

CAV1 rs7804372 (T29107A) polymorphism might be a potential risk for digestive cancers

A protocol for systematic review and meta analysis

Pei Chen, MS^a, Yu-Ling Zhang, MS^{a,*}, Bai Xue, MS^b, Ji-Ru Wang, BS^b

Abstract

Background: Caveolin-1 (CAV1) is an essential structural component of caveolae, regulates cellular processes through complex cellular signaling pathways, and influences tumorigenicity. However, the role of the CAV1 (rs7804372) polymorphism in digestive cancers remains inconclusive. The meta-analysis was performed to evaluate the effect of CAV1 polymorphism on digestive cancer susceptibility and to provide a basis for precise treatment.

Methods: The databases of PubMed, EMBASE, Google Scholar and CNKI were used to retrieve the published studies on *CAV1* (rs7804372) polymorphism and susceptibility to digestive cancers up to June 2020. Two researchers conducted study screening, data extraction, and methodological quality evaluation separately according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. Review Manager 5.3 software was used to conduct the meta-analysis.

Results: Six case-control studies were enrolled, including 2477 patients with digestive cancers and 2477 healthy controls. The pooled results showed that the *CAV1* rs7804372 (T29107A) polymorphism increased the risk of digestive cancer occurrence in the allele (*T* vs. *A*: odds ratio (OR) 1.33, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.15–1.53, *P* < .01), homozygous (*TT* vs. *AA*: OR 1.72, 95% CI: 1.31–2.26, *P* < .01), heterozygous (*TA* vs. *AA*: OR 1.47, 95% CI: 1.21–1.78, *P* < .01), dominant (*TT* vs. *TA* + *AA*: OR 1.32, 95% CI: 1.18–1.48, *P* < .01), and recessive comparing models (*TT* + *TA* vs. *AA*: OR 1.61, 95% CI: 1.26–2.07, *P* < .01).

Conclusion: Our results indicate that the CAV1 (rs7804372) polymorphism may modify the occurrence of digestive cancers, and the presence of T allele or TT genotype of the CAV1 (rs7804372) may increase the risk of digestive cancers.

Abbreviations: CAV1 = Caveolin-1, CI = confidence interval, OR = odds ratio.

Keywords: CAV1, digestive cancers, meta-analysis, polymorphism

Editor: Ning Zhang.

PC and YLZ: These authors have contributed equally to this work

This work was supported by the project of Huai-an Science and Technology (HABZ201715). The funders had roles in study design, data collection, analysis, and decision to publish the manuscript.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

Data availability: The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the present study are publicly available. All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its supplementary information files).

^a Department of Basic Medicine, ^b Department of Medical Technology, Jiangsu College of Nursing, Huai an, Jiangsu, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Yu-Ling Zhang, Department of Basic Medicine, Jiangsu College of Nursing, No.9, Keji Avenue, Qing jiang pu District, Huai'an, Jiangsu Province, 223005, China (e-mail: hywxzyl@163.com).

Copyright © 2021 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Chen P, Zhang YL, Xue B, Wang JR. CAV1 rs7804372 (T29107A) polymorphism might be a potential risk for digestive cancers: A protocol for systematic review and meta analysis. Medicine 2021;100:24 (e26186).

Received: 11 September 2020 / Received in final form: 22 February 2021 / Accepted: 15 May 2021

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000026186

1. Introduction

The incidence of malignant cancers is increasing every year with the development of global aging and unhealthy lifestyle. Globally, the incidence of digestive malignant tumors ranks among the forefront of cancer incidence.^[1] Digestive cancers, especially esophageal carcinoma, gastric cancer, colorectal cancer, and hepatocellular cancer, are a global pivotal epidemiological health concern. Compared with other cancers, patients with digestive cancers not only are required to receive comprehensive treatment such as surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy but also face adverse reactions, such as malnutrition, diarrhea, constipation caused by gastrointestinal dysfunction, as well as body function and self-image changes caused by artificial stoma. These problems significantly influence the prognosis of patients with digestive cancer.^[2]

Caveolin-1 (CAV1) is the main membrane protein of caveolae, which are scaffolding proteins of plasma membrane invaginations.^[3] CAV1 is an essential structural component of caveolae, regulates cellular processes through complex cellular signaling pathways, and influences tumorigenicity. The structure and function of the caveolin-1 gene family are highly conserved in different species, indicating its essential role for maintaining cellular functions. CAV1 interacts with various signal transduction molecules through phosphorylation/dephosphorylation signaling.^[4] It plays an important role in cholesterol and lipid transport, membrane transport, signal transduction, and cell adhesion.^[5] Additionally, CAV1 is involved in the regulation of various signaling pathways, such as cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, migration, and angiogenesis, and is associated with the occurrence, development, invasion, and metastasis of various tumors.^[6] The carcinogenic role of CAV1 has been identified in several tumors, suggesting CAV1 as a novel therapeutic target for tumors. Several studies have explored the relationship between CAV1 polymorphisms and susceptibility to breast cancer,^[7] esophageal carcinoma,^[8] colorectal cancers.^[13,14] However, the results of the CAV1 rs7804372 (T29107A) polymorphism and susceptibility to digestive cancer remain controversial. Thus, this meta-analysis was conducted to verify the contribution of the CAV1 rs7804372 (T29107A) polymorphism to digestive cancer susceptibility to provide evidence for precise clinical treatment.

2. Methods

Ethical review was not required since our manuscript is metaanalysis. This meta-analysis was conducted in comply with the guidelines for preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA statement).

2.1. Searching strategy and selection criteria

The literature related to the association of *CAV1* (rs7804372) polymorphism and digestive cancer susceptibility was searched online through the PubMed, EMBASE, Google Scholar, CNKI, Wan Fang and VIP databases from their inception up to June 2020. The following terms were used for retrieving valuable articles: [(CAV1) or (Caveolin-1) or (caveolin)] and [rs7804372 OR T29107A] [polymorphism or genotype or mutation or variant] and [oral or esophageal or gastric or hepatocellular or colorectal]. The references of retrieved articles were manually reviewed for identifying other qualified articles.

Studies were enrolled according to the following criteria:

- 1. all published studies must have explored the association between the *CAV1* rs7804372 (T29107A) polymorphism and digestive cancer;
- 2. case control studies;
- 3. genotype distribution in case and control can be directly acquired or calculated;
- 4. full text can be acquired directly.

The meta-analysis excluded studies according to the following criteria:

1. animal or cell line research;

- 2. systematic review, meta-analysis and repetitive reports;
- 3. unavailability of genotype data distribution.

2.2. Data extraction

Using a specified data extraction table, two investigators completed the study screening, data extraction, and sorted independently, discussed and negotiated the disputed parts, finally reached a consensus. Data extraction mainly includes the first author's name, publication year, sample size, tumor differentiation, clinical stage, and lymph node metastasis. The New Castle– Ottawa scale was used for assessing the quality of each study.

2.3. Statistical analyses

Review Manager 5.3 software was used for analyzing five genetic models of the CAV1 gene polymorphism, including allele (T vs. A), homozygous (TT vs. AA), heterozygous (TA vs AA), dominant (TT vs. TA + AA), and recessive comparing models (TT + TA vs. AA), where TT is a homozygote of a wild-type allele, TA is a heterozygote, and AA is a homozygote of a mutant allele. The comparison between CAV1 (rs7804372) polymorphism and digestive cancer was expressed using pooled odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). The χ^2 test and I² statistics were used to judge whether there was heterogeneity among the studies; P > .10 and $I^2 < 50\%$ could be considered that there was no statistical heterogeneity between the research results, and the fixed effect model was selected for data consolidation; P < .10and $I^2 \ge 50\%$ could be considered that there was statistical heterogeneity between the research results, and a random effect model was used for data consolidation. The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium of the control group was evaluated using the χ^2 test, and the expected and actual genotype frequencies of the control group were compared. In this meta-analysis, *P*-values of <.05were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of enrolled studies

According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, six case-control studies were included, including 2477 patients with digestive cancers and 2477 healthy controls. The positive rate of the T gene locus was 74.22% in patients and 68.21% in healthy controls (Tables 1 and 2).

3.2. Test of heterogeneity

 χ^2 test and I² statistics were used for assessing the heterogeneity of the enrolled studies. Results indicate that there was statistical heterogeneity in the heterozygous comparison model (*TA* vs *AA*) and dominant (*TT* vs *TA* + *AA*), the fixed effect model was used for evaluating the pooled OR and 95% CI for those comparisons. No statistical heterogeneity was discovered in the allele (*T* vs *A*), homozygous comparison models (*TT* vs *AA*) and recessive

Table 1

The main characteristics of	included	studies.
-----------------------------	----------	----------

First author	Ethnicity	Cancer type	Case / control	Test method
Zhang 2014	Asian	Gastric cancer	412/412	Spectrometry
Lin 2014	Asian	Gastric cancer	358/358	RT-PCR
Wang 2014	Asian	Esophageal cancer	427/427	RT-PCR
Hsu 2013	Asian	Hepatocellular cancer	298/298	RT-PCR
Bau 2011	Asian	Oral cancer	620/620	RT-PCR
Yang 2010	Asian	Colorectal cancer	363/362	RT-PCR

				Control	Case						
First Author	n	TT	AT	AA	Т	Α	TT	AT	AA	Т	Α
Zhang 2014	824	210	136	66	556	268	239	134	39	612	212
Lin 2014	756	192	133	33	517	199	188	135	35	511	205
Wang 2014	854	221	166	40	608	246	259	143	25	661	193
Hsu 2013	596	152	98	48	402	194	166	93	39	425	171
Bau 2011	1240	306	206	108	818	422	363	193	64	919	321
Yang 2010	724	179	120	63	478	246	216	117	29	549	175
Total	4954	1260	859	358	3379	1575	1431	815	231	3677	1277

Table 2		
Allele frequency and	enotype distribution of control and case in included studie	es.

comparison models (TT + TA vs AA), the random effect model was used for evaluating the pooled OR and 95% CI for those comparisons.

3.3. Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

A sensitivity analysis was performed for assessing the influence of each study on the overall result by eliminating every study step by step individually. The results suggested that there were no independent studies that significantly influenced the pooled ORs. Funnel charts were used for evaluating publication bias. The funnel chart did not show any significant asymmetry (Figure 1).

3.4. Meta-analysis of CAV1 (rs7804372) polymorphism and digestive cancer susceptibility

A summary of meta-analysis findings regarding the relationship between the CAV1 (rs7804372) polymorphism and digestive cancer is presented in (Table 3 and Figures 2–6) Overall pooled results revealed an increasing risk of *CAV1* (rs7804372) polymorphism in digestive cancer in the allele (*T* vs *A*: OR 1.33, 95% CI: 1.15–1.53, P < .01), homozygous (*TT* vs *AA*: OR 1.72, 95% CI: 1.31–2.26, P < .01), heterozygous (*TA* vs *AA*: OR 1.47, 95% CI: 1.21–1.78, P < .01), dominant (*TT* vs *TA* + *AA*: OR 1.32, 95% CI: 1.18–1.48, P < .01), and recessive comparison models (*TT* + *TA* vs *AA*: OR 1.61, 95% CI: 1.26–2.07, P < .01).

4. Discussion

CAV1 is one of the critical components of the integral membrane protein that makes up caveolins. It has been reported to be associated with esophageal carcinoma,^[15,16] prostate cancer,^[17,18] colon cancer,^[19] breast cancer,^[20] bladder cancer,^[21] lung cancer,^[22] and others,^[23,24] which can promote or suppress tumor occurrence and development.





Table 3

Meta-analysis of Cav-1 rs7804372 gene polymorphism and tumor susceptibility of digestive system.

		Ass	ociation test		Heterogeneity test				
Genotype	n	OR (95%CI)	Ζ	Р	χ^2	Р	ľ		
T vs A	9908	1.33[1.15, 1.53]	3.92	<.01	12.83	<.01	61%		
TT vs AA	3280	1.72[1.31, 2.26]	3.90	<.01	10.60	<.01	53%		
TA vs AA	2263	1.47[1.21, 1.78]	3.92	<.01	5.75	.33	13%		
TT vs (TA+AA)	4954	1.32[1.18, 1.48]	4.87	<.01	6.80	.24	26%		
(TT+TA) vs AA	4954	1.61[1.26, 2.07]	3.81	<.01	9.33	.10	46%		

	Case Control					Odds Ratio	Odds	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events Total		Events Total		Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Rand	om, 95% Cl		
Bau 2011	919	1240	818	1240	19.5%	1.48 [1.24, 1.76]		+		
Hsu 2013	425	596	402	596	15.0%	1.20 [0.94, 1.54]		-		
Lin 2014	511	716	517	716	15.9%	0.96 [0.76, 1.21]	-	-		
Wang 2014	661	854	608	854	16.6%	1.39 [1.11, 1.72]		+		
Yang 2010	549	724	478	724	16.0%	1.61 [1.28, 2.03]		+		
Zhang 2014	612	824	556	824	16.9%	1.39 [1.12, 1.72]		+		
Total (95% CI)		4954		4954	100.0%	1.33 [1.15, 1.53]		•		
Total events	3677		3379							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.02; Ch	i ² = 12.	83, df = 5	(P = 0.	03); I ² = 6	1%		10 100		
Test for overall effect							0.01 0.1 Favours (case)	1 10 100 Favours [control]		

Figure 2. Forest plot of allele *T* vs *A* genotype. Overall pooled results revealed an increased risk of the *CAV1* (rs7804372) polymorphism for digestive cancer in the allele comparing model (*T* vs *A*: odds ratio 1.33, 95% confidence interval: 1.15–1.53, P < .01), and heterogeneity among the included studies was observed ($l^2 = 61\%$, P = .03). Results showed that the risk of *CAV1* (rs7804372) polymorphism *T* allele carriers was 1.33 times higher than that of *A* allele carriers.

According to the results from BioMuta-single-nucleotide variations in the cancer database, 45 modified residues and two modified functional residues of the *CAV1* polymorphism have been recorded, and some of them have been reported to be correlated with digestive cancers.^[25]

Yang et al^[9] first reported the correlation of *CAV1* (rs7804372) polymorphism with colorectal cancer. Their results indicated that *CAV1* (rs7804372) was related to a higher susceptibility to colorectal cancer, with joint effects with smoking status on colorectal cancer susceptibility, and the *A* allele of

CAV1 (rs7804372) polymorphism might act as a potential biomarker for the early diagnosis, prediction, and targets for cancer therapy. Zhang et al^[10] reported the correlation of *CAV1* (rs7804372) polymorphism with gastric cancer, and their results indicated that *CAV1* (rs7804372) polymorphisms increased gastric cancer susceptibility and risk. Patients receiving the *CAV1* (rs7804372) *TT* haplotype had a higher gastric cancer risk and susceptibility than those with *AT* or *AA* haplotypes. Bau et al^[13] reported that *CAV1* (rs7804372) was involved in oral cancer, the *A* allele of *CAV1* (rs7804372) played a protective role to prevent

	Case Control			ol		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Rand	om, 95% Cl	
Bau 2011	363	427	306	414	21.1%	2.00 [1.42, 2.83]				
Hsu 2013	166	205	152	200	16.1%	1.34 [0.83, 2.16]		-	-	
Lin 2014	188	223	192	225	14.9%	0.92 [0.55, 1.55]			-	
Wang 2014	259	284	221	261	14.4%	1.88 [1.10, 3.19]				
Yang 2010	216	245	179	242	15.9%	2.62 [1.62, 4.25]				
Zhang 2014	239	278	210	276	17.5%	1.93 [1.24, 2.98]				
Total (95% CI)		1662		1618	100.0%	1.72 [1.31, 2.26]			•	
Total events	1431		1260			A DECKET OF STREET, ST				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.06; Ch	i ² = 10.	60, df = 5	(P = 0.	06); I ² = 5	3%	0.01		1 10	400
Test for overall effect	Z = 3.90	(P < 0.0	0001)				0.01	0.1 Favours [case]	1 10 Favours [control]	100

Figure 3. Forest plot of *TT* vs *AA* genotypes. Overall pooled results revealed an increased risk of the *CAV1* (rs7804372) polymorphism for digestive cancer in the homozygous comparison model (*TT* vs *AA*: odds ratio 1.72, 95% confidence interval: 1.31–2.26, P < .01). Heterogeneity among the included studies was observed ($l^2 = 53\%$, P = .06). Results showed that the risk of *CAV1* (rs7804372) polymorphism *TT* genotype carriers was 1.72 times higher than that of *AA* genotype carriers.

	Cas	е	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixe	d, 95% Cl	
Bau 2011	193	257	206	314	26.7%	1.58 [1.10, 2.28]		-	
Hsu 2013	93	132	98	146	15.9%	1.17 [0.70, 1.94]	-		
Lin 2014	135	170	133	166	16.0%	0.96 [0.56, 1.63]	-	-	
Wang 2014	143	168	166	206	12.8%	1.38 [0.80, 2.38]	-	-	
Yang 2010	117	146	120	183	12.2%	2.12 [1.27, 3.52]			
Zhang 2014	134	173	136	202	16.3%	1.67 [1.05, 2.65]			
Total (95% CI)		1046		1217	100.0%	1.47 [1.21, 1.78]		٠	
Total events	815		859						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	5.75, df=	5 (P=	0.33); 12:	= 13%				10 10	
Test for overall effect							0.01 0.1 Favours [case]	1 10 100 Favours [control]	

Figure 4. Forest plot of *TA* vs *AA* genotypes. Overall pooled results revealed an increased risk of *CAV1* (rs7804372) polymorphism for digestive cancer in a heterozygous comparison model (*TA* vs *AA*: odds ratio 1.47, 95% confidence interval: 1.21–1.78, P<.01). No heterogeneity among the included studies was observed (l^2 = 13%, P = .33). Results showed that the risk of *CAV1* (rs7804372) polymorphism *TA* genotype carriers was 1.47 times higher than that of *AA* genotype carriers.

	Cas	Case Control				Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl		M-H, Fix	ted, 95% Cl
Bau 2011	363	620	306	620	23.8%	1.45 [1.16, 1.81]			+
Hsu 2013	166	298	152	298	12.6%	1.21 [0.88, 1.67]			
Lin 2014	188	358	192	358	17.1%	0.96 [0.71, 1.28]		-	+
Wang 2014	259	427	221	427	16.3%	1.44 [1.10, 1.89]			
Yang 2010	216	362	179	362	13.6%	1.51 [1.13, 2.03]			-
Zhang 2014	239	412	210	412	16.6%	1.33 [1.01, 1.75]			
Total (95% CI)		2477		2477	100.0%	1.32 [1.18, 1.48]			•
Total events	1431		1260						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	= 6.80, df =	5 (P=	0.24); 12:	= 26%			0.04	01	1 10 1
Test for overall effect	: Z = 4.87	(P < 0.0	00001)				0.01	0.1 Favours (case)	1 10 1] Favours [control]

Figure 5. Forest plot of TT vs (TA + AA) genotypes. Overall pooled results revealed an increased risk of the CAV1 (rs7804372) polymorphism for digestive cancer in the dominant comparison model (TT vs TA + AA: odds ratio 1.32, 95% confidence interval: 1.18–1.48, P < .01). Heterogeneity among the included studies was observed ($l^2 = 26\%$, P = 0.24). Results showed that the risk of CAV1 (rs7804372) polymorphism TT genotype carriers was 1.31 times higher than that of (TA + AA) genotype carriers.

	Cas	Case Control				Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Rand	om, 95% Cl	
Bau 2011	556	620	512	620	21.9%	1.83 [1.32, 2.55]			-	
Hsu 2013	259	298	250	298	16.2%	1.28 [0.81, 2.01]		-		
Lin 2014	323	358	325	358	14.6%	0.94 [0.57, 1.54]		-	-	
Wang 2014	402	427	387	427	13.9%	1.66 [0.99, 2.79]				
Yang 2010	333	362	299	362	15.8%	2.42 [1.52, 3.86]				
Zhang 2014	373	412	346	412	17.6%	1.82 [1.20, 2.78]				
Total (95% CI)		2477		2477	100.0%	1.61 [1.26, 2.07]			*	
Total events	2246		2119							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.04; Ch	i ² = 9.3	3, df = 5 ((P = 0.1)	0); $ ^2 = 48$	1%	- 01	-		400
Test for overall effect	Z= 3.81	(P = 0.0	1001)				0.01	0.1 Favours (case)	1 10 Favours [control]	100

Figure 6. Forest plot of (TT + TA) vs AA genotypes. Overall pooled results revealed an increased risk of the CAV1 (rs7804372) polymorphism for digestive cancer in a recessive comparison model (TT + TA vs AA: odds ratio 1.61, 95% confidence interval: 1.26–2.07, P < .01). No heterogeneity among the included studies was observed ($l^2 = 46\%$, P = .10). Results showed that the risk of CAV1 (rs7804372) polymorphism (TT + TA) genotype carriers was 1.61 times higher than that of the AA genotype carriers.

cancer occurrence and the T allele may be a risky factor. The TT genotype of CAV1 (rs7804372) may be associated with risk for oral cancer. Moreover, Wang et al^[8] also reached the same conclusion for esophageal cancer. While different conclusions were obtained, Lin et al.^[11] reported that there was no correlation between CAV1 (rs7804372) polymorphism and gastric cancer susceptibility. Hsu et al^[12] evaluated the relationships between six single nucleotide polymorphism of the CAV1 gene and hepatocellular cancer risk in a Taiwanese population. No significant association between rs7804372 polymorphism and hepatocellular cancer was observed. Owing to the inconsistent results between the CAV1 (rs7804372) polymorphism and digestive cancer susceptibility, our team comprehensively searched all published studies on the CAV1 (rs7804372) polymorphism with digestive cancer and performed a meta-analysis for obtaining a comprehensive correlation between them.

In our study, six studies were finally enrolled. To provide a more detailed overview of the relationship between *CAV1* (rs7804372) polymorphism and digestive cancer, five genetic models were used. Our results indicated that the *CAV1* (rs7804372) polymorphism was involved in risk for digestive cancer and influences the susceptibility to digestive cancer. The *T* allele, *TT* genotype, and *TA* of *CAV1* (rs7804372) induces an increasing risk of digestive cancers. This means that the *CAV1* (rs7804372) polymorphism can be regarded as a potential biomarker for early diagnosis, development, and prognosis prediction of digestive cancer.

Because of the few reported studies on the relationship between CAV1 (rs7804372) gene polymorphism and digestive system tumor, the limitations of database retrieval and data collection, the relationship between the CAV1 (rs7804372) gene polymorphism and age, sex, and clinical and pathological characteristics were not analyzed. Further studies with larger samples are needed for confirming the relationship between CAV1 (rs7804372) gene polymorphism and digestive system tumors.

In conclusion, we confirmed that CAV1 (rs7804372) can act as a valuable genetic susceptibility marker for digestive cancer. The presence of the allele *T* of CAV1 (rs7804372) has a higher risk of digestive cancer than that of the allele *A*. There is a potential value of targeting the allele *A* for early diagnosis, prognosis prediction, and therapy for digestive cancers.

Author contributions

Data curation: Bai Xue, Ji -Ru Wang. Methodology: Ji -Ru Wang. Project administration: Yu-ling Zhang. Writing – original draft: Pei Chen. Writing – review & editing: Yu-ling Zhang.

writing – review & cutting. Tu-inig Zin

References

- [1] Wanqing Chen, Kexin Sun, Rongshou Zheng, et al. Analysis of the incidence and death of malignant tumors in different regions of China in 2014. Cancer in China 2018;27:1–14.
- [2] Gouzman J, Cohen M, Ben-Zur H, et al. Resilience and psychosocial adjustment in digestive system cancer. J Clin Psychol Med Settings 2015;22:1–13.

- [3] Ketteler J, Klein D. Caveolin-1, cancer and therapy resistance. Int J Cancer 2018;143:2092–104.
- [4] Fridolfsson HN, Roth DM, Insel PA, Patel HH. Regulation of intracellular signaling and function by caveolin. FASEB J 2014;28: 3823–31.
- [5] Nwosu ZC, Ebert MP, Dooley S, Meyer C. Caveolin-1 in the regulation of cell metabolism: a cancer perspective. Mol Cancer 2016;15:71.
- [6] Faggi F, Chiarelli N, Colombi M, et al. Cavin-1 and Caveolin-1 are both required to support cell proliferation, migration and anchorageindependent cell growth in rhabdomyosarcoma. Lab Invest 2015; 95:585–602.
- [7] Patani N, Lambros MB, Natrajan R, et al. Non-existence of caveolin-1 gene mutations in human breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2012;131:307–10.
- [8] Wang S, Zhang C, Liu Y, Xu C, Chen Z. Functional polymorphisms of caveolin-1 variants as potential biomarkers of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Biomarkers 2014;19:652–9.
- [9] Yang MD, Tsai RY, Liu CS, et al. Association of Caveolin-1 polymorphisms with colorectal cancer susceptibility in Taiwan. World J Gastrointest Oncol 2010;2:326–31.
- [10] Zhang Y, Hu XJ, Zhang LL, et al. Interaction among caveolin-1 genotypes (rs3807987/rs7804372), *H. pylori* infection, and risk of gastric cancer in a Chinese population. Tumour Biol 2014;35:1511–6.
- [11] Lin CH, Lin CC, Tsai CW, Chang WS, Yang CW, Bau DT. Association of caveolin-1 genotypes with gastric cancer in Taiwan. Anticancer Res 2014;34:2263–7.
- [12] Hsu CM, Yang MD, Tsai CW, et al. The contribution of caveolin-1 genotype and phenotype to hepatocellular carcinoma. Anticancer Res 2013;33:671–7.
- [13] Bau DT, Tsai MH, Tsou YA, et al. The association of caveolin-1 genotypes with oral cancer susceptibility in Taiwan. Ann Surg Oncol 2011;18:1431–8.
- [14] Sugie S, Tsukino H, Yamauchi T, et al. Functional polymorphism in the CAV1 T29107A gene and its association with prostate cancer risk among Japanese men. Anticancer Res 2013;33:1023–7.
- [15] Ando T, Ishiguro H, Kimura M, et al. The overexpression of caveolin-1 and caveolin-2 correlates with a poor prognosis and tumor progression in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Oncol Rep 2007;18:601–9.
- [16] Kato K, Hida Y, Miyamoto M, et al. Overexpression of caveolin-1 in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma correlates with lymph node metastasis and pathologic stage. Cancer 2002;94:929–33.
- [17] Wang X, Liu Z, Yang Z. Expression and clinical significance of Caveolin-1 in prostate Cancer after transurethral surgery. BMC Urol 2018;18:102.
- [18] Mohammed DA, Helal DS. Prognostic significance of epithelial/stromal caveolin-1 expression in prostatic hyperplasia, high grade prostatic intraepithelial hyperplasia and prostatic carcinoma and its correlation with microvessel density. J Egypt Natl Canc Inst 2017;29:25–31.
- [19] Alshenawy HA, Ali MA. Differential caveolin-1 expression in colon carcinoma and its relation to E-cadherin-(-catenin complex. Ann Diagn Pathol 2013;17:476–82.
- [20] Patani N, Martin LA, Reis-Filho JS, Dowsett M. The role of caveolin-1 in human breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2012;131:1–15.
- [21] Raja SA, Shah STA, Tariq A, et al. Caveolin-1 and dynamin-2 overexpression is associated with the progression of bladder cancer. Oncol Lett 2019;18:219–26.
- [22] Wu J, Di D, Zhao C, et al. Clinical significance of gli-1 and caveolin-1 expression in the human small cell lung cancer. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2018;19:401–6.
- [23] Campbell L, Al-Jayyoussi G, Gutteridge R, et al. Caveolin-1 in renal cell carcinoma promotes tumour cell invasion, and in co-operation with pERK predicts metastases in patients with clinically confined disease. J Transl Med 2013;11:255.
- [24] Barresi V, Giuffre' G, Vitarelli E, et al. Caveolin-1 immuno-expression in human gastric cancer: histopathogenetic hypotheses. Virchows Arch 2008;453:571–8.
- [25] Dingerdissen HM, Torcivia-Rodriguez J, Hu Y, et al. BioMuta and BioXpress: mutation and expression knowledgebases for cancer biomarker discovery. Nucleic Acids Res 2018;46(D1):D1128–36.