



Draft Genome Sequence of a Novel *Desulfobacteraceae* Member from a Sulfate-Reducing Bioreactor Metagenome

Robert Almstrand,^a Ameet J. Pinto,^b Linda A. Figueroa,^a Jonathan O. Sharp^a

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, Colorado, USA^a; Infrastructure and Environment Research Division, School of Engineering, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom^b

Sulfate-reducing bacteria are important players in the global sulfur cycle and of considerable commercial interest. The draft genome sequence of a sulfate-reducing bacterium of the family *Desulfobacteraceae*, assembled from a sulfate-reducing bioreactor metagenome, indicates that heavy-metal– and acid-resistance traits of this organism may be of importance for its application in acid mine drainage mitigation.

Received 13 November 2015 Accepted 18 November 2015 Published 14 January 2016

Citation Almstrand R, Pinto AJ, Figueroa LA, Sharp JO. 2016. Draft genome sequence of a novel *Desulfobacteraceae* member from a sulfate-reducing bioreactor metagenome. Genome Announc 4(1):e01540-15. doi:10.1128/genomeA.01540-15.

Copyright © 2016 Almstrand et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported license.

Address correspondence to Robert Almstrand, ralmstra@mines.edu.

ulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) are widespread and abundant in nature and of considerable commercial importance, ranging from their role in corrosion (1) to their application in sulfate-reducing bioreactors (SRBRs) for treatment of mininginfluenced waters (2). With few exceptions (3, 4), most characterized SRB are not tolerant to acidic conditions typical of acid mine drainage and require circumneutral pH (5) in order to efficiently reduce sulfate and promote metal immobilization in SRBRs. Here, we present a draft genome of a sulfatereducing bacterium of the family Desulfobacteraceae, which was assembled from a sulfate-reducing bioreactor metagenome. Based on phylogenetic analyses using 16 ribosomal proteins (6), the genome of this organism clustered with Desulfatirhabdium butyrativorans, a butyrate-oxidizing SRB isolated from an anaerobic sludge blanket reactor treating industrial wastewater (7).

SRBR DNA was extracted using the Power Soil DNA isolation kit (MoBio Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The genomic DNA library was prepared using an Illumina TruSEQ DNA library kit and sequenced on an Illumina HiSEQ 2500 paired-end flow cell $(2 \times 125$ -bp read length) using V4 Chemistry at the Genomics and Microarray Core, University of Colorado, Denver. IDBA-UD version 1.1.1 (8) was used to assemble the reads along with four additional samples. The resulting scaffolds were binned using CONCOCT (9), followed by extraction of mapped reads and reassembly using IDBA-UD. Following reassembly, contigs less than 1 kb and any contigs showing breaks in coverage profile were removed. The resulting genome bin contained 193 contigs with a genome size of 6.09Mbp ($N_{50} = 33,167$ bp) and a GC content of ~50%. CheckM (10) indicated a genome completeness of 97.8% with likely contamination of 0.64% and no strain heterogeneity. Comparison of the draft genome to complete reference genomes of Desulfatibacillum alkenivorans AK-01, Desulfobacula

toluolica Tol2, Desulfobacterium autotrophicum HRM2, and Desulfococcus oleovorans Hxd3 using the Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator (http://ggdc.dsmz.de) indicated an average nucleotide identity of $70.7 \pm 0.4\%$ to the reference genomes. Gene calling was performed using Prodigal (11), and the genes were annotated against the KEGG database (12) using RAPSearch2 (13). The genome consisted of 3,694 coding regions with 3,463 matches to the KEGG database.

The assembled *Desulfobacteraceae* genome appears capable of nitrogen fixation and urea-utilization, containing a urea transport system not encountered in the reference genomes. Similar to the reference genomes, this draft contains a twocomponent system (HydH-HydG), previously implicated in bacterial metal tolerance (14, 15). A unique feature of this draft genome when compared to other *Desulfobacteraceae* members is a γ -aminobutyrate (GABA) shunt involved in microbial acid resistance (16), raising the possibility that this genome represents a more acid-tolerant member of the family *Desulfobacteraceae* with potential interest for the mitigation of metal-laden, acidic waters.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. This whole-genome shotgun project has been deposited in GenBank under the accession number LKPX00000000. The version described in this paper is the first version, LKPX01000000.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported through a Marie Curie International Outgoing Fellowship (PIOF-GA-2012-328397) within the 7th European Community Framework Programme, the Office of Science (BER) U.S. Department of Energy, grant number DE-SC0006997, the J. Gust. Richert Memorial Fund, the Carl Trygger Foundation for Scientific Research (CTS 12:11), and EPSRC award number EP/L026511/1.

FUNDING INFORMATION

The J. G. Richert Memorial Fund provided funding to Robert Almstrand. The Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) provided funding to Ameet J. Pinto under grant number EP/L026511/1. The European Commission (EC) provided funding to Robert Almstrand under grant number PIOF-GA-2012-328397. The Carl Tryggers Stiftelse för Vetenskaplig Forskning (Carl Trygger Foundation) provided funding to Robert Almstrand under grant number CTS 12:11. The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) provided funding to Jonathan O. Sharp under grant number DE-SC0006997.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

REFERENCES

- Enning D, Garrelfs J. 2014. Corrosion of iron by sulfate-reducing bacteria: New views of an old problem. Appl Environ Microbiol 80: 1226–1236. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02848-13.
- Logan MV, Reardon KF, Figueroa LA, McLain JET, Ahmann DM. 2005. Microbial community activities during establishment, performance, and decline of bench-scale passive treatment systems for mine drainage. Water Res 39:4537–4551. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2005.08.013.
- 3. Petzsch P, Johnson DB, Daniel R, Schlömann M. 2015. Genome sequence of the moderately acidophilic sulfate-reducing firmicute *Desulfosporosinus acididurans* (strain M1^T). Genome Announc 3(4):e00881-15. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00881-15.
- Sánchez-Andrea I, Stams AJM, Amils R, Sanz JL. 2013. Enrichment and isolation of acidophilic sulfate-reducing bacteria from Tinto River sediments. Environ Microbiol Rep 5:672–678. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ 1758-2229.12066.
- 5. **Postgate J.** 1984. The sulphate-reducing bacteria. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Sorek R, Zhu Y, Creevey CJ, Francino MP, Bork P, Rubin EM. 2007. Genome-wide experimental determination of barriers to horizontal gene transfer. Science 318:1449–1452. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/ science.1147112.
- 7. Balk M, Altinbas M, Rijpstra WIC, Sinninghe Damste JS, Stams AJM.

2008. *Desulfatirhabdium butyrativorans* gen. nov., sp. nov., a butyrateoxidizing, sulfate-reducing bacterium isolated from an anaerobic bioreactor. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58:110–115. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ ijs.0.65396-0.

- Peng Y, Leung HCM, Yiu SM, Chin FYL. 2012. IDBA-UD: a de novo assembler for single-cell and metagenomic sequencing data with highly uneven depth. Bioinformatics 28:1420–1428. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/bts174.
- Alneberg J, Bjarnason BS, de Bruijn I, Schirmer M, Quick J, Ijaz UZ, Lahti L, Loman NJ, Andersson AF, Quince C. 2014. Binning metagenomic contigs by coverage and composition. Nat Methods 11: 1144–1146. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3103.
- Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2015. CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. Genome Res 25:1043–1055. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114.
- Hyatt D, Chen G, Locascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. 2010. Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. BMC Bioinformatics 11:119. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471 -2105-11-119.
- Kanehisa M, Goto S, Kawashima S, Nakaya A. 2002. The KEGG databases at GenomeNet. Nucleic Acids Res 30:42-46. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/30.1.42.
- Zhao Y, Tang H, Ye Y. 2012. RAPSearch2: a fast and memory-efficient protein similarity search tool for next-generation sequencing data. Bioinformatics 28:125–126. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/ btr595.
- Leonhartsberger S, Huber A, Lottspeich F, Böck A. 2001. The *hydH/G* genes from *Escherichia coli* code for a zinc and lead responsive two-component regulatory system. J Mol Biol 307:93–105. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1006/jmbi.2000.4451.
- Lee LJ, Barrett JA, Poole RK. 2005. Genome-wide transcriptional response of chemostat-cultured *Escherichia coli* to zinc. J Bacteriol 187: 1124–1134. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.187.3.1124-1134.2005.
- 16. Feehily C, Karatzas KAG. 2013. Role of glutamate metabolism in bacterial responses towards acid and other stresses. J Appl Microbiol 114:11–24. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2012.05434.x.