ORIGINAL RESEARCH Predictive Factors for Poor Outcomes Associated with COVID-19 in a Retrospective Cohort of Myasthenia Gravis Patients

Zhuajin Bi^{1,2}, Huajie Gao^{1,2}, Jing Lin^{1,2}, Mengcui Gui^{1,2}, Yue Li^{1,2}, Zhijun Li^{1,2}, Bitao Bu^{1,2}

Department of Neurology, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei Province, People's Republic of China; ²Hubei Key Laboratory of Neural Injury and Functional Reconstruction, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Zhijun Li, Department of Neurology, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei Province, People's Republic of China, Email lizhijun@tjh.tjmu.edu.cn; Bitao Bu, Email bubitao@tjh.tjmu.edu.cn

Purpose: To investigate the predictors for poor outcomes (including disease exacerbation, hospitalization and myasthenic crisis) in patients with pre-existing myasthenia gravis (MG) following Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), and to explore the potential effects of COVID-19 on inflammatory and immune responses in MG patients.

Patients and Methods: This retrospective cohort study analyzed medical records of 845 MG patients who were diagnosed with COVID-19 between January 2020 to March 2023 at a single medical center.

Results: Generalized MG at onset and comorbidities (chronic kidney disease and malignancy) were independent risk factors of poor outcomes. Patients achieving minimal manifestation or better status before COVID-19 had a significantly reduced risk for poor outcomes. Furthermore, patients with older onset age or anti-acetylcholine receptor antibody had a higher risk of exacerbation and hospitalization than those without. Prednisone or immunosuppressant treatment had the potential to reduce the occurrence of poor outcomes, while the duration of prednisone or immunosuppressant usage was associated with a higher risk of poor outcomes. Of the 376 MG patients with blood results available, patients with COVID-19 tended to have higher levels of leukocyte counts, neutrophillymphocyte-ratio, hypersensitive C-reactive protein, and Interleukin-6, as well as lower percentages of lymphocytes and regulatory T cells compared to patients without COVID-19.

Conclusion: Disease severity at onset, comorbidities, and unsatisfactory control of myasthenic symptoms predicted the occurrence of poor outcomes in MG patients following COVID-19. The risk of poor outcomes was reduced in patients controlled by short-term immunosuppressive therapy. Novel coronavirus might affect inflammatory and immune responses in MG patients, particularly in altering interleukin-6 and regulatory T cell levels.

Keywords: myasthenia gravis, COVID-19, poor outcomes, immunosuppressive treatment, immune responses

Introduction

Myasthenia gravis (MG) is an acquired autoimmune disorder of the neuromuscular junction mediated by pathogenic antibodies targeting acetylcholine receptors (AChR), muscle-specific kinase (MuSK), or lipoprotein-related protein 4 (LRP4).^{1,2} Clinically, it is characterized by fluctuating weakness and fatigability of skeletal muscles. The disease course of MG is highly variable, ranging from complete stable remission to relapse and even death. Despite therapeutic advances for the management of MG, approximately 34-69% of patients may experience recurrent exacerbations or even myasthenic crisis (MC) requiring repeated rescue with short-term intravenous immunoglobulin or plasma exchange treatments.¹⁻³ Importantly, infection (particularly respiratory ones) is thought to be the main contributor to MG exacerbations, accounting for 15-40% of cases and a 3-8% mortality rate.⁴⁻⁶

Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a contagious disorder caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection.⁷⁻⁹ It has been reported that patients with MG are more susceptible to COVID- 19 due to the weakened immune system and immunosuppressive treatments.^{9,10} In addition, several case reports demonstrated that patients with MG might face a particularly severe course of COVID-19 since infections could trigger MG exacerbation and even MC, which imposed a high disease burden on affected patients.^{11,12} Currently, although the therapeutic management of MG patients during the COVID-19 pandemic has been guided by expert consensus, predictors for poor outcomes (including disease exacerbation, hospitalization and MC) in MG patients following COVID-19 remain incompletely identified.^{13,14} Prognostic factors for poor outcomes can be useful for clinicians to predict which patients are at risk for a more severe and debilitating disease course during the COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, we conducted a retrospective analysis of 845 MG patients with COVID-19 to identify risk factors for disease exacerbation, hospitalization, and MC.

Materials and Methods

Patients and Study Design

This study was based on a retrospective observational analysis of a single center cohort from January 2020 to March 2023, examining clinical records of all in- and out-patients with MG who were diagnosed with COVID-19 and treated at the Tongji Hospital, one of the largest comprehensive medical treatment center of central China. The diagnosis of MG was based on the clinical history of fluctuating muscle weakness and the presence of one or more of the following: a positive test for anti-AChR and/or anti-MuSK antibodies, a decrement of greater than 10% on repetitive nerve stimulation (RNS), or an unequivocally positive response to cholinesterase inhibitors.^{3,15} All patients in our cohort were confirmed with COVID-19 by nasopharyngeal swab specimens through SARS-CoV-2-PCR positive nasopharyngeal swab.¹⁶ Suspected cases without established diagnosis (n = 873), with insufficient case documentation (less than 6 months of longitudinal documentation) (n = 285), or with insufficient baseline data (n = 146) were excluded. In addition, patients with negative COVID-19 (n = 1164) or new-onset MG within 14 days after COVID-19 (n = 15) were excluded. After that, a total of 845 MG patients with confirmed COVID-19 were enrolled in this study. Figure 1 depicts the selection procedure.



Figure I Study flowchart.

Abbreviations: Jan, January; Mar, March; MC, myasthenic crisis; MG, myasthenia gravis; COVID-19, coronavirus disease-2019; RT-PCR, reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction.

Data Acquisition

Bi et al

Demographics and clinical information were collected including age, sex, disease duration, concomitant diseases, signs and symptoms of COVID-19, the status of antibody (anti-AChR-ab, anti-MuSK-ab, anti-Titin-ab and anti-LRP4-ab) evaluated as previously described,³ results of RNS test, thymus type, the Myasthenia Gravis Foundation of America (MGFA) classification and MGFA postintervention status (MGFA-PIS),¹⁷ and MG specific medications (pyridostigmine, prednisone and/or immunosuppressant) before COVID-19. To be specific, the age ranges of disease onset for juvenile MG (JMG), early-onset MG (EOMG), and late-onset MG (LOMG) were less than 18 years, 18-49 years, and 50 years or older, respectively.¹⁸ The MGFA is graded 1–5 based on an overall assessment of the severity of symptoms and signs.^{3,17,19} Minimal manifestation status (MMS) was defined as no symptoms of functional limitations of MG but weakness of extraocular muscles only detectable by examination.²⁰ The thymus status was assessed and classified as normal (including atrophy, fatty and cystic), hyperplastic, or thymoma based on chest computed tomography scan and/or magnetic resonance imaging in non-thymectomized patients, and histologic examination in thymectomized patients.³ All chest images were reviewed by two senior radiologists. The cutoff between low-dose prednisone and high-dose prednisone was set at 0.25 mg/kg/d prednisone or equivalent methylprednisolone/prednisolone as previously defined.²¹ Patients who received prednisone or immunosuppressant treatment less than 1 year after diagnosis were classified as early prednisone or immunosuppressant, and those treated with prednisone or immunosuppressants for 1 year or more were classified as late prednisone or immunosuppressant.¹⁹ The vaccinated status was classified as "unvaccinated" or 'one or more vaccinations' before COVID-19.22

In addition, laboratory results of blood routine, infection-related biomarkers, inflammatory cytokines, immunoglobulins, complement proteins, and lymphocyte subsets were collected from MG patients with and without COVID-19 who were treated at our center between January 2020 and March 2023. All laboratory tests were conducted on admission and completed by the clinical laboratory of Tongji Hospital.

Definitions of Outcomes

The primary outcome was exacerbation and secondary outcomes were hospitalization and MC. The exacerbation associated with COVID-19 was defined as the reappearance or worsening of one or more MG symptoms or signs of muscle weakness within 2 weeks following a positive PCR test, with a minimum increase of QMG scores \geq 3 points from the previous visit, which lasted for more than 24 hours.^{3,5,23} Hospitalization to the medical center for exacerbation within 2 weeks following a positive PCR test was also considered a poor outcome. The MC was characterized by a rapid exacerbation of muscle weakness leading to respiratory failure requiring intubation and noninvasive ventilation.¹⁷

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or median (interquartile range, IQR) for continuous variables, and as absolute numbers and percentages (%) for categorical variables. Categorical variables were analyzed using chi-square or Fisher's exact test, while continuous variables using the Mann–Whitney-*U*-test or or unpaired *t*-test. To evaluate clinical factors that might affect the poor outcomes (including disease exacerbation, hospitalization, and MC), we performed univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses with outcome measures and adjusted odds ratios (OR), and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated. Furthermore, logistic regression analysis was used to investigate the differences in serum markers between MG patients with and without SARS-CoV-2 infection, adjusting for age, sex, disease duration, MGFA classification, comorbidities, thymoma, COVID-19 vaccination, prednisone, and immunosuppressant treatment. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS version 22.0 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA) and R version 4.0.4, and two-tailed p < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Baseline Characteristics and clinical Features of Participants

A total of 845 patients (median [IQR] age at onset: 40.0 [16.4, 54.9] years; 56.4% female) had received an MG diagnosis before being infected with COVID-19 (Table 1). Among these patients, 817 patients (96.7%) exhibited symptoms of

Characteristics	Total (n=845)
Sex	
Male	368 (43.6%)
Female	477 (56.4%)
Age at MG onset, y	40.0 (16.4, 54.9)
Juvenile MG (< 18 years)	216 (25.6%)
Early-onset MG (18–49 years)	341 (40.4%)
Late-onset MG (\geq 50 years)	288 (34.1%)
Age at the diagnosis of COVID-19. v	46.0 (28.0, 59.1)
Duration before COVID-19, v	4.0 (1.8, 9.3)
Chronic disease history	
Any	326 (38.6%)
Hypertension	120 (14 2%)
Diabotos	72 (9 5%)
Cardiovascular disease	72 (0.5%)
	JQ (3.0%)
Cerebrovascular disease	10 (2.1%)
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	17 (2.0%)
	20 (2.4%)
Chronic kidney disease	15 (1.8%)
Malignancy other than thymoma	25 (3.0%)
Other AID	148 (17.5%)
Symptoms at onset	
Ocular MG	548 (64.9%)
Generalized MG	297 (35.1%)
Maximum MGFA classification before COVID-19	
I	409 (48.4%)
IIA	99 (11.7%)
IIB	174 (20.6%)
IIIA	21 (2.5%)
IIIB	58 (6.9%)
IVA	6 (0.7%)
IVB	32 (3.8%)
V	46 (5.4%)
MGFA-PIS before COVID-19	
CSR	18 (2.1%)
PR	21 (2.5%)
MM	669 (79.2%)
Improved	76 (9.0%)
Unchanged	20 (2.4%)
Worse	14 (1.7%)
Exacerbation	27 (3.2%)
Neostigmine test (+)	802 (94.9%)
RNS (+)	278/416 (66.8%)
Antibody status	
Anti-AChR-ab (+)	631/814 (77 5%)
Anti-MuSK-ab (+)	18/772 (2 3%)
Anti-Titin-ab (+)	146/772 (2.3%)
$\Delta nti-I RP4-ab (+)$	1/604 (0.0%)
Thumus status*	1/004 (0.0%)
Nermal	E04 (/0 10/)
	384 (67.1%)
riyperpiasia Ti	/5 (8.9%)
l hymoma	186 (22.0%)

 Table I Demographics and Clinical Features of the Patients

(Continued)

Characteristics	Total (n=845)
Thymectomy before COVID-19	206 (24.4%)
Time from onset to thymectomy, m	5.0 (2.0, 15.0)
COVID-19 vaccination	466/669 (69.7%)
COVID-19 symptoms	817 (96.7%)
Fever	704 (83.3%)
Cough	464 (54.9%)
Vomiting or diarrhea	165 (19.5%)
Myalgia	156 (18.5%)
MG medications before COVID-19	
None	32 (3.8%)
Pyr	792 (93.7%)
Pre	549 (65.0%)
IS	443 (52.4%)
AZA	40 (9.0%)
MMF	50 (11.3%)
MTX	24 (5.4%)
TAC	322 (72.7%)
RTX	7 (1.6%)
Pre dosage before COVID-19, mg/day	10.0 (5.0, 10.0)
Low-dose Pre (< 0.25 mg/kg/d)	403 (73.4%)
High-dose Pre (≥ 0.25 mg/kg/d)	146 (26.6%)
Time from onset to Pre treatment, y	1.0 (0.2, 5.7)
Early Pre (< I year)	268 (48.8%)
Late Pre (≥ I year)	281 (51.2%)
Duration of Pre usage, y	1.8 (0.9, 3.1)
Time from onset to first IS treatment, y	2.2 (0.5, 7.6)
Early IS (< 1 year)	148 (33.4%)
Late IS (≥ I year)	295 (66.6%)
Duration of IS usage, y	1.8 (0.9, 3.2)
Outcomes, n (%)	
Exacerbation	153 (18.1%)
Hospitalization	80 (9.5%)
MC	30 (3.6%)

Table I (Continued).

Notes: Data are given as median (IQR), n (%), or n/N (%), where N is the total number of patients with available data.

*Thymus status was evaluated by chest radiographic examination in nonthymectomized patients and histologic examination in thymectomized patients. **Abbreviations:** AID, autoimmune disease; anti-AChR-ab, anti-acetylcholinereceptor antibody; anti-MuSK-ab, anti-muscle-specific kinase antibody; anti-LRP4ab, anti-low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 4 antibody; AZA, azathioprine; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; CSR, complete stable remission; IQR, interquartile range; IS, immunosuppressant; MC, myasthenic crisis; MG, myasthenia gravis; MGFA, myasthenia gravis foundation of America classification; MM, minimal manifestations; MMF, mycophenolate-mofetil; MTX, methotrexate; PIS, postintervention status; PR, pharmacologic remission; Pre, prednisone; Pyr, pyridostigmine; RNS, repetitive nerve stimulation; RTX, Rituximab; TAC, tacrolimus.

COVID-19 at the time of diagnosis. The common symptoms of COVID-19 were fever in 704 patients (83.3%), cough in 464 patients (54.9%), vomiting or diarrhea in 165 patients (19.5%), and myalgia in 156 patients (18.5%). COVID-19 vaccination status was available for 669 patients, and the total vaccination rate was 69.7%. The median (IQR) disease duration between disease onset and infection was 4.0 (1.8, 9.3) years. At the initial stage, 548 patients (64.9%) showed only ocular symptoms (MGFA class I), and 297 patients (35.1%) presented with generalized muscle weakness (MGFA

class II–V). Before contracting COVID-19, the maximum disease severity was classified as ocular MG (MGFA class I) in 409 patients (48.4%), mild MG (MGFA class II) in 273 patients (32.3%), and moderate to severe MG (MGFA class III–V) in 163 patients (19.3%). The percentage of patients with anti-AChR-ab positivity, anti-MuSK-ab positivity, anti-Titin-ab positivity, and anti-LRP4-ab positivity was 77.5%, 2.3%, 18.9%, and 0.0%, respectively.

More than half of the patients received immunosuppressive treatments before COVID-19, most commonly oral prednisone and tacrolimus. 549 patients (65.0%) received prednisone following diagnosis with a median (IQR) dosage of 10.0 (5.0, 10.0) mg. 443 patients (52.4%) received standard immunosuppressant treatment, including tacrolimus (n = 322), azathioprine (n = 40), mycophenolate mofetil (n = 50), methotrexate (n = 24) and rituximab (n = 7). The median (IQR) time between diagnosis and the start of the prednisone and IS was 1.0 (0.2, 5.7) and 2.2 (0.5, 7.6) years, respectively. Furthermore, there were 268 (48.8%) and 148 (33.4%) patients who received their first prednisone and IS less than 1 year after diagnosis and were classified as early prednisone or IS, respectively, while 281 (51.2%) and 295 (66.6%) patients who received prednisone and IS after 1 year or more and were considered as late prednisone or IS, respectively.

Predictors for Disease Exacerbation, Hospitalization and MC

Overall, 153 patients (18.1%) experienced a disease exacerbation of MG symptoms after COVID-19, of which 80 patients (9.5%) required hospitalization and 30 patients (3.6%) developed MC. The QMG scores for patients with acute exacerbations were significantly increased at the time of SARS-CoV-2 infection, with a mean QMG score of 11.2 ± 7.8 points (range = 3–24 points). To evaluate the potential risk factors for poor outcomes (including disease exacerbation, hospitalization, and MC), we first performed a binary logistic regression (seen in <u>Supplementary Table S1</u>). After that, we entered variables with p-value < 0.05 in univariate analysis into the multivariate logistic regression analysis to identify independent risk factors. The results showed that age at onset (OR = 1.013, 95% CI 1.002–1.026, *p* = 0.026), concomitant chronic kidney disease (OR = 4.576, 95% CI 1.320–15.868, *p* = 0.017), concomitant malignancy other than thymoma (OR = 3.006, 95% CI 1.199–7.541, *p* = 0.019), generalized disease at onset (OR = 1.683, 95% CI 1.037–2.733, *p* = 0.035), MMS or better status (OR = 0.130, 95% CI 0.082–0.206, *p* < 0.001), anti-AChR-ab (OR = 2.470, 95% CI 1.219–5.007, *p* = 0.012) and anti-MuSK-ab (OR = 5.077, 95% CI 1.405–18.347, *p* = 0.013) were independent predictors for disease exacerbation (Table 2). Multivariate analysis of independent predictors for hospitalization identified that age at onset (OR = 1.025, 95% CI 1.009–1.041, *p* = 0.002), concomitant chronic kidney disease (OR = 5.768, 95% CI 1.635–20.344, *p* = 0.006), concomitant malignancy other than thymoma (OR = 3.412, 95% CI 1.202–9.686, *p* = 0.021),

Variables	OR (95% CI)	P Value
Exacerbation		
Age at onset, y	1.013 (1.002, 1.026)	0.026
Sex (Male)	0.747 (0.487, 1.147)	0.183
Hypertension	0.977 (0.532, 1.795)	0.940
Diabetes	1.892 (0.973, 3.680)	0.060
Cardiovascular disease	1.466 (0.556, 3.867)	0.440
Cerebrovascular disease	1.752 (0.580, 5.293)	0.320
Chronic kidney disease	4.576 (1.320, 15.868)	0.017
Malignancy other than thymoma	3.006 (1.199, 7.541)	0.019
Generalized disease at onset	1.683 (1.037, 2.733)	0.035
Maximum MGFA classification*	0.922 (0.748, 1.136)	0.446
MMS or better status [#]	0.130 (0.082, 0.206)	< 0.001
Anti-AChR-ab (+)	2.470 (1.219, 5.007)	0.012
Anti-MuSK-ab (+)	5.077 (1.405, 18.347)	0.013

 Table 2 Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis for the Risk

 Factors for Disease Exacerbation, Hospitalization, and MC

(Continued)

		
Variables	OR (95% CI)	P Value
Hospitalization		
Age at onset, y	1.025 (1.009, 1.041)	0.002
Sex (Male)	0.706 (0.410, 1.215)	0.208
Hypertension	0.606 (0.288, 1.274)	0.186
Diabetes	1.888 (0.864, 4.129)	0.111
Cardiovascular disease	1.740 (0.593, 5.105)	0.313
Cerebrovascular disease	2.564 (0.775, 8.488)	0.123
Chronic kidney disease	5.768 (1.635, 20.344)	0.006
Malignancy other than thymoma	3.412 (1.202, 9.686)	0.021
Generalized disease at onset	2.005 (1.124, 3.575)	0.018
Maximum MGFA classification*	1.033 (0.814, 1.312)	0.788
MMS or better status [#]	0.142 (0.083, 0.246)	< 0.001
Anti-AChR-ab (+)	2.346 (1.008, 5.464)	0.048
мс		
Age at onset, y	1.019 (0.995, 1.043)	0.122
Sex (Male)	1.155 (0.512, 2.604)	0.728
Hypertension	0.804 (0.277, 2.335)	0.689
Diabetes	1.105 (0.315, 3.875)	0.876
Cardiovascular disease	3.001 (0.768, 11.720)	0.114
Cerebrovascular disease	1.188 (0.205, 6.868)	0.848
Chronic kidney disease	5.964 (1.314, 27.070)	0.021
Malignancy other than thymoma	4.944 (1.227, 19.921)	0.025
Generalized disease at onset	2.957 (1.175, 7.443)	0.021
Maximum MGFA classification*	1.015 (0.703, 1.466)	0.936
MMS or better status [#]	0.217 (0.096, 0.488)	< 0.001
COVID-19 vaccination	0.568 (0.313, 1.030)	0.062

Table 2 (Continued).
-----------	-------------

Notes: Variables with a p-value < 0.05 in the univariate analysis and clinically relevant variables (sex, age) were included in the multivariate analysis. For highly collinear factors (age at onset, age at diagnosis of COVID-19, and juvenile onset) we included only one variable to avoid overfitting. A p-value below 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistically significant results are bold. *For regression analysis of MGFA classes II to IV, MGFA classes A and B were combined to allow for statistical analysis. Therefore, analysis is limited to MGFA classes without distinguishing the distribution of muscle weakness. [#]For regression analysis of MGFA-PIS, "MMS or better" status includes complete stable remission, pharmacologic remission, and minimal manifestations status.

Abbreviations: anti-AChR-ab, anti-acetylcholine-receptor antibody; anti-MuSKab, anti-muscle-specific kinase antibody; CI, confidence interval; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; MC, myasthenic crisis; MG, myasthenia gravis; MGFA, myasthenia gravis foundation of America classification; MMS, minimal manifestations status; OR, odds ratio; PIS, postintervention status.

generalized disease at onset (OR = 2.005, 95% CI 1.124–3.575, p = 0.018), MMS or better status (OR = 0.142, 95% CI 0.083–0.246, p < 0.001) and anti-AChR-ab (OR = 2.346, 95% CI 1.008–5.464, p = 0.048) as independent risk factors. Furthermore, multivariate analysis revealed concomitant chronic kidney disease (OR = 5.964, 95% CI 1.314–27.070, p = 0.021), concomitant malignancy other than thymoma (OR = 4.944, 95% CI 1.227–19.921, p = 0.025), generalized disease at onset (OR = 2.957, 95% CI 1.175–7.443, p = 0.021), and MMS or better status (OR = 0.217, 95% CI 0.096–0.488, p < 0.001) predicted the occurrence of MC as independent risk factors (Table 2).

In addition, we investigated whether therapeutic management of MG influences poor outcomes during SARS-CoV-2 infection. We first tested the effects of different treatment modalities (none medication, pyridoxamine alone, and a combination of pyridostigmine, low-dose/high-dose prednisone, and IS) on the occurrence of exacerbation, hospitalization, and MC. After adjusting for confounding factors, including age at onset, sex, chronic comorbidities, symptoms at onset, MGFA classification, MGFA-PIS, the status of anti-AChR-ab and anti-MuSK-ab, our data showed that none

medication (OR = 13.717, 95% CI 4.824–39.003, p < 0.001) was an independent risk factor for exacerbation, while pyridostigmine alone was an independent risk factor for exacerbation and hospitalization (exacerbation: OR = 2.144, 95% CI 1.228–3.743, p = 0.007, hospitalization: OR = 2.525, 95% CI 1.197–5.325, p = 0.015) (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table S2). However, the risk for exacerbation (OR = 0.410, 95% CI 0.190–0.885, p = 0.023) and hospitalization (OR = 0.245, 95% CI 0.067–0.888, p = 0.032) were reduced for patients receiving pyridostigmine and IS compared with those who did not. To further dissect the importance of therapeutic management, we analyzed the effects of prednisone or IS dosage, duration of drug maintenance, and the timespan between disease onset and drug administration on poor outcomes. In the group of prednisone-treated patients, the use of prednisone had the potential to reduce the occurrence of exacerbation (OR = 0.635, 95% CI 0.410–0.982, p = 0.041), while the duration of prednisone usage was associated with a higher risk of exacerbation (OR = 1.236, 95% CI 1.102–1.388, p < 0.001) and hospitalization (OR = 0.443, 95% CI 0.278–0.705, p = 0.001). Similarly, the use of IS predicted the reduction of the risk of exacerbation (OR = 0.379, 95% CI 0.149–0.965, p = 0.042), while the duration of IS usage was associated with a higher risk of exacerbation (OR = 0.387, 95% CI 0.209–0.715, p = 0.002) and MC (OR = 0.379, 95% CI 0.149–0.965, p = 0.042), while the duration of IS usage was associated with a higher risk of exacerbation (OR = 0.387, 95% CI 1.191–1.883, p = 0.001), hospitalization (OR = 1.498, 95% CI 1.191–1.883, p = 0.001) and MC (OR = 1.433, 95% CI 1.021–2.011, p = 0.037).

Dysregulation of Inflammatory and Immune Response in MG Patients with COVID-19

A total of 376 MG patients with blood routine results available were divided into the uninfected group (n=273) and the infected group (n=103). It is important to note that this sample was different from the original sample as it consisted of

Subgroup	Ν	Exacerbation	P value	Hospitalization	P value	MC	P value
Treatment modalities				1		l I	
None	32		→ <0.001	l →	0.333	l	0.957
Pyr	132	\longrightarrow	0.007	$ \longrightarrow $	0.015	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.055
Pre and/or IS	21	_	0.913	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.202	NA	0.998
Pyr+Low-dose Pre	180		0.475	l→	0.146	I→	0.297
Pyr+High-dose Pre	57	_	0.890	·•	0.484	·	0.736
Pyr+IS	121		0.023	- -	0.032	¦ ∎ →	0.210
Pyr+low-dose Pre+IS	215		0.224		0.266		0.261
Pyr+high-dose Pre+IS	87		0.450	_ →	0.380	_ →	0.790
Pre treatment						1	
The use of Pre	549		0.041		0.596	¦→	0.710
Pre dosage, mg/day	-	+	0.581	-	0.801	-	0.321
High−dose Pre (≥ 0.25 mg/kg/d)	146	_	0.960		0.252	! _ →	0.963
Time from onset to Pre treatment, y	-	+	0.800	-	0.272	i	0.476
Early Pre (< 1 year)	268	_	0.980		0.535	! ∎→	0.604
Duration of Pre usage, y	-		<0.001		<0.001	_	0.196
IS treatment							
The use of IS	443		0.001		0.002		0.042
Time from onset to IS treatment, y	-	-	0.208	-	0.283		0.235
Early IS (< 1 year)	148	∎ →	0.780	 _	0.585		0.354
Duration of IS usage, y	-	_ →	<0.001		0.001		0.037
		0 0.2 0.5 1 1.5 Odds ratio		0 0.2 0.5 1 1.5 Odds ratio		0 0.2 0.5 1 1.5 Odds ratio	

Figure 2 Adjusted odds ratio of therapeutic management for poor outcomes following COVID-19 infection in patients with MG.

Notes: The likelihood ratio test was used for statistical analysis of possible risk factors in logistic regression. OR and 95% CI were adjusted for sex, age at onset, hypertension, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, cerebrovascular disease, chronic kidney disease, malignancy other than thymoma, symptoms at onset, MGFA classification, MGFA-PIS before COVID-19, anti-AChR and anti-MuSK-ab status. A p-value below 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistically significant results are bold. NA: Estimates are unreliable due to the small number of observations.

older patients with more comorbidities (Supplementary Table S3). Compared with the uninfected group, most of the patients in the infected group tended to have higher leukocyte (7.4 vs 6.5×10^9 , p = 0.001) and neutrophil (4.9 vs 3.8×10^9 , p < 0.001) counts, higher neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) (3.0 vs 2.1, p < 0.001), lower lymphocytes counts (1.5 vs 1.8×10^9 , p < 0.001), as well as lower percentages eosinophils (0.6 vs 1.5%, p < 0.001) and basophils (0.2 vs 0.3%, p < 0.001) (Supplementary Table S4), which was further confirmed by logistic regression models (Table 3). Infection-related biomarkers and inflammatory cytokines were also elevated in infected patients than the uninfected ones, including hypersensitive C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) (3.0 vs 0.8 mg/mL, p < 0.001), procalcitonin (0.1 vs 0.05 ng/mL, p = 0.004), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (11.0 vs 6.0 mL/h, p = 0.006), interleukin (IL)-2 β (434.0 vs 343.0 U/mL, p = 0.004), and IL-6 (3.6 vs 2.0 pg/mL, p = 0.003). Furthermore, immunoglobulin M decreased significantly in the infected patients (0.9 vs 1.1 g/L, p = 0.042). Among patients who underwent lymphocyte subsets test on admission, the total percentage of T cells, B cells, NK cells, and the percentage of regulatory T (Treg) cells (CD3⁺CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127^{low+}) in the infected group were significantly lower than those in the uninfected group.

Variables	Logistic Regression Models*			
	OR (95% CI)	P value		
Blood routine (n)				
Leucocytes, ×10 ⁹ /L	1.117 (1.004, 1.242)	0.042		
Neutrophils,×10 ⁹ /L	1.202 (1.072, 1.348)	0.002		
Neutrophil percentage, %	1.085 (1.051, 1.120)	< 0.001		
Lymphocytes, ×10 ⁹ /L	0.431 (0.268, 0.694)	0.001		
Lymphocyte percentage, %	0.912 (0.878, 0.947)	< 0.001		
Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio	1.154 (1.047, 1.271)	0.004		
Monocytes,×10 ⁹ /L	1.642 (0.401, 6.720)	0.490		
Monocyte percentage, %	0.893 (0.770, 1.037)	0.138		
Eosinophils, ×10 ⁹ /L	0.005 (0.000, 0.264)	0.009		
Eosinophil percentage, %	0.639 (0.480, 0.849)	0.002		
Basophils, 10 ⁹ /L	0.000 (0.000, 24.848)	0.089		
Basophil percentage, %	0.065 (0.010, 0.418)	0.004		
Infection-related biomarkers				
hs-CRP, mg/L (n=132/63) [#]	1.017 (1.003, 1.032)	0.020		
PCT, ng/mL (n=73/38) [#]	0.954 (0.785, 1.159)	0.633		
ESR, mm/h (n=99/53) [#]	1.022 (0.993, 1.052)	0.133		
Globulin, g/L (n=219/84) [#]	1.030 (0.981, 1.082)	0.232		
LDH, g/L (n=216/89) [#]	1.005 (1.000, 1.010)	0.058		
Inflammatory cytokines (n)				
Interleukin-Iβ, pg/mL	1.028 (0.934, 1.131)	0.576		
Interleukin-2 β , U/mL	1.002 (1.000, 1.004)	0.122		
Interleukin-6, pg/mL	1.148 (1.046, 1.259)	0.004		
Interleukin-8, pg/mL	1.002 (0.999, 1.005)	0.262		
Interleukin-10, pg/mL	1.128 (0.813, 1.565)	0.472		
Tumor necrosis factor-α, pg/mL	1.016 (0.990, 1.043)	0.234		
Immune-related factors (n)				
Immunoglobulin A, g/L	0.928 (0.569, 1.516)	0.767		
Immunoglobulin G, g/L	0.962 (0.829, 1.117)	0.614		
Immunoglobulin M, g/L	0.770 (0.234, 2.538)	0.668		
Complement C3, g/L	0.306 (0.005, 17.325)	0.565		
Complement C4, g/L	4.153 (0.003, 6379.754)	0.223		

Table	3	Adjusted	Odds	Ratio	and	95%	Confidence	Interval	of
Labora	tor	y Factors f	or CO	/ID-19	Infec	tion			

(Continued)

Variables	Logistic Regression Models*		
	OR (95% CI)	P value	
Lymphocyte subsets (n)			
T cells+B cells+NK cells %	0.197 (0.056, 0.686)	0.011	
T cells+B cells+NK cells /ul	1.000 (0.999, 1.001)	0.624	
T cells (CD3 ⁺ CD19 ⁻) %	0.930 (0.860, 1.006)	0.068	
T cells (CD3 ⁺ CD19 ⁻) /ul	1.000 (0.999, 1.001)	0.454	
B cells (CD3 ⁻ CD19 ⁺) %	1.023 (0.908, 1.153)	0.710	
B cells (CD3 ⁻ CD19 ⁺) /ul	1.000 (0.997, 1.003)	0.940	
NK cells (CD3 ⁻ CD16 ⁺ CD56 ⁺) %	1.087 (0.992, 1.191)	0.073	
NK cells (CD3 ⁻ CD16 ⁺ CD56 ⁺) /ul	1.003 (0.998, 1.008)	0.264	
Th cells (CD3 ⁺ CD4 ⁺) %	0.985 (0.918, 1.056)	0.666	
Th cells (CD3 ⁺ CD4 ⁺) /ul	1.000 (0.999, 1.001)	0.829	
Ts cells (CD3 ⁺ CD8 ⁺) %	0.953 (0.881, 1.032)	0.237	
Ts cells (CD3 ⁺ CD8 ⁺) /ul	0.999 (0.996, 1.001)	0.290	
Th/Ts ratio	1.122 (0.576, 2.186)	0.735	
Naïve Th cells (CD45RA⁺Th)/Th %	0.995 (0.954, 1.037)	0.804	
Memory Th cells (CD45RO ⁺ Th)/Th %	1.005 (0.964, 1.048)	0.804	
CD28 ⁺ Th cells/Th %	0.918 (0.840, 1.004)	0.062	
CD28 ⁺ Ts cells/Th %	0.974 (0.926, 1.025)	0.313	
Activated T cells (HLA-DR $^+$ T) %	1.041 (0.948, 1.143)	0.400	
Activated Ts cells (HLA-DR $^+$ Ts)/Ts %	1.042 (0.995, 1.090)	0.083	
Treg cells (CD4 ⁺ CD25 ⁺ CD127 ^{low-}) %	0.279 (0.117, 0.664)	0.004	
Naïve Treg cells (CD45RA ⁺ Treg) %	0.087 (0.011, 0.699)	0.022	
Induced Treg cells (CD45RO ⁺ Treg) %	0.350 (0.143, 0.857)	0.022	

 Table 3 (Continued).

Notes: *Logistic regression models were adjusted for age, sex, COVID-19 vaccination, chronic disease history, thymoma, MGFA classification, anti-AChR and anti-MuSK-ab status, prednisone, and immunosuppressant treatment. A p-value below 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistically significant results are bold. [#]Data are given as n/N, where n and N are the total number of patients with available data in the uninfected group and infected group, respectively.

Abbreviations: anti-AChR-ab, anti-acetylcholine-receptor antibody; anti-MuSK-ab, antimuscle-specific kinase antibody; CI, confidence interval; ESR, Erythrocyte sedimentation rate; hs-CRP, hypersensitive C-reactive protein; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; MG, myasthenia gravis; MGFA, myasthenia gravis foundation of America classification; NK cells, natural killer cells; OR, odds ratio; PCT, procalcitonin; Th cells, helper T cells; Treg, regulatory T; Ts cells, suppressor T cells.

Moreover, both naïve (CD45RA⁺ CD3⁺CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127^{low+}) and induced Treg cells (CD45RO⁺ CD3⁺CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127^{low+}) decreased significantly in the infected group compared with the uninfected group. In logistic regression models, inflammatory and immune markers, including hs-CRP (OR = 1.017, 95% CI 1.003–1.032, p = 0.020), IL-6 (OR = 1.148, 95% CI 1.046–1.259, p = 0.001), and the percentage of Treg cells (OR = 0.279, 95% CI 0.117–0.664, p = 0.004) were significantly associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with MG after adjusting for confounding factors.

Discussion

Previously many studies have focused on the therapeutic management of MG during the COVID-19 pandemic, however, the aggravating effects of COVID-19 on the disease course of MG have not been well addressed.^{10,13,24} This study aimed to identify patients at risk for poor outcomes (exacerbation, hospitalization, and MC) during COVID-19 through analysis of the large cohort of 845 MG patients with COVID-19. In previous observational studies,^{7,10,11} COVID-19 was noted to be more benign in the majority of MG patients, and a possible reason for the more benign course in the majority of MG patients at the time of SARS-CoV-2 infection are well controlled. Our

results indicated that nearly 18.1% of MG patients with COVID-19 experienced disease exacerbations, slightly higher than the 10–15% reported in the previous literature.^{9–11} We also revealed that patients achieving MMS or better status were at a reduced risk for poor outcomes than those who did not. In addition, disease severity at onset was identified as an important predictive factor for poor outcomes in our cohort. Based on our observations, treatment strategies should be tailored to the disease status and severity of initial symptoms potentially reducing the likelihood for poor outcomes.

Our data showed that fever and cough were the most common presenting symptoms in patients with MG following SARS-CoV-2 infection, similar to those reported in non-myasthenic patients.^{8,16,25} Furthermore, the symptoms of COVID-19 did not dramatically influence the MG course in our cohort. Meanwhile, available data implicate that there is no association between COVID-19 severity and risk of MG worsening, as even mild or subclinical COVID-19 cases may trigger exacerbation of MG.^{6,26} Data regarding the effect and safety of COVID-19 vaccines in the context of MG is debated.^{6,27,28} Many studies indicated vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 showed good short-term safety and could prevent severe COVID-19 in vulnerable patients.^{27,28} Our results indicated a relatively low vaccination coverage rate of 69.7%, which might contribute to an increase in the occurrence of poor outcomes in MG patients following COVID-19. In addition, patients with MC in our cohort had a lower vaccination rate than those without (50.0% vs 70.5%, χ^2 test, P =0.026), which was similar to the study by Lupica A et al, suggesting that MC was more common in unvaccinated patients.²⁸ We also aimed to assess the effect of pre-COVID-19 vaccination status on poor outcomes of MG disease following SARS-CoV-2 infection. However, we did not find a significant association between COVID-19 vaccination status and poor outcomes, which required further validation in prospective cohort studies. More importantly, we identified anti-AChR-ab positivity as an independent risk factor for poor outcomes of disease exacerbation, which was consistent with previous studies.^{19,29} This can be interpreted as the possibility that antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 protein may cross-react with AChR subunits due to epitope homology.³⁰

It has been reported that COVID-19 is more likely to influence the disease course in the elderly and those with underlying comorbidities and immunologic deficiencies.^{16,31} Corroborating previous studies,¹⁰ age at onset was identified as a easily accessible and reliable predictor for poor outcomes of disease exacerbation and hospitalization following COVID-19. Of all comorbidities observed in our cohort, the comorbidities renal and oncologic were identified to be the risk factors for poor outcomes in MG patients with COVID-19, suggesting that more severe immune dysregulation and prolonged inflammation in this fragile subgroup of patients.^{5,25} Similar findings have also been published in non-myasthenic patients.²⁵ A recent study by Di Stefano et al suggested that respiratory disorders and thymoma were more common in MG patients with more severe disease.³² These results suggest that comorbidities may be associated with the worsening course of MG, regardless of the presence or absence of COVID-19. Therefore, myasthenic patients with more comorbidities should receive intensified disease monitoring to recognize and prevent the occurrence of poor outcomes.

Currently, the role of immunosuppressive therapy on the course of MG disease during the COVID-19 pandemic remains controversial.⁹ Some reports have suggested that immunotherapy may exert a protective effect in MG patients by reducing the dramatic upregulation of inflammatory cytokines during COVID-19,^{8,10} while others suggested that corticosteroid treatment before the diagnosis of COVID-19 or during COVID-19 was associated with a higher risk of mortality in MG patients.³³ Our data revealed that the use of prednisone or immunosuppressants before COVID-19 was effective in reducing the occurrence of disease exacerbation in MG during COVID-19 infection, while the prednisone dosage displayed no association with the outcomes. It is important to note that while prednisone may be safe and effective in the beginning, it should be tapered off in combination with immunosuppressants during long-term follow-up to avoid adverse reactions.^{1,17,21} In line with Jakubíková et al,⁵ we found the duration of prednisone or immunosuppressant usage was associated with a higher risk of poor outcomes, which might be related to the immune instability of these patients requiring maintenance of immunotherapy. Taken together, we do not recommend reducing or even discontinuing these immunosuppressive agents in MG patients well-controlled by short-term immunotherapy.

Infections have been documented to stimulate the immune system, potentially triggering autoimmune responses or exacerbating the course of MG.³⁴ For example, Epstein-Barr virus has the capacity to promote abnormal activation and survival of B-lymphocytes to induce MG disease.³⁵ With the emergence of COVID-19, the relationship between infections and MG has gained renewed attention. In this study, a significantly elevated neutrophil count and decreased

lymphocyte count (ie the increase of NLR) were found in the infected group compared to the uninfected group. Furthermore, MG patients with COVID-19 had a significantly higher level of serum IL-6 than those without. These findings have been similarly observed in the general population infected with COVID-19.^{16,36,37} It is worth noting that pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-6 might also play an important role in the pathophysiology of MG.³⁸ In addition, T lymphocyte damage is involved in the pathological process of COVID-19.^{16,37} In our cohort, although the number of peripheral blood CD3⁺CD4⁺ T lymphocytes in infected patients tended to be lower than that in uninfected patients, the difference did not reach statistical significance (P = 0.076). More importantly, we noted a significantly lower percentage of Treg cells (including naïve and induced Treg cells) in MG patients with COVID-19 than those without. It is well-known that Treg plays an important role maintenance of self-tolerance and immune homeostasis, and Treg deficiency is involved in the development of MG.³ Overall, these findings suggest that infections may regulate lymphocytes and their cytokine signaling to induce pro-inflammatory and dysregulated immune responses, thereby mediating the pathological process of MG disease.^{24,34} Further researches are essential to deepen our understanding of how various infections, including but not limited to COVID-19, affect the course of MG.

There were several limitations to our study that should be acknowledged. Firstly, the study was limited to a singlecenter and retrospective design. Nevertheless, our cohort represents one of the largest case series of MG patients with COVID-19 and provides interesting results. Second, although accurate and objective information was obtained through interviews with professional neurologists, the effect of COVID-19 on the course of MG patients may be exaggerated because some asymptomatic or mild cases may not attend hospitals. Third, given the difficulty in distinguishing between the effects of the infection and the MG worsening in severely ill patients with respiratory failure,^{24,39} it is more likely in these cases that the predictors of MC will be used to predict a life-threatening condition requiring intensive care and respiratory support in MG patients with COVID-19. Finally, co-infection with bacteria or superinfection might potentially affect the results of the immune response in MG patients with COVID-19, a larger cohort would be better to assess the temporal change in immune response after SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our study suggests COVID-19 did not dramatically influence the course of MG disease in the majority of patients with MG, especially in those with few comorbidities, ocular MG at onset, and satisfactory control of MG before infection. MC was more frequent among unvaccinated patients with MG. However, being unvaccinated was not significantly associated with poor outcomes after adjusting for confounding factors. Myasthenic patients should continue their immunosuppressive therapy in the current pandemic. SARS-CoV-2 may mediate inflammatory and immune responses in MG patients, particularly in regulating the levels of interleukin-6 and Treg cells.

Abbreviations

anti-AChR-ab, anti-acetylcholine receptor antibody; anti-LRP4-ab, anti-lipoprotein-related protein 4 antibody; anti-MuSK-ab, anti-muscle-specific kinase-ab; CI, confidence intervals; COVID-19, Coronavirus Disease 2019; ESR, Erythrocyte sedimentation rate; hs-CRP, hypersensitive C-reactive protein; IL, interleukin; IQR, interquartile range; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; MC, myasthenic crisis; MG, myasthenia gravis; MGFA, myasthenia gravis foundation of America classification; MMS, minimal manifestation status; NK, natural killer; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; OR, odds ratios; PCT, procalcitonin; PIS, postintervention status; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; Treg, regulatory T.

Data Sharing Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Ethics Approval and Informed Consent

The study was approved by the Committee of Clinical Investigation at Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan China (NO. TJ-IRB202404125), and the protocol was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. A waiver of informed consent was granted by the ethics

committees due to a retrospective design of deidentified data and a minimal risk involved. The data presented in this study do not allow for the identification of individuals. We are committed to ensuring the privacy and confidentiality of the subjects, or their anonymity, throughout the entire research process.

Acknowledgments

The authors cordially thank the participants and their families, as well as the support from Hangzhou Zhongmei Huadong Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. Gilhus NE, Verschuuren JJ. Myasthenia gravis: Subgroup classification and therapeutic strategies. *Lancet Neurol.* 2015;14(10):1023–1036. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(15)00145-3
- 2. Mao ZF, Mo XA, Qin C, Lai YR, Olde Hartman TC. Course and prognosis of myasthenia gravis: A systematic review. *Eur J Neurol*. 2010;17 (7):913–921.
- 3. Bi Z, Zhan J, Zhang Q, et al. Clinical and immune-related factors associated with exacerbation in adults with well-controlled generalized myasthenia gravis. *Front Immunol.* 2023;14:1177249. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2023.1177249
- 4. Gummi RR, Kukulka NA, Deroche CB, Govindarajan R. Factors associated with acute exacerbations of myasthenia gravis. *Muscle Nerve*. 2019;60 (6):693–699. doi:10.1002/mus.26689
- Jakubíková M, Týblová M, Tesař A, et al. Predictive factors for a severe course of COVID-19 infection in myasthenia gravis patients with an overall impact on myasthenic outcome status and survival. *Eur J Neurol*. 2021;28(10):3418–3425. doi:10.1111/ene.14951
- Sansone G, Bonifati DM. Vaccines and myasthenia gravis: A comprehensive review and retrospective study of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in a large cohort of myasthenic patients. J Neurol. 2022;269(8):3965–3981. doi:10.1007/s00415-022-11140-9
- 7. Gungor Tuncer O, Deymeer F. Clinical course and outcome of an outpatient clinic population with myasthenia gravis and COVID-19. *Muscle Nerve*. 2022;65(4):447–452. doi:10.1002/mus.27497
- 8. Emmi G, Bettiol A, Mattioli I, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection among patients with systemic autoimmune diseases. Autoimmun Rev. 2020;19 (7):102575. doi:10.1016/j.autrev.2020.102575
- 9. Morawiec N, Adamczyk B, Adamczyk-Sowa M. COVID-19 and autoimmune diseases of the nervous system an update. *Neurol Neurochir Pol.* 2023;57(1):77–89. doi:10.5603/PJNNS.a2023.0008
- 10. Businaro P, Vaghi G, Marchioni E, et al. COVID-19 in patients with myasthenia gravis: Epidemiology and disease course. *Muscle Nerve*. 2021;64 (2):206–211. doi:10.1002/mus.27324
- 11. Rodrigues CL, de Freitas HC, Lima PRO, et al. Myasthenia gravis exacerbation and myasthenic crisis associated with COVID-19: Case series and literature review. *Neurol Sci.* 2022;43(4):2271–2276. doi:10.1007/s10072-021-05823-w
- 12. Singh S, Govindarajan R. COVID-19 and generalized Myasthenia Gravis exacerbation: A case report. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg.* 2020;196:106045. doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2020.106045
- Jacob S, Muppidi S. International MG/COVID-19 Working Group, Guidance for the management of myasthenia gravis (MG) and Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome (LEMS) during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Neurol Sci. 412;2020:116803. doi:10.1016/j.jns.2020.116803
- 14. Costamagna G, Abati E, Bresolin N, Comi GP, Corti S. Management of patients with neuromuscular disorders at the time of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. J Neurol. 2021;268(5):1580–1591. doi:10.1007/s00415-020-10149-2
- Bi Z, Cao Y, Liu C, et al. Remission and relapses of myasthenia gravis on long-term tacrolimus: A retrospective cross-sectional study of a Chinese cohort. *Ther Adv Chronic Dis.* 2022;13:20406223221122538. doi:10.1177/20406223221122538
- 16. Qin C, Zhou L, Hu Z, et al. Dysregulation of immune response in patients with coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) in Wuhan, China. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020;71(15):762–768. doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa248
- 17. Sanders DB, Wolfe GI, Benatar M, et al. International consensus guidance for management of myasthenia gravis: Executive summary. *Neurology*. 2016;87(4):419–425. doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000002790
- Verwijst J, Westerberg E, Punga AR. Cancer in myasthenia gravis subtypes in relation to immunosuppressive treatment and acetylcholine receptor antibodies: A Swedish nationwide register study. *Eur J Neurol.* 2021;28(5):1706–1715. doi:10.1111/ene.14730
- 19. Nelke C, Stascheit F, Eckert C, et al. Independent risk factors for myasthenic crisis and disease exacerbation in a retrospective cohort of myasthenia gravis patients. J Neuroinflammation. 2022;19(1):89. doi:10.1186/s12974-022-02448-4
- 20. Jaretzki A 3rd, Barohn RJ, Ernstoff RM, et al. Myasthenia gravis: recommendations for clinical research standards. task force of the medical scientific advisory board of the myasthenia gravis foundation of America task force of the medical scientific advisory board of the myasthenia gravis foundation of America. *Neurology*. 2000;55(1):16–23. doi:10.1212/wnl.55.1.16
- 21. Zhang C, Bu B, Yang H, et al. Immunotherapy choice and maintenance for generalized myasthenia gravis in China. CNS Neurosci Ther. 2020;26 (12):1241–1254. doi:10.1111/cns.13468
- 22. Cheng WJ, Shih HM, Su KP, Hsueh PR. Risk factors for poor COVID-19 outcomes in patients with psychiatric disorders. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2023;114:255–261. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2023.08.024
- Rzepiński Ł, Zawadka-Kunikowska M. COVID-19 pandemic year in a sample of Polish myasthenia gravis patients: An observational study. Neurol Neurochir Pol. 2022;56(1):61–67. doi:10.5603/PJNNS.a2021.0054
- 24. Delly F, Syed MJ, Lisak RP, Zutshi D. Myasthenic crisis in COVID-19. J Neurol Sci. 2020;414:116888. doi:10.1016/j.jns.2020.116888

- 25. Guan WJ, Liang WH, Zhao Y, et al. Comorbidity and its impact on 1590 patients with COVID-19 in China: A nationwide analysis. *Eur Respir J*. 2020;55(5):2000547. doi:10.1183/13993003.00547-2020
- 26. Finsterer J, Scorza FA. Perspectives of Neuro-COVID: Myasthenia. Front Neurol. 2021;12:635747. doi:10.3389/fneur.2021.635747
- 27. Farina A, Falso S, Cornacchini S, et al. Safety and tolerability of SARS-Cov-2 vaccination in patients with myasthenia gravis: A multicenter experience. *Eur. J Neurol.* 2022;29(8):2505–2510.
- Lupica A, Di Stefano V, Iacono S, et al. Impact of COVID-19 in AChR myasthenia gravis and the safety of vaccines: Data from an Italian cohort. Neurol Int. 2022;14(2):406–416. doi:10.3390/neurolint14020033
- 29. König N, Stetefeld HR, Dohmen C, et al. MuSK-antibodies are associated with worse outcome in myasthenic crisis requiring mechanical ventilation. *J Neurol.* 2021;268(12):4824–4833. doi:10.1007/s00415-021-10603-9
- 30. Restivo DA, Centonze D, Alesina A, Marchese-Ragona R. Myasthenia gravis associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Ann Intern Med. 2020;173 (12):1027–1028. doi:10.7326/L20-0845
- 31. Singhal T. A review of coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19). Indian J Pediatr. 2020;87(4):281-286. doi:10.1007/s12098-020-03263-6
- 32. Di Stefano V, Iacono S, Militello M, et al. Comorbidity in myasthenia gravis: Multicentric, hospital-based, and controlled study of 178 Italian patients. *Neurol Sci.* 2024;45(7):3481–3494. doi:10.1007/s10072-024-07368-0
- 33. Abbas AS, Hardy N, Ghozy S, et al. Characteristics, treatment, and outcomes of myasthenia gravis in COVID-19 patients: A systematic review. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg*. 2022;213:107140. doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2022.107140
- 34. Gilhus NE, Romi F, Hong Y, Skeie GO. Myasthenia gravis and infectious disease. J Neurol. 2018;265(6):1251–1258. doi:10.1007/s00415-018-8751-9
- Morandi E, Jagessar SA, 't Hart BA, Gran B. EBV infection empowers human b cells for autoimmunity: Role of autophagy and relevance to multiple sclerosis. J Immunol. 2017;199(2):435–448. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1700178
- 36. Di Spigna G, Spalletti Cernia D, Covelli B, et al. Interleukin-6 and its soluble receptor complex in intensive care unit covid-19 patients: an analysis of second wave patients. *Pathogens*. 2023;12(10):1264. doi:10.3390/pathogens12101264
- Liu J, Li S, Liu J, et al. Longitudinal characteristics of lymphocyte responses and cytokine profiles in the peripheral blood of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients. *EBioMedicine*. 2020;55:102763. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2020.102763
- Sassano P, Paparo F, Ramieri V, Colangeli W, Verdino G. Interleukine-6 (IL-6) may be a link between myasthenia gravis and myoepithelioma of the parotid gland. *Med Hypotheses*. 2007;68(2):314–317. doi:10.1016/j.mehy.2006.06.057
- Finsterer J, Scorza FA, Scorza CA. Diagnosing myasthenic crisis in SARS-CoV-2 infected patients requires adherence to appropriate criteria. J Neurol Sci. 2020;417:117062. doi:10.1016/j.jns.2020.117062

Journal of Inflammation Research

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Inflammation Research is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings on the molecular basis, cell biology and pharmacology of inflammation including original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries on: acute/chronic inflammation; mediators of inflammation; cellular processes; molecular mechanisms; pharmacology and novel anti-inflammatory drugs; clinical conditions involving inflammation. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-inflammation-research-journal