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#### Abstract

Helicase-like proteins play a crucial role in nucleic acid- and chromatin-mediated reactions. In this study, we identified 134 helicase-like proteins in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans and classified the proteins into 10 known subfamilies and a group of orphan genes on the basis of sequence similarity. We characterized loss-of-function phenotypes in RNA interference (RNAi)-treated animals for helicase family members, using the RNAi feeding method, and found several previously unreported phenotypes. Fifty-one (39.5\%) of 129 genes tested showed development- or growth-defect phenotypes, and many of these genes were putative nematode homologs of essential genes in a unicellular eukaryote, budding yeast, suggesting conservation of these essential proteins in both species. Comparative analyses between these species identified evolutionarily diverged nematode proteins as well as conserved family members. Chromosome mapping of the nematode genes revealed 10 pairs of putative duplicated genes and clusters of $C$. elegans-specific SNF2-like genes and Helitrons. Analyses of transcriptional profile data revealed a predominantly oogenesis- and germline-enriched expression of many helicase-like genes. Finally, we identified the D2005.5(drh-3) gene in an RNAi-based screen for genes involved in resistance to X-ray irradiation. Analysis of DRH-3 will clarify the potentially novel mechanism by which it protects against X-ray-induced damage in C. elegans.
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## 1. Introduction

The helicase superfamily is made up of three functional classes, DNA helicases, RNA helicases, and chromatin remodeling ATPases, and the members of this family play a crucial role in various nucleic acid- and chromatinmediated cellular reactions such as DNA replication, repair and recombination, pre-mRNA splicing, ribosome

[^0]biogenesis, RNA interference, and chromatin remodeling. ${ }^{1-5}$ Since these reactions are essential for maintenance, expression, and regulation of genetic information in the chromosome, dysfunctions of helicase genes may lead to genetic diseases, including cancers. Indeed, genetic mutations of the human RecQ-like BLM and the WRN DNA helicase result in the early development of various cancers and premature aging, respectively. ${ }^{6}$ Most helicases share conserved amino acid sequence motifs, and these genes are classified into five families (SF1-SF5) on the basis of the occurrence and characteristics of conserved motifs. ${ }^{7}$ Because of the biological importance of the helicase family, we conducted a comprehensive analysis of the functions of helicase family members in two model organisms: Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Caenorhabditis
elegans. Previously, we examined loss-of-function phenotypes of yeast novel helicase-related genes, using gene knockout strains and characterized gene expression profiles by northern blotting. ${ }^{8}$ In our previous study, we identified 21 uncharacterized genes including five essential genes YDL031W[DBP10], YDL084W[SUB2], YKL078W[DHR2], YLR276C[DBP9], and YMR128W [ECM16], and YDL070W[BDF2] and YGL150C[INO80] were later shown to be non-essential. Some of these novel genes were subsequently characterized to clarify their molecular functions, for example, SUB2 in pre-mRNA splicing, ${ }^{9}$ DHR2, ECM16 and several DEAD-box genes such as $D B P 9$ in ribosome biogenesis, ${ }^{10,11}$ YOL095C(HMI1) in the maintenance of mitochondrial DNA, ${ }^{12}$ and INO80 and YDR334W(SWR1) in chromatin remodeling and transcription. ${ }^{13,14}$ Thus, comprehensive analyses focusing on the helicase superfamily can lead to the discovery of novel genes required for basic cellular reactions involved in cell proliferation, development, and aging.
In the current study, we have focused on helicase family members in a multicellular organism, the nematode C. elegans, using an RNA interference (RNAi) technique. Indeed, many novel multicellular specific proteins from other gene families have been discovered by RNAimediated comprehensive studies in C. elegans, including SR-related proteins, ${ }^{15,16}$ proteins for the ubiquitylation system, ${ }^{17}$ the forkhead proteins, ${ }^{18}$ and G protein-coupled receptors. ${ }^{19}$ Comparative analysis of helicase-like proteins in yeast and C. elegans will allow us to identify nematodespecific proteins that likely play an important role in multicellular organism-specific functions such as morphogenesis. Identification and characterization of these higher eukaryote-specific helicases will be useful in understanding the molecular mechanisms of genetic diseases caused by mutations of human helicase-like genes.

Here, we found 134 genes encoding putative helicaselike proteins in C. elegans and systematically prepared RNAi-treated animals for each of these genes to characterize their loss-of-function phenotypes. Fifty-one of 129 genes tested caused embryonic lethality or growth defects by RNAi, and these genes contained many putative homologs of yeast essential helicase-like genes. We identified two divergent gene clusters and 10 pairs of putative gene duplications on chromosomes and found germline- and oogenesis-enriched expression of many heli-case-like genes. In addition, an RNAi-based screen was performed to identify genes required for resistance to X-ray irradiation, resulting in successful identification of the novel D2005.5(drh-3) gene.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Sequence analyses

Identification of helicase-like proteins in C. elegans was performed as described in the legend of Table 1. Helicases
were classified according to the yeast helicase-like protein subfamilies by Linder (http://www.medecine.unige.ch/ ~linder/helicases list.html) with modifications (i.e. addition of the new subfamilies MPH1, PIF1, RAD3, and RECQ). Most orthologous proteins were identified from the InParanoid database ${ }^{20}$ as described in the legend of Table 2. Homologous members in gene pairs and clusters were identified by homology search in C. elegans nucleotide sequence databases as described in the legend of Supplementary Table S4.

### 2.2. C. elegans strains and culture procedures

C. elegans wild-type strain Bristol N2 and the RNAi-hypersensitive rrf-3 mutant strain NL2099 (rrf$3(p k 1426)$ II $)^{21}$ (obtained from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center) were used in this study. Animals were maintained at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on nematode growth medium (NGM) agar plates seeded with the Escherichia coli OP50 strain, as described previously. ${ }^{22}$

### 2.3. Construction of recombinant DNA

Genomic DNA or cDNA fragments corresponding to helicase-like genes were cloned into the blunted EcoRI site of the double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) expression vector pPD129.36 (a kind gift of Dr A. Fire, Stanford University School of Medicine, USA). Insert DNA was directly amplified by PCR from a C. elegans embryo cDNA library (No. 937007, Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) or genomic DNA (N2), using a gene-specific primer set for blunt-end cloning. PCR primers were purchased from Sawady (Tokyo) and Proligo LLC (Boulder, USA), and nucleotide sequences of the primers will be provided upon request. The nucleotide sequences of the resultant recombinant clones were determined by dye-terminator cycle sequencing.

### 2.4. Feeding RNAi

RNAi by feeding bacteria was performed using the N2 and the rrf-3 strains, as described previously, ${ }^{23}$ with the following modifications. In brief, the HT115(DE3) E. coli strain containing the pDP 129.36 with a target genespecific insert was grown overnight in $2 \times$ YT medium containing $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ampicillin (or $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ carbenicillin and $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ tetracycline) with stirring at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Aliquots of the culture $(30 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ were spread onto NGM agar in a Petri dish ( $\varnothing 6 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) containing 1 mM isopropyl $\beta$-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) and the indicated antibiotics and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h for RNAi (RNAi plates). The next day, P0 animals at the fourth larval (L4) to young adult stages were placed onto the RNAi plates and fed the recombinant E. coli strain expressing dsRNA for over 18 h to avoid F1 progeny with leaky phenotypes. Subsequently, P0 animals were transferred onto new RNAi plates with bacteria-expressing dsRNA for 12 h to lay eggs (F1) and

Table 1. Summary of RNAi analyses of C. elegans helicase-like genes ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Subbamily | Gene | Transcript ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Protein } \\ \text { ID }}}{\text { den }}$ | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{\text {Insert }}$ DNA | Phenotype of RNAi-treated nematode ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Phenotype code | $\begin{array}{\|c} \substack{\text { Growth } \\ \text { retaration } \\ \text { indext }} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.ray } \\ & \text { sensitivity } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(\text { RNAi phenotype data }}{\left(\text { WornBase WSTIT1) }{ }^{1}\right.}$ | Highest homology matches (BLASTP analysis) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | S. cerevisae | E-value | H. sapiens | $E$-value | D. melanogaster | $E$-value | c. brigsse | $E$ value |
| DEAD-box | T07D4.4 | Tori4.4a | CE18219 | c | WT (Clr Him) |  | ${ }^{0.90}$ |  | WT | Dbp5p | 3.0e70 | ENSPPoooos36817(DDX19A) | ${ }^{3.3 \text { e115 }}$ | CG7483-PA (elf4AIII) | 1.12.64 | CBPoor77 | 5.7e-299 |
| DEAD-box | ZK686. 2 | ${ }^{\text {2K686. } 2}$ | CE3464 | c | ${ }_{\text {Gro }}$ |  | ${ }^{0.31}$ |  | Gro Let Lva WT | ${ }^{\text {Dbpep }}$ | ${ }^{1.72 .37}$ | ENSPoooou33746([DD 51 ) | 1.0e48 | CG9680.PAA (Dpp73D) | 7.20.27 | CBPooool | 2.9e-247 |
| DEAD-box | H20010.4.4 | H20.J0.4.4b | CE39381 | ${ }^{\text {G }}$ | Gro Stp [Pv] |  | 0.48 |  | Gro Rup Reed_brod WT | ${ }^{\text {Dbppp }}$ | 2.2e90 | ENSPDoooorat703(DDX 49 ) | 4.4 e118 | CG923.PA | 4.6.e89 | CBP21316 | 9.0. 252 |
| ${ }_{\text {dea }}^{\text {DEAD.box }}$ DEAD-box | ${ }^{\text {c24 } 2412.4}$ | ${ }^{\text {C24.412 }}$ 2a | ${ }_{\text {CE27728 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Lva |  | 0.19 0.26 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Dbpgp }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{1.680 .105}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {CBPPOIT739 }}$ | ${ }^{3} 8.9 .307$ |
| ${ }^{\text {DEAD }}$ DEAD-box |  | ${ }_{\text {Y974GA.5 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {cerens39 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {G }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Adl Emb Prl }}^{\text {Gup }}$ Stp |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Adl Emb }}^{\text {Lea }}$ Lva Prl Rup Ste Stp WT | ${ }_{\text {din }}{ }_{\text {Dhplop }}$ | ${ }_{6}^{3.802123}$ |  | ${ }_{2.606161}^{8.8169}$ | ${ }_{\text {CGG996-PA (mes31B) }}$ | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{\text {8.8.e-170 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {CBPPO2411 }}$ | ${ }_{8.5 \text { e-226 }}$ |
| DEAD-box | Y771G12B. 8 | Y71/1212B.8 | CE27030 | G | Gro Lval |  | 0.54 | (-) | wT | $\mathrm{Drss}^{\text {P }}$ | 5.3666 | ENSPDooooz39833(DDX27) | 5.10153 | CG2173-PA(Rs1) | 1.1.e-146 | CBPO5301 |  |
| Dead-box | YSSFEBR. 1 | Y55F3BR. 1 | CE29884 | ${ }^{\text {G }}$ | Weak Gro \|Gro Stpl (Emb) |  | ${ }^{0.8330 .80]}$ | (-) | Gro Stp Loc_ab WT | Fallp | 5.6e.31 | ENSPOooooz33084(DDX1) | 1.80180 | ${ }^{\text {CG9054.PA(Ddx1) }}$ | 2.3-175 | CBPO3389 | 0 |
| Dead-box | ${ }^{\text {B05511.6 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {B00511.6 }}$ | CE26853 | c | Lva |  |  |  | Let Lva WT | Hasip | 3.5-140 | ENSPDoooov23239(DDX18) | 2.00180 | CG6375-PB(pit) | ${ }^{1.6 e-178}$ | CBPP3010 | 3.00-271 |
| Deadbox |  | ${ }^{\text {Y23HESB. } 6}$ | CE25231 | ${ }_{\text {G }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Gro }}$ |  | 0.49 |  | Emb Lva Stp Reed brood WT | ${ }_{\text {Hca }}$ | ${ }^{1.3 .2114}$ | ENSP(00000314348(DDX10) | 5.78135 | ${ }^{\text {CGFs80.PA }}$ | 3.1e-118 | ${ }^{\text {CBPP66 } 20}$ | 1.5e-295 |
| DEAD-box | ${ }_{\text {FSSFEP } 2 .}$ | ${ }_{\text {F5FPs 2a }}$ | CE11190 | c | ${ }_{\text {Gro Pru Sck Stp }}^{\text {Lival }}$ |  | ${ }^{0.29}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {Bra }}$ Bia Gro Lva Stp Reed brood WT | ${ }^{\text {Prpp } 28 p}$ | ${ }^{3.9940}$ |  | 1.92115 | ${ }_{\text {CG9143PA }}$ | ${ }^{1.9 e-108}$ | ${ }_{\text {CBPP18131 }}$ | 7.9e203 |
| DEAD-box |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {G }}$ | ${ }_{\text {WTo Sck }}$ |  | ${ }^{0.65}$ | (+?) | ${ }_{\text {WTP }}^{\text {Emb }}$ Gro Rup Pul Ste Stp WT | ${ }_{\text {Prp5p }}$ | ${ }^{5.66108}$ |  | ${ }^{2} 212235$ | ${ }_{\text {CG6227-PA }}$ | ${ }^{\text {7.1.2-232 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {CBPP3983 }}$ |  |
| DEAD-box |  | ${ }_{\text {Rospl1.4 }}^{\text {T26G101 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Craberen }}$ | ${ }_{\text {G }}$ | WT |  | ${ }^{0.91}$ |  | WT | ${ }_{\text {Roll }}^{\text {Rorm }}$ | 4.9970 |  | 9.10 .97 | ${ }^{\text {CGF5sg.PA }}$ | ${ }^{2} 2.8$ es88 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { CBP17618 } \\ \text { CPPo2482 }}}$ | 7.4.e248 |
| DEAD-box |  | ${ }_{\text {T266610. }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{0.04}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Dpy Emb Gro Let Lva Pvi Ste Stp WT } \\ \text { Gro Ste der delay }}}{\text { ar }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Rrp3p } \\ \text { Sphap }}}{\text { chen }}$ | 4.9e102 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {CG9 }}^{\text {CG9233-PA }}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2.88 .160}$ | ${ }_{\text {CBPPO24732 }}$ | (1.1-240 |
| ${ }^{\text {DEAL }}$ DEAD-box box | ${ }_{\text {hel-l }}^{\text {Lel }}$ | ${ }_{\text {C26Dili } 2 \mathrm{ab}}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Adl }}$ Emb Gro Let Lva Prl Sck Ste Stp \|ste] |  |  |  | Ad Bmid Cra Embl Let Sck Ste Stp WT |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | ENSPPooooozaz776(DDDX39) | ${ }_{1}^{2.600176}$ | ${ }_{\text {CGG7299PPC(Hel2E) }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {CBPO}}$ CBP82 |  |
| DEAD-box | ${ }_{\text {FS8GIII } 2}$ | F58G11.2 | CE11402 | ${ }^{\text {G }}$ | wT |  | ${ }^{1.06}$ |  |  | Dedip | 8.75 .50 | ENSPooooo310870(DDX 3 X) | 3.2e53 | CG9748.Pa (bel) | 1.8 e 78 | CBP17194 | 4.1e-181 |
| Dead-box |  | ${ }_{\text {C46F1.4 }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | ${ }^{0.91}$ |  | Ste WT | ${ }^{\text {Dbp } 2 \mathrm{P}}$ | 2.6e87 | ENSP(00003332388(DDX42) | ${ }^{3} .3$ 3-179 | ${ }^{\text {CGG6418.PB }}$ | ${ }_{\text {2, }}^{\text {2.le } 184}$ | ${ }_{\text {CBPP20456 }}$ |  |
| DEAD-box DEAD-box |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Emb } \text { Stel } \\ \text { Gro Lra Sck }}}{\text { a }}$ |  | 0.19 |  | $\underset{\text { Emb Sck }}{\text { Emb }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Dbp2p } \\ \text { Dpprp }}}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4.00882}{ }_{4}^{4.424}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {CGIIOorzPA }}^{\text {CGI }}$ | ${ }_{\text {2,2el61 }}^{2.92929}$ | ${ }_{\text {CBPP2535 }}^{\text {CBP }}$ | ${ }_{9}^{0} 2 \mathrm{e}$-282 |
| DEAD-box | нгтмо9, 1 | H27M109.1 | CE23832 | c | walk Gro Adl Gro Let Pul Rupl (Clr Him) |  | ${ }_{0.78}$ |  | Emb Lva WT | ${ }_{\text {Dpprp }}$ | 1.1 le 74 | ENSPDonoou333349(DDX41) | ${ }_{8.30172}$ | CG14637-PA(abs) | ${ }_{1.660168}$ | CBP03123 |  |
| Deadbox | Y54GIIA. 3 | Y54G11A. 3 | CE22474 | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | ${ }^{0.95}$ |  |  | ${ }^{\text {Dbp } 2 \mathrm{P}}$ | 2.4e84 | ENSPoooooz38919(DDX43) | 4.72103 | ${ }^{\text {CGIOOT7-PA }}$ | 4e83 | CBP04867 | 2.00-230 |
| DEAD-box | ${ }_{\text {F33DII } 10}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { F33D11.10 } \\ \text { F57R } 30}}{ }$ |  | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Emb \| }}^{\text {cro Sck] }}$ |  |  |  | Emb Mul Ste WT ${ }_{\text {w }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Trifp }}$ /Tifip | 5.7el124 | ENSPPoooorez9399(DDX48) | 3.7e188 |  | 3.4e-187 | ${ }_{\text {CBPP1556 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {7 }}$ 7.6e-206 |
| ${ }^{\text {DE }}$ DEAD-box | FiF78.3 |  | ${ }_{\text {CE001338 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {G }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Grom }}^{\text {Gra Sck }}$ |  | ${ }^{0.17}$ |  | Emb Lva Ste Loc ab WT | ${ }^{\text {Tiflp }}$ /Tirp | 2.88-90 |  | ${ }^{1.8094}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {5 }}$. 5.9 .96 |  | 8.4.e 120 |
| ${ }_{\text {dead }}^{\text {Dead box }}$ DEAD-box | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { inf-I } \\ \text { mel } 46}}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {CFEOP24145 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Emb }}^{\text {Emb }}$ |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { Emb Lva Loc_ab }}{\text { che }}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{4.6 .60 .5151}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{1.3-1.188}$ | ${ }_{\text {CBPO}}^{\text {CBP3338 }}$ | ( $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 .2-206 \\ & 6.6 e 208\end{aligned}$ |
| DEAD-box | Y6SBAA. 6 | Y65bat. 6 | CE3419 | c | Emb Lva Sck |  | 0.10 |  | Egl Lra WT | Tifip/Tit2p | ${ }_{7,2 \mathrm{el} 124}$ | ENSPDooooze9349(DDX48) | 2.30188 | CG7433-PA (ell 4 AIII) | 3.9e-186 | CBP21556 | 3.6e-208 |
| ${ }^{\text {Ded }}$ DEAD-box |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {C }}^{\text {G }}$ | ${ }_{\text {wT }}^{\text {wT }}$ |  |  | (-) | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {WT }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Dbplp }}^{\text {Dip }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { c.3e-27 } \\ 3.5018}}$ | ENSPDooooz33167(DDX4) | ${ }_{\substack{1.7 e 24 \\ 280017}}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {CBPI }}^{\text {CB5 }}$ (1657 7 | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{4.3 .162}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {dean }}^{\text {DEAD-box }}$ DEAD-box |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Gro }}^{\text {Weck }}$ [Let, Prl Dev_abl (Hiim) |  | 0.73 |  | ${ }_{\text {Emb }}^{\text {WT }}$ WT | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Dipplp } \\ \text { Dbplp }}}$ | ${ }_{\text {chen }}^{\substack{3.5518 \\ 7.5-122}}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{2.12 \mathrm{el} 143}^{2.9 .17}$ | ${ }_{\text {CBPOLIO3 }}$ |  |
| ${ }_{\text {den }}^{\text {DEAD-box }}$ DEAD-box |  | ${ }_{\text {YC73171 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {G }}^{\text {G }}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {Lva }}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{0.06 \\ 0.08}}^{0 .}$ | ${ }_{(+)}^{(+)}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {Emb }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Dbplp }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1.7e-257 |
| DEAD-box (gh) | ${ }_{\text {glh }}$ - 3 | B0414.6 | CE07736 | c | wT |  |  |  | wT | Dedip | 4.2882 | ENSPPoooos 377087 (DDX44) | 3.4694 | CG9748.PA(bal) | 2.8e88 | CBP22748 | 2.20-200 |
| DEAD-box (gh) | ${ }_{\text {grem }}^{\text {glu. } 2}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {CFe90012 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{\text {wT }}^{\text {wT }}$ |  | ${ }^{0.86}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {WT }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Dbpplp }}$ | ${ }^{6} .72882$ | ENSPOooon377087(DDX4) | 8.50105 | ${ }^{\text {CG } 3506-P A(v a s)}$ | ${ }^{1.3-96}$ | CBP22748 | 2.6e-264 |
| DEAD-box (ght | $g^{\text {glu.4 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {T12F5. }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Ce2905 } 2}$ | ${ }^{\text {G }}$ | ${ }^{\text {wT }}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {WT }}$ | Dbplp | 8.3e64 | ENSPDoooo347087(DDX4) | ${ }^{1.7276}$ | CG9748.PAP(bel) | ${ }^{7.9 .9} 73$ | CBPP9018 | 2.00 189 |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {F20A1 } 19}^{\text {T216. }}$ | ${ }_{\text {CEF53281 }}^{\text {Cer }}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {WT }}$ |  |  | (-) | ${ }_{\text {Emb }}^{\text {WT }}$ WT | ${ }_{\text {Diplp }}^{\text {ND }}$ | 6.4e84 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {CBP23550 }}$ |  |
| Deahbox | rha-2 | Co6E1.10 | CE29563 | c | Gro Lva |  | ${ }^{0.22}$ | (-) | ${ }_{\text {Age }}$ Emb Gro Let Lva Stp Red _brood WT | ${ }_{\text {Emm }} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | ${ }^{7.4 e} 137$ | ENSpoonoo311335(DHX37) | ${ }^{1.0 e 213}$ | CG3228.PA (kz) | ${ }^{1.8 .204}$ | CBPo3z24 | 0 |
| ${ }_{\text {De }}^{\text {DEAA-box }}$ DEAH-box | $\underset{\substack{\text { Y37EIAM. } \\ \text { rha-l }}}{\text { deld }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {Cex }}{ }_{\text {CE39853 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {C }}^{\text {G }}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {WT }}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{(-)}{ }$ | ${ }^{\text {Dpp Emb Let Lva Loc_ab WT }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Prp2p }}$ |  | ENSP(0000331997(DHX34) | ${ }^{5.3 .2185}$ | ${ }^{\text {CG32333PPA }}$ | ${ }^{2.12 .174}$ | ${ }^{\text {CbPPo230 }}$ | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {DEA }}$ DEAH-box |  | ${ }_{\text {TVOTDH. }}$ | ${ }_{\text {CEP31127 }}$ | ${ }_{c}^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Emb }}^{\text {WT }}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Bmd D }}$ Wey Emb Let |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {CBPI }}$ CBP677 ${ }^{\text {che }}$ |  |
| DEAA-box DEAH-box |  | $\underset{\text { F52B5. }}{\substack{\text { Y108 }}}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {G }}^{\text {G }}$ | ${ }_{\mathrm{WT}}^{\mathrm{WT}}$ (Him) |  | ${ }^{0.90}$ | $\stackrel{(-)}{(-)}$ | Red fat WT Emb WT |  | 4.4 .30 4.5068 |  | 1.3e.41 1.3 ec 116 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {5 }}^{5}$.6e-69 |
| ${ }^{\text {DEAAH-box }}$ | ${ }_{\text {FFSbD2. }}$ | ${ }_{\text {FF5CD2.6a }}$ | ${ }_{\text {cFoli }}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | Gro Lva Sck Ste Stp |  | ${ }_{0.24}$ | $(-)$ | Emb Gro Lva Ste Dev delay Loc ab | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Prppl3p }}}^{\text {Prpp }}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | ENSPpooooos36741(PH115) | ${ }_{9.00290}$ | CG11107PA | 7.2e-282 | CBPI 6424 | 0 |
| DEAH-box | mog. 4 | ${ }^{\text {Co4F5, } 6 .}$ | CE15592 | c | Emb |  |  |  | Emb Let Lva Prl Sck Şte Stp | ${ }_{\text {Prp 22 }}$ | 1.20172 | ENSPDoooo 355613(DHX16) | 8.50287 | CG10689PA |  | CBP04901 | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {DEAAH-box }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {CEP374 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Gmo Stp }}^{\text {Empl }}$ Sck] |  | 0.61 |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Prp2 } 2 \text { p } \\ \text { Prp2 }}}{ }$ |  |  | ${ }_{1.90} 124$ | ${ }_{\text {CGG }}^{\text {Cigl-PA }}$ | ${ }_{7.9 \text { e-117 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {CBPIT }}$ CBP0631 | 0 |
| DEAH-box | Y67D2.6 | Y67D2. 6 | CE27311 | G | wT |  |  | (-) |  | ${ }_{\text {Prp 2p }}$ | ${ }^{1.6 e-135}$ | ENSPOoooo252011(DDX35) | 6.12200 | CG322-PA | ${ }^{1.7 e-151}$ | CBPO3695 | 0 |
| ${ }_{\text {SK12 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {YSSBIAL }}$ | Yfsbial.3a | CE27019 | ${ }_{\text {G }}$ | wT |  |  | $(-)$ | ${ }^{\text {wT }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Hfmlp }}$ | 8.2e32 | ENSPPoonoe295488 | 1.20141 | ${ }_{\text {CG7972-PA }}$ (mus301) | ${ }^{1.5-125}$ | ${ }^{\text {CBPP3650 }}$ | 0 |
| $\underbrace{\text { SK12 }}_{\text {SK12 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Wospor }}$, 7 | Vosp2.7 |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}$ |  |  | 0.18 |  | Emb Gro Let Lva Sck ste Stp Loc ab WT | ${ }_{\text {Mrutap }}$ | ${ }^{1.99162}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{7.70270 \\ 410 \\ 4163}}$ |  | ${ }^{1.3 .2-2}$ |  | 0 |
| SK12 | COS88. 2 | CosF8.2a | CE19689 | c | Weak Gro Stp \|Grol (Emb) |  | ${ }^{0.86[0.68]}$ | (t?) | ${ }_{\text {Emb Gra Lva Stp Dev_delay Red_ brood }}^{\text {Transpona }}$ | Sur3p | 2.4 e70 | ENSP00000331379(SUPV3L1) | 4.1 el 163 | CG9791-PA | 5.0el60 | CBPO1637 | 0 |
| ${ }_{\text {SK12 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Y46G54.6 }}$ | Y46654.6 | ${ }^{\text {Ce2 } 2303}$ | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | WT |  | ${ }^{0.93}$ | $(-)$ | Emb Lva Ste Loc_ab Thin WT | ${ }_{\text {Brr } 2 \mathrm{P}}$ | 9.7e54 | ENSP0ooou317123(ASCC3) | 1.6e 1114 | ${ }^{\text {CG59331-PA }}$ | 7.8e-109 | ${ }^{\text {CBPP22000 }}$ | ${ }^{1.66-152}$ |
| SK12 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { cient } \\ \text { FolG } 4.3 \\ \hline}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { c28H8.3 } \\ \text { Folc } 4.3}}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{\mathrm{WT}}^{\mathrm{WT}}$ (Dev_ab) |  |  | $\stackrel{(+?)}{(-)}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}{ }_{\text {WT }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Ski2p } \\ \text { Ski2p }}}^{\text {S }}$ | 3.8228 $4.4 \times 168$ | ENSPPooovezol14 | ${ }_{\substack{1.5-119 \\ 1.3 e-213}}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {CBPP2209 }}^{\text {CBP } 510}$ |  |
| skl2 | Y46G54.4 | Y46G5a.4 | CE21971 | G | Emb [Lval |  |  |  | Emb Lva Ste Loc_ab Thin WT | Sllip | 6.4e308 | ENSPOOOOO317123(ASCC3L1) |  | CG5931-PA |  | CBP22000 | 0 |
| SK12 | Y54F22. 6 | Y54E2A.6 | CE20305 | G | wT |  |  | (-) | wT | Sllhp | 1.88206 | ENSPOooooz22902(ASCC3) | 0 | CG520-PA | 0 | CBP01139 | 0 |
| UPF1 | ${ }_{\text {dana-2 }}$ | F43G6.1a | CE02219 |  | wT |  |  | (-) | ${ }^{\text {Emb }}$ WT | Dna2p | 5.7e84 | ENSpoooou351185(DNALL) | ${ }^{1.3 \mathrm{e}-125}$ | $\mathrm{CG}_{2} 2990 \cdot \mathrm{~PB}$ | 9.1e-101 | $\mathrm{CBP}^{4} 4508$ | ${ }^{0}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {UPF1 }}^{\text {UPF1 }}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Gro }}^{\text {WT }}$ |  | ${ }^{0.75}$ | $\stackrel{(-)}{(-)}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Ste WT } \\ \text { Bmd Emb Loc_ab WT }}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Hesip } \\ \text { Heslp }}}{\text { dem }}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {6.1e2 } 25}$ |  | ${ }_{0}^{1.80 .33}$ |  | 8.5.e32 | ${ }_{\text {CBPP }}^{\text {CBP } 1383}$ | - $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \text { e- } 129 \\ & 1.9 \mathrm{ec} 106\end{aligned}$ |
| UPF1 | Cosclio. | Cosclio.2b | CE27795 | c | wT |  |  | $(-$ | wT | Nam7p | 5.7e24 | ENSP00000262883 (UPF1) | 3.2e22 | $\mathrm{CGG696}^{\text {PPB }}$ | 2.2e-20 | CBP0296 |  |
| P1 | $\operatorname{smg}_{\text {m-2 }}$ | Y48G8AL. 6 | CE28367 | G | ${ }^{\text {wT }}$ |  |  | (-) | ${ }^{\text {WT }}$ | Nam7p | 5.1e-210 | ENSP(0)002288033(4PFI) | ${ }_{\text {3 }}{ }^{3.50 .271}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {CBPPO2073 }}$ | 0 |
| ${ }_{\text {UPF1 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {cher }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Cathli }}$ | ${ }_{\text {CEES3643 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {G }}^{\text {G }}$ | ${ }_{\text {wT }}^{\text {WT }}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{(-)}{(-)}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Senlp }}^{\text {Namp }}$ | ${ }_{4}^{3.3924}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {CGIIS59PA (Upfl) }}$ | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{\text {2.8.5.55 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {CBPI } 3569}$ | ${ }_{8.20-266}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {UPF1 }}^{\text {UPF1 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {Kosplo. }}^{\text {Kin }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {wT }}$ |  |  | ${ }^{(+7)}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {WT }}$ | Senlp | $5.9 \mathrm{el1}$ |  | 8.4 -10 | CG7504PA | ${ }_{4}$ 4.3-08 | CBP24705 | ${ }^{2.7 e-62}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {UPF1 }}^{\text {UP1 }}$ (far related) |  |  | ${ }_{\text {CEO5519 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {G }}^{\text {G }}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {WT }}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{(-)}{(-)}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {WT }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Soll }}^{\text {Sen }}$ | 1.0e09 | ${ }_{\text {ENSPooooosi7088 }}$ | 3.2e-12 | ${ }_{\text {CGF7504-PA }}^{\text {ND }}$ | 6.7e-10 | ${ }_{\text {CBP13569 }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | wT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underbrace{\text { S }}_{\substack{\text { SWWI2 SNF2 } \\ \text { SWI2 SNF }}}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {CES37696 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}$ WT (Dev_ab) |  |  | (-) | ${ }_{\text {Emb }}^{\text {EmT }}$ (nc mutation WT | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Fun30p } \\ \text { Rad5p }}}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {CGI599-PA }}^{\text {CG737-PA }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {CBPr }}^{\text {CBPO7194 }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |
| SWI2/ SNF2 |  | Cl6a3.1c | CE36606 | c | WT (Dev_ab) |  |  | (-) |  | $\mathrm{Iswl}_{\text {P }}$ | 4.8e29 | ENSPDoooos399823(SMARCALL) | 8.10 .103 | CG3733-PA (Marall) | 2.77 .97 | CBP1649 | 2.7e-193 |
| SWI2 / SNF2 | $i^{\text {isw }}$ - 1 | ${ }_{\text {F374.4.8 }}$ | CE29792 |  | Weak Gro Stp [Gro Pru] |  | ${ }^{0.8770 .70 \mid}$ | (-) | Emb Gro Pvi Rup Stp WT | Isw2p | 1.0e236 | ENSPooooe218157(SMARCA1) |  | CG8625-PC(Iswi) |  | CBP10391 |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Cel } 15856 \\ \text { CF2714 }}}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {WT }}$ |  | ${ }^{0.93}$ | $\stackrel{(-)}{(-)}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {WT }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Motip } \\ \text { Suntp }}}^{\text {and }}$ | 3.88120 402020 |  | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{\text {7.9el } 131}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {2 }}^{2.6699}$ | ${ }_{\text {CBPPooz21 }}^{\text {CBP2a32 }}$ | 0 |
| SWI2/ SNF2 | $p_{\text {ssa }}$ | F01G4.1 | CEbo553 | c | Emb Gro Sck Stp Pvi Ste] |  |  | () | Emb WT | Sthlp | 6.30220 | ENSPDoooove65773(SMARCA2) | 1.20283 | CG5942-PB(brm) |  | CBP15438 | 0 |
| SWI2/ SNF2 | ${ }_{\text {sstl- }}$ | Y111122A.22 | CE4024 | G | ${ }_{\text {Gro Stp }}^{\text {Luva Pvi Sck }}$ |  | ${ }^{0.75}$ | ( + ? | Emb Stp Unclassified WT | Swrlp | 7.9e-172 | ENSP易00333424 | 6.32251 | CG9996-PD (dom) | 3.4.244 | CBP00109 |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {FF997.8 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {G }}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{(-)}{ }$ | ${ }_{\text {Lva WT }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Radil }}{ }_{\text {R }}$ | ${ }^{7} 7.50 .31$ | ENSP00000251165(TTF2) | ${ }_{4}^{4.30273}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{\text {lile-59 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {CBPPo9312 }}$ | ${ }^{1.7 .150}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {SWWI2 SNF2 }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {CEFIIOP3 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {G }}$ | ${ }_{\text {wT }}^{\text {wT }}$ |  |  | (-) | Emb WT |  | ${ }_{7}^{\text {7.5.5.59 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{3.888 .27 \\ 1.8016}}^{\text {a }}$ |  | 2.6e69 | ${ }_{\text {CBPI }}$ CP5931 1 |  |
| $\mathrm{SWW}^{\text {SW/ SNF2 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {T23H2, }}$ | ${ }_{\text {T23H2, }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Cez30397 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {G }}$ | ${ }^{\text {wT }}$ |  |  | (-) | ${ }^{\text {WT }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Rislp }}$ | 8.1e52 | ENSPDoooovenil16(TTF2) | ${ }^{1.80888}$ | CG2684-PA(1ds) | ${ }^{1.3 .3-96}$ | ${ }_{\text {CBPP9312 }}$ | 0 |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { SWW12 SNF2 } \\ \text { SWI2 SNF }}}^{\text {S }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { rad.26 } \\ \text { cbl- }}}{\text { dat }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {CEP3393 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}$ (Him) |  |  | $(-9)$ | WT ${ }_{\text {WV-induced Emb and a popotosis increased WT }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Rad26p } \\ \text { Rad26p }}}$ |  | ENSPDooode296477(RadDS4L2) | ${ }_{7,2099}^{2.30173}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {CBPI }}^{\text {CBPIO238 }}$ |  |
| SWI2/ SNF2 | ${ }_{\text {FSSH4, } 6}$ | F5344.6 | СЕе3794 | G | wT |  |  | (-) | wT | Rad26p | 5.6 e-42 | ENSPDoooozez5899 (ERCC6) | 1.7 e.41 | CG5942-PC(brm) | 3.7e-16 | CBP10262 | 1.5e-100 |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { SWW12 SNF2 } \\ \text { SWI2 SNF2 }}}^{\text {S }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Cel }}^{\text {CE27314 }}$ | ${ }_{C}^{C}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {WT }}$ |  | ${ }^{0.93}$ | $(-)$ | ${ }_{\text {Ev1 }}$ Evt St Gonad development abormal WT | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Raxj5 } \\ \text { Ras } \\ \text { Rap }}}$ |  | ENSPDoobo3 32402(ATRX) |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {CBPO52928 }}^{\text {CBP }}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |
| SWI2/ SNF 2 | Y116A8C. 13 | Y116A8C. 13 | CE35647 | G | wT |  |  | $(-)$ | Emb Stres_ ab WT | Rad54p | 8.4e-78 | ENSP0000336606(RAD54B) | 1.1e-100 | CG3736-PA (okt) | 1.00.87 | CBPooos2 | 1.50-275 |
| SW12/ SNF2 |  | ${ }_{\text {F26F } 12.7}$ | CE17716 |  | Lva Prl Sck Stp |  | 0.21 | (-) | Emb Lva Ste Pharysgeal_ab Unclassified WT | Chalp | 1.22130 | ENSPOOOOO369716(CHD3) | 0 | CG8103-PA(Mi-2) |  | CBP16569 |  |

Table 1. Continued

| Subfamily | Gene | Transcript ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Protein <br> ID | Insert$\mathrm{DNA}^{\mathrm{C}}$ | Phenotype of RNAi-treated nematode ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Phenotype code ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Growth retardation index ${ }^{3}$ | X-ray sensitivity ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | RNAi phenotype data (WormBase WS171) ${ }^{1}$ | Highest homology matche (BLASTP analysis) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | S. cerevisiae | $E$ value | H. sapiens | Evalue | D. melanogaster | Evalue | c. brigssae | Evalue |
| SWI2 /SNF2 | H06001.2 | H06001.2 | CE32454 | G | wT |  |  | (+?) | WT | ${ }_{\text {Chdlp }}$ | ${ }^{1.80} 187$ | ENSPDOOOO284099(CHD1) | 0 | CG3733-PA(Chd1) | 0 | CBP06671 | 0 |
| SW12 SNF2 | tag-192 $^{\text {a }}$ | TO4D1.4 | CE18196 | c | wT |  |  |  | wT | Chdlp | 7.4e-129 | ENSP0000307304(CHD7) | 0 | ${ }^{\text {CG3 396-PA(kis) }}$ | 0 | CBP05229 | 0 |
| SW12 SNF2 | chd.3 | T14G8. 1 | CE03657 | c | wT (Him) |  |  | ( + ? | wT | Chdlp | 6.0e-130 | ENSP0000369776(CHD3) | 0 | CG8103PPA(Mi-2) | 0 | CBP16569 | 0 |
| SWI2 SNF2 (far related) | F1982.5 | F1982.5 | CE20697 | G | wT |  |  | $(-)$ | wT | ND |  | ENSPPoooo308944(SMARCA3) | 2.0008 | CG3696-PA (kis) | 2.6e06 | CBP14951 | 1.6e06 |
| ${ }_{\text {SWTI2 }}$ SNFF2 (far reated) | ${ }^{\text {c25F9.5 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {C2FF9.5 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {CE174 }}$ CE189\% | ${ }_{\text {G }}$ | ${ }^{\text {WT }}$ |  |  | (-) | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {WT }}$ | ND ND |  | ENSPPoooo308944(SMARCA3) | ${ }_{4}^{9.2006}$ | ${ }_{\text {CG2684-PA(Ids) }}$ |  | ND ND |  |
| SW12 SNF2 (arar rehted) | ${ }_{\text {Y }}^{\text {M } 43788.14}$ | ${ }^{\text {NOM }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {G }}^{\text {G }}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {WT }}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{(-)}{(-)}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {WT }}$ | ${ }_{\text {ND }}^{\text {ND }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {ND }}$ NPOOOOO30894(SMARCA3) | 4.3e-06 | ${ }_{\text {CG2684PA(Ids) }}$ | 4.2e07 | ND |  |
| SW12 /SNFF (far rehted) |  | Y43F88.14 | ${ }^{\text {CEE218988 }}$ | G | wT |  |  | $(-)$ | WT | ND |  | ND |  | ${ }_{\text {CG }}^{\text {CG644-PA(Ids) }}$ ( | ${ }^{1.3007}$ | ND |  |
| SW12 SNF2 (far reated) | C25F9.4 | C25F9.4 | CEЗ3975 |  | not tested |  |  |  | WT | ND |  | ND |  | ${ }^{\text {CG2684-PA (Ids-PA) }}$ | ${ }^{6.1 e 077}$ | ND |  |
| SWI2/ SNF2 (far related) | мочс3. 2 | M04C3. 2 | CE31973 |  | not tested |  |  |  | wT | ND |  | ND |  | CG2684-PA (lds) | 1.2206 | ND |  |
| mcm | mcm-2 | Y17G7B.5a | CE19038 | c | Emb Gro Lva Pvi Sck Stp \|Ste] |  |  |  | Emb Pvi Ste | Mcm2p | ${ }^{6.6 e-176}$ | ENSP0000225056(MCM2) | 8.7e263 | CG7338-PA(Mcm2) | 1.5e-253 | CBP04361 | 0 |
| mсм | mcm-3 | C25D7. 6 | CE03392 | G | Emb |  |  |  | Emb Mul Pry Ste | Mcm3p | $2.1{ }^{138}$ | ENSP00000298544MCM3) | 5.1e202 | CG4206-PA(Mcm3) | 5.0e-195 | CBP08883 | 0 |
| мсм | mcm-4 | Y39GGIOAR. 14 | CE21767 | G | Emb |  |  |  | Emb Nmo Lva | Cdestp | 4.3 el 157 | ENSP0000262105 (MCM4) | 2.2e-222 | CG1616-PA(dpa) | 4.2 e219 | CBP01027 | 0 |
| MCM | mcm-5 | R10E4.4 | CE035588 | c | Emb |  |  |  | Emb Mul WT | ${ }^{\text {Cdet6p }}$ | ${ }^{6.7 e-135}$ | ENSP(00000216122(MCM5) | ${ }^{9.620241}$ |  | 3.7e230 | ${ }^{\text {CBPP02933 }}$ | 0 |
| м MCM | mcm-6 | 2K632 1a | CE00415 | c | Emb [Pv1 Ste] |  |  |  | Cyk Emb Mul Ste WT | ${ }^{\text {Mcmenf }}$ | ${ }^{1.6 e-164}$ | ENSP(0000264156(MCM6) | 2.5e-232 | CG4039.PA(Mcm6) | ${ }^{1.32-217}$ | ${ }^{\text {CBPO2437 }}$ | 0 |
| м ${ }^{\text {cm }}$ | mcm-7 | F32D1.10 | CE09874 | c | Emb |  |  |  | Emb Mul Pul Ste | Cdct7p | 1.20-137 | ENSP00000307288(MCM7) | 8.20-187 | CG4978-PA (Mcm7) | 2.4e-187 | CBP05176 | 0 |
| PIF1 | CllG6. 2 | C11G6. 2 | CE05256 | G | wT |  |  | (-) | wT | Rrm3p | 1.9e-16 | ENSP00000268033(PFI) | 3.6e09 | CG333-PA | $7.98-13$ | CBP06954 | 3.00 |
| ${ }_{\text {PrF1 }}^{\text {PIF1 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {F11C3.1 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {CEOOP347 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {WT }}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {WT }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Rrm3p } \\ \text { Rrm3p }}}$ | ${ }_{8.4016}^{4.10 .066}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { PIF1 } \\ \text { PIF1 }}}$ | YII6FIIA.I pif.l |  | ${ }_{\text {CE22435 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {G }}^{\text {G }}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {WT }}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{(-)}{(-)}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}^{\text {WT }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Rem3p }}^{\text {Rriflp }}$ | ${ }^{8.4 \text { e-16 }}$ | ENSP0000268043(PFF1) | ${ }_{5}^{2.2 .2009}$ | ${ }_{\text {CG3233-PA }}^{\text {CG23s-PA }}$ | 8.0e-10 $1.2 e 97$ | ${ }_{\text {CBPO6954 }}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2.20088}$ |
| PIF1(Heliron) | ${ }_{\text {F3F3H12. } 6}^{\text {pip }}$ | ${ }_{\text {F33H12.6 }}$ | CE17043 | ${ }_{\text {G }}$ | wT |  |  | $(+$ ) | wT | Pifip | 2.0013 | ENSPOooooze68033(PF1) | ${ }_{2} .0$ eld | CG3238-PA | 1.0e-17 | CBP13991 | ${ }_{3.5067}$ |
| PIF1(Helitiron) | F59H6.5 | F59H6.5 | CE20911 | G | wT |  |  | $(-)$ | wT | Pifip | 5.1e13 | ENSP00000268033(PFI) | 1.1e15 | CG323-PA | 1.4e-16 | CBP13991 | 1.7e-70 |
| PIF1 (Helitiron) | YI6EIIA. 2 | Y16E11A.2 | CE15283 | G | wT |  |  | $(-)$ | wT | Pifip | 1.5e-12 | ENSPoomooz6803(PPF1) | 5.3e-15 | CG323-PA | 1.7e-16 | CBP13991 | 4.3e67 |
| PIF1 (Helitron) | Y2722A. 5 | Y27F2A.5 | CE26057 | c | wT |  |  | ( + ? | wT | Piflp | 1.1009 | ENSP00000268043(PFF1) | 1.2e-11 | CG3238-PA | 2.2e-10 | CBP15482 | 2.8e.32 |
| ${ }_{\text {PIF1 }}($ Helitron $)$ | Y46822.2 | Y4682A. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{\text {CEE21950 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {G }}$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{(-)}{(-)}$ | ${ }_{\text {wT }}^{\text {wT }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Pifip } \\ \text { Pifl }}}$ | ${ }^{2} .5$ - 13 | ENSP00002668033(PFIT) | ${ }^{6.4 e-16}$ | ${ }_{\text {CG6233-PA }}$ | ${ }^{8.5018}$ | ${ }_{\text {CBP13991 }}$ | ${ }^{1.8568}$ |
| PIF1(Heliron) | ZK250,9 | ZK20.9 | CE15283 | G | wT |  |  | $(-)$ | wT | Piflp | $1.5 \mathrm{e}-12$ | ENSP00000268043(PIF1) | 5.3e-15 | CG323-PA | 1.7e-16 | CBP13991 | ${ }^{4.36 .67}$ |
| MPH1 | dm-2 | C01B10. 1 | CE33024 | G | wT |  |  |  | Rde WT | Mphp | 2.5e-08 | ENSP000036997(DDX58) | 2.1e52 | CG6993PA(Dcr-2) | 4.4e09 | CBP01380 |  |
| MPH1 | ${ }_{\text {dm-3 }}$ | D2005.5 | CE36120 | c | Emb |  |  | (+) | Emb WT | Mphlp | 2.7e-13 | ENSP0000263342(IFH1) | 6.9-47 | CG6493-PA(Dcr-2) | 1.3-13 | CBP16208 | 1.2e-267 |
| MPH1 | dm-1 | F15B10.2 | CE16988 | c | wT |  |  |  | Rde WT | Mphlp | 5.1 e -13 | ENSPOOOOO233642(IFIH1) | 1.8e60 | CG7922-PA | 2.5016 | CBP01380 |  |
| MPH1 | dcr-I | K12H4.8 | CE25057 | c | Weak Gro [Let Pvi Rup Deb_ab\| |  | ${ }^{0.890 .76]}$ | (-) | Egl Esp Gro Let Pvil Rde Rup Loc_ab Unclassified WT | Mphlp | 1.2e 10 | ENSPOOOOO333745(DICER1) | 3.20-257 | CG4792-PA(Der-1) | ${ }_{3} .6$ e223 | CBP05452 | 0 |
| Rad3 | Y50DTA. 2 |  | CE33947 | c | Emb Gro Let Lva Sck Stp |  | ${ }_{0} 0.34$ |  | Emb WT | Rad3p | 250-161 | ENSP00000221481(ERCC2) | 4.5e-198 | CG9433-Pa( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{pd}}$ ) | 1.5e-197 | CBP03819 | 1.2e-291 |
| RAD3 | Y50D7A.ll | Y500D7. $111^{\text {10 }}$ | CE35098 | G | Gro Pvi Stp (Adl Emb Rup Sck) |  | 0.62 | ( + ? | Rup Pvil Red_brood | Rad3p | 5.0e-38 | ENSP00000221481(ERCC2) | 4.4e-51 | CG933-PA (Xpd) | 7.2e-4 | CBP03819 | 1.3e-83 |
| RAD3 | bch-I | F25H2 23 | CE1589 | G | wT |  |  | (-) | wT | $\mathrm{Chllp}^{\text {P }}$ | 3.3-44 | ENSPooooo322287(RTELI) | 1.2 e 117 | CG4078.PA | 2.1e107 | CBP14333 |  |
| RAD3 | dog-1 | F33H2.1 | CE17764 | c | wT |  |  | $(-)$ | Inc_mutation WT | Chlp | ${ }^{5.80} 38$ | ENSP0000259008(BRIP1) | ${ }^{4.3} \mathbf{3}-102$ | CG4078-PA | 1.2e-79 | CBP11281 | 0 |
| Rad3 | M03C11. 2 | M03C11.2 | CE0343 | c | wT |  |  | (+?) | Emb WT | Chlp | 5.0e-77 | ENSP00000309665(DDX11) | 2.3e-118 | CG1403PA | 4.7e-117 | CBP19197 | 0 |
| RECQ | rqq-5 | Еозаз.2 | ceoost | c | WT (Dev_ab) |  |  | $(-)$ | Inc_mutation WT | Sgslp | 29e61 | ENSP0000317736(RECQL5) | 2.9e-103 | CG4879-PB(ReCO5) | 1.6e-100 | CBP04734 | 0 |
| RECQ | wn-I | F18C5. ${ }^{2}$ | CE31791 | c | wT |  |  | (-) | Age Clr Dpy Egl Lva Pvi Rup Small Unclassified WT | Sgslp | 1.50 -78 | ENSP0000298139(WRN) | 2.4e-114 | CG6920-PA(mus30) | 2.2e69 | CBP00715 | 0 |
| RECQ | K02F3.12 | ко2F3.12a | CE33668 | G | wT |  |  | (-) | wT | $\mathrm{Sgss}^{\text {p }}$ | 2.4.90 | ENSP0000318727(RECOL) | 3.00-140 | CG6920-PA(mus39) | 1.3e95 | CBG13372 | 3.12-261 |
| RECQ | him-6 | T04A11.6 | CE31724 | c | WT (Him) |  |  | (-) | wT | Sglp | 3.00-97 | ENSP00000349859(BLM) | 4.7e-128 | CG6920-PA(mus39) | 2.9 ec 132 | CBP05142 | ${ }^{1.3} \mathrm{e}-189$ |
| RVB | nvb-I | C27H6. 2 | CE08426 | G | Emb Lva Sck \|Let Pvi Ste] |  |  |  | Other helicase-lilike proteins Bud Emb Gro Lva Pvi Stp WT | Rvblp | 3.3e-133 | ENSP0000318297(RUVBL1) | 6.5-137 | CG4003-PA(pont) | 4.3-140 | CBP11169 | 2.5e-216 |
| RVB | nvb-2 | T22D1.10 | CE17254 | ${ }^{\text {G }}$ | Emb Lva Sck [Pvi Ste] |  |  |  | Cyk Emb Gro Mul Lva Pvi Sck Ste Stp | Rvb2p | 6.5e-139 | ENSP00000221413(RUVBL2) | 4.9e146 | CG9750-PA(rept) | 1.1e144 | CBP01546 | 3e-219 |
| SSL2 | Y66D12A.15 | Y66D12A. 15 | CE28998 | G | Emb |  |  | (+?) | Emb WT | Ssi2p | 2.1e 205 | ENSP00000285398(ERCC3) | 1.1e263 | CG8019PA(hay) | 8.9e-262 | CBP03250 |  |
| кU70 | cku-70 | Y47D3A.A | CE28984 |  | not tested |  |  |  | Age Unclassifed WT | ND |  | ENSP00003533192(XRCC6) | 3.4e21 | CG5247-PA(Irbp) | 6.3e-08 | CBP17736 | 1.7e-284 |
| Kuso | cıus0 | R07E5.8 | CE00660 | G | wT |  |  | $(-)$ | Growt__ab Reproduct_ab Unclasified WT | ND |  | ENSPoomoo329528(XRCC5) | 9.1 -15 | ND |  | CBP19221 | 2.88-280 |
| Twinklelike | F46GIl. 1 | F46G11.1 | СЕ37646 | ${ }^{\text {G }}$ | WT |  |  |  | WT WT - | ND |  | EVSP00003099595(PEOL) | 1.90-56 | CG5924PA | 2.3 -41 | CBP03429 | 1.60281 |
| DNA pol theta-like Mop 3 -ilike | polq-1 $n$ nst-l | ${ }_{\text {W20.33122 }}$ | CEE3s902 | ${ }_{\text {G }}^{\text {G }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Grow }}^{\text {Wet Prl Rup Sck Sto }}$ |  | 0.49 | $\stackrel{(+)}{(-)}$ | ${ }_{\text {Emb Gro Pul Ste Stp Red fat }}^{\text {ded }}$ | ${ }_{\text {ND }}{ }_{\text {Br2p }}$ | 5.9e 20 | ENSP(00002664333(POLQ) | ${ }^{2}$ 2.8e-110 | ${ }_{\text {CG6019PA }}$ C(mus308) |  | ${ }_{\text {CBPO2392 }}$ |  |
| INTS6-1ike | ${ }_{\text {nsh }}^{\text {nic-I }}$ | ${ }_{\text {FosB4.1b }}$ | CE37220 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gro Let Pvi Rup Sck Stp } \\ & \text { not tested } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Emb Lva Ste Loc_ab WT | ND |  | ENSP000003112260(INTS6) | 2.3e60 | CG312-PA(f(1)G0060) | 1.3e-36 | CBP19675 | 1.1e-151 |
| Plant helicaselike | ${ }_{\text {F526333 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {F52633.3 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {celoss }}$ | ${ }_{\text {G }}$ | WT |  |  | $\stackrel{(-)}{ }$ | ${ }_{\text {WT }}$ | ND |  | ND |  | ND |  | ND ${ }^{\text {CPP13991 }}$ |  |
| Plant helicase-like | ${ }_{\text {F52 } 23.4}$ | F52G3.4 | CE10880 | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | wT |  |  | $(-)$ | wT | ND |  | ND |  | ND |  | CBP13991 | 3.1e07 |


 'Transcripts with suffixes 'a', 'b', or 'c' encode the longest frame and were used for sequence analysis in this study.
'Source of insert DNA for feeding RNAi construct: G, genomic DNA; C, cDNA.
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Table 2. Comparison of loss-of-function phenotypes of helicase-like genes in S. cerevisiae and C. elegans

| Subfamily | C. elegans protein ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $E$-value of BLASTP analysis in WormBase (WS159) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Phenotype code of RNAi-treated nematode ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | S. cerevisiae ORF ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | S. cerevisiae protein | Phenotype code of knockout strain ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Function of yeast protein ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEAD-box subfamily |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAD-box | T07D4.4a | 1.8e-70 |  | YOR046C | Dbp5p |  | Nucleo-cytoplasmic RNA transport |
| DEAD-box | ZK686.2 | 5.9e-37 |  | YNR038W ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Dbp6p |  | Ribosome biogenesis (60S) |
| DEAD-box | H20J04.4b | 7.7e-90 |  | YHR169W | Dbp8p |  | Ribosome biogenesis |
| DEAD-box | C24H12.4a | 5.2e-75 |  | YLR276C | Dbp9p |  | Ribosome biogenesis |
| DEAD-box | Y94H6A.5a | 5.2e-130 |  | YDL031W | Dbp10p |  | Ribosome biogenesis |
| DEAD-box | C07H6.5 (CGH-1) | 2.1e-151 |  | YDL160C | Dhh1p |  | Decapping and mRNA turnover |
| DEAD-box | Y71G12B. 8 | 9.2e-112 |  | YLL008W | Drs1p |  | Ribosome biogenesis |
| DEAD-box | B0511.6 | 3.1e-157 |  | YMR290C | Has1p |  | Ribosome biogenesis |
| DEAD-box | Y23H5B. 6 | 2.2e-115 |  | YJL033W | Hea4p |  | Ribosome biogenesis, pre-rRNA maturation (40S) |
| DEAD-box | F53H1.1 | 6.5e-111 |  | YBR237W | Prp5p |  | Pre-mRNA splicing |
| DEAD-box | F55F8.2a | 6.4e-44 |  | YBR142W | Mak5p |  | Ribosome biogenesis (60S) |
| DEAD-box | R05D11.4 | 3.8e-72 |  | YGL171W | Rok1p |  | Ribosome biogenesis, pre-rRNA maturation (40S) |
| DEAD-box | T26G10.1 | 4.4e-114 |  | YHR065C | Rrp3p |  | rRNA maturation (40S) |
| DEAD-box | ZK512.2 | 2.5e-68 |  | YFL002C | Spb4p |  | Ribosome biogenesis, pre-rRNA maturation (60S) |
| DEAD-box | C26D10.2a (HEL-1) | 1.9e-141 |  | YDL084W | Sub2p |  | Pre-mRNA splicing, mRNA export |
| DEAD-box | F33D11.10 | 4.1e-130 |  | YDR021W | Fal1p |  | Ribosome biogenesis, pre-rRNA maturation (40S) |
| DEAD-box | Y65B4A. 6 | 8.6e-130 |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAD-box | F57B9.6a (INF-1) | 1.6e-135 |  | YKR059W | Tiflp |  | Translation initiation |
|  |  | 1.6e-135 |  | YJL138C | Tif2p |  | Translation initiation |
| DEAD-box | F01F1.7 | 1.2e-68 |  | YDR243C | Prp28p |  | Pre-mRNA splicing |
| DEAD-box | F58E10.3a | 1.1e-136 |  | YNL112W | Dbp2p_ |  | RNA stability, ribosome biogenesis |
| DEAD-box | Y71H2AM. 19 | 2.5e-139(Dbp1p)/2.0e-137(Ded1p) |  | YOR204W ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | Ded1p |  | Translation initiation |
| DEAD-box | Y54E10A.9a (VBH-1) | 9.9e-129(Dbp1p)/2.1e-126(Ded1p) |  | YPL119C | Dbp1p |  | Translation initiation |
| DEAD-box | F01F1.7/F53H1.1 | 2.6e-19/4.9e-19 |  | YGL064C ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Mrh4p |  | Maintenance of mitochondrial DNA |
| DEAD-box | F58E10.3a | $2.3 \mathrm{e}-88$ |  | YGL078C | Dbp3p |  | Ribosome biogenesis, pre-rRNA maturation (60S) |
| DEAD-box | B0511.6 | $2.0 \mathrm{e}-43$ |  | YDR194C | Mss116p |  | Mitochondrial gene expression |
| DEAD-box | B0511.6 | 2.3e-38 |  | YKR024C | Dbp7p |  | Ribosome biogenesis (60S) |
| DEAD-box | C14C11.6 (MUT-14) ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAD-box | C46F11.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAD-box | F57B9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAD-box | F58G11.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAD-box | H27M09.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAD-box | T06A10.1 (MEL-46) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAD-box | Y38A10A. 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAD-box | Y54G11A. 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAD-box | Y55F3BR. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAD-box | ZC317.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAD-box (glh) | B0414.6 (GLH-3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAD-box (glh) | C55B7.1 (GLH-2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAD-box (glh) | T12F5.3 (GLH-4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAD-box (glh) | T21G5.3 (GLH-1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DDX1-like | F20A1.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAH-box subfamily |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAH-box | C06E1.10 (RHA-2) | 2.7e-146 |  | YMR128W | Ecm16p |  | Ribosome biogenesis (40S) |
| DEAH-box | K03H1.2 (MOG-1) | 5.5e-207 |  | YKR086W | Prp16p |  | Pre-mRNA splicing |
| DEAH-box | F56D2.6a | 1.3e-227 |  | YGL120C | Prp43p |  | Pre-mRNA splicing |
| DEAH-box | C04H5.6 (MOG-4) | 1.6e-176 |  | YNR011C | Prp2p |  | Pre-mRNA splicing |


| Subfamily | C. elegans protein ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $E$-value of BLASTP analysis in WormBase (WS159) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Phenotype code of RNAi-treated nematode ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | S. cerevisiae ORF ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | S. cerevisiae protein | Phenotype code of knockout strain ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Function of yeast protein ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEAH-box | EEED8.5 (MOG-5) | 3.0e-252 |  | YER013W | Prp22p |  | Pre-mRNA splicing |
| DEAH-box | T07D4.3 (RHA-1) | 7.3e-82 |  | YLR419W |  |  | Unknown |
| DEAH-box | EEED8.5 (MOG-5) | 7.6e-121 |  | YKL078W | Dhr2p |  | Ribosome biogenesis (40S) |
| DEAH-box | F52B5. 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAH-box | T05E8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAH-box | Y108F1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAH-box | Y37E11AM. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEAH-box | Y67D2.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SKI2 subfamily |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SKI2 | W08D2.7 | 1.1e-173 |  | YJL050W | Mtr4p |  | Ribosome biogenesis, pre-rRNA processing (60S), nuclear RNA degradation (?), mRNA transport (?) |
| SKI2 | C08F8.2a | 8.2e-70 |  | YPL029W | Suv3p |  | Mitochondrial RNA degradation |
| SKI2 | F01G4.3 | $3.2 \mathrm{e}-181$ |  | YLR398C | Ski2p |  | dsRNA killer propagation, cytoplasmic $3^{\prime}-5$ ' RNA degradation |
| SKI2 | Y46G5A. 4 | 0 |  | YER172C | Brr2p |  | Pre-mRNA splicing |
| SKI2 | Y54E2A. 6 | 3.2e-210/3.4e-316(Y46G5A.4) |  | YGR271W | Slh1p |  | Regulation of translation? |
| SKI2 | Y54E2A. 6 | 2.5e-58 |  | YGL251C | Hfm1p |  | Crossover control in meiosis |
| SKI2 | C28H8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SKI2 | Y46G5A. 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SKI2 | Y55B1AL. 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPF1 subfamily |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPF1 | F43G6.1b (DNA-2) | 4.7e-86 |  | YHR164C | Dna2p |  | DNA replication, Okazaki fragment maturation |
| UPF1 | Y48G8AL. 6 (SMG-2) | 5.1e-212 |  | YMR080C | Nam7p |  | RNA stability, nonsense-mediated RNA decay |
| UPF1 | Y48G8AL. 6 | 2.0e-40 |  | YKL017C | Hes1p |  | DNA replication? |
| UPF1 | Y48G8AL. 6 | 5.4e-44 |  | YLR430W | Sen1p |  | tRNA-, snRNA-, snoRNA-maturation |
| UPF1 | Y48G8AL. 6 | 8.2e-56 |  | YER176W | Ecm32p |  | Translation termination |
| UPF1 | C05C10.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPF1 | C41D11.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPF1 | C44H9.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPF1 | K08D10.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPF1 | R03D7.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPF1 | Y80D3A. 2 (EMB-4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPF1 | ZK1067.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UPF1 (far related) | C44H9.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 subfamily |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 | M03C11.8 | 2.2e-114 |  | YAL019W | Fun30p |  | DNA repair? |
| SWI2/SNF2 | F37A4.8 (ISW-1) | $3.0 \mathrm{e}-207$ |  | YBR245C | Isw1p |  | Chromatin remodeling, transcription |
|  |  | 4.4e-249 |  | YOR304W | Isw2p |  | Chromatin remodeling, transcription |
| SWI2/SNF2 | F15D4.1 (BTF-1) | $3.6 \mathrm{e}-122$ |  | YPL082C | Mot1p |  | Transcription |
| SWI2/SNF2 | Y111B2A. 22 (SSL-1) | $2.0 \mathrm{e}-181$ |  | YDR334W | Swrip |  | Chromatin remodeling, DNA repair |
| SWI2/SNF2 | H06O01.2 | 4.0e-180 |  | YER164W | Chd1p |  | Chromatin remodeling, transcription |
| SWI2/SNF2 | W06D4.6 (RAD-54) | 6.9e-160 |  | YGL163C | Rad54p |  | DNA repair, DNA recombination |
| SWI2/SNF2 | F53H4.1 (CSB-1) | 9.8e-91 |  | YJR035W | Rad26p |  | Transcription coupled repair |
| SWI2/SNF2 | F01G4.1 (PSA-4) | 6.9e-220(Snf2p)/1.4e-212(Sth1p) |  | YOR290C | Snf2p |  | Chromatin remodeling, transcription |
| SWI2/SNF2 | C52B9.8 | 1.0e-205(Snf2p)/1.3e-190(Sth1p) |  | YIL126W | Sth1p |  | G2 control, chromatin remodeling, transcription |
| SWI2/SNF2 | W06D4.6 (RAD-54) | $3.6 \mathrm{e}-106$ |  | YBR073W | Rdh54p |  | DNA repair, DNA recombination |


| SWI2/SNF2 | F01G4.1/C52B9.8 | 2.2e-105/9.8e-102 | YFR038W | Irc5p | Unknown | $\stackrel{Z}{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SWI2/SNF2 | Y111B2A. 22 (SSL-1) | $3.7 \mathrm{e}-113$ | YGL150C | Ino80p | Chromatin remodeling, transcription, DNA repair |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 | F54E12.2 | 9.5e-46 | YBR114W | Rad16p | DNA repair |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 | F54E12.2 | 2.3e-46 | YLR032W | Rad5p | Post-replication repair |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 | F54E12.2 | 5.3e-65 | YOR191W | Ris1p | Chromatin structure, gene silencing |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 | B0041.7 (XNP-1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 | C16A3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 | C27B7.4 (RAD-26) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 | F26F12.7 (LET-418) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 | F53H4.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 | F54E12.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 | F59A7.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 | T04D1.4 (TAG-192) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 | T14G8.1 (CHD-3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 | T23H2.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 | Y113G7B.14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 | Y116A8C. 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 (far related) | C25F9.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 (far related) | F19B2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 (far related) | M04C3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 (far related) | Y43F8B. 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 (far related) | C25F9.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWI2/SNF2 (far related) | M04C3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MCM subfamily |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MCM | Y17G7B.5a (MCM-2) | 1.2e-180 | YBL023C | Mcm2p | DNA replication |  |
| MCM | F32D1.10 (MCM-7) | 3.1e-143 | YBR202W | Cdc47p | DNA replication | $\stackrel{-}{ }$ |
| MCM | C25D7.6 (MCM-3) | $8.3 \mathrm{e}-141$ | YEL032W | Mcm3p | DNA replication | T17 |
| MCM | ZK632.1a (MCM-6) | 7.5e-171 | YGL201C | Mcm6p | DNA replication | 亿. |
| MCM | R10E4.4 (MCM-5) | 1.2e-137 | YLR274W | Cdc46p | DNA replication | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
| MCM | Y39G10AR. 14 (MCM-4) | 1.8e-160 | YPR019W | Cdc54p | DNA replication | $\cong$ |
| PIF1 subfamily |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PIF1 | Y18H1A. 6 (PIF-1) | $\begin{aligned} & 9.8 \mathrm{e}-83 \\ & 2.0 \mathrm{e}-80 \end{aligned}$ | YML061C <br> YHR031C | Pif1p Rrm3p | Maintenance of mitochondrial DNA and telomeres rDNA replication, Ty 1 transposition |  |
| PIF1 | C11G6.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PIF1 | F11C3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PIF1 | Y116F11A. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PIF1 (Helitron) | F33H12.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PIF1 (Helitron) | F59H6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PIF1 (Helitron) | Y16E11A. 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PIF1 (Helitron) | Y27F2A. 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PIF1 (Helitron) | Y46B2A. 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PIF1 (Helitron) | ZK250.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MPH1 subfamily |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MPH1 | D2005.5 (DRH-3) | 1.0e-12 | YIR002C | Mph1p | DNA repair |  |
| MPH1 | C01B10.1 (DRH-2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| MPH1 | D2005.5 (DRH-3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| MPH1 | F15B10.2 (DRH-1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| MPH1 | K12H4.8 (DCR-1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAD3 subfamily |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAD3 | Y50D7A. 2 | 8.8e-161 | YER171W | Rad3p | DNA repair, transcription |  |
| RAD3 | M03C11.2 | 9.1e-64 | YPL008W | Chl1p | Chromosome segregation | $\infty$ |


| Subfamily | C. elegans protein ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $E$-value of BLASTP analysis in WormBase (WS159) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Phenotype code of RNAi-treated nematode ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | S. cerevisiae $\mathrm{ORF}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | S. cerevisiae protein | Phenotype code of knockout strain ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Function of yeast protein ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RAD3 | Y50D7A. 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAD3 | F25H2.13 (BCH-1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAD3 | F33H2.1 (DOG-1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RECQ subfamily |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RECQ | T04A11.6 (HIM-6) | 2.3e-99 |  | YMR190C | Sgs1p |  | DNA repair, DNA recombination |  |
| RECQ | E03A3.2 (RCQ-5) | 1.6e-63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RECQ | F18C5.2 (WRN-1) | $1.8 \mathrm{e}-78$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RECQ | K02F3.12 | $1.3 \mathrm{e}-89$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other helicase-related proteins |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RVB | C27H6.2 (RUVB-1) | 1.1e-132 |  | YDR190C | Rvb1p |  | Chromatin remodeling, transcription | $\bigcirc$ |
| RVB | T22D1.10 (RUVB-2) | 2.2e-138 |  | YPL235W | Rvb2p |  | Chromatin remodeling, transcription | ${ }^{3}$ |
| SSL2 | Y66D12A. 15 | $1.2 \mathrm{e}-206$ |  | YIL143C | Ssl2p |  | DNA repair, transcription | 1 |
| KU70 | Y47D3A. 4 (CKU-70) | >0.01 |  | YMR284W | Yku70p |  | DNA repair, telomere maintenance | $z$ |
| KU80 | R07E5.8 (CKU-80) | 0.0023 |  | YMR106C | Yku80p |  | DNA repair, telomere maintenance | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ |
| YLR247C | T05A12.4a | 5.1e-32 |  | YLR247C |  |  | Unknown | $\bigcirc$ |
| YDR291W ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | F18C5.2 | 1.4e-07 |  | YDR291W | Hrq1 |  | Unknown | \% |
| YDR332W | R05D11.4 | $9.1 \mathrm{e}-08$ |  | YDR332W | Irc3p |  | Unknown | 5 |
| HPR5 | Y55B1BR. 3 | 1.3e-06 |  | YJL092W | Hpr5p |  | DNA repair | $\bigcirc$ |
| HMI1 | NDi |  |  | YOL095C | Hmilp |  | Maintenance of mitochondrial DNA |  |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YBL111C |  |  | Unknown |  |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YBL113C |  |  | Unknown | $\stackrel{2}{8}$ |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YDR545W | Yrf1-1p |  | Unknown | 8 |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YEL077C |  |  | Unknown | โ |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YER190W | Yrf1-2p |  | Unknown | © |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YFL066C |  |  | Unknown | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YGR296W | Yrf1-3p |  | Unknown | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YHL050C |  |  | Unknown | 0 |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YHR218W |  |  | Unknown | \% |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YHR219W |  |  | Unknown | - |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YIL177C |  |  | Unknown | - |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YJL225C |  |  | Unknown | 4 |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YLL066C |  |  | Unknown |  |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YLL067C |  |  | Unknown | 8 |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YLR466W | Yrf1-4p |  | Unknown | 8 |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YLR467W | Yrf1-5p |  | Unknown |  |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YML133C |  |  | Unknown |  |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YNL339C | Yrf1-6p |  | Unknown |  |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YOR396W |  |  | Unknown |  |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YPL283C | Yrf1-7p |  | Unknown |  |
| Y'-Hel1 | ND |  |  | YPR204W |  |  | Unknown |  |
| Twinkle-like | F46G11.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DNA pol theta-like | W03A3.2 (POLQ-1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MOP-3-like | F20H11.2 (NSH-1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTS6-like | F08B4.1b (DIC-1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plant helicase-like | F52G3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plant helicase-like | F52G3.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

No. 4$]$
search against the InParanoid database (version 4.0 updated April 2005, http://inparanoid.cgb.ki.se/). Several putative orthologs were identified as reciprocal best BLAST hits with an $E$-value $<1.0 \mathrm{e}-30$ between $S$. cerevisiae and C. elegans. Suffixes ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ' indicate variants with the highest homology to the yeast protein. ${ }^{b}$ Phenotype code (C. elegans): The phenotypes of RNAi-treated nematodes are indicated by gray-scale coding: Emb in black, Lva and Gro in dark gray, and WT (no phenotype) in light gray. Empty code: no data (not tested). A phenotype code for the most intense phenotype is indicated. ${ }^{\text {c Classification and functions of yeast helicase-like proteins are according to the yeast RNA helicase database by }}$ Linder and colleagues. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Phenotypes of the corresponding knockout strains were mainly obtained from the Saccharomyces Genome Database and our previous report ${ }^{8}$ and shown by phenotype codes: lethal in black, slow growth in dark gray, and viable in light gray, no data in white. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ The proteins surrounded with bold lines are a putative orthologous pair based on BLASTP scores, but were not in the InParanoid database. The Ku70 and Ku80 homologs in yeast and nematodes are described in the Saccharomyces Genome Database and WormBase. ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Two pairs of yeast proteins (Snf2p and Sth1p, Ded1p and Dbp1p) with two C. elegans orthologs are surrounded by dashed lines. ${ }^{\circ}$ The yeast proteins with BLAST scores lower than that of the putative homologs or without any sequence homologies to C. elegans proteins are indicated in separated box for each subfamily. ${ }^{\text {h }}$ C. elegans proteins without significant similarities to yeast helicase-like proteins are also indicated separately. ${ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{ND}$, not detected. Several C. elegans proteins with $E$-values greater than $1 \mathrm{e}-10$ when compared with the $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$-Hell proteins were omitted because the similarities were to low-complexity regions in the amino acid sequences. ${ }^{j}$ Twenty-five budding yeast-specific proteins including subtelomere-specific helicase-like proteins and four yeast proteins (Hrq1p, Hpr5p, Hmilp, and Irc3p) and six C. elegans (higher eukaryote)-specific proteins (DIC-1, NSH-1, POLQ-1, F46G11.1, F52G3.3, and F52G3.4) were detected.
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then removed. The eggs on this second RNAi plate were used for phenotypic analyses of F1 progeny. HT115(DE3) with vector alone was used as control bacteria for mock RNAi treatments. For double-RNAi treatment, $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of culture suspension equally mixed with growing bacteria for each target gene was seeded on RNAi plates for dsRNA expression.

### 2.5. Phenotypic analyses of RNAi-treated animals

The hatching rate of the eggs was determined as described previously. ${ }^{24}$ RNAi-treated animals for 12 h were transferred onto a new RNAi plate to lay eggs for 12 h . F1 eggs laid on the RNAi plate were cultured for 24 h . Subsequently, the numbers of hatched larvae and dead eggs were scored to determine the hatching rate. The experiments were repeated at least twice. Growth of hatched F1 progeny was monitored by body length measurements as described previously. ${ }^{22}$ The growthdefect phenotypes were tentatively classified as larval arrest (Lva), slow growth (Gro), and normal growth (WT), using the growth retardation index, as described in the legend of Table 1. Brood size of RNAi-treated animals was examined in two ways as described in the legend of Supplementary Table S2. X-ray sensitivity assay of RNAi-treated animals was performed as described in the legend of Tables 3 and 4 .

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Identification of helicase-like genes in C. elegans

In this study, we have expanded our analyses of helicase family members from unicellular eukaryote $S$. cerevisiae to a multicellular animal, the nematode C. elegans. A sequence homology search, with known helicase-like proteins as the queries, identified 134 gene products in the recent C. elegans protein data in the public nematode database WormBase ${ }^{25}$ (release WS162). These proteins were classified into 10 subfamilies (DEAD-box, DEAHbox, SKI2, UPF1, SWI2/SNF2, MCM, PIF1, MPH1, RAD3, and RECQ) on the basis of a modified classification of yeast helicase-like proteins and one group of 'other helicase-like proteins' containing 11 orphan proteins (Table 1). Three subfamilies (DEAD-box, DEAHbox, and SKI2) contain many proteins involved in aspects of RNA metabolism, including ribosome biogenesis, pre-mRNA splicing, RNA degradation, and translation. ${ }^{2,3}$ A number of the proteins in the MCM, ${ }^{26}$ PIF1, ${ }^{27}$ RAD3, and RECQ ${ }^{6}$ subfamilies play roles in DNA-mediated reactions, and the SWI2/SNF2 members act primarily in chromatin remodeling and/or DNA metabolism. ${ }^{4,5}$ The total number of helicase-like proteins in C. elegans (134 proteins) was greater than the number of yeast helicase-like proteins (103 proteins including 21 subtelomeric helicase-like proteins). ${ }^{28}$ Among the genes identified were six nematode homologs of mammal- and

Table 3. Influence of X-ray irradiation on the viability of F1 progeny from RNAi-treated animals

| X-ray dose (Gy) | Hatching rate (\%) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Control | D2005.5 $(\mathrm{RNAi})$ | rad-51 $(\mathrm{RNAi})$ |
| 0 | $91.8(n=622)$ | $32.5(n=382)$ | $62.4(n=86)$ |
| 40 | $62.8(n=756)$ | $0.6(n=313)$ | $3.2(n=313)$ |

The cDNA fragments corresponding to D2005.5 and rad-51 (Y43C5A.6) were amplified from phage cDNA clones yk331a2 and yk401c3 (a kind gift of Dr Y. Kohara, National Institute of Genetics, Japan), respectively, by PCR with the primer set yk5'-F ( $5^{\prime}$-TGGCGGCCGCTCTAGAACTAGTGGATC- $3^{\prime}$ ) and yk3'-SmaR ( $5^{\prime}$-TTCCCGGGTGAATTGTAATACGACTCACTATAG GGCG-3'). These cDNAs were used for X-ray-induced embryonic lethality assay. The genomic DNA fragment ( $\sim 2.3 \mathrm{~kb}$ ) corresponding to Y66D12A. 15 was amplified from C. elegans genomic DNA (N2 strain) by PCR using the primer set Y66Dex1-3F ( $5^{\prime}$-AAGCTTGAAAAACCCAGAAAAATGGCA-3') and Y66Dex1-3R ( $5^{\prime}$-TTCCACTCCAACCTTGGTCGCATCGGC-3'). These fragments were cloned into the dsRNA expression vector, and the nucleotide sequences were confirmed by sequencing. Four young adult worms were fed bacteria-expressing dsRNA to the target gene on an RNAi plate for 18 h and were subsequently X-ray-irradiated (Radioflex 320CG, RIGAKU, Tokyo) at a rate of $2 \mathrm{~Gy} / \mathrm{min}$. Irradiated animals were transferred onto a fresh RNAi plate, cultured for 2 days to lay eggs and then removed. After 24 h , the hatching rate of eggs laid on the plate was determined. The total numbers of eggs counted are indicated in parentheses.

Table 4. Influence of X-ray irradiation on the growth of F1 progeny from RNAi-treated animals

|  |  | Body length (mm) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Control | D2005.5 (RNAi) | gei-17 $(\mathrm{RNAi})$ |
| Mock irradiated | $0.932 \pm 0.062(n=40)$ | $0.923 \pm 0.076(n=32)$ | $0.919 \pm 0.116(n=43)$ |
| Significance relative to control $(P$-value) |  | 0.521 | 0.560 |
| X-ray irradiated (40 Gy) | $0.992 \pm 0.076(n=56)$ | $0.726 \pm 0.208(n=18)$ | $0.826 \pm 0.211(n=31)$ |
| Significance relative to control $(P$-value) |  | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ |

The genomic DNA fragment corresponding to gei-17(W10D5.3) was amplified using the primer set W10D5.3-F (5'-CGCTTCCACTTCCATTCTACGATG-3') and W10D5.3-R (5'-GGCCATTCCAGATGGAGATGAGCC-3'). The D2005.5 cDNA fragment ( $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{~kb}$ ) was amplified from a C. elegans embryo cDNA library using the primers D1-BF ( $5^{\prime}$-CCGGGATCCA TCGTTGATCTGATGCCTGCGATGG-3') and ZAP-R ( $5^{\prime}$-GAATTGTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGC- $3^{\prime}$ ). The D2005.5 cDNA and gei-17 genomic DNA fragment were used for an X-ray-induced growth retardation assay. The growth of larvae from RNAi-treated animals was monitored by determining the mean body length of the animals. The mean $\pm$ standard deviation values of body length of animals at 3 days after X-ray or mock irradiation were determined and are indicated. Numbers of animals measured are in parentheses. Statistical significance of the differences in mean body length between control and RNAitreated animals in each group was analysed by Student's $t$-test (significance at $P<0.05$ ) using the software package JMP IN5.1.2J (SAS Institute, Cary, USA).
plant-specific helicase-like genes (polq-1, nsh-1, dic-1, F46G11.1, F52G3.3, and F52G3.4) and five C. elegansspecific SNF2-like genes (C25F9.4, C25F9.5, M04C3.1, M04C3.2, and Y43F8B.14). Six Helitrons, a novel class of mobile genetic elements encoding a 'rolling circle' replication protein and a helicase ${ }^{29,30}$ (F33H12.6, F59H6.5, Y16E11A.2, Y27F2A.5, Y46B2A.2, and ZK250.9), were also identified.

Drosophila melanogaster, Homo sapiens, and Caenorhabditis briggsae proteins homologous to each C. elegans protein are presented in Table 1. Most helicaselike genes were well conserved between C. elegans and C. briggsae, with the exception of the $C$. elegans-specific SNF2-like genes, several PIF1-like genes including the Helitrons, and plant helicase-like gene homologs (F52G3.3 and F52G3.4). Although homologs of the Helitrons, F52G3.3, and F52G3.4 were detected in plants (data not shown), these three gene groups were
not conserved in humans or flies. We detected putative human and fly homologs of many genes from the known subfamilies, as well as the orphan genes, but we were unable to find putative counterparts of several DEADbox genes including four glh genes, some of the UPF1and SWI2/SNF2-like genes, and most of the PIF1 members, in addition to the C. elegans-specific SNF2like genes and two plant helicase-like genes (Table 1).

### 3.2. Phenotypic analyses of C. elegans RNAi-treated for helicase-like genes

Of the 134 genes identified in this study, 49 corresponded to genes of known function; however, the functions of the remaining genes are unknown. Therefore, we used the feeding RNAi method to identify loss-of-function phenotypes of uncharacterized helicase-like genes to aid in ascertaining the function of the gene products. We


Figure 1. Typical phenotypes of F1 progeny from nematodes RNAi-treated for helicase-like genes. Typical images of the F1 progeny from eggs laid by RNAi-treated P0 animals on RNAi plates for control [vector alone (A)], mcm-6(ZK632.1) RNAi (B), W08D2.7 RNAi (C), ZK686.2 RNAi (D), Y50D7A.11 RNAi (E), and cgh-1 (C07H6.5) RNAi $[(\mathbf{F})$ and $(\mathbf{G})$ in a threefold enlarged image] are shown. The progeny were cultured on RNAi plates supplemented with dsRNA-expressing bacteria for 3 days after laying, and images were then captured. The RNAi phenotypes shown are embryonic lethal (Emb in Table 1) (B), larval arrest (Lva) (C), slow growth (Gro) (D), slow growth and sterile progeny (Gro Stp) (E), and protruding vulva (Pvl) (F and G). Arrows indicate protruded vulva (F) and resultant abdominal burst (G). Bar: 1 mm .
prepared 129 dsRNA expression constructs with cDNA or genomic DNA fragments of the target genes, and E. coli transformants expressing dsRNA were fed to P0 animals to examine the RNAi-induced phenotypes of the resultant F1 progeny. Typical culture images of the F1 progeny 3 days after hatching are shown in Fig. 1. The control progeny from mock-treated P0 animals grew to adults and laid F2 eggs (Fig. 1A). In contrast, eggs laid by RNAi-treated animals for $m c m-6$, encoding a subunit of the replicative MCM helicase, ${ }^{26}$ exhibited an embryonic
lethal phenotype (Fig. 1B). RNAi for the uncharacterized genes W08D2.7 and ZK686.2 encoding a yeast Ski2p-like protein and a DEAD-box protein caused larval growth arrest (Fig. 1C) and growth retardation (Fig. 1D), respectively, suggesting that both gene products are essential for development and/or larval growth. RNAi for Y50D7A.11 which encodes an ERCC2-like protein caused a progeny sterile phenotype (no F2 eggs in the culture) with growth retardation (Fig. 1E). In addition to embryonic lethality and sterility, ${ }^{31}$ we observed an

RNAi-induced developmental abnormality (a protruding vulva phenotype in Fig. 1F) and increased mortality (Fig. 1G) among F1 survivors of $c g h-1(R N A i)$ animals.
In this study, we examined primarily embryonic lethality and growth-defect phenotypes. Fig. 2 shows growth curves of F1 larvae from animals treated with for 22 helicase members. Growth of progeny from T26G10.1(RNAi) and B0511.6(RNAi) was almost completely arrested (Fig. 2); however, RNAi-induced growth retardation was variable in progeny among the targeted genes. For example, growth rates of progeny from F58E10.3(RNAi), Y23H5B.6(RNAi), and mock-treated animals were calculated to be 3.4, 8.7, and $17.6 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{h}$, respectively (Fig. 2). The level of growth defects in the progeny is represented as the growth retardation index in Table 1.

We compared our results with RNAi phenotype data in the public WormBase (WS171) and most phenotypes were in agreement (Table 1). Furthermore, we successfully obtained several new phenotypes; for instance, RNAi for two DEAD-box subfamily members, C24H12.4 and Y'1G12B.8, resulted in larval arrest and slow growth, respectively. RNAi for Y66D12A.15, which encodes an ortholog of the human ERCC3-like protein, and psa-4, which is required for embryonic development, ${ }^{32}$ resulted in embryonic lethality in the current study. [These phenotypes in Y66D12A.15 (RNAi) and psa-4(RNAi) were not previously present in the database (WS162), but have been recently confirmed in the updated version (WS171) during revision of the manuscript.] On the other hand, it was reported that RNAi for dna-2, F20A1.9, F52B5.3, F59A7.8, M03C11.2, M03C11.8, Y116A8C.13, and Y37E11AM. 1 caused an embryonic lethal phenotype, but no growth defect and/or visible abnormalities were observed in our experiments even using the RNAihypersensitive rrf-3 mutant ${ }^{33,34}$ as a host (data not shown). The discrepancies of RNAi experiments are summarized in Supplementary Table S1.

In this study, we examined effects of suppression of 39 germline- or oocyte-expressed helicase-like genes on brood size by feeding RNAi from L1 stage or from L4 stage (Supplementary Table S2). Reduction in brood size was observed in F55F8.2(RNAi) and T05E8.3(RNAi) animals in the L4 RNAi experiments. In the L1 RNAi experiments, suppression of F56D2.6 and C08F8.2 caused significant reductions of brood size; however, reduction was due to sterility in P0 animals by F56D2.6 RNAi and to embryonic lethality by C08F8.2 RNAi (data not shown). Reduced brood size in C08F8.2(RNAi) and F55F8.2 (RNAi) animals has been observed previously by others. ${ }^{35}$

### 3.3. An RNAi-mediated screen for helicase-like genes involved in resistance to $X$-ray irradiation

We tried to identify genes that play important roles in specific conditions - in this case, X-ray irradiation-and
applied the feeding RNAi technique to screen for genes involved in protection against X-ray-induced DNA damage. Assuming that dysfunctions in candidate genes would cause hypersensitivity to X-rays, animals RNAitreated for 87 helicase-like genes that were dispensable for embryonic survival were tested for their X-ray sensitivity. RNAi-treated P0 animals were irradiated with X-rays (40 Gy), and the hatching rate of the resultant F1 progeny was examined. Several candidate genes were detected, but only D2005.5(drh-3) RNAi reproducibly enhanced the sensitivity to X-rays (Table 1). The hatching rate of the F1 progeny from drh-3(RNAi) animals without X-ray irradiation was $32.5 \%$ due to embryonic lethality induced by RNAi alone; however, the viability of F1 progeny from irradiated $d r h-3(R N A i)$ animals markedly decreased to $0.6 \%$ (Table 3). A similar X-ray hypersensitivity was observed in rad-51(RNAi) animals, in which DNA double-strand break repair and meiotic homologous recombination were suppressed. ${ }^{36,37}$ X-rayinduced growth retardation was also observed in the F1 progeny from drh-3(RNAi) animals. The F1 progeny from drh-3(RNAi) and gei-17(RNAi) animals without irradiation developed normally. However, F1 larvae from irradiated animals exhibited a slow-growth phenotype (Table 4). The gei-17 gene encodes a putative E3 SUMO ligase that participates in embryonic DNA damage responses in C. elegans, and the gei-17(RNAi) embryo is sensitive to other DNA-damaging agents. ${ }^{38,39}$

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Identification of helicase family members and RNAi-based phenotypic analyses in C. elegans

This is the first survey of members of helicase-like genes in C. elegans. In this study, several novel members of helicase family were identified by a systematic BLAST-based homology search, including two plant helicase-like genes of F52G3.3 and F52G3.4 and five C. elegans-specific SNF2-like genes. It should be noted that the current total number of helicase-like genes (134 genes) is tentative. For example, both Y50D7A.2 and a neighboring gene, $Y 50 D 7 A .11$, may be a split single gene encoding the $C$. elegans ortholog ERCC2 (see the legend of Table 1).

In this study, we identified 51 helicase-like genes that are required for viability and/or developmental growth of C. elegans. This percentage (39.5\% of 129 genes tested) was significantly higher than the number of phe-notype-positive genes from several genome-wide RNAi analyses $\left(\sim 10 \%^{35,40}\right.$ to $\left.27 \%^{41}\right)$, suggesting the biological importance of helicase-like genes in cellular function. The number of genes required for embryonic development and/or larval growth was variable among the subfamilies. For example, many members of the DEAD-box ( $63.9 \%$ of the members), DEAH-box (54.5\%), MCM (100\%), and MPH1 (50\%) subfamilies exhibited development- and


Figure 2. Influence of RNAi treatment of helicase family genes on larval growth. The growth of F1 larvae from eggs laid by RNAi-treated P0 animals was monitored by measuring the body length of progeny. The resultant growth curves of progeny of animals (N2 strain) that were RNAi-treated for the indicated 10 genes (T26G10.1 to Y23H5B.6) in the DEAD-box subfamily are shown together with the growth curve of progeny without RNAi-treatment [control (A)]. The growth curves obtained from RNAi experiments for the genes in other subfamilies are shown with their control growth curve [control (B)] as follows: Y54E10A.9(vbh-1) from the DEAD-box subfamily; C06E1.10(rha-2), F56D2.6, and T05E8.3 from the DEAH-box subfamily; W08D2.7 from the SKI2 subfamily; Y80D3A.2(emb-4) in the UPF1 subfamily; F26F12.7(let-418) and Y111B2A.22(ssl-1) from the SWI2/SNF2 subfamily; Y50D7A.11 from the RAD3 subfamily; and F20H11.2(nsh-1) as an orphan member, respectively. Experiments for C08F8.2 (SKI2 subfamily) and F37A4.8(isw-1) (SWI2/SNF2 subfamily) indicated in bold letters were carried out using the rrf-3 mutant as a host because of weak slow-growth phenotypes of the RNAi-treated N2 animals, and the resultant growth curves of progeny of control (open triangle) and RNAi-treated (closed triangle) animals are shown. The calculated growth rate for each population was $17.5 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{h}[\mathrm{control}(\mathrm{A})], 0.7$ (T26G10.1), 1.5 (Y71H2AM.19), 3.3 (B0511.6), 3.4 (C24H12.4), 4.0 (ZK512.2), 4.5 (Y94H6A.5), 5.1 (F55F8.2), 5.5 (ZK686.2), 8.4 (H20J04.4), 8.7 (Y23H5B.6), 12.5 [control (B)], 6.8 (Y54E10A.9(vbh-1)), 2.7 (C06E1.10(rha2)), 3.0 (F56D2.6), 7.4 (T05E8.3), 3.2 (W08D2.7), 9.1 (Y80D3A.2(emb-4)), 2.6 (F26F12.7(let-418)), 9.1 (Y111B2A.22(ssl-1)), 7.6 (Y50D7A.11), 6.0 (F20H11.2( $n s h-1$ )), 8.5 (C08F8.2) and 12.5 (rrf-3 control), and 8.3 (F37A4.8(isw-1)) and 11.8 (rrf-3 control).
growth-defects by RNAi. In contrast, relatively few of the PIF1 ( $0 \%$ ), RECQ ( $0 \%$ ), UPF1 ( $10 \%$ ), or SWI2/SNF2 (15.4\%) subfamily members showed such defects (Supplementary Table S3). These results are consistent with our previous phenotypic analysis using knockout strains of yeast helicase-like genes. ${ }^{8}$ The difference in the incidence of these phenotypes among the subfamilies could be accounted by the biological roles in the members in each subfamily. It is interesting that the suppressions of half of the genes ( $d c r-1$ and $d r h-3$ ) in the MPH1 subfamily cause growth-defects or embryonic lethality. This suggests biological importance of RNAi in viability and larval growth in C. elegans because both gene products act in RNAi. ${ }^{42,43}$ We found some discrepancies in RNAi-induced phenotypes between our experiments and the studies reported in WormBase (Supplementary Table S1). We newly found larval arrest and slow-growth phenotypes caused by C24H12.4 RNAi and Y71G12B.8 RNAi, respectively. C24H12.4 and Y ${ }^{7} 1$ G12B.8 encode putative homologs of the yeast DEAD-box proteins Dbp9p and Drs1p, respectively. Since both yeast proteins are required for ribosomal RNA biogenesis ${ }^{11,44}$ and essential for viability in yeast, ${ }^{3,8}$ our observations on both genes are probably significant (see the legend of Supplementary Table S1 for other discrepancies).

### 4.2. Loss-of-function phenotypes of helicase family members diverged in C. elegans

The putative C. elegans orthologs of yeast helicase-like proteins were identified and are shown in Table 2 with their loss-of-function phenotypes. The MCM subfamily members ${ }^{26}$ and two RUVB-like proteins ${ }^{45}$ were completely conserved and required for viability in both species. The DEAD-box, DEAH-box, SKI2, UPF1, and SWI2/ SNF2 subfamilies contained two classes of proteins, those that were conserved in both species and those that were species-specific. For example, 21 putative orthologous pairs of the DEAD-box members were well conserved. In contrast, we could not detect any putative nematode homologs corresponding to the four yeast proteins Mrh4p, Dbp3p, Dbp7p, and Mss116p, or any yeast homologs of 15 nematode proteins. Budding yeast contain one or two members of the PIF1, MPH1, RAD3, and RECQ subfamilies; however, the number of members in each of these subfamilies had increased in C. elegans, and many of these divergent proteins are conserved in humans (Table 1). Twenty-five budding yeastspecific proteins and six higher eukaryote-specific proteins were also detected (Table 2). We found a high degree of conservation of loss-of-function phenotypes for homologs in both organisms. The majority ( 20 of 22 proteins) of putative C. elegans orthologs of yeast essential DEADbox members caused embryonic lethality or growthdefect phenotypes by their depletion (Table 2). Similar phenotypic conservation of essential homologs in both
species was also found in members of the DEAH-box, SKI2, MCM, and RAD3 subfamilies, as well as in the RUVB-like and SSL2-like proteins, suggesting that these putative conserved orthologs play similar essential cellular roles in Celegans as in their yeast counterparts (Table 2). Interestingly, depletions of the subfamily members which have diverged in C. elegans were rarely able to induce growth-defect phenotypes (i.e. only nine of 67 genes tested across the subfamilies).

Because of the detection of diverged members in C. elegans, we assigned all helicase-like genes and pseudogenes to the six C.elegans chromosomes to examine the distribution of the extranumerary genes in the genome. The BLAST-based sequence homology searches identified 10 candidate gene pairs, three highly related gene pairs, and two gene clusters in the helicase-like genes (Supplementary Table S4) and mapped to chromosomes (Supplementary Fig. S1). Six putative gene pairs (glh-1 and glh-2, glh-4 and T08D2.3, F33D11.10 and Y65B4A.6, F57B9.3 and inf-1, F53H1.1 and Y73B3B.5, and mut-14 and ZC317.1) belonged to the DEAD-box subfamily (Supplementary Fig. S1A). At least two partners of the paired genes were pseudogenes (T08D2.3 and $\left.Y^{7} 3 B 3 B .5\right)$. Four pairs of putative duplicated genes were identified among the SWI2/SNF2 (let-418 and chd-3), SKI2 (Y46G5A.4 and Y46G5A.6), PIF1 (C11G6.2 and Y116F11A.1), and MPH1 (drh-1 and drh-2) subfamilies (Supplementary Figs S1C, D, G and $\mathrm{H})$. In addition, two clusters of C. elegans-specific SNF2-like genes and Helitrons in the PIF1 subfamily were found on the terminal regions of chromosomes V and II, respectively, suggesting that these genes might have been generated by a few rounds of gene duplications (Supplementary Figs S1C and G; see the figure legend).

RNAi for the subfamily members diverged in C. elegans poorly induced growth-defect phenotypes (Table 2). This phenomenon may indicate a functional redundancy with paralogous proteins or diverged members in C. elegans. In fact, two diverged DEAD-box members, GLH-1 and GLH-4, are known to play redundant functions in germline development. Kuznicki et al. ${ }^{46}$ showed that double RNAi for $g l h-1 / 4$ was required for significant sterile phenotype. Since some of paired proteins (e.g. LET-418 and CHD-3) have redundant functions, ${ }^{47}$ we assumed that the products of duplicated genes with unknown function (i.e. Y67D2.6 and Y108F1.5, or C11G6.2 and Y116F11A.1) may be the case. However, none of the detectable phenotypes in animals treated with double RNAi for these paired genes were observed (data not shown).

### 4.3. Expression profiles of helicase-like genes in C. elegans and influence of RNAi for germlineenriched genes

We examined the expression profiles of the helicase-like genes, using four published genome-wide expression
studies of C. elegans genes ${ }^{48-51}$ (Supplementary Table $\mathrm{S} 2)$. Reinke et al. ${ }^{51}$ identified germline-enriched and sexregulated genes and classified the genes into several expression categories. Assignment of helicase-like genes in each subfamily to each expression category revealed that many helicase-like genes ( 58 of 134 genes) were categorized as 'intrinsic' and 'oogenesis-enriched' genes (Supplementary Table S5A). The fraction of helicaselike genes $(21.6 \%)$ in the oogenesis-enriched category is significantly higher than that of C. elegans genes in general ( $5.7 \%$ of the total genes), suggesting an expression bias for helicase family members in oogenesis in hermaphrodites. Dominant expression of helicase-like genes in the embryonic stages was also detected in another study $^{50}$ (Supplementary Table S5B). The data reported by Jiang et al. ${ }^{48}$ show sex-biased expression of the heli-case-like genes $(12.7 \%$ of helicase-like genes versus $27.6 \%$ of total C. elegans genes in male; 32.1 versus $24.7 \%$ in hermaphrodites in Supplementary Table S5C). This is also consistent with the high proportion of heli-case-like genes in the oogenesis-enriched genes. Assignment of the helicase-like genes to the C. elegans gene expression map ${ }^{49}$ also indicates that helicase-like genes were relatively concentrated (1.9- to 4.2 -fold) in six mountains ( $2,5,7,11,20$, and 25 ) out of 46 mountains, and mountains 2,7 , and 11 contain predominantly oocyte- and germline-enriched genes ${ }^{49}$ (Supplementary Table S6). In addition, the dominant expression of PIF1 or SKI2 members in males is interesting, because three of three and three of six genes in the PIF1 and SKI2 subfamilies, respectively, appeared in the 'male dominant groups' (Supplementary Table S5C), and this may implicate these genes in male-specific functions such as spermatogenesis. Most Helitrons of the PIF1 members were poorly expressed in the aforementioned studies.

Since oogenesis-enriched expression of many helicase-like genes suggests potential roles of their gene products in the development and proliferation of germ cells, we examined the effect of suppression of 39 germline-enriched genes on brood size by RNAi, and reduced reproductive capacity in F55F8.2 (RNAi) and T05E8.3(RNAi) animals was detected (Supplementary Table S2). F55F8.2 encodes a homolog of yeast-splicing factor Prp28p, and the T05E8.3 gene product is similar to yeast $\operatorname{Prp} 22$ p and a putative homolog of human DHX33 (Table 1). This indicates that both gene products play important roles in the reproduction in C. elegans. In the L1 RNAi analysis, suppression of F56D2. 6 and C08F8.2 caused a significant reduction in brood size because of sterility in P0 animals and of embryonic lethality, respectively, suggesting that F56D2.6, encoding a putative homolog of yeast-splicing factor Prp43p, is required for reproduction, and as a putative homolog of yeast mitochondrial RNA helicase Suv3p, C08F8.2 plays an essential role in embryonic viability. In the previous study by Colaiacovo et al., ${ }^{52}$ four helicase-like genes have been identified in an RNAi-based screen for genes involved
in chromosome morphogenesis and nuclear organization in C. elegans germline. We also found several detectable phenotypes in dcr-1 (RNAi) and ruvb-2(RNAi) animals, but did not find weak phenotypes reported in rha-1(RNAi) and $C 27 B 7.4(R N A i)$ animals (Table 1).

### 4.4. Identification of drh-3 gene involved in resistance to X-ray-induced DNA damage

In this study, we succeeded in identifying D2005.5(drh3) as a gene for protection against X-ray irradiation. Four Dicer-like proteins in the MPH1 subfamily, including DRH-3, have recently been shown to play an important role in RNAi. ${ }^{43}$ It remains to be resolved why depletion of the RNAi factor DRH-3 causes X-ray hypersensitivity in C. elegans. Previous RNAi-based studies have shown that some helicase family members are implicated in resistance to X-ray-induced DNA damage. Boulton et al. ${ }^{53}$ showed that RNAi of rad-54 and Y116A8C. 13 caused DNA repair defect phenotypes. Recently, van Haaften et al. ${ }^{54}$ performed a genome-wide screen for $C$. elegans genes that protect cells against ionizing radiation to identify three helicase-like genes D2005.5, Y80D3A.2, and isw-1. Although D2005.5 was commonly detected in the screens by us and van Haaften et al., we failed to find marked X-ray-dependent phenotypic defects in the progeny of Y80D3A.2(RNAi) or isw-1(RNAi) animals (Table 1). Several genes including rad-54 or RecQ-like helicase genes are thought to be involved in DNA repair of X-ray-induced DNA damage; however, these genes were not always detected in previous RNAi-based screens. In order to isolate more candidate genes, several technical improvements in the screens may be required, including more sensitive assay systems (e.g. use of reporter animals ${ }^{55}$ ), RNAi-based screens using rrf-3 mutants, ${ }^{34}$ or soaking RNAi-mediated screens. ${ }^{41}$

In conclusion, we have identified helicase-like genes in C. elegans and characterized loss-of-function phenotypes of these genes. The results obtained from phenotypic analyses, comparative analyses, chromosome mapping, and a study of the expression patterns of helicase family members will be useful for studying helicase-mediated molecular reactions governing dynamic regulation of DNA, RNA, and chromatin. Furthermore, characterization of DRH-3 will elucidate functional interactions between the resistance to X-ray irradiation and RNAi.
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