**ORIGINAL PAPER** 

e-ISSN 2329-0358 © Ann Transplant, 2020; 25: e925865 DOI: 10.12659/AOT.925865



Received:	2020.05.10
Accepted:	2020.08.03
vailable online:	2020.08.14
Published:	2020.10.23

A

Ma

# Transplant Radical Nephrectomy and Transplant Radical Nephroureterectomy for Renal Cancer: Postoperative and Survival Outcomes

Correspondi Source	ADEF 1,7 ng Author: of support:	<b>Viraj A. Master</b> Viraj A. Master, e-mail: vmaster@emory.edu Departmental sources	
	ADE 5 DEF 6 ADEF 1,7	Paul L. Tso Nicole A. Turgeon Kenneth Ogan	7 Winship Cancer Institute, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, U.S.A.
Authors' Contribution: Study Design A Data Collection B Statistical Analysis C Data Interpretation D nuscript Preparation E Literature Search F Funds Collection G	ABCDEF 1 ABCDEF 2 ABCDEF 2 ABC 3 CDE 4 CDE 1 BCF 1	Reza Nabavizadeh Anika A. Noorali Salima S. Makhani Gordon Hong Sarah Holzman Dattatraya H. Patil Frances Y. Kim	<ol> <li>Department of Urology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, U.S.A.</li> <li>School of Medicine, Mercer University, Macon, GA, U.S.A.</li> <li>College of Medicine, Northeast Ohio Medical University, Rootstown, OH, U.S.A.</li> <li>Department of Urology, University of California Irvine and Children's Hospital, Orange, CA, U.S.A.</li> <li>Department of Transplant Surgery, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, U.S.A.</li> <li>Department of Transplant Surgery, University of Texas Dell Medical School, Austin, TX, U.S.A.</li> </ol>

background.	lenging. Here we report the largest series of nations who underwent transplant radical nentrectomy for re-
	nal cell carcinoma (RCC) and transplant radical nephroureterectomy for urothelial cell carcinoma (ICC) in their
	transplanted kidneys
Material/Methods	From 2004 to 2018 10 natients underwent transplant radical penhrectomy (7 natients) and penhroureterec-
material methods.	tomy (3 nationts). Retrospective analyses in terms of complications on cological recurrence and survival of
	neri-onerative and long-term outcomes were performed
Results:	Out of the 10 nations, 7 had RCC and 3 had UCC. No intraoperative mortality occurred. Three patients present-
	ed with Clavien-Dindo grade IIIa or greater within 30 days of surgery. Two patients died within 60 days of sur-
	gery, both due to vascular events: one due to myocardial infarction and one due to stroke. Two other patients
	died: one after 2.9 years, due to myocardial infarction, and the other after 6 years, due to unknown reasons.
	At the 7-year follow-up, there was a 60% overall survival rate. For all patients, average survival post-nephrec-
	tomy was approximately 4.5 years, including the 6 living patients and 4 deceased patients. Importantly, there
	was no observed cancer recurrence.
Conclusions:	This study reports outcomes of the largest series of transplant radical nephrectomy and nephroureterectomy
	for malignancies of renal allografts. In the optimized setting, extirpative surgeries appear safe, with favorable
	long-term oncological and survival outcomes.
MeSH Keywords:	Kidney Neoplasms • Nephrectomy • Survival Analysis
Full-text PDF:	https://www.annalsoftransplantation.com/abstract/index/idArt/925865



# Background

Genitourinary cancers account for approximately 15% of all tumors in transplant recipients, and a large portion of these cases are renal cancers [1,2]. Pathologically, the majority of renal cancers are renal cell carcinomas (RCC), followed by urothelial cell carcinomas (UCC). Transplant recipients have a 100-fold increased risk of developing RCC compared to the general population [1]. Development of RCC is more common in the native kidney compared to the allografts [2-7]. UCC arises from the urothelium of the collecting system and can be seen in the kidney (minor and major calyxes and renal pelvis), ureter, or both [8–10]. For UCC tumors, transplant radical nephroureterectomy, and for RCC tumors, transplant radical nephrectomy, are considered the standards of care for patients with failed allografts on dialysis or patients who are not candidates for nephron-sparing surgery. Despite the clinical relevance, there is scant data on short-term and long-term outcomes of transplant radical nephrectomy and nephroureterectomy. Many surgeons consider the surgeries challenging and even question whether the procedures are safe in immunosuppressed patients. Here, we report the outcomes of transplant radical nephrectomy and nephroureterectomy in our cohort of posttransplant patients with allograft cancer.

# **Material and Methods**

## Study design and participants

This study retrospectively evaluated a case series of patients who underwent transplant radical nephrectomy and nephroureterectomy for malignancy of their renal allograft between 2004 and 2018. Patient data were collected using a combination of Institution Kidney Cancer Database, Natural Language search of electronic charts, and Social Security Death Index (SSDI). Inclusion criteria consisted of patients at our institution who underwent transplant radical nephrectomy and nephroureterectomy for kidney cancer. The surgery was performed in patients who met one or more of the following criteria: (i) dialysis at time of cancer diagnosis, (ii) tumor size and location that precluded partial nephrectomy, (iii) presence of multifocal disease on renal allograft, or (iv) urothelial cell carcinoma arising from the collecting system of the transplanted kidney. Exclusion criteria consisted of patients undergoing surgeries for non-oncological purposes. The Institutional Review Board (IRB) at our institution approved this study.

## **Patient data**

The following clinical and demographic variables were collected: race, sex, age of donor, donor type, age of patient at time of surgery, time from transplantation until diagnosis of malignancy, end-stage renal disease (ESRD) as the primary diagnosis, and tumor characteristics (pathological findings, tumor size, stage, type, presence of multifocality and grade). History of prior transplants, multi-organ transplantations, hypertension, smoking, and obesity were also recorded. Intraoperative variables included the following: surgery duration, blood loss, length of stay, and postoperative complications per Clavien-Dindo Classification protocol [11,12].

## **Diagnosis and treatment**

Each tumor was identified with various radiographic techniques: ultrasound, computed tomography (CT) and/or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) followed by confirmation by CT-guided biopsy or endoscopic biopsy via ureteroscopy for urothelial carcinomas. None of the patients had radiographic evidence of metastasis. Image-guided biopsy is not routinely required for kidney cancer diagnosis in native kidneys. Renal biopsy, historically, did not gain much attention due to concern for biopsy tract seeding. However, recent studies have shown that with evolved techniques, this risk is no longer a concern. Therefore, whenever the diagnosis is not clear, a biopsy might provide additional information to both the clinician and the patient. In cases of tumors in a transplanted kidney, a preoperative biopsy can confirm the diagnosis before proceeding with a potentially complex surgical procedure.

Prior to surgery, patients received preoperative cardiology clearance if deemed necessary by the surgeon. All surgeries were performed as a multidisciplinary surgical collaboration between the transplant surgery and urology services.

## Surgical technique

Transplant radical nephrectomy was undertaken through a longitudinal midline or Gibson incision. The allografts were exposed via a trans-peritoneal approach, allowing for visualization and access to the external iliac vein and artery. The posterior peritoneum overlying the great vessels was opened, and the vena cava and aorta were identified for proximal vascular control, as were the distal iliac vessels. The transplant renal unit was reflected medially from the sidewall, along with all of the surrounding fibrofatty tissue. Importantly, intraoperative ultrasonography with Doppler was performed to trace the position of the vessels. Using Doppler ultrasonography is extremely helpful in these cases, as the desmoplastic reaction after transplant often precludes direct vessel visualization.

The superior aspect of the kidney was dissected off the psoas muscle and the perinephric fat removed. The peritoneum was incised down to the level of the bladder, and that allowed for medial mobilization. The lower pole of the kidney was reflected upwards, allowing for inferior exposure of the iliac vessels, and

the vessels were traced to the renal pedicle. Care was taken to differentiate the blood supply to the lower extremity from the renal pedicle. The absence of normal anatomic planes made differentiating the blood supply the most challenging component of the transplant nephrectomy. The hilum was divided with an endovascular stapler. In the 3 patients with UCC, in addition to performing transplant radical nephrectomy, the entire ureter and bladder cuff were also removed.

#### **Outcomes of interest**

The primary outcome of interest was overall survival, defined as the time from the date of surgery to the date of last follow-up or death. A Kaplan-Meier plot was generated to evaluate overall survival of patients over a 7-year follow-up period. Secondary outcomes were postoperative complications using the Clavien-Dindo criteria [11], length of stay (LOS), and 30-day hospital readmissions. Data were collected from medical records, Social Security National Index (SSNDI), and follow-up phone calls.

## Results

#### **Patient characteristics**

Between 2004 and 2018, at our institution, 10 patients underwent transplant radical nephrectomy and nephroureterectomy for kidney cancer. Seven out of the 10 patients were male, with average age of 54.6 years (range, 44 to 63). Patient characteristics are presented in Table 1. The most common cause of ESRD requiring transplantation was glomerulonephritis. All 10 patients had a history of hypertension, and 5 patients had a history of smoking. Three patients had a previously failed renal transplant. The mean number of years from the time of kidney transplant to surgery was 15 years, ranging from 8 to 25 years (Table 2).

All patients had received immunosuppression with a calcineurin-inhibitor-based regimen. Seven of the 10 patients (70%) were on dialysis at the time of cancer diagnosis. All 10 patients were placed on hemodialysis after transplant radical nephrectomy; one patient subsequently underwent peritoneal catheter placement. Seven out of 10 patients (70%) were symptomatic, with the most common chief complaint of painless gross hematuria. In the preoperative setting, MRI was the most common form of imaging utilized to detect the tumor.

#### Intraoperative outcomes

Intra- and postoperative data are displayed in Table 3. The average surgery duration and mean blood loss of all our patients who either underwent transplant radical nephrectomy Table 1. Patient characteristics cohort demographic.

Age, mean (years) at time of nephrectomy	54.6 years
Sex, Male	7/10 cases
Race, white	9/10 cases
Comorbidities	
Hypertension	10/10 cases
Smoking	5/10 cases
Obesity	3/10 cases
Cause of renal disease	
Diabetes	2/10 cases
Chronic glomerulonephritis	4/10 cases
Membranous glomerulonephritis	1/10 cases
IgA nephropathy	2/10 cases
Vesicoureteral reflux	1/10 cases
Type of renal cancer	
RCC	5/10 cases
ACDAC	2/10 cases
UCC	3/10 cases
Tumor stage	
pT1	1/10 cases
pT1a	2/10 cases
pT1b	5/10 cases
pT2	1/10 cases
рТ3	1/10 cases
Tumor grade	
Low-grade RCC	5/10 cases
High-grade RCC	2/10 cases
High-grade UCC	3/10 cases
Mean tumor size (cm)	
RCC	3.7 (0.8–6.6)
UCC	2.2 (1.0–3.7)
Dialysis at time of cancer diagnosis	7/10 cases
Presence of Multifocal disease	5/10 cases

RCC – renal cell carcinoma; UCC – urothelial cell carcinoma; IgA – immunoglobulin A; ACDAC – Acquired Cystic Kidney Disease Associated Carcinoma.

or nephrouretectomy was 165 min and 460 mL, respectively. One patient (Case 4) had intraoperative surgical complications of the external iliac artery and vein requiring repair by vascular surgery, which resulted in 336 min of operative time and 700 mL of blood loss. The average surgery duration and mean blood loss for other patients (excluding Case 4) was 126 min and 183 mL, respectively.

Case #	Patient sex	Cause of renal disease	History of prior transplant	Immuno- suppression	Donor age (type)	Date of transplant	Date of surgery	Transplant to surgery (years)	Dialysis prior to surgery
Case 1	Μ	GN	No	Tacrolimus, prednisone	37 (DD)	2001	2009	8	Yes
Case 2	F	VUR	Yes	Tacrolimus, prednisone	38 (LR)	1989	2013	24	Yes
Case 3	F	GN	No	Tacrolimus, steroids, MMF	40 (LUR)	2001	2012	11	No/GFR 14 mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>
Case 4	F	GN	No	Cyclosporine, prednisone	30 (DD)	1993	2008	15	No/GFR 29 mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>
Case 5	М	lgA Nephropathy	Yes	Tacrolimus, prednisone, MMF	30 (DD)	2001	2012	11	Yes
Case 6	М	GN	Yes	Tacrolimus, prednisone, MMF	54 (DD)	2002	2012	10	Yes
Case 7	Μ	GN	No	Cyclosporine, prednisone	18 (DD)	1992	2004	12	No/GFR 37 mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>
Case 8	Μ	DM Type 1	No	Cyclosporine, prednisone	31 (LR)	1993	2011	18	Yes
Case 9	Μ	DM Type 1	No	Tacrolimus, prednisone	46 (DD)	2000	2016	16	Yes
Case 10	Μ	IgA Nephropathy	No	Cyclosporine, prednisone	N/A (DD)	1992	2018	25	Yes

#### Table 2. Transplant characteristics.

M – Male; F – Female; GN – glomerular nephritis; VUR – vesicoureteral reflux; IgA – immunoglobulin A; DM – diabetes mellitus; MMF – mycophenolate mofetil; DD – deceased donor; LR – living related; LUR – living unrelated; N/A – not available.

For the 3 patients who underwent transplant radical nephroureterectomy for UCC, operative time (and blood loss) was 150 min in Case 9 (800 mL), 173 min in Case 3 (500 mL), and 336 min in Case 1 (700 mL). The patient in Case 1 underwent bilateral native nephroureterectomy, cystoprostatectomy, and retroperitoneal lymph node dissection in addition to the transplant radical nephroureterectomy, with intraoperative complications requiring a general surgical consult for splenic injury. Furthermore, this patient was admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) and subsequently died at 35 days after surgery. Of note, the patient in Case 1 had high-grade papillary UCC with invasion to the lamina propria of the bladder and no invasion into the muscularis with chromosomal analysis, indicating the transplanted kidney as the source. Therefore, it was decided to perform a transplant radical nephrectomy in addition to a cystoprostatectomy. The patient had non-functioning bilateral native kidneys that were still producing urine. Thus, it was decided to remove the bilateral native kidneys as opposed to performing urinary diversion.

#### **Postoperative outcomes**

Mean length of stay for all the patients was 6 days. Three patients presented with Clavien-Dindo grade IIIa or greater within 30 days of surgery. One patient had hospital readmission within 30 days of surgery. To date, all living patients are without evidence of kidney cancer recurrence, confirmed by regular cross-sectional imaging follow-up. Profiles of each patient are provided in Table 3.

On surgical specimen pathology, 5 patients had papillary RCC, 3 patients had UCC, and 2 patients had Acquired Cystic Kidney Disease Associated Carcinoma (ACDAC) (Figure 1). All 3 UCC patients had high-grade and invasive disease. While the location of the UCC tumors was frequently at the ureteral neocystotomy site, the locations of the RCC tumors varied. Nine of the 10 patients (90%) had tumors confined to the organ, and multifocal tumors were present in 5 patients. Tumor characteristics are presented in Table 4.

Case #	Year of surgery	Procedures	EBL (mL)	Surgery duration (minutes)	LOS (days)	Postoperative Complication	Alive or Deceased	Overall survival (years)
Case 1	2009	Multiple*	700	336	4	None	Deceased	0.1**
Case 2	2013	TRN	<50	36	2	None	Alive	5.2
Case 3	2012	TRNU	500	173	5	None	Alive	6.3
Case 4	2008	Multiple*	1500	234	9	IV-ICU	Alive	10.3
Case 5	2012	Multiple*	100	181	10	IV-ICU	Deceased	2.9
Case 6	2012	TRN	400	156	13	IV-ICU	Alive	5.9
Case 7	2004	TRN	<50	134	6	None	Deceased	6.1
Case 8	2011	TRN	400	136	3	None	Alive	6.7
Case 9	2016	Multiple*	800	150	6	None	Alive	1.9
Case 10	2018	TRN	100	110	2	None	Deceased	0.13***

#### Table 3. Intra- and postoperative characteristics.

\* Cases with multiple procedures: Case 1: TRNU, bilateral native nephroureterectomy, cystoprostatectomy and retroperitoneal lymph node dissection; Case 4: TRN, excision of retroperitoneal mass, venotomy and arteriotomy repair; Case 5: TRN, laparoscopic simple nephrectomy of unilateral native kidney; Case 9: TRNU, left pelvic lymph node dissection. \*\* Case 1 died at 35 days post-operatively; \*\*\* case 2 died at 49 days post-operatively. TRN – transplant radical nephrectomy; TRNU – transplant radical nephrouretectomy; EBL – estimated blood loss; LOS – length of stay; IV-ICU – Clavien-Dindo grade 4-Intensive Care Unit.



Figure 1. Preoperative imaging of Case 2 with acquired cystic disease-associated RCC and gross specimen. (A) CT abdomen and pelvis from 3 years prior to transplant radical nephrectomy showing a cyst in the right transplant renal unit. (B) Preoperative MRI in 2013, renal mass in transplant unit marked by arrow. (C) Gross specimen from Case 2.

## Survival outcomes

A Kaplan-Meier curve in Figure 2 represents the overall survival of all patients. Long-term survival was determined, and a 7-year follow-up was available for all patients, with the

exception of 2 patients (Cases 1 and 10) who died within 60 days. At the 7-year follow-up, there was a 60% overall survival rate. Overall survival was approximately 4.5 years, including 6 living patients and the 4 deceased patients. With the exception of the 2 patients who died within 60 days, the

Case #	Tumor type	Tumor size (cm)	Tumor stage	Grade	Multifocal (MF)
Case 1	UCC	1	T2	High	MF
Case 2	Cystic RCC (ACDAC)	4.2	T1b	High	MF
Case 3	UCC	1.9	T1b	High	-
Case 4	RCC papillary	6.6	T1b	High	-
Case 5	RCC papillary	5	T1b	Low	MF
Case 6	RCC papillary	5	T1b	Low	-
Case 7	RCC papillary	3	T1a	High	MF
Case 8	RCC papillary	1.5	T1	Low	MF
Case 9	UCC	3.7	T3	High	-
Case 10	Cystic RCC (ACDAC)	0.8	T1a	Low	-

#### Table 4. Tumor characteristics.

RCC – renal cell carcinoma; UCC – urothelial cell carcinoma; ACDAC – Acquired Cystic Kidney Disease Associated Carcinoma; MF – multifocal.





remaining 8 patients survived at least 5.6 years after nephrectomy. Despite the death of 2 additional patients in the 7-year follow-up period, there are 6 patients still alive, with an average survival of 6.1 years. None of those 6 patients have experienced recurrence of disease.

## **Deceased patients**

Among the 4 deceased patients, the average survival was 2.3 years. All deceased patients were white males and recipients of deceased donor (DD) allografts, with an average age of 53.8 years at the time of surgery. On final pathology, 3 of the 4 deceased patients had multifocal disease.

Case 1: A 37-year-old man received a kidney from a 37-yearold DD donor. Eight years after transplantation, the recipient underwent bilateral native nephroureterectomy, cystoprostatectomy, and retroperitoneal lymph node dissection, in addition to the transplant radical nephroureterectomy for highgrade papillary UCC with invasion to the lamina propria of the bladder and no invasion into the muscularis UCC. Despite the complicated surgery, he had an unremarkable initial postoperative course. However, 35 days after surgery, he experienced a myocardial infarction, resulting in his death.

Case 5: A 35-year-old man with ESRD secondary to immunoglobulin A (IgA) nephropathy received a kidney from a 30-yearold DD donor. The recipient underwent a laparoscopic nephrectomy of his right native kidney, as well as a left transplant radical nephrectomy of his allograft 11 years after transplantation, due to multifocal papillary RCC. He presented with multiple postoperative complications, including acute blood loss anemia, admission to the ICU for pneumonia, and *Clostridium difficile* colitis. Furthermore, this patient had multiple 30-day, 90-day, and 1-year readmissions, with subsequent death at 2.9 years after nephrectomy, due to a myocardial infarction.

Case 7: A 51-year-old man received a transplant from an 18-year-old DD. The recipient underwent transplant radical nephrectomy 12 years after transplantation, due to papillary RCC, and died 6.1 years after nephrectomy. The cause of death was unknown.

Case 10: A 63-year-old man received a renal transplant from a DD in 1992. The recipient underwent transplant radical nephrectomy 25 years after transplantation, because of ACDAC, and died within 49 days after nephrectomy because of stroke.

First Author	No. of transplant recipients	No. with allograft cancer (n,%)	Total treated by TRN (n)	/ Age at tumor diagnosis; sex	Primary kidney disease (n)	Average time from transplant to diagnosis (years)
Case series						
Guleryuz* [14]	46,145	116 (0.25%)	30	51.4 (mean); N/A	N/A	8.83
Tillou* [19]	41, 806	79 (0.19%)	38	N/A	N/A	N/A
Troyoll [17]	4 200	12 (0 20%)	F	48.5; 3 M, 1 F	N/A	16
froxett [17]	4,200	12 (0.29%)	C	9; M	N/A	9
Su [20]	1,241	4 (0.32%)	1	28; F	ADMCD	0.75
Vegso [21]	3,530	9 (0.25%)	4	45.3 (mean); N/A	N/A	10.97
Swords [15]	804	4 (0.50%)	2	51 (mean); 2 M	FSG, diabetic nephropathy	16
Ploussard [33]	2,396	17 (0.71%)	4	51.8 (mean); 2 M, 2 F	IN (2); PCKD (1); Unknown (1)	9.3
Leveridge [35]	3,568	71 (1.99%)	8	48.2 (mean)	N/A	12.1
Barama [5]	1,073	5 (0.47%)	1	43; F	Diabetic nephropathy	11
First Author	Presenting symptoms or incidental finding (n)	Tumor size (cm)** & histological variant (n)	Tumor location (n)	Functionality of 1 allograft at presenta	renal Ition (n)	Clinica follow-up
Case series						
Guleryuz* [14]	Presenting symptoms (6)	0.1–1.0 (range), clear cell (19)	N/A	Only functional kidne included in this stud was excluded	eys were 24 m dy. UCC hemator	o (IQR 4.1–76.6); na (2); deceased (3)
Tillou* [19]	Incidental finding (30)	N/A	N/A	Functional (23	3) 45 (IC	.4 mo (mean) <u>9</u> R 0.7–164.2)
Trovoll [17]	N/A	1.6–5.0 (range); Papillary clear cell (3)	N/A	Non-functiona	al 12- alive	84 mo (range); (3); deceased (1)
froxett [17]	N/A	2.3; Biphasic papillary	N/A	Partial nephrectomy at 4 months promp allograft nephrect	failure ted an A comy	live- 96 mo
Su [20]	New onset hematuria	4.0×6.0, Clear cell RCC	Mid to lower pole	Functional (Cr of 1.81 mg/e	dL) A	live- 98 mo
Vegso [21]				N/A		
Swords [15]	Incidental (1)	1.5–2.3 (range); Papillary RCC Type 1 (2)	N/A	Non-functiona	al A	live- 24 mo
Ploussard [33]	N/A	1.0–5.0 (range); Papillary RCC (2); Clear cell RCC (2)	Medio-renal (1), Lower pole (2); Med/lower pole (1)	Unspecified (4	l) Alive	- 28–96 mo (4)
Leveridge [35]	N/A	Papillary (5); Clear cell (3)	N/A	N/A	Alive	e- 3.6 years (7); eceased (1)
Barama [5]	Incidental	2.5×3.4 unspecified RCC	Upper pole	Functional (Cr of 2.03 mg/o	dL)	Alive- 6 mo

## Table 5. Literature review of renal allograft RCC treated by transplant radical nephrectomy.

Indexed in: [Science Citation Index Expanded] [Index Medicus/MEDLINE] [Chemical Abstracts] [Scopus]

First Author	No. of transplant allo recipients	No. with graft cancer TRN (n (n,%)	ed by Age at tumor ) diagnosis; sex	Primary kidney disease (n)	Average time from ransplant to diagnosis (years)
Case Reports					
Alharbi [26], Althaf [27], Pandya [28], Banshodani [29], Asciak [16], Ajabnoor [30], Greco [31]	– 7 c	ase reports 7	13.5–56 (range); 4 M, 3 F	IC-MPGN (1); Unknown (4); Other (2)	5–20 (range)
First Author	Presenting sympton or incidental findin (n)	ns Tumor size (cm)** & g histological variant (n)	Tumor location (n)	Functionality of renal allograft at presentation (n)	Clinica follow-up
Case Reports					
Alharbi [26], Althaf [27], Pandya [28], Banshodani [29], Asciak [16], Ajabnoor [30], Greco [31]	GI symptoms (3); gross hematuria (2) incidental (2); weig loss (1); UTI (1)	1.3–9.0; Chromophobe RCC ; (4); Clear cell RCC (2); Papillary RCC (1)	Mid renal (2); Upper pole (1); Lower pole (2); Other (2)	Non-functional (5); Functional (2) (Cr of 1.0 mg/dL)	Alive- 2.5–55 mo (4); Not available (3)

#### Table 5 continued. Literature review of renal allograft RCC treated by transplant radical nephrectomy.

mo – months; UTUC – upper tract urothelial cancer; RCC – renal cell carcinoma; Cr – creatinine; US – ultrasound; CT – computerized tomography; MRI – magnetic resonance imaging; N/A – not available; IN – interstitial nephropathy; ADMCD – autosomal dominant medullary cystic disease; PCKD – polycystic kidney disease; FSG – focal segmental glomerulosclerosis; IC-MPGN – immune-mediated membranoproliferative glomerulo-nephropathy. Gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms: Abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea. \* National, multicenter study; \*\* tumor size detected by imaging.

#### Living patients

Two living patients had complications greater than Clavien-Dindo grade IIIa. Case 4 had an intraoperative external iliac artery and a vein injury that required transfusion and ICU care in the postoperative period. Case 6 was noted to have a non-ST elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) and multilobar pneumonia on postoperative day 4. Among the 6 living patients, there was no evidence of recurrence of kidney cancer at the average follow-up of 6.1 years (range, 1.9 to 10.3 years).

# Discussion

Despite the possible benefits expected from routine non-oncologic transplant nephrectomy, routine excision is not the standard, because it is a technically demanding procedure [13,14]. Even without the presence of malignancy, transplant radical nephrectomy of a failed allograft is associated with improvement in hematological, biochemical, and clinical parameters, such as erythropoietin (EPO) resistance index, serum levels of albumin, prealbumin, ferritin, fibrinogen, c-reactive protein (CRP), and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) [15,16]. Studies have shown that the removal of the patient's transplanted kidney at the time the patient has progressed to requiring dialysis is independently associated with increased survival [17,18]. An important consideration is that the removal of the transplanted kidney can be detrimental to the outcome of subsequent transplantations, by virtue of the increased level of antibodies to mismatched antigens, increased rate of primary non-function, and delayed graft function [15,19]. Many of the studies reporting these outcomes were retrospective. Moreover, with univariate analysis of small numbers of patients, conclusions drawn from such studies should be made with caution.

Additionally, these concerns are not clinically relevant in the case of renal allograft malignancies because those patients nevertheless would not be candidates for subsequent transplantation without transplant radical nephrectomy or nephrouretectomy. Systemic therapies are often more challenging for malignancies of renal allograft compared to kidney cancers in native functioning kidneys, and often are not ideal treatment options [16]. Extirpative surgery for malignancies of the renal allograft can benefit these patients in various ways by removing both the malignancy and the failed allograft.

Immunomodulation and immunosuppression induced to allow viability of the renal allograft can provide a more favorable niche for the malignant cells to emerge and thrive [2,10,20,21]. In renal transplant patients, allograft malignancies

e925865-8

First Author	No. of transplant recipients	No. with allograft cancer (n, %)	No. with UCC (n, %)	TRNU (n)	Age at tumor diagnosis; sex	Primary kid	lney disease (n)
Case series							
Leon* [8]	56,806	107 (0.18%)	11 (0.02%)	TRNU (11)	56.7 (mean); N/A	Glomerulonep uropathy (1) arterial hypert disease (1	hritis (4), PCKD (2), , renal cancer (1), ension (1), immune ); unknown (1)
Saleeb [9]	1,584	4 (0.25%)	1 (0.06%)	"Resection of t allograft kidney tumor" (1)	he for 66; F	Un	Iknown
First Author	Ave from t diagn	rage time ransplant to osis (years)	Presenting incidenta	symptoms or l finding (n)	Tumor type and gra	de (n) Cli	inical follow-up
Case series							
Leon* [8]	(1.2-	5.6 8.1, range)	Gross hematur urine cytology (3), Follow-up due to arterial	ia (4), Systematic (1), Follow-up US CT (2) and MRI hypertension (1)	Papillary UCC (1 High-grade (10 Low-grade (1)	1), 60 mo ), dec	(mean) (13.5–87.5); alive (10); eased (1) (UTUC)
Saleeb [9]		9	١	J/A	Papillary UCC, High-grade		24 mo, alive, no recurrence
First Author	No. of tran recipier	splant No. w nts car	vith allograft ncer (n, %)	No. with UCC (n, %)	TRNU (n)	Age at tumor diagnosis; sex	Primary kidney disease (n)
Case reports							
Farkas [10]	N/A		1	1	"Graft was surgically removed" (1)	63; M	IgA nephropathy
Hong [36]	N/A		1	1	TRNU (1)	40; M	Unknown
First Author	Ave transj	erage time fro plant to diagn (years)	m Present losis incide	ting symptoms or ental finding (n)	Tumor type and grade (I	: 1)	Clinical follow-up
Case reports							
Farkas [10]		9	Painless and hy	s, gross hematuria asymptomatic dronephrosis	UCC, High-gra	de	21 mo, alive, no recurrence
Hong [36]		10	Gross I	hematuria and GI symptoms	UCC, Low-gra	de	24 mo, alive, no recurrence

#### Table 6. Literature review of renal allograft UCC treated by transplant radical nephroureterectomy.

UCC – urothelial cell carcinoma; TRNU – transplant radical nephroureterectomy; mo – months; N/A – not available; UTUC – upper tract urothelial cancer; RCC – renal cell carcinoma; US – ultrasound; CT – computerized tomography; MRI – magnetic resonance imaging; Cr – creatinine; IN – interstitial nephropathy; IgA – immunoglobulin-A; PCKD – polycystic kidney disease; Gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms: Abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea. \*National, multicenter study.

in the immunosuppressed state are often more aggressive [22]. Despite the clinical relevance, little is known about outcomes of transplant radical nephrectomy performed for allograft malignancies [15,18,23–25]. Tables 5 and 6 summarize the published literature on transplant radical nephrectomy performed for RCC and transplant radical nephroureterectomy for UCC [16,26–31]. While the existing literature supports nephronsparing surgery, when indicated, to preserve renal allograft function and avoid dialysis, limited data informs clinicians regarding outcomes of transplant radical nephrectomy or nephroureterectomy in patients with either an already failed allograft or, when nephron-sparing surgery is not feasible, because of the complexity of the cancer, determined by the tumor size, location, grade and multifocality [5,32–34]. Transplant radical nephrectomy with the excision of the ureter and bladder cuff is the optimal approach for patients with UCC, regardless of the tumor size, location, or feasibility of nephron-sparing surgery [8–10,35,36]. In our cohort, 7 patients had failed transplants and were on dialysis, and 3 had tumors not suitable for nephron-sparing surgery.

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the largest series to date to evaluate outcomes of transplant radical nephrectomy or nephroureterectomy. In our series, 2 patients died within 60 days of surgery; one because of myocardial infarction and one due to stroke. Within the time frame of a 7-year follow-up, 2 other patients died: one after 2.9 years, because of myocardial infarction, and another after 6.1 years, for unknown reason. Patients who are considered for these surgeries should be counselled regarding peri-, intra-, and postoperative risks, especially considering the fact that this patient pool usually has multiple comorbidities such as history of ESRD, immunosuppression, hypertension, and vasculopathy, which increases their risk for peri- and postoperative morbidity and mortality.

Although transplant radical nephrectomy and nephroureterectomy are challenging surgeries, a prepared multidisciplinary surgical collaboration can achieve favorable surgical and oncological outcomes and minimize the risk of complications. In our series there was one instance of vascular injury and one instance of splenic injury occurred, and both received successful repair by vascular and general surgery teams. No intraoperative mortality occurred. Most of the patients in our cohort had an unremarkable postoperative course and no recurrence of cancer was observed on surveillance follow-up.

# **References:**

- 1. Moudouni SM, Lakmichi A, Tligui M et al: Renal cell carcinoma of native kidney in renal transplant recipients. BJU Int, 2006; 98(2): 298–302
- 2. Penn I: Sarcomas in organ allograft recipients. Transplantation, 1995; 60(12): 1485–91
- Gunji Y, Sakamoto K, Yamada K et al: Successful surgical treatment of renal cell carcinoma in a transplanted kidney from a cadaveric donor: Report of a case. Surg Today, 2001; 31(4): 374–77
- 4. Ianhez LE, Lucon M, Nahas WC et al: Renal cell carcinoma in renal transplant patients. Urology, 2007; 69(3): 462–64
- Barama A, St-Louis G, Nicolet V et al: Renal cell carcinoma in kidney allografts: A case series from a single center. Am J Transplant, 2005; 5(12): 3015–18
- 6. Tyden G, Tollemar J, Bolinder J: Combined pancreas and kidney transplantation improves survival in patients with end-stage diabetic nephropathy. Clin Transplant, 2000; 14(5): 505–8
- Neuzillet Y, Lay F, Luccioni A et al: *De novo* renal cell carcinoma of native kidney in renal transplant recipients. Cancer, 2005; 103(2): 251–57
- Leon G, Szabla N, Boissier R et al: Kidney graft urothelial carcinoma: Results from a multicentric retrospective national study. Urology, 2020; 135: 101–5

In this series, only 3 of the 10 patients (30%) presented with complications greater than Clavien-Dindo grade IIIa within 30 days of surgery. Two of these patients (Cases 4 and 6) had significant comorbidities, including obesity, hypertension, and smoking. Despite these risk factors, both patients are alive today, approximately 10- and 6-years after nephrectomy, respectively, with unremarkable follow-up. The long-term outcomes of this study are promising and suggest that, in capable hands, transplant radical nephrectomy and nephroureterectomy are safe and feasible surgeries. Although this study includes the largest cohort of patients who underwent transplant radical nephrectomy and nephroureterectomy, the small number of patients imposes limitations on the study's conclusions. These surgeries, moreover, were accomplished with a multidisciplinary team at a tertiary academic center, which is a limitation in terms of external validity, if the same surgery is attempted in a different practice setting. More research on this topic, perhaps with larger numbers of patients and from different institutions, may be necessary to further investigate the outcomes.

# Conclusions

This study reports the outcome of the largest case series to date on transplant radical nephrectomy and nephroureterectomy for malignancies of renal allografts. These extirpative surgeries, in the optimized setting, appear to be safe, with favorable long-term oncological and survival outcomes.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Anna Krampl, Library Assistant Professor at Mercer University School of Medicine, for assisting in this literature review.

- 9. Saleeb R, Faragalla H, Yousef G et al: Malignancies arising in allograft kidneys, with a first reported translocation RCC post-transplantation: A case series. Pathol Res Pract, 2015; 211(8): 584–87
- Farkas ÁZ, Török S, Kovács JB et al: Diagnosis and management of a *de* novo urothelial carcinoma in a kidney allograft: A case report. Transplant Proc, 2019; 51(4): 1281–85
- 11. Clavien PA, Barkun J, de Oliveira ML et al: The Clavien-Dindo classification of surgical complications: Five-year experience. Ann Surg, 2009; 250(2): 187–96
- 12. Dindo D, Clavien P-A: What is a surgical complication? World J Surg, 2008; 32(6): 939–41
- 13. Ucar AR, Demir E, Mehmel SS: Transplant patients with failing renal allografts. Exp Clin Transplant, 2018; 16(1): 4–8
- 14. Guleryuz K, Doerfler A, Codas R et al: A national study of kidney graft tumor treatments: Toward ablative therapy. Surgery, 2016; 160(1): 237–44
- 15. Swords DC, Al-Geizawi SM, Farney AC et al: Treatment options for renal cell carcinoma in renal allografts: A case series from a single institution. Clin Transplant, 2013; 27(2): E199–E205

e925865-10

- Asciak R, Buttigieg J, Buhagiar L: *De novo* papillary carcinoma in a renal allograft: the pros and cons of immunosuppression. CEN Case Rep, 2016; 5(1): 99–102
- Troxell ML, Higgins JP: Renal cell carcinoma in kidney allografts: Histologic types, including biphasic papillary carcinoma. Hum Pathol, 2016; 57: 28–36
- Lee HH, Choi KH, Yang SC, Han WK: Renal cell carcinoma in kidney transplant recipients and dialysis patients. Korean J Urol, 2012; 53(4): 229–33
- Tillou X, Doerfler A, Collon S et al: *De novo* kidney graft tumors: Results from a multicentric retrospective national study. Am J Transplant, 2012; 12(12): 3308–15
- 20. Su MZ, Campbell NA, Lau HM: Management of renal masses in transplant allografts at an Australian kidney-pancreas transplant unit. Transplantation, 2014; 97(6): 654–59
- 21. Végső G, Toronyi É, Deák PÁ et al: Detection and management of renal cell carcinoma in the renal allograft. Int Urol Nephrol, 2013; 45(1): 93–98
- 22. Barrett WL, First MR, Aron BS, Penn I: Clinical course of malignancies in renal transplant recipients. Cancer, 1993; 72(7): 2186–89
- 23. Adami J, Gäbel H, Lindelöf B et al: Cancer risk following organ transplantation: A nationwide cohort study in Sweden. Br J Cancer, 2003; 89(7): 1221–27
- 24. Akoh JA: Transplant nephrectomy. World J Transplant, 2011; 1(1): 4-12
- Ariyarathenam A, Bamford A, Akoh JA: Transplant nephrectomy A singlecenter experience. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl, 2015; 26(6): 1108–12
- Alharbi A, Al Turki MS, Aloudah N, Alsaad KO: Incidental eosinophilic chromophobe renal cell carcinoma in renal allograft. Case Rep Transplant, 2017; 2017: 4232474

- 27. Althaf MM, Al-Sunaid MS, Abdelsalam MS et al: Chromophobe renal cell carcinoma occurring in the renal allograft of a transplant recipient presenting with weight loss. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl, 2016; 27(1): 139
- Pandya V, Sutariya H: Post-renal transplantation *de novo* renal cell carcinoma in a middle-aged man. Int J Organ Transplant Med, 2016; 7(1): 51–56
- Banshodani M, Kawanishi H, Marubayashi S et al: *De novo* renal cell carcinoma in a kidney allograft 20 years after transplant. Case Rep Transplant, 2015; 2015: 679262
- 30. Ajabnoor R, Al-Sisi E, Zafer G, Al-Maghrabi J: Chromophobe renal cell carcinoma in renal allograft: Case report. Life Sci J, 2014; 11(7): 9–14
- Greco AJ, Baluarte JH, Meyers KE et al: Chromophobe renal cell carcinoma in a pediatric living-related kidney transplant recipient. Am J Kidney Dis, 2005; 45(6): e105–8
- Vasisth G, Kapoor A, Piercey K, Lambe S: Renal cell carcinoma in renal allograft: Case series and review of literature. Urol Ann, 2018; 10(2): 229–32
- 33. Ploussard G, Chambade D, Meria P et al: Biopsy-confirmed *de novo* renal cell carcinoma (RCC) in renal grafts: A single-centre management experience in a 2396 recipient cohort. BJU Int, 2012; 109(2): 195–99
- Tsui K-H, van Ophoven A, Shvarts O, Belldegrun A: Nephron-sparing surgery for renal cell carcinoma. Rev Urol, 1999; 1(4): 216–25
- 35. Leveridge M, Musquera M, Evans A et al: Renal cell carcinoma in the native and allograft kidneys of renal transplant recipients. J Urol, 2011; 186(1): 219–23
- Hong YA, Hwang HS, Sul HJ et al: Transitional cell carcinoma involving graft kidney in a kidney transplant recipient: A case report. BMC Nephrol, 2017; 18(1): 299