RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Vahideh Rahmani^{1*}, Thomas Spillmann¹, Jorma Halttunen², Pernilla Syrjä³ and Mirja Ruohoniemi¹

Abstract

Background: Conventional diagnostic methods have some limitations in diagnosing specific causes of canine hepatobiliary disorders. In the evaluation of the hepatobiliary system in dogs, ultrasonography (US) is the first imaging method of choice. Nonetheless, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) has also been proven to be a practicable technique for evaluating canine hepatobiliary (endoscopic retrograde cholangiography, ERC) and pancreatic duct (endoscopic retrograde pancreatography, ERP) disorders, providing additional therapeutic options by sphincterotomy (EST). To date, the efficacy and safety of diagnostic and therapeutic ERCP has not been evaluated in veterinary medicine literature. The present study sought to report complications and outcomes of dogs undergoing ERCP and EST, and to assess the usefulness of diagnostic ERCP by comparing the findings of US, ERCP and histopathological findings in liver and pancreas.

Results: This retrospective case series comprises data collected from 15 dogs that underwent successful ERC/ERCP. Nine dogs underwent EST following ERC. US and ERC were best in agreement when assessing the common bile duct. In case of disagreement between the modalities, the ERC findings of the ductal structures were in line with the available pathology findings more often than the US findings, whereas the opposite was noted for the gallbladder. The technical success rates were 88.2% for ERC, 66.7% for ERP, and 81.8% for EST, with no major complications during or immediately after the procedure. Immediate bile flow after EST was recorded in 7/9 dogs but only four showed coinciding clinical and laboratory improvement and four dogs were euthanized within 1-6 days after EST.

Conclusions: US remains a valuable initial diagnostic imaging method for hepatobiliary disorders and allows good assessment of the gallbladder. ERC can serve as a complementary procedure for diagnostic assessment of the hepatobiliary duct disorders. However, in order to improve the outcomes of EST, careful selection of patients for the procedure would require more advanced diagnostic imaging of the hepatobiliary area.

Keywords: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, ERCP, Endoscopic sphincterotomy, EST, Hepatobiliary disorders, Fluoroscopy, Gastroduodenoscopy

Background

Conventional diagnostic procedures including history, physical examination and liver biochemical profile have some limitations in diagnosing specific causes of disorders of the canine hepatobiliary system and exocrine pancreas. In cases of hepatobiliary diseases, organ biopsies

*Correspondence: Vahideh.Rahmani@helsinki.fi ¹ Department of Equine and Small Animal Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedicated in a credit line to the data.

Diagnostic imaging of pancreaticobiliary diseases includes various techniques that are selected based on clinical signs, the diagnostic information sought, the technique's invasiveness and the therapeutic needs of each individual case. Ultrasonography (US) is the most commonly used non-invasive imaging modality in the evaluation of the hepatobiliary system and the exocrine pancreas in dogs, offering high sensitivity but often lacking specificity, especially in patients with biliary and pancreatic ductal problems [4]. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) has been proven to be an applicable, direct technique for evaluating hepatobiliary and pancreatic disorders both in human and veterinary medicine [5-10]. It is a minimally invasive technique, which combines endoscopy and fluoroscopy to image the biliary system (endoscopic retrograde cholangiography, ERC) and pancreatic duct (endoscopic retrograde pancreatography, ERP). In children, abnormal liver chemistries and suspected or established choledocholithiasis, follow-up for a biliary stricture, biliary or pancreatic duct leak of cholangitis, chronic pancreatitis, icterus, and abdominal pain have been listed as indications for ERCP [11]. With the introduction of endoscopic sphincterotomy (EST), therapeutic ERCP was subsequently developed [12]. Compared to US, ERCP requires general anesthesia, and complications such as pancreatitis, cholangitis, cholecystitis, bleeding and duodenal perforation have been reported in people [13–15]. A shift towards using ERCP for treatment purposes has emerged in human medicine together with a wider use of magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) and endoscopic ultrasound [16].

In veterinary medicine, the feasibility of diagnostic ERCP in dogs with and without chronic gastrointestinal disorders [5, 9] and in healthy cats [8] has been demonstrated. In 2015, Berent et al. reported successful endoscopic biliary stenting in 5/7 healthy dogs and in 1/2 dogs with extrahepatic bile duct obstruction [10]. However, the efficacy and safety of diagnostic and therapeutic ERCP has not been evaluated in the veterinary literature to date. The primary aim of the present retrospective study was to characterize clinical, laboratory, ultrasonographic and hepatopancreatic histopathological findings, and to report indications, complications and outcome of dogs undergoing ERC/ERCP for diagnosis and EST for treatment. The secondary aim was to compare ultrasonographic and ERC/ERCP findings for assessing the

usefulness of diagnostic ERC/ERCP in comparison to abdominal ultrasound.

Results

Dogs

Altogether 17 dogs underwent ERC or ERC with concurrent ERP between November 2007 and March 2019. The major papilla was identified in 17 and the minor papilla in 9 of the 17 dogs. ERC without the pancreatic component was performed successfully on 15 of the 17 dogs (88.2%) and concurrent ERP on 6 of 9 dogs (66.7%). Cannulation of the major papilla had failed in one small-sized dog (4.7 kg) and another dog had a mass at the major papilla, which prevented the insertion of the catheter. Thus, 15 dogs met the inclusion criteria.

At the time of ERC/ERCP, the dogs had a mean age of 6.8 ± 2.9 years and a mean body weight of 17.1 ± 8 kg. Of the 15 dogs, 8 were male (1 neutered) and 7 were female (4 spayed). The included 15 dogs represented 14 breeds (Table 1).

Clinical signs

Dogs were presented with one or more of the following gastrointestinal signs ordered by their frequency of appearance: chronic or recurrent vomiting (14/15), chronic or recurrent diarrhea (4/15), recurrent abdominal pain (4/15), recurrent inappetence (4/15), weight loss (3/15), and borborygmus (1/15) (outlined in Table 1). A sign of > 3 weeks duration was considered chronic. Nine of the 15 dogs had icterus at the time of the presentation.

Laboratory parameters before ERC/ERCP

A complete serum biochemical panel before ERC/ERCP was available for all dogs. Deviations from the reference range were mainly seen for the serum parameters of the liver (Table 1). Hyperbilirubinemia was present in 9/15 dogs (60%) with median serum bilirubin of 176.6 μ mol/l (range 14–786.4 μ mol/l). All icteric dogs (Dogs 1–9) had concurrent elevated activities of alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT). Overall, serum activity of ALP and ALT was elevated in 10/15 dogs and canine pancreatic lipase (Spec cPL) was elevated in 5/9 dogs (534.8 ± 322.4 μ g/l, Table 1). Coagulation tests were performed for 9/11 dogs before EST, but no abnormalities were found.

Abdominal ultrasonography

The findings of abdominal US of the liver parenchyma, the biliary system and the pancreas were grouped in icteric and non-icteric dogs and are summarized in Table 2. The appearance of the major papilla was recorded only for five icteric and one non-icteric dogs.

Case	Breed	Age (Years)	Weight (Kg)	Clinical signs	Laboratory f	indings (reference	range)	
					Bilirubin (2.5– 8.5 µmol/l)	ALP (33–215 U/I)	ALT (18–77 U/I)	cPL (< 200 µg/l)
Dog 1 ^a	Spanish Water Dog	8	18.9	lcterus, Vomiting	786.4	1760	1574	NP
Dog 2 ^a	Red Irish Setter	9	24.8	lcterus, Vomiting, Diarrhea	411.2	16,048	1089	NP
Dog 3ª	Giant Poodle	7	18.7	lcterus, Vomiting, Inappetence	367.2	2318	1998	496
Dog 4 ^a	Nova Scotia Duck Tolling Retriever	6	24	lcterus, Vomiting, Diarrhea	183.4	5833	2870	103
Dog 5 ^a	Bichon Frise	6	6.7	Icterus, Vomiting	176.6	6759	642	NP
Dog 6 ^a	Parson Russell Terrier	11	9	lcterus, Vomiting, Inappetence	51.6	16,442	2434	79
Dog 7ª	White Shepherd Dog	8	27.7	lcterus, Vomiting, Inappetence, Diar- rhea, Weight loss	49.5	5049	2369	35
Dog 8 ^a	Nova Scotia Duck Tolling Retriever	6	18.5	lcterus, Vomiting, Inappetence	45.2	1051	1837	267
Dog 9 ^a	French Bulldog	3	6.9	Icterus, Weight loss	14	11,429	850	217
Dog 10	Belgian Shepherd Dog	2	29	Vomiting, Abdomi- nal pain, Weight loss	4.3	196	23	NP
Dog 11	Jack Russel Terrier	3	10	Vomiting, Abdomi- nal pain	4.3	102	42	1000
Dog 12	Shetland Sheep- dog	8	5.5	Vomiting, Abdomi- nal pain	3.9	76	77	NP
Dog 13	Short-haired Collie	11	24.8	Vomiting, Borbo- rygmus	3.3	173	637	694
Dog 14	Fox Terrier	11	13	Vomiting, Diarrhea, Abdominal pain	2.6	310	32	-
Dog 15	Finnish Lapphund	4	20	Vomiting	2.5	151	39	118

Table 1 Signalment and serum parameters of 15 dogs before undergoing ERC/ ERCP

Abnormal results in bold font

ALP Alkaline phosphatase, ALT Alanine aminotransferase, cPL canine pancreatic lipase, NP not performed

^a icteric

The pancreatic duct was not mentioned in any of the reports.

All icteric dogs showed changes in the echogenicity of the liver parenchyma. In one dog (Dog 6), the liver appeared heterogenous with multiple hypo- and hyperechoic nodules. In three dogs, the major papilla was prominent. Gallbladder abnormalities were seen in 6/9 dogs with mild-to-moderate echogenic debris, associated with hyperechoic content attached to the gallbladder wall in one dog (Dog 3). The Common bile duct (CBD) was dilated in five dogs. There was no mention of the extrahepatic ducts, but two dilated intrahepatic ducts were reported in one dog (Dog 5). The echogenicity of the pancreas showed abnormal changes in 6/9 dogs.

All six non-icteric dogs had normal hepatic parenchyma in US and a prominent major papilla was reported in one dog (Dog 14). Two dogs had mild-to-moderate gallbladder debris, one dog a mucocele (Dog 12) and one dog (Dog 14) showed a concurrent hyperechoic focus (40 mm) with acoustic shadowing inside the gallbladder. The CBD was dilated in one dog (Dog 13) (Fig. 1). No ultrasonographic findings were reported for the extraand intrahepatic ducts. The echogenicity of the pancreas showed abnormal changes in 4/6 dogs.

ERC/ERCP indications and findings

The indications for ERC/ERCP in the 15 dogs are shown in Table 3. More than half of the dogs (9 dogs) showed five to seven indications for performing ERC/ERCP and six dogs revealed one to four indications. The most common indications were chronic gastrointestinal signs (15/15 dogs), followed by elevated liver enzymes (11/15 dogs), abnormal US findings in the pancreatic area (10/15 dogs), abnormal US findings in the biliary system (9/15

USUSRCUSRCUSRCRCRCDog 1°Hypo-echoicNormalProminent (open)NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 2°Hypo-echoicNormalProminent (open)NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 3°Hypo-echoicProminent (open)NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 3°Hyper-echoicNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 3°Hyper-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 3°Hyper-echoicNRNo	Case	Liver	Major papi	illa	Gallbladder		Common bile d	luct	Extrahepatic duct	Intrahepatic duct	Pancreatic area	
Dog 1 ^a Hypo-echoicNormalProminent (open)NormalNormalNormalDog 2 ^a Hyper-echoicProminentNormalDistended, debrisNNofilingDilated 20mmNormalDog 3 ^a Hyper-echoicProminentNormalDistended, debrisNNDilated 10mmDilatedDog 4 ^a Hyper-echoicNNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 4 ^a Hyper-echoicNNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 5 ^a Hyper-echoicNNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 5 ^a Hyper-echoicNNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 6 ^a Hetero-genicNNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 7 ^a Hyper-echoicNNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 7 ^a Hyper-echoicNNormalNormalNormalDog 8 ^a Hyper-echoicN <t< th=""><th></th><th>SU</th><th>SU</th><th>ERC (Endoscopy)</th><th>SU</th><th>ERC</th><th>SU</th><th>ERC</th><th>ERC</th><th>ERC</th><th>US (pancreas)</th><th>ERP (ducts)</th></t<>		SU	SU	ERC (Endoscopy)	SU	ERC	SU	ERC	ERC	ERC	US (pancreas)	ERP (ducts)
Dog 2 ^a Hyper-echoicPominerNormalDistended, debrisNo filingDiated 10mmNo filingDiatedDog 3 ^a Hyper-echoicNormalNormalDistended, debrisNRDiated 10mmDiatedNRDog 4 ^a Hyper-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNRSimm (Obstruction)NRDog 4 ^b Hyper-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNRDog 5 ^a Hyper-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 6 ^a Hetto-genicNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 7 ^a Hyper-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 7 ^a Hyper-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 7 ^a Hyper-echoicNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 7 ^a Hyper-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 8Hyper-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNor	Dog 1 ^a	Hypo-echoic	Normal	Prominent (open)	Normal	Normal	Normal	Dilated 20 mm and narrowed	Normal	Normal	Hyperechoic	dN
Dog 3°Hyper-echoicNemalNormalDiated 10mmDiated 10mmDiated 10mmSimm(Obstruction)Dog 4°Hyper-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 5°Hyper-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 7°Hyper-echoicNRNormalDistended, debrisNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 7°Hyper-echoicNRNormalDistended, debrisNormalNormalNormalDog 7°Hyper-echoicNRNormalDistended, debrisNormalNormalNormalDog 7°Hyper-echoicNRNormalDistended, debrisNormalNormalNormalDog 7°Hyper-echoicNRNormalDistended, debrisNormalNormalNormalDog 7°Hyper-echoicNRNormalDistended, debrisNormalNormalNormalDog 7°Hyper-echoicNRNormalDistended, debrisNormalNormalNormalDog 7°Hyper-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 7°Hyper-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 7°Hyper-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 7°Hyper-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 7°NormalNormal	Dog 2 ^a	Hyper-echoic	Prominent	Normal	Distended, debris	No filling	Dilated 10 mm	No filling (Obstruction)	Dilated	Normal	Hyperechoic	Normal
Dog 4°Hyper-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 5°Hyper-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 6°Hetero-genicProminentProminentDistended, debrisNormalNormalDiatedDiatedDog 7°Hyper-echoicNRNormalDistended, debrisNoNoDiated 5.3 mmNormalDiatedDog 7°Hyper-echoicNRNormalDistended, debrisNRDiated 5.3 mmNo fillingDiatedDog 8°Hyper-echoicNRNormalDiated 5.3 mmNo fillingDiated 5.3 mmNo fillingDog 8°Hyper-echoicNRNormalDiated 5.3 mmNo fillingDiated 5.3 mmNo fillingDog 8Hyper-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNoDog 9°Hyper-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 10NormalNRNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 11NormalNRNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 11NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 11NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 12NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 13NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDod 40<	Dog 3 ^a	Hyper-echoic	Normal	Normal	Distended, debris	NR	Dilated 10 mm	Dilated 5 mm (Obstruc- tion)	ХX	Dilated	Normal	Normal
Dog 5 ^a Hyper-echoicNeNormalDistended, debrisNormalNormalNarrowedDilatedDog 6 ^a Hetero-genicProminentProminentProminentDistended, debrisNRDilated 4.5 mmDilatedDilatedDog 7 ^a Hyper-echoicNRNormalDistended, debrisNoNoDilated 5.3 mmNo fillingDilatedDog 8 ^a Hyper-echoicNRNormalDistended, debrisNRDilated 5.3 mmNo fillingDilatedDog 8 ^a Hyper-echoicNRNormalDistended, debrisNRDilated 5.3 mmNo fillingDilatedDog 8 ^a Hyper-echoicNRNormalNRNormalNRNRNRDog 9 ^a Hypo-echoicNRNormalNormalNRNRNRDog 10NormalNRNormalNormalNormalNRNormalDog 11NormalNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 11NormalNRYery smallDistended, debrisNormalNormalNormalDog 12NormalNRYery smallDistended, debrisNormalNormalNormalDog 13NormalNRYery smallNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 14NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 15NormalNNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 14Normal	Dog 4ª	Hyper-echoic	NR	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	No filling	Normal	Normal
Dog 6 ^a Hetero-genicProminentProminentDistended, debrisNRDilated 4.5 mmDilated 4.5 mmDilatedDog 7 ^a HyperechoicNRNormalDistended, debrisNo fillingDilated 5.3 mmNo fillingDilatedDog 8 ^a HyperechoicRominentProminentDistended, debrisNRDilated 5.3 mmNo fillingDilatedDog 8 ^a HyperechoicRominentProminentDistended, debrisNRDilated 9 mmDilatedNRDog 9 ^a Hypo-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNRDog 10NormalNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 11NormalNRStenosisNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 12NormalNRVery smallDistended, debrisNormalNormalNormalDog 13NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 14NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 15NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 14NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 13NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 14NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 15NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormal <t< td=""><td>Dog 5^a</td><td>Hyper-echoic</td><td>NR</td><td>Normal</td><td>Distended, debris</td><td>Normal</td><td>Normal</td><td>Narrowed</td><td>Dilated 5 mm</td><td>Normal</td><td>Hypoechoic</td><td>NP</td></t<>	Dog 5 ^a	Hyper-echoic	NR	Normal	Distended, debris	Normal	Normal	Narrowed	Dilated 5 mm	Normal	Hypoechoic	NP
Dog 7°Hyper-echoicNeNormalDistended, debrisNo filingDilated 5.3 mmNo filingDilatedDog 8°Hyper-echoicProminentProminentProminentNemalNemalNemalDog 9°Hypo-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNemalNemalDog 10NormalNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 11NormalNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 12NormalNRVery smallDistended, debrisNRNormalNormalNormalDog 13NormalNRVery smallDistended, debrisNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 14NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 15NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 14NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 15NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 14NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 15NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 14NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 15NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 16NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormal	Dog 6ª	Hetero-genic	Prominent	Prominent	Distended, debris	NR	Dilated 4.5 mm	Dilated	Dilated	NR	Heterogenous	NP
Dog 8°Hyper-echoicProminentProminentDistended, debrisNRDilated9 mmDilated9 mmDilated9 mmINRDog 9°Hypo-echoicNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 10NormalNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 11NormalNRNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 12NormalNRVery smallDistended,NRNormalNormalNormalDog 13NormalNRStenosisDistended, debrisNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 14NormalNormalDistended, debrisNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 13NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 14NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 14NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 14NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormal	Dog 7 ^a	Hyper-echoic	NR	Normal	Distended, debris	No filling	Dilated 5.3 mm	No filling	Dilated 10 mm	NR	Hyperechoic	NP
Dog 9aHypo-echoicNBNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 10NormalNBNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 11NormalNBStenosisNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 11NormalNRStenosisNormalDistended,NRNormalNormalNormalDog 12NormalNRVery smallDistended,NRNormalNormalNormalDog 13NormalNRStenosisDistended, debitsNormalDistended, debitsNormalNormalDog 13NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 14NormalNormalNormalNormalNormalNormal	Dog 8ª	Hyper-echoic	Prominent	Prominent	Distended, debris	NR	Dilated9 mm	Dilated 10 mm	NR	NR	Normal	AP
Dog 10NormalNRNormalNormalAbnormal courseNRDog 11NormalNRStenosisNormalNormalNormalNormalDog 12NormalNRVery smallDistended,NRNormalNormalNormalDog 12NormalNRVery smallDistended,NRNormalNormalNormalDog 13NormalNRStenosisDistended, debrisNormalDistended, debrisNormalNormalDog 14NormalDrominentNormalNormalNormalNormalNormal	Dog 9ª	Hypo-echoic	NR	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Hyperechoic	Normal
Dog 11 Normal NR Stenosis Normal Normal <td>Dog 10</td> <td>Normal</td> <td>NR</td> <td>Normal</td> <td>Normal</td> <td>Normal</td> <td>Normal</td> <td>Abnormal course</td> <td>NR</td> <td>NR</td> <td>Normal</td> <td>NP</td>	Dog 10	Normal	NR	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Abnormal course	NR	NR	Normal	NP
Dog 12 Normal NR Normal Normal Dog 13 Normal NR Normal Normal Dog 13 Normal NR Stenosis Distended, debris Normal Dog 14 Normal Drominent Normal Normal Normal	Dog 11	Normal	NR	Stenosis	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	NR	Hypoechoic	Normal
Dog 13 Normal NR Stenosis Distended, debris Normal Diated NR Dog 14 Normal Distended debris Normal Normal Normal	Dog 12	Normal	NR	Very small	Distended, mucocele	NR	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Hyperechoic	NP
Dori 14 Normal Distanded dehris Normal Normal Normal Normal	Dog 13	Normal	NR	Stenosis	Distended, debris	Normal	Dilated 10 mm	Dilated 10mm	NR	NR	Normal	NP
	Dog 14	Normal	Prominent	Normal	Distended, debris	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Decreased filling	Hyperechoic	Normal
Dog 15 Normal NR Normal Normal Normal Normal NR	Dog 15	Normal	NR	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	NR	NR	Hypoechoic	Abnormal course

Rahmani et al. BMC Veterinary Research (2022) 18:146



Fig. 1 Images of a non-icteric 11-year-old male Short-haired Collie (Dog 13). a Ultrasonographic image acquired before ERC, showing dilatation of the common bile duct (cursors). b Fluoroscopic image acquired during ERC, representing dilatation of the common bile duct (arrow, maximum diameter 10 mm) and the gallbladder filled with the contrast material

Table 3 Indications for diagnostic ERC/ERCP in 15 dogs

Case	Indication								Total
	Chronic	Laboratory			Ultrasound				number of indications
	gastro- intestinal signs	lcteric (hyper- bilirubinemia)	Elevated liver enzymes	Elevated cPL	Abnormality in the major papilla	Abnormality in the liver	Abnormality in biliary system	Abnormality in the pancreatic area	in the dog
Dog 1 ^a	+	+	+	-	-	+	=	+	5
Dog 2 ^a	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	7
Dog 3 ^a	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	6
Dog 4 ^a	+	+	+	-	NR	+	-	-	4
Dog 5 ^a	+	+	+	-	NR	+	+	+	6
Dog 6 ^a	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	7
Dog 7 ^a	+	+	+	-	NR	+	+	+	6
Dog 8 ^a	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	7
Dog 9 ^a	+	+	+	+	NR	+	-	+	6
Dog 10	+	-	-	-	NR	-	-	-	1
Dog 11	+	-	-	+	NR	-	_	+	3
Dog 12	+	-	-	-	NR	-	+	+	3
Dog 13	+	-	+	+	NR	-	+	-	4
Dog 14	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	5
Dog 15	+	-	-	-	NR	-	-	+	2

+: present; -: not present

NR not reported

^a icteric

dogs), jaundice (9/15 dogs), abnormal US findings in the liver (9/15 dogs), elevated cPL (5/15 dogs), and abnormal US findings in the major papilla (4/15 dogs).

The ERC/ERCP findings of the dogs are reported in Table 2.

Conventional endoscopy of the nine icteric dogs revealed a prominent major papilla in three dogs and a

prominent minor papilla in one dog (Dog 2). In ERC, the CBD was considered dilated in 4/9 dogs and narrowed in 2/9 dogs. In one dog (Dog 2), the gallbladder and CBD did not fill with contrast (Fig. 2). The gallbladder and CBD were not assessable in another dog (Dog 7), since the contrast medium leaked out of the major papilla to the duodenum during the procedure. Four dogs (Dogs 2, 5, 6, 7) had dilation of the extrahepatic bile ducts and in one dog (Dog 3) dilated intrahepatic bile ducts were visible (Fig. 3). In Dog 4, no contrast reached the intrahepatic

bile ducts even after several attempts with repositioning of the catheter. ERP was performed in 4/9 icteric dogs, and all of them showed normal findings of the pancreatic ducts.

Conventional endoscopy of the six non-icteric dogs revealed an abnormal major papilla in three dogs. The minor papilla was endoscopically normal in five dogs and one dog did not have any endoscopic report of the minor papilla. ERC revealed a dilated CBD in one dog (Dog 13) (Fig. 1), and in one dog (Dog 10) the CBD was







found to course in a half circle around the duodenum and not straight to the gallbladder (Fig. 4). Extrahepatic ducts were normal in all three non-icteric dogs having reports in ERC. One dog had decreased filling of the intrahepatic duct (Dog 14). ERP was performed in 3/6 non-icteric dogs and one dog revealed abnormal course of duodenal branch of the pancreatic duct, going cranial to the diaphragm (Dog 15).

Comparison of ultrasonographic, ERC/ERCP and histopathology findings

When comparing ultrasonographic and ERC/ERCP findings with each other, and where possible with findings of histopathology of the samples taken at endoscopy for ERC/ERCP, laparoscopy, laparotomy or autopsy (Table 4), the following agreements and disagreements were seen concerning the major papilla, gallbladder, CBD, extrahepatic ducts, intrahepatic ducts and pancreatic duct. Reports of both US and endoscopy for ERC for the major papilla were available for 6/15 dogs. There was full agreement on a normal papilla in one dog (Dog 3) and on a prominent papilla in two dogs (Dogs 6 and 8). In three dogs with disagreements between US and endoscopy, no morphologic examination of the papilla was possible for final assessment.

Reports of both modalities for gallbladder were available for 11/15 dogs. There was full agreement on a normal gallbladder in six dogs (Dogs 1, 4, 9, 10, 11, 15). From five dogs with different US and ERC findings, Dogs 2 and



Fig. 4 Fluoroscopic image of a non-icteric 2-year-old male Belgian shepherd dog (Dog 10) acquired during ERC representing abnormal course of the CBD (arrow) in a half circle around the duodenum and not straight to the gallbladder

7 showed a distended gallbladder with debris in US but no contrast filling of the gallbladder in ERC. Histopathology in Dog 2 revealed biliary stasis, and in Dog 7 cystic mucinous hyperplasia of the gallbladder mucosa. Dogs 5, 13 and 14 had distended gallbladder with debris in US, but normal gallbladder in ERC. Laparotomy of Dog 5 revealed a big and pink gallbladder, which was easily emptied when manually pressed. Histopathology showed necrotizing cholecystitis in Dog 14.

Both US and ERC reports of the CBD were available for all 15 dogs. Agreement on a normal CBD occurred in six dogs (Dogs 4, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15) and on a dilated CBD in four dogs (Dogs 3, 6, 8, 13). From five dogs with disagreement between US and ERC, Dogs 1, 5 and 10 had a normal CBD in US but ERC reported dilation in Dog 1, narrowing in Dog 5 and an abnormal course in Dog 10. Histopathology revealed chronic cholangiohepatitis and biliary stasis in Dog 1 and focal granulomatous peritonitis in Dog 10 involving the CBD, pancreas and intestine together with a torturous course of the duct. In Dog 5, laparotomy showed a dilated CBD with no stricture. Dogs 2 and 7 had a dilated CBD in US, but no contrast filling was visible in ERC. Histopathology showed pancreatic carcinoma with infiltration into the duodenal wall around the CBD (Fig. 2), chronic cholangiohepatitis with carcinoma metastasis and biliary stasis in Dog 2, and chronic cholangiohepatitis in Dog 7.

Extrahepatic bile ducts were not mentioned in any of the US reports. ERC reports for extrahepatic bile ducts were available in 10 dogs (normal in Dogs 1, 4, 9, 11, 12, 14; dilated in Dogs 2, 5, 6, 7). Histopathology in the four dogs with dilated extrahepatic duct in ERC revealed biliary stasis in Dog 2, due to a pancreatic carcinoma infiltrating the duodenal wall and compressing the CBD, hepatic lipidosis without lesions targeting the biliary tract in Dog 5, chronic cholecystitis with cystic mucinous hyperplasia of the gallbladder mucosa in Dog 6, and chronic cholangiohepatitis and cystic mucinous hyperplasia of the gallbladder mucosa in Dog 7. In one dog (Dog 5), two dilated intrahepatic ducts were reported in US. However, ERC of the same dog revealed a 2 cm long stricture in the CBD starting from the major papilla and dilated extrahepatic ducts were reported. Laparotomy revealed no obvious cause of the suspected CBD stricture. Histopathology of the liver parenchyma biopsies revealed severe lipidosis but no significant lesions in the intrahepatic ducts.

The pancreatic duct was not mentioned in any of the US reports. ERP reports were available for seven dogs (normal in Dogs 2, 3, 4, 9, 11, 14; abnormal course in Dog 15). Autopsy reports of the pancreas were available for Dog 2 directly after ERC and Dog 14 at day 178 after ERC. Histopathology showed metastasizing exocrine

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Dog	Type of sampling	Hepatobiliary system	Pancreas	Duodenum
Dog 1 ^a	Biopsy Laparoscopy	Moderate chronic neutrophilic cholangiohepatitis, Biliary stasis	Focal severe fibrosing pancreatitis with multifocal moderate peripancreatic chronic histiocytic steatitis	Not sampled
Dog 2 ^a	Autopsy	Moderate chronic neutrophilic cholangiohepatits, Moderate biliary stasis, Pancreatic carcinoma metasta- sis in the liver	Exocrine pancreatic carcinoma	Infiltration of pancreatic carcinoma into the duodenal wall compressing the common bile duct
Dog 3 ^a	Biopsy Endoscopy	Not sampled	Not sampled	Moderate chronic diffuse lymphoplasmacytic enteritis
Dog 4 ^a	Biopsy Laparoscopy	Severe chronic neutrophilic and fibrosing cholangio- hepatitis	Not sampled	Not sampled
Dog 5 ^a	Biopsy Laparotomy	Severe diffuse chronic hepatic lipidosis and multifocal extramedullary hematopoiesis	No significant parenchymal changes, Mild interstitial fibrosis, Acute endothelial swelling	Not sampled
Dog 6ª	Biopsy Laparoscopy	Moderate mutifocal chronic neutrophilic and fibros- ing hepatitis, Moderate chronic lymphoplasmacytic cholecystitis with mild cystic mucinous hyperplasia of gallbladder mucosa	Not sampled	Not sampled
Dog 7 ^a	Autopsy	Moderate chronic neutrophilic and fibrosing cholan- giohepatitis, Moderate cystic mucinous hyperplasia of gallbladder mucosa	No significant histological changes	No significant histological changes
Dog 8ª	Autopsy	Moderate chronic fibrosing and neutrophilic cholan- giohepatits	No significant histological changes	Local severe chronic lymphoplasmacytic mural enteritis at papilla
Dog 9ª	Biopsy Laparoscopy	Moderate chronic fibrosing and neutrophilic cholan- giohepatits (Autopsy: Focal abscess and severe biliary stasis)	Not sampled	No significant histological changes
Dog 10	Autopsy	Moderate multifocal fibrosing and histiocytic inflam- mation involving areas around duodenum, pancreas and a curved common bile duct, Hepatic biliary stasis	Severe peripancreatic chronic histiocytic steatitis	No significant histological changes
Dog 11	Biopsy Endoscopy	Not sampled	Not sampled	Mild chronic diffuse eosinophilic enteritis
Dog 12	I	Not sampled	Not sampled	Not sampled
Dog 13	Biopsy Endoscopy	Not sampled	Not sampled	Mild chronic diffuse lymphoplasmacytic enteritis with local moderate acute fibrinonecrotizing duodenitis
Dog 14	Autopsy	Mild hepatic fibrosis, Mild acute necrotizing cholecys- titis	Acute severe multifocal necrotizing pancreatitis	Local severe necrotizing peritonitis, Moderate diffuse chronic lymphoplasmacytic enteritis
Dog 15	Biopsy Endoscopy	Not sampled	Not sampled	Mild diffuse chronic lymphoplasmacytic enteritis
^a Icteric				

pancreas carcinoma in Dog 2 and acute sever multifocal necrotizing pancreatitis in Dog 14.

EST

EST was attempted in 11/15 dogs. It was successful in 6/7 icteric dogs (Dogs 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8) but not in Dog 1. In the non-icteric dogs, EST was successful in 3/4 dogs (Dogs 12-14) but in one dog (Dog 11) the procedure was performed twice. The first attempt led to local bleeding, but the second attempt 4 weeks later was successful. The indication for EST included one or more of the following ERC findings: dilated CBD (5 dogs), prominent major papilla (3 dogs), stenosis or small major papilla (3 dogs), narrowed CBD (2 dogs), no contrast filling of the CBD (2 dogs), and obstruction of the CBD (2 dogs). The procedure was successful in 9/11 dogs (81.8%), of which seven revealed bile flow immediately after cutting the major papilla, whereas no bile flow occurred in two (Dogs 2 and 7).

ERC/ERCP and EST complications

None of the dogs that underwent ERC/ERCP or EST developed procedure-related severe complications or deaths. Minor complications occurred in two dogs. In one (Dog 1), the tip of the endoscope broke while cannulating for EST. However, all parts of the endoscope were removed, and the procedure ended up in a successful diagnostic ERC. In the other (Dog 11), it was difficult to cannulate the major papilla with the sphincterotome at the first attempt of performing EST. Some opening of the papilla was possible, but the procedure was stopped due to strong intestinal peristalsis, development of bleeding and an intramucosal injection of contrast medium. Four weeks later, the EST procedure was repeated and was successful with opening of the major papilla.

Outcome after EST

Improvement in the clinical status and the laboratory parameters was noted in 1/6 of the icteric dogs (Dog 3) and 2/4 of the non-icteric dogs (Dogs 12 and 13). The elevated serum liver parameters returned to the normal level in all these dogs shortly after EST (Fig. 5). In Dog 3, US showed that the diameter of the CBD decreased from 10mm to 4mm in 1 day after the procedure. The dog remained clinically unremarkable until lost for follow up 71 days after EST. Dog 12, with a gallbladder mucocele, had short-term clinical improvement after EST, but episodes of recurrent abdominal pain returned. The dog remained free of clinical signs throughout the follow up when permanently receiving ursodeoxycholic acid. Four months after EST, US showed a normal pancreas and the appearance of the mucocele was unchanged until the last US examination performed 509 days after EST. Long-term follow up of Dog 13 revealed development of septic peritonitis 306 days after EST and the dog was



euthanized on owner's request. Since the owner refused an autopsy the cause of septic peritonitis remained open.

Dog 6 showed some clinical improvement after EST, and in the ultrasonographic examination 2 weeks later the gallbladder, CBD and major papilla were reported as normal. However, although the markedly elevated serum liver enzymes (ALP and ALP) gradually decreased, they were still above normal level 2 months after EST (Fig. 5) and the dog had repeated phases of fever and abdominal pain being responsive to metronidazole and enrofloxacin. Four months after EST, the dog underwent laparoscopy with liver biopsy and bile aspiration. Histopathology showed chronic hepatitis and chronic cholecystitis with hyperplasia of the gallbladder mucosa. Bacterial culture revealed extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) E. coli in the bile but was negative for the liver tissue sample. The gallbladder was removed surgically 12 days after laparoscopy and the infection with ESBL E.coli was confirmed in bile and feces samples. After the surgery, the dog recovered fully but the bacterial culture of the feces samples remained positive for ESBL E.coli. The dog was last presented 22 months after surgery having no relapse of fever and abdominal pain but still moderately elevated liver enzymes.

No improvement after EST was seen in 4/6 icteric (Dogs 2, 5, 7, 8) and in 2/4 non-icteric dogs (Dogs 11 and 14). The four icteric dogs were euthanized 1-6 days after the procedure. Dogs 2 and 7 showed no bile flow from the major papilla after EST and no clinical improvement immediately after the procedure, and the owners opted for euthanasia the next day. Autopsy revealed infiltrative exocrine pancreatic carcinoma in the duodenal wall suppressing the CBD in Dog 2 and cholangiohepatitis with cystic mucinous hyperplasia in the mucosa of the enlarged gallbladder in Dog 7. In Dog 8, the gallbladder and CBD remained dilated in US examination and serum bilirubin concentration was elevated for 1 day after EST. The owner refused surgical procedures and chose euthanasia 2 days after EST. Local chronic mural enteritis at the major papilla was diagnosed at autopsy. The fourth dog (Dog 5) was euthanized 6 days after EST because of its poor clinical condition. It was impossible to establish the cause of the CBD stricture since the owner declined autopsy. Of the non-icteric dogs, Dog 11 with chronic eosinophilic enteropathy continued to have recurrent severe abdominal pain and increased Spec cPL concentrations as signs of chronic pancreatitis. The dog did not respond appropriately to conventional treatment measures and was euthanized 2 months after EST. The owners denied necropsy. The other non-icteric dog (Dog 14) lacked follow-up reports shortly after EST, however, 6 months after EST the dog showed acute diarrhea, vomiting and abdominal pain, coupled with laboratory findings of elevated ALP, ALT and cPL values. A hyperechoic pancreas was visible in US. Exploratory laparotomy revealed gross alterations in the pancreas, and the owner opted for euthanasia and autopsy, which revealed severe acute necrotizing pancreatitis and peripancreatic peritonitis.

Discussion

Chronic gastrointestinal signs associated with elevated liver enzymes, abnormal US findings in the pancreatic area or in the biliary system and/or icterus were the most common indications for conducting ERC/ERCP in dogs included in the present retrospective study. Similarly, ERCP has been used for evaluation and management of biliary and pancreatic disorders in children. The most common indications for ERCP in children have been reported to be abnormal liver chemistries and suspected or established choledocholithiasis, together accounting for 50% of indications in a large retrospective study [11] Other reported pediatric indications included follow-up for a biliary stricture, biliary or pancreatic duct leak of cholangitis, chronic pancreatitis, icterus, and abdominal pain [11]. In human medicine, ERCP has been used also for emergency procedures, with indications such as acute cholangitis, acute pancreatitis, and post-operative bile leak [17]. Until today, the use of ERCP in veterinary medicine has been limited to chronic cases, mainly due to the demanding technique of the procedure.

All 15 dogs of this study revealed some abnormal findings in US and ERC/ERCP examinations. The best agreement between US and ERC occurred for assessing the normality or abnormality of the CBD. In case of disagreement of both modalities, the ERC findings of the ductal structures were in line with the available laparotomy, laparoscopy, or autopsy findings more often than the US findings. In contrary, for the gallbladder the US findings were closer to the laparotomy, laparoscopy, or autopsy findings. In a human study, US was in agreement with abnormal ERCP findings in 101 of 120 patients (sensitivity 84%) and of normal ERCP findings in 70 of 73 patients (specificity 95%) [18]. US has been shown to be more sensitive in diagnosis of dilated or strictured bile ducts than finding choledocholithiasis [18]. ERCP is considered complimentary to US specifically when the problem is located within the pancreatobiliary ductal anatomy.

The comparison of US and ERC results revealed different degrees in agreement and disagreement dependent on the reported anatomical structure. The major papilla was visible in all 15 dogs scanned by endoscopy for ERC examination, but was reported only in six dogs by using US. This is in agreement with a previous study, which found that the major papilla was visualized ultrasonographically in 42% of dogs without evidence of abdominal disorders [19]. The visibility was negatively influenced by the presence of air or food in the gastrointestinal tract and increased body weight [19]. US and endoscopy for ERC/ERCP disagreed concerning the major papilla in three dogs of our study, which may be due to contractile activity of the sphincter of Oddi [20] or different types of the major papilla [21]. Since no histopathology reports were available for the major papilla in these three dogs, however, it is impossible to show which modality had the correct diagnosis.

US and ERC agreed in a normal or dilated CBD in 10/15 dogs. A recent study showed that US is a useful modality for detection of the canine CBD diameter and that US results were not significantly different from those of CT [22]. In human medicine, bile duct dilatation has been detected by US with the sensitivity of 85-95% for experienced examiners [23]. In all three dogs (Dogs 1, 5, 10) that showed normal CBD in US but abnormal in ERC, pathology revealed abnormal findings of the hepatobiliary system which highlights the fact that a normal US examination cannot rule out hepatic or ductal pathology and the possible need for ERC. Two dogs (Dogs 2 and 7) had a dilated CBD in US but the duct did not fill with contrast material in ERC. In Dog 2, this was found to be due to infiltration of carcinoma within the intestinal wall, causing pressure to the duct, and in the other dog, precipitated bile was detected within the gallbladder at autopsy. MRCP could be helpful in cases where spaceoccupying masses prevent contrast material visibility within the biliary ducts [4].

The gallbladder was visible and could be assessed more often by US than by ERC, and the US findings were more in agreement with the laparotomy, laparoscopy, or autopsy findings where available. It can be speculated that the diagnostic value of US is preferentially for the gallbladder, and ERC for ductal structures. In human medicine, it has been shown that US has high sensitivity for detecting cholecystitis [24]. Another study showed that CT was significantly more sensitive for diagnosing cholecystitis than US [25], but because of the speed and portability, US is still used as the initial imaging technique for evaluating patients with suspected acute cholecystitis.

Extrahepatic ducts were not mentioned in any of the US reports. Extrahepatic ducts are usually poorly visualized owing to overlying bowel gas and the normal intrahepatic ducts are not visible in US [26]. Dilated intrahepatic ducts were reported in one dog in US, but ERCP revealed an actual dilation of extrahepatic ducts. Since ERCP has the ability to show the entire biliary system in one view, it can be more reliable in localizing the abnormality.

The technical success rate of ERC and ERP was 88.2 and 66.7%, respectively. This is comparable with previously

reported success rates of 67–75% for ERC in dogs and cats and of 70% for ERP in dogs [8–10]. Failures have been reported to be related to difficulties in the duodenal papilla cannulation in a small-sized dog, intraduodenal contents, changes of the duodenal mucosa, and decrease in gastric tone [9]. One possibility to improve the visibility of the minor papilla is the use of chromoendoscopy, as reported for cats [8].

In the six dogs that underwent ERP, the duodenal and gastric branches of the main pancreatic ducts were clearly visible with no signs of duct abnormalities in five dogs. In one dog (Dog 15), with serum cPL concentration in the reference range, the duodenal branch of the pancreatic duct did not go caudally but cranially to the diaphragm. In humans, abnormal contrast pancreatograms are used to grade the stage of chronic pancreatitis by the Cambridge classification system from mild to severe [27]. It remained open whether the ERP finding of the dog in our study was a variation of normality, an artifact, or a possible pathologic finding, since the dog underwent no direct examination of the pancreas by invasive diagnostic methods.

The success rate of EST was 81.8% with no major procedure-related complications during or immediately after the procedure. In humans, biliary EST is associated with several complications, both in the short- and the longterm [14, 15]. Due to the invasive nature of the procedure, these complications are inevitable and observed in some patients, depending on patient- and procedure-related factors [12]. The short-term complications of EST are bleeding, perforation, pancreatitis and cholangitis [14, 15]. Early identification and appropriate management of complications is essential to reduce mortality and morbidity. Of the known short-term complications of EST, only mild bleeding occurred in one dog of our study. In human medicine, acute pancreatitis is the most common post-ERCP complication, with an incidence of 2-10% [28]. One dog (Dog 14) showed acute severe necrotizing pancreatitis 6 months after EST, but there are no laboratory or clinical records of the dogs until 6 months after EST. It can be suggested that an acute pancreatitis developing 6 months after EST is unlikely to have been caused by the procedure.

Only four of nine dogs showed clinical and laboratory improvement after EST. This finding is similar to the findings of previous studies reporting clinical improvement after EST in a dog with papillary stenosis [9] and in one dog with signs of extrahepatic duct obstruction treated by EST and biliary stenting [10]. One dog (Dog 6), regardless of clinical and laboratory improvement after EST, developed recurrent fever 4 months after EST and bacterial culture revealed ESBL *E. coli* in the bile and feces. A study in humans showed that the prevalence of post-ERCP biliary tract infections was 4% of the patients [29]. It remained open whether the ESBL E.coli infection in Dog 6 was a complication of ERC or an ascending infection from intestine after EST.

The finding of dilated CBD in ERC without evidence of obstruction in two icteric dogs, was of interest. The cause of this dilation could not be identified. However, after EST there was marked bile flow into the duodenum. A report on six cats with sphincter of Oddi pathology speculated that patients without obvious pathology at the papilla might have sphincter dysfunction with extrahepatic biliary obstructions due to a chronic inflammatory enteropathy [30]. Histopathology of the duodenal biopsy samples in Dog 8 revealed mural enteritis at the papilla.

Four dogs underwent EST without improvement and were euthanized within days after the procedure. Clear causes of posthepatic and intrahepatic cholestasis were established by autopsy in three dogs. Dog 2 had an exocrine pancreatic carcinoma infiltrating the duodenal wall, Dog 7 a moderate chronic cholangiohepatitis and cystic mucinous hyperplasia of the gallbladder mucosa and Dog 8 moderate chronic cholangiohepatitis and severe chronic mural enteritis. No clear cause was found for Dog 5 with a CBD stricture and increasing hyperbilirubinemia after EST, since hepatic lipidosis was the sole histopathological finding in the liver. Prolonged cholestasis after ERC may be one possible reason. It is a very rare but recognized complication following ERCP in humans [31]. The exact mechanism for prolonged jaundice post-ERCP has remained unclear but it has been suggested that it may be directly related to the radiocontrast medium, perhaps due to an idiosyncratic adverse reaction resulting in disruption of the hepatocyte canalicular membrane or interruption of transport pumps with subsequent intrahepatic cholestasis and jaundice [31, 32].

Findings of patients without short-term improvement after EST, and the occurrence of an infection with ESBL, acute necrotizing pancreatitis and biliary peritonitis within 3 to 10 months after EST revealed that EST can be of no help or has the possibility to harm the patient in the short or long run. The indication for EST should be the result of a thorough diagnostic work up of the individual patient suspect for a biliary tract disorder to rule out hepatic or pancreatic diseases requiring different therapeutic approaches. The study revealed that ERC has some advantages over US in visualizing pathologies of the biliary ductal system, but it is technically demanding and with some risk of complications. MRCP is a less invasive alternative to diagnostic ERC since it was shown to have good accuracy for the diagnosis of hepatobiliary and pancreatic duct disorders in dogs [4]. MRCP could be a beneficial imaging tool for selecting patients that require ERC and subsequent EST. MRCP does not only help to visualize the biliary and pancreatic duct systems but also the surrounding soft tissues which is impossible with ERCP. As established in human medicine, endoscopic treatment should include biliary stenting when diagnosing distal or long CBD strictures by MRCP or ERC, especially with malignant lesions that are not eligible for open surgery [33]. Endoscopic CBD stenting has been proven possible in dogs [10]. It is very likely that the establishment of MRCP in the diagnostic work up of dogs with suspected disorders of the biliary duct system could give a better indication and a more successful outcome of endoscopic interventions [4].

There are some limitations in the present study. The retrospective nature of the study caused lack of systematic reports for US and ERC/ERCP and EST. The US reports were written for clinical purposes and by different veterinary radiologists. Lack of systematic collection of laboratory, ultrasonographic and clinical follow-up data after the procedures made comparison difficult in some cases. There was also a lack of histopathologic confirmation of the lesions in some patients.

Conclusion

Findings from this study indicate that US is an important initial imaging test in the evaluation of dogs presenting with gastrointestinal signs suggestive for a disease of the hepatobiliary system. US allows very good assessment of the gallbladder but has some limitations in assessing the papillae as well as the extra- and intrahepatic biliary duct system. ERC can serve as a complementary diagnostic procedure to improve the assessment of the biliary duct system but has limitations to assess the gallbladder and is technically demanding with risks of serious complications. EST is technically possible and offers a minimally invasive technique to treat obstructive biliary diseases in dogs. It can, however, be non-successful if the indication is not set right for the individual patient or cause serious short- and long-term complications. This demands a structured diagnostic and risk-benefit-assessment approach that is currently missing. There is also a need for more advanced diagnostic imaging of the biliary duct system, such as MRCP, to improve the selection for ERC and EST. Further investigations are needed in dogs for assessing the indications of ERCP in acute conditions.

Methods

This study is a retrospective descriptive case series. Electronic medical records of canine patients examined between November 2007 and March 2019 were searched from data archives of the Small Animal Hospital, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Helsinki. The search for patients included the terms "ERCP", "ERC" and "Fluoroscopy". The criteria for inclusion of dogs were a successfully performed diagnostic ERC with or without ERP, or therapeutic EST.

The clinical records, laboratory test results (serum biochemical profile: bilirubin, ALP, ALT, cPL), US findings, ERC/ERCP findings and histopathological data of the patients were reviewed. In addition, short-term (immediately after the procedure) and, if available, long-term outcomes after the therapeutic EST procedure were obtained from the medical records. All dogs were treated medically based on their clinical problems, but these treatments are beyond the scope of this article.

Abdominal ultrasonography

Abdominal US had been performed in all patients prior to ERC/ERCP, using an iU22 Ultrasound System (Philips, Bothell, WA) for all cases except for one dog, which had been examined using an EPIQ 7 Ultrasound System (Philips, Bothell, WA). The reports written by the examining radiologist formed the material for the present study. The following aspects were included: The liver echogenicity, compared to the falciform fat, was classified as normal, hyperechoic, hypoechoic or heterogenous. The biliary system had been evaluated for the presence of prominent major papilla, presence of distended gallbladder with debris, CBD (including diameter measurement, when available), and dilated extra- and intrahepatic ducts. The CBD was considered normal when the diameter was $\leq 3 \text{ mm}$ [26, 34]. Echogenicity of the pancreas had been compared to peripancreatic mesenteric fat and was classified as normal, hyperechoic, hypoechoic or heterogenous.

Endoscopic procedures

Indications for performing ERC/ERCP comprised chronic gastrointestinal signs, abnormal laboratory findings (hyperbilirubinemia, elevated liver enzymes and elevated cPL), and abnormal US findings in the major papilla, liver, biliary system and pancreatic area. Endoscopic procedures had been performed under general anesthesia based on previously published protocols in dogs [5, 9]. Based on these protocols, conventional gastroduodenoscopy was performed by using a standard flexible videoscope on dogs in left recumbency. ERCP was performed in ventral recumbency with an 11.0-mm side-view endoscope (JF1T10, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) in dogs >10 kg body weight and an 7.5-mm side-view endoscope (PJF 160, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) in dogs < 10 kg body weight. The major and minor papillae were cannulated by inserting a sphincterotome catheter into the papillae. The sphincterotome Clever Cut 3V (KD-V431M-0720, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was used for dogs >10 kg body weight and the sphincterotome REF OE1051615GW (Endoflex GmbH, Voerde, Germany) for dogs < 10 kg body weight. The following aspects were included in the endoscopic and ERC/ERCP evaluation: prominent or stenotic major or minor papilla; abnormal, narrowed or dilated CBD based on reported normal range at different sites of the duct [5]; abnormal gallbladder filling; dilated extrahepatic ducts; dilated or abnormal filling of the intrahepatic ducts; and abnormal pancreatic duct. For contrast studies of both ductal systems, the sphincterotome catheter was filled with iodine contrast medium (Iomeprol 300, Bracco-Byk Gulden, Konstanz, Germany) before the procedure. In cases of papillary stenosis or blocked bile flow from the major papilla, EST was performed by the respective sphincterotome supported by a guidewire. For dogs > 10 kg the guidewire VisiGlide G-240-2545S (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was used and for dogs < 10 kg the guidewire Stripe-Guide REF 21525400 (Endoflex GmbH, Voerde, Germany). For EST of the major papilla, the tip of the sphincterotome with its diathermy wire was inserted into the papilla and bowed to bring the diathermy wire into cutting position. Then the papilla was cut open electrosurgically in steps using an electrosurgical generator (ESG-100, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) until the bowed sphincterotome slid easily through the orifice.

In case the procedures had been performed more than once on the same animal, only the first session of each procedure was included when reporting the success rate of the procedures. However, all repeated procedures and their outcomes are also reported here.

Histopathological examination

Samples of liver, pancreas and/or duodenum, obtained either as endo- or laparoscopic biopsies, or at laparotomy, or autopsy, were available as hematoxylin-eosin stained histological sections of formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded tissue in 14 of 15 dogs. These samples were re-examined for the present study.

Abbreviations

US: Ultrasonography; ERCP: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography; ERC: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiography; ERP: Endoscopic retrograde pancreatography; EST: Endoscopic sphincterotomy; MRCP: Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography; ALP: Alkaline phosphatase; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; cPL: Canine pancreatic lipase; CBD: Common bile duct; ESBL: Extended spectrum beta-lactamase.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

VR: Gathering data, Drafting the manuscript, Revision; TS: ERCPs, Drafting the manuscript, Revision; JH: ERCPs, Revision; PS: Pathology, Revision; MR: Drafting the manuscript, Revision. All authors read, reviewed, and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Doctoral program of the clinical veterinary medicine (DP-CVM) of the Helsinki University supported the personal grant of the first author (VR) and has had no other role in this research project or any other related procedures.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All diagnostic and treatment procedures in our retrospective study were part of the regular clinical work-up of the patients at the Veterinary Teaching Hospital, University of Helsinki. In accordance with the Finnish national regulations (https://finlex.fi/en/laki/kaannokset/2013/20130497) the non-experimental clinical veterinary practice is excluded from the scope of legislation and therefore ethical approval was not required for this study. All examinations and interventions were performed with owners' consent.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Equine and Small Animal Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ²Department of Gastrointestinal and General Surgery, Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland. ³Department of Veterinary Biosciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.

Received: 22 September 2020 Accepted: 11 April 2022 Published online: 21 April 2022

References

- 1. Center SA. Diseases of the gallbladder and biliary tree. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract. 2009;39(3):543–98.
- Lidbury JA, Suchodolski JS. New advances in the diagnosis of canine and feline liver and pancreatic disease. Vet J. 2016;215:87–95. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2016.02.010.
- Pratschke KM, Ryan J, Mcalinden A, Mclauchlan G. Pancreatic surgical biopsy in 24 dogs and 19 cats: postoperative complications and clinical relevance of histological findings. J Small Anim Pract. 2015;56(1):60–6.
- 4. Marolf AJ. Diagnostic imaging of the Hepatobiliary system: an update. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract. 2017;47(3):555–68.
- Spillmann T, Happonen I, Kähkönen T, Fyhr T, Westermarck E. Endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography in healthy beagles. Vet Radiol Ultrasound. 2005;46(2):97–104.
- Hong J, Pan W, Zuo W, Wang A, Zhu L, Zhou X, et al. Effi cacy and safety of therapeutic ERCP in patients with ectopic papilla of Vater. Medicine. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000018536.
- Spillmann T, Happonen I, Sankari S, Wittker A, Kähkönen T, Westermarck E. Evaluation of serum values of pancreatic enzymes after endoscopic retrograde pancreatography in dogs. Am J Vet Res. 2004;65(5):616–9.
- Spillmann T, Willard MD, Ruhnke I, Suchodolski JS, Steiner JM. Feasibility of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in healthy cats. Vet Radiol Ultrasound. 2014;55(1):85–91.
- Spillmann T, Schnell-Kretschmer H, Dick M, Gröndahl KA, Lenhard TCW, Rüst SK. Endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography in dogs with chronic gastrointestinal problems. Vet Radiol Ultrasound. 2005;46(4):293–9.
- Berent A, Weisse C, Schattner M, Gerdes H, Chapman P, Kochman M. Initial experience with endoscopic retrograde cholangiography and endoscopic retrograde biliary stenting for treatment of extrahepatic bile duct obstruction in dogs. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2015;246(4):436–46.

- Enestvedt BK, Tofani C, Lee DY, Abraham M, Shah P, Chandrasekhara V, et al. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in the pediatric population is safe and efficacious. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2013;57(5):649–54.
- 12. Köksal AŞ, Eminler AT, Parlak E. Biliary endoscopic sphincterotomy: techniques and complications. World J Clin Cases. 2018;6(16):1073–86.
- Cotton PB, Lehman G, Vennes J, Geenen JE, Russell RCG, Meyers WC, et al. Endoscopic sphincterotomy complications and their management: an attempt at consensus. Gastrointest Endosc. 1991;37(3):383–93.
- Cotton PB, Garrow DA, Gallagher J, Romagnuolo J. Risk factors for complications after ERCP: a multivariate analysis of 11,497 procedures over 12 years. Gastrointest Endosc. 2009;70(1):80–8. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.gie.2008.10.039.
- Wojda TR, Nuschke JD, Smith EA, Modi R, Schadt S, Strosberg D, et al. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiography: complications, emergencies, and related topics. Int J Acad Med. 2018;4(2):124–41.
- Moffatt DC, Yu BN, Yie W, Bernstein CN. Trends in utilization of diagnostic and therapeutic ERCP and cholecystectomy over the past 25 years: a population-based study. Gastrointest Endosc. 2014;79(4):615–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gie.2013.08.028.
- 17. Lekharaju VPK, Iqbal J, Noorullah O, Polavarapu N, Menon S, Hood S, et al. Emergency endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in critically ill patients is a safe and effective procedure. Frontline Gastro-enterol. 2013;4(2):138–42.
- Lindsell DRM. Ultrasound imaging of pancreas and biliary tract. Lancet. 1990;335(8686):390–3.
- Barberet V, Schreurs E, Rademacher N, Nitzl D, Taeymans O, Duchateau L, et al. Quantification of the effect of various patient and image factors on ultrasonographic detection of select canine abdominal organs. Vet Radiol Ultrasound. 2008;49(3):273–6.
- Scott RB, Strasberg SM, El-Sharkawy TY, Diamant NE. Fasting canine biliary secretion and the sphincter of Oddi. Gastroenterology. 1984;87(4):793–804. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-5085(84)90072-6.
- Adler DG. ERCP biliary cannulation difficulty as a function of papillary subtypes: a tale of shapes and Shar-Pei dogs. Gastrointest Endosc. 2019;90(6):964–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gie.2019.07.030.
- Park HY, Cho YG, Lee YW, Choi HJ. Evaluation of gallbladder and common bile duct size and appearance by computed tomography in dogs. J Vet Sci. 2018;19(5):653–9.
- 23. Yarmenitis SD. Ultrasound of the gallbladder and the biliary tree. Eur Radiol. 2002;12(2):270–82.
- 24. Pinto A, Reginelli A, Cagini L, Coppolino F, Ianora AAS, Bracale R, et al. Accuracy of ultrasonography in the diagnosis of acute calculous cholecystitis: review of the literature. Crit Ultrasound J. 2013;5(SUPPL.1):1–4 http://www.criticalultrasoundjournal.com/content/5/S1/S11.
- Wertz JR, Lopez JM, Olson D, Thompson WM. Comparing the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound and CT in evaluating acute Cholecystitis. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2018;211(2):92–7.
- d'Anjou MA, Penninck DG. Liver. In: Penninck DG, d'Anjou MA, editors. Atlas of small animal ultrasonography. 2nd ed. Aimes: Blackwell; 2005. p. 183–238.
- Cotton PB, Williams CB. Endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography. In: Cotton PB, Williams CB, editors. Practical gastrointestinal endoscopy. 4th ed. Oxford: Blackwell Science; 1996. p. 105–86.
- Thaker AM, Mosko JD, Berzin TM. Post-endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography pancreatitis. Gastroenterol Rep. 2015;3(1):32–40.
- Du M, Suo J, Liu B, Xing Y, Chen L, Liu Y. Post-ERCP infection and its epidemiological and clinical characteristics in a large Chinese tertiary hospital: a 4-year surveillance study. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2017;6(1):1–6.
- Furneaux RW. A series of six cases of sphincter of Oddi pathology in the cat (2008-2009). J Feline Med Surg. 2010;12(10):794–801. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jfms.2010.06.004.
- 31. Patani O, Foulkes SL, Njie R, Aspinall RJ. Prolonged cholestasis induced by endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. Frontline Gastroenterol. 2010;1(2):121–4.
- Lee HM, Bonis PA, Kaplan MM. Persistent cholestatic jaundice after ERCP. Am J Gastroenterol. 2006;101:204–5.

- Okano N, Igarashi Y, Kishimoto Y, Mimura T, Ito K. Necessity for endoscopic sphincterotomy for biliary stenting in cases of malignant biliary obstruction. Dig Endosc. 2013;25(SUPPL.2):122–5.
- Zeman KR, Taylor WJK, Rosenfield TA, Schwartz A, Gold AJ. Acute experimental biliary obstruction in the dog: sonographic findings and clinical indications. Am J Roentgenol. 1981;136:965–7.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

