

Risk factors for eclampsia in pregnant women with preeclampsia and positive neurosensory signs

Preeklampsi ve pozitif nörosensör belirtileri olan gebelerde eklampsi için risk faktörleri

Houssam Rebahi¹, Megan Elizabeth Still², Yassine Faouzi¹, Ahmed Rhassane El Adib¹

¹Cadi-Ayyad University, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy of Marrakech, Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Marrakech, Morocco ²University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Clinic of Anesthesiology and Pain Management, Dallas, TX, USA

Abstract

Objective: In Morocco, eclampsia remains the second major cause of maternal mortality. Conventionally, patients with preeclampsia and neurosensory signs (NSS) (e.g., headaches and hyperreflexia) are considered at high risk of worsening and progressing to eclampsia. However, this specific population is heterogeneous in terms of eclampsia occurrence. We aimed to identify the risk factors for the development of eclampsia in women with preeclampsia presenting with NSS at admission.

Materials and Methods: We performed a single-center, retrospective case-control study of patients with preeclampsia with positive NSS from January 1st, 2012 through December 31st, 2015, to investigate predictive factors for eclamptic seizures. The case patients were pregnant women with severe preeclampsia who had NSS before developing eclampsia. Control subjects were those with positive NSS without the development of seizures during their hospital stay. One hundred-thirty eight patients with eclampsia and 272 control patients were enrolled.

Results: Univariate analysis revealed that eclampsia was more likely to develop in patients with the following risk factors: maternal age ≤ 25 years ($\chi 2=9.58$, p=0.002), primiparity ($\chi 2=6.38$, p=0.011), inadequate prenatal care ($\chi 2=11.62$, p=0.001), systolic hypertension ≥ 160 mmHg ($\chi 2=15.31$, p<0.001), diastolic hypertension ≥ 110 mmHg ($\chi 2=5.7$, p=0.017), generalized acute edema ($\chi 2=14.66$, p<0.001), hematocrit <35% ($\chi 2=11.16$, p=0.001), serum creatinine >100 µmol/L ($\chi 2=13.46$, p<0.001), asparate aminotransferase (AST) >70 IU/L ($\chi 2=10.15$, p=0.001), and thrombocytopenia ($\chi 2=22.73$, p<0.001). Additionally, independent predictive factors for eclampsia in multivariate analysis included inadequate prenatal care [odds ratio (OR), 8.96 [95% confidence interval (CI): 3.9-20.5], p<0.001), systolic blood pressure ≥ 160 mmHg (OR, 3.130 [95% CI: 1.342-7.305], p=0.008), thrombocytopenia with a platelet count <50.000 (OR, 13.106 [95% CI: 1.344-127.823], p=0.027), AST ≥ 70 IU (OR, 3.575 [95% CI: 1.313-9.736], p=0.007), and elevated liver enzymes level, and low platelet count (ELLP) syndrome, which is an incomplete variant of HELLP syndrome (H for hemolysis) (OR, 5.83 [95% CI: 2.43-13.9], p<0.001).

Conclusion: This work highlights two major risk factors in this patient population, inadequate prenatal care and ELLP syndrome, which can help in the early identification of patients at highest risk of developing eclampsia and guide preventive measures. **Keywords:** Eclampsia, preeclampsia, development, neurosensory signs, risk factors

Öz

Amaç: Eklampsi, Fas'ta maternal mortalitenin ikinci ana nedeni olmaya devam etmektedir. Konvansiyonel olarak, preeklampsi ve pozitif nörosensör belirtileri (NSB) olan hastalar (örneğin; baş ağrısı ve hiperrefleksi), kötüleşme ve eklampsiye ilerleme riski yüksek olarak kabul edilmektedir. Bununla birlikte, bu spesifik populasyon eklampsi oluşumu açısından heterojendir. Bu çalışmada, NSB ile başvuran preeklampsili kadınlarda, eklampsinin gelişimindeki risk faktörlerinin belirlenmesi amaçlanmıştır.

Gereç ve Yöntemler: Eklamptik nöbetler yönünden prediktif faktörleri araştırmak amacıyla, 1 Ocak 2012-31 Aralık 2015 tarihleri arasında preeklampsi pozitif NSB'li hastaların tek merkezli, retrospektif bir olgu-kontrol çalışması gerçekleştirilmiştir. Olgudaki hastalar, eklampsi gelişiminden önce NSB'si olan şiddetli preeklampsili gebe kadınlar idi. Kontrol grubu, hastanede kaldıkları süre boyunca nöbet gelişimi olmadan pozitif NSB'ye sahip hastalardı. Eklampsili 138 hasta ve kontrol olarak alınan 272 birey çalışmaya dâhil edildi.

Bulgular: Tek değişkenli analiz, aşağıdaki risk faktörleri olan hastalarda eklampsinin gelişme olasılığının daha yüksek olduğunu ortaya çıkarmıştır; maternal yaş ≤ 25 yıl ($\chi 2=9,58$, p=0,002), primipar ($\chi 2=6,38$, p=0,011), yetersiz prenatal bakım ($\chi 2=11,62$, p=0,001), sistolik hipertansiyon ≥ 160 mmHg ($\chi 2=15,31$, p<0,001), diyastolik hipertansiyon ≥ 110 mmHg ($\chi 2=5,7$, p=0,017), yaygın akut ödem ($\chi 2=14,66$, p<0,001), hematokrit <%35 ($\chi 2=11,16$, p=0,001), serum kreatinin >100 µmol/L ($\chi 2=13,46$, p<0,001), asparate aminotransferase (AST) >70 IU/L ($\chi 2=10,15$, p=0,001) ve trombositopeni ($\chi 2=22,73$, p<0,001). Ek olarak, çok değişkenli analizde eklampsi için bağımsız öngördürücü faktörler yetersiz prenatal bakım (odds oranı (OR), 8,96

Address for Correspondence/Yazışma Adresi: Houssam Rebahi, MD,

[©]Copyright 2018 by Turkish Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology Turkish Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology published by Galenos Publishing House.

Cadi-Ayyad University, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy of Marrakech, Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care Medicine, Marrakech, Morocco Phone: +21 269 938 70 33 E-mail: r-houssam@hotmail.com ORCID ID: orcid.org/0000-0001-9938-9551 Received/Gelis Tarihi: 23.05.2018 Accepted/Kabul Tarihi: 26.08.2018

[%95 güven aralığı (GA): 3,9-20,5], p<0,001), sistolik kan basıncı ≥160 mmHg (OR, 3,130 [%95 GA: 1,342-7,305], p=0,008), trombosit sayısı <50,000 olan trombositopeni (OR, 13,106 [%95 GA: 1,344-127,823], p=0,027), AST ≥70 IU (OR, 3,575 [%95 GA: 1,313-9,736], p=0,007) ve hemoliz yüksek karaciğer enzimleri ve düşük trombosit (HELLP) sendromunun eksik bir varyantı olan ELLP sendromunu (OR, 5,83 [%95 GA: 2,43-13,9], p<0,001) kapsamaktadır.

Sonuç: Bu çalışma, bu hasta popülasyonunda iki önemli risk faktörünün, yetersiz prenatal bakımın ve ELLP sendromunun, eklampsi gelişme riski en yüksek olan hastaları erken teşhis etmede ve önleyici tedbirlere yönlendirmede yardımcı olabileceğini vurgulamaktadır. **Anahtar Kelimeler:** Eklampsi, preaklampsi, gelişim, nörosensör belirtiler, risk faktörleri

PRECIS: We have attempted, by carrying out a monocentric case-control study, to identify the predicting factors of eclampsia occurrence in a specific population: Preeclamptic women with positive neurosensory symptoms.

Introduction

Every day, at least 800 women die due to complications of pregnancy and delivery, equaling a death every two minutes and 292.000 deaths per year⁽¹⁾. These complications are particularly overrepresented in developing countries⁽²⁾. Of these deaths, 12% are related to conditions of hypertension, among which eclampsia is one of the most urgent. Eclampsia is defined by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists as new-onset of grand mal seizures in a woman diagnosed with preeclampsia, and is the second leading cause of maternal mortality in Morocco, after post-partum hemorrhage⁽³⁻⁵⁾. The efforts to predict which patients may suffer from preeclampsia and eclampsia have not yet resulted in a meaningful method of prevention, but the identification of certain predictive factors for the development of seizures in patients with preeclampsia can help caregivers optimize their plans and intervention strategies to prevent this serious, deadly pathology. The aim of the study was to identify predictive factors for the development of eclampsia in patients diagnosed with severe preeclampsia with positive neurosensory signs (NSS).

Materials and Methods

Study design

This was a retrospective case-control study performed in the Obstetrical Intensive Care Unit (OICU) at the Center for Mothers and Children in the Mohammed VI Hospital Center in Marrakech, Morocco. The inclusion period was from January 1st, 2012, through December 31st, 2015.

Patient population

The case group was defined as all patients who experienced preeclampsia with NSS at their admission in the OICU and who developed eclampsia during the inclusion period, and the control group was composed of all patients hospitalized in the same unit for severe preeclampsia with positive NSS. Positive NSS included one or more of the following: 1) persistent headaches resistant to treatment; 2) hyperreflexia, or; 3) visual troubles, including blurry vision, scotoma, floaters, photopsia, or temporal-cortical blindness. All

in addition to NSS, exhibited one or more of the following severe features of preeclampsia at admission: 1) Severe hypertension (systolic ≥160 mmHg and/or diastolic ≥110 mmHg); 2) Oliguria (<500 mL/24 hours); 3) serum creatinine concentration >100 µmol/L; 4) thrombocytopenia (platelet count <100.000/mm³); 5) impaired liver function as attested by abnormally elevated blood levels of liver enzymes (to twice normal value), or; 6) acute pulmonary edema. Regarding the initial management targeting the stabilization of hospitalized patients, all women received the same local protocol (which is fully concordant with international recommendations), so a magnesium sulfate regimen was given. Nicardipine, a calcium channel blocker, was the first-line antihypertensive medication used and was carefully titrated to gradually lower the mean arterial blood pressure (BP) and mitigate abrupt variations of maternal BP. Moreover, both the preeclamptic parturient and the fetus benefited from close monitoring and surveillance and their statuses were frequently reassessed. Expedited and prompt delivery was considered, regardless of gestational age, in case of any worsening in maternal and/ or fetal conditions. For stabilized women with viable fetuses aged less than 34 weeks of gestation, an antenatal schema of corticosteroids (12 mg per day of betamethasone) was administered within 2 days in order to induce fetal lung maturation.

patients included in the study underwent blood tests and,

Exclusion criteria

Patients were excluded if they developed seizures without associated gestational hypertension or had chronic epilepsy, meningitis, toxic or metabolic encephalitis, chronic hypertension, cerebral hemorrhage, or brain tumor.

Data collection

Data were collected from the archives of patient files in the OICU. Maternal variables gathered included age, past medical history, place of residence, gestational age when admitted to the unit, parity, the time between seizure and delivery, and the evolution of disease while the patient was in the unit. Physical exam variables collected included clinical symptoms at admission, Glasgow Coma score, BP, presence of edema, proteinuria, diuresis, hemoglobin, hematocrit, platelet counts, coagulation panel, liver function, bilirubin, and creatinine.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS, Version 20 (International Business Machines Corporation) software package. Data related to descriptive analysis were expressed as means, and bivariate analysis was achieved using the chi-square test (eclampsia occurrence was the dependent variable). Variables associated at the p<0.05 level in univariate analysis were entered into a multivariate logistic regression analysis. A final p value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Patient characteristics

From January 2012 through December 2015, 42.513 patients gave birth in our facility and 429 patients were found to fulfil the study criteria. The number of excluded cases was 19: ten patients due to diabetes, six due to a history of chronic hypertension, and three due to chronic renal insufficiency. The final analysis included 410 patients who met the inclusion criteria, with 138 patients in the study group (eclampsia group), and 272 patients in the control group.

Patient characteristics are detailed in Table 1. Notably, 42.8% of the eclampsia group was under the age of 25 versus only 27.6% of the control group, and the frequency of acute renal insufficiency in the eclampsia group was double that of the control group. Magnesium was used as an anticonvulsant in all cases. Of the 52 patients who had postpartum seizures, 47 (90.4%) were referred for postpartum eclampsia, 29 (61.7%) of whom gave birth at home. These data were unavailable in 16 patients (11.6%). The majority of observed deaths in the two groups results from cerebrovascular accidents (especially hemorrhage, sometimes with atypical locations such as the brainstem), disseminated intravascular coagulopathy, uncontrolled hemorrhagic shock (rupture of liver subscaplular hematoma or placental abruption), and finally multi-organ failure.

Outcomes

The analysis results are shown in Table 2. After completion of the univariate analysis, 13 independent risk factors for the development of eclampsia were identified as follows: patients aged 25 years old or younger (p=0.002), primiparous women (p=0.011), patients with inadequate prenatal care (p=0.001), patients with systolic BP ≥ 160 mmHg (p<0.001), diastolic BP \geq 110 mmHg (p=0.017), patients with generalized edema (p<0.001), hemoglobin >12 g/dL (p=0.021), hematocrit <35% (p=0.001), thrombocytopenia with platelets <50.000/ mm³ (p<0.001) or 50.000-99.000/mm³ (p<0.001), elevated liver enzymes with asparate aminotransferase (AST) >70 IU/L (p=0.001), creatinine >100 µmol/L (p<0.001), elevated liver enzymes level, and low platelet level (ELLP) (p<0.001), and cesarean birth (p=0.004). In the multivariate analysis, inadequate prenatal care (p<0.001), SBP >160 mmHg (p=0.008), thrombocytopenia with platelets ranging

between 50.000 and 99.000/mm³ (p<0.001) and <50.000/mm³ (p=0.027), AST >70 IU/L (p=0.007), and ELLP syndrome (p<0.001) were independent variables that highly predisposed women with preeclampsia with positive NSS to eclampsia occurrence.

Discussion

Eclampsia is a serious disease, especially in developing countries where it is a major health issue and one of the leading causes of maternal mortality. The significantly increased incidence of 0.12-3.7% of eclampsia reported in African countries versus 0.04-0.12% in various European studies may be due to the complex nature of the disease, which is more suitable for a specialized and multi-disciplinary team, often unavailable to most patients in developing countries^(1,6-8). Multiple risk factors are known for the development of preeclampsia, including primiparity, maternal age over 40 years, chronic hypertension or diabetes, multiple gestations, and a prior history of preeclampsia⁽⁹⁾. However, risk factors for the progression to eclampsia are much less clear. The most frequent premonitory signs of eclampsia occurrence are severe hypertension, headaches, ankle clonus, epigastric or right upper quadrant pain, and visual disturbances. However, analysis was not performed on these signs to determine if they constituted statistically significant risk factors for the development of eclampsia in patients already diagnosed with severe preeclampsia, and the degree of hypertension does not appear to consistently predict the risk of eclampsia⁽¹⁰⁾. Therefore, it is imperative to understand other signs and symptoms that may indicate that a patient is at a higher risk for developing eclampsia. In the current study, numerous putative risk factors for eclampsia in patients with severe preeclampsia and positive NSS were identified, particularly a lack of adequate prenatal care, severe hypertension, and signs of HELLP (H for hemolysis) syndrome, which should be addressed to prevent the development of dangerous seizures and reduce both maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality. Most studies to date detail the risk factors for the development of preeclampsia, rather than the development of eclampsia from pre-eclamptic patient cohorts^(11,12). In one study, the risk factors for developing eclampsia were similar to those of developing preeclampsia, which included low maternal age, primiparity, obesity, short duration of marriage prior to pregnancy, low level of education, history of preeclampsia, and inadequate prenatal care⁽¹³⁾. In our study, all of the above-mentioned risk factors that were analyzed were found to be significant in the univariate analysis. However, only inadequate prenatal care was found to be a significant risk factor upon multivariate analysis. This discrepancy is most likely due to our comparison of patients with severe preeclampsia and patients with eclampsia, rather than analyzing the difference between patients with eclampsia and non-complicated pregnancies.

Table 1. Continued

Table 1. Patient characteristics on admission

	Eclampsia (%)	Severe preeclampsia + NSS (%)
Maternal age ≤25 years 26-34 years ≥35 years	59 (42.8) 55 (39.8) 24 (17.4)	75 (27.6) 108 (39.7) 89 (32.7)
Gestational age <34 weeks 34-36 weeks ≥37 weeks	14 (10.0) 38 (27.5) 86 (62.5)	16 (5.9) 84 (30.9) 172 (63.2)
GCS 15 9-14 ≤8	55 (39.9) 65 (47.1) 18 (13.0)	272 (100) 0 0
SBP ≥160 mmHg 140-159 <140	119 (86.2) 19 (13.8) 0	186 (68.4) 72 (26.5) 14 (5.1)
DBP ≥110 90-110 <90	13 (9.4) 121 (87.7) 4 (2.9)	10 (3.7) 256 (94.1) 6 (2.2)
Edema Generalized Lower extremities Absent	31 (22.5) 101 (73.2) 6 (4.3)	24 (8.8) 234 (86.0) 14 (5.2)
Proteinuria (traces on urine test strip) 1+ 2+ ≥3+	40 (29.0) 43 (31.2) 55 (39.8)	55 (20.2) 101 (37.1) 34 (12.5)
Diuresis <500 mL/24 hrs ≥500 mL/24 hrs	22 (15.9) 116 (84.1)	34 (12.5) 238 (87.5)
Symptoms Nausea & vomiting Jaundice Epigastric pain	104 (75.4) 6 (4.3) 48 (34.8)	202 (74.3) 5 (1.8) 75 (27.6)
Hemoglobin ≥12 g/dL <7 g/dL <7 g/dL	30 (21.7) 99 (71.8) 9 (6.5)	89 (32.7) 175 (64.4) 8 (2.9)
Hematocrit ≥35% <35%	88 (63.8) 50 (36.2)	126 (53.6) 146 (46.4)

Platelets (10 ³ /mm ³) ≥100 50-99 <50	103 (74.6) 27 (19.6) 8 (5.8)	257 (94.5) 13 (4.8) 2 (0.7)
PTT Normal Long	90 (65.2) 48 (34.8)	174 (64.0) 98 (36.0)
AST <70 UI/L ≥70 UI/L	83 (60.1) 55 (39.9)	205 (75.4) 67 (24.6)
Bilirubin <12 mg/dL ≥12 mg/dL	78 (56.5) 60 (43.5)	165 (60.7) 107 (39.3)
Creatinine ≤100 μmol/L >100 μmol/L	100 (72.5) 38 (27.5)	237 (87.0) 38 (13.0)
Ultrasound Placental abruption Oligohydramnios Intrauterine growth restriction Fetal demise	n=37 5 (13.9) 12 (32.4) 13 (35.1) 4 (10.8)	n=54 6 (11.1) 18 (33.3) 14 (25.9) 6 (11.1)
Complications Retroplacental hematoma Acute renal insufficiency Acute pulmonary edema	16 (11.6) 37 (26.8) 9 (6.5)	29 (10.7) 35 (12.9) 17 (6.3)
Mortality Deceased	19 (13.8)	21 (7.7)
APGAR score ≥7 4-6 ≤3	n=93 60 (64.5) 14 (15.1) 17 (18.3)	n=258 192 (74.4) 23 (8.9) 40 (15.5)
Fetal complications Prematurity Intrauterine growth restriction Fetal demise	52 (37.7) 35 (25.4) 11 (8.0)	100 (36.7 54 (19.9) 24 (8.8)
Method of delivery Cesarean Vaginal	108 (78.3) 30 (21.7)	175 (64.3) 97 (35.7)

GCS: Glascow Coma score, SBP: Systolic blood pressure, DBP: Diastolic blood pressure, PTT: Partial thromboplastin time, AST: Asparate aminotransferase, NSS: Neurosensory signs

Table 2. Univariate and multivariate analysis of potential risk factors for the development of eclampsia in patients admitted for severe preeclampsia and positive neurosensory signs

and positive neurosciloory signs		Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis		
Variable		χ^2	р	OR (95% CI)	р	OR (95% CI)
Maternal age (years)	≤25	9.589	0.002	1.962 (1.277-3.014)	0.139	1.559 (0.866-2.807)
	26-34					
	≥35					
Gestational age (weeks)	≤33	2.453	0.117	0.554 (0.262-1.170)		
	34-36					
	≥37					
Parity	Primiparous	6.388	0.011	1.708 (1.126-2.591)	0.068	0.551 (0.291-1.044)
	Pauciparous					
	Multiparous					
Pre-partum care	Followed					
	Not followed	11.622	0.001	2.84 (1.53-5.26)	< 0.001	8.966 (3.909-20.563)
	<140					
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	140-160					
	≥160	15.311	< 0.001	2.896 (1.675-5.007)	0.008	3.130 (1.342-7.305)
	<90					
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	90-110					
	≥110	5.704	0.017	2.896 (1.675-5.007)		
Edama	Lower extremities					
Edema	Generalized	14.665	< 0.001	2.90 (1.678-5.342)	0.088	2.064 (0.897-4.752)
	Absent					
	lx					
Proteinuria	2x					
	≥3x	294	0.588	0.891 (0.587-1.352)		
Diuresis (mL/hrs)	<500	0.920	0.338	1.328 (0.743-1.915)		
Digestive symptoms	Nausea/ Vomiting	0.058	0.809	0.943 (0.588-1.514)		
	Icterus	2.208	0.137	2.427 (0.826-4.342)		
	Epigastric pain	2.266	0.132	0.714 (0.460-1.108)		
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	<7					
	7-12					
	≥12	5.359	0.021	0.571 (0.354-0.921)		
Hematocrit (%)	≥35					
	<35	11.166	0.001	2.050 (1.160-3.620)	0.211	1.479 (0.801-2.730)
Platelets (10 ³ /mm ³)	<50	12.571	<0.001	16.677 (2.06-135)	0.027	13.106 (1.344- 127.823)
	50-99					
	≥100					

Prothrombin time (%)	<50 50-69	0.185	0.667	0.756 (0.210-2.723)		
	≥70					
PTT	Normal					
	Prolonged	0.062	0.803	0.947 (0.617-1.454)		
AST (UI/L)	<70					
	≥70	10.150	0.001	2.028 (1.308-3.143)	0.007	3.575 (1.313-9.736)
Bilirubin-emia (mg/dL)	<12					
	≥12	0.650	0.420	1.186 (0.783-1.797)		
Creatinine (µmol/L)	≤100					
	>100	13.460	< 0.001	2.573 (1.537-4.308)	0.427	0.728 (0.333-1.592)
HELLP syndrome	ELLP	19.145	< 0.001	5.245 (2.332-11.798)	< 0.001	5.828 (2.439-13.925)
Prematurity		0.033	0.856	1.040 (0.681-1.588)		
Fetal growth restriction		3.559	0.590	1.635 (0.979-2.732)		
Oligohy-dramnios		1.928	0.165	0.706 (0.431-1.156)		
Fetal demise		0.000	0.998	0.999 (0.469-2.129)		
Delivery mode	Vaginal					
	Cesarean	8.300	0.004	1.995 (1.242-3.207)		

Table 2. Continued

PTT: Partial thromboplastin time, AST: Asparate aminotransferase, CI: Confidence interval, OR: Odds ratio, HELLP: Hemolysis elevated liver enzyme levels, and low platelet levels, ELLP: Elevated liver enzyme levels, and low platelet levels

It is imperative to be able to identify which patients are at risk of progressing from preeclampsia to eclampsia to initiate preventative measures. In 2011, the Preeclampsia Integrated Estimate of Risk (fullPIERS) model was developed for predicting complications in patients admitted for preeclampsia. This model demonstrated good discriminatory and stratification abilities and has been both internally and externally validated⁽¹⁴⁻¹⁷⁾. However, the fullPIERS model was designed for use in high-resource settings. In line with this, another model was required for use in low-resource settings that could be easily implemented by mid-level local health care workers because a majority of deaths assigned to disorders of pregnancy occur in low and middle income countries (LMICs), where there is a high incidence of delay in identification of high-risk patients, transportation, and administration of appropriate treatment⁽¹⁸⁻²⁰⁾. Thus, the miniPIERS was developed for use in LMICs. Due to the frequent lack of laboratory data in rural settings, the miniPIERS is based solely on patient demographics, signs, and symptoms. Using a recommended cut-off at 15% for risk of probability of adverse outcome to initiate closer follow-up, and 25% as the cut-off for referral to a tertiary care facility, the miniPIERS has the potential to significantly improve patient care in resource-limited settings⁽¹⁸⁾. However, both

the fullPIERS and miniPIERS models were designed to identify patients who are most at risk for developing any complications, not only eclampsia. Therefore, more work must be done to better stratify those at risk and identify which patients have the highest risk of developing eclampsia to begin the proper, specific preventative measures. The goal of early detection of preeclampsia is to be able to introduce effective preventative treatment during pregnancy to avoid eclamptic seizures and their subsequent complications. Indeed, prenatal care has been shown to play an important role in the incidence of eclampsia and other complications of pregnancy, and the lack of proper prenatal care for pregnant women is a major public health concern both in Morocco, as well as other developing countries, where management of high-risk patients is limited, making it challenging to detect early warning signs and act on modifiable risk factors⁽²¹⁻²⁴⁾. In our work, 89.9% of the patients with eclampsia and 75.7% of the control group were not properly followed prior to admission, conveying a 9 times increased risk of developing eclampsia. This is an important factor to address as there are reliable algorithms published for the calculation of risk of preeclampsia that may be beneficial in the early identification of these patients. As discussed above, one potential intervention for addressing this issue is the implementation

of the regular use of the miniPIERS assessment in community healthcare settings. By training community workers to identify the risk factors of the miniPIERS model and use the formula or application to calculate the predicted risk of complications, at-risk patients may be identified earlier and sent to the proper higher-level care facilities. Hypertension is one of the defining characteristics of preeclampsia and severe hypertension with a systolic BP greater than 160 mmHg has been viewed as a warning sign of evolution to eclampsia⁽¹⁰⁾. Based on a recent systematic review of reports of patients with eclampsia, it is unclear whether the degree of hypertension is consistently related to eclampsia⁽¹⁰⁾. However, the results of the present study demonstrate that severe hypertension is a risk factor for the development of seizures in patients with preeclampsia, and therefore should be taken as a sign of condition severity. HELLP syndrome, described for the first time by Weinsteinen in 1982, is the acronym for HELLP. It is generally considered to be a subset of preeclampsia^(25,26). Although the laboratory thresholds used to define HELLP syndrome are not unanimous, Sibai proposed the following definition in order to be able to combine and compare the various studies in the literature: hemolysis defined as at least two of the following: 1) lactate dehydrogenase >600 IU/L; 2) presence of schistocytes or; 3) total bilirubinemia >12 mg/L. Additionally, the author defined elevated liver enzymes as AST >70 IU/L and low platelets as a platelet count below 100.000/mm^{3(27,28)}. In the present work, bilirubin was the only laboratory value that could be found relating to hemolysis, so the diagnosis of hemolysis, and thus HELLP syndrome, could not be formally made. Therefore, the analysis was performed based on previously described incomplete HELLP syndrome parameters, defined as elevated liver enzymes (EL), ELLP (EL and thrombocytopenia), and isolated low platelet count (LP). On multivariate analysis, AST >70 IU/L, LP (platelet count of 50.000-100.000/mm3 and <50.000/mm3) and ELLP were found to be independent predictors of eclampsia in this specific patient population. These results are in line with those previously published, which found a statistically significant association between HELLP syndrome and eclampsia, with a 15-25% incidence of HELLP syndrome reported in patients with eclampsia in various studies^(13,16,29). The limitations of this study lie chiefly in the methodology: due to the nature of the retrospective chart review, various important data points were missing from our data set, including reliable indicators for hemolysis, the time between the onset of symptoms and admission to our facility, and the severity of the disease upon admission at upstream facilities. Each patient included in the series was given magnesium sulfate, introducing a possible confounding factor as to who may have developed eclampsia in the natural course of the disease. Additionally, some blood tests reported were performed after the onset of eclamptic seizures, introducing possible bias in those data as well. Finally, the inclusion criteria used for this study may have affected the outcome of the analyses because both the case

and control patients had severe, late-stage presentation of the disease. This may account for some of the discrepancies between our results and previously published data.

Conclusion

Eclampsia is a serious public health concern, especially in LMICs where access to proper prenatal care and screening are often not available. It is important to identify risk factors that may increase the probability of patients with severe preeclampsia progressing to eclampsia. We report several major risk factors in the development of eclampsia, including inadequate prenatal care, severe hypertension, and incomplete HELLP syndrome. Thus, it is imperative to address access to care for all pregnant patients, especially in developing countries, treat hypertension early, and to be aware of the importance of incomplete HELLP syndrome to improve maternal and fetal outcomes and work to prevent this devastating condition.

Ethics

Ethics Committee Approval: The study was approved by the Medical School of Marrakech Local Ethics and Scientific Committee, a committee that is in the set-up stage so till now we do not have an approval number.

Informed Consent: This is a retrospective study and data were old, de-identified and anonymized, then we did not need consents.

Peer-review: External and internal peer-reviewed.

Authorship Contributions

Surgical and Medical Practices: H.R., M.E.S., Y.F., A.R.EA., Concept: H.R., A.R.EA., Design: H.R., Y.F., A.R.EA., Data Collection or Processing: H.R., Y.F., Analysis or Interpretation: H.R., Y.F., M.E.S., A.R.EA., Literature Search: H.R., M.E.S., Writing: H.R., M.E.S.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

References

- Housni YE, Boussalwa E, Kharbach A, Khadmaoui A. La Surveillance Prénatale, Au Maroc, Cas Des Femmes Ayant Accouché À La Maternité European Scientific Journal 2016;12:232.
- Beye MD, Diouf E, Kane O, Ndoye MD, Seydi A, Ndiaye PI, et al. [Intensive care management of 28 patients with severe eclampsia in a tropical African setting]. Ann Fr Anesth Reanim 2003;22:25-9.
- Pottecher T, Societe Francaise d'Anesthesie et de R, Societe Francaise de Medicine P, Societe Francaise de P, College National des Gynecologues Obstetriciens F. [Resuscitation in severe forms of pre-eclampsia (short text)]. J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris) 2001;30:121-32.
- Belegtem M, Halboch F. Stratégie Nationale de Réduction de la Mortalité et de la Morbidité Maternelles et Néonatales. Ministère de la Santé2002.

- Bulletins--Obstetrics ACOP. ACOG practice bulletin. Diagnosis and management of preeclampsia and eclampsia. Number 33, January 2002. Obstet Gynecol 2002;99:159-67.
- 6. Tsatsaris V, Fournier T, Winer N. [Pathophysiology of preeclampsia]. J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris) 2008;37:16-23.
- Berkane N. Questioning the efficiency of magnesium sulfate for the prevention of eclampsia in women with preeclampsia. Gynecol Obstet Fertil 2010;38:159-61.
- Savvidou MD, Hingorani AD, Tsikas D, Frolich JC, Vallance P, Nicolaides KH. Endothelial dysfunction and raised plasma concentrations of asymmetric dimethylarginine in pregnant women who subsequently develop pre-eclampsia. Lancet 2003;361:1511-7.
- 9. Sjaus A, McKeen DM, George RB. Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. Can J Anaesth 2016;63:1075-97.
- 10. Berhan Y, Berhan A. Should magnesium sulfate be administered to women with mild pre-eclampsia? A systematic review of published reports on eclampsia. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 2015;41:831-42.
- 11. Lee CJ, Hsieh TT, Chiu TH, Chen KC, Lo LM, Hung TH. Risk factors for pre-eclampsia in an Asian population. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2000;70:327-33.
- Chibber R, Al-Hijji J, Amen A, Fouda M, Kaleemullah ZM, El-Saleh E, et al. Maternal and perinatal outcome of eclampsia over a decade at a tertiary hospital in Kuwait. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2016;29:3132-7.
- Mahran A, Fares H, Elkhateeb R, Ibrahim M, Bahaa H, Sanad A, et al. Risk factors and outcome of patients with eclampsia at a tertiary hospital in Egypt. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2017;17:435.
- Ukah UV, Payne B, Hutcheon JA, Ansermino JM, Ganzevoort W, Thangaratinam S, et al. Assessment of the fullPIERS Risk Prediction Model in Women With Early-Onset Preeclampsia. Hypertension 2018;71:659-65.
- von Dadelszen P, Payne B, Li J, Ansermino JM, Broughton Pipkin F, Cote AM, et al. Prediction of adverse maternal outcomes in preeclampsia: development and validation of the fullPIERS model. Lancet 2011;377:219-27.
- Akkermans J, Payne B, von Dadelszen P, Groen H, Vries J, Magee LA, et al. Predicting complications in pre-eclampsia: external validation of the fullPIERS model using the PETRA trial dataset. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2014;179:58-62.
- 17. Payne B, Hodgson S, Hutcheon JA, Joseph KS, Li J, Lee T, et al. Performance of the fullPIERS model in predicting adverse maternal outcomes in pre-eclampsia using patient data from the PIERS (Pre-eclampsia Integrated Estimate of RiSk) cohort, collected on admission. BJOG 2013;120:113-8.

- 18. Payne BA, Hutcheon JA, Ansermino JM, Hall DR, Bhutta ZA, Bhutta SZ, et al. A risk prediction model for the assessment and triage of women with hypertensive disorders of pregnancy in low-resourced settings: the miniPIERS (Pre-eclampsia Integrated Estimate of RiSk) multi-country prospective cohort study. PLoS Med 2014;11:e1001589.
- 19. Thaddeus S, Maine D. Too far to walk: maternal mortality in context. Soc Sci Med 1994;38:1091-110.
- Gabrysch S, Campbell OM. Still too far to walk: literature review of the determinants of delivery service use. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2009;9:34.
- 21. Mohamed Shaker El-Sayed Azzaz A, Martinez-Maestre MA, Torrejon-Cardoso R. Antenatal care visits during pregnancy and their effect on maternal and fetal outcomes in pre-eclamptic patients. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 2016;42:1102-10.
- 22. Martinez-Garcia E, Olvera-Porcel MC, de Dios Luna-Del Castillo J, Jimenez-Mejias E, Amezcua-Prieto C, Bueno-Cavanillas A. Inadequate prenatal care and maternal country of birth: a retrospective study of southeast Spain. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2012;165:199-4.
- Sebbani M, Adarmouch L, Azzahiri I, Quiddi W, Cherkaoui M, Amine M. [Knowledge and attitudes towards reproductive health: survey among moroccans living in rural areas]. Pan Afr Med J 2016;25:186.
- Goldenberg RL, McClure EM, Harrison MS, Miodovnik M. Diabetes during Pregnancy in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. Am J Perinatol 2016;33:1227-35.
- Weinstein L. Syndrome of hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelet count: a severe consequence of hypertension in pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1982;142:159-67.
- Sutton ALM, Harper LM, Tita ATN. Hypertensive Disorders in Pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am 2018;45:333-47.
- 27. Audibert F, Friedman SA, Frangieh AY, Sibai BM. Clinical utility of strict diagnostic criteria for the HELLP (hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelets) syndrome. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1996;175:460-4.
- 28. Sibai BM. The HELLP syndrome (hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelets): much ado about nothing? Am J Obstet Gynecol 1990;162:311-6.
- 29. Jaatinen N, Ekholm E. Eclampsia in Finland; 2006 to 2010. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2016;95:787-92.