

Received: 2018.11.19

Accepted: 2019.04.09

Published: 2019.07.27

Associated Factors for Osteoporosis and Fracture in Chinese Elderly

Authors' Contribution:

Study Design A
Data Collection B
Statistical Analysis C
Data Interpretation D
Manuscript Preparation E
Literature Search F
Funds Collection G

BCDE 1,2 **Yuqiang Wang***
BCDE 1 **Han Ding***
BCD 3 **Xiaohua Wang**
BCD 1 **Zhijian Wei**
A 1 **Shiqing Feng**

1 Department of Orthopedics, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital, Tianjin, P.R. China
2 Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Affiliated Hospital of Logistics, University of Chinese Armed Police Force, Tianjin, P.R. China
3 Department of Neurological, Intensive Care Unit, Tianjin Huanhu Hospital, Tianjin, P.R. China

* Yuqiang Wang and Han Ding contributed equally to this work

Corresponding Author: Shiqing Feng, e-mail: sqfeng@tmu.edu.cn

Source of support: This study was supported by the State Key Program of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81330042), and the International Cooperation Program of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81620108018)

Background: The factors associated with osteoporosis are poorly understood in the Chinese population. This study aimed to examine the factors associated with osteoporosis and with fractures in a Chinese elderly population.

Material/Methods: This was a cross-sectional study of elderly people living in Tianjin between 2012 and 2014. Bone mineral density was measured by dual X-ray absorptiometry. The subjects completed a questionnaire about lifestyle habits, personal and family medical history, calcium intake, and exercising. Data were gathered on occurrence of fracture at 5 years or August 2018, whichever occurred first.

Results: There were 298 individuals with osteoporosis (18.5% male, median age 67 years) and 397 without (46.3% male, median age 62 years). Male sex (OR=0.051, 95% CI: 0.021–0.126), age (OR=1.049, 95% CI: 1.099–1.202), being divorced/widowed (OR=2.445, 95% CI: 1.219–4.904), digestive ulcer history (OR=3.805, 95% CI: 1.539–9.405), family history of hunchback (OR=2.659, 95% CI: 1.145–6.175), family history of osteoarthopathy (OR=4.222, 95% CI: 2.128–8.375), fracture history (OR=2.138, 95% CI: 1.307–3.496), drinking green tea (OR=0.352, 95% CI: 0.217–0.574), and exercising (OR=0.303, 95% CI: 0.193–0.475) were independently associated with osteoporosis. Digestive ulcer history (OR=3.183, 95% CI: 1.178–8.5992), exercising (OR=0.354, 95% CI: 0.139–0.903), and taking calcium supplements during follow-up (OR=0.262, 95% CI: 0.112–0.611) were independently associated with fractures in patients with osteoporosis.

Conclusions: Female sex, age, marital status, history of digestive ulcer and fracture, and family history of hunchback and osteoarthopathy are associated with osteoporosis among elderly subjects, while drinking green tea and exercising are inversely associated. Among the patients with osteoporosis, a history of digestive ulcer is associated with fractures, while exercising and taking calcium supplements are inversely associated.

MeSH Keywords: **Frail Elderly • Osteoporosis • Risk Factors**

Full-text PDF: <https://www.medscimonit.com/abstract/index/idArt/914182>



2263



4



1



44



Background

Osteoporosis is a generalized skeletal disorder characterized by compromised bone strength and deterioration of bone quantity and quality, often leading to fragility fracture [1]. With the aging of the global population, osteoporosis has become a serious social and public health problem. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the diagnostic criterion for osteoporosis is a T-score of any part of the body ≤ -2.5 standard deviations (SD) [1]. At present, about 200 million people have osteoporosis worldwide and the incidence has jumped to seventh place among all common diseases, with a prevalence of 10–58%, according to the population studied [1–6]. With its recent and continuous economic development, the Chinese population is rapidly aging [7] and since age is one of the main risk factors for osteoporosis [1,6], the threat of osteoporosis to public health is becoming more significant in China [8,9].

Osteoporosis seriously affects the quality of life of elderly people, and the most serious complication of osteoporosis is fracture [1]. Indeed, women with osteoporosis have a rate ratio of 4.03 of fracture compared with women with normal bone mineral density (BMD) [10]. In addition, osteoporotic fractures in the elderly are associated with increased mortality [11], loss of autonomy, nursing home referral, and increased risk of geriatric syndromes like delirium [12].

Postmenopausal women, persons ≥ 65 years of age, white people, people of Asian descent, and people with small body frame are the most affected by osteoporosis [1, 6]. In addition, lifestyle factors (e.g., low calcium intake, vitamin D deficiency, excess vitamin A intake, inadequate physical activity, smoking, and alcohol abuse), genetic factors (e.g., parental history of hip fracture, cystic fibrosis, hemochromatosis, porphyria, osteogenesis imperfecta, and hypophosphatasia), endocrine disorders (e.g., hyperparathyroidism, hypogonadal states, diabetes mellitus, and hyperthyroidism), gastrointestinal disorders (e.g., celiac disease, Crohn's disease, and cirrhosis), hematologic disorders and cancers, rheumatologic and autoimmune disorders (e.g., rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus), and medications (e.g., hormonal therapies, steroids, immunosuppressants, and proton pump inhibitors) are known to increase the risk of osteoporosis [1,6,13–15]. In China, studies showed that sex, older age, lower weight, and lower body mass index (BMI) were associated with osteoporosis (reviewed by Chen et al. [8]). Nevertheless, the exact contribution is not understood for most risk factors.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to examine the factors associated with osteoporosis and with fractures in a Chinese elderly population (outpatients and subjects from the health examination center). The results could help identify patients in need of closer follow-up.

Material and Methods

Study design and subjects

This was a cross-sectional study of elderly people living in Tianjin between September 2012 and May 2014 and surveyed at the Affiliated Hospital of Logistics College of Chinese People's Armed Police Force Hospital. Subjects with lower back pain, hip pain, or knee pain from the outpatient clinic and subjects from the health examination center were recruited. These clinics are open to the general population, but treat specific diseases. The study was approved by the local ethics committee (no. 2018-0003).

The inclusion criteria were: 1) elderly (age ≥ 60 years of age); 2) followed up at an outpatient clinic (geriatrics, arthropathy, and osteoporosis); and 3) household registered in Tianjin and has lived in Tianjin for >10 years at study entry. The exclusion criteria were: 1) history of taking steroids; 2) mental disorder; 3) senile dementia; or 4) did not cooperate or loss to follow-up.

BMD measurement

BMD measurement was performed using a Discovery dual-energy Bone Densitometer (Hologic, Inc., Bedford, MA, USA). The BMD values of the lumbar spine, femoral neck, and Ward's triangle were measured. The instrument was controlled by a computer and the results were analyzed automatically. The BMD results were expressed as g/cm^2 . Osteoporosis was diagnosed in the presence of a T-score ≤ -2.5 [1,14].

Questionnaire

The questionnaire was self-designed and discussed among orthopedic, nursing, and epidemiology experts. The questionnaire collected the sociodemographic and general health data of the subjects, including sex, age, weight, height, BMI, education level, marital status, lifestyle habits (smoking, drinking alcohol, coffee, and green tea), family history (parents, spouse, children's history of hunchback and osteoarthropathy), history of present illness (bone and joint pain, low back pain, other systems), history of fracture, past history (prostatic hyperplasia and digestive ulcer), calcium intake, and leisure time physical activity (i.e., exercising).

Follow-up

Patients with T-score < -2.5 were followed up by telephone at 5 years after enrollment or August 2018, whichever occurred first, to record whether any fracture occurred and whether calcium supplements were taken during these 5 years.

Table 1. Characteristics of all subjects.

Variable	T > -2.5 (n=397)		T ≤ -2.5 (n=298)		P
Age (years)	62	[60, 85]	67	[60, 88]	<0.001
Sex, n (%)					<0.001
Female	213	(53.7)	243	(81.5)	
Male	184	(46.3)	55	(18.5)	
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	24.5	[16.9, 36.1]	23.5	[12.5, 31.3]	<0.001
Marital status, n (%)					<0.001
Married	362	(91.2)	218	(73.2)	
Divorced/widowed	35	(8.8)	80	(26.8)	
Education level, n (%)					0.001
Senior high school/university	271	(68.3)	168	(56.4)	
Illiteracy/primary school/junior high school	126	(31.7)	130	(43.6)	
History of prostatic hyperplasia, n (%)	5	(1.3)	2	(0.7)	0.442
History of digestive ulcer, n (%)	10	(2.5)	33	(11.1)	<0.001
Family history of hunchback, n (%)	14	(3.5)	28	(9.4)	0.001
Family history of osteoarthropathy, n (%)	22	(5.5)	57	(19.1)	<0.001
History of fall and injury, n (%)	0	(0.0)	86	(28.9)	<0.001
History of fracture, n (%)	63	(15.9)	110	(36.9)	<0.001
History of taking calcium, n (%)	76	(19.1)	88	(29.5)	0.001
Smoking, n (%)					
Never	260	(65.5)	191	(64.1)	0.703
Occasional/quit smoking/smoking	137	(34.5)	107	(35.9)	
Drinking alcohol, n (%)					
Never	234	(58.9)	231	(77.5)	<0.001
Occasional/quit drinking/drinking	163	(41.1)	67	(22.5)	
Drinking coffee per week, n (%)					
Never	362	(91.2)	290	(97.3)	0.001
Occasional/everyday	35	(8.8)	8	(2.7)	
Drinking green tea per day, n (%)					
Never	189	(47.6)	224	(75.2)	<0.001
Less than 1 cup/2–3 cups/more than 3 cups	208	(52.4)	74	(24.8)	
Weekly physical exercise, n (%)					
Never	66	(16.6)	157	(52.7)	<0.001
Occasional/often	331	(83.4)	141	(47.3)	
Taking calcium agents within the 5-year follow-up (anti-osteoporosis therapy), n (%)					
Not taken	209	(52.6)	68	(22.8)	<0.001
Regular/discontinuous	188	(47.4)	230	(77.2)	
Bone mineral density of lumbar spine (g/cm ²)	0.97	[0.47, 10.77]	0.72	[0.33, 1.31]	<0.001
Bone mineral density of femoral neck (g/cm ²)	0.74	[0.54, 1.43]	0.55	[0.30, 0.71]	<0.001
Bone mineral density of Ward's triangle (g/cm ²)	0.56	[0.31, 1.09]	0.34	[0.10, 0.74]	<0.001

Table 2. Associated factors for osteoporosis in all subjects.

Variable	Univariable logistic regression		Multivariable logistic regression		
	OR (95% CI)	P	OR (95% CI)	P	
Gender (Male vs. Female)	0.262 (0.184, 0.373)	<0.001	0.051 (0.021, 0.126)	<0.001	
Age	1.107 (1.079, 1.136)	<0.001	1.149 (1.099, 1.202)	<0.001	
Marital status (divorced/widowed vs. married)	3.796 (2.466, 5.842)	<0.001	2.445 (1.219, 4.904)	0.012	
Education level (Illiteracy/primary school/junior high school vs. senior high school/university)	1.664 (1.219, 2.273)	0.001	0.69 (0.434, 1.097)	0.117	
Body mass index	0.892 (0.851, 0.935)	<0.001	0.583 (0.27, 1.259)	0.170	
History of prostatic hyperplasia (yes vs. no)	0.530 (0.102, 2.749)	0.450			
History of digestive ulcer (yes vs. no)	4.819 (2.335, 9.946)	<0.001	3.805 (1.539, 9.405)	0.004	
Family history of hunchback (yes vs. no)	2.837 (1.466, 5.490)	0.002	2.659 (1.145, 6.175)	0.023	
Family history of osteoarthropathy (yes vs. no)	4.031 (2.402, 6.767)	<0.001	4.222 (2.128, 8.375)	<0.001	
History of fracture (yes vs. no)	3.102 (2.17, 4.435)	<0.001	2.138 (1.307, 3.496)	0.002	
History of taking calcium (yes vs. no)	1.77 (1.244, 2.519)	0.002	1.389 (0.869, 2.219)	0.169	
Smoking (occasional/quit smoking/smoking vs. never)	1.063 (0.776, 1.456)	0.703			
Drinking alcohol (occasional/quit drinking/drinking vs. never)	0.416 (0.297, 0.584)	<0.001	1.521 (0.873, 2.651)	0.139	
Drinking coffee per week (occasional/everyday vs. never)	0.285 (0.13, 0.624)	0.002	0.497 (0.188, 1.312)	0.158	
Drinking tea per day (<1 cup/2–3 cups/>3 cups vs. never)	0.3 (0.216, 0.417)	<0.001	0.352 (0.217, 0.574)	<0.001	
Weekly physical exercise (occasional/often vs. never)	0.179 (0.126, 0.254)	<0.001	0.303 (0.193, 0.475)	<0.001	

Data collection

After the questionnaire was returned, it was validated and captured by 2 persons. Discrepancies in data entry were detected using data comparison. Questionnaires with >5% of missing data were rejected.

Statistical analysis

Continuous data that did not conform to the normal distribution were described as medians (range) and analyzed using nonparametric tests. Categorical data were described as frequencies (percentage) and analyzed using the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Logistic regression analysis was performed in the general population with osteoporosis as the outcome, and variables with significant differences in the univariable analyses were incorporated into the multivariable analysis (enter method). In the osteoporotic population, fracture as outcome was analyzed by logistic regression, and variables with significant differences in the univariable

analyses were incorporated into the multivariable analysis (enter method). Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 21.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Two-tailed P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of the subjects

A total of 695 subjects were included in the study; among them, 298 (42.9%) were diagnosed with osteoporosis and their BMD was lower at all 3 sites compared with patients without osteoporosis (all $P < 0.001$) (Table 1). Among the osteoporotic patients, 8 were lost to follow-up (2.7%).

Associated factors for osteoporosis in all subjects

Table 2 presents the associated factors for osteoporosis. The multivariable analysis showed that male sex (odds ratio

Table 3. Characteristics of the patients with osteoporosis.

Variable	Non-fracture (n=271)		Fracture (n=27)		P
Age (years)	67	[60, 86]	65	[60, 88]	0.947
Sex, n (%)					0.993
Male	221	(81.5)	22	(81.5)	
Female	50	(18.5)	5	(18.5)	
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	23.5	[16.3, 31.3]	23.6	[12.5, 30.5]	0.610
Marital status, n (%)					0.210
Married	201	(74.2)	17	(63.0)	
Divorced/widowed	70	(25.8)	10	(37.0)	
Education level, n (%)					0.034
Senior high school/university	158	(58.3)	10	(37.0)	
Illiteracy/primary school/junior high school	113	(41.7)	17	(63.0)	
History of prostatic hyperplasia, n (%)	1	(0.4)	1	(3.7)	0.043
History of digestive ulcer, n (%)	25	(9.2)	8	(29.6)	0.001
Family history of hunchback, n (%)	25	(9.2)	3	(11.1)	0.749
Family history of osteoarthropathy, n (%)	48	(17.7)	9	(33.3)	0.049
History of fall and injury, n (%)	81	(29.9)	5	(18.5)	0.214
History of fracture, n (%)	102	(37.6)	8	(29.6)	0.411
History of taking calcium, n (%)	78	(28.8)	10	(37.0)	0.370
Smoking, n (%)					0.770
Never	173	(63.8)	18	(66.7)	
Occasional/quit smoking/smoking	98	(36.2)	9	(33.3)	
Drinking alcohol, n (%)					0.653
Never	211	(77.9)	20	(74.1)	
Occasional/quit drinking/drinking	60	(22.1)	7	(25.9)	
Drinking coffee per week, n (%)					0.365
Never	263	(97.0)	27	(100.0)	
Occasional/everyday	8	(3.0)	0	(0.0)	
Drinking tea per day, n (%)					0.206
Never	201	(74.2)	23	(85.2)	
Less than 1 cup/2–3 cups/more than 3 cups	70	(25.8)	4	(14.8)	
Weekly physical exercise (occasional/often vs. never), n (%)					0.020
Never	137	(50.6)	20	(74.1)	
Occasional/often	134	(49.4)	7	(25.9)	
Taking calcium agents within 5-year follow-up (Anti-osteoporosis therapy), n (%)					0.001
Not taken	55	(20.3)	13	(48.1)	
Regular/discontinuous	216	(79.7)	14	(51.9)	
Bone mineral density of lumbar spine (g/cm ²)	0.72	[0.33, 1.31]	0.68	[0.38, 0.96]	0.050
Bone mineral density of femoral neck (g/cm ²)	0.55	[0.34, 0.71]	0.51	[0.30, 0.64]	0.024
Bone mineral density of Ward's triangle (g/cm ²)	0.34	[0.18, 0.74]	0.31	[0.10, 0.65]	0.001

Table 4. Associated factors for fracture in patients with osteoporosis within 5 years.

Variable	Univariable logistic regression			Multivariable logistic regression		
	OR (95% CI)	P		OR (95% CI)	P	
Sex (Male vs. Female)	1.005	(0.363, 2.781)	0.993			
Age	1.015	(0.961, 1.073)	0.594			
Marital status (divorced/widowed vs. married)	1.689	(0.739, 3.862)	0.214			
Education level (illiteracy/primary school/junior high school vs. senior high school/university)	2.377	(1.049, 5.384)	0.038	1.636	(0.678, 3.949)	0.274
Body mass index	0.938	(0.828, 1.061)	0.306			
History of prostatic hyperplasia (yes vs. no)	10.385	(0.631, 170.921)	0.101			
History of digestive ulcer (yes vs. no)	4.143	(1.646, 10.426)	0.003	3.183	(1.178, 8.599)	0.022
Family history of hunchback (yes vs. no)	1.23	(0.346, 4.375)	0.749			
Family history of osteoarthropathy (yes vs. no)	2.323	(0.984, 5.483)	0.054			
History of fracture (yes vs. no)	0.698	(0.295, 1.652)	0.413			
History of taking calcium (yes vs. no)	1.456	(0.638, 3.319)	0.372			
Smoking (occasional/quit smoking/smoking vs. never)	0.883	(0.382, 2.04)	0.770			
Drinking alcohol (occasional/quit drinking/drinking vs. never)	1.231	(0.497, 3.049)	0.654			
Drinking coffee per week (occasional/everyday vs. never)	0	(0, Inf)	0.987			
Drinking tea per day (<1 cup/2–3 cups/>3 cups vs. never)	0.499	(0.167, 1.494)	0.214			
Weekly physical exercise (occasional/often vs. never)	0.358	(0.147, 0.874)	0.024	0.354	(0.139, 0.903)	0.030
Taking calcium agents within 5 years (regular/discontinuous vs. none)	0.274	(0.122, 0.617)	0.002	0.262	(0.112, 0.611)	0.002

[OR]=0.051, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.021–0.126, $P<0.001$), age (OR=1.049, 95% CI: 1.099–1.202, $P<0.001$), being divorced/widowed (OR=2.445, 95% CI: 1.219–4.904, $P=0.012$), history of digestive ulcer (OR=3.805, 95% CI: 1.539–9.405, $P=0.004$), family history of hunchback (OR=2.659, 95% CI: 1.145–6.175, $P=0.023$), family history of osteoarthropathy (OR=4.222, 95% CI: 2.128–8.375, $P<0.001$), history of fracture (OR=2.138, 95% CI: 1.307–3.496, $P=0.002$), drinking green tea (OR=0.352, 95% CI: 0.217–0.574, $P<0.001$), and exercising (OR=0.303, 95% CI: 0.193–0.475, $P<0.001$) were independently associated with osteoporosis (Table 2).

Characteristics of the patients with osteoporosis

Compared with the patients without fracture during the 5-year follow-up, the patients with fracture had a lower education level ($P=0.001$), higher frequency of digestive ulcer (29.6% vs. 9.2%, $P=0.001$), higher family history frequency of

osteoarthropathy (33.3% vs. 17.7%, $P=0.049$), lower frequency of exercising (25.9% vs. 49.4%, $P=0.02$), and lower BMD at all 3 sites (all $P<0.05$) (Table 3). The use of calcium supplements was lower during the 5-year follow-up in the fracture group (51.9% vs. 79.7%, $P=0.001$).

Associated factors for fracture in the patients with osteoporosis

The multivariable analysis showed that a history of digestive ulcer (OR=3.183, 95% CI: 1.178–8.599, $P=0.022$), exercising (OR=0.354, 95% CI: 0.139–0.903, $P=0.030$), and taking calcium supplements during follow-up (OR=0.262, 95% CI: 0.112–0.611, $P=0.002$) were independently associated with fractures in patients with osteoporosis (Table 4).

Discussion

The contribution of the risk factors for osteoporosis is poorly understood in the Chinese population. Therefore, this study aimed to examine the factors associated with osteoporosis and with fractures in a Chinese population. The present study showed that sex, age, being divorced/widowed, history of digestive ulcer, family history of hunchback, family history of osteoarthropathy, history of fracture, drinking green tea, and exercising are associated with osteoporosis among elderly Chinese subjects. Among the patients with osteoporosis, a history of digestive ulcer, exercising, and taking calcium supplements are associated with fractures.

The present study showed that female sex, older age, and family history of osteoarthropathy are risk factors for osteoporosis, which is already well known [1,6]. Furthermore, being divorced/widowed was also associated with osteoporosis. This is supported by a study from the United States that showed that marital disruption was associated with poor bone health in men and that marital quality was associated with a good bone health in women [16]. Digestive ulcers are a common manifestation of a variety of inflammatory digestive diseases and cirrhosis, and these diseases are often associated with malabsorption and malnutrition, as well as with osteoporosis [17]. Accordingly, the present study showed that the presence of digestive ulcers was independently associated with osteoporosis. Family histories of hunchback and osteoarthropathies and personal history of fracture are indicatives of bone diseases that can affect bone metabolism and hence the risk of osteoporosis [18]. Previous studies indeed showed that kyphosis, diseases affecting bone metabolism, and history of falls and fractures were risk factors for osteoporosis [19–22]. In addition, some of those disorders can be the results of the osteoporosis itself. Indeed, kyphosis is associated with decreased BMD, and possibly results from increased fragility of the spine [23,24]. In the present study, a family history of hunchback and osteoarthritis were independently associated with osteoporosis. Those bone disorders cover a wide variety of diseases that have metabolic, inflammatory, genetic, and environmental etiologies, and additional study is necessary to refine their association with osteoporosis. Finally, drinking green tea and exercising were factors associated with osteoporosis. A recent meta-analysis showed that consuming green tea can reduce the risk of osteoporosis and the risk of hip fracture [25], mainly through the action of polyphenols that mitigate BMD loss [26]. Exercising is well known to be inversely associated with osteoporosis and bone degenerative diseases in general [27–29], supporting the validity of our results.

Fractures are the main consequence of osteoporosis and can lead to mortality, especially in the elderly [1,10,11]. The follow-up part of the study showed that history of digestive ulcer,

exercising, and taking calcium supplements were associated with fractures among patients with osteoporosis. As stated above, digestive ulcers often indicate the presence of inflammatory digestive diseases and cirrhosis, and these diseases are often associated with malabsorption and malnutrition, leading to osteoporosis [17]. In addition, the systemic inflammatory state often seen in those conditions may influence the progression of osteoporosis and hence affect the risk of fracture [30]. This association between digestive ulcers and osteoporosis and fragility fractures is supported by previous studies [17,30,31]. The use of proton pump inhibitors has been shown to be associated with an increased risk of osteoporosis [32], but whether this association is due to the drug itself or to the fact that it is used to treat peptic ulcers remains unknown. As indicated above, since exercising can prevent or slow the progression of osteoporosis [27–29], exercising can decrease the risk of fragility fractures [33]. Hence, patients with osteoporosis should be encouraged to perform exercises that are adapted to their condition. Finally, taking calcium supplements after a diagnosis of osteoporosis is included in the guidelines to reduce the risk of fragility fractures [34,35], despite some controversies on the subject [36,37]. Calcium and vitamin D are cost-effective for the treatment of osteoporosis and are more accessible than bisphosphonates [38]. Nevertheless, the compliance with vitamin D supplementation is low in China [39,40].

Due to its rapid economic development, the health care situation of China has dramatically changed over the past 3 decades, but with the Westernization of lifestyle, the health conditions of Chinese have also changed [41]. Many of the chronic diseases associated with a Western lifestyle are due to the genome being ill equipped to face the nutritional characteristics recently introduced into the diet [42]. In addition, Chinese and Western populations differ in term of genetics, which affect the development of chronic diseases [43,44]. Consequently, in China, the prevalence of osteoporosis has increased over the last 20 years, affecting about one-third of the individuals aged >50 years [8], compared to 21% of women and 6% of men in the European Union [2]. Hence, combined with the aging population phenomenon and the fact that China has the world's largest population, the absolute number of patients with osteoporosis in China is important, and a better understanding of the disease in Chinese patients is paramount. Even though some factors for osteoporosis are shared between the Chinese and Western populations, their impact on disease may differ. Additional studies are necessary to examine these differences more closely.

Of course, the present study is not without limitations. This was a single-center investigation, and a larger sample size and a more in-deep questionnaire could provide additional answers about the risk factors of osteoporosis and fracture. A selection bias cannot be avoided in an observational study;

nevertheless, we recruited subjects from 3 outpatient clinics (geriatrics, arthropathy, and osteoporosis clinics) and the health examination center. Elderly people often go to these clinics for help with age-related diseases or routine medical examination. In addition, future prospective studies should include panels of biochemical and genetic markers, as well as a complete history of exposure to drugs and chemicals, which could help improve our understanding of the risk of developing the condition. We did not investigate family histories of osteoporosis and fractures and personal histories of reproduction, breastfeeding, and hormone supplements. Finally, the fractures observed in the present study were not confirmed to be osteoporosis-related, which could have biased the results.

References:

1. Allen S, Forney-Gorman A, Homan M et al: Diagnosis and treatment of osteoporosis: Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement. 2017
2. Hernlund E, Svedbom A, Ivergard M et al: Osteoporosis in the European Union: Medical management, epidemiology and economic burden. A report prepared in collaboration with the International Osteoporosis Foundation (IOF) and the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industry Associations (EFPIA). *Arch Osteoporos*, 2013; 8: 136
3. Lee J, Lee S, Jang S, Ryu OH: Age-related changes in the prevalence of osteoporosis according to gender and skeletal site: The Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2008–2010. *Endocrinol Metab (Seoul)*, 2013; 28: 180–91
4. Dubois EF, Roder E, Dekhuijzen PN et al: Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry outcomes in male COPD patients after treatment with different glucocorticoid regimens. *Chest*, 2002; 121: 1456–63
5. Sharma S, Fraser M, Lovell F et al: Characteristics of males over 50 years who present with a fracture: Epidemiology and underlying risk factors. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*, 2008; 90: 72–77
6. Cosman F, de Beur SJ, LeBoff MS et al: Clinician's guide to prevention and treatment of osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int*, 2014; 25: 2359–81
7. Banister J, Bloom DE, Rosenberg L: Population aging and economic growth in China. In: Aoki M, Wu J (eds.), *The Chinese Economy*. London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2012
8. Chen P, Li Z, Hu Y: Prevalence of osteoporosis in China: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *BMC Public Health*, 2016; 16: 1039
9. Lu YC, Lin YC, Lin YK et al: Prevalence of osteoporosis and low bone mass in older Chinese population based on bone mineral density at multiple skeletal sites. *Sci Rep*, 2016; 6: 25206
10. Siris ES, Miller PD, Barrett-Connor E et al: Identification and fracture outcomes of undiagnosed low bone mineral density in postmenopausal women: Results from the National Osteoporosis Risk Assessment. *JAMA*, 2001; 286: 2815–22
11. Bliuc D, Nguyen ND, Milch VE et al: Mortality risk associated with low-trauma osteoporotic fracture and subsequent fracture in men and women. *JAMA*, 2009; 301: 513–21
12. Piscitelli P, Metozzi A, Benvenuti E et al: Connections between the outcomes of osteoporotic hip fractures and depression, delirium or dementia in elderly patients: Rationale and preliminary data from the CODE study. *Clin Cases Miner Bone Metab*, 2012; 9: 40–44
13. Guthrie JR, Dennerstein L, Wark JD: Risk factors for osteoporosis: A review. *Medscape Womens Health*, 2000; 5: E1
14. Sozen T, Ozisik L, Basaran NC: An overview and management of osteoporosis. *Eur J Rheumatol*, 2017; 4: 46–56
15. Kawahara T, Fusayasu S, Izumi K et al: Bone management in Japanese patients with prostate cancer: Hormonal therapy leads to an increase in the FRAX score. *BMC Urol*, 2016; 16: 32
16. Miller-Martinez D, Seeman T, Karlamangla AS et al: Marital histories, marital support, and bone density: Findings from the Midlife in the United States Study. *Osteoporos Int*, 2014; 25: 1327–35
17. Katz S, Weinerman S: Osteoporosis and gastrointestinal disease. *Gastroenterol Hepatol (NY)*, 2010; 6: 506–17
18. Callemeyn J, Van Haecke P, Peetermans WE, Blockmans D: Clubbing and hypertrophic osteoarthropathy: Insights in diagnosis, pathophysiology, and clinical significance. *Acta Clin Belg*, 2016; 71: 123–30
19. Luz Rentero M, Carbonell C, Casillas M et al: Risk factors for osteoporosis and fractures in postmenopausal women between 50 and 65 years of age in a primary care setting in Spain: A questionnaire. *Open Rheumatol J*, 2008; 2: 58–63
20. Nanes MS, Kallen CB: Clinical assessment of fracture risk and novel therapeutic strategies to combat osteoporosis. *Fertil Steril*, 2009; 92: 403–12
21. Ghafoori S, Keshkar A, Khashayar P et al: The risk of osteoporotic fractures and its associating risk factors according to the FRAX model in the Iranian patients: A follow-up cohort. *J Diabetes Metab Disord*, 2014; 13: 93
22. Kim HY, Jang EJ, Park B et al: Development of a Korean Fracture Risk Score (KFRS) for predicting osteoporotic fracture risk: Analysis of data from the Korean National Health Insurance Service. *PLoS One*, 2016; 11: e0158918
23. Pavlovic A, Nichols DL, Sanborn CF, Dimarco NM: Relationship of thoracic kyphosis and lumbar lordosis to bone mineral density in women. *Osteoporos Int*, 2013; 24: 2269–73
24. Sparrey CJ, Bailey JF, Safaei M et al: Etiology of lumbar lordosis and its pathophysiology: a review of the evolution of lumbar lordosis, and the mechanics and biology of lumbar degeneration. *Neurosurg Focus*, 2014; 36: E1
25. Sun K, Wang L, Ma Q et al: Association between tea consumption and osteoporosis: A meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)*, 2017; 96: e9034
26. Shen CL, Yeh JK, Cao JJ, Wang JS: Green tea and bone metabolism. *Nutr Res*, 2009; 29: 437–56
27. Nikander R, Sievanen H, Heinonen A et al: Targeted exercise against osteoporosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis for optimising bone strength throughout life. *BMC Med*, 2010; 8: 47
28. Moreira LD, Oliveira ML, Lirani-Galvao AP et al: Physical exercise and osteoporosis: Effects of different types of exercises on bone and physical function of postmenopausal women. *Arq Bras Endocrinol Metabol*, 2014; 58: 514–22
29. Howe TE, Shea B, Dawson LJ et al: Exercise for preventing and treating osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2011; (7): CD000333
30. Sponholtz TR, Zhang X, Fontes JD et al: Association between inflammatory biomarkers and bone mineral density in a community-based cohort of men and women. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*, 2014; 66: 1233–40
31. Wu CH, Tung YC, Chai CY et al: Increased risk of osteoporosis in patients with peptic ulcer disease: A nationwide population-based study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*, 2016; 95: e3309
32. Lin SM, Yang SH, Liang CC, Huang HK: Proton pump inhibitor use and the risk of osteoporosis and fracture in stroke patients: A population-based cohort study. *Osteoporos Int*, 2018; 29: 153–62
33. Sievanen H, Kannus P: Physical activity reduces the risk of fragility fracture. *PLoS Med*, 2007; 4: e222

Conclusions

Female sex, age, marital status, history of digestive ulcer and fracture, and family history of hunchback and osteoarthropathy are associated with osteoporosis among Chinese elderly subjects, while drinking green tea and exercising are inversely associated. Among the patients with osteoporosis, a history of digestive ulcer is associated with fractures, while exercising and taking calcium supplements are inversely associated.

Conflict of interests

None.

34. Bauer DC: Clinical practice. Calcium supplements and fracture prevention. *N Engl J Med*, 2013; 369: 1537–43
35. Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA et al: Evaluation, treatment, and prevention of vitamin D deficiency: An Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2011; 96: 1911–30
36. Wilczynski C, Camacho P: Calcium use in the management of osteoporosis: Continuing questions and controversies. *Curr Osteoporos Rep*, 2014; 12: 396–402
37. Bolland MJ, Grey A, Reid IR: Should we prescribe calcium or vitamin D supplements to treat or prevent osteoporosis? *Climacteric*, 2015; 18(Suppl. 2): 22–31
38. Brandao CM, Machado GP, Acurcio Fde A: A Pharmacoeconomic analysis of strategies to treat postmenopausal osteoporosis: A systematic review. *Rev Bras Reumatol*, 2012; 52: 924–37
39. Chan SP, Scott BB, Sen SS: An Asian viewpoint on the use of vitamin D and calcium in osteoporosis treatment: Physician and patient attitudes and beliefs. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*, 2010; 11: 248
40. Zhao JG, Zeng XT, Wang J, Liu L: Association between calcium or vitamin D supplementation and fracture incidence in community-dwelling older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*, 2017; 318: 2466–82
41. Woo KS, Chook P, Raitakari OT et al: Westernization of Chinese adults and increased subclinical atherosclerosis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*, 1999; 19: 2487–93
42. Cordain L, Eaton SB, Sebastian A et al: Origins and evolution of the Western diet: Health implications for the 21st century. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 2005; 81: 341–54
43. Chen Z, Lee L, Chen J et al: Cohort profile: The Kadoorie Study of Chronic Disease in China (KSCDC). *Int J Epidemiol*, 2005; 34: 1243–49
44. Egger G, Dixon J: Beyond obesity and lifestyle: A review of 21st century chronic disease determinants. *Biomed Res Int*, 2014; 2014: 731685