EDITORIAL

Serum Protein Kinase C Delta: New Kid on the Block for Early Detection of Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related deaths in the world.[1] Age-standardized incidence rates of HCC are highest in Asia and Africa; however, both incidence and mortality are rapidly rising in the United States and Europe due to a shift in epidemiology of HCC from viral hepatitis to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease–related cancer.[2] Given the dual clinical challenges of detection at late stages and the high incidence-to-mortality ratio of HCC,[3] major hepatology societies have recommended abdominal ultrasound with or without alpha fetoprotein (AFP) as the primary HCC surveillance strategy for at-risk patients.[4] However, ultrasound suffers from low sensitivity for detecting early-stage HCC, with factors such as operator experience and patient factors, such as obesity, decreasing its diagnostic accuracy.[5–7] At present, only limited data exist on the cost-effectiveness of other imaging modalities, such as computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging.[8,9]

Given the limitations of imaging-based strategies, serum-based biomarkers have an important role in HCC surveillance. AFP has been widely used in combination with ultrasound for HCC surveillance. AFP has significant limitations as a biomarker for the detection of HCC due to low specificity when used alone, but combining it with ultrasound improves sensitivity from 45% with ultrasound alone to 63% with ultrasound plus AFP.[5] However, it is obvious that no single serum biomarker may be sufficient due to HCC tumor heterogeneity and the need to predict response to therapy.[10] Thus, other serum biomarkers such as des-γ-carboxy prothrombin (DCP), GALAD (gender, age, AFP-L3, AFP, and DCP), and methylated DNA markers panel are being investigated in HCC surveillance strategies.[10–12]

In this issue of *Gastro Hep Advances*, Oikawa et al report on the potential of serum protein kinase C delta (PKC-δ) as a novel biomarker for HCC complementary to biomarkers currently used in clinical practice.[13] These investigators previously reported that while PKC-δ is an intracellular serine/threonine kinase, HCC cells secrete PKC-δ into the extracellular space, where it acts as a growth factor for HCC progression, while neither normal hepatocytes nor non-HCC gastrointestinal cancer cells secrete PKC-δ.[14] They also demonstrated that HCC patients had higher serum PKC-δ than patients with a chronic liver disease or healthy controls. Here, they extend their observations on serum PKC-δ in a larger group of patients with a chronic liver disease with or without HCC.

Serum PKC-δ levels were found to be higher in chronic liver disease patients with HCC than in those without HCC. They also report that in their cohort, the diagnostic performance of PKC-δ was comparable to that of AFP and DCP. However, a particularly intriguing observation is that serum PKC-δ levels were elevated in a subset of patients that were double-negative for AFP/DCP, and serum PKC-δ levels were not correlated with AFP/DCP levels. This finding suggests that serum PKC-δ may detect tumors with distinct biology and serve as a complementary biomarker to the GALAD, which includes both AFP and DCP.[15] A second observation that deserves further investigation is that serum PKC-δ performed better than AFP/DCP in detecting very early-stage (solitary and small) tumors.

Despite the promise serum PKC-δ holds as a biomarker of early-stage HCC, several questions need to be addressed before it can be adopted in clinical practice. First, this study will need to be replicated in a larger, more diverse group of patients. Second, given the rapidly changing epidemiology of HCC from a viral hepatitis-related to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-related cancer, diagnostic performance of PKC-δ will need validation in subjects with and without coexisting obesity. Third, the role of serum PKC-δ as a complementary test to conventional biomarkers will need validation. Fourth, determining the diagnostic performance of serum PKC-δ levels in combination with abdominal ultrasound will be needed and compared with other emerging biomarker panels such as the GALAD score and circulating cell-free DNA. Fifth, the ability of PKC-δ to identify tumors with distinct biology and/or response to specific treatments will need additional exploration.

In summary, there is an urgent need to improve surveillance strategies for HCC, a common cancer with increasing incidence and high mortality, as the current strategy consisting of ultrasound with or without AFP has significant limitations. Serum PKC-δ represents a novel biomarker for HCC detection that may prove to be complementary to other serum biomarkers, particularly in cases of AFP/DCP double-negative tumors and in detection of small, early-stage HCC.

JAIDEEP BEHARI[1,2,3]
[1]Division of Gastroenterology
Hepatology and Nutrition
Department of Medicine
University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
[2]Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences
UPMC Hillman Cancer Center
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
[3]Pittsburgh Liver Research Center
University of Pittsburgh
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

References

1. Mortality GBD. Causes of Death C. Global, regional, and national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 249 causes of death, 1980-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Lancet 2016;388:1459–1544.

2. Singal AG, Lampertico P, Nahon P. Epidemiology and surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma: New trends. J Hepatol 2020;72:250–261.
3. Global Burden of Disease Liver Cancer Collaboration, Akinyemiju T, Abera S, et al. The Burden of primary liver cancer and Underlying Etiologies from 1990 to 2015 at the Global, regional, and national level: Results from the Global Burden of disease study 2015. JAMA Oncol 2017; 3:1683–1691.
4. Kim TH, Kim SY, Tang A, et al. Comparison of international guidelines for noninvasive diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma: 2018 update. Clin Mol Hepatol 2019; 25:245–263.
5. Tzartzeva K, Obi J, Rich NE, et al. Surveillance imaging and alpha fetoprotein for early detection of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis: a Meta-analysis. Gastroenterology 2018;154:1706–1718.e1.
6. Del Poggio P, Olmi S, Ciccarese F, et al. Factors that affect efficacy of ultrasound surveillance for early stage hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014;12:1927–19233.e2.
7. Simmons O, Fetzer DT, Yokoo T, et al. Predictors of adequate ultrasound quality for hepatocellular carcinoma surveillance in patients with cirrhosis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2017;45:169–177.
8. Pocha C, Dieperink E, McMaken KA, et al. Surveillance for hepatocellular cancer with ultrasonography vs. computed tomography – a randomised study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2013;38:303–312.
9. Kim SY, An J, Lim YS, et al. MRI with liver-specific Contrast for surveillance of patients with cirrhosis at high risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. JAMA Oncol 2017; 3:456–463.
10. Johnson P, Zhou Q, Dao DY, et al. Circulating biomarkers in the diagnosis and management of hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2022; 19:670–681.
11. Tayob N, Kanwal F, Alsarraj A, et al. The performance of AFP, AFP-3, DCP as biomarkers for detection of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC): a Phase 3 biomarker study in the United States. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2022.01.047:In press.
12. Choi J, Kim GA, Han S, et al. Longitudinal Assessment of three serum biomarkers to detect very early-stage hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology 2019;69:1983–1994.
13. Oikawa T, Yamada K, Tsubota A, et al. Protein kinase C delta is a novel biomarker for hepatocellular carcinoma. Gastro Hep Adv 2023;2:83–95.
14. Yamada K, Oikawa T, Kizawa R, et al. Unconventional secretion of PKCdelta Exerts Tumorigenic Function via Stimulation of ERK1/2 Signaling in liver cancer. Cancer Res 2021;81:414–425.
15. Johnson PJ, Pirrie SJ, Cox TF, et al. The detection of hepatocellular carcinoma using a prospectively developed and validated model based on serological biomarkers. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2014;23:144–153.

Received October 31, 2022. Accepted October 31, 2022.

Correspondence:
Address correspondence to: Jaideep Behari, MD, PhD, Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, 3471 Fifth Avenue, Kaufmann Medical Building; Suite 201, Pittsburgh, PA 15213. e-mail: behajx@upmc.edu.

Conflicts of Interest:
This author discloses the following: J.B. has received research grant funding from Gilead, Pfizer, AstraZeneca, and Endra Life Sciences. His institution has research contracts with Intercept, Pfizer, Galectin, Exact Sciences, Inventiva, Enanta, Shire, Gilead, Allergan, Celgene, Galmed, and Genentech.

Funding:
J.B. gratefully acknowledges National Institutes of Health funding from the National Cancer Institute (1R01CA255809), National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (4UH3TR003289), and National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (5U01AA026978 and 5U01AA0266264).

Ethical Statement:
This commentary did not require the approval of an institutional review board.

Most current article

2772-5723
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gastha.2022.10.014