## **Original Article**

Int Neurourol J 2014;18:150-154 http://dx.doi.org/10.5213/inj.2014.18.3.150 pISSN 2093-4777 · eISSN 2093-6931



# Association of Urinary Urgency and Delay Time of Micturition in Women With Overactive Bladder

Kyung Kgi Park, Jung Sik Huh

Department of Urology, Jeju National University Hospital, Jeju, Korea

**Purpose:** Accurately measuring urinary urgency is important for diagnosing overactive bladder (OAB) and quantifying improvements in treatment outcome. Various methods have been recommended for evaluating urinary urgency, but these methods assess individual perceptions and preferences. To overcome the subjectivity in measuring urinary urgency, we evaluated the relationship between uroflowmetric parameters and urinary urgency in women with OAB.

**Methods:** Consecutive female patients with lower urinary tract symptoms (n = 110) were prospectively enrolled in this study between April 2011 and September 2012. Individuals with a history of using medications that are known to affect bladder function were excluded. All enrolled patients completed uroflowmetry with a delayed time to voiding (T2V). After urination was completed, patients were asked whether they experienced any urinary hesitancy or urgency at that time.

**Results**: The mean patient age was 56.1 years; 70 out of 110 patients reported some degree of urinary urgency. T2V decreased with increased urgency. Several uroflowmetric parameters were observed to have a significant correlation with urinary urgency. T2V had a meaningful correlation coefficient for individuals with urgency, regardless of the voided volume. There was no significant correlation between the presence of urinary hesitancy and T2V.

**Conclusions:** We believe that T2V would be a complementary tool for diagnosing and determining the degree of urinary urgency in women with OAB.

Keywords: Analysis; Women; Overactive urinary bladder; Questionnaire; Urodynamics

## INTRODUCTION

Overactive bladder (OAB) is very common condition in older women. Accurately measuring the symptom of urgency is essential for diagnosing OAB and quantifying improvement in treatment outcome [1]. Questionnaires [2,3] or visual analog scales [4] are recommended for assessing urinary urgency; however, these methods are based on individual feelings, perceptions, or intentions. Therefore, new and effective methods to evaluate urgency symptoms are required. Uroflowmetry is an objective study that is considered both noninvasive and inexpensive. Kim et al. [5] reported differences in uroflowmetric parameters between patients with interstitial cystitis and OAB. Delayed time to voiding (T2V) is a uroflowmetric parameter that has been previously shown to correlate with urinary hesi-

Corresponding author: Kyung Kgi Park

Department of Urology, Jeju National University Hospital,

Jeju National University College Medicine, 15 Aran 13-gil, Jeju 690-767, Korea Tel: +82-64-754-8120 / Fax: +82-64-717-1131/ E-mail: urology.park@gmail.com Submitted: April 28, 2014 / Accepted after revision: May 28, 2014 tancy in men with lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) [6]. However, we believe that a short delay in initiating micturition in women without obstructive voiding symptoms could result in severe urinary urgency rather than an absence of urinary hesitancy. Therefore, we evaluated the relationship between uroflowmetric parameters and urinary urgency symptoms in women with OAB.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was approved by Yongin Severance Hospital's Institutional Review Board. A total of 110 women with LUTS and OAB (n=70; mean age,  $55.1 \pm 10.4$  years) or non-OAB (n=40; mean age,  $58.0 \pm 8.2$  years) were recruited from outpatient clinics between April 2011 and September 2012. OAB was diagnosed us-

Copyright © 2014 Korean Continence Society

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ing questionnaires (>8 points of OAB questionnaire) and based on the definition of the International Continence Society (ICS) [1]. Each enrolled subject provided informed consent.

Patients who had a history of active use of medication known to affect bladder function (i.e.,  $\alpha$ -blockers, anticholinergics, or cholinergics) within recent 3 months or had interrupted uroflow pattern, residual volume > 150 mL, or any symptomatic urinary tract infection were excluded from this study. We also excluded individuals with a medical history of bladder surgery or pelvic radiotherapy, uncontrolled diabetes, any type of voiding dysfunction secondary to an underlying neurological disease, or any condition compromising mobility.

#### Intervention

Patients' uroflow patterns were objectively evaluated using methods that have been previously recommended [6]. All enrolled patients were instructed to enter the uroflow room when they felt the need to urinate. The door of the uroflow room was then locked and the patient was allowed to urinate. The patients were asked to press the start button in the uroflowmeter after removing their undergarments, which would initiate the measurement of micturition time. The results were recorded on an uroflowmetry sheet. T2V was defined as the period between preparing to void and the initiation of voiding itself into the funnel of the uroflowmeter. After each void, we measured the postvoid residual volume with a transabdominal ultrasonographic probe (BladderScan BVI 3000, Verathon Inc., Bothell, WA, USA). The patients completed urgency sensation scale (USS) questionnaires regarding their urgency symptoms during a single voiding at an uroflow room [3]. Symptom of urinary hesitancy was evaluated by asking the following question from each participant: "When you were ready to urinate, did you pass the urine immediately or did you wait?" Subjects who had to wait to urinate were considered to have urinary hesitancy, whereas those who immediately urinated were considered to have no hesitancy. To exclude psychological voiding inhibition, we asked all patients, "Was the urination process different during this study compared to your normal urination?" If patients reported an unusual urination delay in the uroflow room, they were excluded from the study. All methods, definitions, and units of urodynamics conform to the ICS recommended standards, except where specifically defined [1]. A rotating-disc flow meter (Medtronics Inc., URODYN 1000, Minneapolis, MN, USA) was used for all uroflowmetric measurements. All patients completed a frequency-volume chart for >2 days. The urine flow rate is known to be highly dependent on the total volume voided [7,8]. Consequently, patients with a void volume <100 mL were excluded from the study.

#### Statistics

A t-test (unpaired) was performed to evaluate the differences in the patient demographics between the OAB and non-OAB groups. To identify any associations between uroflowmetric parameters and the presence of urgency, Spearman correlation was used (GraphPad Prism ver. 5.00, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). To confirm differences in T2V according to the severity of urinary urgency in both the OAB and non-OAB (control) groups, a two-way analysis of variance was used. *Post hoc* analysis included Bonferroni tests of multiple comparisons. A P-value < 0.01 was defined as significant in all cases.

## RESULTS

The mean age of the study population was 53.1 years (range, 40–75 years), and 70/110 patients reported some degree of urinary urgency. There were no significant differences in the age or proportion of stress urinary incontinence between the OAB and non-OAB groups. In the OAB group, T2V was significantly decreased and maximal flow rate (Qmax) increased according to the level of urgency (Table 1).

There were significant differences in T2V according to the USS in both OAB and non-OAB groups (P<0.001) (Fig. 1). The presence of urinary urgency was significantly correlated with T2V (r=-0.607, P<0.001; Table 2). However, the presence of urinary hesitancy was not significantly correlated with T2V in any patient (r=-0.23, P=0.129). A significant correlation was also observed in Qmax (r=0.615, P<0.001). However, unlike T2V, Qmax appeared to depend on voided volume (Fig. 2).

### DISCUSSION

T2V may be a helpful parameter for distinguishing urinary urgency in women with OAB. We also observed a significant difference in T2V between the OAB and non-OAB groups. Similar to our initial hypothesis, T2V in women without decreased urine flow were associated with urinary urgency rather than hesitancy in this study. We found that both Qmax and T2V were positively correlated with urinary urgency. However, it is generally known that the urine flow rate is highly dependent on the volume voided [9]. Therefore, the relationship between the voided urine volume and both parameters (i.e., Qmax and T2V) Table 1. Patient demographics and uroflowmetric variables (n = 110)

| Variable                                | Non-OAB -        | OAB              |                   |           |
|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|   |                  | G2               | >G3               | P-value   |
| Demographics                            |                  |                  |                   |           |
| No. of patients                         | 40               | 49               | 21                |           |
| Age (yr)                                | $58.0 \pm 8.2$   | $57.3 \pm 12.6$  | $49.8 \pm 13.5$   | 0.347     |
| No. of patients with associated disease |                  |                  |                   |           |
| Stress urinary incontinence             | 22 (55.0)        | 29 (59.2)        | 13 (61.9)         | 0.480     |
| Urge incontinence                       | -                | 16 (32.7)        | 10 (47.6)         |           |
| Nocturia without OAB                    | 8 (20.0)         | -                | -                 |           |
| No. of patients with associated symptom |                  |                  |                   |           |
| Urinary hesitancy                       | 11 (27.5)        | 6 (12.2)         | 2 (9.5)           | 0.293     |
| Frequency volume chart                  |                  |                  |                   |           |
| Maximal voided volume (mL)              | $310 \pm 24.9$   | $270 \pm 133.1$  | $230 \pm 99.8$    | 0.281     |
| No. of episodes                         |                  |                  |                   |           |
| Frequency                               | $4.6 \pm 0.8$    | $6.3 \pm 0.6$    | $7.6 \pm 0.7$     | 0.345     |
| Nocturia                                | $2.3 \pm 0.4$    | $1.7\pm0.5$      | $3.3 \pm 0.7$     | 0.756     |
| Uroflowmetry variable                   |                  |                  |                   |           |
| Qmax (mL/sec)                           | $17.8 \pm 5.5$   | $24.2 \pm 7.6$   | $33.3 \pm 12.7$   | < 0.001** |
| VV (mL)                                 | $165.6 \pm 42.3$ | $213.2 \pm 84.9$ | $229.1 \pm 133.5$ | 0.413     |
| T2V (sec)                               | $11.2 \pm 5.1$   | $5.8 \pm 2.7$    | $3.8 \pm 2.1$     | < 0.001** |
| PVR (mL)                                | $29.7 \pm 31.5$  | $19.2 \pm 30.4$  | $55.6 \pm 62.2$   | 0.088     |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation or number (%). Fisher exact test for categorical variables (composition of stress urinary incontinence between the OAB and non-OAB groups), Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables (age, maximal voided volume, uroflowmetry variables) were used.

OAB, overactive bladder; G2 (mild urgency), I could postpone voiding for as long as necessary without fear of wetting myself; G3 (moderate urgency), I could postpone voiding for a short while without fear of wetting myself; Qmax, maximal flow rate; VV, voided volume; T2V, time to voiding; PVR, postvoid residual volume.

\*\*Statistically significant (P<0.01). Analysis of variance between groups of Non-OAB, OAB (G2), and OAB (>G3).

Table 2. Factors affecting the presence of urgency

| Variable | OAB    |            |  |
|----------|--------|------------|--|
|          | r      | P-value    |  |
| Qmax     | 0.613  | < 0.001*** |  |
| VV       | -0.513 | 0.381      |  |
| RV       | 0.602  | 0.479      |  |
| T2V      | -0.607 | < 0.001**  |  |

OAB, overactive bladder; r, correlation coefficient; Qmax, maximal flow rate; VV, voided volume; RV, postvoid maximal flow rate; T2V, time to voiding.

\*\*Statistically significant (P<0.01).

should be further evaluated. In this study, Qmax was highly correlated with the voided urine volume, but the delay time did not.

Urinary urgency is a highly prevalent condition that lowers

the patients' quality of life. Currently, ICS defines OAB as urgency, with or without urge urinary incontinence, usually associated with urinary frequency and nocturia [1]. Therefore, an accurate identification of urinary urgency is essential for managing patients with OAB.

Brown et al. [10] recommended the use of voiding diaries to properly evaluate urgency, as well as urinary frequency and urge incontinence. To overcome the test-retest reliability of their symptoms, they reommended that the diary should be completed for a minimum of 7 days. The diary method was suitable for assessing urinary frequency and the number of nocturia, urgency, or urge incontinence episodes; however, it was difficult to assess symptom severity. Also, due to practical limitations of acquiring a 7-day voiding diary, our study allowed voiding diaries taken for more than 2 days. Park and Huh • Association Between Hesitancy and Urgency in Women With OAB



**Fig. 1.** The distribution of time to voiding in patients with and without overactive bladders (OABs) according to the urgency sensation scale (USS). G2 (mild urgency), I could postpone voiding for as long as necessary without fear of wetting myself; G3 (moderate urgency), I could postpone voiding for a short while without fear of wetting myself.

Various questionnaires have been developed by researchers to identify the severity of urinary urgency [11]. However, questionnaires have been well known to suffer from self-report bias. Therefore, we believed that complementary tools are needed to evaluate evaluating urinary urgency. Lukacz et al. [4] demonstrated a visual analogue scale for urinary urgency. The visual analogue scale uses animated visual contents, can be completed easily, and is known to have enhanced reliability. However, this scale also had a high possibility of being confounded with individual perceptions. Other studies [12,13] have reported that normal and abnormal urgency symptoms cannot be effectively discriminated. Therefore, many researchers calculate the sum, mode, or mean USS scores from the questionnaires [3]. Therefore, we used the USS questionnaire to compare urinary urgency with our new parameter, T2V.

The relationship between urinary urgency and bladder contractility has also been previously studied. Fall et al. [14] report-



**INJ** 

**Fig. 2.** Changes in uroflowmetric parameters according to the voided volume.

ed on using waiting time for measuring the severity of urgency by cystometry in patients with neurogenic or phasic detrusor overactivity (DO). Waiting time was defined as the period between experiencing a strong desire to urinate and micturition. Patients capable of having <2 minutes of suppressed micturition were identified as having a moderate degree of urinary urgency. Subsequently, Cucchi et al. [15,16] reported that a DOrelated increase in bladder contractility might be further enhanced by severe urgency through a positive feedback mechanism in patients with idiopathic DO.

Urinary hesitancy is an under-evaluated symptom of LUTS prevalent in both men and women. Hesitancy in men may depend on detrusor contractility, bladder outlet obstruction, ure-thral factors (i.e., detrusor sphincter dyssynergia), and psychological factors. However, in women without decreased urine flow, extravesical etiologies of urinary hesitancy may be minimal [17]. Therefore, we believed that a delay in micturition is more closely related to urinary urgency rather than to urinary hesitancy. A cystometric evaluation is suitable for evaluating vesicourethral functions. However, cystometric evaluations are invasive and difficult to manipulate. In women without outlet

obstruction, uroflowmetry is an appropriate method for identifying bladder function. Therefore, we evaluated patients using uroflowmetry in this study. T2V would be an effective tool for identifying OAB and the severity of urgency; we observed that T2V correlates more closely with urinary urgency rather than hesitancy in women.

There were some limitations to our study. First, we did not evaluate the test-retest reliability of T2V. We hope to conduct a study regarding the reliability of T2V in the future. Second, we did not evaluate baseline bladder functions using pressure-flow studies. It is possible that we may have included women with a slightly abnormal detrusor or sphincter function. However, we applied strict exclusion criteria to ensure that these factors only have a minimum effect on our results. Third, some degree of subjectivity likely influenced our results because we used the USS to compare uroflowmetric parameters. Finally, the study was conducted on patients with a limited spectrum of variability for the primary purpose of evaluating the relationship between symptoms of hesitancy and the putative factor of T2V, and may not be applicable to patients in general. Further studies investigating the feasibility of our results in clinical practice are necessary.

In conclusion, T2V can be used as a complementary tool for diagnosing and determining the degree of urinary urgency symptoms in women with OAB. Furthermore, T2V was observed to be associated with urinary urgency rather than hesitancy in women with OAB.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

## REFERENCES

- Abrams P, Cardozo L, Fall M, Griffiths D, Rosier P, Ulmsten U, et al. The standardisation of terminology of lower urinary tract function: report from the Standardisation Sub-committee of the International Continence Society. Neurourol Urodyn 2002;21:167-78.
- 2. Cartwright R, Srikrishna S, Cardozo L, Robinson D. Validity and reliability of the patient's perception of intensity of urgency scale in overactive bladder. BJU Int 2011;107:1612-7.
- 3. Coyne KS, Margolis MK, Hsieh R, Vats V, Chapple CR. Validation

of the urinary sensation scale (USS). Neurourol Urodyn 2011;30: 360-5.

- Lukacz ES, Lawrence JM, Burchette RJ, Luber KM, Nager CW, Buckwalter JG. The use of Visual Analog Scale in urogynecologic research: a psychometric evaluation. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2004; 191:165-70.
- Kim SH, Kim TB, Kim SW, Oh SJ. Urodynamic findings of the painful bladder syndrome/interstitial cystitis: a comparison with idiopathic overactive bladder. J Urol 2009;181:2550-4.
- Park KK, Lee SH, Kim YJ, Choi YD, Mah SY. Association between urinary hesitancy symptoms and uroflowmetry measured urinary hesitancy time in men with lower urinary tract symptoms. Neurourol Urodyn 2011;30:578-82.
- 7. Drach GW, Steinbronn DV. Clinical evaluation of patients with prostatic obstruction: correlation of flow rates with voided, residual or total bladder volume. J Urol 1986;135:737-40.
- 8. Drach GW, Ignatoff J, Layton T. Peak urinary flow rate: observations in female subjects and comparison to male subjects. J Urol 1979;122:215-9.
- 9. Abrams P. Urodynamic technique. In: Abrams P. Urodynamics. 3rd ed. London: Springer; 2005. p. 17-116.
- Brown JS, McNaughton KS, Wyman JF, Burgio KL, Harkaway R, Bergner D, et al. Measurement characteristics of a voiding diary for use by men and women with overactive bladder. Urology 2003;61: 802-9.
- Starkman JS, Dmochowski RR. Urgency assessment in the evaluation of overactive bladder (OAB). Neurourol Urodyn 2008;27:13-21.
- De Wachter S, Wyndaele JJ. How sudden is a compelling desire to void? An observational cystometric study on the suddenness of this sensation. BJU Int 2008;101:1000-3.
- De Wachter S, Hanno P. Urgency: all or none phenomenon? Neurourol Urodyn 2010;29:616-7.
- Fall M, Geirsson G, Lindstrom S. Toward a new classification of overactive bladders. Neurourol Urodyn 1995;14:635-46.
- Cucchi A, Siracusano S, Guarnaschelli C, Rovereto B. Voiding urgency and detrusor contractility in women with overactive bladders. Neurourol Urodyn 2003;22:223-6.
- Cucchi A, Quaglini S, Siracusano S, Rovereto B. Urgency degree and bladder contraction velocity: sequential changes in women with idiopathic detrusor overactivity. Neurourol Urodyn 2006;25: 123-7.
- Abrams P. Patient assessment. In: Abrams P. Urodynamics. 3rd ed. London: Springer; 2005. p. 117-46.