

# Contents lists available at ScienceDirect American Heart Journal Plus: Cardiology Research and Practice



Short Communication

# Impact of social vulnerability on comorbid COVID-19 and acute myocardial infarction mortality in the United States $^{\star}$

## Ramzi Ibrahim<sup>\*</sup>, Hoang Nhat Pham, Enkhtsogt Sainbayar, João Paulo Ferreira

Department of Medicine, University of Arizona-Tucson, Tucson, AZ, United States of America

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T		
<i>Keywords:</i> Social vulnerability Disparities Epidemiology Outcomes	The trajectory of several cardiovascular diseases (CVD), including acute myocardial infarction (AMI), has been adversely impacted by COVID-19, resulting in a worse prognosis. The Social Vulnerability Index (SVI) has been found to affect certain CVD outcomes. In this cross-sectional analysis, we investigated the association between the SVI and comorbid COVID-19 and AMI mortality using the CDC databases. The SVI percentile rankings were divided into four quartiles, and age-adjusted mortality rates were compared between the lowest and highest SVI quartiles. Univariable Poisson regression was utilized to calculate risk ratios. A total of 5779 excess deaths and 1.17 excess deaths per 100,000 person-years (risk ratio 1.62) related to comorbid COVID-19 and AMI were attributable to higher social vulnerability. This pattern was consistent across the majority of US subpopulations. Our findings offer crucial epidemiological insights into the influence of the SVI and underscore the necessity for targeted the raneutic interventions.		

COVID-19 may yield multiple cardiovascular complications, including acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and is associated with worse prognosis [1]. The social vulnerability index (SVI), a measure of resilience among United States (US) communities when confronted by external stressors, has been shown to impact a wide spectrum of cardiovascular diseases (CVD) [2]. The SVI is based on four unique themes, encompassing 16 social factors, displayed in Table 1. In this study, we evaluate the impact of the SVI on comorbid COVID-19 and AMI mortality rates in the US.

SVI and US mortality data were obtained from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry and Wide-ranging Online Data for Epidemiologic Research databases, respectively [3,4]. Mortality information were queried through death certificates by International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revisions (ICD-10) codes, provided through the National Vital Statistics System. All deaths related to COVID-19 (ICD-10: U07.1) and AMI (ICD-10: I21) in the multiple causes of death files were obtained from January 1st 2020 to December 31st 2021, and corresponding demographic information (i.e., sex, ethnicity and race, and area of residence) were made available. Ethnicity was classified as Hispanic (all decedents with Spanish origin) or non-Hispanic populations, which cumulatively encompassed the US population unless this was classified as "Other" on their death certificates. Race was described as non-Hispanic Black, non-Hispanic White, and non-Hispanic Asian/Pacific Islander populations. Mortality data was adjusted to age using the direct method with the 2000 US population as the standard. Absolute death counts, population sizes, and crude-mortality rates are made available in the CDC databases and are easily replicable.

AHIO

SVI data were obtained in the form of overall percentile rankings from 0 to 1, with 1 being indicative of higher social vulnerability. County-level percentile rankings (3141 counties) were grouped into four quartiles, with quartile 1 (Q1) being the least socially vulnerable, and quartile 4 (Q4) being the most socially vulnerable. Excess or less deaths per 100,000 person-years were estimated by comparison of age-adjusted mortality rates (AAMR) between Q4 and Q1, and risk ratios were estimated through univariable Poisson regression analyses. Confidence intervals that did not include 1 were considered significant. Institutional review board was not necessary given the anonymized and publicly available nature of the data.

A total of 19,633 deaths related to AMI and COVID-19 were

Received 13 September 2023; Received in revised form 21 December 2023; Accepted 21 December 2023 Available online 26 December 2023 2666-6022/© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-

2666-6022/© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync-nd/4.0/).

<sup>\*</sup> This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.No disclosures.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Department of Medicine, University of Arizona Tucson, UA College of Medicine, 6th Floor, Room 6336, 1501 N. Campbell Ave., Tucson,

AZ 85724, United States of America.

*E-mail address:* ramzi.ibrahim83@gmail.com (R. Ibrahim). @ramziw\_ (R. Ibrahim)

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahjo.2023.100357

#### Table 1

Social vulnerability index.

Household characteristics	Socioeconomic status	Housing Type and Transportation	Racial and ethnic minority status (one of the below)
Aged 17 or younger	No high school diploma	Mobile homes	Hispanic or Latino
Aged 65 or older	Housing cost burden	Crowding	Black and African American
Civilian with a disability	Below 150 % poverty	Group quarters	American Indian and Alaska Native
English language proficiency	Unemployed	No vehicle	Asian
Single-parent households	No health insurance	Multi-unit structures	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander

Table depicts the four themes and 16 social factors encompassed within the SVI ranking.

Abbreviations: SVI = social vulnerability index.

identified in 2020 and 2021. There were 2253 deaths in Q1 and 8032 deaths in Q4, with higher social vulnerability accounting for 5779 excess deaths in the US. AAMR was higher in Q4 (3.07) as compared to Q1 (1.90) and higher SVI accounted for a cumulative 1.17 excess deaths per 100,000 person-years (RR: 1.62 [95 % CI, 1.54-1.69]) (Table 2). Subgroup analyses by sex revealed similar trends. Higher SVI accounted for 1.60 and 0.79 excess deaths per 100,000 person-years in males (RR: 1.59 [95 % CI, 1.50-1.69]) and females (RR: 1.61 [95 % CI, 1.49-1.73]), respectively (Fig. 1). Both Hispanic and non-Hispanic populations were also impacted by higher AMI and COVID-19 mortality in regions with higher social vulnerability. Specifically, 1.82 and 0.80 excess deaths per 100,000 person-years were observed for Hispanic (RR: 1.64 [95 % CI, 1.32-2.03]) and non-Hispanic (RR: 1.43 [95 % CI, 1.36-1.50]) populations, respectively. These findings were consistent across non-Hispanic White (RR: 1.63 [95 % CI, 1.55-1.72]) populations but not for non-Hispanic Black (RR: 1.18 [95 % CI, 0.97-1.45]) and non-Hispanic Asian populations (RR: 1.32 [95 % CI, 0.98-1.77]). Three of the US census regions (i.e., Northeast, South, and West) were negatively impacted by higher AMI and COVID-19 mortality in regions with higher social vulnerability whereas the Midwest (RR: 0.72 [95 % CI, 0.64-0.80]) was not impacted.

We identified the impact of higher SVI resulting in greater COVID-19 and AMI mortality, consistently across the majority of our included demographic subpopulations. These findings have important epidemiological implications and provide foundational knowledge regarding the impact of social vulnerability in the US.

SVI has been associated with a wide range of socioeconomic inequalities, translating into healthcare barriers. Regions with higher SVI often have crowded living conditions and greater number of front-line workers that work away from home, increasing risk of COVID-19 exposure [2]. Socially vulnerable communities are also exposed to a higher pollution burden, increasing risk of adverse outcomes related to COVID-19 [5]. These same areas include more challenges towards implementation of preventive measures including hygiene practices, vaccinations, and social distancing. These factors may not solely be related to infrastructure limitations, but also mistrust in the healthcare system fueled by historical systemic biases. Greater SVI may also lead to poor access to healthcare, significantly impacting outcomes [6]. SVI has also been associated with increased cardiovascular complications and poor outcomes [2]. Furthermore, COVID-19 has been shown to impact outcomes associated with AMI through reluctance of seeking early medical attention, less access to cardioprotective medications, triggering of hyperinflammatory responses, and large infarct sizes [1,7,8].

Our study includes limitations. The cross-sectional design precludes establishing causality due to the absence of a temporal sequence, potential selection bias, and a lack of adjustments for other variables. Use of death certificates to identify causes of death may also be impacted by misclassification biases given the inconsistencies in how they are completed. Furthermore, ecological fallacy remains a possibility as our population-level data may not be extrapolated to individual-level circumstances. Lastly, the lack of individual-level data in the CDC repository limited our analysis, preventing adjustments for personal comorbidities, lifestyle factors, and socioeconomic status, leading to potential residual confounding. For instance, individuals with higher socioeconomic status in areas with greater SVI values may not experience the same elevated mortality rates as those with lower socioeconomic status within the same SVI areas.

The simultaneous burden of COVID-19 in individuals with underlying AMI further complicates the healthcare landscape, increasingly for socially vulnerable populations. Our study highlights the critical need

#### Table 2

COVID-19 and acute myocardial infarction mortality across SVI quartiles.

	Q1-AAMR (95 % CI)	Q2-AAMR (95 % CI)	Q3-AAMR (95 % CI)	Q4-AAMR (95 % CI)	RR (95 % CI)
Cumulative All	1.9 (1.82–1.98)	1.94 (1.88–2.00)	2.27 (2.21–2.33)	3.07 (3.00–3.14)	1.62 (1.54–1.69)
Sex Female Male	1.3 (1.22–1.39) 2.69 (2.54–2.83)	1.26 (1.19–1.33) 2.76 (2.65–2.87)	1.51 (1.44–1.58) 3.21 (3.09–3.32)	2.09 (2.01–2.16) 4.29 (4.16–4.41)	1.61 (1.49–1.73) 1.59 (1.50–1.69)
Ethnicity Hispanic Non-Hispanic	2.85 (2.25–3.56) 1.87 (1.79–1.95)	2.2 (1.89–2.51) 1.91 (1.85–1.97)	3.38 (3.10–3.66) 2.16 (2.10–2.23)	4.67 (4.48–4.86) 2.67 (2.60–2.74)	1.64 (1.32–2.03) 1.43 (1.36–1.50)
Race Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Asian	2.79 (2.25–3.43) 1.88 (1.79–1.96) 1.89 (1.39–2.50)	2.14 (1.88–2.41) 1.98 (1.91–2.04) 1.26 (1.04–1.48)	2.81 (2.58–3.04) 2.23 (2.16–2.30) 1.82 (1.57–2.06)	3.3 (3.14–3.47) 3.07 (2.99–3.15) 2.49 (2.24–2.74)	1.18 (0.97–1.45) 1.63 (1.55–1.72) 1.32 (0.98–1.77)
Census Region Northeast Midwest South West	1.68 (1.53–1.84) 2.13 (2.01–2.25) 1.84 (1.64–2.04) 1.47 (1.24–1.70)	1.75 (1.64–1.87) 2.47 (2.33–2.62) 2.00 (1.90–2.11) 1.35 (1.24–1.47)	1.83 (1.70–1.96) 2.44 (2.28–2.59) 2.62 (2.51–2.73) 1.91 (1.80–2.02)	2.42 (2.24–2.59) 1.53 (1.38–1.69) 3.46 (3.35–3.56) 3.16 (3.03–3.30)	1.44 (1.29–1.61) 0.72 (0.64–0.80) 1.88 (1.69–2.10) 2.15 (1.84–2.52)

Table includes all AAMRs across the SVI quartiles. Risk ratios and corresponding 95 % confidence intervals are depicted through comparison of Q4 and Q1. Abbreviations: AAMR = age-adjusted mortality rate, AMI = acute myocardial infarction, CI = confidence interval, Q = quartile, SVI = social vulnerability index.



Excess or Fewer Deaths Related to Social Vulnerability

Fig. 1. Excess or fewer deaths related to social vulnerability.

Bar chart depicts the excess or fewer acute myocardial infarction and COVID-19 related deaths per 100,000 person-years related to greater social vulnerability (Q4 compared to Q1).

Abbreviations: Q = quartile.

for interventions that are specifically tailored to these populations. Such interventions could include expanding telemedicine services, deploying community health workers, establishing mobile health clinics, and creating robust social support programs. Additionally, the regular reassessment of policy measures aimed at enhancing healthcare accessibility, along with increased funding for community health centers, could play a significant role in reducing social vulnerability and narrowing health disparities across the United States.

#### **Ethical approval**

No ethical approval or institutional oversight required for this project. No participant consent required. No conflicts of interest.

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

Ramzi Ibrahim: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Hoang Nhat Pham: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Enkhtsogt Sainbayar: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. João Paulo Ferreira: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

#### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Data availability

All data are available in the CDC Databases.

#### References

- [1] L. Han, S. Zhao, S. Li, S. Gu, X. Deng, L. Yang, J. Ran, Excess cardiovascular mortality across multiple COVID-19 waves in the United States from March 2020 to March 2022, Nat. Cardiovasc. Res. 2 (2023) 322–333, https://doi.org/10.1038/ s44161-023-00220-2.
- [2] S.U. Khan, Z. Javed, A.N. Lone, S.S. Dani, Z. Amin, S.G. Al-Kindi, S.S. Virani, G. Sharma, R. Blankstein, M.J. Blaha, et al., Social vulnerability and premature cardiovascular mortality among US counties, 2014 to 2018, Circulation 144 (2021) 1272–1279, https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.054516.
- [3] Center for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Health Statistics. CDC wonder: multiple cause of death 1999–2018. Center for Disease Control and Prevention.
- [4] Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, CDC/ATSDR Social Vulnerability Index.Accessed December 6, 2020. https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/placeandhealth/ svi/index.html.
- [5] A. Pozzer, F. Dominici, A. Haines, C. Witt, T. Münzel, J. Lelieveld, Regional and global contributions of air pollution to risk of death from COVID-19, Cardiovasc. Res. 116 (2020) 2247–2253, https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvaa288.
- [6] Z.M. Thompson, V. Jain, Y.H. Chen, W. Kayani, A. Patel, S. Kianoush, A. Medhekar, S.U. Khan, J. George, L.A. Petersen, et al., State-level social vulnerability index and healthcare access in patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (from the

## R. Ibrahim et al.

BRFSS survey), Am. J. Cardiol. 178 (2022) 149–153, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. amjcard.2022.05.025.

- [7] I. Lechner, M. Reindl, C. Tiller, M. Holzknecht, F. Troger, P. Fink, A. Mayr, G. Klug, A. Bauer, B. Metzler, et al., Impact of COVID-19 pandemic restrictions on STelevation myocardial infarction: a cardiac magnetic resonance imaging study, Eur. Heart J. 43 (2022) 1141–1153, https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehab621.
- [8] S.J. Islam, G. Malla, R.W. Yeh, A.A. Quyyumi, D.S. Kazi, W. Tian, Y. Song, A. Nayak, A. Mehta, Y.A. Ko, et al., County-level social vulnerability is associated with inhospital death and major adverse cardiovascular events in patients hospitalized with COVID-19: an analysis of the American Heart Association COVID-19 cardiovascular disease registry, Circ. Cardiovasc. Qual. Outcomes 15 (2022), e008612, https://doi. org/10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.121.008612.