SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

OPEN The mirtron miR-1010 functions in concert with its host gene SKIP to balance elevation of nAcR₃2

Christopher Amourda^{1,4} & Timothy E. Saunders^{1,2,3}

Mirtrons are non-canonical miRNAs arising by splicing and debranching from short introns. A plethora of introns have been inferred by computational analyses as potential mirtrons. Yet, few have been experimentally validated and their functions, particularly in relation to their host genes, remain poorly understood. Here, we found that Drosophila larvae lacking either the mirtron miR-1010 or its binding site in the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor $\beta 2$ (nAcR $\beta 2$) 3'UTR fail to grow properly and pupariate. Increase of cortical nAcR β 2 mediated by neural activity elevates the level of intracellular Ca²⁺, which in turn activates CaMKII and, further downstream, the transcription factor Adf-1. We show that miR-1010 downregulates nAcR32. We reveal that Adf-1 initiates the expression of SKIP, the host gene of miR-1010. Preventing synaptic potentials from overshooting their optimal range requires both SKIP to temper synaptic potentials (incoherent feedforward loop) and miR-1010 to reduce nAcR β 2 mRNA levels (negative feedback loop). Our results demonstrate how a mirtron, in coordination with its host gene, contributes to maintaining appropriate receptor levels, which in turn may play a role in maintaining homeostasis.

Since their discovery more than two decades ago^{1,2}, microRNAs (miRNAs) have been established as key cellular micromanagers. MiRNAs control nearly all cellular pathways, with a large subset being indispensable to development³⁻⁶. Canonical miRNAs are genetically encoded short hairpin structures that are recognised, after transcription, by the RNAse type III endonuclease Drosha which cleaves the stem region in the nucleus^{7–9}. MiRNAs are subsequently exported from the nucleus and undergo a final step of maturation before being functionally able to regulate the mRNA level of their target genes. Mature miRNAs are 18-22 nucleotides long^{3,10-12}. Recent computational and experimental efforts identified a non-canonical miRNA maturation pathway in which introns are debranched from precursor mRNAs by the Lariat debranching enzyme and enter the miRNA processing pathway without requiring cleavage by Drosha¹³⁻¹⁵. This new class of miRNAs were named mirtrons and numerous introns have, subsequently, been inferred as potential mirtrons¹⁶⁻¹⁸. Further studies revealed that mirtrons are widespread across taxa and some show a high degree of conservation¹⁹, implying important regulatory functions.

The repertoire of canonical miRNA genomic sources is characterised by its versatility. Indeed, miRNAs can be found as single or clustered transcriptional units bearing their own regulatory elements. MiRNAs are also found within introns of host genes both in sense or anti-sense orientations, indicating that their expression does not necessarily correlate with that of their host genes²⁰⁻²³. An important question arising from the genomic organisation of canonical miRNAs is: what is the reason for the emergence of mirtrons? It has been hypothesised that alternative miRNA processing pathways may be important in stressful conditions. Anaerobic conditions in tumours or exposure to hormones lead to a down-regulation or inhibition of the miRNA processing components^{24,25}. For example, Drosha mRNA level is reduced by around 50% in ovarian-cancer specimens. In such circumstances, pathways regulated by miRNAs are perturbed²⁴. Although the presence of mirtrons in such stressful conditions has not been documented, an attractive possibility is that mirtrons fulfil fundamental roles under stressful conditions to maintain cellular homeostasis¹⁹. In particular, since mirtrons mature regardless of the level of Drosha, their processing and maturation should not be affected under stress as the transcription machinery remains functional. Alternatively, mirtrons may have emerged from mutation of short intronic sequences that

¹Mechanobiology Institute, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore. ²Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore. ³Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, A*Star, Proteos, Singapore. ⁴Present address: MRC London Institute of Medical Science, Imperial College London, Hammersmith Campus, Du Cane Road, London, W12 0NN, UK. email: c.amourda@lms.mrc.ac.uk; dbsste@nus.edu.sg



Figure 1. Larvae fail to grow and pupariate in miR-1010^{-/-}. (**A**) The mirtron miR-1010 is located in the SKIP gene, between the exons 14 and 15 (red arrowhead, top panel). Green arrowhead shows the insertion point of the Gal4 transposon in the line BL# 62679. MiR-1010 forms a hairpin loop and is debranched from SKIP by the splicing machinery and further matures as a normal miRNA (bottom panel). (**B**) Larval weight was measured directly after hatching until pupariation. OreR, miR-1010^{+/-}, SKIP^{-/-} and Elav > miR-1010; miR-1010^{-/-} reached ~2 mg before pupariation. MiR-1010^{-/-} weight was recorded for 10 days but did not pupariate. (**C**) Stereoscope pictures of OreR and miR-1010^{-/-} larvae allowed to eat coloured yeast paste show no feeding behaviour defect (right panel). Mouth hook contraction score in OreR and miR-1010^{-/-}. (**D**) Larval 4E-BP transcript levels measured by RT-qPCR in OreR and miR-1010^{-/-}. Fold changes are relative to OreR at 24h AEL. (**E**) Expression level are relative to the expression at 16h AEL. The dashed line indicates the average hatching time and the grey rectangle represents the standard deviation in hatching times at 25 °C. All values are means ± SD (***P < 0.001, n = at least 9 for each experiment).

evolved into hairpin structures^{19,26}. Importantly, the biological significance of intronic miRNAs and, especially, mirtrons must be understood with respect to the function of their host gene²⁷.

Here, we show that the mirtron miR-1010 regulates the level of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor $\beta 2$ (nAcR $\beta 2$) via a negative feedback loop, whereby elevated nAcR $\beta 2$ results in increased miR-1010 levels. In the absence of miR-1010 or its binding site within the nAcR $\beta 2$ 3'UTR, larvae cease growth and do not pupariate. Elevated levels of nAcR $\beta 2$ upon neural activity also triggers a homeostatic response whereby SKIP, the host gene of miR-1010, amplifies the Shal K⁺ channel role in tempering membrane potentials^{28,29}. However, this negative feedforward response is dispensable, with viable adults emerging in SKIP mutants. Our work demonstrates that miR-1010, transcribed alongside SKIP, is involved in a critical negative feedback loop that regulates nAcR $\beta 2$ mRNA levels to maintain balanced expression. Finally, we show that miR-1010 is upregulated upon exposure to nicotine. Therefore, our results could be of interest to studies of nicotine-related disorders.

Results and Discussion

MiR-1010, in contrast to its host gene SKIP, is indispensable to viability. MiR-1010 is a mirtron located within the Shal potassium (K⁺) channel Interacting Protein (SKIP) gene, between exons 14 and 15 (Fig. 1A and Supplementary Fig. S1). We found that homozygous mutants for miR-1010 fail to molt and are lethal during the first larval instar. Replacing miR-1010 by a LoxP sequence appears to not affect embryogenesis as larvae hatch without any apparent defects and with similar viability as wild-type animals. We selected late embryos (~16 h) based on the absence of GFP expression from a TM6b, Tb, GFP balancer chromosome. Hence, we cannot discount possible early embryonic defects in miR-1010^{-/-} embryos. From our selected embryos, we note that they hatched with similar survivability to control embryos (~90%). Mutant larvae are unable to grow; they retain a first instar larva size (<0.5 mg) and fail to pupariate even after ten days of larval life (Fig. 1B). Nevertheless, we observed no defects in food intake (as shown by ingestion of red dyed food) or mouth hook contractions in miR-1010^{-/-} larvae (Fig. 1C). We calculated the number of mouth hook contractions per 30 sec³⁰. and found no difference between OreR and miR-1010^{-/-} larvae retain a number of contractions comparable to that of OreR larvae at 48 h AEL. Strikingly, the metabolic brake 4E-BP³¹ shows a 10-fold increase directly at the onset of larval



Figure 2. nAcR β 2, Drl-2 and CG3078 levels is elevated in miR-1010^{-/-}. (**A**) Confocal imaging of a stage 17 embryo expressing a membrane marker (UAS > Gap43::mVenus) driven by SKIP > Gal4. SKIP/miR-1010 are expressed in the CNS (blue arrowhead), in axons (pink arrowheads) emanating from the CNS and at neuromuscular junctions (yellow arrowhead). Scale bar is 50 µm. (**B**–**D**) Drl-2 (**B**), CG3078 (**C**) and nAcR β 2 (**D**) transcript levels (RT-qPCR) in miR-1010^{-/-} (orange). Fold changes are relative to OreR (dark blue) at 24 h AEL. (**E**) SKIP and Shal transcript levels (RT-qPCR) in miR-1010^{-/-} relative to OreR larvae at 24 h AEL. (**F**) Immunoblot analyses for nAcR β 2 (right panel) and SKIP (left panel) in OreR and miR-1010^{-/-}. Syn was used as housekeeping protein. Pink arrowhead indicates the expected size (60 kDa) for nAcR β 2 while the short isoform of SKIP is denoted with a green arrowhead and the full length SKIP protein with a blue arrowhead. nAcR β 2, SKIP and their respective housekeeping protein have different exposure time. Uncropped gel blots are shown in Supplementary Fig. S2F. (**H**) Transcripts levels (RT-qPCR) in nAcR β 2 (black) at 24 h AEL. (**G**) miR-1010 levels upon exposure to 0.1 mg (blue) and 0.5 mg (green) of nicotine as compared to 0 mg (black). All values are means ± SD (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, n = at least 9 for each experiment).

.....

life and remains at a high level in miR-1010^{-/-} larvae as compared to wild-type larvae (Fig. 1D). The high level of 4E-BP is indicative of the larvae experiencing a stressful condition and, as a result, growth is inhibited until returning to more adequate conditions³¹.

Prior to investigating the causes underlying the miR-1010^{-/-} phenotype, we sought to verify that the impeded growth is solely due to the lack of miR-1010 and not a result of an alteration of SKIP. For this purpose, we used a SKIP MiMIC (SKIP^{-/-}) mutant where a triple stop codon is inserted within the first intron³². Importantly, the MiMIC insertion does not prevent transcription but alters the translation of a given gene. Hence, the miR-1010 level remains at a wild-type level in SKIP^{-/-} larvae (Supplementary Fig. S2A). Concordantly, SKIP^{-/-} larvae show a mild timing phenotype and pupate with a 24 h delay (Fig. 1B). To further validate that miR-1010 is responsible for the larval lethality observed above, we sought to rescue miR-1010^{-/-} larvae by overexpressing UAS-miR-1010 under the control of Elav > Gal4 in a miR-1010 mutant background. We used the driver Elav, a pan-neurally expressed protein, as SKIP is known to be expressed in the nervous system. These larvae managed to develop and eventually pupariate as wild-type larvae (Fig. 1B). These results indicate that the deficit in larval growth is likely due to lack of miR-1010.

nAcR β **2** is a key target of miR-1010. We next looked to identify the targets of miR-1010. First, we observed that miR-1010 has its highest expression during late-embryogenesis (16 h AEL) and expression gradually decreases during larval development (Fig. 1E). We used a Gal4 driver inserted downstream of the SKIP regulatory region (SKIP > Gal4, Fig. 1A) to identify potential spatial domains of SKIP and miR-1010 expression. We assume here that SKIP and miR-1010 have the same expression pattern as miR-1010 arises from the debranching of SKIP introns. SKIP and miR-1010 appear to be expressed in the central nervous system (CNS) (Fig. 2A and Supplementary Movie S1), though we note that the SKIP > Gal4 may not drive precisely equivalent expression to the endogenous SKIP protein. However, our results below are not dependent on details of specific target cells. We next screened all targets predicted by the TargetScanFly (v6.2). Amongst 36 tested targets, we found that Drl-2, nAcR β 2 and CG3078 are (i) expressed at meaningful levels (judged by Ct < 33 in qPCR experiments, Supplementary Fig. S2B) and (ii) consistently overexpressed (generally > 2-fold for all targets) in miR-1010^{-/-} throughout larval development (Fig. 2B-D and Supplementary Fig. S2C). Of these, only nAcR β 2 shows higher expression during embryogenesis (Supplementary Fig. S2D). It is, further, the only nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit that is upregulated in miR-1010^{-/-} (Supplementary Fig. S2E). Alongside the predicted targets,

we noticed that the mRNA levels of SKIP and Shal in miR-1010^{-/-} larvae show a ~3-fold increase as compared to control larvae (Fig. 2E). The increase in mRNA level translates into an increase in protein level for both nAcR β 2 and SKIP (Fig. 2F and Supplementary Fig. S2F). Importantly, the nAcR β 2 protein level is dramatically greater at larval stages in miR-1010^{-/-}. SKIP has two shorter isoforms besides its full length protein²⁹. SKIP3, the shorter isoform of SKIP, has previously been shown to favour the slow inactivation mode of Shal²⁹. We observed an upregulation of both the full length and the shorter isoform, SKIP3, at larval stages (Fig. 2F). To corroborate our results, we checked the mRNA levels of nAcR β 2, Shal, SKIP, Drl-2 and CG3078 in the miR-1010^{-/-} mutant rescued by expressing UAS > miR-1010 with a Elav > Gal4 driver. In this rescue line, nAcR β 2, Shal, Drl-2 and CG3078 are brought down upon overexpression of miR-1010 (Supplementary Fig. S3A and B). However, the SKIP mRNA level is consistently higher (~10-fold) in the rescue line (Supplementary Fig. S3C). This expression pattern is surprising and further experiments will be required to understand the reason underlying SKIP upregulation. Altogether, these results suggest that miR-1010, its host gene, and targets are within the same regulatory pathway.

Overexpression of nAcR β **2 results in miR-1010 upregulation.** Can we rescue miR-1010^{-/-} larvae by knocking down specific targets? Mutants for Drl-2 and CG3078 fail to rescue the miR-1010^{-/-} phenotype (Supplementary Fig. S4A). We were unable to perform similar rescue experiment for the nAcR β 2 as the cytogenic locations of miR-1010 and nAcR β 2 are in close proximity (94A4 and 96A5, respectively) and thus prevent chromosomal recombination. MiRNA deficiency essentially results in overexpression of its targets. We reasoned that overexpressing the coding sequence (*i.e.* without the 3'UTR bearing miR-1010 binding sites) of miR-1010 targets should phenocopy miR-1010^{-/-} (Fig. S4B). We did not impede larval growth as in miR-1010^{-/-} (Supplementary Fig. S4C–E), nevertheless, pan-neural (Elav > Gal4) overexpression of nAcR β 2 resulted in an interesting pattern whereby SKIP, miR-1010 and Shal are upregulated along with Drl-2 and CG3078 (Fig. 2G). On the contrary, overexpression of nAcR β 2 in sensory neurons using Pickpocket Gal4 driver^{33,34} (ppk > Gal4) did not increase the level of SKIP, miR-1010 and Shal (Fig. 2G). These results suggest that miR-1010 acts in specific neurons to regulate nAcR β 2. Further, overexpressing Drl-2 or CG3078 with Elav > Gal4 does not alter the levels of nAcR β 2, SKIP and Shal (Supplementary Fig. S4F,G). These experiments indicate that increased nAcR β 2 levels can give rise to upregulation of the SKIP, miR-1010 and Shal genes.

MiR-1010/SKIP upregulation is specific to nAcR β **2-mediated increase of neural activity.** Among the upregulated targets of miR-1010 that we tested, only nAcR β 2 overexpression resulted in elevated miR-1010 levels. We asked whether miR-1010 expression levels correlate with nAcR β 2 level or more generally with neural activity? To answer this question, we used different means to promote or inhibit synaptic potentials. First, we overexpressed the bacterial sodium channel NaChBac under the control of the Elav > Gal4 driver to boost synaptic potentials³⁵. Under these circumstances nAcR β 2 is repressed, possibly to compensate for the increase in neural activity (Supplementary Fig. S5A). MiR-1010 remains near wild-type expression levels and could, therefore, participate in reducing the level of nAcR β 2. To test this hypothesis, we overexpressed NaChBac in a miR-1010 mutant background. In the absence of miR-1010, nAcR β 2 is reduced to a smaller extent (Supplementary Fig. S5A). This indicates that regulation of nAcR β 2 level upon increase in neural activity requires miR-1010. At the same time, SKIP, Shal, Drl-2 and CG3078 expression are downregulated both in the presence or absence of miR-1010 (Supplementary Fig. S5A). These results suggest that the coordinated action of SKIP/miR-1010 is required upon specific elevation of nAcR β 2 levels, but they do not respond to neural stimulation independently of nAcR β 2.

To test this model, we exposed wild-type larvae to different doses (0.1 and 0.5 mg/ml) of nicotine³⁶, an agonist of nAcRs, for 24 h. In these larvae, the nAcR β 2 level is increased to 2-fold that of control larvae. We saw a striking ~10-fold increase in miR-1010 levels for both dosage conditions (Fig. 2H). Interestingly, larvae exposed to 0.5 mg/ ml of nicotine showed lower miR-1010 expression than larvae exposed to 0.1 mg/ml of nicotine. Larvae exposed to 0.5 mg/ml of nicotine were sluggish and failed to display any response to physical stimuli (touching with a probe), in contrast to larvae exposed to 0.1 mg/ml of nicotine. This result is consistent with a direct relationship between nAcRs activation and miR-1010 expression. Last, we performed the converse experiment and suppressed electrical activity by overexpression of Kir2.1, an inward rectifier K⁺ channel³⁷. We noticed a modest increase of nAcR β 2 (1.24-fold increase) whilst SKIP, Shal, Drl-2 and CG3078 remained near control levels (Supplementary Fig. S5B). These results suggest that miR-1010 plays a hitherto underappreciated role in regulating synaptic potentials upon nAcR β 2 activation.

Removing the miR-1010 binding site in the nAcR β **2 3'UTR phenocopies miR-1010**^{-/-}. Our results so far have consistently indicated that the nAcR β 2 is a primary target of miR-1010 and mis-regulation of nAcR β 2 accounts for the phenotype observed in miR-1010 mutant animals. To test this observation more directly, we removed the putative miR-1010 binding site in the 3'UTR of nAcR β 2. We predicted that preventing miR-1010 from regulating the level of the nAcR β 2 should phenocopy miR-1010^{-/-} mutants. The miR-1010 binding site (7-mer) in the nAcR β 2 3'UTR is followed by miR-210.1 and miR-210.2 binding sites. We did not want to interfere with other regulatory elements, therefore, we sought to modify a minimal number of nucleotides in the nAcR β 2 3'UTR binding site. Typically, replacing one or two bases is sufficient to disable the miRNA seed sequence from recognizing its binding site^{38,39}. To make this modification, we used the CRISPR-Cas9 method to generate a line, named hereafter nAcR β 2^{Δ1010}, where the miR-1010 binding site in nAcR β 2 has been modified (Supplementary Figs. S6 and S7). Similar to miR-1010^{-/-}, nAcR β 2^{Δ1010} complete embryogenesis without apparent defect but they are homozygous lethal in the first larval instar. nAcR β 2^{Δ1010} larvae fail to develop and exhibit a growth curve reminiscent to that of miR-1010^{-/-} larvae (<0.5 mg after ten days of larval life, Fig. 3A). This defect in larval growth correlates with the metabolic brake, 4E-BP, being overexpressed at early larval developmental times (Fig. 3B).



Figure 3. $nAcR\beta2^{\Delta 1010}$ phenocopies miR-1010^{-/-}. (A) Larval weight in $nAcR\beta2^{\Delta 1010}$ as compared to OreR and miR-1010^{-/-}. (B) Larval 4E-BP transcript levels measured by RT-qPCR in OreR, miR-1010^{-/-} and $nAcR\beta2^{\Delta 1010}$. Fold changes are relative to OreR at 24 h AEL. (C) Mouth hook contraction score in OreR, miR-1010^{-/-}, $nAcR\beta2^{\Delta 1010}$. (D) $nAcR\beta2$, SKIP, Shal, Drl-2, CG3078 and miR-1010 transcript levels (RT-qPCR) in homozygous $nAcR\beta2^{\Delta 1010}$ (green) and miR-1010^{-/-} (orange) relative to OreR (dark blue) at 24 h AEL. All values are means \pm SD (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, n = at least 9 for each experiment).



Figure 4. Adf-1 controls the expression of SKIP, miR-1010 and Shal. (**A**) ChIP-qPCR for Adf-1 performed in OreR (dark blue), miR-1010^{+/-} (green) and miR-1010^{-/-} (orange). Fold enrichments are relative to the Rabbit IgG isotype control. (**B**) Larval weight in Adf-1^{-/-} as compared to OreR and miR-1010^{-/-}. (**C**) Dot plot representing transcript levels measured in Adf-1^{-/-} (turquoise) relative to OreR (dark blue) at 24 h AEL. Black dots and lines represent, respectively, the mean and the standard deviation for each condition. (**D**) Model of Adf-1-mediated coupling of neural activity and growth. Adf-1 is represented in blue in its non-phosphorylated form and in green upon phosphorylation. All values are means \pm SD (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, n = at least 9 for each experiments).

Therefore, growth is prevented as in miR-1010^{-/-} larvae. Further, mouth-hook contraction numbers are comparable to the pattern observed in miR-1010^{-/-} larvae, with larvae at 72 h AEL retaining features of first instar larvae (Fig. 3C). The resemblance between the miR-1010^{-/-} and nAcR $\beta 2^{\Delta 1010}$ mutants becomes particularly obvious in light of the expression levels of SKIP, miR-1010, Shal, Drl-2 and CG3078. These molecular players are all upregulated in nAcR $\beta 2^{\Delta 1010}$, with miR-1010 expression peaking at 5-fold to that of wild-type consistent with nAcR $\beta 2$ regulation of miR-1010 expression (Fig. 3D). These results support the hypothesis that miR-1010 regulates nAcR $\beta 2$ expression; the loss of miR-1010 regulation of nAcR $\beta 2$ phenocopies the lack of growth observed in miR-1010^{-/-}.

Adf-1 controls SKIP, miR-1010 and Shal expression. We next examined the regulation of SKIP and Shal expression. Through computational analysis, we found that the Alcohol dehydrogenase transcription factor 1 (Adf-1) has predicted binding sequences in both Shal and SKIP regulatory regions^{40,41} (Supplementary Fig. S8A). Further, Adf-1 is phosphorylated by CaMKII, a kinase activated upon neural activity which has been shown to be sufficient to upregulate Shal^{28,42}. It has also been demonstrated by ChIP-seq experiments that Adf-1 is phosphorylated upon neural activity and blocks Fas2 and (indirectly) Staufen to allow neuronal growth⁴². We obtained the ChIP-seq results mentioned above and noticed that Adf-1 also binds to Shal and, to a lesser extent, to SKIP (Supplementary Fig. S8B). We confirmed these results by performing a ChIP-qPCR for Adf-1. We used Fas2 as positive control since Adf-1 strongly binds to Fas2 regulatory sequences, as shown by Timmerman and colleagues⁴². Further, Adf-1 binds to Shal and SKIP regulatory regions in OreR and miR-1010^{+/-}. However, this binding is significantly reduced in miR- $1010^{-/-}$ (Fig. 4A). Adf-1 has been shown to positively correlate with high Pol II-pausing indices⁴². Therefore, we reasoned that in its non-phosphorylated state Adf-1 is bound to Shal and SKIP regulatory elements and prevents their transcription. Upon neural activity, Adf-1 is phosphorylated and releases Shal and SKIP expression. If our hypothesis is correct, we should then observe higher levels of SKIP, miR-1010 and Shal in Adf- $1^{-/-}$ larvae. The majority of Adf- $1^{-/-}$ (~80%) fail to complete embryogenesis⁴³. Those that survive to larval stages do not grow noticeably and display a phenotype reminiscent to that of miR- $1010^{-/-}$ larvae



Figure 5. miR-1010 controls synaptic homeostasis by downregulating nAcR β 2 (**A**) nAcR β 2 is part of incoherent feedforward loop to temper synaptic potentials and a negative feedback loop through which miR-1010 downregulates nAcR β 2 upon receptor activation. (**B**) Both loops work cooperatively to prevent synaptic potentials from overshooting their optimal range. (**C**) Long-term average potential response obtained by mass-action kinetic simulation of model outlined in (**A**). Resting potential defined to 0 (corresponding to ~-70meV). Curves normalised to maximum potential in wild-type simulation (parameters and description in Supplementary Note). Time is scaled relative to the lifetime of nAcR β 2. Δ t represents delay in potential in miR-1010^{+/-} and SKIP^{-/-} to return to less than 20% of peak response in wild-type conditions.

.....

(Fig. 4B). We performed qPCR on Adf-1^{-/-} that reached the larval stage and we saw a general increase in mRNA levels for all candidates, while nAcR β 2 remains at control level (Fig. 4C). However, our results are quite variable, a phenomenon unsurprising given the pleiotropic function of Adf-1^{42,43}. Adf-1 promotes neuronal growth upon neural activity by shutting down Fas2 and Staufen. Mechanism(s) must be in place to prevent detrimental overgrowth. By having Adf-1 also regulate Shal and SKIP, the system may couple growth to neural activity. This mechanism introduces an incoherent feedforward loop to ensure robust growth in response to neural activity (Fig. 4D).

Discussion

nAcRs boost synaptic potentials and trigger the upregulation of cortical nAcRs²⁸. These receptors are permeable to Ca²⁺ and the subsequent influx of Ca²⁺ activates CaMKII. In turn, CaMKII triggers the expression of the Shal K⁺ channel. K⁺ ions are subsequently released from neurons and temper membrane potentials. Shal and its interacting protein SKIP have been shown to be important members of a pathway required to stabilise these synaptic potentials^{28,29}. Despite the apparent importance of such a pathway, we found that neither knockout of Shal nor SKIP resulted in lethality (Supplementary Fig. S4H). Combining these results, we hypothesised that the mRNA level of nAcR β 2 is downregulated by miR-1010 and that this negative feedback works complementarily to SKIP and Shal to temper synaptic potentials. As previously described, SKIP is presumed to modulate Shal channels inactivation kinetics by favouring Shal slow inactivation mode^{29,44,45}. Crucially, however, our results suggest that the SKIP/Shal part of the pathway is only acting to temper the potential response and is not fundamental in restoring the system to a healthy state. In contrast, the negative feedback loop mediated via miR-1010 is indispensable for returning the system to homeostasis after stimulation.

We have revealed here that nAcR β 2, Shal, SKIP and miR-1010 form a network that contains an incoherent feedforward loop coupled with a negative feedback loop (Fig. 5A). Therefore, we posit that the mirtron miR-1010 and its host gene SKIP are working in tandem to ensure synaptic homeostasis (Fig. 5B). It will be interesting to test this hypothesis using patch-clamp recordings. However, in the first instar larvae such experiments have proven to be challenging. As a simple test of our model, we have used mass-action kinetics to model the average long-term voltage potential response due to the two interaction loops outlined in Fig. 5A after activation of nAcR β 2 (see Supplementary Note for details). Our objective here is to explore whether the regulatory logic defined by the feedforward and feedback loops is consistent with the experimental observations. We see that loss of SKIP or partial loss of miR-1010 results in delayed return of the potential to homeostatic levels (Fig. 5C), qualitatively consistent with our observed delay in developmental time (Fig. 1B). However, complete loss of miR-1010 results in the potential staying active over a long period (Fig. 5C), consistent with the observed stress response seen in miR- $1010^{-/-}$. From our experiments, and supported by the simulations, we conclude that the expression level of miR-1010 is effectively acting like a switch, controlling whether nAcR β 2 has high or low expression (see Supplementary Note for further discussion). Interestingly, the simulation predicts that the average membrane potential response will be higher in miR-1010^{+/-} and SKIP^{-/-} as compared with wild-type larvae. As noted above, patch clamp recordings will be needed to test this prediction, but such experiments are currently very challenging. Our results point to the importance of miR-1010 in maintaining homeostasis upon nAcR32-mediated neural activity. Tempering synaptic potentials through an incoherent feedforward loop are not sufficient to restore

membrane potentials to an optimal range. The concomitant downregulation of the transmembrane receptor, as exemplified by miR-1010 regulation of nAcR β 2, is indispensable to restore equilibrium.

The upregulation of Drl-2 and CG3078 upon overexpression of nAcR β 2 is intriguing. The most likely explanation is that the higher levels of Drl-2 and CG3078 in miR-1010^{-/-} larvae is simply a response to increased neural activity, not the direct result of a lack of miR-1010. CG3078 upregulation upon neural activity is plausible as its inferred function is to regulate K⁺ channel activity⁴⁶. However, the reason for Drl-2, an axon guiding protein^{47–49}, upregulation is more enigmatic; it may be a response to axonal growth following increased activity. Moreover, given the pleiotropic effects of CaMKII and Adf-1, deciphering the specificity underlying the miR-1010-mediated regulation of nAcR β 2 constitutes another exciting avenue for future investigations.

We have studied the role of miR-1010 in a developmental context but it is important to note that exposure to nicotine results in a dramatic increase of miR-1010 levels in wild-type larvae. Hence, this pathway may not be restricted to development and could apply to later stages of life. Deciphering the relevance of miR-1010 regulation in nicotine-related disorders (such as nicotine addiction or Alzheimer disease) offers exciting avenues of future research. Our work sheds light on the coherence between the functions of mirtrons and their host genes, in particular that SKIP and miR-1010 act in a coordinated fashion. We predict that (i) such regulatory loops are not restricted to synaptic homeostasis and (ii) homologous pathways exist in higher organisms. MiR-1010 shares sequence homologies with the mammalian miR-412⁵⁰. However, miR-412 is not a mirtron and does not seem to directly regulate nAcRs (from computationally predicted targets). Identifying a functional homolog would help to further understand the pertinence of homeostasis control by mirtrons.

Materials and Methods

Fly stocks. OregonR (OreR) was used as wild-type. MiR-1010^{-/-} (w[*]; TI{TI}mir-1010[KO]/TM3, $P\{w[+mC] = GAL4-twi.G\{2.3, P\{UAS-2xEGFP\}AH2.3, Sb[1] Ser[1]\}$ was generated in the Cohen lab by homologous recombination and obtained from Bloomington (BL#58886)⁵¹. MiR-1010^{KO}/TM3 was crossed to TM3/TM6b, GFP (modified from BL#4887) to generate miR-1010KO/TM6b, GFP. GFP-negative animals are miR-1010^{-/-}. Further SKIP^{-/-} (y[1] w[67c23]; Mi{ET1}SKIP[MB00109], BL#22699), Shal^{-/-} (y[1] w[*]; Mi{y[+mDint2]=MIC}Shal[MI00446], BL#31006), Drl-2^{-*i*-} (w[1118]; Mi{ET1}Drl-2[MB06584], BL#25627), Adf1[k14805]/CyO, BL#11135) mutant were used and all obtained from Bloomington. Overexpression experiments were carried out with Elav > Gal4 ($P{w[+mC] = GAL4 - elav.L}2/CyO$, BL#8765) and ppk > Gal4 (w[*]; $P{w[+mC] = ppk-GAL4.G}$, BL#32078) to drive UAS > Drl-2 × 2 (w[*] $P{w[+mC] = UAS-Drl-2.S}$ 1a $P\{w[+mC] = UAS-Drl-2.S\}$ 1b, BL#64297), UAS > NaChBac (y[1] w[*]; P\{w[+mC] = UAS-NaChBac]2, BL#9469), UAS > Kir2.1 (w[*]; P{w[+mC] = UAS-Hsap\KCNJ2.EGFP}7, BL#6595), UAS > miR-1010 (w[*]; PBac{y[+mDint2] w[+mC] = UAS-mir-1010.S}VK00037/CyO, BL#60663), SKIP > Gal4 (w[1118]; PBac{w[+mC] = IT.GAL4}SKIP[0165-G4], BL#62679), w[1118]; UAS > nAcRβ2 and w[1118]; UAS > CG3078 (the latter two were made in the lab). The line w[1118]; nAcR $\beta 2^{\Delta 1010}$ mutant line was made for this study by CRISPR-Cas9 modification. In all experiments adult flies, embryos and larvae were maintained at 25 °C.

Transgenic line generation. Ten embryos late were harvested and their mRNA was isolated using the mRNA using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen). The cDNA was obtained with the SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Subsequently, PCR primers were designed to amplify the coding sequence of nAcR β 2 and CG3078. The PCR products were inserted into the pENTR/D-TOPO vector (Invitrogen). The PCR products were recombined into the pPW vector (containing the UAS promoter) using the LR clonase (Invitrogen). The resulting plasmids (pPW-nAcR β 2 and pPW-CG3078) were injected into embryos by BestGene Inc. The CRISPR-Cas9 nAcR β 2 3'UTR mutant was generated using the protocol described at flyCRISPR (http:// flycrispr.molbio.wisc.edu/). Particularly, a PAM site was identified upstream of the miR-1010 binding site within the nAcR β 2 3'UTR. A 137 bp single stranded oligonucleotide (ssODN) bearing mutations in the miR-1010 binding site and synthetized. The targeting gRNA was constructed in using the pU6-BbsI-chiRNA vector via the BbsI restriction sites. A mixture containing the ssODN and the gRNA was injected into the BDSC#56552 line by BestGene Inc.

Weighing larvae. Larvae were collected from hatching (i.e 24 h after egg laying) and weighed every 24 h until pupariation. Larvae were reared at ~10 animals per plate in apple juice plates supplemented with standard yeast paste. Different concentrations of nicotine (Sigma) was added to the yeast paste in relevant experiments. Groups of 5 larvae were weighed at the indicated time AEL with an Ohaus Precision balance. Ten independent batches were weighed to buffer the effect from environmental conditions.

Feeding behaviour assay. Newly hatch OreR or miR- $1010^{-/-}$ larvae were exposed to standard yeast paste supplemented with red food dye (Star Brand). Larvae were allowed to feed for 1 h before being imaged. Larvae were imaged on a Nikon SMZ18 stereomicrosope. Mouth hook contraction was measured as described in³⁰. Briefly, synchronised larvae were transferred to a liquid solution containing 1% of yeast extract in water. The number of mouth-hook contractions per 30 seconds was recorded for 20 larvae per genotype.

Live imaging on a confocal microscope. Embryos (UAS > Gap43::mVenus;SKIP > Gal4) were collected, dechorionated using household bleach and mounted on a MatTek dish. The embryos were imaged on a Zeiss LSM710 microscope with a C-Apochromat $32 \times /0.85$ NA water objective. The pixel size is 830 nm and the image resolution is 512×512 pixels. At each time point a stack of 20 images separated by 3 µm was acquired. The temporal resolution was 6 minutes. Each Z-stack for each time point was Z-projected (maximum intensity) and the colours were inverted.

qPCR on mRNA and miRNA. Ten non-dechorionated embryos were aligned on an apple juice agar plate and allowed to develop at 25 °C from the blastoderm stage until reaching the desired stage. Embryos were subsequently harvested. mRNA was isolated using the mRNA using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen). The cDNA was obtained with the SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Real-time quantitative PCR was performed using SYBR® Green Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific) on a Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-time system following the manufacturer's recommendations. The Rpl32 was used as endogenous control. MiRNAs were isolated using the miRNeasy mini kit (Qiagen). The reverse transcription was perform with miRNA-specific Taqman RT primers (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and reverse transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The real-time quantitative PCR was performed with miRNA-specific Taqman MGB probes and Taqman Universal Master Mix II (Thermo Fisher Scientific) on a Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-time system. The U27 was used as endogenous control. Three independent replicates have been performed for each primer sets and the significance was calculated with a standard t-test.

Immunoblot analyses. Typical 10 larvae aged at 25 °C were grinded in 100 µl of RIPA buffer (Sigma) supplemented Proteinase Cocktail Inhibitor (1/100, Sigma). Protein concentration was measured by performing a Bradford assay: 30 µl of lysate were added to 1.5 ml of Bradford reagent (BioRad). Absorbance at 595 nm was measured and dilutions of BSA were used to make the standard curve. For each blot, equal amount of total protein were loaded for both OreR and miR-1010^{-/-} (between 20 to 40 µg of proteins). The electrophoresis and transfer on PSDF membrane were performed using standard procedures. Subsequently, the membrane was blocked in 5% BSA for 1 h at RT on a rocking platform. The primary antibody was incubated with the membrane O/N at 4 °C on a rocking platform. The membrane was washed 3x in PBS-0.1% Tween before incubation with the secondary antibody for 1 h at RT on a rocking platform. The signal was revealed with ECL and recorded on a BioRad ChemiDoc Imaging System. In a given gel, the lanes were exposed for the same duration and applied with same brightness and contrast settings. We used α -Syn (DSHB 8C3, 1/500) as loading control. Further, we generated SKIP (1/500) and nAcRb β 2 (1/500) with the help of Absea Biotechnology Ltd. The antibodies were generated using, respectively, the peptides CETPPNNELELVLRE (previously published²⁵) and CMRRTQYTLPDYDDSTPSNGYTNEIDVR. Three independent replicates have been performed for each blot.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation and qPCR. Late embryos aged at 25 °C were dechorionated in household bleach. Embryos were crosslinked for 15 minutes in a solution containing 2 ml of PBS, 6 ml of Heptane and 180 µl of 20% paraformaldehyde. Embryos were transferred to a 1.5 ml tube and the crosslinking was quenched with the addition of 125 mM glycine in PBS 15 min after the start of fixation. ChIP samples were essentially prepared as described in Blythe and Wieschaus, 2015⁵². Sonication was performed on a Sartorius stedim Labsonic[®] M with a microtip horn. An input control corresponding to 2% of the volume per reaction was taken after sonication. Immunoprecipatations (IPs) were performed with an Adf-1 antibody (courtesy of Victor Corces) for 15 hours at 4 °C. ChIPped DNA were extracted with a Qiaquick spin column (Qiagen). Real-time quantitative PCR was performed using SYBR[®] Green Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific) on a Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-time system. Primers pairs were designed using the GeneScript primer design tool from sequences obtained from ChIP-seq data. The fold enrichment as compared to the Rabbit IgG isotype control (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to represent ChIP-qPCR data. Three independent replicates have been performed for each primer sets and the significance was calculated with a standard t-test.

Statistical analysis. Data are presented as Mean \pm SD for histograms. Data were first subjected to a Bartlett test to assess the homogeneity of variances. In the absence of significance difference, Student's t-tests were used to calculated the p-value. Otherwise Welch's t-tests was used for unequal variances. All data were plotted and analysed in R.

Mathematical model. See Supplementary note for details of modelling and simulations.

Ethics statement. The work carried in this study is in compliance with the ethical rules in place at the National University of Singapore.

Received: 19 September 2019; Accepted: 19 January 2020; Published online: 03 February 2020

References

- Lee, R. C., Feinbaum, R. L. & Ambros, V. The C. elegans Heterochronic Gene lin-4 Encodes Small RNAs with Antisense Complementarity to lin-14. Cell 75, 843–854 (1993).
- Wightman, B., Ha, I. & Ruvkun, G. Posttranscriptional regulation of the heterochronic gene lin-14 by lin-4 mediates temporal pattern formation in C. elegans. Cell 75, 855–862 (1993).
- 3. Carthew, R. W., Agbu, P. & Giri, R. MicroRNA function in Drosophila melanogaster. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 65, 29–37 (2017).
- 4. Stefani, G. & Slack, F. J. Small non-coding RNAs in animal development. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 9, 219–230 (2008).
- Vidigal, J. A. & Ventura, A. The biological functions of miRNAs: Lessons from *in vivo* studies. *Trends Cell Biol.* 25, 137–147 (2015).
 Alberti, C. & Cochella, L. A framework for understanding the roles of miRNAs in animal development. *Development* 144, 2548–2559 (2017).
- Denli, A. M., Tops, B. B. J., Plasterk, R. H. A., Ketting, R. F. & Hannon, G. J. Processing of pri- mary microRNAs by the microprocessor complex. *Nature* 432, 231–235 (2004).
- 8. Gregory, R. I. et al. The Microprocessor complex mediates the genesis of microRNAs. Nature 432, 235–240 (2004).
- 9. Berezikov, E. Evolution of microRNA diversity and regulation in animals. Nat. Rev. Genet. 12, 846-60 (2011).
- 10. Giri, R. & Carthew, R. W. microRNAs suppress cellular phenotypic heterogeneity. Cell Cycle 13, 1517-1518 (2014).
- 11. Chandra, S. et al. Role of miRNAs in development and disease: Lessons learnt from small organisms. Life Sci. 185, 8-14 (2017).
- 12. Pasquinelli, A. E., Hunter, S. & Bracht, J. MicroRNAs: A developing story. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 15, 200–205 (2005).

- 13. Ruby, J. G., Jan, C. H. & Bartel, D. P. Intronic microRNA precursors that bypass Drosha processing. Nature 448, 83-86 (2007).
- Okamura, K., Hagen, J. W., Duan, H., Tyler, D. M. & Lai, E. C. The Mirtron Pathway Generates microRNA-Class Regulatory RNAs in Drosophila. *Cell* 130, 89–100 (2007).
- 15. Westholm, J. O. & Lai, E. C. Mirtrons: MicroRNA biogenesis via splicing. Biochimie 93, 1897-1904 (2011).
- 16. Chung, W. et al. Computational and experimental identification of mirtrons in Drosophila melanogaster and Caenorhabditis elegans. Genome Res. 21, 286–300 (2011).
- Wen, J., Ladewig, E., Shenker, S., Mohammed, J. & Lai, E. C. Analysis of Nearly One Thousand Mammalian Mirtrons Reveals Novel Features of Dicer Substrates. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 11, e1004441 (2015).
- Ladewig, E., Okamura, K., Flynt, A. S., Westholm, J. O. & Lai, E. C. Discovery of hundreds of mirtrons in mouse and human small RNA data. *Genome Res.* 22, 1634–1645 (2012).
- Curtis, H. J., Sibley, C. R. & Wood, M. J. A. Mirtrons, an emerging class of atypical miRNA. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA 3, 617–632 (2012).
- Isik, M. & Berezikov, E. Expression Pattern Analysis of MicroRNAs in Caenorhabditis elegans. 129–141, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-62703-083-0_11 (2013).
- Ozsolak, F., Poling, L., Wang, Z., Liu, H. & XS Chromatin structure analyses identify miRNA promoters. Genes Dev. 23, 3172–3183 (2008).
- 22. Rodriguez, A., Griffiths-Jones, S., Ashurst, J. L. & Bradley, A. Identification of mammalian microRNA host genes and transcription units. *Genome Res.* 14, 1902–1910 (2004).
- Martinez, N. J. et al. Genome-scale spatiotemporal analysis of Caenorhabditis elegans microRNA promoter activity Genome-scale spatiotemporal analysis of Caenorhabditis elegans microRNA promoter activity. Genome Res. 2005–2015, https://doi.org/10.1101/ gr.083055.108 (2008).
- 24. Merritt, W. M. et al. Dicer, Drosha, and Outcomes in Patients with Ovarian Cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 359, 2641–2650 (2008).
- Faggad, A. et al. Prognostic significance of Dicer expression in ovarian cancer—link to globalmicroRNA changes and oestrogen receptor expression. J. Pathol. 220, 382–391 (2010).
- 26. Berezikov, E., Chung, W. J., Willis, J., Cuppen, E. & Lai, E. C. Mammalian Mirtron Genes. Mol. Cell 28, 328–336 (2007).
- 27. Inui, M. et al. Dissecting the roles of miR-140 and its host gene. Nat. Cell Biol. 20, 516-518 (2018).
- Ping, Y. & Tsunoda, S. Inactivity-induced increase in nAChRs upregulates Shal K+ channels to stabilize synaptic potentials. *Nat. Neurosci.* 15, 90–97 (2011).
- 29. Diao, F., Waro, G. & Tsunoda, S. Fast inactivation of Shal (Kv4) K+ channels is regulated by the novel interactor SKIP3 in Drosophila neurons. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 42, 33–44 (2009).
- 30. Shen, P. Analysis of feeding behavior of drosophila larvae on liquid food. Cold Spring Harb. Protoc. 7, 568-571 (2012).
- Teleman, A. A., Chen, Y. W. & Cohen, S. M. 4E-BP functions as a metabolic brake used under stress conditions but not during normal growth. *Genes Dev.* 19, 1844–1848 (2005).
- Venken, K. J. T. et al. MiMIC: a highly versatile transposon insertion resource for engineering Drosophila melanogaster genes. Nat. Methods 8, 737–743 (2011).
- Adams, C. M. et al. Ripped Pocket and Pickpocket, Novel Drosophila DEG/ENaC Subunits Expressed in Early Development and in Mechanosensory Neurons. J. Cell Biol. 140, 143–152 (1998).
- Ainsley, J. A., Kim, M. J., Wegman, L. J., Pettus, J. M. & Johnson, W. A. Sensory mechanisms controlling the timing of larval developmental and behavioral transitions require the Drosophila DEG/ENaC subunit, Pickpocket1. Dev. Biol. 322, 46–55 (2008).
- 35. Luan, H. et al. Functional Dissection of a Neuronal Network Required for Cuticle Tanning and Wing Expansion in Drosophila. J neuro 26, 573–584 (2006).
- 36. Velazquez-ulloa, N. A. A Drosophila model for developmental nicotine exposure. PLoS One 12, e0177710 (2017).
- Baines, R. A., Uhler, J. P., Thompson, A., Sweeney, S. T. & Bate, M. Altered electrical properties in *Drosophila* neurons developing without synaptic transmission. J. Neurosci. 21, 1523–1531 (2001).
- Gopalakrishnan, C., Kamaraj, B. & Purohit, R. Mutations in microRNA Binding Sites of CEP Genes Involved in Cancer. Cell Biochem. Biophys. 70, 1933–1942 (2014).
- Manikandan, M. & Munirajan, A. K. Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms in MicroRNA Binding Sites of Oncogenes: Implications in Cancer and Pharmacogenomics. Omi. A J. Integr. Biol. 18, 142–154 (2013).
- 40. Messeguer, X. *et al.* PROMO: Detection of known transcription regulatory elements using species-tailored searches. *Bioinformatics* 18, 333–334 (2002).
- Farré, D. et al. Identification of patterns in biological sequences at the ALGGEN server: PROMO and MALGEN. Nucleic Acids Res. 31, 3651–3653 (2003).
- Timmerman, C. et al. The Drosophila Transcription Factor Adf-1 (nalyot) Regulates Dendrite Growth by Controlling FasII and Staufen Expression Downstream of CaMKII and Neural Activity. J. Neurosci. 33, 11916–11931 (2013).
- Dezazzo, J. et al. nalyot, a Mutation of the Drosophila Myb-Related Adf1 Transcription Factor, Disrupts Synapse Formation and Olfactory Memory. Neuron 27, 145–158 (2000).
- Tsunoda, S. & Salkoff, L. Genetic analysis of Drosophila neurons: Shal, Shaw, and Shab encode most embryonic potassium currents. J. Neurosci. 15, 1741–1754 (1995).
- 45. Ping, Y. *et al.* Shal/Kv4 channels are required for maintaining excitability during repetitive firing and normal locomotion in Drosophila. *PLoS One* **6**, 15–20 (2011).
- 46. Gramates, L. S. et al. FlyBase at 25: Looking to the future. Nucleic Acids Res. 45, D663-D671 (2017).
- Reynaud, E. et al. Guidance of Drosophila Mushroom Body Axons Depends upon DRL-Wnt Receptor Cleavage in the Brain Dorsomedial Lineage Precursors. Cell Rep. 11, 1293–1304 (2015).
- Grillenzoni, N., Flandre, A., Lasbleiz, C. & Dura, J.-M. Respective roles of the DRL receptor and its ligand WNT5 in Drosophila mushroom body development. *Development* 134, 3089–3097 (2007).
- Sakurai, M. et al. Differentially Expressed Drl and Drl-2 Play Opposing Roles in Wnt5 Signaling during Drosophila Olfactory System Development. J. Neurosci. 29, 4972–4980 (2009).
- Ibáñez-Ventoso, C., Vora, M. & Driscoll, M. Sequence relationships among C. elegans, D. melanogaster and human microRNAs highlight the extensive conservation of microRNAs in biology. *PLoS One*, 3, (2008).
- Chen, Y. et al. Resource Systematic Study of Drosophila MicroRNA Functions Using a Collection of Targeted Knockout Mutations. Dev. Cell 31, 784–800 (2014).
- Blythe, S. A. & Wieschaus, E. F. Zygotic genome activation triggers the DNA replication checkpoint at the midblastula transition. *Cell* 160, 1169–1181 (2015).

Acknowledgements

We thank Victor Corces and Stephen Cohen for sharing precious reagents and data. We acknowledge Katsutomo Okamura, Enrique Martin-Blanco and all the Saunders' lab members for fruitful discussions. This work was supported by the National Research Foundation Singapore under an NRF Fellowship to T.E.S. (NRF2012NRF-NRFF001-094).

Author contributions

C.A. designed the study, performed the experiments, analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. T.E.S. performed the mathematical modelling, aided in the statistical analysis, and contributed to writing the manuscript. Both authors agree to the final version of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58655-7.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.A. or T.E.S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020