

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY e2021-33 | Vol. 53

New insights into the identity of *Discolaimium dubium* Das, Khan and Loof, 1969 (Dorylaimida) as derived from its morphological and molecular characterization, with the proposal of its transference to *Aporcella* Andrássy, 2002

Nasir Vazifeh¹, Gholamreza Niknam¹, Habibeh Jabbari^{2,*}, Arezoo Naghavi³ and Reyes Peña-Santiago⁴

¹Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran.

²Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Maragheh, Maragheh, Iran.

³Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Lorestan University, Khorramabad, Iran.

⁴Departamento de Biología Animal, Biología Vegetal y Ecología, Universidad de Jaén, Campus ⁴Las Lagunillas' s/n, Edificio B3, 23071-Jaén, Spain.

*E-mail: jabbari@maragheh.ac.ir

This paper was edited by Thomas Powers.

Received for publication December 23, 2020.

Abstract

Three Iranian populations of Discolaimium dubium are studied, including their morphological and morphometric characterization, molecular analysis (LSU-rDNA) and the description of the male for the first time. For comparative purposes, this species is distinguished by its 1.10 to 1.40mm long body, lip region offset by constriction and 8 to 10 µm wide, odontostyle 7.5 to 10.5 µm long with aperture occupying 59 to 76% of total length, neck 300 to 362 µm, pharyngeal expansion 127 to 181 µm long or 44 to 46% of the total neck length, uterus simple and 38 to 53 µm or 1.2 to 1.5 times the body diameter long, V=52 to 58, tail conical (32-38 µm, c=32-43, c'=1.6-2.0) with rounded tip and a hyaline portion occupying 14 to 15% of tail length, spicules 30 to 32 µm long, and two or three widely space ventromedian supplements with hiatus. Both morphological and molecular data support its belonging to the genus Aporcella, whose monophyly is confirmed and to which the species is formally transferred as A. dubia (Das et al., 1969) comb. n.

Keywords

Aporcella, Iran, LSU-rDNA, Morphology, New combination, Phylogeny, Taxonomy.

In their revision of the genus *Discolaimoides* Heyns, 1963 Das et al. (1969) described *Discolaimium dubium* based on the holotype plus 25 females collected from several European enclaves in Italy, the Netherlands and Switzerland. Later, it was recorded from the Netherlands again (Bongers, 1988), Spain (Liébanas et al., 2003; Peña-Santiago et al., 2005), Hungary (Andrássy, 2009) and India (Sharma, 2011). Thus, it seems to be a component of the nematode fauna inhabiting in northern territories, widely spread out in Europe.

When describing it, Das et al. (1969) characterized *D. dubium* by having, among other features, 1.06 to 1.33 mm long body, lateral chord without conspicuous lateral organs, lip region slightly expanded, odontostyle

8 to 10µm long with aperture occupying slightly more than one-half of total length, anterior portion of pharynx muscular and enlarging very gradually, absence of *pars refringens vaginae* and conical tail. This was a peculiar combination of traits; therefore, the authors raised a doubt about its identity and named it *dubium*, that is, dubious or doubtful (cf. Andrássy, 2009) and pointed out (p. 486) that '... The systematic position of this species is uncertain. Because of general body shape, shape of lips, structure of cuticle and vagina it is included in *Discolaimium*, but it differs from all species of this genus in the thick anterior part of the esophagus, the anterior position of DO and the absence of conspicuous lateral organs. For the moment, however, it cannot be placed elsewhere; the unsclerotized vagina

^{© 2021} Authors. This is an Open Access article licensed under the Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

keeps it outside *Eudorylaimus*'. Patil and Khan (1982) were aware of the intricate taxonomy of *D. dubium* and solved the matter with the proposal of the new genus *Neodiscolaimium*, with *N. dubium* as its type and only species. Nevertheless, this action was not followed by other authors (Andrássy, 1990, 2009; Jairajpuri and Ahmad, 1992) who regarded *Neodiscolaimium* as a junior synonym of *Discolaimium* (Thorne, 1939).

Several molecular analyses carried out along the last decade have shown that dorylaimid taxa lacking a conspicuous *pars refringens vaginae*, including discolaims and some aporcelaims, probably share a more recent common ancestor than traditionally assumed (Álvarez-Ortega and Peña-Santiago, 2016; Álvarez-Ortega et al., 2013; Imran et al., 2019; Naghavi et al., 2019).

A general survey conducted during last years to explore the dorylaimid fauna from Iran resulted in the recovering of, among other forms, several populations identified as belonging to *D. dubium*. Their morphological and molecular study should elucidate the evolutionary relationships of this species, and provide new information to understand better the phylogeny of these dorylaimid taxa.

Materials and methods

Extraction and processing of nematodes

Several soil samples were collected from depths ranging from 10 to 40 cm, in the active plant root zone of East-Azarbaijan province, northwest Iran, during the period 2016 to 2017. Nematodes were extracted from soil samples using Whitehead and Heming (1965) method, transferred to anhydrous glycerin according to De Grisse (1969), and mounted on glass slides for handling.

Light microscopy

Measurements were made using a drawing tube attached to an Olympus BX-41 light microscope. The digital images were prepared using a DP50 digital camera attached to the same microscope with differential interference contrast (DIC). Morphometrics included Demanian indices and the usual measurements and ratios. Line illustrations were prepared using CoreIDRAW[®] software version 12. Photographs were edited using Adobe[®] Photoshop[®] CS software.

DNA extraction, PCR, and sequencing

For the molecular phylogenetic studies, DNA samples were extracted from one or two females

selected from each population, studied individually on temporary slides, placed on a clean slide containing a drop of distilled water or worm lysis buffer (WLB), and crushed by a sterilized scalpel. Then, the suspension was transferred to an Eppendorf tube containing 25.65µl ddH2O, 2.85µl 10×PCR buffer and 1.5µl proteinase K (600µg/ml) (Promega, Benelux, the Netherlands). The tubes were incubated at -80°C (1 hr), 65°C (1 hr) and 95°C (15 min). Each sample was regarded as an independent DNA sample and stored at -20°C until used as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) template. Primers for 28S rDNA D2-D3 amplification/sequencing were forward primer D2A (5'-ACAAGTACCGTGAGGGAAAGTTG-3') and reverse primer D3B (5'-TCGGAAGGAACCAGCTACTA-3') (Nunn, 1992). The 25µl PCR reaction mixture containing 10µl ddH2O, 12.5µl PCR master mix (Ampligon, Denmark), 0.75µl of each forward and reverse primers and 1 µl of DNA template. PCR was carried out using a BIO RAD thermocycler machine in accordance with Archidona-Yuste et al. (2016). The thermal cycling program for amplification were as follows: denaturation at 94°C for 2 min, 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec, annealing of primers at 55°C for 45 sec and extension at 72°C for 3 min followed by a final elongation step at 72°C for 10 min. The PCR products were purified and sent for sequencing to Bioneer Company, South Korea. The recently obtained sequences were submitted into the GenBank database under the accession numbers MT079121, MT079122 and MT079123S as indicated on the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 4).

Phylogenetic analyses

The Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) homology search program was used to compare the newly generated sequences with other available sequences in GenBank database. The recently generated sequences were aligned with the other segments of 28S rDNA gene sequences retrieved from the database using MEGA6 software (Tamura et al., 2013). Paravulvus hartingii (de Man, 1880; Heyns, 1968) (AY593062) was chosen as outgroup. Bayesian analysis (BI) was performed with MrBayes 3.1.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003). The best-fit model of nucleotide substitution used for the phylogenetic analysis was selected using MrModeltest 2.3 (Nylander, 2004) with Akaike-supported model in conjunction with PAUP* v4.0b10 (Swofford, 2003). The BI analysis under GTR+I+G model was initiated with general time-reversible model with invariable sites and a gamma-shaped distribution for the 28S rDNA gene was done. After discarding burn-in samples and evaluating convergence, the remaining samples were retained for further analyses. Posterior probabilities (PP) are given on appropriate clades. The tree was visualized and saved using the program Fig tree 1.4.3 v.

Results

Systematics

Morphological description of Iranian material (Figs. 1-3).



Figure 1: *Discolaimium dubium* Das et al., 1969 from Iran. A: Neck region; B: Anterior region in lateral median view; C: Lip region in lateral surface view; D: Female entire; E: Male entire; F: Female, posterior genital branch; G: Female, posterior body region; H: Male, posterior body region; I: Spicule; J: Lateral guiding piece.



Figure 2: *Discolaimium dubium* Das et al., 1969 from Iran (female, LM). A: Anterior region in lateral median view; B: Lip region in lateral surface view; C: Pharyngeal expansion and pharyngo-intestinal junction; D: Posterior genital branch; E: Posterior body region; F: Entire; G: Vagina; H: Lateral chord. (Scale bars: A-E, G and $H=10\mu m$; $F=30\mu m$).

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY



Figure 3: *Discolaimium dubium* Das et al., 1969 from Iran (male, LM). A: Anterior region in lateral median view; B: Entire; C: Lateral chord; D: Pharyngeal expansion and pharyngo-intestinal junction; E: Spicule; F: Posterior body region. (Scale bars: A, C-F=10µm; B=30µm).

Morphometrics

See Table 1.

Adult

Slender to very slender (a=39-49) nematodes of medium size, 1.10 to 1.40 mm long. Body cylindrical, tapering toward both ends, but more so toward the posterior extremity as the tail is conical. Upon fixation, habitus regularly curved ventrad, to an open C shape in females and nearly J shape in males. Cuticle twolayered, 1 µm thick at anterior region, 1.5 to 2.5 µm in mid-body and 2.5 to 3.5 µm on tail, consisting of thin outer layer bearing fine but conspicuous transverse striation, and thicker inner layer, more appreciable at caudal region. Lateral chord 7 to 10 µm broad, occupying 21 to 28% of mid-body diameter, lacking any differentiation. Lateral pores obscure. Lip region somewhat truncate anteriorly, slightly expanded (but not discoid), that is, slightly wider than its adjoining body, 2.6 to 3.3 times as wide as high and *ca* onethird (26-37%) of body diameter at neck base; lips mostly amalgamated, with weakly protruding papillae. Amphidial fovea cup-like, its aperture occupying 4.5 to 6µm or more than one-half (50-63%) of lip

Population	Sufiyan	Teymourlu	Qazi J	Jahan	Total range	Type
Character	Female	Female	Female	Male	Female	Female
U	ω	Q	Q	ო	20	25
_	1.33±0.04 (1.25-1.39)	$1.20 \pm 0.06 (1.10 - 1.31)$	1.20±0.03 (1.10-1.30)	1.30±0.08 (1.20-1.40)	1.10-1.40	1.06-1.35
Ø	43.0±2.8 (39-48)	46.2±2.2 (43-49)	44.9±2.7 (41-48)	45.1±2.3 (41.0-48.0)	39-49	40-49
Q	3.9±0.1 (3.9-4.1)	4.2±0.4 (3.5-4.7)	3.9±1.3 (3.8-4.1)	3.9±0.3 (3.5-4.1)	3.5-4.7	3.5-4.1
O	38.0±2.1 (36-43)	34.4±1.3 (34.0-37.0)	38.0±1.2 (37-40)	37.2±3.8 (32.0-42.0)	32-43	33-39
C,	1.7±0.1 (1.6-2.0)	1.8±0.2 (1.8-2.0)	1.7±0.04 (1.7-1.8)	1.7±0.2 (1.6-1.9)	1.6-2.0	1.5-2.0
Λ	55.0±1.5 (53-57)	54.0±2.4 (52.0-58.0)	55.0±0.6 (54-56)	I	52-58	53-57
Lip region diam.	9.0±0.5 (8-10)	9.0±0.2 (8.5-9.5)	9.2±0.2 (9.0-9.5)	9.2±0.1 (9.0-9.5)	8-10	10
Odontostyle length	$9.4 \pm 0.5 (9-10)$	8.3±0.7 (7.5-9.5)	9.6±0.1 (9.0-10.0)	9.2±0.04 (9.0-9.5)	7.5-10.5	8-10
Odontophore length	17.0±1.3 (15-19)	15.7±11 (14.5-17.5)	15.4±0.4 (15-16)	15.6±0.3 (15.5-16)	14.5-19	12-15
Guiding ring from ant. end	4.5±0.3 (4-5)	$5.1 \pm 0.2 (5.0 - 5.5)$	5.1±0.1 (5.0-5.5)	5.0 ± 0.0 (5.0)	4-5.5	<-
Neck length	334±10 (318-343)	322±24 (312-350)	318±33 (300-332)	345±15 (331-362)	300-362	320-354
Pharyngeal expansion length	156±2.1 (153-159)	145±12 (127-159)	139±7.7 (131-147)	165±14 (156-181)	127-181	C
Body diam. at neck base	28.0±1.4 (26-30)	26.7±1.2 (25-28)	27.5±0.8 (26-29)	28.3±1.6 (26-30)	25-30	<i>C</i> -
mid-body	31.0±1.6 (29-34)	26.7±1.2 (25-29)	27.1 ±1.4 (25-29)	29.5±3.4 (26-33)	25-34	27
Anus	18.0±0.9 (17-20)	18.7±0.6 (17-19)	19.3±0.9 (19-21)	21.0±0.9 (20-22)	17-22	<-
Prerectum length	83±15 (74-103)	85.0±18.3 (65-109)	80.5±7.0 (73-88)	86.0±12 (70-100)	65-109	
Tail length	34.2±1.3 (34-36)	36.2±1.6 (34-38)	33.3±0.6 (32-34)	36.5±1.2 (34-38)	32-38	34
Spicule length	I	I	Ι	31.0±0.9 (30-32)	I	I
Ventromedian supplements	I	I	I	(2-3)	I	I

Note: Measurements in µm, except L in mm.

Table 1. Morphometrics of Iranian material of Discolaimium dubium Das et al., 1969.

region diameter. Cheilostom comparatively short and broad, with thick walls. Odontostyle relatively short and robust, 4.1 to 4.6 times as long as wide, nearly equal (0.9-1.0 times) to the lip region diameter, and 0.66 to 0.76% of the total body length; aperture large, 5.5 to 6.5 µm long, occupying more than onehalf (59-76%) of the odontostyle length. Guiding ring simple, but conspicuous, situated at 4.5 to 5.5 µm or 0.5 to 0.6 times the lip region diameter from the anterior end. Odontophore rod-like, 1.7 to 2.0 times the odontostyle, lacking any differentiation. Pharynx entirely muscular, consisting of a slender section gradually enlarging into the basal expansion that is 7.7 to 9.5 times as long as wide, 4.5 to 5.5 times the body diameter at neck base and occupies 44 to 46% of the total neck length; gland nuclei located as follows (n=2): DO=58, 60; DN=63; S₁N₁=72, 75; $S_1N_2 = ?82$, $S_2N = 88$, 90. Pharyngo-intestinal junction with a rounded conoid cardia 5.5-9.5×8.5-12.5µm, surrounded by intestinal tissue. Tail conical, with rounded tip, ventrally nearly straight, dorsally regularly convex; inner core not reaching the tail tip, therefore a hyaline portion is present occupying 5 to 5.5 µm or 14 to 15% of tail length; caudal pores two pairs, at the middle of tail, one nearly dorsal, other subdorsal.

Female

Genital system didelphic-amphidelphic, with both branches equally and moderately developed, the anterior 111 to 203µm long or 9 to 16% of body length, the posterior 108 to 202 µm or 8 to 17% of body length. Ovaries reflexed, relatively small, often not reaching the oviduct-uterus junction, the anterior 52 to 74 µm, the posterior 54 to 90 µm long. Oviduct 44 to 74 µm or 1.3 to 2.1 times the body diameter long, consisting of a slender distal portion made of prismatic cells and a moderately developed pars dilatata. A marked sphincter separates oviduct and uterus. Uterus a simple, tube-like structure, 38 to 53 µm or 1.2 to 1.6 times the body diameter long. Vagina extending inwards 11 to 15µm or ca two-fifths (33-43%) of body diameter: pars proximalis 7-10×8-11 µm, with slightly convergent walls surrounded by weak circular musculature and pars distalis 3.5 to 4.5 µm long. Vulva a somewhat posterior, transverse slit. Prerectum 3.0 to 6.6, rectum 1.2 to 1.5 times the anal body diameter long.

Male

Genital system diorchic, with opposed testes. In addition to the adcloacal pair, located at 8 to 9μ m from the cloacal aperture, there are two or three,

widely spaced 13.5 to $16 \mu m$ apart, ventromedian supplements, the most posterior of them situated far from the ad-cloacal pair, at 59 to 79 µm. Spicules dorylaimid, regularly curved ventrad and relatively robust, 3.9 to 4.9 times as long as wide; head small, 2.5 to 3 µm long, occupying 8 to 10% of total spicule length, with its dorsal side slightly longer than the ventral one; ventral side bearing visible hump and hollow, median piece occupying 85 to 87% of spicule length. Lateral guiding pieces 8.5 to 9µm long. Prerectum 2.4 to 4.5, cloaca 1.4 to 1.5 times body diameter at level of cloacal aperture.

Molecular characterization

Three D2-D3 28S rDNA sequences were obtained, *ca* 800 bp long. Their analysis has allowed the elucidation of evolutionary relationships of the species. The phylogenetic results are presented in Figure 4.

Distribution

This species has been collected in three locations of East-Azarbaijan province, northwestern Iran: (i) Sufiyan, Roodghat area, Zeinabad village (GPS coordinates: N 38°16′ 49′′E 46° 07′ 18″, altitude 1598 m a.s.l.), in soil around roots of black cherry trees (*Prunus cerasus* L.); (ii) Osku, Teymourlu (GPS coordinates: N 37°48′ 35′′E 45° 53′ 37″), collected from rhizosphere of Almond trees (*Prunus dulcis* L.); and (iii) Azarshahr, Qazi Jahan village (GPS coordinates: N 37°46′ 27″′E 45° 55′ 51″), from the rhizosphere of walnut trees (*Juglans regia* L.).

Discussion

Comparison of Iranian specimens with type material of *D. dubium* and its closely related species from the genus *Aporcella*

The description of *D. dubium* by Das et al. (1969) was not excessively detailed, but available information is enough for comparative purposes. Table 1 shows that the most relevant morphometrics of Iranian nematodes and type material (consisting of specimens from at least three European enclaves, see above) are nearly identical, with totally coincident or widely overlapping ranges. General description, in particular the morphology of pharynx, genital system, and caudal region, are identical, as well. Thus, there is no doubt that the Iranian material belongs to the species. The above mentioned description therefor confirms the original one and provides an updated morphometric



Figure 4: Phylogenetic relationships of *Discolaimium dubium* Das et al., 1969. Bayesian 50% majority rule consensus tree as inferred from D2-D3 expansion segments of 28S rDNA sequence alignments under the GTR+G+I model. Posterior probabilities are given for appropriate clades. Newly obtained sequences are indicated by bold letters.

and morphological characterization of the species. Morphometrical characters of Iranian population of *D. dubium* and closely related species in the genus *Aporcella* are shown in Table 2.

Proposal of a new identity for D. dubium

Since its original description, *D. dubium* has been regarded as an atypical species within the genus

Table 2. Main morphometrics of Iranian material of Discolaimium dubium Das et al., 1969 and its seven close species of the genus Aporcella.

								0	Characters								
Species	ч	Γ	ø	q	S	у	>	Lrd ^a	Odont.	Neck	Ph. exp.	Prerect.	Tail	Spicul.	Ve. sup.	Geog. dis.	Ref ^b
D. dubium	2099	1.10-1.39	39-49	3.5-4.7	34-43	1.6-2.0	52-58	8.0-10	7.5-10	300-350	127-159	65-109	32-38	I	I	Iran	
	3đđ	1.20-1.40	41-48	3.5-4.1	32-42	1.6-1.9	I	9.0-9.5	9.0-9.5	331-362	156-181	700-100	34-38	30-32	2-3		
A. adriaani	799	1.23-1.49	23-30	3.5-4.1	42-58	0.8-1.1	53-57	12-15	14-16	320-380	183	63-179	21-30			South Africa	2
A. debruinae	299	2.14-2.20	40	4.2-4.5	65-76	0.9-1.0	49-50	15-16	16	480-510	I	191	29-33	I	I	Botswana	N
	233	2.12-2.23	42-43	4.4-4.8	76-93	0.8-0.9	I	16-17	14-15	460-480	I	I	24-28	57-61	5		
A. malekimilani	2399	1.90-2.70	32-55	4.0-5.0	41-58	1.2-1.7	55-61	14-17	14-15	476-619	300-375	105-178	44-56	I	I	Iran	ო
A. minor	899	1.05-1.15	27-32	3.3-3.6	33-36	1.4-1.6	58-61	11-13	12.5-14	293-325	128-144	38-55	29-33	I	I	Iran	က
A. talebii	1399	1.66-2.02	27-37	3.7-4.4	37-47	1.1-1.4	52-59	15-17	14-18	412-484	209-231	55-84	40-50	I	I	Iran	с
A. tropica	999	1.44-1.76	39-50	3.8-4.9	63-82	0.8-1.0	55-62	I	12-14	333-368	I	I	22-24	I	I	India	N
	933	1.60-1.80	44-54	4.2-4.9	60-77	1.0	I	I	I	I	I	I	22-27	42-47	3-5		
A. vitrinus	3299	1.20-1.86	30-47	4.0-5.1	45-77	0.9-1.4	48-53	11-13	12-13	333-374	149-185	44-132	25-37	I	I	Spain	ო
	ðð	1.47	<i>c</i> .	Ċ	48	1.0	I	13	12	<i>Ċ</i> .	Ċ	107	31	43	9		
	1599	1.4-2.0	26-41	3.4-4.5	50-65	0.9-1.1	50-56	12-13	12-15	363-406	175-199	72-109	22-31	I	I	Iran	
Notes: All measu	Irement	s in µm, exc	Sept L in	mm. ^a Abl	breviatio.	ns: Lrd,	lip regio	n diamete	er; Odont., (odontostyle	e length; Pl	h.exp., pha	aryngeal (expansion	length;	Prerect.,	

prerectum length; Spicul., spicule length; Ve.sup., number of ventromedian supplements; Geog.dis., geographical distribution. ^bReferences: 1 – Present paper. 2 – Álvarez-Ortega et al. (2013). 3 – Vazifeh et al. (2020).

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY

Discolaimium (Das et al., 1969; Patil and Khan, 1982). This study of Iranian specimens confirms this opinion as several traits (pharynx entirely muscular and enlarging gradually, anterior position of DO, absence of lateral gland bodies) are not usual in members of this genus. Besides, the odontostyle aperture is appreciably longer than one-half of the total length, a typical feature of aporcelaims, the members of the family Aporcelaimidae Heyns, 1965.

Molecular analyses of LSU rDNA sequences of three Iranian specimens of D. dubium sheds some light on the identity of this species. Their evolutionary relationships, as observed in the tree provided in Figure 4, reveal that these sequences form part of a highly supported (98%) large clade including several taxa, all of them having in common the absence of pars refringens vaginae, so confirming previous findings (Álvarez-Ortega and Peña-Santiago, 2016; Álvarez-Ortega et al., 2013; Imran et al., 2019; Naghavi et al., 2019). The most relevant result is, however, that D. dubium sequences form a very robust (100%) subclade with members of the genus Aporcella (Andrássy, 2002). Other discolaims constitute another robust (98%) subclade. This strongly supports the membership of D. dubium in Aporcella, which is totally compatible with the updated morphological characterization of the species above provided. Actually, in its general morphology, D. dubium resembles several conicaltailed members of Aporcella from which it can be easily distinguished in several relevant morphometrics (see below). Consequently, it is transferred to Aporcella as A. dubia (Das et al., 1969) comb. n.

Separation of *A. dubia* from similar *Aporcella* species

For comparative purposes and taken into consideration the results provided in the present study, A. dubia is characterized by its 1.10 to 1.40 mm long body, cuticle bearing fine but perceptible transverse striation, lip region offset by constriction and 8 to 10 µm wide, odontostyle 7.5 to 10.5 µm long with aperture occupying 59 to 76% of total length, neck 300 to 362 µm, pharyngeal expansion 127 to 181 µm long or 44 to 46% of the total neck length, female genital system didelphic-amphidelphic, uterus simple and 38 to 53 µm or 1.2 to 1.6 times the body diameter long, vulva a transverse slit (V=52-58), tail conical $(32-38 \mu m, c=32-43, c'=1.6-2.0)$ with rounded tip and a hyaline portion occupying 14 to 15% of tail length, spicules 30 to 32 µm long, and two or three widely spaced, ventromedian supplements with hiatus.

By having slender body (a-ratio \geq 39), short odontostyle (<17 µm long) and comparatively short pharyngeal expansion (up to 54% of the total neck length), A. dubia resembles A. debruinae Álvarez-Ortega et al., 2013, A. minor Vazifeh et al., 2020, and A. tropica (Álvarez-Ortega et al., 2013; Jana and Bagri, 1981). It differs from both A. debruinae and A. tropica in its smaller general size (body length 1.06-1.40 mm, *n* = 45 vs 1.44-1.88 and 2.12-2.23 mm, respectively), narrower lip region (8-10 vs 15-16 and 11.5-13 µm, respectively), shorter odontostyle (7.5-10.5 vs 11-14 and 14-16 µm, respectively) and much shorter spicules (30-32 vs 42-47 and 57-61 µm, respectively). From A. minor, a similar species recently described from Iran too, in its more slender body (a=39-49 vs a=27-32, n=9), narrower lip region (8-10 vs 11-13 µm), shorter odontostyle (7.5-10.5 vs 12.5-14 μ m), and more anterior vulva (V=52-58 vs V = 58-61).

Remarks

The transference of D. dubium to Aporcella brings up the taxonomy of the large clade morphologically characterized by the absence of pars refringens vaginae and robustly supported by molecular data. This clade consists of representatives of at least three traditional dorylaimid families, namely Aporcelaimidae, Qudsianematidae Jairajpuri, 1965, and Tylencholaimidae Filipjev, 1934. Leaving aside the tylencholaims (Tylencholaimidae), in general easily distinguishable thanks to the leptonchid (=tylencholaimid) nature of their cuticle, the morphological separation of aporcelaims (Aporcelaimidae, represented by Aporcella sequences) and discolaims (Qudsianematidae, Discolaiminae Siddigi, 1969, represented by Carcharolaimus, Discolaimus and Discolaimoides sequences) of this clade becomes controversial and problematic. The results obtained in the present contribution suggest that some species currently classified under Discolaimium (and perhaps other species under Discolaimoides too) might belong to Aporcella and that, as consequence, a reevaluation and/or re-definition of these genera are recommendable and necessary.

Acknowledgments

One of the authors (RPS) thanks the financial support received through the research program 'PAIUJA 2019/2020: EI_RNM02_2019', University of Jaén, Spain, for his scientific work throughout the last two years.

References

Álvarez-Ortega, S. and Peña-Santiago, R. 2016. *Aporcella charidemiensis* sp. n (Dorylaimida: Aporcelaimidae) from the southern Iberian Peninsula, with comments on the phylogeny of the genus. Nematology 18:811–21, doi: 10.1163/15685411-00002995.

Álvarez-Ortega, S., Subbotin, S. A. and Peña-Santiago, R. 2013. Morphological and molecular characterisation of *Aporcelaimellus simplex* (Thorne & Swanger, 1936) Loof & Coomans, 1970 and a new concept for *Aporcella* Andrássy, 2002 (Dorylaimida: Aporcelaimidae). Nematology 15:165–78, doi: 10.1163/156854112X651320.

Andrássy, I. 1990. The superfamily Dorylaimoidea (Nematoda) – a review. Family Qudsianematidae, I. Acta Zoologica Hungarica 36:163–88.

Andrássy, I. 2002. New genera and species of nematodes from southern Chile. Opuscula Zoologica Budapestensis 34:5–22.

Andrássy, I. 2009. "Free-living nematodes of Hungary (Nematoda errantia).III", In Csuzdi, C. and Mahunka, S. (Eds), Pedozoologica Hungarica 5 Hungarian Natural History Museum and Systematic Zoology Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary, p. 608.

Archidona-Yuste, A., Navas-Cortés, J. A., Cantalapiedra-Navarrete, C., Palomares-Rius, J. E. and Castillo, P. 2016. Cryptic diversity and species delimitation in the *Xiphinema americanum*-group complex (Nematoda: Longidoridae) as inferred from morphometric and molecular markers. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 176:231–65, doi: 10.1111/zoj.12316.

Bongers, T. 1988. De Nematoden van Nederland KNNV, Utrecht.

Das, V. M., Khan, E. and Loof, P. A. A. 1969. Revision of the genus *Discolaimoides* Heyns, 1963 with description of two new species reminiscent of this genus. Nematologica 15:473–91, doi: 10.1163/187529269X00803.

De Grisse, A. T. 1969. Redescription ou modification de quelques techniques utilisées dans l'étude des nematodes phytoparasitaires. Mededelingen Rijksfakulteit Landbowwetenschappen, Gent 34:351–69.

de Man, J. G. 1880. Die einheimischen, frei in der reinenErde und imsüssen Wasser lebenden Nematoden. Tijddschrift Nederlandsche dierkundige Vereeniging 5:1–104.

Filipjev, I. N. 1934. The classification of the freeliving nematodes and their relation to the parasitic nematodes. Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections 89:19–63.

Heyns, J. 1963. A report of South African nematodes of the genera *Labronema* Thorne, *Discolaimus* Cobb, *Discolaimoides* n. gen. and *Discolaimium* Thorne (Nematoda: Dorylaimoidea). Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington 30:1–6. Heyns, J. 1965. On the morphology and taxonomy of the Aporcelaimidae, a new family of dorylaimoid nematodes. Entomology Memoirs, Department of Agricultural Technical Services, Republic of South Africa 10:1-51.

Heyns, J. 1968. A monographic study of the nematode families Nygolaimidae and Nygolaimellidae. Entomology Memoirs Plant Protection Research Institute, Pretoria, South Africa 10:1-51.

Imran, Z., Abolafia, J., Peña-Santiago, R. and Ahmad, W. 2019. Morphological and molecular characterisation of *Moshajia idiofora* Siddiqi, 1982 (Dorylaimida: Qudsianematidae), with new insights into the phylogeny of the enigmatic Lordellonematinae. Nematology 21:349–60, doi: 10.1163/15685411-00003218.

Jairajpuri, M. S. 1965. *Qudsianema amabilis* n. gen., n. sp. (Nematoda: Dorylaimoidea) from India. Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington 32:72–3.

Jairajpuri, M. S. and Ahmad, W. 1992. Dorylaimida. Free-living, predaceous and plant-parasitic Nematodes. New Delhi: Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd.

Jana, A. and Baqri, Q. H. 1981. On the species of *Aporcelaimellus* Heyns, 1965 from West Bengal (Aporcelaimidae: Nematoda). Bulletin of the Zoological Survey, India 3:221–5.

Liébanas, G., Peña-Santiago, R., Real, R. and Márquez, A. L. 2003. Spatial distribution of dorylaimid and mononchid nematodes from southeast Iberian Peninsula: chorological relationships among species. Journal of Nematology 34:390–5.

Naghavi, A., Niknam, G., Vazifeh, N. and Peña-Santiago, R. 2019. Morphological and molecular characterisation of two species of *Aporcella* Andrássy, 2002 (Nematoda: Dorylaimida: Aporcelaimidae) from Iran, with new insights into the phylogeny of the genus. Nematology 21:665–5, doi: 10.1163/15685411-00003243.

Nunn, G. B. 1992. Nematode molecular evolution. an investigation of evolutionary patterns among nematodes based on DNA sequences. Nottingham: University of Nottingham.

Nylander, J. A. A. 2004. MrModeltest v2. Program distributed by the author Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University.

Patil, K. J. and Khan, E. 1982. Taxonomic studies on the nematodes of Vidarbha region of Maharashtra, India. I. The family Discolaimidae (Dorylaimida) with proposition of two new genera. Indian Journal of Nematology 12:38–46.

Peña-Santiago, R., Jiménez-Guirado, D., Liébanas, G., Murillo, R., Abolafia, J. and Guerrero, P. 2005. "Study of the nematode fauna (Dorylaimida and Mononchida) in affected areas of the Guadiamar River basin: preliminary results", In Delvalls, T. A. and Blasco, J. (Eds), Integrated Assessment and Management of the Ecosystems Affected by the Aznalcollar Mining

Discolaimium dubium from Iran: Vazifeh et al.

spill (sw Spain) Cátedra UNESCO/University of Cádiz, Spain, pp. 99–104.

Ronquist, F. and Huelsenbeck, J. P. 2003. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 19:1572–4, doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/17.8.754.

Sharma, V. 2011. Terrestrial nematodes from Rajasthan, India. III. Discolaiminae (Nematoda: Qudsiane-matidae). Journal of Experimental Zoology 14:547–55.

Siddiqi, M. R. 1969. *Crateronema* n. gen. (Crateronematidae n. fam.), *Poronemella* n. gen. (Lordellonematinae n. subfam.) and *Chrysonemoides* n. gen. (Chrysonematidae n. fam.) with a revised classification of Dorylaimoidea (Nematoda). Nematologica 15:81–100, doi: 10.1163/187529269X00128.

Swofford, D. L. 2003. PAUP*: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods), version 4.0b 10 Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.

Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A. and Kumar, S. 2013. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30:2725–9, doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst197.

Thorne, G. 1939. A monograph of the nematodes of the superfamily Dorylaimoidea. Capita Zoologica 8:1–261.

Vazifeh, N., Niknam, G., Naghavi, A., Jabbari, H. and Peña-Santiago, R. 2020. Description of *Aporcella minor* sp. n. (Dorylaimida: Aporcelaimidae) from Iran, with an updated taxonomy of the genus. Nematology 23, doi: 10.1163/15685411-bja10055.

Whitehead, A. G. and Heming, J. R. 1965. A comparison of some quantitative methods of extracting small vermiform nematodes from soil. Annals of Applied Biology 55:25–38, doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7348.1965. tb07864.x.