

Associations of smoking, body mass index, dietary lutein, and the *LIPC* genetic variant rs10468017 with advanced age-related macular degeneration

Johanna M. Seddon,^{1,2} Robyn Reynolds,¹ Bernard Rosner³

¹Ophthalmic Epidemiology and Genetics Service, Department of Ophthalmology, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA; ²Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA; ³Channing Laboratory, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA

Objective: A novel locus in the hepatic lipase (*LIPC*) gene was found to be significantly related to advanced age-related macular degeneration (AMD) in our genome-wide association study. We evaluated its association and interaction with previously identified genetic variants and modifiable factors.

Methods: Participants in the Age-Related Eye Disease Study with advanced AMD (n=545 cases) or no AMD (n=275 controls) were evaluated. AMD status was determined using fundus photography. Covariates included cigarette smoking, body mass index (BMI), and dietary lutein. Individuals were genotyped for the rs10468017 polymorphism in *LIPC* as well as seven previously identified AMD genetic loci. Unconditional logistic regression analyses were then performed. **Results:** The TT genotype of the *LIPC* variant was associated with a reduced risk of AMD, with odds ratios (OR) of 0.50 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.20–0.90) and p=0.014 for the TT genotype versus the CC genotype, controlling for age, gender, smoking, body mass index (BMI), and nutritional factors. Controlling for seven other AMD genetic variants, the OR was 0.50, 95% (CI 0.20–1.1, p=0.077). The magnitude of the effect was similar for both atrophic and neovascular forms of AMD. Cigarette smoking and higher BMI increased the risk, while higher dietary lutein reduced the risk of advanced AMD, adjusting for genetic variants. There were no significant interactions between *LIPC* and smoking, BMI, or lutein. There was a possible association between *LIPC* and complement factor I (*CFI*) variants in terms of risk of AMD.

Conclusions: *LIPC* is associated with reduced risk of advanced AMD, independent of demographic and environmental variables. Both genetic susceptibility and behavioral and lifestyle factors modify the risk of developing AMD.

The links between genetics, environment and age-related macular degeneration (AMD) have been assessed in several previous studies. The US twin study of AMD quantified the proportions of variance in early, intermediate, and advanced forms of this disease due to genetic and environmental factors as 46%–71% and 19%–37%, respectively [1,2]. Several environmental factors have been identified, including cigarette smoking [3,4], higher body mass index (BMI) [5,6], and dietary carotenoids [7–10]. A genetic effect was suggested for several years based on clinical observations, familial aggregation and linkage studies [1,2,11–15], and has been confirmed by studies showing associations between AMD and several genetic loci [16–30]. These genetic loci are estimated to account for approximately one-half of the heritability of AMD [22].

In an attempt to identify other susceptibility loci and to explain the remaining heritability of AMD, we conducted a large genome-wide association study (GWAS) of 979 cases of advanced AMD and 1709 controls, with replication of our top results in independent cohorts with a total of 5789 cases and 4234 controls [29]. Our scan identified the hepatic lipase gene (*LIPC*) in the high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) pathway as a novel locus for AMD risk, with a protective effect for the minor T allele. A separate GWAS corroborated the *LIPC* association with AMD [30]. *LIPC* encodes hepatic triglyceride lipase, which is expressed in the liver. One of the principal functions of the enzyme hepatic lipase is to convert HDL to LDL. *LIPC* performs the dual functions of triglyceride hydrolase and ligand bridging factors for receptor-mediated lipoprotein uptake [29]. We further explored this *LIPC* locus and found that the association was strongest at the functional variant in the promoter region (single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs10468017), which influences *LIPC* expression [29].

In this report, we expanded upon the results of the GWAS discovery of the *LIPC* gene by evaluating the association between the *LIPC* genetic variant and other genes related to advanced AMD, exploring the relationship between this gene and the two distinct advanced "dry and wet" phenotypes, and assessing *LIPC* gene-environment associations and interactions with demographic, personal and lifestyle factors.

Correspondence to: Johanna M. Seddon, Tufts Medical Center, 800 Washington St. #450, Boston, MA, 02111; Phone: (617) 636-9000; FAX: (617) 636-1124; email: jseddon@tuftsmedicalcenter.org

METHODS

The Age-Related Eye Disease Study (AREDS) included a randomized clinical trial to assess the effect of antioxidant and mineral supplements on risk of AMD and cataract as well as a longitudinal study of progression of AMD that ended in December, 2005 [8]. Based on ocular examination and reading center photographic grading of fundus photographs, participants with European ancestry in this study were divided into two main groups representing the most discordant phenotypes: no AMD defined as either no drusen or nonextensive small drusen (n=275), or advanced AMD with visual loss (n=545). The advanced form of AMD, which is associated with visual loss, was then reclassified into the two subtypes of either non-central or central geographic atrophy (GA, n=139) or neovascular disease (NV, n=406), independent of visual acuity level, using the Clinical Age-Related Maculopathy Grading System [31], to determine whether results differed between the two advanced AMD phenotypes. Ethnicity and risk factor data were obtained at the baseline visit from questionnaires as well as measurements of height and weight.

All genotyping was performed using primer mass extension and MALDI-TOF MS analysis according to the MassEXTEND methodology of Sequenom (San Diego, CA) at the Broad Institute Center for Genotyping and Analysis, Cambridge, MA [32]. The single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), rs10468017, which is a functional variant of the LIPC gene on chromosome 15q22, was assessed. In addition, variants in seven other known AMD genes were also determined: 1) the common SNP in exon 9 of the complement factor H (CFH) gene on chromosome 1q31 (rs1061170), a change 1277T>C, resulting in a substitution of histidine for tyrosine at codon 402 of the CFH protein, Y402H; 2) CFH rs1410996, an independently associated SNP variant within intron 14 of CFH; 3) SNP rs10490924 in the ARMS2/ HTRA1 region of chromosome 10, a non-synonymous coding SNP variant in exon 1, resulting in a substitution of the amino acid serine for alanine at codon 69; 4) Complement component 2 or C2 E318D (rs9332739), the non-synonymous coding SNP variant in exon 7 of C2 resulting in the amino acid glutamic acid changing to aspartic acid at codon 318; 5) Complement Factor B or CFB R32Q (rs641153), the nonsynonymous coding SNP variant in exon 2 of CFB, resulting in the amino acid glutamine changing to arginine at codon 32; 6) Complement component 3 or C3 R102G (rs2230199), the non-synonymous coding SNP variant in exon 3 of C3, resulting in the amino acid glycine to arginine at codon 102 on chromosome 19; and 7) Complement Factor I or CFI (rs10033900) on chromosome 4. The genetic variant on chromosome 10, ARMS2/HTRA1, remains a subject of debate as to whether the gene HTRA1 adjacent to it may in fact be the AMD-susceptibility gene on 10q26 [26,27]; however, the relevant SNPs in these two genes have been reported to be nearly perfectly correlated. Thus, while the other SNP is a promising candidate variant, rs10490924 used in this study can be considered a surrogate for the causal variant that resides in this region. For the *C2/CFB* genes, there are two independent associations to the *C2/CFB* locus, but because of linkage disequilibrium, we do not know which of the two genes or if in fact both are functionally affected.

Statistical analyses: Logistic regression was used to determine the association between LIPC genotypes and other risk factors. Individuals with advanced AMD, as well as the GA and NV subtypes, were compared to the control group of persons with no AMD in regards to genotype and risk factor data. Multivariate unconditional logistic regression analyses were performed to evaluate the relationships between AMD and LIPC, controlling for age (70 or older, younger than 70); gender; education (high school or less, more than high school); cigarette smoking (never, past, current); BMI, which was calculated as the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters ($<25, 25-29.9, and \geq 30$); dietary lutein (micrograms), which was determined from food frequency questionnaires, divided into tertiles, and adjusted for sex and calorie intake because men tend to have a higher calorie intake than women; and assignment to a supplement containing antioxidants or a supplement not containing antioxidants. We included dietary lutein in our models because it is related to AMD [7,10,33] and because HDL is the major lipoprotein transporter of lutein and zeaxanthin in the body; moreover, the T allele of the LIPC gene increases HDL [29,34-36].

A separate statistical model including all of the above factors, plus the seven other genetic variants, was also evaluated. The association between the *LIPC* gene and these variants was assessed. Tests for multiplicative interactions between genes and between genes and environmental factors were performed using cross-product terms according to genotype and the individual risk factors [37]. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were calculated for each risk factor and within the genotype groups.

RESULTS

The distributions of demographic, personal, and lifestyle variables, previously shown to be associated with AMD in studies of this cohort [6,33,38,39], are shown in Table 1 according to the *LIPC* genotypes for controls and cases with geographic atrophy and neovascular disease. There were no significant differences in gender, education, smoking, BMI, antioxidant supplements, or calorie-adjusted dietary lutein among the *LIPC* genotypes. There was a significant association between the number of T alleles and age for the NV group, which was not seen in the other groups.

The associations between *LIPC* and other known AMD genetic loci are shown in Table 2. There was a possible association between *LIPC* and *CFH* rs1410996 in the GA subgroup (p=0.035). There were no other significant

				Contro	ls					9	eographic a	ıtrophy		
		C		T	L	Т			C	G		Ľ		
LIPC: rs10468017	z	%	z	%	Z	%	p value*	z	%	z	%	z	%	p value*
Genotype Baseline age														
50-69	89	(20)	92	(20)	21	(81)		38	(46)	28	(56)	4	(57)	
70-95 Gender	39	(30)	29	(24)	5	(19)	0.30	44	(54)	22	(44)	ω	(43)	0.57
Male	58	(45)	57	(47)	10	(38)		44	(54)	24	(48)	С	(43)	
Female	70	(55)	64	(53)	16	(62)	0.49	38	(46)	26	(52)	4	(57)	0.58
Education	τ	ţ	-	ć				τ	0		č	¢	0	
High School or Less College or more	121	(c) (95)	4 117	(5) (97)	1 25	(4) (96)	0.80	75	(6) (16)	o 44	(12)	0 1	0.0 100.0	0.47
Smoking					i		8							
Never	67	(52)	56	(46)	15	(58)		30	(37)	16	(32)	З	(43)	
Former	58	(45)	57	(47)	10	(38)	0.50	42	(51)	31	(62)	ς, γ	(43)	0.71
Current RMI	τ ι	(7)	×	(Σ)	-	(4)	66.0	10	(12)	γ.	(9)	_	(14)	1.00
<25.0	39	(30)	40	(33)	6	(35)		23	(28)	16	(32)	З	(43)	
25.0-29.9	55	(43)	59	(49)	13	(50)	0.98	35	(43)	20	(40)	1	(14)	0.17
≥ 30.0	34	(27)	22	(18)	4	(15)	0.35	24	(29)	14	(28)	з	(43)	0.97
P for Trend							0.40							0.97
Supplements No Antiovidents	60	(54)	99	(55)	17	(65)		VV	(54)	30	(60)	~	(57)	
Antioxidants	60 65	(46) (46)	00 55	(cc) (45)	0	(co) (35)	0.28	4 28	(74) (46)	00	(00) (40)	~ t	(7C) (43)	0 84
Lutein: Calorie Adjuste	d. Sex spec	cific (mean 1	ری ری		`			5		2)		-
Males	- J	1543.1	ò	1703.1		1520.6	0.55		1329.5		1549.6		1100.7	0.67
Females		1468.0		1374.1		1607.8	0.91		1221.2		1361.6		1193.4	0.65
				Neovascular	· disease					Co	mbined adv	vanced AN	Ð	
LIPC: rs10468017		cc		CT		L			C	С	T	L	T.	
Genotype	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	p value*	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	p value*
Baseline Age	107	(48)	80	(1)	4	(10)		140	(48)	117	(23)	×	(00)	
70-95	109	(52)	85	(16)	17	(81)	0.01	153	(52)	107	(48)	20 20	(11)	0.05
Gender		~		~		~			~		~		~	
Male	88	(42)	64	(37)	9	(29)		132	(45)	88	(39)	6	(32)	
Female Education	123	(96)	110	(63)	15	(1/)	0.24	161	(çç)	136	(61)	19	(89)	0.18
High School or Less	32	(12)	11	(9)	5	(24)		39	(13)	17	(8)	5	(18)	
College or more	179	(85)	163	(94)	16	(16)	0.24	254	(87)	207	(92)	23	(82)	0.48
Smoking	ŭ	Ĩ	ĩ		:				ţ	Ċ	000			
Never	8/	(37)	0/ 6	(40)	∷ ∘	(52)	0.10	108	(37)	86	(38) (50)	14	(20)	C1 0
Current	001 25	(16)	70 70	(+)	0 ((oc)	0.10	35	(1c) (21)	C11 25	(nc)		(6C) (11)	0.53
BMI	ì		1		1		0	2	(=1)	3	(11))	(11)	2
<25.0	45	(21)	51	(29)	4	(19)		68	(23)	67	(30)	7	(25)	
25.0-29.9	100	(47)	74	(43)	10	(48)	0.86	135	(46)	94	(42)	11	(39)	0.63
≥ 50.0 n for Trend	00	(16)	49	(87)	-	(66)	0.79	06	(15)	60	(87)	10	(05)	0.87
Supplements							0000							
No Antioxidants	109	(52)	84	(48)	8	(38)		153	(52)	114	(51)	12	(43)	
Antioxidants	102 d Say ma	(48) aific (maan j	90 1	(52)	13	(62)	0.24	140	(48)	110	(49)	16	(57)	0.34
Males	u, vez spe	1430.4	(Sm	1651 6		12953	0.78		1305 0		1623 1		12269	0.25
Females		1254.8		1203.0		1315.6	0.86		1246.8		1231.8		1288.9	0.98
*p value indica	tes the rel.	ationship be	stween the	; number of	T alleles	for LIPC &	und each catego	ory of the	variable.					

2414

associations between the *LIPC* gene and other AMD genetic loci among the controls or in the advanced AMD phenotypes.

Table 3 shows the odds ratios based on the multivariate models, comparing all advanced AMD cases, as well as GA and NV cases, with controls for the LIPC variant, while adjusting for demographic and behavioral risk factors. Controlling for age, gender, education, smoking, BMI, AREDS treatment, and dietary lutein in multivariate model 1 (MV1), the OR was 0.5 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.2-0.9) comparing the TT genotype to the CC genotype for advanced AMD (p=0.014), which suggests a protective effect for the TT genotype. Controlling for the other seven genotypes (multivariate model 2), did not alter the magnitude of the effect of this new genetic variant (OR 0.5, 95% CI 0.2-1.1), although this was not statistically significant possibly due to small numbers. There were minimal differences between GA and NV for this locus. For GA in model 1, the OR was 0.5 (95% CI 0.2–1.3) for the TT genotype, and for NV, the OR was 0.4 (95% CI 0.2-0.9).

Table 3 also shows the associations between advanced AMD, GA, or NV with older age, less education, cigarette smoking (past and current), higher BMI, and lower levels of dietary lutein intake, compared with controls and controlling for the LIPC genotype. Cigarette smoking was associated with a statistically significant increased risk of advanced AMD for both subtypes, controlling for genotype and other factors. ORs in the multivariate model 1 (demographic, environmental factors and LIPC genetic variant) range from 3.9 to 4.0 for current smoking and 1.5-1.8 for past smoking. A body mass index of 30 kg/m² or higher increased the risk for advanced AMD for both neovascular cases (OR 2.1, 95% CI 1.3-3.4) and for geographic atrophy (OR 1.8, 95% CI 1.0-3.2). Higher lutein intake tended to reduce the risk of overall AMD, with OR 0.6 (95% CI 0.4-1.0) for the third tertile versus the first tertile. Additional adjustment for the other seven genetic loci (multivariate model 2) did not substantially alter these ORs. There were no substantial differences between GA and NV in the analyses of these covariates.

We assessed the effect of interactions between *LIPC* genotypes and lifestyle factors on risk of AMD; results are shown in Table 4. There were no statistically significant interactions, meaning that the effect of the gene did not vary significantly according to a specific category of the behavioral factor. Higher BMI and cigarette smoking tended to increase risk of AMD in the CC and CT genotype groups; numbers were too small in the TT group to identify BMI and smoking effects for this genetic subgroup.

Shown in Table 5 are the effects of interactions between *LIPC* genotypes and other genes on risk of advanced AMD. There was a borderline significant interaction between *LIPC* and the *CFI* rs10033900 and *CFH* rs1410996 genotypes. *LIPC* appears to be more protective when *CFI* rs10033900 is

CC or CT as opposed to TT. *LIPC* is more protective when *CFH* rs1410996 is CT or TT versus CC.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first evaluation of the relationship between the LIPC functional variant and advanced AMD while controlling for demographic and behavioral factors including BMI, smoking, and dietary factors, as well as previously identified AMD genes. LIPC and environmental factors were independently associated with advanced AMD, the leading cause of visual impairment and vision-related reduced quality of life among elderly individuals. Controlling for the LIPC genotype, modifiable lifestyle factors, including higher BMI, smoking, and lower dietary lutein, were significantly associated with increased risk of advanced AMD. Similar to our previous findings with other genetic variants [38-41], there was an independent effect of both the genetic and modifiable behavioral factors when they were considered simultaneously, but there were no between the genetic significant interactions and environmental factors on risk of AMD. There was a possible gene-gene association, however, between LIPC and CFH rs1410996, and a possible interaction effect between LIPC and both CFH rs1410996 and CFI rs10033900 variants in terms of risk of AMD, but no other associations or interactions were seen between LIPC and the other known AMD genes.

The association between LIPC polymorphisms and AMD is biologically plausible because this gene is involved with the HDL cholesterol pathway, and cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors are associated with AMD [42]. It has been suggested that CVD could also be a model for the role of cholesterol in AMD [35]. Modifiable factors for CVD such as smoking and BMI are associated with both cholesterol [43, 44] and AMD. High BMI and smoking are associated with increased LDL and lower HDL [43,44]. In a separate report, we evaluated the relationship between serum lipids, LIPC and AMD, and found an inverse (protective) association between HDL and AMD, and a positive (adverse) association with higher LDL and total cholesterol [36]. When we evaluated both LIPC and HDL together, the level of serum lipid did not appear to modify the effect of LIPC on AMD [36], suggesting that although LIPC regulates level of HDL, this may not be the direct mechanism whereby *LIPC* reduces risk of AMD. HDL transports lutein and zeaxanthin and these carotenoids are also associated with reduced risk of AMD [7–10,34–36]. A change in the efficiency of carotenoid delivery is one mechanism by which LIPC genetic variation could be related to AMD [29]. Further research into the mechanisms of LIPC and the HDL pathway in the pathogenesis of AMD are needed.

Strengths of the study include the large, well characterized population of patients with and without advanced AMD from various geographic regions around the

		[ABLE 2.	Associat	TIONS BETWE	EN HEPATIC	LIPASE-C (LIP	C) genotypes and) ATHER AM	1D relatei	GENETIC V	ARIANTS			
				Co	ntrols						Geograph	ic atrophy		
		ç	0	Т	LL	_		Ŭ		C		LT		
<i>LIPC</i> : rs10468017 Genotype <i>CFH</i> : rs1061170 (Y402H)	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	p value*	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	p value*
TT	42	(33)	57	(47)	14	(54)		14	(17)	8	(16)	1	(14)	
CT	67	(52)	48	(40)	8	(31)		32	(39)	20	(40)	3	(43)	
CC	19	(15)	16	(13)	4	(15)	0.096	36	(44)	22	(44)	3	(43)	0.86
CFH: rs1410996		~		~		~			~		~		~	
TT	16	(13)	25	(21)	9	(23)		1	(1)	7	(4)	-	(14)	
CT	65	(51)	64	(23)	14	(54)		27	(33)	11	(22)	Э	(43)	
CC	47	(37)	32	(27)	9	(23)	0.23	54	(99)	37	(74)	3	(43)	0.035
ARMS2/HTRA1: rs10490924		, ,									,			
GG	89	(10)	78	(65)	16	(62)		27	(33)	21	(42)	Э	(43)	
GT	34	(28)	39	(32)	10	(39)		40	(49)	24	(48)	4	(57)	
TT	£	(2)	4	(3)	0	0.0	0.60	15	(18)	5	(10)	0	0.0	0.18
CFB: rs641153 (R32Q)		~		~					~		~			
CC	101	(62)	91	(75)	19	(73)		76	(63)	47	(94)	7	100.0	
CT/TT	27	(21)	30	(25)	7	(27)	0.57	9	6	ŝ	(9)	0	0.0	0.45
C2: rs9332739 (E318D)		с. г		e.		x. r			к. 7		n. F			
GG	116	(16)	106	(88)	24	(92)		79	(96)	49	(86)	7	100.0	
CG/CC	12	(6)	15	(12)	2	(8)	0.71	ŝ	(4)	1	(2)	0	0.0	0.57
C3: rs2230199 (R102H)														
CC	82	(64)	74	(61)	14	(54)		42	(51)	25	(20)	4	(57)	
CG	44	(34)	40	(33)	11	(42)		33	(40)	23	(46)	7	(29)	
GG	7	(2)	7	(9)	1	(4)	0.60	7	(6)	7	(4)	1	(14)	0.66
CFI: rs10033900														
CC	36	(28)	40	(33)	11	(42)		18	(22)	7	(14)	1	(14)	
CT	59	(46)	64	(53)	11	(42)		40	(49)	28	(56)	4	(57)	
TT	33	(26)	17	(14)	4	(15)	0.30	24	(29)	15	(30)	7	(29)	0.99

				Neovasi	cular AMI	•				C	mbined A	dvanced <i>i</i>	AMD	
		cc	C	L	L	T.		Ũ	С	CJ	r	L	L	
LIPC: rs10468017 Genotype	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	p value*	Z	%	Z	%	N	%	p value
CF11: (S10011/0 (140211)) TT	37	(18)	24	(14)	4	(19)		51	(11)	32	(14)	5	(18)	
CT	98	(47)	77	(44)	6	(43)		130	(44)	97	(43)	12	(43)	
cc	76	(36)	73	(42)	8	(38)	0.88	112	(38)	95	(42)	11	(39)	0.10
CFH: rs1410996														
TT	10	(5)	S	(3)	-	(5)		11	(4)	٢	(3)	0	6	
CT	62	(29)	44	(25)	9	(29)		89	(30)	55	(25)	6	(32)	
CC	139	(99)	125	(72)	14	(67)	0.99	193	(99)	162	(72)	17	(61)	0.34
ARMS2/HTRA1 rs10490924														
GG	62	(29)	48	(28)	9	(29)		89	(30)	69	(31)	6	(32)	
GT	108	(51)	83	(48)	8	(38)		148	(51)	107	(48)	12	(43)	
TT	41	(19)	43	(25)	7	(33)	0.16	56	(19)	48	(21)	7	(25)	0.49
CFB: rs641153 (R32Q)				~		, ,							, ,	
CC	191	(06)	162	(63)	20	(95)		267	(61)	209	(63)	27	(96)	
CT/TT	20	(10)	12	(2)	1	(2)	0.45	26	(6)	15	(2)	1	(4)	0.31
C2: rs9332739 (E318D)														
GG	197	(63)	167	(96)	21	100		276	(94)	216	(96)	28	100.0	
CG/CC	14	6	7	(4)	0	0.0	0.19	17	(9)	8	(4)	0	0.0	0.16
C3: rs2230199 (R102H)														
CC	98	(47)	88	(51)	10	(48)		140	(48)	113	(20)	14	(50)	
CG	95	(45)	72	(41)	10	(48)		128	(44)	95	(42)	12	(43)	
GG	18	(6)	14	(8)	1	(2)	0.56	25	(6)	16	(2)	7	(2)	0.77
CFI: rs10033900														
CC	47	(22)	41	(24)	9	(29)		65	(22)	48	(21)	7	(25)	
CT	118	(56)	76	(44)	12	(57)		158	(54)	104	(46)	16	(57)	
IT	46	(22)	57	(33)	Э	(14)	0.44	70	(24)	72	(32)	5	(18)	0.50

Molecular Vision 2010; 16:2412-2424 http://www.molvis.org/molvis/v16/a259

2417

	Combined adva	nced AMD	Geographi	ic atrophy	Neovascular	AMD
	545/2	275	139/275		406/2	75
Number of cases/controls <i>LIPC</i> genotype	OR (CI)	p value	OR (CI)	p value	OR (CI)	p value
Multivariate model 1	-		-		- -	
	0.0 (0 7 1 2)	0 6 2 0		0 1 4 2	10.07140	100
CI TT	0.5 (0.7-0.9)	0.32900114	0.7 (0.4-1.1)	0.143	0.4 (0.7-1.4) 0.4 (0.2-0.9)	0.07
Number of T alleles (p - trend)		0.047	() (0.062		0.105
Multivariate Model 2						
CC	1.0		1.0		1.0	
CT	1.0 (0.6 - 1.4)	0.846	0.9 (0.5-1.6)	0.805	1.0(0.6-1.5)	0.97
	0.5 (0.2 - 1.1)	0.077	0.7 (0.2-2.2)	0.555	0.4(0.2 - 1.1)	0.066
Number of T alleles (p - trend)		0.21		0.587		0.22
Age Multivariate Model 1						
	1.0		10		10	
-70	3.2 (2.3 - 4.4)	<0.0001	2.9 (1.8 - 4.6)	<0.0001	3.3 (2.3 - 4.7)	<0.0001
Multivariate Model 2						
<70	1.0		1.0		1.0	
≥70	3.3 (2.2 - 4.9)	<0.0001	3.1 (1.8 - 5.4)	<0.0001	3.9 (2.5 - 6.0)	<0.0001
Gender						
Multivariate Model I	- -		- -		- -	
		201.0	1.0 12.008 100		1.0	
temale	0.8 (0.6 - 1.1)	C71.0	1.2 (0.8 - 1.9)	0.437	(0.1 - C.0) /.0	07070
VIULIVALIAIC MUUCI Z	0		0		10	
viaie Temale	0.1 0.0/06_13)	0 47	1.0 1 2 (0 7 - 2 1)	0 447	0.1 0.7 (0.5 - 1.1)	0.13
	$(c_{11} - c_{10}) < c_{10}$	71.0	(1.7 - ()	711.0	(1.1 - 0.0) (.0	01.0
Multivariate Model 1						
≤HS	1.0		1.0		1.0	
SH<	0.4 (0.2 - 0.8)	0.007	0.4 (0.2 - 1.0)	0.061	0.4 (0.2 - 0.7)	0.005
Multivariate Model 2			~			
SHS	1.0		1.0		1.0	
SH-	0.5 (0.2 - 1.1)	0.079	0.4(0.1 - 1.1)	0.074	0.6 (0.2 - 1.4)	0.2
Smoking						
Multivariate Model 1						
Ever	1.0		1.0		1.0	
Past	1.5 (1.1 - 2.2)	0.01	1.8 (1.1 - 2.9)	0.016	1.5 (1.1 - 2.1)	0.024
Current	3.9 (2.0 - 7.7)	<0.0001	4.0 (1.6 - 9.6)	0.002	3.9 (1.9 - 7.9)	0.0002
Multivariate Model 2					-	
Never	1.0		1.0		1.0	
Past	2.0 (1.3 - 3.0)	0.001	1.8(1.0 - 3.1)	0.054	2.1 (1.3 - 3.3)	0.001
Current	4.5 (2.1 - 10.1)	0.0002	4.3 (1.4 - 13.0)	0.009	4.8 (2.1 - 11.2)	0.0002

© 2010 Molecular Vision

Combined advanced Geo $345/275$ Combined advanced Geo BMI OR (CI) p value OR (CI) Multivariate Model 1 1.0 0.15 1.10 <25 1.0 2.0 (1.3 - 3.1) 0.002 1.8 (1.0 - 3 >=30 0.002 1.3 (0.9 - 1.9) 0.002 1.8 (1.0 - 3 >=30 0.002 1.3 (0.9 - 1.9) 0.002 1.8 (1.0 - 3 >=30 0.001 1.2 (0.7 - 1.9) 0.50 0.9 (0.5 - 1.0) >=30 2.0 (1.2 - 3.3) 0.01 1.7 (0.8 - 3) >=30 2.0 (1.2 - 3.3) 0.01 1.7 (0.8 - 3) >=30 0.01 0.01 1.7 (0.8 - 3) >=30 0.01 0.01 1.7 (0.8 - 3) >=30 0.01 0.01 1.7 (0.8 - 3) >=30 0.01 0.01	Geographic atrop 139/275 OR (CI) 1 0.0 (CI) 1 1.0 1.1 (0.6 - 1.8) 1.8 (1.0 - 3.2) 1.8 (1.0 - 3.2) 0.9 (0.5 - 1.7) 0.9 (0.5 - 1.7) 0.9 (0.5 - 3.5)	hy p value 0.057	Neovascular AN	MD
Number of cases/controls OR (CI) p value OR (CI) BMI Multivariate Model 1 1.0 1.0 1.0 Aultivariate Model 1 1.0 0.15 1.10 1.0 < 25 $= 2.9$ $1.3 (0.9 - 1.9)$ 0.15 $1.1 (0.6 - 1)$ < 25 $= 30$ 0.002 $1.3 (0.9 - 1.9)$ 0.002 $1.1 (0.6 - 1)$ $> = 30$ $2.0 (1.3 - 3.1)$ 0.002 $1.1 (0.6 - 1)$ 0.002 $1.0 (1.0 - 3)$ $> = 30$ $2.0 (1.3 - 3.1)$ 0.002 $1.0 (1.0 - 3)$ 0.002 $1.0 (1.0 - 3)$ $> = 30$ $2.2 (1.3 - 3.3)$ 0.001 $1.7 (0.8 - 3)$ 0.01 $1.0 (1.0 - 3)$ $> = 30$ $2.5 - 29.9$ $2.0 (1.2 - 3.3)$ 0.01 0.01 $1.7 (0.8 - 3)$ $> = 30$ $2.5 - 29.9$ 0.01 0.01 $1.7 (0.8 - 3)$ 0.01 $> = 30$ $2.5 - 29.9$ 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.10 $> = 30$ 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	OR (CI) 1.0 1.1 (0.6 - 1.8) 1.8 (1.0 - 3.2) 1.8 (1.0 - 3.2) 0.9 (0.5 - 1.7) 0.9 (0.5 - 1.7)	p value 0.85 0.057	406/275	
Multivariate Model 1 1.0 1.0 < 25 < 29.9 0.15 $1.1(0.6 - 1)$ $25 - 29.9$ $1.3(0.9 - 1.9)$ 0.15 $1.1(0.6 - 1)$ $25 - 29.9$ 0.002 $1.8(1.0 - 3)$ 0.002 $1.8(1.0 - 3)$ $>=30$ $2.0(1.3 - 3.1)$ 0.002 $1.8(1.0 - 3)$ $>=30$ $2.0(1.3 - 3.1)$ 0.002 $1.8(1.0 - 3)$ $>=30$ 0.002 $1.2(0.7 - 1.9)$ 0.001 1.0 $25 - 29.9$ $1.2(0.7 - 1.9)$ 0.50 $0.9(0.5 - 1)$ $>=30$ 0.01 0.01 $1.7(0.8 - 3)$ 0.01 0.01 0.01 $1.7(0.8 - 3)$ 0.01 0.01 0.01 $1.7(0.8 - 3)$ $p.trend)$ 0.01 0.01 $1.7(0.8 - 3)$ $p.trend)$ 0.01 0.01 $1.7(0.8 - 3)$ $p.trend)$ 0.01 $1.2(0.7 - 1.9)$ 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 $1.7(0.8 - 3)$ $p.trend)$ 0.01 0.01 $1.7(0.8 - 3)$ $p.trend)$ 0.01 0.01 $1.7(0.8 - 3)$ $p.trend)$ 0.01 0.01 0.01 $tertiles)$ 0.01 $1.7(0.8 - 3)$ 0.01 1.0 0.01 0.01 $tertile0.010.010.010.011.00.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.010.01$	1.0 1.1 (0.6 - 1.8) 1.8 (1.0 - 3.2) 1.8 (1.0 - 3.2) 0.9 (0.5 - 1.7) 1.7 (0.8 - 3.5)	0.85 0.057	OR (CI)	p value
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.0 1.1 (0.6 - 1.8) 1.8 (1.0 - 3.2) 1.8 (1.0 - 3.2) 0.9 (0.5 - 1.7) 0.9 (0.5 - 1.7)	0.85 0.057		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.1 (0.6 - 1.8) 1.8 (1.0 - 3.2) 1.8 (0.0 - 3.2) 0.9 (0.5 - 1.7) 1.7 (0.8 - 3.5)	0.85 0.057	1.0	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.8 (1.0 - 3.2) 1.0 0.9 (0.5 - 1.7) 1.7 (0.8 - 3.5)	0.057	1.4(1.0 - 2.1)	0.085
(p-trend) 0.002 Multivariate Model 2 1.0 <25 0.002 <5 0.001 $<5 - 29.9$ $1.2 (0.7 - 1.9)$ $>=30$ 0.01 $1.7 (0.8 - 3)$ $>=30$ 0.01 $1.7 (0.8 - 3)$ $>=30$ 0.01 0.01 $1.7 (0.8 - 3)$ $>=30$ 0.01 0.01 $1.7 (0.8 - 3)$ $Partendly$ 0.01 0.01 $1.7 (0.8 - 3)$ $Partendly$ 0.01 0.01 $1.7 (0.8 - 3)$ $Partendly$ 0.01 0.01 0.01 $1.7 (0.8 - 3)$ $Partendly$ 0.01 0.01 0.01 $1.7 (0.8 - 3)$ $Partendly$ 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 $Partendly$ 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 $Partial 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 Partendly 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01<$	1.0 0.9 (0.5 - 1.7) 1 7 (0.8 - 3.5)		2.1 (1.3 - 3.4)	0.002
Multivariate Model 2 1.0 1.0 <25 1.0 0.50 $0.9 (0.5 - 1.0)$ $25 - 29.9$ $1.2 (0.7 - 1.9)$ 0.50 $0.9 (0.5 - 1.0)$ $>=30$ $2.0 (1.2 - 3.3)$ 0.01 $1.7 (0.8 - 3.0)$ $>=30$ 0.01 0.01 $1.7 (0.8 - 3.0)$ $>=30$ 0.01 0.01 $1.7 (0.8 - 3.0)$ $Partendly$ 0.01 0.01 $1.7 (0.8 - 3.0)$ $Partendly$ 0.01 0.01 $1.7 (0.8 - 3.0)$ $Multivariate Model 1$ 1.0 0.01 0.01 $Partendle$ 0.01 0.01 0.01	1.0 0.9 (0.5 - 1.7) 1 7 (0 8 - 3 5)	0.064		0.002
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.0 0.9 (0.5 - 1.7) 1 7 (0 8 - 3 5)			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.9 (0.5 - 1.7)		1.0	
>=30 2.0 (1.2 - 3.3) 0.01 1.7 (0.8 - 3 (p-trend) 0.01 0.7 (0.8 - 3 Calorie adjusted, sex-specific Lutein (tertiles) Multivariate Model 1 1.0 Ist tertile 1.0 Deficient 0.01 0.01 1.7 (0.8 - 3 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 1.7 (0.8 - 3 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	17(08-35)	0.72	1.4 (0.8 - 2.3)	0.20
(p-trend) 0.01 Calorie adjusted, sex-specific Lutein (tertiles) Multivariate Model 1 1.0 Ist tertile 1.0		0.15	2.3(1.3 - 4.0)	0.005
Calorie adjusted, sex-specific Lutein (tertiles) Multivariate Model 1 1.0 1.0 Ist tertile 1.0 0.000 1.0		0.81		0.005
(tertiles) Multivariate Model 1 1.0 1.0 1st tertile 1.0 0.000 1.0				
Multivariate Model 1 1.0 1st tertile 1.0 $2.2 \pm 3.2 \pm 1.0$ 0.7 ± 3.10				
1st tertile 1.0 1.0 2.1				
	1.0		1.0	
2.00 CTUD 0.7 (0.2 - 1.1) 0.1 (0.4 - 1 0.1)	0.7 (0.4 - 1.3)	0.25	0.8 (0.5 - 1.2)	0.27
3rd tertile 0.6 (0.4 - 1.0) 0.029 0.6 (0.4 - 1.0)	0.6(0.4 - 1.1)	0.11	0.7(0.4 - 1.0)	0.056
(p - trend) 0.031		0.11		0.057
Multivariate Model 2				
1.0 1.0 1.0	1.0		1.0	
2nd tertile 0.8 (0.5 - 1.3) 0.46 0.7 (0.4 - 1	0.7(0.4 - 1.3)	0.28	0.9(0.6 - 1.6)	0.79
3rd tertile 0.7 (0.4 - 1.1) 0.099 0.6 (0.3 - 1	0.6 (0.3 - 1.1)	0.077	0.8(0.5 - 1.3)	0.30
(p - trend) 0.097		0.078		0.29

containing no antioxidants), calorie adjusted lutein (tertile ranges micrograms: females-1=170.7 - 1096.6, 2=1096.7 - 1685.8, 3=1685.9 - 7259.0; males-1=151.4 - 1107.7, 2=1107.8 - 1669.0, 3=1669.1 - 11614.0). Multivariate 2=Model Adjusted for all variables in Multivariate 1 plus *CFH* Y402H (TT, CT, CC), *CFH* 110966 (TT, CT, CC), *CC*, CG, CG, CC, CG, CG, CC, CC, CT, TT). *ARMS2/HTRA1* (GG, GT, TT), *C3* (CC, CG, GG), *CFI* (CC, CT, TT).

TABLE 4. /	ASSESSMENT OF EFFECT OF INTERACTIONS BETWEEN H	EPATIC LIPASE-C (LIPC) GENOTYE	PE AND LIFESTYLE FACTORS ON RISK	COF AGE-RELATED MACULAR	DEGENERATION (AMD).
			LIPC genotype		P (Trend) for Number of T alleles
		CC	CT	TT	
Number of cases					
	Combined Advanced AMD	293	224	28	
	Geographic Atrophy	82	50	7	
	Neovascular AMD	211	174	21	
Number of controls		128	121	26	
		OR (CI)*	OR (CI)*	OR (CI)*	
BMI	Combined Advanced AMD				
	<25	1.0	1.1 (0.6 - 2.0)	0.6(0.2 - 1.8)	
	25+	1.7 (1.0 - 2.8)	1.4 (0.8 - 2.3)	0.7(0.3 - 1.6)	
	P (Interaction)		0.40 (CT vs. CC)	0.59 (TT vs. CC)	0.40
Smoking	Combined Advanced AMD				
	Never	1.0	1.1 (0.7 - 1.8)	0.6(0.2 - 1.3)	
	Ever	2.1 (1.4 - 3.3)	1.6 (1.0 - 2.5)	0.7(0.3 - 1.8)	
	P (Interaction)		0.27 (CT vs CC)	0.43 (TT vs. CC)	0.30
Lutein:	Combined Advanced AMD				
calorie adjusted,	≤mean†	1.0	0.8 (0.5 - 1.3)	0.7 (0.3 - 1.7)	
sex specific	> mean†	0.7(0.4 - 1.1)	0.7 (0.4 - 1.1)	0.2(0.1 - 0.5)	
	P (Interaction)		0.62 (CT vs. CC)	0.11 (TT vs. CC)	0.83

355 μg.
_utein=1
†Mean I
Interval
onfidence
=95% Co
able), CI
s in the t
variable
all other
ation and
ler, educ
ge, gend
ted for a
tio (adjus
Odds Rat
*OR=(

			LIPC genotype
Number of cases		CC	CT & TT
	Combined Advanced AMD	293	252
	Geographic Atrophy	82	57
	Neovascular AMD	211	195
Number of controls		128	147
		OR (CI) *	OR (CI) *
<i>CFH</i> : rs1061170 (Y402H)			
	TT	1.0	0.5 (0.3 - 0.9)
	СТ	1.7 (1.0 - 2.9)	1.9 (1.1 - 3.3)
	CC	5.6 (2.8 - 11.0)	5.3 (2.7 - 10.3)
	p (interaction)		0.12 (CT-TT versus CC)
CFH: rs1410996	F ()		(**************************************
	ТТ	1.0	0.6(0.2 - 2.0)
	CT	2.8(11-67)	16(0.7 - 4.0)
	CC	78(32 - 190)	99(40-245)
	n (interaction)	,(3.2 1))	0.05 (CT -TT vs CC)
ARMS2/HTRA1: rs10490924	p (interaction)		0.00 (01 11 (0 00))
1111152/1111111:15101/0/21	GG	1.0	0.9(0.6 - 1.5)
	GT	39(24-63)	26(16-41)
	TT	212(63-719)	12.8(4.3-37.9)
	n (interaction)	21.2 (0.5 - 71.7)	$0.32(CT_TT versus CC)$
CER: re641153 (R320)	p (interaction)		0.52(01-11 voisus 00)
(1020)	CC	1.0	0.9(0.6 - 1.3)
	CT/TT	0.3(0.2 - 0.6)	0.1(0.1-0.3)
	n (interaction)	0.5 (0.2 - 0.0)	0.14 (CT-TT versus CC)
C^{2} : rs9332739 (F318D)	p (meraction)	1.0	0.14 (01-11 versus 00)
(E316D)	CC	0.4(0.1-1.4)	0.9(0.6 - 1.2)
	CG/CC	0.4 (0.1 - 1.4)	0.2(0.1-0.5)
	P (Interaction)		0.13 (CT-TT versus CC)
C_{2} : rs2230100 (R102H)	r (interaction)		10(0.6-1.4)
C5. 132250177 (K10211)	CC	1.0	1.0 (0.0 - 1.4)
	CG	1.0 18(11-28)	14(0.9-2.2)
	GG	88(20-394)	1.4(0.5-2.2) 1.5(0.6-3.8)
	n (interaction)	8.8 (2.0 - 39.4)	1.5(0.0-5.8)
CEI: rc10022000	p (interaction)		0.10 (C1-11 Versus CC)
Cr1.1510033700	CC	1.0	0.7(0.4, 1.2)
	СС	1.0	0.7(0.4 - 1.5)
		1.3(0.9 - 2.3) 1.1(0.6 - 2.0)	0.9(0.3 - 1.3)
	1 I n (interaction)	1.1 (0.0 - 2.0)	2.1(1.1 - 4.1)
	DIMETACION		0.04(0.1-11) versus 0.01

TABLE 5. ASSESSMENT OF EFFECT OF INTERACTIONS BETWEEN HEPATIC LIPASE (*LIPC*) GENOTYPE (rs10468017) and other genes on risk of age-related macular degeneration.

*OR=Odds Ratio (adjusted for age, gender, education, smoking, BMI, calorie adjusted lutein, and treatment), CI=95% Confidence Interval. Results shown are for combined advanced AMD.

US, the standardized collection of risk factor information, direct measurements of height and weight, and classification of maculopathy by ophthalmologic examinations and fundus photography. Misclassification was unlikely, since grades were assigned without knowledge of risk factors or genotype. We controlled for known AMD risk factors, including age and education, as well as antioxidant status, in the assessment of BMI, smoking, dietary lutein, and genotype. The environmental and genetic risk factors were independently associated with AMD, when considered simultaneously. There may be some other unmeasured factors that might still be confounding these relationships, but they would have to be highly related to genotype, smoking and BMI, and a strong risk factor for AMD to explain these results. Although this is a selected population, cases likely represent the typical patient with AMD seen in clinical setting. The overall population is

similar to others in this age range in terms of smoking and prevalence of obesity, as well as the distribution of the *LIPC* genotype. Furthermore, the biologic effects of *LIPC* and the modifiable factors are not likely to differ in major ways among various European populations with AMD. This study of moderate sample size may not have sufficient power to detect small to intermediate interaction effects between genes or between genes and environmental factors. Larger studies, as well as prospective studies, are needed to confirm and expand upon these findings.

Conclusion: LIPC is independently associated with reduced risk of advanced AMD, adjusting for demographic and environmental variables. Both genetic susceptibility and behavioral and lifestyle factors modify risk of developing AMD.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the AREDS Research Group; and Marion McPhee, B.Ed., for her programming assistance. Tufts Medical Center has filed a patent application related to some of this work (J.M.S.). Robyn Reynolds and Dr. Rosner declare no conflict of interest. This study was funded by an anonymous donor (to the research of J.M.S.); the National Eye Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD (R01-EY11309); Massachusetts Lions Eye Research Fund, Inc., New Bedford, MA; Research to Prevent Blindness, Inc., New York, NY; The American Macular Degeneration Foundation, Northampton, MA; S. Elizabeth O'Brien Trust, Boston, MA; and the Macular Degeneration Research Fund- Ophthalmic Epidemiology and Genetics Service, Tufts Medical Center, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA.

REFERENCES

- Seddon JM, Cote J, Page WF, Aggen S, Neale M. The US twin study of age-related macular degeneration: Relative roles of genetic and environmental influences. Arch Ophthalmol 2005; 123:321-7. [PMID: 15767473]
- Seddon JM, Samelson LJ, Page WF, Neale MC. Twin study of macular degeneration: methodology and application to genetic epidemiologic studies. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1997; 3172:S676.
- Seddon JM, Hankinson S, Speizer F, Willett WC. A prospective study of cigarette smoking and age-related macular degeneration in women. JAMA 1996; 276:1141-6. [PMID: 8827966]
- Tomany SC, Wang JJ, Van Leeuwen R, Klein R, Mitchell P, Vingerling JR, Klein BE, Smith W, De Jong PT. Risk factors for incident age-related macular degeneration. Pooled findings from 3 continents. Ophthalmol 2004; 111:1280-7.
- Seddon JM, Cote J, Davis N, Rosner B. Progression of agerelated macular degeneration: Association with body mass index, waist circumference and waist-hip ratio. Arch Ophthalmol 2003; 121:785-92. [PMID: 12796248]
- Age-related Eye Disease Study Group. Risk factors associated with age-related macular degeneration. A case-control study in the Age-related Eye Disease Study. Ophthalmol 2000; 107:2224-32. [PMID: 11925463]
- Seddon JM, Ajani UA, Sperduto RD, Hiller R, Blair N, Burton TC, Farber MD, Gragoudas ES, Haller J, Miller DT, Yannuzzi LA, Willett W. Dietary carotenoids, vitamins A, C, and E, and advanced age-related macular degeneration. JAMA 1994; 272:1413-20. [PMID: 7933422]
- Age-Related Eye Disease Study Research Group. A randomized, placebo-controlled, clinical trial of high-dose supplementation with vitamins C & E, beta carotene, and zinc for age-related macular degeneration and vision loss. Arch Ophthalmol 2001; 119:1417-36. [PMID: 11594942]
- van Leeuwen R, Boekhoorn S, Vingerling JR. Dietary intake of antioxidants and risk of age-related macular degeneration. JAMA 2005; 294:3101-7.Witteman JCKlaver CCHofman Ade Jong PT [PMID: 16380590]
- 10. Cho E, Seddon J, Rosner B, Willett WC, Hankinson SE. Prospective study of fruits, vegetables, vitamins, and

carotenoids and risk of age-related maculopathy. Arch Ophthalmol 2004; 122:883-92. [PMID: 15197064]

- Seddon JM, Ajani UA, Mitchell BD. Familial aggregation of age-related maculopathy. Am J Ophthalmol 1997; 123:199-206. [PMID: 9186125]
- Klaver CC, Wolfs RC, Assink JJ, van Duijn CM, Hofman A, deJong PT. Genetic risk of age-related maculopathy: Population-based familial aggregation study. Arch Ophthalmol 1998; 116:1646-51. [PMID: 9869796]
- Seddon JM, Santangelo SL, Book K, Chong S, Cote J. A genomewide scan for age-related macular degeneration provides evidence for linkage to several chromosomal regions. Am J Hum Genet 2003; 73:780-90. [PMID: 12945014]
- Majewski J, Schultz DW, Weleber RG, Schain MB, Edwards AO, Matise TC, Acott TS, Ott J, Klein ML. Age-related macular degeneration- a genome scan in extended families. Am J Hum Genet 2003; 73:540-50. [PMID: 12900797]
- Schick JH, Iyengar SK, Klein BE, Klein R, Reading K, Liptak R, Millard C, Lee KE, Tomany SC, Moore EL, Fijal BA, Elston RC. A whole-genome screen of a quantitative trait of age-related maculopathy in sibships from the Beaver Dam Eye study. Am J Hum Genet 2003; 72:1412-24. [PMID: 12717633]
- Klein RJ, Zeiss C, Chew EY, Tsai JY, Sackler RS, Haynes C, Henning AK, SanGiovanni JP, Mane SM, Mayne ST, Bracken MB, Ferris FL, Ott J, Barnstable C, Hoh J. Complement factor H polymorphism in age-related macular degeneration. Science 2005; 308:385-9. [PMID: 15761122]
- Edwards AO, Ritter R 3rd, Abel KJ, Manning A, Panhuysen C, Farrer LA. Complement factor H polymorphism and agerelated macular degeneration. Science 2005; 308:421-4. [PMID: 15761121]
- Haines JL, Hauser MA, Schmidt S, Scott WK, Olson LM, Gallins P, Spencer KL, Kwan SY, Noureddine M, Gilbert JR, Schnetz-Boutaud N, Agarwal A, Postel EA, Pericak-Vance MA. Complement factor H variant increases the risk of agerelated macular degeneration. Science 2005; 308:419-21. [PMID: 15761120]
- Hageman GS, Anderson DH, Johnson LV, Hancox LS, Taiber AJ, Hardisty LI, Hageman JL, Stockman HA, Borchardt JD, Gehrs KM, Smith RJ, Silvestri G, Russell SR, Klaver CC, Barbazetto I, Chang S, Yannuzzi LA, Barile GR, Merriam JC, Smith RT, Olsh AK, Bergeron J, Zernant J, Merriam JE, Gold B, Dean M, Allikmets R. A common haplotype in the complement regulatory gene factor H (HF1/CFH) predisposes individuals to age-related macular degeneration. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005; 102:7227-32. [PMID: 15870199]
- Jakobsdottir J, Conley Y, Weeks DE, Mah TS, Ferrell RE, Gorin MB. Susceptiblity genes for age-related maculopathy on chromosome 10q26. Am J Hum Genet 2005; 77:389-407. [PMID: 16080115]
- Rivera A, Fisher SA, Fritsche LG, Keilhauer CN, Lichtner P, Meitinger T, Weber BH. Hypothetical LOC387715 is a second major susceptibility gene for age-related macular degeneration, contributing independently of complement factor H to disease risk. Hum Mol Genet 2005; 14:3227-36. [PMID: 16174643]

- Maller J, George S, Purcell S, Fagerness J, Altshuler D, Daly MJ, Seddon JM. Common variation in three genes, including a noncoding variant in CFH, strongly influences risk of agerelated macular degeneration. Nat Genet 2006; 38:1055-9. [PMID: 16936732]
- Souied EH, Leveziel N, Richard F, Dragon-Durey MA, Coscas G, Soubrane G, Benlian P, Fremeaux-Bacchi V. Y402H complement factor H polymorphism associated with exudative age-related macular degeneration in the French population. Mol Vis 2005; 11:1135-40. [PMID: 16379025]
- Maller JB, Fagerness JA, Reynolds RC, Neale BM, Daly MJ, Seddon JM. Variation in complement factor 3 is associated with risk of age-related macular degeneration. Nat Genet 2007; 39:1200-1. [PMID: 17767156]
- 25. Yates JR, Sepp T, Matharu BK, Khan JC, Thurlby DA, Shahid H, Clayton DG, Hayward C, Morgan J, Wright AF, Armbrecht AM, Dhillon B, Deary IJ, Redmond E, Bird AC, Moore AT, Genetic Factors in AMD Study Group. Complement C3 variant and the risk of age-related macular degeneration. N Engl J Med 2007; 357:553-61. [PMID: 17634448]
- Dewan A, Liu M, Hartman S, Zhang SS, Liu DT, Zhao C, Tam PO, Chan WM, Lam DS, Snyder M, Barnstable C, Pang CP, Hoh J. HTRA1 promoter polymorphism in wet age-related macular degeneration. Science 2006; 314:989-92. [PMID: 17053108]
- Yang Z, Camp NJ, Sun H, Tong Z, Gibbs D, Cameron DJ, Chen H, Zhao Y, Pearson E, Li X, Chien J, Dewan A, Harmon J, Bernstein PS, Shridhar V, Zabriskie NA, Hoh J, Howes K, Zhang K. A variant of the HTRA1 gene increases susceptibility to age-related macular degeneration. Science 2006; 314:992-3. [PMID: 17053109]
- Fagerness JA, Maller JB, Neale BM, Reynolds RC, Daly MJ, Seddon JM. Variation near complement factor I is associated with risk of advanced AMD. Eur J Hum Genet 2009; 17:100-4. [PMID: 18685559]
- 29. Neale BM, Fagerness J, Reynolds R, Sobrin L, Parker M, Raychaudhuri S, Tan PL, Oh EC, Merriam JE, Souied E, Bernstein PS, Li B, Frederick JM, Zhang K, Brantley MA Jr, Lee AY, Zack DJ, Campochiaro B, Campochiaro P, Ripke S, Smith RT, Barile GR, Katsanis N, Allikmets R, Daly MJ, Seddon JM. Genome-wide association study of advanced agerelated macular degeneration identifies a role of the hepatic lipase gene (LIPC). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010; 107:7395-400. [PMID: 20385826]
- 30. Chen W, Stambolian D, Edwards AO, Branham KE, Othman M, Jakobsdottir J, Tosakulwong N, Pericak-Vance MA, Campochiaro PA, Klein ML, Tan PL, Conley YP, Kanda A, Kopplin L, Li Y, Augustaitis KJ, Karoukis AJ, Scott WK, Agarwal A, Kovach JL, Schwartz SG, Postel EA, Brooks M, Baratz KH, Brown WL, Group CR, Brucker AJ, Orlinn A, Brown G, Ho A, Regillo C, Donoso L, Tian L, Kaderli B, Hadley D, Hagstrom SA, Peachey NS, Klein R, Klein BEK, Gotoh N, Yamashiro K, Ferris F, Fagerness JA, Reynolds R, Farrer LA, Kim IK, Miller JW, Cortón M, Carracedo A, Sanchez-Salorio M, Pugh EW, Doheny KF, Brion M, DeAngelis MM, Week DE, Zack D, Chew EY, Heckenlively JR, Yoshimura N, Iyengar SK, Francish PJ, Katsanis N, Seddon JM, Haines JL, Gorin MB, Abecasis GR. Swaroop. Genetic variants near TIMP3 and high-density lipoprotein-

associated loci influence susceptibility to age-related macular degeneration. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010; 107:7401-6. [PMID: 20385819]

- Seddon JM, Sharma S, Adelman RA. Evaluation of the clinical age-related maculopathy staging system. Ophthalmol 2006; 113:260-6. [PMID: 16458093]
- Gabriel S, Ziaugra L. SNP genotyping using sequenom massARRAY 7K platform. Curr Protoc Hum Genet 2004; Chapter 2:Unit 2. 12. [PMID: 18428361]
- SanGiovanni JP, Chew EY, Clemons TE, Ferris FL 3rd, Gensler G, Lindblad AS, Milton RC, Seddon JM, Sperduto RD, Age-Related Eye Disease Study Research Group. The relationship of dietary carotenoid and vitamin A, E, and C intake with agerelated macular degeneration in a case-control study. AREDS Report No. 22. Arch Ophthalmol 2007; 125:1225-32. [PMID: 17846363]
- 34. Kathiresan S, Willer CJ, Peloso GM, Demissie S, Musunuru K, Schadt EE, Kaplan L, Bennett D, Li Y, Tanaka T, Voight BF, Bonnycastle LL, Jackson AU, Crawford G, Surti A, Guiducci C, Burtt NP, Parish S, Clarke R, Zelenika D, Kubalanza KA, Morken MA, Scott LJ, Stringham HM, Galan P, Swift AJ, Kuusisto J, Bergman RN, Sundvall J, Laakso M, Ferrucci L, Scheet P, Sanna S, Uda M, Yang Q, Lunetta KL, Dupuis J, de Bakker PI, O'Donnell CJ, Chambers JC, Kooner JS, Hercberg S, Meneton P, Lakatta EG, Scuteri A, Schlessinger D, Tuomilehto J, Collins FS, Groop L, Altshuler D, Collins R, Lathrop GM, Melander O, Salomaa V, Peltonen L, Orho-Melander M, Ordovas JM, Boehnke M, Abecasis GR, Mohlke KL, Cupples LA. Common variants at 30 loci contribute to polygenic dyslipidemia. Nat Genet 2009; 41:56-65. [PMID: 19060906]
- Curcio CA, Johnson M, Huang JD, Rudolf M. Aging, agerelated macular degeneration, and the response-to-retention of apolipoprotein B- containing lipoproteins. Prog Retin Eye Res 2009; 28:393-422. [PMID: 19698799]
- Reynolds R, Rosner B, Seddon JM. Serum lipid biomarkers and hepatic lipase (LIPC) gene associations with age-related macular degeneration. Ophthalmol 2010; 117:1989-95.
 [PMID: 20888482]
- Rosner B. Fundamentals of Biostatistics. 6th Edition. Boston: Duxbury Press, 2005.
- Seddon JM, George S, Rosner B, Klein M. CFH gene variant Y402H, and smoking, body mass index, environmental associations with advanced age-related macular degeneration. Hum Hered 2006; 61:157-65. [PMID: 16816528]
- Francis PJ, George S, Schultz DW, Rosner B, Hamon S, Ott J, Weleber RG, Klein ML, Seddon JM. The LOC387715 gene, smoking, body mass index, environmental associations with advanced age-related macular degeneration. Hum Hered 2007; 63:212-8. [PMID: 17347568]
- Seddon JM, Francis PJ, George S, Schultz DW, Rosner B, Klein ML. Association of CFHY402H and LOC387715 A69S with progression of age-related macular degeneration. JAMA 2007; 297:1793-800. [PMID: 17456821]
- Seddon JM, Reynolds R, Maller J, Fagerness JA, Daly MJ, Rosner B. Prediction model for prevalence and incidence of advanced age-related macular degeneration based on genetic, demographic, and environmental variables. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2009; 50:2044-53. [PMID: 19117936]

- Snow KK, Seddon JM. Do age-related macular degeneration and cardiovascular disease share common antecedents? Ophthalmic Epidemiol 1999; 6:125-43. [PMID: 10420212]
- 43. Freeman DJ, Griffin BA, Murray E, Lindsay GM, Gaffney D, Packard CJ, Shepherd J. Smoking and plasma lipoproteins in man: effects on low density lipoprotein cholesterol levels and high density lipoprotein subfraction distribution. Eur J Clin Invest 1993; 23:630-40. [PMID: 8281981]
- Haarbo J, Hassager C, Schlemmer A, Christiansen C. Influence of smoking, body fat distribution, and alcohol consumption on serum lipids, lipoproteins, and apolipoproteins in early postmenopausal women. Atherosclerosis 1990; 84:239-44. [PMID: 2282102]

The print version of this article was created on 26 November 2010. This reflects all typographical corrections and errata to the article through that date. Details of any changes may be found in the online version of the article.