Effectiveness and safety of secukinumab in axial spondyloarthritis: a 24-month prospective, multicenter real-life study

Roberta Ramonda^{*}, Mariagrazia Lorenzin^{*}, Maria Sole Chimenti[®], Salvatore D'Angelo[®], Antonio Marchesoni, Carlo Salvarani, Ennio Lubrano[®], Luisa Costa, Ylenia Dal Bosco, Elena Fracassi, Augusta Ortolan, Mario Ferraioli, Antonio Carriero[®], Elisa Visalli, Riccardo Bixio, Francesca Desiati, Alberto Bergamini, Elisa Pedrollo, Andrea Doria[®], Rosario Foti, Antonio Carletto; on behalf Spondyloarthritis and Psoriatic Arthritis SIR Study Group "Antonio Spadaro"

Abstract

Objectives: To evaluate, in a multicentric Italian cohort of axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) patients on Secukinumab (SEC) followed for 24 months: (1) the long-term effectiveness and safety of SEC; (2) the drug retention rate and low disease activity (LDA) measured as Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI) < 4/Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (ASDAS) < 2.1 and very low disease activity (VLDA) measured as BASDAI < 2/ASDAS < 1.3; (3) any differences in outcomes according to line of biological treatment (naïve/ non-naïve), gender (male/female), subtype of axSpA [radiographic axSpA (r-axSpA)/non-radiographic axSpA (nr-axSpA)].

Methods: Consecutive axSpA patients treated with SEC were evaluated prospectively. Disease characteristics, previous/ongoing treatments, comorbidities, and follow-up duration were collected. Disease activity/functional/clinimetric scores and biochemical-values were recorded at baseline (T0), 6 (T6), 12 (T12), and 24 (T24) months. Effectiveness was evaluated over-time with descriptive statistics; multivariate Cox and logistic regression models were used to evaluate predictors of drug discontinuation and LDA at T6. Infections and adverse events were recorded.

Results: A total 249 patients (47.8% male; median age 51) were enrolled; 40.9% had HLA-B27; 53.8% had r-axSpA, and 46.2% nr-axSpA. SEC was prescribed in 28.9% naïve and in 71.1% non-naïve patients. SEC effectiveness was shown as an improvement in several outcomes, such as ASDAS [T0=3.5 (2.9–4.4) *versus* T24=1.9 (1.2–2.4); p=0.02] and BASDAI [T0=6.5 (5.0–7.5) *versus* T24=2.8 (1.8–4.0); p=0.03]. At T24, naïve patients showed better physical functioning and lower disease activity than non-naïve. After 24 months of treatment, 90.7% of naïve and 75.3% of non-naïve patients achieved LDA (BASDAI < 4). Treatment was discontinued in 24.5% patients, mainly due to primary/secondary loss of effectiveness, and in 6.8% due to adverse events. Retention rate at T24 was 75% in the whole population, with some difference depending on gender (p=0.002).

Conclusion: In a real-life clinical setting, SEC proved to be safe and effective in axSpA, mainly in naïve-patients, with a notable drug retention rate. No differences were observed between r-axSpA and nr-axSpA.

Keywords: anti-IL-17A, antirheumatic agents, axial spondyloarthritis, biological therapy, drug retention rate, effectiveness, safety

Received: 4 November 2021; revised manuscript accepted: 10 March 2022.

Ther Adv Musculoskel Dis

2022, Vol. 14: 1–18 DOI: 10.1177/

1759720X221090310 © The Author(s), 2022.

Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journalspermissions

Correspondence to: Roberta Ramonda Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medicine (DIMED), University of Padova, Via Giustiniani, 2, 35128 Padova, Italy.

roberta.ramonda@unipd.it

Mariagrazia Lorenzin Augusta Ortolan Andrea Doria Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medicine (DIMED), University of Padova, Padova, Italy

Maria Sole Chimenti Mario Ferraioli

Alberto Bergamini Rheumatology, Allergology and Clinical Immunology, Department of 'Medicina dei Sistemi', University of Rome 'Tor Vergata', Rome, Italy

Salvatore D'Angelo

Rheumatology Institute of Lucania (IReL), Rheumatology Department of Lucania, San Carlo Hospital of Potenza and Madonna delle Grazie Hospital of Matera, Potenza Local Health System, Potenza, Italy

Antonio Marchesoni Rheumatology, Humanitas San Pio X, Milan, Italy

Carlo Salvarani Rheumatology Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, Azienda USL-IRCCS, Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico, Reggio Emilia, Italy University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italv

```
journals.sagepub.com/home/tab
```



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

Ennio Lubrano

Academic Rheumatology Unit, Dipartimento di Medicina e Scienze per la Salute 'Vincenzo Tiberio', University of Molise, Campobasso, Italy

Luisa Costa

Rheumatology Research Unit, Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, School of Medicine and Surgery, University of Naples FEDERICO II, Naples, Italy

Ylenia Dal Bosco Elisa Visalli

Rosario Foti Rheumatology Unit, A.O.U

Policlinico S. Marco, Catania, Italy

Elena Fracassi Riccardo Bixio Elisa Pedrollo Antonio Carletto

Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medicine, AOUI University of Verona, Verona, Italy

Antonio Carriero

Rheumatology Institute of Lucania (IReL), Rheumatology Department of Lucania, San Carlo Hospital of Potenza and Madonna delle Grazie Hospital of Matera, Potenza Local Health System, Potenza, Italy

Department of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Molise, Campobasso, Italy

Francesca Desiati

Department of Rheumatology, ASST Gaetano Pini-CTO, Milan, Italy

*These two authors equally contributed to this work

Introduction

Axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) mainly affects the spine and the sacroiliac joints (SIJs) causing inflammatory back pain, morning stiffness, disability, and impaired quality of life; has an early onset at young age; and can be further subdivided into non-radiographic (nr-axSpA) and radiographic axSpA (r-axSpA), the latter also known as ankylosing spondylitis (AS).^{1,2} With the development of new effective treatment strategies, the need to identify axSpA patients in an earlier stage of disease has increased.^{3,4} The Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society (ASAS) has established classification criteria to identify patients with early-stage axSpA.3 If not diagnosed early and treated appropriately, the disease often causes substantial functional impairment and a decreased quality of life.5 Until the 2000s, axSpA patients were treated with non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), commonly used as the first-line treatment, often with unsatisfactory results, for the purpose to disease control and radiographic progression.^{5,6} The European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) and the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) guidelines recommended to treat active axSpA patients with high disease activity despite NSAIDs with biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARDs).4,7 The advent of tumor necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFi) changed the natural history of axSpA over the last two decades, by significantly improving quality of life and reducing damage progression,8,9 as reported by randomized, placebo-controlled trials (RCTs).¹⁰⁻ ¹⁵ However, around 40% of axSpA patients fail to respond to the first TNFi, due to loss of efficacy, issues.16,17 adverse events, or tolerability Therefore, the need to find an alternative treatment led to the development of new pharmacological agents able to block other pivotal cytokines involved in the pathogenesis of axSpA, including the interleukin-23 (IL-23)/IL-17 axis theory.18,19 The fully human IgG1 monoclonal antibody targeting IL-17A (secukinumab) has provided significant and sustained improvement in the signs and symptoms of AS, as evidenced in several multicenter phase III RCTs (MEASURE 1,^{20,21} MEASURE 2,^{22,23} MEASURE 3,²⁴ MEASURE 4,²⁵ MEASURE 2-J,²⁶ and MEASURE 5).²⁷ Recently, PREVENT - the first phase III study evaluating secukinumab (SEC) in 555 nr-axSpA patients - showed a significant and sustained improvement in the axial signs and symptoms by week 16, an improvement that was sustained through week 52.28 Until now, few registries and

limited prospective studies have presented reallife data on the treatment of axSpA with SEC.^{29–31} Therefore, real-life data on the wide spectrum of patients affected by the disease is needed, to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of this biologic drug, while considering multiple features (lines of biological treatment (LoBT), gender, axSpA subtype).

The aim of our prospective observational study was to (1) evaluate the long-term effectiveness of SEC in the management of axSpA manifestations in a real-life clinical setting during a 24-month follow-up; (2) identify the differences in the outcome measures of effectiveness according to LoBT (naïve/non-naïve), gender (male/female), and axSpA subtype (r-axSpA/nr-axSpA); (3) analyze very low disease activity (VLDA)/low disease activity (LDA) states [Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI) < 2/<4, Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (ASDAS) < 1.3 / < 2.1; (4) identify predictors of low disease activity and treatment discontinuation at T6; (5) describe any comorbidities; (6) report any adverse events or infections; and (7) evaluate drug survival at 24 months.

Materials and methods

Patients

The present longitudinal study included consecutive axSpA patients who received SEC from September 2018 to March 2021 in 12 Italian Rheumatology centers. The study was supported by the Italian Society of Rheumatology (SIR) 'Spondyloarthritis and Psoriatic Arthritis study group A. Spadaro'. Patients started treatment with SEC according to the EULAR and ACR guidelines.^{4,7}

A detailed description of the enrolment and screening assessment of patients eligible to start SEC treatment had been previously published.³² Inclusion criteria were: age ≥ 18 years; a diagnosis of axSpA for >6 months, in accordance with the ASAS criteria;² the indication to start SEC treatment for a moderate or severe disease. If a peripheral involvement was also present, the concomitant use of conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (csDMARDs) and low-dose corticosteroids (daily dose of ≤ 10 mg of prednisone or equivalent) was allowed. The discontinuation or reduction in csDMARD dosage was permitted during the study period, if the patient's

clinical situation was favorable. We excluded axSpA patients who showed any of the following: a history of malignancy (over the last 5 years), systemic infections, congestive heart failure and demyelinating diseases.

Screening and assessments

Before being enrolled and starting treatment, patients underwent a series of screening tests, as suggested by the European guidelines.4,7 Screening included chest X-ray, laboratory tests (screening for HIV and hepatitis B and C viruses), the Mantoux TB skin test or Quantiferon TB Gold test, and a pregnancy test for all women of childbearing age. Patients presenting latent tuberculosis underwent a complete 6-month prophylaxis with isoniazid (300 mg/day). Patients were evaluated at baseline (T0) and after 6 (T6), 12 (T12), and 24 (T24) months of SEC treatment. Relevant demographics and clinical, biochemical, metrological, and imaging data were collected. These included the LoBT, which was considered as a dichotomous variable (SEC as a first biologic/as a second or more biologic) and axSpA subtype, which was considered a categorical variable (r-axSpA/nraxSpA). Clinical variables - such as peripheral arthritis, psoriasis, dactylitis, enthesitis, uveitis, inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), and smoking status - were considered as dichotomous (yes/no) on patient enrolment. Baseline pelvic X-rays and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) images were evaluated on site. For the analyses, axSpA patients were subdivided in subgroups: (1) naïve to any biologic drug (naïve) and subjects who had failed TNFi (non-naïve); (2) r-axSpA and nr-axSpA; (3) male and female. The presence of comorbidities and concomitant therapies were investigated (ves/ no) during a face-to-face interview at one of the scheduled assessment visits, and by reviewing the patients' medical records. Information on previous therapies with bDMARDs (and in particular the LoTB used) and concomitant csDMARDs (methotrexate, leflunomide, sulfasalazine, hydroxychloroquine, and cyclosporin) or corticosteroid therapy or NSAIDs were recorded at baseline and throughout the study.

Effectiveness measures and outcomes

Relevant patient reported outcomes (PROs),³³ such as the Visual Analogue Scale of pain (VAS-pain) and global health (VAS-gh), Health Assessment Questionnaires modified for spondy-loarthritis (HAQ-S), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis

Functional Index (BASFI) and BASDAI were collected. The clinical evaluation, made by an experienced rheumatologist (the same assessor at each time point), included the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index (BASMI), the Leeds Enthesitis Index (LEI), and the ASDAS.³⁴ The following LDA and VLDA measures were calculated at T6, T12, and T24: BASDAI-LDA defined as <4, BASDAI-VLDA defined as <2, ASDAS-LDA defined as <2, ASDAS-VLDA defined as <1.3.

These inactive and LDA measures were also calculated after the subdivision of all population in subgroups according to LoTB (naïve/non-naïve patients), gender (male/female), and axSpA subtype (r-axSpA/nr-axSpA). Biochemical acute phase reactants [erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR)] and the C-reactive protein (CRP) value were determined and analyzed. Our normal lab ranges were as follows: ESR 0–28 mm/h male, 2–38 mm/h female; CRP 0–6 mg/L. Metrological indexes such as height, weight, and body mass index (BMI) were also evaluated.

Administration of SEC

All patients were treated with SEC, administered subcutaneously at a dosage of 150 mg weekly for the five first injections and every 4 weeks thereafter, in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.²⁰ In subjects affected by active and severe psoriasis - according to the treating physician - a dosage up to 300 mg per administration could be employed. Patients were taught to perform selfinjections. The drug's safety was evaluated by assessing adverse events and through standard laboratory testing, and the patients' vital signs were evaluated and recorded throughout the study, and for a 60-day period following the last treatment. Drug survival was calculated as the number of days in which the patient remained on therapy. The start date was the day the first dose was taken, and the stop date was the day the treatment was interrupted. Temporary interruptions (e.g. due to infections or surgery ≤ 3 months before) were acceptable according to our study protocol.

Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as frequencies and percentages for categorical variables, and as mean and standard deviations or medians and interquartile range for continuous variables. Patients' characteristics were compared between subgroups [LoBT (naïve/non-naïve), gender (male/female), axSpA subtype (rx-axSpA/nr-axSpA)], using the Chi-square test or the Fisher's exact test for categorical variables and the *t*-test or the Wilcoxon rank test for continuous variables, based on data distribution. Effectiveness data on axial symptoms and signs were compared between T0 and T24 with the Chi-square test or the Wilcoxon rank test, as appropriate.

The time-to-event analysis was performed according to the Kaplan-Meier method. Survival curves were compared – by log-rank test – between: (1) LoBT (naïve/non-naïve); (2) gender (male/ female); (3) axSpA subtype (r-axSpA/nr-axSpA). A logistic regression analysis was carried out to identify predictors of LDA (BASDAI < 4/ ASDAS < 2.1) response at T6, and a Cox regression model was created to identify predictors of time-to-SEC discontinuation. In both cases, baseline independent factors which, based on literature data,^{30,32} could be expected to have an effect on short-term inactive disease/LDA achievement or on drug discontinuation, were considered. Results were expressed as odds ratio (OR) for logistic regression and hazard ratio (HR) 95% for Cox regression, together with their 95% confidence interval (CI). All statistical analyses were carried out with the SPSS 13.0 software (SSPS Inc., IL, USA). Two-tailed p-values lower than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Confidentiality of information/ethical aspects and reporting guidelines

Patients' written consent was obtained, according to the Declaration of Helsinki, when they were first entered into the database for the treatment. The Ethics Committee's approval was obtained from all participating centers (approval no. 23943), as well as the written informed consent for the anonymous use of personal data from every patient, in compliance with Italian Legislative Decree 196/2003. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement guidelines for reporting observational studies were followed.³⁵

Results

Patients' features

Two-hundred forty-nine axSpA patients [47.8% men; median age 51 (41–59)] were enrolled; median disease duration was 9 (4–14) years, and

mean treatment duration was 18.5 (13.0–34.5) months. SEC was prescribed as first-line biologic treatment in 72 (28.9%; naïve) patients and as second (or more) line biological treatment in 177 (71.1%; non-naïve) patients; 102 (40.9%) patients had HLA-B27; 134 (53.8%) were r-axSpA; and 115 (46.2%) nr-axSpA. The patients' clinical and laboratory baseline characteristics, such as concomitant treatments, are summarized in Table 1.

At T0, non-naïve (versus naïve) patients were older and more frequently HLA-B27 positive, had a longer duration of axial symptoms, a more frequent peripheral involvement, a worse functional status (HAQs and BASMI), higher ESR and CRP values, a higher intake of SEC 300 mg/injection (Table 1). Few clinical and anthropometric features varied between males and females: at T0, males were more frequently HLA-B27 positive and presented a shorter disease duration, an earlier age of onset of axial pain, a higher prevalence of radiographic sacroiliitis, a higher smoking status, while females had a higher prevalence of peripheral arthritis, enthesitis and psoriasis, higher ESR values, were mostly non-naïve, and were receiving more frequently SEC 300 mg/injection (Supplementary Table 1). Few clinical and anthropometric features varied between r-axSpA and nraxSpA patients: at T0, r-axSpA were mostly males and frequently HLA-B27 positive, presented a longer disease, while nr-axSpA had a higher prevalence of peripheral arthritis, dactylitis, enthesitis and psoriasis, and a higher intake of cDMARDs and glucocorticoids (Supplementary Table 2).

Therapeutic effectiveness

Of all 249 axSpA patients, 229 (91.9%) were evaluated at T6, 199 (79.9%) at T12, and 139 (55.8%) at T24. The whole population achieved a significant decrease in all indexes (Supplementary Table 3).

At T24, we observed better physical functioning and lower inflammatory activity in naïve *versus* non-naïve subjects (Table 2). Similarly, at T24, we observed better physical functioning and lower inflammatory activity in males *versus* females. ASDAS scores remained higher in females than males (Supplementary Table 4). At T24, we observed worse clinical measures (BASMI) and physical functioning (HAQs), and higher inflammatory activity (ESR) in r-axSpA *versus* nr-axSpA subjects (Supplementary Table 5). **Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of 249 axSpA patients treated with secukinumab (naïve *versus* non-naïve) in the period September 2018 to March 2021.

AxSpA features	Total; patients	Naïve	Non-naïve	*р
Male (<i>N</i> , %)	119 (47.8)	50 (69.4)	69 (38.9)	0.04
Age (years), median (IQR)	51 (41–59)	51 (41–59) 46 (36–58)		0.04
Age at diagnosis (years), median (IQR)	42 (31–51)	39.5 (29–50)	42 (34–51)	NS
Age at disease onset (years), median (IQR)	39 (29–50)	35.5 (26–49)	40 (31–50)	NS
Disease duration (years), median (IQR)	9 (4–14)	7 (3–14)	9 (5–14)	0.04
axSpA, <i>N</i> (%)	249	72 (28.9)	177 (71.1)	N/A
r-axSpA	134 (53.8)	37 (51.4)	97 (54.8)	NS
nr-axSpA	115 (46.2)	35 (48.6)	80 (45.2)	NS
HLA-B27 positive, N (%)	102 (40.9)	25 (34.7)	77 (43.5)	0.04
SIJ-MRI positive, N (%)	223 (89.6)	63 (87.5)	160 (90.4)	NS
SIJ-X-rays positive, N (%)	134 (53.8)	38 (52.8)	96 (54.2)	NS
Peripheral arthritis, N (%)	143 (57.4)	36 (50.0) 107 (60.5)		0.04
Enthesitis, N (%)	117 (46.9)	32 (44.4)	85 (48.1)	NS
Dactylitis, N (%)	33 (13.3)	11 (15.3)	22 (12.4)	NS
Psoriasis, N (%)	100 (40.2)	29 (40.3)	71 (40.1)	NS
Onicopathy, N (%)	52 (20.9)	15 (20.8)	37 (20.9)	NS
IBD in remission, N (%)	16 (6.4)	3 (4.2)	13 (7.4)	NS
Uveitis in remission, N (%)	14 (5.6)	3 (4.2)	11 (6.2)	NS
Familiarity with psoriasis or SpA, <i>N</i> (%)	67 (26.9)	17 (23.6)	50 (28.3)	NS
Smoking, N (%)	85 (34.1)	25 (34.7)	60 (33.9)	NS
Weight (kg), median (IQR)	70 (60–80)	74 (65–83)	69 (58–80)	NS
Height (cm), median (IQR)	167 (159–176)	170 (160–177)	165 (159–175)	NS
BMI, median (IQR)	24.6 (21.9–27.7)	24.8 (23.2–27.9)	24.3 (21.7–28.3)	NS
BASMI (0–10), median (IQR)	3.0 (1.0-6.0)	2.0 (1.0–4.0)	4.0 (1.0–7.0)	0.03
LEI (0–6), median (IQR)	1.0 (0.0–2.0)	0.0 (0.0-1.5)	1.0 (0.0–2.0)	NS
ESR (0–25) (mm/h), median (IQR)	20.0 (9.0–30.0)	14.0 (6.0–27.0)	18.5 (10.0–31.3)	0.04
CRP (0–6) (mg/L), median (IQR)	4.5 (2.9–11.6)	3.8 (2.1–15.4)	4.6 (2.9–11.5)	0.04
VAS-pain (0–10), median (IQR)	7.0 (6.0–8.0)	7.0 (5.9–8.5)	7.0 (6.0–8.0)	NS
VAS-gh (0–10), median (IQR)	7.0 (5.0–8.0)	6.0 (5.0–7.0)	7.0 (5.0–8.0)	NS
HAQ-S (0–8), median (IQR)	1.0 (0.8–1.5)	0.8 (0.5–1.3)	1.1 (0.8–1.5)	0.04

(continued)

Table 1. (continued)						
AxSpA features	Total; patients	Naïve	Non-naïve	*р		
BASFI (0–10), median (IQR)	5.6 (4.6-6.7)	5.4 (3.7–6.1)	6 (5.1–7.0)	NS		
BASDAI (0–10), median (IQR)	6.5 (5-7.5)	6 (4.8–7.5)	6.7 (5.1–7.8)	NS		
ASDAS (0–6), median (IQR)	3.5 (2.9–4.4)	3.3 (2.7–3.9)	3.7 (2.9–4.7)	NS		
Treatment duration (months), median (IQR)	18.5 (13.0–34.5)	18.1 (13.5–34.0)	18.9 (12.0–35.5)	NS		
Dosage 300 mg/injection, N (%)	59 (23.7)	7 (9.7)	52 (29.4)	0.04		
Dosage 150 mg/injection, N (%)	190 (76.3)	65 (90.3)	125 (70.6)	0.04		
First line, N (%)	72 (28.9)	72 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	N/A		
Failure biological drugs, N (%)	177 (71.1)	0 (0.0)	177 (100)	N/A		
Second line, N (%)	86 (34.5)	0 (0.0)	86 (48.6)	N/A		
Third line, N (%)	53 (21.3)	0 (0.0)	53 (29.9)	N/A		
Fourth line, N (%)	25 (10.1)	0 (0.0)	25 (14.1)	N/A		
>Fifth line, N (%)	13 (5.2)	0 (0.0)	13 (7.3)	N/A		
Concomitant NSAIDs, N (%)	148 (59.4)	48 (66.7)	100 (56.5)	NS		
Concomitant glucocorticoid, N (%)	36 (14.5)	10 (13.9)	26 (14.7)	NS		
Concomitant csDMARDs, N (%)	36 (14.5)	10 (13.9)	26 (14.7)	NS		

Table 1. (continued)

ASDAS, Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score; axSpA, axial spondyloarthritis; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index; BASMI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index; BMI, body mass index; CRP, C-reactive protein; csDMARDs, conventional synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; gh, global health; HAQ-S, Health Assessment Questionnaire modified for spondyloarthritis; HLA-B27, human leukocyte antigen (HLA) B27; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; LEI, Leeds Enthesitis Index; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; N/A, not applicable; naïve, naïve to anti-TNF-alpha inhibitors; non-naïve, TNF-alpha failure inhibitors; nr-axSpA, non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis; SIJ, sacroiliac joint; SpA, spondyloarthritis; VAS, Visual Analogue Scale; X-rays; conventional radiography.

Data are expressed as median [interquartile range (IQR)] or number [percentage (%)] unless otherwise specified; range of possible values are indicated in round brackets.

 *p < 0.05 [ANOVA (Kruskal–Wallis) at T0].

At T24, naïve patients achieved VLDA/LDA– BASDAI states [Figure 1(a)–(d)] and VLDA/ LDA–ASDAS states [Figure 2(a)–(d)] in a higher proportion than non-naïve. We also evaluated the proportion of achievements of VLDA/LDA according to gender and axSpA. As shown in Figure 1(b)–(e), males achieved VLDA/LDA– BASDAI states in a higher proportion than females and similarly for VLDA/LDA–ASDAS states [Figure 2(b)–(e)]. At T24, more r-axSpA patients than nr-axSpA achieved LDA–BASDAI [Figure 1(c)] and VLDA/LDA–ASDAS states [Figure 2(c)–(f)]. No differences were observed in VLDA–BASDAI achievement in relation to the axSpA subtype [Figure 1(f)].

The proportion of patients on csDMARDs was higher at T0 (14.5%, n=36) than at T6 (13.1%, n=30), at T12 (12.6%, n=25) and at T24 (11.5%, n=16), as was the proportion of patients treated with glucocorticoids, who were 14.5% (n=36) at T0, 6.9% (n=16) at T6, 6.0% (n=12) at T12, and 3.6% (n=5) at T24. A high reduction in NSAIDs intake was observed from T0 (59.4%, n=148) to T6 (36.2%, n=83), T12 (29.2%, n=58), and T24 (25.2%, n=35).

Table 2. Clinical, functional, disease activity, and serological parameters of naïve $(n = 72)$ and non-naïve
(n=177) axSpA patients during the 24-month follow-up.

	то	T6	T12	T24
BASMI (0–10), me	dian (IQR)			
Naïve	2.0 (1.0-4.0)	2.0 (0.0–3.3)	1.0 (0.0–2.8)	1.0 (0.0–2.0)
Non-naïve	4.0 (1.0-7.0)	4.0 (1.0-7.0)	2.0 (1.0-4.0)	2.0 (1.0–3.0)
p	0.03	0.04	NS	NS
LEI (0–6), median	(IQR)			
Naïve	0.0 (0.0–1.5)	0.0 (0.0–1.0)	0.0 (0.0-0.0)	0.0 (0.0-0.0)
Non-naïve	1.0 (0.0–2.0)	0.0 (0.0–1.0)	0.0 (0.0–0.0)	0.0 (0.0-0.0)
p	NS	NS	NS	NS
VAS-pain (0–10), r	nedian (IQR)			
Naïve	7.0 (5.9–8.5)	3.3 (2.0–5.3)	2.6 (1.6-5.0)	2.0 (1.0-4.0)
Non-naïve	7.0 (6.0-8.0)	5.0 (3.0–7.0)	4.0 (3.0-5.0)	3.0 (2.0–5.0)
p	NS	NS	0.04	NS
VAS-gh (0–10), me	edian (IQR)			
Naïve	6.0 (5.0-7.0)	3.1 (2.0–5.0)	3.0 (2.0-6.0)	2.0 (1.0-4.0)
Non-naïve	7.0 (5.0–8.0)	5.0 (3.0–6.8)	4.0 (3.0-5.0)	3.0 (2.0–5.0)
p	NS	0.04	NS	NS
HAQ-S (0–8), med	ian (IQR)			
Naïve	0.8 (0.5–1.3)	0.5 (0.0–1.0)	0.3 (0.0–1.0)	0.1 (0.0–0.5)
Non-naïve	1.1 (0.8–1.5)	1.0 (0.4–1.3)	0.6 (0.4–0.9)	0.7 (0.1–0.9)
p	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04
BASFI (0–10), mea	dian (IQR)			
Naïve	5.4 (3.7–6.1)	3.2 (1.1–5.5)	2.0 (1.0-4.8)	1.6 (0.6–2.5)
Non-naïve	6.0 (5.1–7.0)	4.9 (3.3–5.5)	2.0 (2.6-4.3)	2.6 (2.0-4.1)
p	NS	NS	NS	NS
BASDAI (0–10), m	edian (IQR)			
Naïve	6.0 (4.8–7.5)	3.8 (1.9–5.5)	3.4 (1.5–5.2)	2.0 (0.8–3.6)
Non-naïve	6.7 (5.1–7.8)	4.8 (3.4-6.2)	3.5 (2.7–4.6)	3.0 (2.0-4.1)
p	NS	NS	NS	NS
ASDAS (0–6), med	ian (IQR)			
Naïve	3.3 (2.7–3.9)	2.1 (1.6–2.7)	2.0 (1.2–2.8)	1.3 (1.0–2.2)

	то	Т6	T12	T24			
Non-naïve	3.7 (2.9–4.7)	2.9 (2.0–3.7)	2.1 (1.9–3.1)	2.3 (1.6–2.3)			
p	NS	NS	NS	0.04			
ESR (0–25; mm/h), median (IQR)							
Naïve	14.0 (6.0–27.0)	10.0 (4.0–19.5)	8.0 (3.1–12.8)	5.0 (2.0–15.0)			
Non-naïve	18.5 (10.0–31.3)	15.0 (8.0–25.0)	12.0 (6.0–20.0)	12.0 (6.0–19.0)			
p	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04			
CRP (0–6; mg/L), median (IQR)							
Naïve	3.8 (2.1–15.4)	2.8 (2.0–4.7)	2.0 (2.0-4.5)	2.0 (1.0–2.7)			
Non-naïve	4.6 (2.9–11.5)	3.0 (2.0–7.1)	2.0 (1.0-4.4)	2.0 (1.0-4.9)			
p	0.04	NS	NS	NS			

Table 2. (continued)

ASDAS, Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index; BASMI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; HAQ, Health Assessment Questionnaire modified for spondyloarthritis; LEI, Leeds Enthesitis Index; NS, not significant; VAS-gh, Visual Analogue Scale global health; VAS-pain, Visual Analogue Scale pain. Data are expressed as median (interquartile range, IQR).

p < 0.05. Values were computed by means of Wilcoxon's test (for continuous data).

Drug survival

The retention rate at T24 was good (75%) in the whole population [Figure 3(a)–(c)]. The Kaplan–Meier curves did not show any differences between naïve and non-naïve (log-rank 0.435; p=0.51) and between r-axSpA and nr-axSpA (log-rank 1.152; p=0.283), whereas a significant difference was found between males and females (log-rank 9.319; p=0.002).

Predictors of effectiveness

A multivariable regression analysis was performed to identify any baseline predictors of LDA achievement (according to BASDAI < 4 and ASAS < 2.1) at T6. As shown in Table 3, male gender was associated with a higher chance of BASDAI < 4 achievement at T6, while a higher CRP at T0, an intake of NSAIDs at T0 and the first LoTB were associated with a higher chance of ASDAS < 2.1 achievement. A Cox regression analysis was performed to identify predictors of time-to-SEC discontinuation. The variable that was independently associated with a higher chance of SEC discontinuation was the female gender (Table 3).

Comorbidities

The most frequently observed comorbidities were: metabolic syndrome (MetS; 10.8%, n=27), hypertension (30.5%, n=76), ischemic heart disease (5.2%, n=13), dyslipidemia (24.1%, n=60), hyperuricemia (7.2%, n=18), type II diabetes (5.2%, n=13), gastritis, gastric ulcer or dyspeptic disorders (9.6%, n=24), liver disease (e.g. steatosis; 6.8%, n=17), pneumopathies (4.8%, n=12), thyroid disorder (14.5%, n=36), osteoporosis (12.9%, n=32), kidney failure (0.8%, n=2), depression (8.8%, n=22), fibromyalgia (19.3%, n=48), neurological disorders (such as neuropathy; 6.8%, n=17), positive Mantoux RB skin test or Quantiferon TB Gold test (6.8%, n=17) without active tuberculous disease, previous hepatitis B (8.0%, n=20), previous hepatitis C (0.8%, n=2), previous eradicated cancer (4.4%, n=11). The frequency of these comorbidities were described in both naïve and non-naïve patients as well as in males/females and r-axSpA/nr-axSpA subjects (Supplementary Tables 6-8). A higher prevalence of dyslipidemia, osteoporosis, and fibromyalgia was found in the non-naïve subjects (Supplementary Table 6). Males more frequently had ischemic cardiovascular diseases, MetS, hyperuricemia, and









liver steatosis, while females had a higher prevalence of thyroid disorders, osteoporosis, depression, and fibromyalgia (Supplementary Table 7). A higher prevalence of hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, dyslipidemia, liver diseases, and gastric disorders was found in r-axSpA patients, while fibromyalgia was more prevalent in nr-axSpA (Supplementary Table 8).

Safety and discontinuation

Reasons for discontinuation. Sixty-one patients (24.5%) stopped the treatment during the followup, mainly because of primary and secondary loss of effectiveness (20 and 24, respectively). Only 17 patients suspended SEC because of adverse events [7 for reactions at the injection site or skin manifestations, 1 for gastro-intestinal complications, 1 for relapsing uveitis, 1 for hypertransaminasemia, 4 for severe recurrent infections, 3 due to the onset of new cancer (1 thyroid neoplasm, 1 breast cancer, 1 Hodgkin lymphoma)]. Liver and renal functions were monitored in all patients during the study period, and only one patient presented abnormal values.

Infections. A low number of episodes of mild infections (42) occurred during the study period (19 respiratory tract infections, 2 dental infections, 7 oral or vaginal candidiasis, 3 herpetic labial infections, 2 herpes zoster, 1 gastroenteritis, 2 skin infections, 6 urinary tract infections); all resolved following oral antimicrobial treatment, without hospitalization or drug discontinuation. Four patients presented severe infections, which caused them to suspend the SEC treatment (two bronchopneumonia, one erysipelas with sepsis from St. Aureus with hospitalization, and one urinary infection with sepsis from E. coli). No difference between gender/LoBT/axSpA subtype in terms of safety was observed (data not shown).

Discussion

In this prospective multicenter study in patients with active axSpA, SEC was effective, safe, and well tolerated. In addition, over 80% patients managed to achieve LDA-BASDAI at 6 months, and majority of them (75%) remained on treatment after 24 months.

At T6, T12, and T24, SEC reduced all the clinical and inflammatory indexes, in addition to PROs, providing significant improvement in disease activity, physical function, and quality of life



b) gender (male/female), and (c) axSpA subtype (r-axSpA/nr-axSpA)

Table 3. Multivariable logistic regression model with LDA (BASDAI < 4/ASDAS < 2.1) at T6 as outcome and Cox regression model with time-to-SEC-withdrawal as outcome.

Independent variables	5 BASDAI < 4 at T6 Multivariable analysis		ASDAS < 2.1 at T6 Multivariable analysis		Time to SEC withdrawal Multivariable analysis	
	OR (95% CI)	p	OR (95% CI)	р	HR (95% CI)	р
Age at onset of axial symptoms	0.979 (0.956, 1.002)	0.067	0.984 (0.959, 1.010)	0.217	0.982 (0.961, 1.003)	0.100
Male gender	2.151 (1.125, 4.114)	0.021	1.678 (0.795, 3.542)	0.174	0.425 (0.221, 0.817)	0.010
HLA B27+	0.983 (0.513, 1.883)	0.958	0.908 (0.441, 1.868)	0.792	0.806 (0.440, 1.476)	0.485
SIJ MRI+	0.314 (0.080, 1.227)	0.096	2.375 (0.442, 12.753)	0.313	0.476 (0.197, 1.151)	0.099
Smoking status	1.306 (0.691, 2.468)	0.411	0.910 (0.437, 1.893)	0.800	0.770 (0.421, 1.409)	0.397
Bio-naïve	1.789 (0.900, 3.556)	0.097	2.805 (1.332, 5.908)	0.007	0.781 (0.383, 1.596)	0.499
CRP at T0	1.011 (0.993, 1.030)	0.222	3.938 (1.872, 8.282)	0.001	0.994 (0.979, 1.011)	0.499
Intake of NSAIDs at T0	2.229 (1.190, 4.173)	0.012	0.315 (0.135, 0.732)	0.007	0.653 (0.375, 1.135)	0.131

ASDAS, Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; Bio-naïve, patients naïve to anti-TNF-alpha inhibitors; Coeff, coefficient; CI, confidence interval; CRP, C-reactive protein; HLA-B27, human leukocyte antigen B27; HR, hazard ratio; LDA, low disease activity; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; OR, odds ratio; +, positive; SEC, Secukinumab; SIJ, sacroiliac joint; T0, 0 months; T6, 6 months.

p < 0.05. Significant *p*-values have been highlighted in bold.

in axSpA patients who were either naïve to a prior biologic therapy or had shown an inadequate response to TNF inhibition. We also observed a marked improvement in the BASDAI and ASDAS-CRP scores during the first 6 months of treatment. The efficacy outcomes of this study are consistent with previous RCTs.²⁰⁻²⁸ MEASURE 1 reported an ASAS20/40 response of 80.2%/61.6% in the SEC 150 mg arm at week 156, and sustained improvements were also seen in BASDAI, BASFI, BASMI, and across all other endpoints.36 Similarly, in MEASURE 2, SEC 150 mg provided sustained improvements in the ASAS20/40 response rates at week 156 (70.1%/60.9%) versus week 52 (74.2%/57.0%).²³ Furthermore, in the PREVENT, SEC 150 mg met both primary endpoints at weeks 16 and 52 in naïve patients with nr-axSpA.28 Of note, data on SEC retention rate in our study appeared good, in line with RCTs and other registries. In MEASURE 1, the 2-year SEC retention was 78%;³⁷ in MEASURE 2, the 3-year retention rate was 86% for SEC 150 mg every 4 weeks;²³ and in MEASURE 3, the 1-year retention rate was 87%.²⁴ Similarly, the retention rate was high in the PREVENT, with 95% of randomized patients completing week 24 and 86.7% completing week 52.28 These results were slightly higher than the overall 2-year retention rate of 75% achieved in our real-life study, which instead appeared similar to those found in previous real-life observational studied: EuroSpA-a research collaboration network among 13 registries which presented data from over 1860 axSpA patients treated with SEC – reported a 1-year retention rate of 72%,³⁸ while an Italian study of 39 axSpA subjects reported a 2-year retention rate of 78.2%.29 Compared with the patients in MEASURE 2 and 3, the patients in our study were older (mean age 51 versus 42 and 43), had a shorter time from diagnosis (4.0 versus 7.0 and 6.0 years), a lower proportion were TNFi-naïve (28.9% versus 61% and 57%) and we included patients with both r-axSpA and nr-axSpA, whereas only patients with r-axSpA were included in MEASURE 2 and 3.^{23,24} Other baseline axSpA features were similar. These results obtained from our real-life observational study confirm the prompt effectiveness of SEC on axSpA symptoms and systemic inflammation.

We also focused on searching for any differences in clinical response between patients with different LoBT, gender, or axSpA subtype. Regarding LoBT, our data on clinical response were consistent with literature,20-27 since no differences in retention rate were observed between naïve and non-naïve axSpA patients. In fact, the 12- and 24-month SEC retention rate for naïve patients in our study (86% and 76%) was similar to the 12and 24-month retention rate to first-line TNFi in 842 naïve AS patients (74% and 63%),³⁹ as well as to other studies on first-line TNFi treatment.⁴⁰ However, effectiveness differed significantly between naïve and non-naïve subjects, with naïve axSpA having better response rates and disease state. In fact, for naïve patients, the achievement of 12-month and 24-month LDA in our study was comparable to those of naïve axSpA patients in the EuroSpA study, in which 12-month BASDAI < 4 was achieved by 76% and ASAS20/40 response by 69%/55% of patients, respectively.³⁶ These findings in our cohort were slightly higher than those of non-naïve axSpA. The efficacy of SEC turned out to be independent of treatment line and previous bDMARDs use, and the drug can therefore be considered effective both as first-line therapy and in multifailure patients. Data from multinational registries, such as EuroSpA, have also compared the characteristics of patients starting treatment with SEC or TNFi, and the effectiveness of SEC was similar in both naïve and non-naïve axSpA patients.⁴¹ Therefore, SEC can be considered as a drug of choice in patients with one or more previous TNFi failure.⁴²⁻⁴⁴ Accordingly, a comparative real-life cohort study of two modes of biological action in axSpA, after prior withdrawal from at least one TNFi, showed that patients treated with SEC experienced outcomes comparable to those of patients treated with an alternative TNFi.45

Furthermore, no differences in drug retention emerged between r-axSpA and nr-axSpA subjects, while some emerged regarding functional outcomes: r-axSpA patients presented worse clinical measures, physical functioning, and inflammatory activity.

An important observation is the influence of gender on the achievement and maintenance of LDA and VLDA. Males reached a better disease control than females; male sex was also found to be a predictor of LDA achievement at T6. Interestingly, in literature, female gender was associated with poorer bDMARDs response rates and with a lower probability of achieving remission.⁴⁶ Similarly, the PREVENT study performed a subgroup analysis, which revealed that male axSpA patients treated with SEC had higher relative responses than female patients with ASAS40 response rates of 51.2% and 31.7%, respectively.47 As far as time-to-SEC discontinuation is concerned, male sex appeared to be protective against drug discontinuation. This finding is in accordance with other reports concerning a higher discontinuation rate of first- and second-line biologics among female axSpA.48,49 Female axSpA patients more frequently present an entheseal involvement, often compounded by fibromyalgia, which amplifies the perception of pain and fatigue, and therefore negatively impacts the selfreported disease activity.⁵⁰ Furthermore, concomitant fibromyalgia may represent a challenge to the therapeutic strategy, since fibromyalgiaassociated symptoms can alter the assessment of clinical response to treatments over time. This aspect is very relevant, since in our population a considerable prevalence of fibromyalgia was found (19.3%), mainly in females (n = 42; 32.3%) versus males (n=6; 5.0%).

Overall, treatment with SEC was well tolerated among our axSpA cohort (only 17 cases leading to drug withdrawal for adverse events). The safety profile was consistent with the established safety profile across the approved indications.^{51,52} One of the most commonly reported infections - not usually leading to IL-17 inhibitors interruption was mucocutaneous candidiasis,32,38 which was observed in only seven patients, preceded by more frequent respiratory tract infections. Other infections were mild-to-moderate and did not lead to treatment discontinuation. New-onset IBD was not identified for up to 2 years of treatment, while only one patient discontinued SEC for relapsing uveitis: these findings appeared consistent with previously reported data on SEC in patients with AS.²⁴⁻²⁶ Seventeen (6.8%) patients presented positive Mantoux RB skin test or Quantiferon TB Gold test at baseline. None of these patients developed an active tuberculous disease during the course of treatment. No difference was observed between LoBT/gender/axSpA subtype in terms of safety.

Conversely, some differences in comorbidities distribution were observed between the subgroups, without them affecting the continuity of treatment with SEC. A higher prevalence of hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, dyslipidemia, liver diseases, and gastric disorders was found in r-axSpA, as well as a higher prevalence of dyslipidemia and osteoporosis in non-naïve subjects (probably due to a longer history of axSpA disease and side effects from multiple treatment lines, in particular steroids and csD-MARDs). As expected, males had more frequently ischemic cardiovascular diseases, MetS, hyperuricemia, and liver steatosis, while females had a higher prevalence of thyroid disorders, osteoporosis, depression, and fibromyalgia. These findings were corroborated by previous studies.^{46–50} Of note, fibromyalgia was more prevalent in nr-axSpA subjects; the possible reason can be attributed to a higher prevalence (58.3%) of the female gender in the nr-axSpA subgroup.

The major strengths of this study are (1) the prospective study design, (2) the large multicenter study population reflecting routine care, (3) the 24-month follow-up, and (4) the inclusion of a wide cohort of nr-axSpA patients.

Some of the limitations of our study include (1) a registry study data quality lower than RCTs, due to missing data on response outcomes across the registries; (2) the absence of imaging follow-up (pelvic and spinal X-rays and MRI), although imaging was often performed to confirm the diagnosis at baseline; (3) the use of the BASDAI cutoffs <2 and <4 (there is no consensus on the ideal cut-off for BASDAI remission in axSpA, although the cut-off of 4 has previously been recognized in literature for the definition of active AS); and (4) the choice to schedule evaluations after 6 months the starting treatment considering the unavailability of complete data at 3 months.

In conclusion, in this clinical setting, SEC was safe and effective in axSpA, regardless of the biologic treatment line. As suggested by the significant drug retention rate, SEC was able to maintain its effectiveness over a considerably long treatment period. Male gender appears to correlate with LDA and inactive disease achievement and drug persistence, whereas the subtype of axSpA (r-axSpA or nr-axSpA) does not appear to impact the drug-discontinuation rate. The safety of SEC allows for its use in patients with comorbidities. This study demonstrated that SEC can be a viable option to treat the entire spectrum of axSpA, that is, from early to late stage or from nr-axSpA to r-axSpA. Further head-to-head studies are warranted to better understand the differences on treatment effectiveness of TNFi and IL-17 pathway inhibitors, and to potentially identify specific subsets of patients who may benefit most from switching to IL-17 inhibitors.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the Italian Society of Rheumatology (SIR) 'Spondyloarthritis and Psoriatic Arthritis Study Group-A. Spadaro'. The authors would like to thank T&T Attubato S.a.s. for native English check of this article. Coordinators of the Spondyloarthritis and Psoriatic Arthritis SIR Study Group 'Antonio Spadaro': Antonio Marchesoni, MD, PhD, Rheumatology, Humanitas San Pio X, Milan, Lombardia, Italy; Alberto Cauli, MD, PhD, Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medical Sciences, AOU and University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Sardegna, Italy; Salvatore d'Angelo, MD, PhD, Rheumatology Institute of Lucania (IReL), Rheumatology Department of Lucania, San Carlo Hospital of Potenza and Madonna delle Grazie Hospital of Matera, Potenza Local Health System, Potenza, Basilicata, Italy; Ennio Lubrano, MD, PhD, Academic Rheumatology Unit, Dipartimento di Medicina e Scienze per la Salute 'Vincenzo Tiberio', University of Molise, Campobasso, Molise, Italy; Roberta Ramonda, MD, PhD, Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medicine-DIMED, University of Padova, Padova, Veneto, Italy; Carlo Salvarani, MD, PhD, Rheumatology Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, Azienda USL-IRCCS, Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico, Reggio Emilia, Italy: University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Emilia Romagna, Italy. Contributing authors of the Spondyloarthritis and Psoriatic Arthritis SIR Study Group 'Antonio Spadaro'. Alberto Cauli, MD, PhD, Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medical Sciences, AOU and University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Sardegna, Italy; Angelo Semeraro, MD, Rheumatology Unit, Martina Franca-ASL Taranto, Puglia, Italy; Leonardo Santo, MD, Rheumatology Unit, ASL BT Andria - DSS4 Barletta, Italy, Barletta-Andria-Trani, Puglia, Italy; Emanuela Praino, MD, Rheumatology Unit, ASL BT Andria - DSS4 Barletta, Italy, Barletta-Andria-Trani, Puglia, Italy; Giorgio Amato, MD, Rheumatology Unit, A.O.U. Policlinico S. Marco, Catania, Sicilia, Italy; Nicolò Girolimetto, MD, Rheumatology Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, Azienda USL-IRCCS, Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico, Reggio Emilia, Emilia Romagna, Italy.

Author contribution(s)

Roberta Ramonda: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Supervision; Validation; Visualization; Writing – review & editing.

Mariagrazia Lorenzin: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing.

Maria Sole Chimenti: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Salvatore D'Angelo: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Antonio Marchesoni: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Carlo Salvarani: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Ennio Lubrano: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Luisa Costa: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Ylenia Dal Bosco: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Elena Fracassi: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Augusta Ortolan: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Mario Ferraioli: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Antonio Carriero: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Elisa Visalli: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Riccardo Bixio: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Francesca Desiati: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Alberto Bergamini: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Elisa Pedrollo: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Andrea Doria: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Rosario Foti: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Antonio Carletto: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Supervision; Validation; Visualization; Writing – review & editing.

Spondyloarthritis and Psoriatic Arthritis SIR Study Group "Antonio Spadaro" Project administration

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: RR has received honoraria and speaker fees from Novartis, AbbVie, Pfizer, MSD, and Janssen. AM has received honoraria and speaker fees from AbbVie, Pfizer, MSD, UCB, Novartis, Janssen, and Eli-Lilly. LS received speaker fees from Jansen, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB, MSD, and Sanofi.

The other authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This support was funded by Novartis Farma.

ORCID iDs

Roberta Ramonda D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9683-8873

Maria Sole Chimenti D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1343-1729

Salvatore D'Angelo D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7442-1110

Ennio Lubrano D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6189-5328

Antonio Carriero D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3112-6488

Andrea Doria Difference https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0548-4983

Data availability statement

De-identified data are available upon reasonable request, by contacting the corresponding author by email.

Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- 1. Sieper J and Poddubnyy D. Axial spondyloarthritis. *Lancet* 2017; 390(10089): 73–84.
- 2. Rudwaleit M, Landewé R, van der Heijde D, *et al.* The development of Assessment of Spondyloarthritis International Society

classification criteria for axial spondyloarthritis (part I): classification of paper patients by expert opinion including uncertainty appraisal. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009; 68: 770–776.

- 3. Rudwaleit M, van der Heijde D, Landewé R, *et al.* The development of Assessment of Spondyloarthritis International Society classification criteria for axial spondyloarthritis (part II): validation and final selection. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009; 68: 777–783.
- van der Heijde D, Ramiro S, Landewé R, et al. 2016 update of the ASAS-EULAR management recommendations for axial spondyloarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2017; 76: 978–991.
- Zhao SS, Pittam B, Harrison NL, et al. Diagnostic delay in axial spondyloarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2021; 60: 1620–1628.
- 6. Sepriano A, Regel A, van der Heijde D, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of biological and targetedsynthetic DMARDs: a systematic literature review informing the 2016 update of the ASAS/ EULAR recommendations for the management of axial spondyloarthritis. *RMD Open* 2017; 3: e000396.
- Ward MM, Deodhar A, Gensler LS, et al. 2019 update of the American College of Rheumatology/Spondylitis Association of America/Spondyloarthritis Research and Treatment Network Recommendations for the Treatment of Ankylosing Spondylitis and Nonradiographic Axial Spondyloarthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2019; 71: 1599–1613.
- Maas F, Arends S, Brouwer E, et al. Reduction in spinal radiographic progression in ankylosing spondylitis patients receiving prolonged treatment with tumor necrosis factor inhibitors. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2017; 69: 1011–1019.
- Spadaro A, Lubrano E, Marchesoni A, *et al.* Remission in ankylosing spondylitis treated with anti-TNF-α drugs: a national multicentre study. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2013; 52: 1914–1919.
- Davis JC Jr, Van Der Heijde D, Braun J, et al. Enbrel Ankylosing Spondylitis Study Group. Recombinant human tumor necrosis factor receptor (etanercept) for treating ankylosing spondylitis: a randomized, controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003; 48: 3230–3236.
- 11. Dougados M, van der Heijde D, Sieper J, *et al.* Symptomatic efficacy of etanercept and its effects on objective signs of inflammation in early nonradiographic axial spondyloarthritis: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2014; 66: 2091–2102.

- van der Heijde D, Kivitz A, Schiff MH, et al. ATLAS Study Group. Efficacy and safety of adalimumab in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: results of a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006; 54: 2136–2146.
- 13. Sieper J, van der Heijde D, Dougados M, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of adalimumab in patients with non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis: results of a randomised placebo-controlled trial (ABILITY-1). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013; 72: 815–822.
- Inman RD, Davis JC Jr, Heijde Dv, et al. Efficacy and safety of golimumab in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008; 58: 3402–3412.
- 15. Deodhar A, Gensler LS, Kay J, *et al.* A fiftytwo-week, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of certolizumab pegol in nonradiographic axial spondyloarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2019; 71: 1101–1111.
- 16. Navarro-Compán V, Plasencia-Rodríguez C, de Miguel E, *et al.* Switching biological diseasemodifying antirheumatic drugs in patients with axial spondyloarthritis: results from a systematic literature review. *RMD Open* 2017; 3: e000524.
- Lopalco G, Venerito V, Cantarini L, et al. Different drug survival of first line tumour necrosis factor inhibitors in radiographic and non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis: a multicentre retrospective survey. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2019; 37: 762–767.
- Ritchlin C and Adamopoulos IE. Axial spondyloarthritis: new advances in diagnosis and management. *BMJ* 2021; 4372: m4447.
- Paine A and Ritchlin CT. Targeting the interleukin-23/17 axis in axial spondyloarthritis. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 2016; 28: 359–367.
- Braun J, Baraliakos X, Deodhar A, et al. Secukinumab shows sustained efficacy and low structural progression in ankylosing spondylitis: 4-year results from the MEASURE 1 study. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2019; 58: 859–868.
- 21. Baraliakos X, Braun J, Deodhar A, *et al.* Longterm efficacy and safety of secukinumab 150 mg in ankylosing spondylitis: 5-year results from the phase III MEASURE 1 extension study. *RMD Open* 2019; 5: e001005.
- Baeten D, Sieper J, Braun J, et al.; MEASURE 1 Study Group. MEASURE 2 Study Group. Secukinumab, an interleukin-17A inhibitor, in ankylosing spondylitis. N Engl J Med 2015; 373: 2534–2548.

- 23. Marzo-Ortega H, Sieper J, Kivitz A, *et al.* Secukinumab provides sustained improvements in the signs and symptoms of active ankylosing spondylitis with high retention rate: 3-year results from the phase III trial, MEASURE 2. *RMD Open* 2017; 3: e000592.
- 24. Pavelka K, Kivitz A, Dokoupilova E, *et al.* Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of secukinumab in patients with active ankylosing spondylitis: a randomized, double-blind phase 3 study, MEASURE 3. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2017; 19: 285.
- 25. Kivitz AJ, Wagner U, Dokoupilova E, et al. Efficacy and safety of secukinumab 150 mg with and without loading regimen in ankylosing spondylitis: 104-week results from MEASURE 4 study. *Rheumatol Ther* 2018; 5: 447–462.
- Kishimoto M, Taniguchi A, Fujishige A, et al. Efficacy and safety of secukinumab in Japanese patients with active ankylosing spondylitis: 24-week results from an open-label phase 3 study (MEASURE 2-J). Mod Rheumatol 2020; 30: 132–140.
- 27. Huang F, Sun F, Wan WG, *et al.* Secukinumab provided significant and sustained improvement in the signs and symptoms of ankylosing spondylitis: results from the 52-week, Phase III China-centric study, MEASURE 5. *Chin Med J* (*Engl*) 2020; 133: 2521–2531.
- Deodhar A, Blanco R, Dokoupilová E, et al. Improvement of signs and symptoms of nonradiographic axial spondyloarthritis in patients treated with secukinumab: primary results of a randomized, placebo-controlled phase III study. Arthritis Rheumatol 2021; 73: 110–120.
- 29. Gentileschi S, Rigante D, Sota J, *et al.* Long-term effectiveness of secukinumab in patients with axial spondyloarthritis. *Mediators Inflamm* 2020; 2020: 6983272.
- Glintborg B, Lindstrom U, Di Giuseppe D, et al. DANBIO (Denmark), ARTIS/SRQ (Sweden), ICEBIO (Iceland), ROB-FIN (Finland), NOR-DMARD Norway) registries. One-year treatment outcomes of secukinumab versus tumor necrosis factor inhibitors in Spondyloarthritis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). Epub ahead of print 30 November 2020. DOI: 10.1002/acr.24523.
- Alonso S, Villa I, Fernández S, *et al.* Multicenter study of secukinumab survival and safety in spondyloarthritis and psoriatic arthritis: SEcukinumab in Cantabria and ASTURias Study. *Front Med (Lausanne)* 2021; 8: 679009.
- 32. Ramonda R, Lorenzin M, Carriero A, et al.; on behalf of Spondyloarthritis and Psoriatic Arthritis SIR Study Group 'Antonio Spadaro'. Effectiveness and safety of secukinumab in 608

patients with psoriatic arthritis in real life: a 24-month prospective, multicentre study. *RMD Open* 2021; 7: e001519.

- Landewé R and van Tubergen A. Clinical tools to assess and monitor spondyloarthritis. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 2015; 17: 47.
- 34. Chimenti MS, Fonti GL, Conigliaro P, et al. One-year effectiveness, retention rate, and safety of secukinumab in ankylosing spondylitis and psoriatic arthritis: a real-life multicenter study. Expert Opin Biol Ther 2020; 20: 813–821.
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al.;
 STROBE Initiative. The strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. J Clin Epidemiol 2008; 61: 344–349.
- Baraliakos X, Kivitz AJ, Deodhar AA, et al. MEASURE 1 Study Group. Long-term effects of interleukin-17A inhibition with secukinumab in active ankylosing spondylitis: 3-year efficacy and safety results from an extension of the phase 3 MEASURE 1 trial. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2018; 36: 50–55.
- 37. Braun J, Baraliakos X, Deodhar A, et al. MEASURE 1 study group. Effect of secukinumab on clinical and radiographic outcomes in ankylosing spondylitis: 2-year results from the randomised phase III MEASURE 1 study. Ann Rheum Dis 2017; 76: 1070–1077.
- 38. Baraliakos X, Van den Bosch F, Machado PM, et al. Achievement of remission endpoints with secukinumab over 3 years in active ankylosing spondylitis: pooled analysis of two phase 3 studies. *Rheumatol Ther* 2021; 8: 273–288.
- 39. Glintborg B, Ostergaard M, Krogh NS, et al. Predictors of treatment response and drug continuation in 842 patients with ankylosing spondylitis treated with anti-tumour necrosis factor: results from 8 years' surveillance in the Danish nationwide DANBIO registry. Ann Rheum Dis 2010; 69: 2002–2008.
- Ornbjerg LM, Brahe CH, Askling J, et al. Treatment response and drug retention rates in 24 195 biologic-naive patients with axial spondyloarthritis initiating TNFi treatment: routine care data from 12 registries in the EuroSpA collaboration. Ann Rheum Dis 2019; 78: 1536–1544.
- 41. Michelsen B, Lindström U, Codreanu C, *et al.* Drug retention, inactive disease and response rates in 1860 patients with axial spondyloarthritis initiating secukinumab treatment: routine care data from 13 registries in the EuroSpA collaboration. *RMD Open* 2020; 6: 12.

- 42. Ortolan A, Cozzi G, Lorenzin M, et al. The genetic contribution to drug response in spondyloarthritis: a systematic literature review. Front Genet 2021; 12: 703911.
- 43. Lorenzin M, Ometto F, Ortolan A, et al. An update on serum biomarkers to assess axial spondyloarthritis and to guide treatment decision. Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis 2020; 12: 1759720X20934277.
- 44. Marchesoni A, D'Angelo S, Anzidei M, et al.; SHARE Study Group. Radiologistrheumatologist multidisciplinary approach in the management of axial spondyloarthritis: a Delphi consensus statement. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2019; 37: 575-584.
- 45. Micheroli R, Tellenbach C, Scherer A, et al. Effectiveness of secukinumab versus an alternative TNF inhibitor in patients with axial spondyloarthritis previously exposed to TNF inhibitors in the Swiss Clinical Quality Management cohort. Ann Rheum Dis 2020; 79: 1203-1209.
- 46. Gremese E, Bernardi S, Bonazza S, et al. Body weight, gender and response to TNF- α blockers in axial spondyloarthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2014; 53: 875-881.
- journals.sagepub.com/ 47. Braun J, Blanco R, Marzo-Ortega H, et al. SAGE journals Secukinumab in non-radiographic axial

Visit SAGE journals online

home/tab

spondyloarthritis: subgroup analysis based on key baseline characteristics from a randomized phase III study, PREVENT. Arthritis Res Ther 2021; 23: 231.

- 48. Lorenzin M, Ortolan A, Frallonardo P, et al. Predictors of response and drug survival in ankylosing spondylitis patients treated with infliximab. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2015; 16.166
- 49. Lubrano E, Perrotta FM, Manara M, et al. The sex influence on response to tumor necrosis factor- α inhibitors and remission in axial spondyloarthritis. 7 Rheumatol 2018; 45: 195-201.
- 50. Lorenzin M, Ortolan A, Cozzi G, et al. Predictive factors for switching in patients with psoriatic arthritis undergoing anti-TNF α , anti-IL12/23, or anti-IL17 drugs: a 15-year monocentric real-life study. Clin Rheumatol 2021; 40: 4569-4580.
- 51. Deodhar A, Mease PJ, McInnes IB, et al. Longterm safety of secukinumab in patients with moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, and ankylosing spondylitis: integrated pooled clinical trial and post-marketing surveillance data. Arthritis Res Ther 2019; 21: 111.
- 52. Lubrano E, Perrotta FM, Marchesoni A, et al. Remission in non radiographic axial spondyloarthritis treated with anti-tumor necrosis factor- α drugs: an Italian multicenter study. 7 Rheumatol 2015; 42: 258-263.