



## Lower Serum Levels of Uric Acid in Uterine Fibroids and Fibrocystic Breast Disease Patients in Dongying City, China

**Qicai CHEN<sup>1</sup>, Juan XIAO<sup>2</sup>, Pengpeng ZHANG<sup>2,3</sup>, Lili CHEN<sup>2,4</sup>, Xiaoxiao CHEN<sup>2</sup>, \*Shumei WANG<sup>2</sup>**

1. Dept. of Prevention and Health Care, Dongying Shengli Oilfield Central Hospital, Dongying, China
2. Dept. of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Shandong University, Jinan, China
3. Tianjin Entry-Exit Inspections and Quarantine Bureau, Tianjin, China
4. Dept. of Nutrition and Food Safety, Zhejiang Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Hangzhou, China

**\*Corresponding Author:** Email: wshm@sdu.edu.cn

(Received 04 Aug 2015; accepted 13 Nov 2015)

### Abstract

**Background:** Increasing serum levels of uric acid (SUA) after menopause in women brought up a hypothesis that estrogenic effect may protectively regulate SUA. Estrogenic effect is a major etiology of uterine fibroids and fibrocystic breast disease. The study aimed to explore SUA among patients suffering from these diseases to enhance the hypothesis.

**Methods:** Overall, 1349 female participants were selected into three cases: Case I having uterine fibroids (n=568), Case II having fibrocystic breast disease (n=608) and Case III having uterine fibroids combining with fibrocystic breast disease (n=173); 4206 participants without these diseases were selected as controls. Based on health check-up data from 2011 to 2012, in Dongying Shengli Oilfield Central Hospital, a cross-sectional study was conducted to examine the difference in SUA between the case and control. We adjusted covariates by generalized linear regression mode.

**Results:** From 19 to 44 yr, SUA of Case I to Case III were lower than controls by 8.46  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  ( $P=0.011$ ), 5.88  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  ( $P=0.014$ ) and 9.39  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  ( $P=0.059$ ), respectively. From 45-54 yr, no significant differences were between three cases and controls. In Case I and its control: from 54-59 yr, differences were not significant; from 60 to 72 yr, SUA in Case I was lower than the control by 32.02  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  ( $P=0.003$ ).

**Conclusion:** Participants of uterine fibroids and fibrocystic breast disease had a lower SUA except the stage of menopause, which indirectly supported that estrogenic effect, may protectively decrease SUA.

**Keywords:** Uric acid, Estrogenic effect, Leiomyoma and fibrocyst, Breast disease

### Introduction

Hyperuricemia, defined as high levels of blood uric acid, is the major etiological factor of gout (1), recently, increasing serum levels of uric acid (SUA) has been found to be linked to the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular diseases, cerebrovascular disease and it was found as a predictor of micro albuminuria and renal

dysfunction (2-7). In recent years, the prevalence of hyperuricemia in China is dramatically increasing, about 18.66% (8). Hyperuricemia induces vascular diseases, possibly through the generation of reactive oxygen species and subsequent endothelial dysfunction, which exerts the pro-inflammatory effects (9). Kenneth Rock noted

that uric acid might be an activator of the immune system by stimulating dendritic cell maturation and T lymphocytes (10). The IL-1/IL-1R pathway maybe explained the inflammatory link between SUA and endothelial dysfunction (11). Uric acid can also inhibit endothelial generation of nitric oxide that induces lipid oxidization and impairs endothelium-dependent vasodilation (12). SUA was increasing in postmenopausal women (13), which may increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases, metabolic syndrome, diabetes and other chronic metabolic diseases (14-17). It was hypothesized that after menopause, decreasing estrogenic effect may induce hyperuricemia. One possible explanation of physical mechanisms was estrogenic signaling might decrease SUA through affecting the activity of renal (18). Estradiol could regulate renal urate transporter expression in ovariectomized mice (19). Therefore estrogenic effect may positively adjust SUA metabolism.

It is well known that stronger estrogenic effect is a major etiology of uterine fibroids and fibrocystic breast disease (20, 21). Factors increase overall lifetime exposure to estrogen, such as obesity and early menarche, are positively associated with the incidence of uterine fibroids (22). In patients suffering from uterine fibroids and fibrocystic breast disease, whether SUA are lower than the general due to stronger estrogenic effect? If so, it may offer evidence to support the role of estrogenic effect on SUA metabolism in females.

This study selected participants with uterine fibroids, fibrocystic breast disease or uterine fibroids combining with fibrocystic breast disease as cases, and participants without these diseases as controls. The objective was to explore the difference in SUA between cases and controls. Thereby, we can explore whether estrogenic effect can regulate SUA and open a new view to prevent and treat hyperuricemia in females.

## Materials and Methods

### Study population

All participants were regular and registered staffs or retirees of enterprises and institutions in Dongying City with the benefit of free health check-up once a

year. Participants were selected from health check-up records of a general hospital in Shandong Province from Jan 2011 to Jan 2012. We included 5728 female participants with an age range of 19-72 yr who had intact health check-up records.

Exclusion criteria for participants: fasting blood glucose (FBG) $>8.00$  mmol/L (osmotic diuresis critical point is 8.96mmol/L); AST $\geq 100$ U/L or ALT $\geq 100$ U/L; creatinine clearance (Ccr) $<50$ ml/min or blood uric nitrogen (BUN) $>9$ mmol/L (23); currently using diuretics, allopurinol or uricosuric agents; gout patients; surgery history of hysterectomy, mastectomy or ovarian cystectomy; current or former hormone replace therapy (HRT) users; present or past histories of endometriosis, ovarian tumors and polycystic ovarian syndrome; current in the stage of pregnancy or breastfeeding. Finally, a total of 5555 female participants were recruited. The study set up three cases: Case I 568 uterine fibroids patients aged 19-72 yr; Case II 608 fibrocystic breast disease patients aged 19-54 yr; Case III 173 patients diagnosed with both uterine fibroids and fibrocystic breast disease aged 19-54 yr. The study set up two controls: Control I 4206 people without uterine fibroids and fibrocystic breast disease aged 19-72 yr (vesus Case I); Control II 3762 people from Control I excluding age above 54 yr (vesus Case II and Case III).

The oldest uterine fibroids patients were 72 yr old and no fibrocystic breast disease patients were older than 54 yr old. Since SUA differs in women's lifespan due to menopause, for each condition, participants were further divided into different age groups: 19-44 (reproductive period), 45-54 (menopause), 55-59 (early post-menopause) and  $\geq 60$  (post-menopause) for Case I and Control I; 19-44 (reproductive period) and 45-54 (menopause) for Case II, Case III and Control II.

### Measurements/ General Examination

#### Standardized interviews and self-reported questionnaires

Standardized interviews and self-reported questionnaires were used to obtain the following

information: age (in years); medical history including cardiovascular diseases, metabolic diseases, uterine fibroids, endometriosis, fibrocystic breast disease, ovarian tumors, polycystic ovarian syndrome and so on; operation history including hysterectomy, mastectomy, ovarian cystectomy and so on; use of prescription medication including anti-hypertensives, allopurinol, uricosuric agents, hormone use and so on; histories of pregnancy, delivery and breast feeding.

### ***The anthropometric variables***

The anthropometric variables contained weight, height and blood pressure. Weight and height were measured with the subjects wearing light clothes and no shoes. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing the weight in kilograms by the square of the height in metres. Blood pressure (BP) was obtained from the right arm of the subject in a relaxed, sitting position after 5 min rest. Systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were recorded for twice by mercury sphygmomanometers.

### ***Laboratory Analysis***

Blood samples were obtained by venipuncture in the morning after fasting overnight (at least 12 h). Laboratory test included: fasting blood glucose (FBG), total cholesterol level (T-CH), triglyceride (TG), glutamic-pyruvic transaminase (ALT), glutamic-oxalacetic transaminase (AST), blood uric nitrogen (BUN), blood creatinine (Cr) and SUA. Glomerular filtration rate (GFR), estimated by creatinine clearance (Ccr) using the Cockcroft-Gault (CG) formula:  $(140 - \text{age}) \times \text{weight} / (\text{serum creatinine} \times 85)$ .

### ***Estrogen-dependent diseases diagnosis***

All 5555 participants took gynecology and breast colour doppler ultrasound. Uterine fibroids and fibrocystic breast disease were confirmed by professional gynecologists according to the standard protocol of the health check-up institute (24, 25).

### ***Statistics***

Statistics analysis was performed using SAS software, version 9.1. Data was shown as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. If variances were homogenous, the mean differences between groups were compared by Student's *t* test. A *P*-value of less than 0.10 was considered to be statistically significant when comparing differences between cases and control by Student's *t* test.

After adjustment for covariant variables, the associations between the two kinds of diseases and SUA were evaluated using the generalized linear regression mode. The study set SUA as the dependent variable; the presence of diseases from cases as the independent variable; risk factors selected based on results of independent-sample *t* test ( $P < 0.10$ ) as covariant variables. Compared with controls, partial regression coefficient  $\beta$  of cases represented differences of SUA (ug/L) between the case and control. A *P*-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant by generalized linear regression mode.

## **Results**

### ***Characteristics of participants according to cases and age categories***

Demographic and biochemical parameters of participants according to cases and age categories were listed in Table 1 (for uterine fibroids and control), Table 2 (for fibrocystic breast disease and control) and Table 3 (for uterine fibroids combining with fibrocystic breast disease and control).

From Table 1 (for uterine fibroids and control), totally for all ages, BMI, T-CH, TG and FBG of the case were higher compared with the control but SUA were lower. When considering age categories, from 19-44 yr, BMI, SBP, DBP, T-CH, TG and FBG of the case were higher than the control; from 45-54 yr, it was found only T-CH in the case were lower than that in the control; from 55-59 yr, BMI, SBP, DBP, T-CH, FBG and SUA of the case were lower than the control; from 60-72 yr, BMI, SBP, DBP and SUA of the case were lower than the control.

From Table 2 (for fibrocystic breast disease and control), totally for all ages, BMI, SBP, DBP, TG and SUA in the case were lower than the control. When considering age categories, from 19-44 yr, BMI, SBP, TG and SUA of the case were lower than the control; from 45-54 yr, DBP and TG of the case were lower than the control.

From Table 3 (for uterine fibroids combining with fibrocystic breast disease and control), totally for all ages, only SUA of the case were lower than the control. When considering age categories, from 19-44 yr, BMI and T-CH of the case were higher than the control; from 45-54 yr, BMI, SBP, DBP and SUA of the case were lower than the control.

#### ***Differences in SUA among cases and controls according to age categories***

To compare differences of SUA between cases and controls, the study built 11 generalized linear regression models according to age categories among three cases (the note of Table 4 showed adjusted variables in different models respectively). Covariant variables entered generalized linear regression models were selected by *t* test at the standard of  $P < 0.10$ , as follows from Table 1-3. In comparison with controls, SUA of three cases decreased by 9.62  $\mu\text{mol/L}$ , 4.69  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  and 10.91  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  respectively. When taking age into consideration, the decreasing SUA differed from each other. From 19 to 44 yr, SUA of Case I to Case III were lower than controls by 8.46  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  ( $P=0.011$ ), 5.88  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  ( $P=0.014$ ) and 9.39  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  ( $P=0.059$ ), respectively. From 45-54 yr, no significant differences were between three cases and controls. In Case I and its control: from 54-59 yr, differences were not significant; from 60 to 72 yr, SUA in Case I was lower than the control by 32.02  $\mu\text{mol/L}$  ( $P=0.003$ ).

## **Discussion**

Based on a large sample of health check-up records, the study showed that people suffering from uterine fibroids, fibrocystic breast disease or uterine fibroids combined with fibrocystic breast

disease had a significantly lower SUA than controls except periods of menopause and early post-menopause, which indirectly supported our primary hypothesis that estrogenic effect may positively adjust SUA metabolism in females.

In the health check-up survey, it was unrealistic to determine menopausal period through testing serum estradiol levels in a large sample. So we divided age groups based on former Chinese epidemiological data. According to Lin Li's study of 21,113 women aged 40-65 yr in 13 cities, China, age at natural menopause was most between 45 to 55 yr and only no more than 3% of women were still at menopause between 55 to 60 yr (26). So we set age groups as 19-44 (reproductive period), 45-54 (menopause), 55-59 (early post-menopause) and  $\geq 60$  (post-menopause).

In our study, the oldest uterine fibroids patients were 72 yr old, so we set the highest age category as 60-72 yr in the case of uterine fibroids. Some scholars may doubt that after menopause, as the ovaries's function declines, some uterine fibroids tend to atrophy without estrogenic effect. There is no need to include women after 60 yr old. However, uterine fibroids are still the most common benign tumors in postmenopausal women (27). Bachmann suggested that after menopause, ovaries still continue to produce testosterone, and peripheral tissues metabolize testosterone to active estrogen (28). Additionally, adipose tissue is able to produce increasing levels of estrogen with age at the time of menopause (29). On the other hand, the estrogen receptor-alpha (ER- $\alpha$ , a kind of estrogen receptors (ERs), estrogen becomes functional mainly in the presence of ER- $\alpha$  (30)) gene polymorphisms have been reported to be associated with uterine leiomyoma risk (31), which have no relationships with aging. So, it is reasonable to include participants among the age category of 60-72 yr.

Fibrocystic breast disease become most evident in women between 35 and 55 yr of age (32). It is caused by a proliferation of epithelial cells in the lobulo-alveolar region. After menopause, women's breast epithelial tissue has become atrophic with changed respond to hormonal messengers (32). Generally, in post-menopausal

women, few of them suffer from this kind of disease. So in this study, no patients of fibrocystic breast disease were older than 54 yr old.

The two key steps in keeping normal SUA are synthesis in liver and excretion in kidney. We excluded participants whose liver and kidney function were damaged judged by laboratory indexes: transaminases that reflect liver function; Ccr and BUN that reflect glomerular filtration function. Moreover, osmotic diuresis due to hyperglycaemia can decrease SUA pathologically, so we excluded people whose FBG>8.00 mmol/L. Uterine fibroids and fibrocystic breast disease were related to body size, diabetes and atherosclerosis (33-35) and these factors also influence uric acid metabolism (2). To keep comparative groups matched, we adjusted BMI, SBP, DBP, T-CH, TG and FBG by generalized regression model. However, we did not include Ccr as an adjusted variable like former studies did, because maybe Ccr is an intermediary variable in the analysis between estrogenic effect and SUA (36). Moreover, from Table 1-3, it was found that differences of these parameters between 3 pairs of cases and controls were varied according to age categories, so the study built 11 individual generalized linear regression models to fit for different conditions referred to diseases and age. Stronger estrogenic effect (higher level of estrogen binding higher express of ERs) than normal plays an important part in the etiology of uterine fibroids and cyclomastopathy (30, 37). It has been reported that estrogenic effect can regulate the metabolism of SUA (18). In renal, estrogen induces fractional excretion of uric acid and higher levels of estradiol leads a lower postsecretory tubular reabsorption of urate (18). Moreover, ER- $\alpha$  activity is associated with regulation of metabolism (38). Wang W indicated that gene polymorphism of ER- $\alpha$  affects SUA reduction after bariatric surgery (39). Therefore, due to higher estrogenic effect on regulation of SUA, participants with uterine fibroids and fibrocystic breast disease in our study had lower SUA than controls.

When exploring SUA in uterine fibroids patients according to age categories, although in groups of

reproductive period and post-menopause period, SUA in cases were all lower than controls, but differed in ranges. In the age category of 19-44 yr (reproductive period), the case was 9.62umol/L lower than control, which is not as great as that in the age category of 60-72 yr (the case was 32.02 umol/L lower than control). The possible reason may be: the physiological effect of estrogen are realized by enough levels of estrogen binding active ERs. In the reproductive period, no matter in the case or the control, generally, endogenous estrogen level is relatively higher overall, which can ensure the normal regulation on metabolism. Thus, SUA of the control was relatively lower (237.05 umol/L) compared with participants after menopause (271.22 umol/L). And more sensitive ERs of the case might lead slightly lower SUA than the control.

However, among people of 60-72 yr (post-menopause period), in general, endogenous estrogen level is lower than premenopause because of weakened ovarian function. Therefore it is reasonable that after menopause, losing protection of estrogenic effect, females are likely to suffering from hyperuricemia (13). However, in people with uterine fibroids, a stronger ER- $\alpha$  immunoreactivity was observed in the post-menopausal group compared with the premenopausal group (40). High activity of ERs keep people with uterine fibroids after menopause can still have strong estrogenic effect, which can protectively decrease SUA in a certain degree. In the group of post-menopause period, the difference of SUA was the most significant between the case and control.

Moreover, if estrogenic effect can decrease SUA, in menopause (45-54 yr old) group and early post-menopause (55-59 yr old) group, why we found that the difference of SUA was not obvious between the case and control (*P*-values are 0.725 and 0.21 separately)? Possible explanation of the result is that throughout menopause, levels of different hormones rise and fall: 1- The abnormal metabolism of female is due to raised level of androgens (41, 42). Androgens' effect may influence SUA more significantly than estrogenic effect. 2-The eccentric raised level of

glucocorticoid may hamper the activity of estrogen (43, 44).

How estrogenic effect realizes its function on SUA? Whether it is relied on higher level of estrogen or stronger effect of ERs?

Many studies have explored the role of estrogen (especially exogenous estrogen) for adjusting SUA. Sumino H et al. showed that hormone replacement therapy (HRT) reduced SUA in certain group of postmenopausal women with hyperuricaemia (45). Based on the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, Hak et al. got similar results (13). However, after using HRT, SUA did not change significantly in postmenopausal women (36). Maybe, the controversial outcomes from HRT were due to different effects of ERs among individuals.

Recently, it is found that ERs, especially ER- $\alpha$  play an essential role in the realization of estrogenic effect, which differs according to their genotypes (39, 46). Moreover, stronger effect of ER- $\alpha$  plays an important part in the etiology of uterine fibroids and fibrocystic breast disease (37, 47). Brandon discovered that ERs gene expression increased in leiomyoma compared with normal myometrium (48). So maybe, stronger effect of ERs may be another mechanism for lower SUA in uterine fibroids and fibrocystic breast disease patients compared with controls in our study.

Only with health check-up data, we cannot be sure which one, higher level of estrogen or stronger effect of ERs, is more important for estrogenic effect on SUA. Further studies can focus on: 1) explore ERs' functions for regulating SUA through immunohistochemistry from related biopsy samples or ex-vivo experiments; 2) compare serum active estrogen's levels (like estradiol) from patients of uterine fibroids and fibrocystic breast disease with the general.

This study also has some limitations. Since this is a cross-sectional study, we couldn't determine a casual relationship. In addition we did not partition disease grades according to the severity of uterine fibroids and fibrocystic breast disease, so we could not analyze dose-response of exposure and outcome.

## Conclusion

Participants of uterine fibroids and fibrocystic breast disease had a lower SUA except the stage of menopause. That may indirectly support that estrogenic effect can protectively keep SUA at a relatively lower level in females.

## Ethical consideration

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of School of Public Health, Shandong University and informed oral consent was obtained from each participant. Since many individuals involved in this study were workers from rural areas, some of whom were illiterate, the informed consent was read and explained by investigators, and participants were told that their health examination data might be applied for research without private information leakage (including name, contact information and so on). The whole process was recorded by voice recorders (recorder pens), which can supervise behaviors of investigators and keep qualities of the survey.

## Acknowledgments

We thank the members of Health Management Center of Shengli Oilfield Central Hospital in Dongying City. They have provided invaluable help for the data collection. The authors have nothing to disclose.

## References

1. Jin M, Yang F, Yang I, Yin Y, Luo JJ, Wang H, Yang XF (2012). Uric acid, hyperuricemia and vascular diseases. *Front Biosci (Landmark Ed)*, 17:656-669.
2. Fang J, Alderman MH (2000). Serum uric acid and cardiovascular mortality the NHANES I epidemiologic follow-up study, 1971-1992. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *JAMA*, 283:2404-2410.
3. Long CL, Qin XC, Pan ZY, Chen K, Zhang YF, Cui WY, Liu GS, Wang H (2008). Activation of ATP-sensitive potassium channels protects

- vascular endothelial cells from hypertension and renal injury induced by hyperuricemia. *J Hypertens*, 26:2326-2338.
4. Ford ES, Li C, Cook S, Choi HK (2007). Serum concentrations of uric acid and the metabolic syndrome among US children and adolescents. *Circulation*, 115:2526-2532.
  5. Tuttle KR, Short RA, Johnson RJ (2001). Sex differences in uric acid and risk factors for coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol*, 87:1411-1414.
  6. Schretlen DJ, Inscore AB, Vannorsdall TD, Kraut M, Pearlson GD, Gordon B, Jinnah HA (2007). Serum uric acid and brain ischemia in normal elderly adults. *Neurology*, 69:1418-1423.
  7. Lee JE, Kim YG, Choi YH, Huh W, Kim DJ, Oh HY (2006). Serum uric acid is associated with microalbuminuria in prehypertension. *Hypertension*, 47:962-967.
  8. B L, T W, Hn Z, Ww Y, Hp Y, Cx L, J Y, Ry J, Hw N (2011). The prevalence of hyperuricemia in China: a meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health*, 11:832.
  9. Khosla UM, Zharikov S, Finch JL et al. (2005). Hyperuricemia induces endothelial dysfunction. *Kidney Int*, 67:1739-1742.
  10. Shi Y, Evans JE, Rock KL (2003). Molecular identification of a danger signal that alerts the immune system to dying cells. *Nature*, 425:516-521.
  11. Ruggiero C, Cherubini A, Ble A, Bos AJ, Maggio M, Dixit VD, Lauretani F, Bandinelli S, Senin U, Ferrucci L (2006). Uric acid and inflammatory markers. *Eur Heart J*, 27:1174-1181.
  12. Kanellis J, Kang DH (2005). Uric acid as a mediator of endothelial dysfunction, inflammation, and vascular disease. *Semin Nephrol*, 25:39-42.
  13. Hak AE, Choi HK (2008). Menopause, postmenopausal hormone use and serum uric acid levels in US women—the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Arthritis Res Ther*, 10:R116.
  14. Cremonini E, Bonaccorsi G, Bergamini CM, Castaldini C, Ferrazzini S, Capatti A, Massari L, Romani A, Marci R, Fila E, Ferrari C, Cervellati C (2013). Metabolic transitions at menopause: in post-menopausal women the increase in serum uric acid correlates with abdominal adiposity as assessed by DXA. *Maturitas*, 75:62-66.
  15. Mascarenhas-Melo F, Marado D, Palavra F, Sereno J, Coelho A, Pinto R, Teixeira-Lemos E, Teixeira F, Reis F (2013). Diabetes abrogates sex differences and aggravates cardiometabolic risk in postmenopausal women. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*, 12:61.
  16. Mascarenhas-Melo F, Sereno J, Teixeira-Lemos E, Ribeiro S, Rocha-Pereira P, Cotterill E, Teixeira F, Reis F (2013). Markers of increased cardiovascular risk in postmenopausal women: focus on oxidized-LDL and HDL subpopulations. *Dis Markers*, 35:85-96.
  17. Moon SS (2013). Relationship between serum uric acid level and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in pre- and postmenopausal women. *Ann Nutr Metab*, 62:158-163.
  18. Yahyaoui R, Esteva I, Haro-Mora JJ et al. (2008). Effect of long-term administration of cross-sex hormone therapy on serum and urinary uric acid in transsexual persons. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 93:2230-2233.
  19. Takiue Y, Hosoyamada M, Kimura M, Saito H (2011). The effect of female hormones upon urate transport systems in the mouse kidney. *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids*, 30:113-119.
  20. Bradlow HL, Rosenfeld RS, Kream J, Fleisher M, O'Connor J, Schwartz MK (1981). Steroid hormone accumulation in human breast cyst fluid. *Cancer Res*, 41:105-107.
  21. Okolo S (2008). Incidence, aetiology and epidemiology of uterine fibroids. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol*, 22:571-588.
  22. Parker WH (2007). Etiology, symptomatology, and diagnosis of uterine myomas. *Fertil Steril*, 87:725-736.
  23. (2002). K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification, and stratification. *Am J Kidney Dis*, 39:S1-266.
  24. Xie H (2004). *Ultrasonographic Diagnosis in Obstetrics & Gynecology*. ed. People's Medical Publishing House, China, pp.: 168-228.
  25. JC W (2013). Breast hyperplastic diseases. In: *Diagnosis and treatment in benign breast diseases*. Ed (s), Zhang Z, Wu JC, pp. 155-156.
  26. Li L, Wu J, Pu D, et al. (2012). Factors associated with the age of natural menopause and menopausal symptoms in Chinese women. *Maturitas*, 73:354-360.
  27. Eckey T, Neumann A, Bohlmann MK, Barkhausen J, Hunold P (2011). [Non-invasive thermoablation of symptomatic uterine

- fibroids with magnetic resonance-guided high-energy ultrasound]. *Radiology*, 51:610-619.
28. Bachmann G (2001). Physiologic aspects of natural and surgical menopause. *J Reprod Med*, 46:307-315.
  29. Misso ML, Jang C, Adams J, Tran J, Murata Y, Bell R, Boon WC, Simpson ER, Davis SR (2005). Differential expression of factors involved in fat metabolism with age and the menopause transition. *Maturitas*, 51:299-306.
  30. Burns KA, Korach KS (2012). Estrogen receptors and human disease: an update. *Arch Toxicol*, 86:1491-1504.
  31. Feng Y, Lin X, Zhou S, Xu N, Yi T, Zhao X (2013). The associations between the polymorphisms of the ER-alpha gene and the risk of uterine leiomyoma (ULM). *Tumour Biol*, 34:3077-3082.
  32. Wren BG (1996). The breast and the menopause. *Baillieres Clin Obstet Gynaecol*, 10:433-447.
  33. Wise LA, Palmer JR, Spiegelman D, Harlow BL, Stewart EA, Adams-Campbell LL, Rosenberg L (2005). Influence of Body Size and Body Fat Distribution on Risk of Uterine Leiomyomata in U.S. Black Women. *Epidemiology*, 16:346-354.
  34. Baird DD, Travlos G, Wilson R, Dunson DB, Hill MC, D'Aloisio AA, London SJ, Schectman JM (2009). Uterine leiomyomata in relation to insulin-like growth factor-I, insulin, and diabetes. *Epidemiology*, 20:604-610.
  35. He Y, Zeng Q, Li X, Liu B, Wang P (2013). The association between subclinical atherosclerosis and uterine fibroids. *PLoS One*, 8:e57089.
  36. Kaygusuz I, Gumus, II, Yuvaci HU, Kasapoglu B, Carlioglu A (2012). Does hormone replacement therapy have beneficial effects on renal functions in menopausal women? *Arch Gynecol Obstet*, 285:1643-1646.
  37. Luo N, Guan Q, Zheng L, Qu X, Dai H, Cheng Z (2014). Estrogen-mediated activation of fibroblasts and its effects on the fibroid cell proliferation. *Transl Res*, 163:232-241.
  38. Clegg DJ (2012). Minireview: the year in review of estrogen regulation of metabolism. *Mol Endocrinol*, 26:1957-1960.
  39. Wang W, Liou TH, Lee WJ, Hsu CT, Lee MF, Chen HH (2014). ESR1 gene and insulin resistance remission are associated with serum uric acid decline for severely obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*, 10:14-22.
  40. Vozarova B, Stefan N, Lindsay RS, Saremi A, Pratley RE, Bogardus C, Tataranni PA (2002). High alanine aminotransferase is associated with decreased hepatic insulin sensitivity and predicts the development of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes*, 51:1889-1895.
  41. Sutton-Tyrrell K, Wildman RP, Matthews KA, Chae C, Lasley BL, Brockwell S, Pasternak RC, Lloyd-Jones D, Sowers MF, Torrens JI (2005). Sex-hormone-binding globulin and the free androgen index are related to cardiovascular risk factors in multiethnic premenopausal and perimenopausal women enrolled in the Study of Women Across the Nation (SWAN). *Circulation*, 111:1242-1249.
  42. Diamanti-Kandarakis E, Lambrinoudaki I, Economou F, Christou M, Piperi C, Papavassiliou AG, Creatsas G (2010). Androgens associated with advanced glycation end-products in postmenopausal women. *Menopause*, 17:1182-1187.
  43. Poutanen M (2012). Understanding the diversity of sex steroid action. *J Endocrinol*, 212:1-2.
  44. Gong H, Jarzynka MJ, Cole TJ et al. (2008). Glucocorticoids antagonize estrogens by glucocorticoid receptor-mediated activation of estrogen sulfotransferase. *Cancer Res*, 68:7386-7393.
  45. Sumino H, Ichikawa S, Kanda T, Nakamura T, Sakamaki T (1999). Reduction of serum uric acid by hormone replacement therapy in postmenopausal women with hyperuricaemia. *Lancet*, 354:650.
  46. Favre J, Gao J, Henry JP et al. (2010). Endothelial estrogen receptor {alpha} plays an essential role in the coronary and myocardial protective effects of estradiol in ischemia/reperfusion. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*, 30:2562-2577.
  47. Maleeva A, Milkov V (1991). [Clinical significance of analysis of estrogen and progesterone receptors in human uterine tissues]. *Akush Ginekol (Mosk)*, (5): 55-57.
  48. Brandon DD, Erickson TE, Keenan EJ, et al. (1995). Estrogen receptor gene expression in human uterine leiomyomata. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 80:1876-1881.



**Table 1:** Demographic data and laboratory parameters in the case of uterine fibroids and control

Parameter	Total age		19-44		45-54		55-59		60-72	
	Control (n=4206)	Case (n=568)	Control (n=2973)	Case (n=254)	Control (n=790)	Case (n=199)	Control (n=159)	Case (n=66)	Control (n=284)	Case (n=49)
BMI (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	22.88 (3.42)	23.52 (3.67) <sup>b</sup>	22.27 (3.27)	23.25 (2.93) <sup>b</sup>	23.83 (3.16)	24.15 (2.99)	25.06 (3.12)	21.87 (6.87) <sup>b</sup>	25.39 (3.52)	24.52 (2.59) <sup>a</sup>
SBP (mm Hg)	124.20 (20.14)	123.22 (26.75)	119.44 (16.63)	125.00 (17.84) <sup>b</sup>	129.06 (20.17)	128.87 (16.89)	140.87 (22.44)	106.21 (45.35) <sup>b</sup>	151.23 (22.71)	113.94 (46.71) <sup>b</sup>
DBP (mm Hg)	76.44 (11.96)	76.55 (16.15)	74.45 (11.05)	77.87 (10.92) <sup>b</sup>	80.09 (12.75)	80.64 (11.64)	83.18 (12.33)	66.85 (26.38) <sup>b</sup>	83.35 (12.34)	67.47 (25.15) <sup>b</sup>
T-CH (mg/dL)	4.71 (0.95)	4.98 (1.03) <sup>b</sup>	4.48 (0.84)	4.80 (0.82) <sup>b</sup>	5.18 (0.93)	5.04 (0.95) <sup>a</sup>	5.49 (0.84)	5.24 (1.32) <sup>a</sup>	5.47 (0.93)	5.30 (1.60)
TG (mg/dL)	1.11 (0.82)	1.24 (0.78) <sup>b</sup>	0.99 (0.73)	1.07 (0.69) <sup>a</sup>	1.28 (0.85)	1.28 (0.71)	1.61 (1.24)	1.38 (0.69)	1.63 (0.91)	1.69 (1.27)
FBG (mmol/L)	4.99 (0.59)	5.10 (0.73) <sup>b</sup>	4.91 (0.53)	5.05 (0.52) <sup>b</sup>	5.11 (0.61)	5.14 (0.61)	5.25 (0.64)	5.03 (1.11) <sup>a</sup>	5.44 (0.80)	5.27 (1.31)
SUA (umol/L)	242.51 (55.62)	237.23 (57.51) <sup>b</sup>	237.05 (53.17)	233.03 (50.12)	248.10 (54.76)	245.56 (58.97)	265.53 (64.44)	236.30 (67.06) <sup>b</sup>	271.22 (63.81)	226.37 (69.93) <sup>b</sup>

Note: values expressed as mean (SD); <sup>a</sup>  $P < 0.10$ , <sup>b</sup>  $P < 0.05$  / Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; T-CH, total cholesterol level; TG, triglyceride; FBG, fasting blood glucose; SUA, serum levels of uric acid.

**Table 2:** Demographic data and laboratory parameters in the case of fibrocystic breast disease and control

Parameter	All age		19-44		45-54	
	Control (n=3762)	Case (n=608)	Control (n=2973)	Case (526)	Control (789)	Case (82)
BMI (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	22.60 (3.31)	22.08 (2.62) <sup>b</sup>	22.27 (3.27)	21.88 (2.61) <sup>b</sup>	23.83 (3.16)	23.36 (2.33)
SBP (mm Hg)	121.46 (17.86)	119.06 (14.64) <sup>b</sup>	119.44 (16.63)	117.97 (14.25) <sup>a</sup>	129.06 (20.17)	126.07 (15.29)
DBP (mm Hg)	75.63 (11.65)	74.33 (10.25) <sup>b</sup>	74.45 (11.05)	73.84 (10.13)	80.09 (12.75)	77.50 (10.52) <sup>a</sup>
T-CH (mg/dL)	4.62 (0.91)	4.60 (0.86)	4.48 (0.84)	4.52 (0.83)	5.18 (0.93)	5.12 (0.88)
TG (mg/dL)	1.05 (0.76)	0.93 (0.63) <sup>b</sup>	0.99 (0.73)	0.91 (0.64) <sup>b</sup>	1.28 (0.85)	1.10 (0.57) <sup>a</sup>
FBG (mmol/L)	4.95 (0.55)	4.93 (0.47)	4.91 (0.53)	4.91 (0.46)	5.11 (0.61)	5.03 (0.51)
SUA (umol/L)	239.37 (53.69)	230.99 (52.72) <sup>b</sup>	237.05 (53.17)	228.84 (51.9) <sup>b</sup>	248.10 (54.76)	244.73 (56.08)

Note: values expressed as mean (SD); <sup>a</sup>  $P < 0.10$ , <sup>b</sup>  $P < 0.05$

**Table 3:** Demographic data and laboratory parameters in the case of uterine fibroids combining with fibrocystic breast disease and control

Parameter	All age		19-44		45-54	
	Control (n=3762)	Case (n=173)	Control (n=2973)	Case (110)	Control (789)	Case (63)
BMI (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	22.60 (3.31)	22.59 (2.29)	22.27 (3.27)	22.73 (2.44) <sup>a</sup>	23.83 (3.16)	22.36 (1.99) <sup>a</sup>
SBP (mm Hg)	121.46 (17.86)	121.60 (12.10)	119.44 (16.63)	120.67 (12.12)	129.06 (20.17)	123.22 (11.98) <sup>b</sup>
DBP (mm Hg)	75.63 (11.65)	75.63 (9.28)	74.45 (11.05)	74.82 (9.56)	80.09 (12.75)	77.03 (8.65) <sup>b</sup>
T-CH (mg/dL)	4.62 (0.91)	4.86 (0.85)	4.48 (0.84)	4.72 (0.81) <sup>b</sup>	5.18 (0.93)	5.11 (0.83)
TG (mg/dL)	1.05 (0.76)	1.02 (0.52)	0.99 (0.73)	0.93 (0.46)	1.28 (0.85)	1.17 (0.59)
FBG (mmol/L)	4.95 (0.55)	4.99 (0.42)	4.91 (0.53)	4.96 (0.43)	5.11 (0.61)	5.03 (0.39)
SUA (umol/L)	239.37 (53.69)	230.67 (46.30) <sup>b</sup>	237.05 (53.17)	230.65 (43.07)	248.10 (54.76)	230.72 (51.83) <sup>a</sup>

Note: values expressed as mean (SD); <sup>a</sup> P<0.10, <sup>b</sup> P<0.05

**Table 4:** Differences in SUA (umol/L) among cases and controls of different age groups by generalized linear regression

Disease	All age			<45			45-54			55-59			60-72		
	$\beta$	$\beta$ -SE	P-value	$\beta$	$\beta$ -SE	P-value	$\beta$	$\beta$ -SE	P-value	$\beta$	$\beta$ -SE	P-value	$\beta$	$\beta$ -SE	P-value
<b>Uterine fibroids</b>															
Control	0	.	.	0	.	.	0	.	.	0	.	.	0	.	.
Case	-9.62 <sup>a</sup>	2.38	<0.001	-8.46 <sup>b</sup>	3.32	0.011	1.54 <sup>c</sup>	4.38	0.725	-13.36 <sup>d</sup>	10.60	0.21	-32.02 <sup>e</sup>	10.69	0.003
<b>fibrocystic breast disease</b>															
Control	0	.	.	0	.	.	0	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Case	-4.6 <sup>f</sup>	2.23	0.035	-5.88 <sup>g</sup>	2.40	0.014	0.10 <sup>h</sup>	.	0.987	.	.	.	.	.	.
<b>Uterine fibroids combining with fibrocystic breast disease</b>															
Control	0	.	.	0	.	.	0	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Case	-10.91 <sup>i</sup>	4.11	0.008	-9.39 <sup>j</sup>	4.97	0.059	10.32 <sup>k</sup>	6.91	0.14	.	.	.	.	.	.

Note: <sup>a</sup> adjusted for BMI, T-CH, TG, FBG and age; <sup>b</sup> adjusted for BMI, SBP, DBP, TG, T-CH and FBG ; <sup>c</sup> adjusted for T-CH ; <sup>d</sup> adjusted for BMI, SBP, DBP, T-CH and FBG ; <sup>e</sup> adjusted for BMI, SBP and DBP; <sup>f</sup> adjusted for BMI, SBP, DBP, TG and year ; <sup>g</sup> adjusted for BMI, SBP and TG ; <sup>h</sup> adjusted for DBP and TG ; <sup>i</sup> adjusted age ; <sup>j</sup> adjusted for BMI and T-CH ; <sup>k</sup> adjusted for BMI, SBP and DBP.