Medicine®

OPEN

The top-cited systematic reviews/meta-analyses in tuberculosis research
A PRISMA-compliant systematic literature review and bibliometric analysis

Yonggang Zhang, PhD[a,b,c,*], Jin Huang, PhD[a], Liang Du, PhD[a,c,*]

Abstract

Background: The top-cited systematic reviews/meta-analyses in tuberculosis research have not been identified. The objective of this study was to identify the 100 top-cited systematic reviews/meta-analyses in tuberculosis research, and to understand factors resulting in highly cited works, and establish trends in systematic reviews/meta-analyses in tuberculosis research.

Methods: The Web of Science Core Collection was searched for systematic reviews/meta-analyses on tuberculosis up to January 31, 2016. Articles were ranked by citation count and screened by 2 authors. The following information was collected and analyzed from each included study: citation of Web of Science Core Collection, author, country, year, journal, institution, page number, and reference number.

Results: The 100 top-cited studies were cited from 54 to 662 times and were published between 1997 and 2014. Ten authors have more than 1 study as the first author and 10 authors have more than 1 study as corresponding author. The country with the most top-cited studies was USA (n = 26). The institutions with the largest number of the studies were McGill University in Canada (n = 18). The studies were published in 32 journals, whereas 12 were published in *PloS Medicine*, followed by *Lancet Infectious Diseases* (n = 11).

Conclusions: Developed countries and high-impact journals may publish more top-cited systematic review/meta-analysis in tuberculosis research.

Abbreviations: PRISMA = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis, TB = tuberculosis.

Keywords: bibliometric, meta-analysis, systematic review, tuberculosis

1. Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is a widespread, chronic infectious disease caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.[1,2] TB generally affects the lungs, but can also affect other parts of the body.[3] One-third of the world's population is thought to have been infected with *M*

[a] Department of Periodical Press, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China, [b] Centre for Public Health, School of Medicine, Dentistry and Biomedical Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK, [c] The Chinese Cochrane Centre, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China.

* Correspondence: Yonggang Zhang, Department of Periodical Press, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China (e-mail: yonggang-zhang@sohu.com; Liang Du, Department of Periodical Press, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China (e-mail: liangdu123@aliyun.com).

tuberculosis.[4] The World Health Organization (WHO) reported that 9.6 million people fell ill with TB and 1.5 million died from TB in 2014.[5] It is urgent to study the etiology, prevention, diagnostic, and treatment of TB.[6]

Although there are many studies in the same topic in TB researches, however, the results may be inconclusive.[7] Since the using of systematic review/meta-analysis, studies in the same topic can be pooled and give more precise results.[8] Systematic review/meta-analysis tries to appraise, select, and synthesize all high-quality research evidence relevant to a certain question.[9] It aims at seeking patterns among study results and agreement or disagreement among those results.[10,11] Up to now, there have been many systematic reviews/meta-analyses in the field of TB research,[12–15] and these studies have contributed too many fields of controlling TB. However, the performance, productivity, and impact of these studies are still unknown. To evaluate the current body of these studies, detecting trends is urgent for future TB researches. Bibliometric analysis is the most important method.[16,17]

One of the most useful methodologies of bibliometric analysis is citation analysis, which will examine a network of published articles to assess the individual article's impact and influence on its field.[18,19] Many journals have published the most cited articles in their given field, such as radiology,[17] diabetes,[20] and so on. Previously, we reported the most cited studies in the field of TB[16]; we felt that study was still needed to be strength because we did not report the results in the specific filed. Considering the systematic reviews/meta-analyses contributing in many ways of TB, thus, we performed the current study. The purpose of this study was to identify and analyze the 100 most cited systematic

reviews/meta-analyses in TB research across all peer-reviewed scientific journals. This bibliometric analysis reflects the most influential systematic reviews/meta-analyses to date in TB field and helps to identify future trends in TB research.

2. Materials and methods

This is a bibliometric analysis, so ethical approval was not required for the study. The study was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) statement.[21]

2.1. Study search

We performed a bibliometric analysis of the most highly cited systematic reviews/meta-analyses in the field of TB on January 31, 2016. All journals in Web of Science Core Collection were eligible for inclusion. The following search terms were used: "tuberculosis" or "TB" or "tuberculo*" and "systematic review" or "meta-analysis." The search results were sorted by number of citations.

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The following inclusion criteria were used: the study should be a systematic review or meta-analysis in the field of TB research; the studies should be articles, reviews, editorials, or research letters. The exclusion criteria were as follows: abstracts, correction; study that mentioned the word "tuberculosis," but not deal with TB.

2.3. Data extraction and analysis

The 100 top-cited systematic reviews/meta-analyses were identified based on the citations. Two authors independently extracted the following information from each study: number of citations, authors, corresponding authors (contact authors), authors' address, Journal, year, country of origin, number of references, and number of page. We analyzed the relationship between citations and distribution of authors, countries, institutions, years, and journals. The country was determined on the basis of the address of the first author, whereas the institution was determined on the basis of the address of corresponding author. If the first author and corresponding author had more than 1 address, the first address was used for analysis. Any disagreement was resolved by discussion or decided by the third author.

3. Result

3.1. The main characteristics of the included studies

The Supplement Table 1 (http://links.lww.com/MD/B320) shows characteristics of the 100 top-cited TB systematic reviews/meta-analyses in descending order. The number of citation of these studies varies from 54 to 662, with a total citation of 13,090. The most cited study with a total of 662 citations was about T-cell-based assays for the diagnosis of latent TB infection,[22] and this study was published in 2008 by Pai et al in *Annals of Internal Medicine*. The second study was a meta-analysis on new tests for the diagnosis of latent TB infection, published in *Annals of Internal Medicine* in 2007 by Menzies et al[23] The third most cited study with 559 citations,[24] with the title "*Interferonn assays-gamma in the immunodiagnosis of tuberculosis: a systematic review*," was published in *Lancet Infectious Diseases* in 2004 by Pai et al. The reference numbers for the included studies were from 10 to 470, with an average of 69 references.

Table 1

Authors with more than one study as first or corresponding authors included in the 100 top-cited studies.

Author	Name	Number of studies
Corresponding author	Pai, M	17
	Menzies, D	8
	Colford, JM	2
	Getahun, H	2
	Lange, C	2
	Lonnroth, K	2
	Martin, A	2
	Migliori, GB	2
	Shi, HZ	2
	Steingart, KR	2
First author	Pai, M	5
	Steingart, KR	5
	Menzies, D	3
	Diel, R	2
	Getahun, H	2
	Ling, DI	2
	Lonnroth, K	2
	Martin, A	2
	Minion, J	2
	Sotgiu, G	2

The page numbers for the included studies were from 4 to 171, with an average of 13 pages.

3.2. Distribution of authors

Forty-one studies had less than 5 authors, and 11 studies had more than 10 authors. In quantitative terms, 10 authors have more than 1 study in 100 top-cited articles as the first authors, and 10 authors have more than 1 study as corresponding author (Table 1). The author with most studies as corresponding author was Pai (n=17).

3.3. Distribution of countries

The 100 top-cited TB systematic reviews/meta-analyses were from 21 countries (Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Chile, China, England, France, Germany, Italy, Mexico, Nepal, The Netherlands, Norway, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, Spain, Switzerland, Uganda, USA, and Wales). The country with the most top-cited systematic review/meta-analysis was USA with 26 studies, followed by Canada with 22 studies, Switzerland with 22 studies, England with 8 studies, and China with 6 studies. The country with the most citations was Canada with 3648 citations, followed by USA with 3494 citations. The country with most average citations was Norway with 242 citations, followed by Canada with 166 citations (Table 2).

3.4. Distribution of institutions

A total of 13 institutions with more than 2 studies were included (Table 3). The institutions with the largest number of the articles were McGill University in Canada (n=18) and University of California, Berkeley in USA (n=7), followed by WHO (n=6), Harvard University (n=4).

3.5. Distribution of published years

Year distribution of the 100 top-cited studies is list in Table 4. These studies were published from 1997 to 2014. The year with

Table 2

Country of origin of the 100 top-cited studies (based on country of first author).

Country	Number of studies	Total citations	Average citation/article	1–10	11–20	21–30	31–40	41–50	51–60	61–70	71–80	81–90	91–100
				Number of studies in each ranking									
Australia	2	128	64							1			1
Belgium	2	133	67								1	1	
Brazil	1	72	72								1		
Canada	22	3648	166	4	1	4	2	2	1	2	1	4	1
Chile	1	66	66									1	
China	6	465	78					1	2	2			1
England	8	1255	157	2	1	1			1	1			2
France	1	131	131				1						
Germany	3	476	159		2					1			
Italy	7	659	95			1		1	3	1		1	
Mexico	1	73	73								1		
Nepal	1	109	109				1						
Netherlands	1	74	74								1		
Norway	1	242	242	1									
Saudi Arabia	1	79	79							1			
South Africa	4	436	109		1			1			1	1	
Spain	1	79	79							1			
Switzerland	9	1314	146	1	1	1	2	1	1				2
Uganda	1	81	81							1			
USA	26	3494	135	2	4	3	4	4	2		2	2	3
Wales	1	76	76							1			

most studies was 2008 with 15 studies, followed by 2007 with 13 studies. The year with most citations was 2008 with 2439 citations, followed by 2006 with 1348 citations. The year with most average citation was 2002 with 323, followed by 2004 with 251.

3.6. Distribution of published journals

The 100 studies were published in 32 journals (Table 5). The journal with the largest number of the articles cited was *PloS Medicine* (n=12), followed by *Lancet Infectious Diseases* (n= 11) and *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease* (n=10). The journal with most citations was *Lancet Infectious Diseases* with 1962 citations, followed by *PloS Medicine* with 1522 citations and *Annals of Internal Medicine* with 1319 citations. The journal with the highest average number of

citations per article was *Annals of Internal Medicine* with 440 citations, followed by *Lancet* with 240 citations.

4. Discussion

In recent years, with the prevalence of HIV[25] and drug-resistant MTB,[26] TB has become a dire threat to human health. TB research is also one of the most important research topics of medical researchers. Systematic review/meta-analysis in the field of TB provides many evidence for prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of TB. However, no citation analysis focused on this field; thus, we performed the current study to identify the 100

Table 3

Institutions with at least 2 studies based on the institution of the corresponding authors included in the 100 top-cited studies.

Institution	Country	Number of studies
Institute of Tropical Medicine	Belgium	2
McGill University	Canada	18
Montreal Chest Institute	Canada	2
Guangxi Medical University	China	2
London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine	England	3
Research Center Borstel	Germany	2
South African Medical Research Council	South Africa	2
University of Cape Town	South Africa	2
World Health Organization	Switzerland	6
Harvard University	USA	4
University of California, Berkeley	USA	7
University of North Carolina	USA	2
University of Washington	USA	3

Table 4

Distribution by year of publication of the 100 top-cited studies.

Year	Number of studies	Total citations	Average citations/article
1997	1	82	82
1998	2	272	136
1999	2	240	120
2000	1	133	133
2001	0	0	0
2002	2	646	323
2003	3	371	124
2004	3	753	251
2005	6	503	84
2006	8	1348	169
2007	13	2189	169
2008	15	2439	163
2009	11	1121	102
2010	8	584	73
2011	11	1178	108
2012	9	823	92
2013	3	242	81
2014	2	166	83

Table 5

Journals in which the 100 top-cited studies were published.

Journal	Abbreviated name	Articles included in top 100-cited	Total citations	Average citations/article	Impact factor (2014)
Plos Medicine	Plos Med	12	1522	127	14.429
Lancet Infectious Diseases	Lancet Infect Dis	11	1962	179	22.433
International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease	Int J Tuberc Lung D	10	901	91	2.315
European Respiratory Journal	Eur Respir J	9	1062	118	7.636
Plos One	PlosOne	6	553	93	3.234
Clinical Infectious Diseases	Clin Infect Dis	5	461	93	8.886
BMC Infectious Diseases	BMC Infect Dis	4	428	107	2.613
Thorax	Thorax	4	565	142	8.290
Annals of Internal Medicine	Ann Intern Med	3	1319	440	17.810
BMC Public Health	BMC Public Health	3	429	143	2.264
British Medical Journal	Brit Med J	3	235	79	17.445
Chest	Chest	3	241	81	7.483
Lancet	Lancet	3	718	240	45.217
Emerging Infectious Diseases	Emerg Infect Dis	2	459	230	6.751
International Journal of Epidemiology	Int J Epidemiol	2	304	152	9.176
Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy	J Antimicrob Chemoth	2	133	67	5.313
Journal of Clinical Microbiology	J Clin Microbiol	2	199	100	3.993
Journal of Infection	J Infection	2	147	74	4.441
AIDS	AIDS	1	167	167	5.554
Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics	Aliment Pharm Therap	1	79	79	5.727
Archives of Internal Medicine	Arch Intern Med	1	176	176	17.333
BMC Medicine	BMC Med	1	98	98	7.356
BMC Microbiology	BMC Microbiol	1	97	97	2.729
Clinical and Vaccine Immunology	Clin Vaccine Immunol	1	93	93	2.470
Health Technology Assessment	Health Technol Asses	1	187	187	5.027
Human Genetics	Hum Genet	1	72	72	4.824
JAIDS—Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes	JAIDS—J Acqlmm Def	1	108	108	4.556
Journal of Advanced Nursing	J AdvNurs	1	76	76	1.741
Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology	J ClinGastroenterol	1	66	66	3.498
Journal of Infectious Diseases	J Infect Dis	1	68	68	5.997
Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal	Pediatr Infect Dis J	1	72	72	2.723
Respiratory Medicine	Resp Med	1	93	93	3.086

top-cited TB systematic reviews/meta-analyses and to analyze their principal characteristics.

The number of citations for the 100 top-cited systematic reviews/meta-analyses ranged from 54 to 662, which is far more less that the number of citation of 100 top-cited studies on TB (366–4443), which suggest that the popularization of systematic reviews/meta-analyses remains to be improved. In our study, 100 top-cited studies were published from 1997 to 2014, except 2001. Most of the studies were published in 2000, which is consistent with the development of the methods of systematic review/meta-analysis.

The top 3 ranked studies are all about the T-cell-based assays for diagnosis of TB,[22–24] which partly suggested the diagnosis of TB, especially for latent TB infection, is still the most difficult and important research topic for TB. The number 1 ranked study is a systematic review about the T-cell-based assays for the diagnosis of latent TB infection. Based on the records in the Web of Science Core Collection, this study has been cited in many other research areas, including rheumatology, pediatrics, gastroenterology, dermatology, surgery, and so on. It has also been cited in 7 languages, including English, French, Portuguese, Italian, Spanish, German, and Polish, which indicated the study had an effect worldwide.

Consistent with similar studies, almost half included articles were from USA and Canada.[16] The institutions with largest numbers of the articles were McGill University in Canada and University of California, Berkeley, in USA. It closely related to the influence and scientific output of the field of TB in Canada and

USA. Some developing counties, such as China, Mexico, and Nepal, also published some top cited systematic review/meta-analysis. However, no study was from India, the country with heaviest burden of TB. In addition, no study from developing country ranked in the top 10. The only 1 study from a developing country ranked in top 20 cited studies[27] was an international cooperative study between South Africa, England, and Norway. The developed countries may pay more attention on the topic and have more funds.

The results from our analysis indicated that the most highly cited systematic reviews/meta-analyses were published in journals related to infectious and respiratory diseases, such as *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, *Lancet Infectious Diseases*, and *European Respiratory Journal*. Comprehensive medical periodicals have published articles among top 100-cited as well, like *PloS Medicine*, *PloS One*, and *BMJ*. We have to mention the *International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*. This journal has a relative lower impact factor than most of the included journals. However, a total of 10 articles published in the journal were included.[28–37] They ranked 21st,[28] 31st,[29] 44th,[30] 54th,[31] 59th,[32] 76th,[33] 77th,[34] 80th,[35] 97th,[36] and 99th.[37] They all have been cited more than 50 times. Because the history of research tells us that milestone papers can be rejected when they first submitted;[20,38] thus, to reveal some gold papers, the editors, reviewers should keep guard on quality and be more open to the arriving manuscripts.[20,38]

There are several limitations of this study. First, the current study was only based on journal studies from Web of Science database. Web of Science does not index all journals and there are other databases available for citation analysis (such as Scopus and Google Scholar). Therefore, there may be some missed literature. Thus, our results should be explained with caution. Second, when we analyzed the origin country, our study was based on the institution address of corresponding author. If the author changes the address, there might be statistic bias. Third, there may be many factors that influence the number of citations for one study. We did not analyze self-citation, citations in textbooks, lectures, and journals not included by Web of Science Core Collection.[20] In addition, some authors might cite studies in some journals in which they hoped to publish their research.[20] Fourth, there might be some important and influential articles with lower citation numbers that were not included. After all, Web of Science Core Collection includes the most influential studies worldwide with short updating period, our study have the enormous advantage.

The aim of the current study was to analyze the-top cited systematic review/meta-analysis in TB research, which is partly different from other bibliometric studies in the field of radiology and diabetes. First, systematic review/meta-analysis analyzes disagreement conclusions and gives conclusive results, which will impact longer than original studies in clinical practice. Second, the average citations included in current study might increase quicker than those in our previous study. Third, the summary of the most conclusive research in TB research field may have more implication for the future clinical practices and research work.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the current study is the first bibliometric assessment of the TB systematic reviews/meta-analyses. Developed countries and high-impact journals may publish more top cited systematic review/meta-analysis in the field of TB.

References

[1] Dheda K, Barry CE3rd, Maartens G. Tuberculosis. Lancet 2016;387:1211–26.

[2] Yen YF, Chuang PH, Yen MY, et al. Association of body mass index with tuberculosis mortality: a population-based follow-up study. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95:e2300.

[3] Prideaux B, Via LE, Zimmerman MD, et al. The association between sterilizing activity and drug distribution into tuberculosis lesions. Nat Med 2015;21:1223–7.

[4] Waltl S. Regulator of dendritic cell migration, ASAP1 is associated with increased susceptibility to tuberculosis. Clin Genet 2015;88:530–1.

[5] Mohajan HK. Tuberculosis is a fatal disease among some developing countries of the world. Am J Infect Dis Microbiol 2015;3:18–31.

[6] Zhou Q, Chen YQ, Qin SM, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of T-cell interferon-gamma release assays in tuberculous pleurisy: a meta-analysis. Respirology 2011;16:473–80.

[7] Cattamanchi A, Smith R, Steingart KR, et al. Interferon-gamma release assays for the diagnosis of latent tuberculosis infection in HIV-infected individuals: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2011;56:230–8.

[8] Diel R, Goletti D, Ferrara G, et al. Interferon-gamma release assays for the diagnosis of latent Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Respir J 2011;37:88–99.

[9] Zhang H, Li X, Huang J, et al. Comparative efficacy and safety of prostacyclin analogs for pulmonary arterial hypertension: a network meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95:e2575.

[10] Rhodes KM, Turner RM, Higgins JP. Predictive distributions were developed for the extent of heterogeneity in meta-analyses of continuous outcome data. J Clin Epidemiol 2015;68:52–60.

[11] Tseng PT, Chen YW, Chung W, et al. Significant effect of valproate augmentation therapy in patients with schizophrenia: a meta-analysis study. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95:e2475.

[12] Falzon D, Gandhi N, Migliori GB, et al. Resistance to fluoroquinolones and second-line injectable drugs: impact on multidrug-resistant TB outcomes. Eur Respir J 2013;42:156–68.

[13] Faustini A, Hall AJ, Perucci CA. Risk factors for multidrug resistant tuberculosis in Europe: a systematic review. Thorax 2006;61:158–63.

[14] Jacobson KR, Tierney DB, Jeon CY, et al. Treatment outcomes among patients with extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis 2010;51:6–14.

[15] Jeon CY, Murray MB. Diabetes mellitus increases the risk of active tuberculosis: a systematic review of 13 observational studies. PLoS Med 2008;5:e152.

[16] Chen LM, Liu YQ, Shen JN, et al. The 100 top-cited tuberculosis research studies. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2015;19:717–22.

[17] Dolan RS, Hanna TN, Warraich GJ, et al. The top 100 articles in the radiology of trauma: a bibliometric analysis. Emerg Radiol 2015;22:667–75.

[18] Seriwala HM, Khan MS, Shuaib W, et al. Bibliometric analysis of the top 50 cited respiratory articles. Expert Rev Respir Med 2015;9:817–24.

[19] Tahiri Y, Fleming TM, Greathouse T, et al. Analysis of the 50 most cited papers in craniofacial surgery. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2015;43:2152–7.

[20] Zhao X, Guo L, Lin Y, et al. The top 100 most cited scientific reports focused on diabetes research. Acta Diabetol 2016;53:13–26.

[21] Li H, Zhao X, Zheng P, et al. Classic citations in main primary health care journals: a PRISMA-compliant systematic literature review and bibliometric analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94:e2219.

[22] Pai M, Zwerling A, Menzies D. Systematic review: T-cell-based assays for the diagnosis of latent tuberculosis infection: an update. Ann Intern Med 2008;149:177–84.

[23] Menzies D, Pai M, Comstock G. Meta-analysis: new tests for the diagnosis of latent tuberculosis infection: areas of uncertainty and recommendations for research. Ann Intern Med 2007;146:340–54.

[24] Pai M, Riley LW, Colford JM Jr. Interferon-gamma assays in the immunodiagnosis of tuberculosis: a systematic review. Lancet Infect Dis 2004;4:761–76.

[25] Bucher HC, Griffith LE, Guyatt GH, et al. Isoniazid prophylaxis for tuberculosis in HIV infection: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. AIDS 1999;13:501–7.

[26] Migliori GB, Sotgiu G, Gandhi NR, et al. Drug resistance beyond extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis: individual patient data meta-analysis. Eur Respir J 2013;42:169–79.

[27] Munro SA, Lewin SA, Smith HJ, et al. Patient adherence to tuberculosis treatment: a systematic review of qualitative research. PLoS Med 2007;4:e238.

[28] Sterne JA, Rodrigues LC, Guedes IN. Does the efficacy of BCG decline with time since vaccination? Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 1998;2:200–7.

[29] Slama K, Chiang CY, Enarson DA, et al. Tobacco and tuberculosis: a qualitative systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2007;11:1049–61.

[30] Mandalakas AM, Detjen AK, Hesseling AC, et al. Interferon-gamma release assays and childhood tuberculosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2011;15:1018–32.

[31] Mase SR, Ramsay A, Ng V, et al. Yield of serial sputum specimen examinations in the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis: a systematic review. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2007;11:485–95.

[32] Greco S, Girardi E, Masciangelo R, et al. Adenosine deaminase and interferon gamma measurements for the diagnosis of tuberculous pleurisy: a meta-analysis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2003;7:777–86.

[33] Gao L, Tao Y, Zhang L, et al. Vitamin D receptor genetic polymorphisms and tuberculosis: updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2010;14:15–23.

[34] Hirsch-Moverman Y, Daftary A, Franks J, et al. Adherence to treatment for latent tuberculosis infection: systematic review of studies in the US and Canada. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2008;12:1235–54.

[35] Li HT, Zhang TT, Zhou YQ, et al. SLC11A1 (formerly NRAMP1) gene polymorphisms and tuberculosis susceptibility: a meta-analysis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2006;10:3–12.

[36] Lewis SJ, Baker I, Davey Smith G. Meta-analysis of vitamin D receptor polymorphisms and pulmonary tuberculosis risk. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2005;9:1174–7.

[37] Sun F, Chen Y, Xiang Y, et al. Drug-metabolising enzyme polymorphisms and predisposition to anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury: a meta-analysis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2008;12:994–1002.

[38] Porta M. Acta Diabetologica is 50 and well: long live Acta!. Acta Diabetol 2014;51:1–3.