, in which the heads are coordinated and take alternating steps. In contrast, dynein heads step independently of their partner<sup>18,19</sup>. It remains unknown what prevents both heads from releasing simultaneously in the absence of strict interhead coordination. Established views of motor processivity propose that, in order to keep the two heads out of phase, a chemical or structural change in one head must be gated until the other head proceeds through a critical step in its cycle. Several mechanisms have been proposed for motor gating<sup>20</sup>. When two heads are bound to the MT, the motor may experience tension through the linker domains. Interhead tension may accelerate the release of the rear head or inhibit nucleotide binding to the front head<sup>21,22</sup>. Reducing tension through peptide insertions affects kinesin velocity and efficiency of converting ATP hydrolysis into motion<sup>23,24</sup>, and decreases myosin VI processivity<sup>25</sup>. Alternatively, the nucleotide cycle of one head may allosterically affect that of the other head, so one head stays tightly-bound to MT until the other head detaches from the MT and takes a step<sup>26</sup>. In the case of AAA+ enzymes, the rings may also directly interact with each other<sup>27</sup>. Indeed, stacking interactions between the rings were observed in EM images of axonemal dynein<sup>28</sup>.

In this study, we tested the structural and catalytic requirements of dynein processivity. To construct a minimally processive dynein motor, we altered the mechanical and catalytic properties of S. cerevisiae dynein and tested their role in motility at a single molecule level. We find that processivity minimally requires a single active monomer and a tether retaining only the MTBD. The degree of processivity is determined by the MT affinity of the tether. Dynein processivity does not require any of these gating strategies to prevent simultaneous dissociation of both heads from MT. However, dynein remains partially gated at high interhead separations. To test whether the mechanism of this conditional gating is due to linker tension, we pulled dynein monomers through their C-terminus or the N-terminal linker using an optical trap under different nucleotide conditions. Remarkably, exerting tension on the linker fully abolishes ATP-dependent MT release of the motor. Highresolution multicolor tracking of a heterodimeric dynein with one active and one inactive head showed that the stepping rate of an active head is high when it is positioned close to its inactive partner, but remains low when it is positioned apart. The results reveal a critical role of the linker in gating dynein stepping behavior and suggest that dynein steps in a tensiondependent manner at high interhead separations. Using our experimental results, we

developed a computational model for the dynein stepping mechanism in the absence of tight interhead communication.

## Results

#### Does dynein processivity require nucleotide gating?

A nucleotide gating mechanism proposes that the nucleotide states of the heads remain out of phase such that one head remains tightly-bound to the MT as the other head releases and takes a step. The model requires both heads to be catalytically active and able to change their MT affinity in a nucleotide-dependent manner (Fig. 1a). To test the predictions of this model, we disrupted ATP binding (K/A mutation in the Walker A motif) or hydrolysis (E/Q mutation in the Walker B motif) in specific AAA+ domains. Studies on dynein homodimers showed that ATPase mutations at AAA1 fully abolish motility<sup>29,30</sup> and AAA3 mutations result in movement ten-fold slower than native dynein<sup>29,30</sup>. We previously demonstrated that a heterodimer of AAA1<sub>E/Q</sub> (E1849Q) and wild type (WT) monomers walk processively<sup>18</sup>. However, it remained unclear whether the processive motility is facilitated by the ability of the WT monomer to drag its inactive partner, or AAA1<sub>E/Q</sub> releases from MT through ATP binding to its AAA1 site. To address this question, we introduced different ATPase mutations to one of the heads of a dynein heterodimer constructed with FRB-FKBP12 tags (Fig. 1b; Supplementary Table 1)<sup>31,32</sup> and tested their motility by total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy.

A heterodimer of FRB-Dyn and FKBP12-Dyn (WT/WT) moves at slightly reduced velocity  $(65 \pm 2 \text{ nm s}^{-1}, \text{SEM})$  and processivity  $(0.8 \pm 0.2 \ \mu\text{m}, 95\% \text{ CI})$  compared to GST-Dyn  $(99 \pm 2 \text{ nm s}^{-1} \text{ and } 1.1 \pm 0.1 \ \mu\text{m}, \text{Fig. 1c})$ , as previously shown<sup>32</sup>. When ATPase mutations were introduced to one of the monomers, both the velocity and processivity of the heterodimer were affected. Compared to WT/WT,  $AAA1_{E/Q}$ /WT heterodimers had a ~20% reduced velocity and run length, while  $AAA1_{K/A}$ /WT motors had a ~60% reduction in velocity and a 200% increase in run length (Fig. 1c,d). These results indicate that a WT monomer can pull an inactive AAA1 mutant head faster when the mutant head has a reduced MT affinity, at the expense of reduced processivity.  $AAA3_{K/A}$ /WT (K2424A) and  $AAA3_{E/Q}$ /WT (E2488Q) heterodimers showed a similar reduction in motor velocity to  $AAA1_{K/A}$ /WT (Fig. 1c), suggesting that ATPase activity at AAA3 may regulate the communication between AAA1 and MT binding affinity<sup>33</sup>.

The velocity of the mutant heterodimers is linearly related to the probability of a processive run ending per unit time (Fig. 1e). The WT head can pull its inactive partner faster when it has a reduced MT affinity (e.g.  $AAA1_{E/Q}$ ), but these constructs are less processive than those where the mutant head is locked in a state with high affinity to MT. The results suggest that the magnitude of dynein processivity is determined by the duty ratio (the ratio of MT-bound to unbound time in a stepping cycle) of each head, not by the precise coordination of the stepping cycles of the heads through a nucleotide-gating mechanism<sup>19,20</sup>.

#### Minimal requirements of dynein processivity

To test whether stacking interactions between the AAA+ rings<sup>28</sup> are required for dynein motility, we created a "ringless" dynein monomer in which the AAA+ ring and the linker are replaced with a monomeric servl-tRNA synthetase (SRS) fused with the dynein stalk and MTBD<sup>34,35</sup>. If interaction between the two rings in a dimer must occur at some point in the ATPase cycle, a dynein heterodimer with a single ATPase ring is not expected to move processively. We tested two strongly-bound SRS chimeras, one with a full length dynein coiled coil (SRS<sub>85:82</sub>) and a one quarter length mutant (SRS<sub>22:19</sub>) (Fig. 2a). Both constructs showed processive, minus-end directed motion when dimerized to the linker domain of a WT dynein monomer (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Movie 1). Remarkably, SRS<sub>22·19</sub>/WT had a mean velocity and processivity similar to WT/WT, although it lacks the entire AAA+ ring and the linker domain in one head. SRS<sub>85:82</sub>/WT was ~3-fold slower and ~2-fold more processive (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. 1). The difference between the velocities and run lengths of the two constructs may be due to the geometrical constraints imposed by the altered stalk length. High-resolution tracking assays with SRS<sub>85-82</sub>/WT (Fig. 2d) showed that  $SRS_{85:82}$  had similar step size distribution to the WT dynein monomer (Fig. 2e)<sup>18</sup>. The results exclude direct mechanical or allosteric interactions between the two AAA+ rings as a required mechanism for dynein processivity.

We hypothesized that the velocity and processivity of the SRS constructs depend on the MT affinity of the SRS-MTBD tether. To test whether a ringless head must maintain a high MT affinity for processive motion, we used a SRS<sub>89:82</sub> construct with an altered coiled-coil registry, which has nearly two orders of magnitude lower MT affinity from SRS<sub>85:82</sub><sup>34</sup>. SRS<sub>89:82</sub>/WT was not able to move unidirectionally, and instead diffused along MTs (Supplementary Fig. 2). The lack of processivity suggests that the inactive MT tether must have sufficient MT affinity to allow processive motion. We next tested whether the velocity of a dynein monomer with a tightly-bound SRS<sub>85:82</sub> tether could be increased by reducing the MT affinity of the tether. Addition of up to 100 mM KCl decreased the dissociation constant (K<sub>d</sub>) of the SRS<sub>85:82</sub>-MTBD from MTs by ~30 fold (Supplementary Fig. 2c). While the velocity of a WT homodimer remains unaltered by addition of 100 mM KCl, the velocity of the SRS<sub>85:82</sub>/WT increased by 50% (Supplementary Fig. 2e), providing further evidence that motor velocity increases by reducing the MT affinity of a strongly-bound inactive MT tether (Fig. 1c,e).

#### The linker is a mechanical element that powers motility

We next tested the mechanism by which the active head can drag its inactive partner forward, presumably via forces generated by the powerstroke of its linker. Although evidence that the linker can power motility was observed in truncated monomeric dyneins<sup>32,36</sup>, the role of linker in processive motility and force generation remains unclear. The powerstroke model<sup>9,11</sup> suggests that a head that generates force must be attached to the other head through its linker domain, either to push against the other head or to pull it forward. We tested this hypothesis by engineering a heterodimer composed of two catalytically identical but mechanically distinct monomers. The N-terminal linker of one monomer was attached to the C-terminus of the other monomer, resulting in a heterodimer of a bound-linker head (BLH) and a free-linker head (FLH) (Fig. 3a). According to the

powerstroke model, inhibiting the linker swing in the BLH would be predicted to abolish motility, while motors with an inhibited FLH would remain motile.

Motility assays revealed that the FLH/BLH heterodimer moves processively towards the minus-end of MTs at 85% of the velocity of the WT/WT heterodimer (Fig. 3b and Supplementary Movie 2). Intriguingly, the run length of FLH/BLH (2.3  $\pm$  0.4  $\mu$ m; mean  $\pm$ 95% CI) was more than double that of WT/WT (Fig. 3c). We next tested the motility of FLH/BLH heterodimers carrying a single AAA1 ATPase mutation<sup>12</sup>. AAA1 mutations to the FLH resulted in similar effects to those observed for the N-terminally dimerized mutant heterodimers: A AAA1<sub>E/O</sub> mutation (FLHAAA1 E/O/BLH) had a minimal effect on motor velocity, whereas FLHAAA1 K/A/BLH motors suffered a ~3-fold reduction in velocity (Fig. 1c and 3d). In contrast, introducing the same AAA1 mutations to the BLH (FLH/ BLHAAA1 K/A and FLH/BLHAAA1 E/O) fully stopped directional motility (Fig. 3d,e). While FLH/BLHAAA1 K/A motors remained fixed to a single location on the MT, FLH/ BLHAAA1 E/O showed ATP-dependent non-directional diffusive behavior along MTs (Fig. 3e), consistent with reduced MT affinity of the AAA1<sub>E/O</sub> monomer in the presence of ATP (Supplementary Fig. 3). The AAA3 mutants are able to undergo linker swing<sup>12</sup>, but result in slower motility<sup>31</sup>. Consistently, AAA3 mutations to FLH and BLH moved processively at lower speeds in comparison to WT constructs. Together, the results support that the ATPdependent linker swing is the force generation mechanism for the motility of a dynein dimer.

#### Tension on the linker gates ATP-dependent MT release

We next investigated whether the linker domain has a regulatory role in the dynein stepping mechanism in addition to generating force. High-resolution tracking studies<sup>18,19</sup> showed that the two heads of a dynein dimer step independently when they are positioned close to each other on a MT. However, when the heads are separated, the trailing head becomes more likely to step. At high interhead separations, dynein may experience intramolecular tension that favors the release of the trailing head $^{37}$ . To investigate whether tension on the linker domain affects the mechanochemical cycle of dynein, we developed an optical trapping assay to measure the nucleotide-dependent MT release rates under load (Fig. 4a). Polystyrene beads were sparsely coated with monomeric dynein and moved  $\pm 250$  nm between two positions above the MT in a square wave pattern (Fig. 4b). When a Dyn monomer binds to the MT, the bead is unable to follow the trap to the next position. In this state the trap exerts a constant force on the motor, depending on the bead-trap separation, until the motor releases from the MT. The assay enables direct measurement of release rate over a large range of forces (0.5–12 pN). A similar approach using an alternate geometry was recently used to measure myosin-unbinding forces<sup>38</sup>. Our assay is a significant improvement compared to previous motor-unbinding assays that measured average rupture force under constantly increasing force<sup>39</sup>, because it better represents the situation in a walking two-headed motor where heads are likely to experience a constant force before releasing from the MT.

We first pulled dynein monomers from the N-terminal linker domain in the absence of nucleotide. There was a clear difference in the average time a GFP-Dyn monomer remained bound to the MT, depending on the direction of the applied load. When force was applied

towards the MT minus-end, the monomers rapidly released from MTs (Fig. 5c). In the apo state, the release rate increased from  $5 \text{ s}^{-1}$  at 1–2 pN, to 20 s<sup>-1</sup> at 5–6 pN (Fig. 5c). In contrast, release towards the plus-end is significantly slower (~1.5 s<sup>-1</sup>) and independent of load up to 7 pN, the highest measured stall force of native yeast dynein<sup>40</sup>. We observed a similar asymmetry in release of the SRS<sub>85:82</sub> chimera, which contains mouse dynein MTBD (Supplementary Fig. 3a,c). The results indicate that dynein favors release towards its natural direction of motion under tension. The asymmetric release of a head is an intrinsic property of its stalk and MTBD, and does not depend on its AAA+ ring or linker. In addition, the preferential release under forward load is not specific to yeast dynein, and may be well conserved in dyneins in higher organisms.

ATP addition is expected to increase the MT release rate independent of load<sup>13</sup>. Surprisingly, the release rate profile was unchanged upon addition of 2 mM ATP (Fig. 4c). We hypothesized that this could be due to the force being applied to dynein through its linker. To test this possibility we repeated the trapping assay with the bead bound to the C-terminus of a dynein monomer through a short (74 bp) DNA tether (Fig. 4a). In the absence of ATP, we observed similar release rates to those of monomers pulled through the linker domain, implying that DNA attachment does not induce changes in the release rate. Remarkably, the release rate increased several fold upon addition of 2 mM ATP (Fig. 4d). The results show that tension on the linker domain inhibits nucleotide-dependent release of dynein from MTs, which may explain the altered stepping behavior of a dynein dimer at high interhead separation<sup>19,20</sup>.

#### ATP-dependent stepping of dynein is gated at high interhead separations

We next tested whether gating caused by intramolecular tension plays any role in the stepping mechanism of active dynein dimers. If tension on the linker inhibits nucleotidedependent release from the MT, we expect to observe altered stepping rates at high interhead separations. To experimentally test this effect, we tracked the stepping behavior of both heads of the AAA1<sub>K/A</sub>/WT heterodimer (Fig. 1b) at saturating (1 mM) ATP. The AAA1<sub>K/A</sub> mutant head could be envisioned as a MT tether in a high affinity conformation, because it is unable to produce force<sup>13</sup> and remains tightly-bound to  $MT^{31}$ . Therefore, AAA1<sub>K/A</sub> can only step when released from MT under tension generated by the linker domain of the WT head. The effects of this mutation were evident in two-color tracking traces (Fig. 5a). The step sizes of both heads negatively correlate with on-axis separation of the heads (Fig. 5b), similar to native dynein. However, the net bias to step towards the MT minus-end was significantly higher in the WT head than in the AAA1<sub>K/A</sub> head (11.1  $\pm$  2.1 nm vs. 4.6  $\pm$  2.2 nm (±95% CI), respectively) when the heads are positioned close to each other. The  $AAA1_{K/A}$  head trails often (72% of dwells) and has a low probability (28%) to take a step when it is in the leading position (Fig. 5b, c). The results are consistent with the inability of the AAA1<sub>K/A</sub> head to step on its own and the resistance of the MT binding interface to release under plus-end-directed forces.

If the heads are fully uncoordinated, the WT head in the AAA1<sub>K/A</sub>/WT heterodimer is expected to have the same stepping rate as a head in a WT/WT homodimer. We observed that the stepping rate of the WT head  $(3.8 \pm 0.5 \text{ s}^{-1})$  is significantly higher than the mutant

Page 7

head (2.8  $\pm$  0.4 s<sup>-1</sup>, p < 0.0001, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, Fig. 5d), but it is lower than the MT-stimulated ATPase rate per head (8 s<sup>-1</sup>) in WT/WT<sup>32</sup>. This suggests that the stepping motion of the WT head is slowed by the presence of the mutant head.

The analysis of two-color traces as a function of interhead separation revealed that the stepping of the heads depend on interhead separation. The stepping rate of the AAA1<sub>K/A</sub> head was low and slightly decreasing with increased interhead separation (Fig. 5e). In comparison, the stepping rate of the WT head  $(6.0 \pm 2.0 \text{ s}^{-1})$  was nearly as high as the bulk ATPase rate at low interhead separations<sup>29</sup>, but it is similar to that of AAA1<sub>K/A</sub> at high interhead separations (Fig. 5e). We concluded that a dynein dimer experiences intramolecular tension through its linker domains at high interhead separations. Tension on the linker gates ATP-dependent stepping of the head from the MT.

#### A model for dynein motility

We combined our experimental results and developed a quantitative model for dynein motility. We envisioned that a head can release from the MT either through ATP binding or by tension (Fig. 6a). At low separations, the heads are fully uncoordinated. One of the heads binds ATP and takes a step with a rate of 8 s<sup>-1 32</sup>. The other head serves as a tether to prevent release of the motor from MT<sup>18</sup>. At high interhead separations, tension on the linkers prohibits release of a head from MT through ATP binding (Fig. 6a). In this case, a head releases from MTs under tension and relieves the tension by stepping towards the tethered head.

We used reported step size<sup>19,20</sup> and ATPase rates<sup>30,33</sup>, as well as our optical trapping data, to test if our model could accurately capture the behavior observed in native and mutant forms of yeast dynein. Release rates under tension were inferred from fits to the optical trap data (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Fig. 4). Monte Carlo simulations were used to generate stepping traces of a WT/WT dynein dimer. Simulated traces (Fig. 6b) reproduced uncoordinated stepping patterns, with a significant fraction (33%) of steps in the backward direction<sup>18,32</sup>. At high interhead separations, the majority of the steps were taken by the rear head, as observed experimentally (Fig. 6c)<sup>18,19</sup>. The model estimated that the overall stepping rate per head is 7 s<sup>-1</sup>, in agreement with the MT stimulated ATPase rates. The tension-induced stepping pathway constitutes a significant fraction (32%) of dynein stepping motion.

We also tested whether our model could reproduce the observed speeds of dynein motility in response to ATPase mutations and altered dimerization geometry. The stiffness of the linkage between the dynein heads remains unknown; therefore the tension between the heads cannot be directly inferred from the interhead separation. Therefore, we tested a wide range of stiffness values for linker stiffness (Supplementary Fig. 5). In simulations of these mutants, optical trap data with C- and N-terminal attachment geometries were used to calculate the tension-induced release rates of FLH and BLH, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 4). In the model, the FLH and AAA1 $_{E/Q}$  mutant heads release from the MT through ATP binding, but do not undergo a powerstroke (Supplementary Fig. 3b).

We found that the stiffness of 1 pN per 12 nm extension of the linker agreed most closely with the experimental results (Fig. 6d and Supplementary Fig. 5). The velocities of simulated motors were within  $\pm 15\%$  of the measured velocities of mutant heterodimers. This

number estimates that, at the highest interhead separations (~36 nm), the heads experience 3 pN tension, equivalent to maximal force production of a single dynein motor (manuscript in preparation). The results show that the tension-induced gating mechanism explains the stepping properties of dynein motility. See Methods for a detailed description of the parameters used in the model.

## Discussion

Previous studies have shown that individual dynein motor domains are incapable of processive movement and that dimerization restores processivity<sup>32</sup>. However, it remained unclear whether dynein motility specifically requires both monomers to be catalytically active, dimerized through their N-termini, communicating their nucleotide cycles and mechanically coupling their stepping motions with each other. We engineered dynein's motile properties in predictable ways, which allowed careful examination of structural and catalytic requirements of dynein processivity. Processivity does not require two catalytically-active motor domains. Altering the ATPase activity of a single monomer in dynein heterodimers revealed a strikingly different phenotype from identical mutations to homodimeric constructs<sup>29,30</sup>. A hydrolysis deficient AAA1 mutant heterodimer moved at near-WT velocities. These results differ significantly from similar measurements on a kinesin heterodimer carrying a single ATP hydrolysis<sup>41</sup> or ATP binding<sup>42</sup> mutation, which moves 10 - 20 fold slower than native kinesin. Consistent with the ability of dynein to move without tight interhead coordination, a single force-generating head is able to drive motility nearly as fast as if both heads retained full ATPase activity, provided its inactive partner has moderate affinity for the MT.

Dynein's unique domain architecture has made it possible to isolate the MT-binding interface from its catalytic core. We showed that N-terminal dimerization is not essential for processive motion and alternative dimerization geometries can achieve increased processivity with a minor reduction in motor velocity. The entire AAA+ ring and linker domains of one head can be replaced with an inert protein retaining the MTBD and part of the stalk. Therefore, dynein motility does not require both AAA+ ring domains, and the partner motor does not need to cycle between weakly and tightly MT-bound states. These results raise the possibility that synthetic processive motors may be engineered from a single polypeptide containing one force-producing head and two MT attachment sites. Our ringless dynein heterodimer also excludes direct mechanical or allosteric interactions between the two AAA+ rings as a required mechanism for processivity or force generation.

How could dynein walk processively in the absence of interhead communication? We envision that processivity could arise if the duty ratio of a head is sufficiently high (~0.9) to allow the motor to take 100 steps (the average run length is ~1  $\mu$ m and the average step size is ~10 nm) before dissociation<sup>18</sup>. The duty ratio of *Dictyostelium* dynein was estimated to be a minimum of 0.6 by the filament gliding assays<sup>36</sup>. Consistent with this idea, we observed

that the processivity of engineered dynein heterodimers is correlated with the MT affinity of the mutant head.

A swinging motion of the linker domain was shown to drive the MT gliding ability of dynein monomers<sup>32,43</sup>. Engineering of a processive dynein dimer with mechanically distinct monomers allowed us to investigate in depth the function of the linker in dynein's stepping mechanism. By selectively disrupting the linker swing in FLH and BLH mutants, we present strong evidence that the linker powers the motility of a dynein dimer and its N-terminus must be tethered to a second MT-binding site to generate an efficient powerstroke.

In addition to its function as a mechanical element, the linker is involved in coupling the nucleotide and mechanical states of the motor domain. Reducing the linker length<sup>32</sup> or mutating the residues on AAA5 that make contact with the linker<sup>8</sup> almost eliminate MT-stimulated ATPase activity, indicating that contacts between the linker and the ring are essential for ATPase activity. Our observation that force on the linker influences dynein's ability to release from MT in a nucleotide-dependent manner further highlights the connection between MT affinity and linker conformation<sup>13</sup>. Tension on the linker may disrupt these connections and force the linker to attain a fixed conformation relative to the ring.

It is also possible that tension on the linker decouples MT affinity from the ATP hydrolysis cycle, such that ATPase activity remains unabated, but MT affinity is not controlled by the nucleotide state. In this case dynein monomers would be expected to show similar MT-release rates when pulled through the linker, independent of any ATPase mutations in the ring. However we observed that  $AAA1_{E/Q}$  monomers have higher release rates than WT monomers when force is applied to the linker domain, implying that the nucleotide state is still coordinated with MT affinity (Supplementary Fig. 3b,c). Long range communication between the catalytic sites and the MTBD can be mediated by a coiled-coil segment extending from  $AAA5^{44,45}$ . Since the linker runs across the opposite side of the ring<sup>7,8</sup>, it is unlikely that the linker orientation directly affects MT affinity. Instead, we propose that linker configuration is coupled to the catalytic activity of the ring, and indirectly affects the MT affinity.

In cells, dynein motor activity is regulated by several associated proteins including the dynactin complex, LIS1, and NUDEL<sup>46</sup>. Lis1 forms a dimer, and directly interacts with both motor domains. Lis1 binding induces a persistent high-affinity MT-bound state and slows down dynein motility<sup>47,48</sup>. Our results describing how dynein motility responds to perturbations to one of its two motor domains show that for a dynein dimer to be fully inhibited each monomer must be bound to an inactivating factor. This would alter the response of dynein to cytosolic concentrations of regulatory proteins and allows tuning of dynein speed and processivity at different levels. Indeed, monomeric Lis1 is able to inhibit dynein motility, albeit at significantly higher concentrations than that of Lis1 dimer<sup>48</sup>.

During yeast cell division, multiple dynein motors bound to the cell periphery pull MTs in opposite directions across the cell. Proper segregation of nuclei requires dynein motors to remain bound to MTs under high tension. We showed that the dynein MTBD remains

attached to MTs under plus-end directed forces, even in the absence of Lis1. Tension on the linker domain also induces a prolonged MT-bound state by inactivating nucleotidedependent release from MT. This mechanism may contribute to dynein's ability to move large intracellular cargos and anchor MTs to the cell cortex under high tension.

## Methods

## **Construct Design and Yeast Cloning**

An N-terminal truncated *S. cerevisiae* cytoplasmic dynein gene (*DYN1*) encoding amino acids 1219–4093 (predicted molecular weight 331 kD, referred to as Dyn) was used as a template for mutagenesis<sup>32</sup>. Dyn was artificially dimerized through an N-terminal GST tag (GST-Dyn). An N-terminal GFP fusion protein of GST-Dyn (GFP-GST-Dyn) has been shown to move at similar velocity and processivity to that of native yeast dynein<sup>32</sup>.

Heterodimeric dynein constructs were obtained by replacing the GST tag of GST-Dyn with an FRB tag on one monomer (FRB-Dyn) and an FKBP12 tag on the other monomer (FKBP12-Dyn). FRB and FKBP12 form a heterodimer in the presence of the small molecule rapamycin<sup>31</sup>. A C-terminal HaloTag (Promega) was used to fluorescently label dynein.

FRB-Dyn constructs carrying ATPase mutations,  $AAA1_{E/Q}$  (E1849Q),  $AAA3_{E/Q}$  (E2488Q) and monomeric Dyn  $AAA1_{K/A}$  (K1802A),  $AAA3_{K/A}$  (K2424A) were a generous gift of Ronald D. Vale (UCSF). An N-terminal FRB domain was added to the monomeric K/A mutants. FLH constructs were obtained by inserting an FRB or FKBP12 heterodimerization tag to the C-terminus of a Dyn construct carrying the appropriate ATPase mutations<sup>32</sup>. Assays on FRB-BLH dimerized to FKBP12-FLH or with FKBP12-BLH dimerized to FRB-FLH did not show a large difference in motor speed verifying that the speed measurements are not affected by which tag is introduced to C- and N-terminus of the motor.

Chimeric constructs which encode a monomeric *T. thermophilus* seryl-tRNA synthetase (SRS) and the dynein MTBD at different coiled-coil length and registry were generously provided by Ian Gibbons and Andrew P. Carter<sup>34</sup>. A 4×(GS)-FKBP12 tag was inserted to the C-terminus of SRS. For optical trapping experiments, an eGFP gene was added in place of FKBP12. The SRS construct was additionally tagged with an N-terminal HaloTag for fluorescent labeling. Supplementary Table 1 contains all of the constructs used in this study.

#### Protein Expression, Purification and Labeling

Dynein proteins were expressed in yeast and purified as described<sup>32</sup>. Purified protein was stored in DLB (30 mM HEPES pH 7.2, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM EGTA, 10% glycerol). Expression and purification of SRS-MTBD mutants were carried out in *E. coli*, as described<sup>34</sup>. For fluorescent tracking experiments, BLH heads containing a C-terminal HaloTag were labelled with 10µM TMR HaloTag ligand (Promega) for 1 h on ice during the protein preparation, prior to washing of the IgG beads (GE Healthcare).

## **SRS Chimera MT Co-sedimentation Assay**

The SRS Chimera MT co-sedimentation assay was carried out in DLB (30 mM HEPES pH 7.2, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM EGTA, 10% glycerol) as described<sup>34</sup>.

#### Microscope

Single-molecule motility assays were performed on a custom-built objective-type total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscope, equipped with an inverted microscope body (Nikon Ti-Eclipse) with perfect focusing system, 1.45 NA 60× microscope objective (Nikon, TIRF Plan Apochromat). The sample was illuminated with 488 nm and 532 nm solid state lasers (Coherent) to image GFP and TMR, respectively. BLH-TMR was imaged to record the speed and run length data, except in Supplementary Movie 1 in which SRS-TMR is imaged. FLH-GFP was imaged to confirm motility of the FLH (data not shown). For velocity assays, GST-Dyn motility was recorded with a 2 second exposure time under 5.1 mW 532 nm laser exposure. For run length assays, GST-Dyn motility was recorded under 1.9 mW of 532 nm illumination. Laser power and the image acquisition rate were adjusted for other constructs to keep the average distance traveled by motors (~100 nm per image) and the bleaching decay rate of TMR (0.004 per image) constant. Run length assays were done in buffer containing a final concentration of 75 mM K<sup>+</sup>. Emitted photons were detected by an electron-multiplied charge-coupled device (EM-CCD) camera (Andor Ixon, 512×512 pixels, 16 µm pixel size). The image was magnified by a tube lens to obtain 129.3 nm effective pixel size, calibrated with a reticle containing 100 lines per mm.

#### Single-Molecule Motility Assays

Motility assays were performed as described<sup>32</sup>. Sea urchin axonemes were immobilized on a glass coverslip in a flow chamber constructed with double sided tape. The chamber was washed with 50 µl of DLB (30 mM HEPES pH 7.2, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM EGTA, 10% glycerol), followed by 50 µl of DLBC (DLB with 1 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> casein, 2 mM DTT). 200 pM dynein was then perfused into the chamber in DLBC and allowed to bind to MT for 1 minute. The flow cell was then washed with 100 µl of DLBC and 20 µl of imaging buffer (DLBC with 1% β-mercaptoethanol, 2 mM ATP, and an oxygen scavenging system consisting of 25 mM PCA, 0.35 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> PCD, 0.6 mM Trolox<sup>49</sup>).

For assays of FRB-FKBP12 heterodimers, 1 µl of 600 nM rapamycin and a total volume of 2 µl of equimolar amounts of FRB- and FKBP12-tagged monomers were mixed and incubated in DLB for 10 minutes at room temperature. These constructs were then further diluted in DLBC before introducing the motor to sample chamber. 100 nM rapamycin was added to all assay buffers to maintain the dimerization. We are confident that the motility observed was due to heterodimerization because: (1) Under the motor concentrations used, we did not observe motility in the presence of only one type of the FRB- or FKBP12-tagged monomers. (2) Processive motility of FRB and FKBP12-tagged monomers was also not observed in the absence of rapamycin. (3) Selective ATPase mutations on BLH and FLH resulted in dramatic changes in dynein motility, depending on which head carried the mutation, which would not be possible if the motors observed were not heterodimers. (4) Fluorescently-labeled SRS chimeras are observed to be motile when heterodimerized with unlabeled dynein monomers (Supplementary Movie 1).

Multicolor tracking of  $AAA1_{K/A}$ /WT was performed at 1 mM ATP and movies were recorded at 15 ms frame rate. Quantum dot labeling, imaging and data analysis of the assay was performed as described<sup>18</sup>. Fluorescent spots of individual quantum dots were localized

with a two-dimensional Gaussian tracking algorithm. Trajectories of dyneins were fitted by a custom-written step finder algorithm, based on Schwartz Information Criterion<sup>50</sup>.

#### **Optical Trapping Assay**

A custom-built optical trap consisting of a 2 W 1064 nm continuous wave laser (Coherent), a Nikon Ti-Eclipse microscope body and a Nikon 100× 1.49 NA Plan-Apo objective was used to study force-dependent release of dynein monomers. To apply forces through the Nterminal linker of dynein, GFP-tagged dynein monomers were diluted in DLBC and incubated with carboxylated polystyrene beads (0.86 µm diameter, Invitrogen) coated with a rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP antibody (Covance). Antibody coating of the beads were carried out by EDC-NHS crosslinking (Pierce)<sup>40</sup>. The motor-bead mixture was then diluted 10 fold in DLBC with 5 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> casein, the PCA/PCD oxygen scavenging system and 2 mM ATP. For the apo condition, ATP was not added the motor bead-mixture, resulting in residual ATP concentrations of ~40 nM, well below dynein's  $K_{M,\,ATP}$  of ~26  $\mu M^{29}.$  The final bead concentration was 0.1% weight/volume. To record data from single monomers, motors were diluted to a level where we observed 5–15% binding probability during the oscillation of the beads. >90% of the binding events terminated with a single release step, indicative of binding of a single dynein monomer. At 10-fold higher motor concentrations, significant increase in multiple release events was observed (data not shown), while no events were observed in the absence of motor.

GTGTCGGGGTCGCGCTGGCCCACCCTCCGCCCTCTGTTGACCTCGCGCCTGAGC GCTCTGCGCCGGGTATTGAC-3') (IDT) were hybridized by combining 30 μl of each strand from 100 μM stock with 20 μl of DNA buffer (80 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub> pH 8.4, 200 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>), heating at 90°C for 20 minutes, cooling to 25°C for 40 minutes. The DNA mixture was then reacted with 160 μM HaloTag NHS ligand (Promega) for 6 h at room temperature and the reaction quenched with 1 μl of 1 M glycine, pH 8.4. DNA was then desalted through G-25 spin columns into DNA buffer. Dynein (~400 μl) was then labeled with the purified HaloTag-DNA-biotin for 6 h on ice, and excess DNA was removed through microtubule bind and release purification<sup>32</sup>. This dynein-DNA-biotin complex was then incubated with streptavidin-coated polystyrene beads (0.86 μm diameter, Invitrogen), as described above. The motor-bead mixture was then diluted in the imaging buffer.

Cy5-labeled axonemes were adsorbed to the surface of a flow cell prior to addition of the bead/dynein mixture. The sample was excited with 633 nm HeNe laser (Melles Griot) and axonemes were visualized with a CCD camera. The trapping beam was steered by two computer-controlled acousto-optical deflectors (AOD's) (AA Electronics) to capture and position floating monodisperse beads. Trap stiffness was calibrated for each sample by fitting the windowed power spectrum of a bead trapped 3 µm above the surface of the

coverslip to a Lorentzian curve<sup>51</sup>. The microspheres were trapped by a ~50 mW 1064 nm laser beam to achieve a spring constant of ~0.05 pN nm<sup>-1</sup>. A position-sensitive detector (PSD) was located at the back focal plane to detect microsphere displacement. The PSD data was recorded at 20 kHz for calibration and 5 kHz for data acquisition. The response of the PSD was calibrated in each sample by rapidly scanning the laser across a trapped bead in both×and y directions using the AOD's and fitting the resulting curve to a cubic polynomial. This calibration was repeated once at the surface and once 3 µm into the solution to avoid systematic errors in either experimental data or stiffness calibrations.

Trapped beads were positioned over a Cy5-labeled axoneme and oscillated between two positions ( $\pm$  125 nm) along the long axis of the axoneme. Bead-trap separation was monitored in real time to prevent trap oscillations during a binding event. The trap was held steady for 0.25 s after the bead returned to the trap center, and then moved to the opposite position. Microtubule polarity was determined by imaging Oregon green labeled kinesin motors, which decorate the plus-end of MTs. GFP-labeled kinesin was used in trapping experiments on DNA-tethered dynein monomers, which lack GFP. Strong (3–10 fold) asymmetry was observed in the release rate of both linker- and C-terminal-bound dynein monomers in the presence of ATP (all of 11 axonemes tested, data not shown). The rest of the data was collected by assigning the microtubule polarity, based on the asymmetry in the plus- and minus-end-directed release rates of dynein monomers.

#### **Data Analysis**

The kymographs of GFP-tagged dyneins were made in ImageJ. For the run length analysis, we chose molecules that moved at least 4 pixels (~500 nm), began their runs at least 5  $\mu$ m away from the minus-end of MTs and remain fluorescent for at least 50 frames before the end of the movie. Since the average distance traveled by a motor before photobleaching (25  $\mu$ m) is much greater than the measured average run length (0.8–2.2  $\mu$ m), we have not included a correction for photobleaching in our analysis. Such a correction would result in an increase of run length of less than 5%, within the error of our measurement. The mean run length was calculated by maximum likelihood estimation of the exponential decay constant and stated errors are the 95% confidence intervals.

In optical trap assays, single step MT release events were analyzed and rare multiple-step release events were discarded from the data analysis. Force-induced MT release data was fit with a custom step-finding algorithm. Events showing a dwell time of greater than 2.5 ms were evaluated visually to confirm the binding and release of a single monomer. The applied force to the motor was calculated by trap stiffness and the bead-trap separation vector. Data consisting of applied force and dwell time were sorted by force and binned every 100 data points. The dwell times in each bin were fit to a single exponential decay (see Figure 4c, **inset**), and resulting rate constant was plotted with the average force of all the data points in the bin. Errors are the 95% confidence intervals. Changing the bin size did not significantly affect the results (not shown).

Stepping rate vs. interhead separation (Fig. 5d) was calculated by taking the number of steps each motor took in a given range of interhead separation (8 nm wide bins from -36 to 36 nm) and dividing by the total amount of time the motor spent in that range of separation.

95% confidence intervals were calculated by bootstrapping. Larger interhead separations were not considered due to the rarity of steps, <10 per bin, preventing accurate determination of stepping rate. In a WT/WT motor the rates for each motor fall within the 95% confidence interval of each other at all interhead separations (data not shown).

#### **Computational Model**

Monte Carlo simulations were run to generate stepping traces of a WT dynein dimer. The model incorporates parameters from bulk ATPase<sup>29,32</sup>, optical trap, and stepping measurements<sup>18,19</sup> of yeast dynein (see below). The model assumes ATP-dependent steps can occur when the interhead separation is less than 20 nm. The WT head can step in an ATP-dependent manner with a rate  $k_1 = 8 \text{ s}^{-1}$  based on bulk ATPase measurements per head<sup>29,32</sup>. The stepping head rebinds the MT at a position randomly chosen from a Gaussian distribution with a mean  $8 \pm 16 \text{ nm} (\pm \text{S.D.})$  towards the minus-end from its partner head. The 8 nm minus-end-directed bias in step size is provided by the ATP-dependent linker swing mechanism<sup>9</sup>.

FLH<sub>WT</sub> and AAA1<sub>E/Q</sub> mutants, which lack the ability to generate a powerstroke, undergo non-productive ATP-dependent stepping with a rate  $k_2 \sim 20 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , measured from extrapolation to the force-induced release data at 0 pN force at 1 mM ATP (Supplementary Fig. 4). The stepping head rebinds at a position randomly chosen from a Gaussian distribution centered at its partner head with  $0 \pm 16 \text{ nm}$  (SD) step size.

Tension-dependent steps occur when the interhead separation is greater than 12 nm. In this mode of stepping, the leading head steps backwards with a constant rate of  $k_3$ , determined from the force-induced release data (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. 4). The trailing head steps with a rate  $k_4 = mx$ , where x is the interhead separation in nm. m was calculated from linear fits to the force-induced release data (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. 4). The release rates of FLH and BLH under tension were calculated from force-dependent release data on motors pulled from their C-terminus and linker, respectively. Tension-induced stepping rate increases at higher interhead separations due to increase in intramolecular tension. Fixing the release rate to be equal to the rate measured for a 1-3 pN bin of force data at all interhead separations did not significantly affect the speeds of any of the mutants (data not shown). After a tension-based step, the motor rebinds the MT at a position randomly chosen from a Gaussian distribution,  $8 \pm 16$  nm from its partner head in the direction of the initial position of the stepping head. When the heads are between 12 and 20 nm apart, both tension-dependent and independent steps are possible. At separations higher than 20 nm, the ATP-dependent stepping mechanism is abolished due to the increased tension on the linker domain (Fig. 4c,d). Excluding tension-independent steps in this state did not significantly affect the results.

#### **Monte Carlo Simulations**

The Python code used in the simulations is available at http://physics.berkeley.edu/research/ yildiz/SubPages/code\_repository.html.

For each dwell of the motor, the tension-based rate for the trailing head was recalculated according to the fit line of the optical trap data, and the assumed stiffness of the linkage between monomers  $(1/12 \text{ pN nm}^{-1})$  (Supplementary Fig. 5). Other rates used in the model are shown in Supplementary Table 2. For each mutant simulated, 200 simulations were run for 100 s with a time step of 0.005 s to estimate the speed of each construct. In simulations of mutant dyneins, C- and N-terminal pulling data were used to calculate the force-induced release rates of FLH and BLH, respectively.

#### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the members of the Yildiz laboratory for helpful discussions and R. D. Vale and C. Cho (University of California, San Francisco), Andrew P. Carter (MRC, Cambridge) for providing yeast strains and plasmids. This work has been supported by NIH (GM094522 (AY)), NSF CAREER Award (MCB-1055017 (AY)), NSF Graduate Research Fellowship (DGE 1106400 (FBC and VB)) and Burroughs Welcome Foundation (AY).

#### References

- 1. Vallee RB, Williams JC, Varma D, Barnhart LE. Dynein: An ancient motor protein involved in multiple modes of transport. J Neurobiol. 2004; 58:189–200. [PubMed: 14704951]
- 2. Carminati JL, Stearns T. Microtubules orient the mitotic spindle in yeast through dynein-dependent interactions with the cell cortex. J Cell Biol. 1997; 138:629–641. [PubMed: 9245791]
- Morales-Mulia S, Scholey JM. Spindle pole organization in Drosophila S2 cells by dynein, abnormal spindle protein (Asp), and KLP10A. Mol Biol Cell. 2005; 16:3176–3186. [PubMed: 15888542]
- Griffis ER, Stuurman N, Vale RD. Spindly, a novel protein essential for silencing the spindle assembly checkpoint, recruits dynein to the kinetochore. J Cell Biol. 2007; 177:1005–1015. [PubMed: 17576797]
- 5. Hafezparast M, et al. Mutations in dynein link motor neuron degeneration to defects in retrograde transport. Science. 2003; 300:808–812. [PubMed: 12730604]
- Gerdes JM, Katsanis N. Microtubule transport defects in neurological and ciliary disease. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2005; 62:1556–1570. [PubMed: 15924265]
- 7. Kon T, et al. The 2.8 A crystal structure of the dynein motor domain. Nature. 2012; 484:345–350. [PubMed: 22398446]
- 8. Schmidt H, Gleave ES, Carter AP. Insights into dynein motor domain function from a 3.3-A crystal structure. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2012
- 9. Roberts AJ, et al. AAA+ Ring and linker swing mechanism in the dynein motor. Cell. 2009; 136:485–495. [PubMed: 19203583]
- Gee MA, Heuser JE, Vallee RB. An extended microtubule-binding structure within the dynein motor domain. Nature. 1997; 390:636–639. [PubMed: 9403697]
- Burgess SA, Walker ML, Sakakibara H, Knight PJ, Oiwa K. Dynein structure and power stroke. Nature. 2003; 421:715–718. [PubMed: 12610617]
- Kon T, Mogami T, Ohkura R, Nishiura M, Sutoh K. ATP hydrolysis cycle-dependent tail motions in cytoplasmic dynein. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2005; 12:513–519. [PubMed: 15880123]
- Imamula K, Kon T, Ohkura R, Sutoh K. The coordination of cyclic microtubule association/ dissociation and tail swing of cytoplasmic dynein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007; 104:16134– 16139. [PubMed: 17911268]
- Yildiz A, et al. Myosin V walks hand-over-hand: single fluorophore imaging with 1.5-nm localization. Science. 2003; 300:2061–2065. [PubMed: 12791999]

- Asbury CL, Fehr AN, Block SM. Kinesin moves by an asymmetric hand-over-hand mechanism. Science. 2003; 302:2130–2134. [PubMed: 14657506]
- Yildiz A, Tomishige M, Vale RD, Selvin PR. Kinesin walks hand-over-hand. Science. 2004; 303:676–678. [PubMed: 14684828]
- 17. Kodera N, Yamamoto D, Ishikawa R, Ando T. Video imaging of walking myosin V by high-speed atomic force microscopy. Nature. 2010; 468:72–76. [PubMed: 20935627]
- DeWitt MA, Chang AY, Combs PA, Yildiz A. Cytoplasmic dynein moves through uncoordinated stepping of the AAA+ ring domains. Science. 2012; 335:221–225. [PubMed: 22157083]
- Qiu W, et al. Dynein achieves processive motion using both stochastic and coordinated stepping. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2012; 19:193–200. [PubMed: 22231401]
- 20. Block SM. Kinesin motor mechanics: binding, stepping, tracking, gating, and limping. Biophys J. 2007; 92:2986–2995. [PubMed: 17325011]
- Hancock WO, Howard J. Kinesin's processivity results from mechanical and chemical coordination between the ATP hydrolysis cycles of the two motor domains. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1999; 96:13147–13152. [PubMed: 10557288]
- 22. Spudich JA. Molecular motors take tension in stride. Cell. 2006; 126:242–244. [PubMed: 16873054]
- Yildiz A, Tomishige M, Gennerich A, Vale RD. Intramolecular strain coordinates kinesin stepping behavior along microtubules. Cell. 2008; 134:1030–1041. [PubMed: 18805095]
- Shastry S, Hancock WO. Neck linker length determines the degree of processivity in kinesin-1 and kinesin-2 motors. Curr Biol. 2010; 20:939–943. [PubMed: 20471270]
- Dunn AR, Chuan P, Bryant Z, Spudich JA. Contribution of the myosin VI tail domain to processive stepping and intramolecular tension sensing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107:7746–7750. [PubMed: 20385849]
- Klumpp LM, Hoenger A, Gilbert SP. Kinesin's second step. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004; 101:3444–3449. [PubMed: 14985504]
- Cashikar AG, et al. Defining a pathway of communication from the C-terminal peptide binding domain to the N-terminal ATPase domain in a AAA protein. Mol Cell. 2002; 9:751–760. [PubMed: 11983167]
- Nicastro D, et al. The molecular architecture of axonemes revealed by cryoelectron tomography. Science. 2006; 313:944–948. [PubMed: 16917055]
- 29. Cho C, Reck-Peterson SL, Vale RD. Regulatory ATPase sites of cytoplasmic dynein affect processivity and force generation. J Biol Chem. 2008; 283:25839–25845. [PubMed: 18650442]
- Kon T, Nishiura M, Ohkura R, Toyoshima YY, Sutoh K. Distinct functions of nucleotide-binding/ hydrolysis sites in the four AAA modules of cytoplasmic dynein. Biochemistry. 2004; 43:11266– 11274. [PubMed: 15366936]
- Banaszynski LA, Liu CW, Wandless TJ. Characterization of the FKBP. rapamycin. FRB ternary complex. J Am Chem Soc. 2005; 127:4715–4721. [PubMed: 15796538]
- 32. Reck-Peterson SL, et al. Single-molecule analysis of dynein processivity and stepping behavior. Cell. 2006; 126:335–348. [PubMed: 16873064]
- Kon T, et al. Helix sliding in the stalk coiled coil of dynein couples ATPase and microtubule binding. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2009; 16:325–333. [PubMed: 19198589]
- 34. Gibbons IR, et al. The affinity of the dynein microtubule-binding domain is modulated by the conformation of its coiled-coil stalk. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280:23960–23965. [PubMed: 15826937]
- 35. Carter AP, et al. Structure and functional role of dynein's microtubule-binding domain. Science. 2008; 322:1691–1695. [PubMed: 19074350]
- 36. Shima T, Imamula K, Kon T, Ohkura R, Sutoh K. Head-head coordination is required for the processive motion of cytoplasmic dynein, an AAA+ molecular motor. J Struct Biol. 2006; 156:182–189. [PubMed: 16677823]
- Gennerich A, Vale RD. Walking the walk: how kinesin and dynein coordinate their steps. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2009; 21:59–67. [PubMed: 19179063]
- Capitanio M, et al. Ultrafast force-clamp spectroscopy of single molecules reveals load dependence of myosin working stroke. Nat Methods. 2012; 9:1013–1019. [PubMed: 22941363]

Author Manuscript

- Uemura S, et al. Kinesin-microtubule binding depends on both nucleotide state and loading direction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002; 99:5977–5981. [PubMed: 11959922]
- 40. Gennerich A, Carter AP, Reck-Peterson SL, Vale RD. Force-induced bidirectional stepping of cytoplasmic dynein. Cell. 2007; 131:952–965. [PubMed: 18045537]
- 41. Thoresen T, Gelles J. Processive movement by a kinesin heterodimer with an inactivating mutation in one head. Biochemistry. 2008; 47:9514–9521. [PubMed: 18702529]
- 42. Kaseda K, Higuchi H, Hirose K. Alternate fast and slow stepping of a heterodimeric kinesin molecule. Nat Cell Biol. 2003; 5:1079–1082. [PubMed: 14634664]
- 43. Shima T, Kon T, Imamula K, Ohkura R, Sutoh K. Two modes of microtubule sliding driven by cytoplasmic dynein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006; 103:17736–17740. [PubMed: 17085593]
- 44. Carter AP, Cho C, Jin L, Vale RD. Crystal structure of the dynein motor domain. Science. 2011; 331:1159–1165. [PubMed: 21330489]
- 45. Kon T, Sutoh K, Kurisu G. X-ray structure of a functional full-length dynein motor domain. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2011; 18:638–642. [PubMed: 21602819]
- 46. Vallee RB, McKenney RJ, Ori-McKenney KM. Multiple modes of cytoplasmic dynein regulation. Nat Cell Biol. 2012; 14:224–230. [PubMed: 22373868]
- 47. McKenney RJ, Vershinin M, Kunwar A, Vallee RB, Gross SP. LIS1 and NudE induce a persistent dynein force-producing state. Cell. 2010; 141:304–314. [PubMed: 20403325]
- 48. Huang J, Roberts AJ, Leschziner AE, Reck-Peterson SL. Lis1 Acts as a "Clutch" between the ATPase and Microtubule-Binding Domains of the Dynein Motor. Cell. 2012; 150:975–986. [PubMed: 22939623]
- Rasnik I, McKinney SA, Ha T. Nonblinking and long-lasting single-molecule fluorescence imaging. Nat Methods. 2006; 3:891–893. [PubMed: 17013382]
- Kalafut B, Visscher K. An objective, model-independent method for detection of non-uniform steps in noisy signals. Computer Physics Communications. 2008; 179:716–723.
- Visscher K, Block SM. Versatile optical traps with feedback control. Methods Enzymol. 1998; 298:460–489. [PubMed: 9751903]

Cleary et al.

Page 18



Figure 1. Motile properties of heterodimeric dynein constructs bearing a single ATPase mutation (a) Dynein monomers truncated at the N-terminal tail are dimerized through an N-terminal GST tag (orange). The nucleotide gating model requires the ATPase cycles of the two heads to be kept out of phase. (b) A schematic (right) of FRB-FKBP12 dynein heterodimer on a MT. One of the heads (blue) contains specific mutations at an ATPase site. (c) Average velocity ( $\pm$  SEM) and run length ( $\pm$  95% CI) of TMR labeled dynein motors at 2 mM ATP. One WT monomer is dimerized with a partner carrying the indicated ATPase mutation. (d) Kymographs showing the processive movement of WT/WT and AAA1<sub>K/A</sub>/WT motors. (e)

Plot of velocity vs. run termination rate for the indicated heterodimers shows a linear relationship (black line).

Cleary et al.



#### Figure 2. Dynein processivity requires a single motor domain

(a) Possible ring-ring communication between the two heads is abolished by replacing one of the monomers with a SRS-MTBD chimera. (b) A kymograph of  $SRS_{85:82}$ /WT showing that it moves processively along MTs (c) The average velocity (± SD) and run length (± 95% CI) of the SRS-MTBD/WT constructs compared to WT/WT. (d) An example high-resolution tracking trace (black) and stepping fit (red) of the  $SRS_{85:82}$  head. (e) Histograms of the step sizes for the SRS (upper) and WT (lower) heads (mean ± SD).

Cleary et al.



#### Figure 3. The linker provides force to drive the motility of a dynein dimer

(a) Dimerization of the C-terminal ring of one head to the N-terminal tail of the other results in a dimer of a free-linker head (FLH) and a bound-linker head (BLH). (b) Kymograph showing that the FLH/BLH heterodimer is capable of processive motility. (c) Run length histogram of FLH/BLH at 2 mM ATP, with maximum likelihood fit ( $\pm$  95% CI). (d) The average velocities ( $\pm$  SEM) of FLH/BLH constructs carrying an ATPase mutation in either the AAA1 or AAA3 site in one head (ND: motility not detected). (e) Kymographs of ATPase mutants of the FLH or BLH at 2 mM ATP. The AAA1<sub>K/A</sub> mutation on BLH abolishes directional motility, whereas AAA1<sub>E/Q</sub> mutation leads to non-directional diffusion along the MT. The same mutations on FLH do not stop motility, indicating that BLH monomer is responsible for FLH/BLH motility.



**Figure 4. Tension on the linker inhibits nucleotide-dependent release of dynein from MTs** (a) Dynein monomers are attached to a polystyrene bead either through the N-terminus of the linker via a GFP-antibody linkage, or through the C-terminus of the ring via a 74 bp long DNA tether (not to scale). (b) A representative trace showing bead position (blue) and trap position (green). (c) Force-dependent release rates of dynein monomers when the trapped bead is attached to the N-terminal linker domain. The distribution of the forward and backward release rates are unaffected by the presence (red, n = 3003) and absence (blue, n = 2335) of ATP. Shaded regions indicate 95% confidence intervals. Inset: A representative

example of the distribution of measured MT-bound times at 0.94 pN average force towards the MT-minus end at 1 mM ATP. Black curve represents maximum likelihood fit to the dwell time histogram. (**d**) Force-dependent release rates when dynein is pulled through the C-terminus. The rate is similar to the N-terminal attachment case (dotted black line) in the absence of ATP (blue, n = 1105), but increases several fold in the presence of 2 mM ATP (red, n = 1652).

Cleary et al.



Figure 5. Tension gating of a dynein head is observed at high interhead separations in a motile dimer

(a) A representative trace showing simultaneous tracking of AAA1<sub>K/A</sub>/WT stepping properties with two colors of quantum dots at saturating (1 mM) ATP. (b) The scatter plots represent the step sizes of WT (top) and AAA1<sub>K/A</sub> (bottom) heads as a function of interhead separation. Interhead separation is positive when the stepping head is in the lead. Slope and y intercept of the linear fit (red line) reveal the change in step size per nm extension between the heads and the net bias to move towards the minus-end, respectively. Both heads take smaller steps when they release the MT in the leading position, similar to native dynein. The AAA1<sub>K/A</sub> head takes mostly backward steps when stepping from the leading position (probability of backward stepping,  $p_{BW} = 0.63$ ) (c) The AAA1<sub>K/A</sub> head remains in the trailing position 72% of the time. Distance to the WT head is positive when the AAA1<sub>K/A</sub> head is in the lead. (d) Histogram of the dwell time between steps of the WT (left) or AAA1<sub>K/A</sub> (right) head, independent of the action of the other head, with exponential fit (± 95% CI). (e) Stepping rates of the WT and AAA1<sub>K/A</sub> heads as a function of interhead

separation (shaded region is the 95% CI). The WT head steps more frequently when it is positioned close to the  $AAA1_{K/A}$  head, and is otherwise as a similar rate to the  $AAA1_{K/A}$  head, indicating that the WT head is gated at high interhead separations.

Cleary et al.



#### Figure 6. A model for dynein motility

(a) Two proposed mechanisms of dynein stepping. (Left) When the heads are close to each other, either head can release the MT and step forward upon binding ATP. (Right) When the heads are far apart, tension on the linker prevents ATP-dependent MT release, and the asymmetry of the release rates under tension favors the trailing head to take a step. (b) A representative Monte-Carlo simulation of dynein motility shows stepping of the two head domains (blue and red). (c) The trailing head is more likely to take a step in simulated traces as the interhead separation increases. The data shown is the average of 200 simulations ( $\pm$  SD). (d) The average velocity of 200 100 s simulated traces ( $\pm$  SEM) agrees well with measured velocities for various dynein mutants ( $\pm$  SEM, n > 100). The results of the model are within  $\pm 15\%$  of the experimental data.