

OPEN LETTER Current and emerging diagnostic tests available for the novel COVID-19 global pandemic [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Gerald Mboowa 1,2

¹The African Center of Excellence in Bioinformatics and Data-Intensive Sciences, The Infectious Disease Institute, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

²Department of Immunology and Molecular Biology, College of Health Sciences, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

V1 First published: 24 Apr 2020, 3:8 https://doi.org/10.12688/aasopenres.13059.1 Latest published: 24 Apr 2020, 3:8 https://doi.org/10.12688/aasopenres.13059.1

Abstract

On March 11, 2020 the World Health Organization (WHO) upgraded the status of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak from epidemic to a global pandemic. This infection is caused by a novel coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2. Several rapid diagnostic tests have been developed at an astonishing pace; however, COVID-19 requires more highly specific rapid point-of-care diagnostic tests. This review describes the currently available testing approaches, as well as the available test assays including the Xpert® Xpress SARS-CoV-2 test (takes _45 min) and Abbott ID COVID-19 test (5 min) as easy to use point-of-care tests for diagnosis of novel COVID-19 that have so far received the US Food and Drug Administration emergency use authorizations clearance. This review is correct as of the date published and will be updated as more diagnostic tests come to light.

Keywords

Coronavirus, COVID-19, diagnosis, genome sequencing, SARS-CoV-2, Point-of-Care test



This article is included in the Coronavirus (COVID-19) collection.



Open Peer Review

article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Gerald Mboowa (gmboowa@gmail.com)

Author roles: Mboowa G: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: GM is supported through the DELTAS Africa Initiative [DEL-15-011 to THRiVE-2]. The DELTAS Africa Initiative is an independent funding scheme of the African Academy of Sciences (AAS)'s Alliance for Accelerating Excellence in Science in Africa (AESA) and supported by the New Partnership for Africa's Development Planning and Coordinating Agency (NEPAD Agency) with funding from the Wellcome Trust [107742/Z/15/Z] and the UK government. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of AAS, NEPAD Agency, Wellcome Trust or the UK government. GM also is supported through the Grand Challenges Africa programme [GCA/AMR/rnd2/058]. Grand Challenges Africa is a programme of the African Academy of Sciences (AAS) implemented through the Alliance for Accelerating Excellence in Science in Africa (AESA) platform, an initiative of the AAS and the African Union Development Agency (AUDA-NEPAD). GC Africa is supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF) and The African Academy of Sciences and partners. The views expressed herein are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the AAS and her partners.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2020 Mboowa G. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Mboowa G. Current and emerging diagnostic tests available for the novel COVID-19 global pandemic [version 1; peer review: 2 approved] AAS Open Research 2020, 3:8 https://doi.org/10.12688/aasopenres.13059.1

First published: 24 Apr 2020, 3:8 https://doi.org/10.12688/aasopenres.13059.1

Introduction

Infectious diseases are still a major threat in the world today¹. The diagnosis and real-time tracking of both emerging² and re-emerging infectious diseases must be reliable, fast, and affordable. Healthcare systems use different approaches to make infectious diseases diagnoses, such as clinical assessment (signs and symptoms), microscopy, microbiological cultures, radiology, molecular techniques - classical or real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR), genome sequencing (detection presence of nucleic acid), serology (detection of the pathogens or host antibodies), artificial intelligence and machine learning³. In modern medical diagnostics, whole or targeted genome sequencing or detection technologies are indispensable. In the event of novel pathogens, such as coronavirus, these technologies offer the shortest turnaround time (TAT) for results to be reported. Coupling comprehensive clinical evaluation, triaging, genomic-based diagnostics, and epidemiology to innovative digital disease detection raises the possibility of an open, global, pathogen surveillance system.

In the current case of the coronavirus global pandemic 2019, healthcare systems have the challenge of massive testing, isolating (quarantine) those suspected of being exposed to the virus, re-testing (at the end of the viral incubation period), treating and managing those who turn out to be positive, as well as real-time tracing of their contacts. The diagnosis of a novel infectious disease such as COVID-19 furthermore presents a challenge of studying and understanding its pathogenesis before developing rapid test assays to be used to subsequently diagnose cases as the disease continues to infect more people. In the development of such a test, aspects such as sensitivity, specificity, false positive and false negative rates of a diagnostic test have to be meticulously considered. As this is a great undertaking requiring high scientific expertise, laboratory and financial resources, some nations especially low-income settings have had to rely on such support from developed nations to make modifications at a country level so that they reach their healthcare needs⁴.

The current COVID-19 outbreak has confronted scientists with an unprecedented infectious disease challenge that demands the highest level of sharing of infectious disease-related information as such infectious disease outbreaks are becoming less confined by geographical or climatic boundaries^{5,6}. Data sharing ensures that other nations use available facts and minimize wastage of time and resources. Another lesson learnt from this pandemic is that nations should also end secrecy in public health decision-making especially amidst suspected disease outbreaks and promote global cooperation⁵. Globally, governments should be ready to share outbreak information on acquisition, transmission, and treatment as well as make efforts to fight the spreading of 'fake news' related to outbreaks. Nations with networks of high-containment biological laboratories must guarantee safety of all agents in their custody to prevent their potential deployment as bioweapons.

This review briefly describes the currently available testing approaches, as well as selected two easy to use point-of-care test assays, including the Xpert[®] Xpress SARS-CoV-2 and Abbott ID COVID-19 tests, for diagnosis of novel COVID-19 infection. At the date of this publication, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has granted emergency use authorizations clearance for 32 tests, as seen in Table 1. A routinely updated list of FDA approved tests can be accessed here.

Molecular assays to diagnose COVID-19

The manifestation of the COVID-19 infection is highly nonspecific and presents respiratory symptoms, fever, cough, dyspnoea, and viral pneumonia7. Thus, diagnostic tests specific to this infection are urgently required to confirm suspected cases, screen patients, and conduct virus surveillance. In this scenario, a rapid, robust, and cost-efficient device that can be used anywhere and which does not necessarily require a trained technician to operate⁸ (i.e. at point-of-care) is crucial and urgently needed for the detection of COVID-199. Several assays that detect SARS-CoV-2 have been developed so far, including Rapid IgM-IgG Combined Antibody Test For Coronavirus (RayBiotech Life), 2019-nCoV IgG/IgM Antibody Detection Kit (MyBioSource, Inc.), qSARS-CoV-2 IgG/IgM Rapid Test (Cellex, Inc.), ARIES SARS-CoV-2 Assay (Luminex Corporation), SGTi-flex COVID-19 IgM/IgG (Sugentech, Inc), while still under development is the ultrasensitive, rapid, portable coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid sequence detection system that uses nanobiosensor-based aptamer technology10 are currently under development. These are both in-house and commercially available. Some assays detect only the novel form of virus and some may also detect other viral strains (e.g. SARS-CoV) that are genetically similar to SARS-CoV-2¹¹. More about status of evaluation of SARS-COV-2 molecular diagnostics tests can be found here and here. This includes the viral molecular target utilised in the test, country of manufacturer and its regulatory status.

Virological culture to diagnose COVID-19

Emerging and re-emerging pathogens are global challenges for public health^{12,13}. Laboratory biosafety requirements related to COVID-19 virus include the availability of biosafety requirements for viral cultures and further manipulations. The World Health Organisation (WHO) recommends that all procedures must be performed based on risk assessment and only by highly trained personnel with demonstrated capability in strict observance to all relevant protocols at all times¹⁴. Non-propagative diagnostic laboratory work, such as viral genome sequencing and nucleic acid amplification tests, must be conducted at containment facilities and procedures equivalent to biosafety level 2 (BSL-2) while propagative work that involves coronavirus culture, isolation, animal inoculation or neutralization assays must be done at a high-biocontainment laboratory with inward directional airflow (minimum BSL-3)14. Viral cultures are not recommended for routine diagnosis and must be carried in a minimum of BSL-3 facility or BSL-414. However, SARS-COV-2 virus isolation in cell cultures is critical to obtain isolates for characterization and to support the development of vaccines, therapeutic agents¹⁵ and new or better diagnostic tests.

Table 1. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) diagnostic tests that have currently received Emergency Use Authorizations (EUA) by the US Food and Drug Administration.

Date EUA issued	Manufacturer	Diagnostic name	Principle action
04/08/2020	DiaCarta, Inc	QuantiVirus SARS-CoV-2 Test kit	qRT-PCR for N, Orf1ab and E genes
04/08/2020	Becton, Dickinson & Company	BD SARS-CoV-2Reagents for BD MAX System	Multiplex qRT-PCR for N1 and N2 regions, and the human RNase P gene
04/07/2020	InBios International, Inc	Smart Detect SARS-CoV-2 rRT-PCR Kit	Multiplex qRT-PCR for N, Orf1ab and E genes
04/06/2020	Gnomegen LLC	Gnomegen COVID-19 RT-Digital PCR Detection Kit	Real-time RT-digital PCR for N1 and N2 regions, and the human RNase P gene
04/03/2020	Co-Diagnostics, Inc.	Logix Smart Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Kit	rRT-PCR for <i>RdRp</i> gene
04/03/2020	ScienCell Research Laboratories	ScienCell SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus Real-time RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) Detection Kit	rRT-PCR for N and Human RPP30 genes
04/03/2020	Luminex Corporation	ARIES SARS-CoV-2 Assay	Multiplex qRT-PCR for ORF1ab, E gene, and N gene
04/02/2020	Becton, Dickinson & Company (BD)	BioGX SARS-CoV-2 Reagents for BD MAX System	Multiplexed qRT-PCR for N1 and RNase P
04/01/2020	Ipsum Diagnostics, LLC	COV-19 IDx assay	Multiplexed qRT-PCR for N and RNase P
04/01/2020	Cellex Inc.	qSARS-CoV-2 IgG/IgM Rapid Test	IgM and IgG antibodies against SARSCoV-2
03/30/2020	QIAGEN GmbH	QIAstat-Dx Respiratory SARS-CoV-2 Panel	Multiplexed qRT-PCR for Orf1b poly gene (Rdrp gene) and E gene
03/30/2020	NeuMoDx Molecular, Inc.	NeuMoDx SARS-CoV-2 Assay	Multiplexed qRT-PCR for Nsp2 gene and N gene
03/27/2020	Luminex Molecular Diagnostics, Inc.	NxTAG CoV Extended Panel Assay	Multiplex qRT-PCR for ORF1ab, and E gene
03/27/2020	Abbott Diagnostics Scarborough, Inc.	ID NOW COVID-19	rRT-PCR for; RdRp gene
03/26/2020	BGI Genomics Co. Ltd	Real-Time Fluorescent RT-PCR Kit for Detecting SARS-2019-nCoV	Multiplex qRT-PCR for N gene, E gene, and RdRp gene
03/25/2020	Avellino Lab USA, Inc.	AvellinoCoV2 test	rRT-PCR for N gene
03/24/2020	PerkinElmer, Inc.	PerkinElmer New Coronavirus Nucleic Acid Detection Kit	Multiplex qRT-PCR for ORF1ab and N genes
03/23/2020	Mesa Biotech Inc.	Accula SARS-Cov-2 Test	rRT-PCR for N gene
03/23/2020	BioFire Defense, LLC	BioFire COVID-19 Test	Nested multiplex real-time RT-PCR for ORF1ab, ORF8
03/20/2020	Cepheid	Xpert Xpress SARS-CoV-2 test	Multiplex qRT-PCR for E and N2 genes
03/20/2020	Primerdesign Ltd.	Primerdesign Ltd COVID-19 genesig Real-Time PCR assay	rRT-PCR for RdRp gene
03/19/2020	GenMark Diagnostics, Inc.	ePlex SARS-CoV-2 Test	rRT-PCR for N gene
03/19/2020	DiaSorin Molecular LLC	Simplexa COVID-19 Direct assay	Multiplex qRT-PCR for ORF1ab and S genes
03/18/2020	Abbott Molecular	Abbott RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay	Multiplex qRT-PCR for RdRp and N genes
03/17/2020	Quest Diagnostics Infectious Disease, Inc.	Quest SARS-CoV-2 rRT-PCR	rRT-PCR for N gene (N1 and N3 genes)
03/17/2020	Quidel Corporation	Lyra SARS-CoV-2 Assay	rRT-PCR for ORF1ab
03/16/2020	Laboratory Corporation of America (LabCorp)	COVID-19 RT-PCR Test	rRT-PCR for N gene
03/16/2020	Hologic, Inc.	Panther Fusion SARS-CoV-2	rRT-PCR for RdRp gene
03/13/2020	Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.	TaqPath COVID-19 Combo Kit	Multiplex qRT-PCR for ORF1ab, N gene, S gene, MS2
03/12/2020	Roche Molecular Systems, Inc. (RMS)	cobas SARS-CoV-2	Multiplex qRT-PCR for ORF-1a and E-gene
02/29/2020	Wadsworth Center, New York State Department of Public Health's (CDC)	New York SARS-CoV-2 Real-time Reverse Transcriptase (RT)-PCR Diagnostic Panel	rRT-PCR for N gene
02/04/2020	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC)	CDC 2019-nCoV Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel (CDC)	Multiplex qRT-PCR for N1, N2, and RP genes of the virus

SARS-CoV-2 is isolated and propagated in primary monkey cells and cell lines such as the kidney Vero-E6, LLC-MK2, Human hepatoma cell line Huh7, human airway epithelial cells, and Vero-E6/TMPRSS2 (Transmembrane Serine Protease 2)¹⁶. Not all countries or jurisdictions have the facilities to perform virological culture tests for COVID-19. This is due to several reasons such as the required level of technical expertise required for the tests and biosafety requirements. Therefore, in such cases, these regions, e.g. American Samoa, have had to ship clinical samples from suspected individuals to either the US CDC laboratory in Atlanta Georgia¹⁷ or WHO reference testing laboratories in France, United Kingdom, China, Japan, Singapore, Australia, Thailand, India, USA, South Africa, Senegal, Russian Federation, Germany, and The Netherlands¹⁸. This increases the TAT for the diagnosis even when they are shipped as expedited consignments.

In addition to virological culture, serological tests are currently under development and these could enable diagnosis of COVID-19 especially in patients for whom acute and convalescent paired samples are available. These are drawn approximately 2 weeks apart to monitor any significant changes in antibody titers of the patients. However, development of these types of tests is currently challenging due to a lack of knowledge about the antibody response elicited from the SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans, including the question concerning the antigenic differences between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV¹⁹. In addition, these serological tests may face a challenge of crossreactivity with other coronaviruses18. However, the FDA has offered emergency use authorization of the first antibody-based test for COVID-19 that detects antibodies in the one's blood, rather than for the virus in the nose or throat samples. This test is only done at certified laboratories and even though it takes 15 to 20 minutes to get a result after sample collection, it is not a bedside test²⁰.

Point-of-care tests to diagnose COVID-19

Point-of-care testing means that results are delivered to patients in the patient care setting, such as hospitals, urgent care centers and medical emergency rooms, instead of samples being sent to a testing laboratory. Real-time PCR, also known as quantitative PCR, is commonly used in molecular point-of-care testing. It can amplify more than one genomic target and in the case of COVID-19 and these can be ORF1ab of coronaviruses occupy about two thirds of their genomes. It encodes the replicase polyprotein and is translated from ORF1a and ORF1b, E-gene (envelope protein) and N-gene (the nucleocapsid protein)²¹ and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) that is an essential viral enzyme, which replicates positive-strand RNA viral genome. For more specificity, some molecular diagnostic assays amplify more than one molecular target in the virus to minimize chances of all three targets mutating and hence being missed by the diagnostic test²¹. During the development of disease testing assays, US manufacturers can apply for emergency clinical use authorization from FDA, and in the European Union manufacturers can obtain "Conformité Européene" which literally means "European Conformity", marking them for in vitro diagnostic use.

On March 21, 2020, the US FDA granted emergency use authorization to a rapid, point-of-care diagnostic test designed

to detect COVID-19 infection²². This test, Xpert[®] Xpress SARS-CoV-2, was developed by Cepheid (Sunnyvale, California, USA) to detect SARS-CoV-2 in approximately 45 minutes, following clinical specimen collection from a nasopharyngeal swab, nasal wash or aspirate. The Xpert® Xpress SARS-CoV-2 test cartridge is designed to detect nucleic acid from SARS-CoV-2 via real-time PCR23. Xpert® Xpress SARS-CoV-2 detects two targets, E and N2 genes²⁴. Furthermore, GeneXpert infinity automated systems do not require users to have special training to perform testing. The Xpert is capable of running 24/7, with many systems already doing so today for other infectious diseases, such as tuberculosis (Xpert® MTB/RIF)25, Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae (Xpert® CT/NG)²⁶, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (Xpert® MRSA)²⁷, Group A Streptococcus (Xpert[®] Xpress Strep A)²⁸ and Ebola (Xpert® Ebola)29.

A second FDA approved test is Abbott ID NOWTM COVID-19, which runs on the Abbott ID NOWTM platform – a lightweight box that can sit in a variety of locations. Positive results can be detected in as little as 5 minutes while negative results take 13 minutes. This test applies molecular technology targeting the COVID-19 RdRp gene³⁰. In addition, the above two COVID-19 molecular diagnostic tests are designed for near patient testing in a variety of healthcare environments using a range of sample types such as throat, nasal, nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal swabs and this facilitates effective patient management.

As the number of confirmed cases of COVID-19 rises rapidly throughout the world, more and more nations continue to require reliable testing. A rapid, inexpensive, and easy-to-use point-of-care diagnostic device integrated with a smartphone could reduce transportation needs, lower the risk of spreading infection, alleviate the strain on the healthcare system, and mitigate the cost of testing for both individuals and governments³¹. Unfortunately, scaling up production of available COVID-19 diagnostics, as well as personal protective equipment, remain an ongoing challenge in the fight against this disease. A list of other diagnostics under development and testing are listed here³². The WHO prequalification team activated the emergency use listing (EUL) for candidates in vitro diagnostics to detect SARS-CoV-2. There is currently a total of 30 different diagnostic tests at the time of this publication³³. Since SARS-CoV-2 diagnostics development and approval are rapidly changing, this information is updated weekly at the WHO portal. As governments around the world are being encouraged by WHO to rapidly test a very large percentage of their populations to fight coronavirus³⁴, these tests will be critical in meeting this demand.

Whole-genome sequencing for COVID-19

Following the emergence of SARS-CoV-2, genomic analyses continue to play a key role in the public health response by informing the design of appropriate molecular diagnostics and corroborating epidemiological efforts to trace contacts³⁵. In order to better understand the spread of this pandemic and design better interventions, whole genome sequencing of the virus from a range of clinical presentations of the disease in different parts of the world must occur (Figure 1). It has been



Figure 1. Utility of SARS-CoV-2 whole-genome sequencing.

suggested from rapid data sharing using publicly available sequence data platforms that this pandemic was a point-source outbreak^{35,36}. Therefore, researchers need more data from the whole genomes of SARS-CoV-2 including viral transcriptome³⁷. All these have the potential to reveal further insights into the biology of this emergent pathogen³⁵. Nanopore MinION sequencing technology has been used to sequence SARS-CoV-2 genomes^{38,39}. Many of these genomes have been successfully uploaded to Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data - GISAID by the WHO reference laboratories globally and Nextstrain. Other public repositories that have a number of these sequences are GenBank and the Sequence Read Archive of the US National Center for Biotechnology.

The future of COVID-19 rapid point-of-care tests

Currently with more than 2.6 million infected individuals in 185 sovereign states and 183,000 deaths globally⁴⁰ at the time of writing, the COVID-19 pandemic may see deployment of COVID-19 rapid non-invasive point-of-care tests at civil airports and national borders to screen individuals and avoid imported cases of the infection. This would be similar to mitigation of aircraft hijackings and in-flight sabotage using mandatory

civil aviation security procedures, including strict security screening for passengers and baggage at all commercial airports⁴¹. Currently, screening for COVID-19 is performed by checking for high fever in individuals coming through airports and border controls using thermal screening guns. A nanosensor diagnostic platform is in development to test for this virus, which will replace thermal screening guns and be more specific. This technology, which will be in the form of a hand-held device, promises to give results specific for this virus within one minute, by detecting the nucleocapsid protein specific for this virus using nanosensor and aptamer technology²¹.

Conclusions

To minimise the current COVID-19 pandemic related pressure on health systems globally, especially arising from ramping up testing capacity quickly, readily accessible, cheap, easy to use and interpret point-of-care diagnostic tests with high sensitivity must be developed, produced and distributed widely.

Data availability

No data is associated with this article.

References

- Mongan AE, Tuda JSB, Runtuwene LR: Portable sequencer in the fight against infectious disease. J Hum Genet. 2020; 65(1): 35–40.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Gardy JL, Loman NJ: Towards a genomics-informed, real-time, global pathogen surveillance system. Nat Rev Genet. 2018; 19(1): 9–20.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Jiang F, Jiang Y, Zhi H, et al.: Artificial intelligence in healthcare: past, present and future. Stroke Vasc Neurol. 2017; 2(4): 230–243.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Ayebare RR, Flick R, Okware S, et al.: Adoption of COVID-19 triage strategies for low-income settings. Lancet Respir Med. 2020; 8(4): e22.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Coronavirus: three things all governments and their science advisers must do now. Nature. 2020; 579(7799): 319–320.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

 Peters A: The global proliferation of high-containment biological laboratories: understanding the phenomenon and its implications. *Rev Sci Tech.* 2018; 37(3): 857–883.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al.: Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet. 2020; 395(10223): 497–506. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Nguyen T, Zoëga Andreasen S, Wolff A, et al.: From Lab on a Chip to Point of Care Devices: The Role of Open Source Microcontrollers. Micromachines (Basel). 2018; 9(8): pii: E403.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Nguyen T, Duong Bang D, Wolff A: 2019 Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19): Paving the Road for Rapid Detection and Point-of-Care Diagnostics. *Micromachines (Basel)*. 2020; 11(3): pii: E306.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- rapid microbiology: Pinpoint's Low-Cost Handheld Covid-19 Aptamer-based Diagnostic Device in Development. Accessed April 8, 2020. Reference Source
- 11. National laboratories. Accessed March 22, 2020. Reference Source
- Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, et al.: A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med. 2020; 382(8): 727–733. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Gao GF: From "A"IV to "Z"IKV: Attacks from Emerging and Re-emerging Pathogens. Cell. 2018; 172(6): 1157–1159.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- World Health Organization: Laboratory testing for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in suspected human cases: interim guidance, 2 March 2020. World Health Organization; 2020. Reference Source
- Loeffelholz MJ, Tang YW: Laboratory diagnosis of emerging human coronavirus infections - the state of the art. *Emerg Microbes Infect.* 2020; 9(1): 747–756.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
 - Publisher Full Text
- Matsuyama S, Nao N, Shirato K, et al.: Enhanced isolation of SARS-CoV-2 by TMPRSS2-expressing cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2020; 117(13): 7001–7003. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 17. American Samoa's coronavirus conundrum: No way to test. Accessed April 8, 2020.

Reference Source

- who-reference-laboratories-providing-confirmatory-testing-for-covid-19.pdf. Accessed March 22, 2020.
 Reference Source
- Lv H, Wu NC, Tsang OTY, et al.: Cross-reactive antibody response between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV infections. *bioRxiv*. 2020. Publisher Full Text
- 20. Wetsman N: FDA authorizes first antibody-based test for COVID-19. The Verge. Accessed April 8, 2020. Reference Source
- rapidmicrobiology: Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2): Test Kits to Detect the Causative Agent of COVID-19. Accessed April 2, 2020.
 Reference Source
- Point-of-Care COVID-19 Test Gets FDA Authorization. HCPLive. Accessed March 22, 2020.
 Reference Source
- download.pdf. https://www.fda.gov/media/136314/download. Accessed March 22, 2020.

- download.pdf. https://www.fda.gov/media/136315/download. Accessed April 9, 2020.
- Steingart KR, Sohn H, Schiller I, et al.: Xpert® MTB/RIF assay for pulmonary tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013; (1): CD009593.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Gaydos CA, Van Der Pol B, Jett-Goheen M, et al.: Performance of the Cepheid CT/NG Xpert Rapid PCR Test for Detection of Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae. J Clin Microbiol. 2013; 51(6): 1666–1672. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Spencer DH, Sellenriek P, Burnham CAD: Validation and implementation of the GeneXpert MRSA/SA blood culture assay in a pediatric setting. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 2011; 136(5): 690–694.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Xpert-Xpress-Strep-A-ENGLISH-Package-Insert-301-6574-Rev-C.pdf. Accessed April 8, 2020.
 Reference Source
- 29. download.pdf. https://www.fda.gov/media/91944/download. Accessed April 8, 2020.
- ID NOW™ COVID-19. Alere is now Abbott. Accessed April 2, 2020.
- Reference Source
- Yang T, Wang YC, Shen CF, et al.: Point-of-Care RNA-Based Diagnostic Device for COVID-19. Diagnostics (Basel). 2020; 10(3): pii: E165.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Sheridan C: Fast, portable tests come online to curb coronavirus pandemic. Nat Biotechnol. 2020.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 33. https://www.who.int/diagnostics_laboratory/200407_eul_covid19_ivd_update. pdf?ua=1
- Governments Must Ramp Up COVID-19 Testing, Says WHO: The Scientist Magazine®. Accessed April 9, 2020. Reference Source
- Taiaroa G, Rawlinson D, Featherstone L, et al.: Direct RNA sequencing and early evolution of SARS-CoV-2. bioRxiv. 2020; 2020.03.05.976167.
 Publisher Full Text
- Hadfield J, Megill C, Bell SM, et al.: Nextstrain: real-time tracking of pathogen evolution. Bioinformatics. 2018; 34(23): 4121–4123.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Kim D, Lee JY, Yang JS, et al.: The architecture of SARS-CoV-2 transcriptome. bioRxiv. 2020; 2020.03.12.988865.
 Publisher Full Text
- Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, et al.: A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature. 2020; 579(7798): 270–273. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- COVID-19: Community News. Oxford Nanopore Technologies. Accessed March 22, 2020.
 Reference Source
- COVID-19 Map. Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. Accessed April 8, 2020.

Reference Source

 Blalock G, Kadiyali V, Simon DH: The Impact of Post-9/11 Airport Security Measures on the Demand for Air Travel. 42. Publisher Full Text

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: 🗸 🗸

Version 1

Reviewer Report 26 May 2020

https://doi.org/10.21956/aasopenres.14154.r27443

© 2020 Mshana S. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Stephen E. Mshana 🔟

Microbiology and Immunology department, Weill Bugando School of Medicine, University of Health and Allied Sciences, Mwanza, Tanzania

The letter is well written. It describes the current assays to detect COVID-19. It has clearly outlined the challenges and the need of point of care especially at this time of pandemics. The author needs to add information on sensitivity and specificity of assays for the reader to clearly understand the utility.

Is the rationale for the Open Letter provided in sufficient detail? Yes

Does the article adequately reference differing views and opinions? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Are all factual statements correct, and are statements and arguments made adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Is the Open Letter written in accessible language? Yes

Where applicable, are recommendations and next steps explained clearly for others to follow? Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Clinical Microbiology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 06 May 2020

https://doi.org/10.21956/aasopenres.14154.r27439

© 2020 Mukwaya A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Anthony Mukwaya 🔟

Department of Ophthalmology, Institute for Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences,, Linkoping University, Linköping, Sweden

The novel COVID-19 is thought to have originated from the city of Wuhan in China. This disease is now an ongoing global pandemic that has claimed thousands of lives, and with many more thousands diagnosed with the infection daily. Scientists around the world are working tirelessly to save lives by improving disease diagnosis, patient management strategies, means of containing disease spread, and working towards effective treatments. This calls for concerted action from the different governments, scientists, and the general population to combat this pandemic.

The review article by Mboowa Gerald titled: 'Current and emerging diagnostic tests available for the novel COVID-19 global pandemic', provides good background information on the infection and highlights the challenges faced by scientists, and suggests ways in which to overcome this pandemic. The author clearly and very nicely discusses the current, and emerging diagnostic tests for the novel COVID-19, including molecular and virological assays. The author describes point-of-care tests for disease diagnosis, highlighting; Xpert[®] Xpress SARS-CoV-2 and Abbott ID COVID-19 tests, as an example of those that the US-FDA has granted emergency use authorization for diagnosis of the novel COVID-19. Furthermore, the author properly discusses whole-genome sequencing as an important component for disease management, by informing the design of appropriate molecular diagnostic tools, and for a better understanding of the pathophysiology of the disease.

Taken together, this review article by Mboowa Gerald is detailed, is up to date, and is a timely piece of document which embodies the concerted action required to overcome the current global pandemic.

Minor comment for the author:

In the description of the point-of-care tests for diagnosing COVID-19, the following sentence may be revised for clarity. 'It can amplify more than one genomic target and in the case of COVID-19 and these can be *ORF1ab* of coronaviruses occupy about two thirds of their genomes'.

Is the rationale for the Open Letter provided in sufficient detail?

Yes

Does the article adequately reference differing views and opinions?

Yes

Are all factual statements correct, and are statements and arguments made adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Is the Open Letter written in accessible language?

Yes

Where applicable, are recommendations and next steps explained clearly for others to follow? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Transcriptomics, angiogenesis, molecular biology, diagnostics, infection, eye diseases

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.